

**DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY  
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE  
FY 2005 BUDGET ESTIMATES  
(\$ in Thousands)**

<u>State/Installation/Project</u>	<u>Authorization Request</u>	<u>Approp. Request</u>	<u>New/ Current Mission</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
<b>California</b>				
Travis Air Force Base Replace Hydrant Fuel System	15,100	15,100	C	37
<b>Hawaii</b>				
Pearl Harbor Multi-Product Interface Tank	3,500	3,500	C	40
<b>North Carolina</b>				
Marine Corps Air Station Cherry Point Replace Hydrant Fuel System	22,700	22,700	C	43
<b>Ohio</b>				
Defense Supply Center Columbus Replace Physical Fitness Facility	5,500	5,500	C	46
<b>Oklahoma</b>				
Tinker Air Force Base Add/Alter Hydrant Fuel System	5,400	5,400	C	49
<b>Pennsylvania</b>				
Defense Distribution Depot Susquehanna, New Cumberland Consolidated Maintenance Facility	22,300	22,300	C	52
<b>Texas</b>				
Naval Air Station Kingsville Replace Jet Fuel Storage Tank	3,900	3,900	C	55
<b>Virginia</b>				
Defense Supply Center Richmond Conference Center	3,600	3,600	C	59
Security Enhancements	6,500	6,500	C	61
Naval Air Station Oceana Bulk Fuel Storage Tank	3,589	3,589	C	63

**DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY  
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE  
FY 2005 BUDGET ESTIMATES  
(\$ in Thousands)**

<u>State/Installation/Project</u>	<u>Authorization Request</u>	<u>Approp. Request</u>	<u>New/ Current Mission</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
<b>Japan</b>				
Misawa Air Base Hydrant Fuel System	19,900	19,900	C	66
<b>Portugal</b>				
Lajes Field, Azores Replace Hydrant Fuel System	19,113	19,113	C	69
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>131,102</b>	<b>131,102</b>		

<b>1. COMPONENT</b> <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM</b>					<b>2. DATE</b> <b>FEB 04</b>			
<b>3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION</b> <b>TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA</b>			<b>4. COMMAND</b> <b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>				<b>5. AREA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX</b> <b>1.26</b>			
<b>6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH</b>		<b>PERMANENT</b>			<b>STUDENTS</b>			<b>SUPPORTED</b>		<b>TOTAL</b>
Tenant of USAF		<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>
a. AS OF										
b. END FY										
<b>7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)</b>										
A. TOTAL ACREAGE										
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF										
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY										16,000
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM										15,100
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM										
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS										5,000
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY										5,260
H. GRAND TOTAL										41,360
<b>8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:</b>										
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>				<b>COST</b>	<b>DESIGN</b>	<b>STATUS</b>		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>					<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>		
121	DESC0507	Replace Hydrant Fuel System				15,100	03/03	09/04		
<b>9. FUTURE PROJECTS:</b>										
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM										
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>					<b>COST</b>				
<u>CODE</u>						<u>(\$000)</u>				
None										
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS										
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>					<b>COST</b>				
<u>CODE</u>						<u>(\$000)</u>				
125	Replace Bulk Fuel Transfer Pipeline (FY 2008)					5,000				
<b>10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION</b>										
These fuel facilities provide essential storage and distribution systems to support the missions of assigned units and transient aircraft at Travis Air Force Base, California.										
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for fuel facilities at this location is \$766,000.										
<b>11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:</b>										
A. AIR POLLUTION										0
B. WATER POLLUTION										0
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH										0



<b>1. Component</b> DEFENSE (DLA)	<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>	<b>2. Date</b> FEB 04
--------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

<b>3. Installation and Location:</b> TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA	<b>4. Project Title</b> REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM
---	--

<b>5. Program Element</b> 702976S	<b>6. Category Code</b> 121	<b>7. Project Number</b> DESC0507	<b>8. Project Cost (\$000)</b> 15,100
--------------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--

ADDITIONAL: An analysis of the status quo versus replacement construction concluded that replacement of the existing system is the only feasible alternative to accomplish the refueling mission. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

**12. Supplemental Data:**

A. Estimated Design Data:

1. Status

- (a) Date Design Started: 03/03
- (b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No): YES
- (c) Percent Completed as of January 2004: 35
- (d) Date 35 Percent Completed: 06/03
- (e) Date Design Complete: 09/04
- (f) Type of Design Contract: Design/Bid/Build

2. Basis

- (a) Standard or Definitive Design: YES
- (b) Date Design was Most Recently Used: 09/02

3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)

- (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 525
- (b) All Other Design Costs 345
- (c) Total 870
- (d) Contract 0
- (e) In-House 870

4. Contract Award 01/05

5. Construction Start 02/05

6. Construction Completion 02/07

B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

<b>1. COMPONENT</b>  <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM</b>						<b>2. DATE</b>  <b>FEB 04</b>			
<b>3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION</b>  <b>FLEET AND INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII</b>				<b>4. COMMAND</b>  <b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>						<b>5. AREA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX</b>  <b>1.66</b>	
<b>6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH</b>		<b>PERMANENT</b>			<b>STUDENTS</b>			<b>SUPPORTED</b>			<b>TOTAL</b>
Tenant of USN		<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	
a. AS OF											
b. END FY											
<b>7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)</b>											
A. TOTAL ACREAGE											
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF											
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY											
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM											3,500
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											68,069
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY											
H. GRAND TOTAL											71,569
<b>8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:</b>											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>					<b>COST</b>	<b>DESIGN</b>	<b>STATUS</b>		
<b>CODE</b>	<b>NUMBER</b>						<b>(\$000)</b>	<b>START</b>	<b>COMPLETE</b>		
411	DESC0462	Multi-Product Interface Tank					3,500	01/03	06/04		
<b>9. FUTURE PROJECTS:</b>											
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>						<b>COST</b>				
<b>CODE</b>							<b>(\$000)</b>				
None											
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>						<b>COST</b>				
<b>CODE</b>							<b>(\$000)</b>				
125	Replace Kuahua Pipeline (FY 2008)						13,069				
411	Replace Five Fuel Storage Tanks (Phase 1) (FY 2008)						30,000				
411	Replace Five Fuel Storage Tanks (Phase 2) (FY 2009)						25,000				
<b>10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION</b>											
These fuel facilities provide essential storage and distribution systems to support the missions of assigned units at Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, and the Pacific Fleet.											
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for fuel facilities at this location is \$27.9 million.											
<b>11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:</b>											
A. AIR POLLUTION											0
B. WATER POLLUTION											0
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH											0



1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>	<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>		2. Date <b>FEB 04</b>																																																																												
3. Installation and Location: <b>FLEET AND INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER (FISC), PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII</b>		4. Project Title <b>MULTI-PRODUCT INTERFACE TANK</b>																																																																													
5. Program Element <b>701111S</b>	6. Category Code <b>411</b>	7. Project Number <b>DESC0462</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>3,500</b>																																																																												
<p>refinery switches pipeline delivery of these two products. Manually blending these fuels risks contamination of millions of gallons of diesel fuel with jet fuel that has different physical and performance specifications. In addition, by limiting the number of tanker deliveries, the danger of potential environmental contamination during fuel transfer at the pier will be significantly reduced.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, the Department of Defense (DoD) will forego an annual cost avoidance of more than \$3.2 million. The practice of manually blending diesel and JP-8 jet fuels during product changeover will remain a continuing threat of causing off-specification diesel fuel. Mission readiness could be negatively affected if this operation contaminates the stock of diesel fuel stored at the base.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: An analysis of the status quo versus new construction concluded that the proposed project would achieve a savings-to-investment ratio of greater than 14 with a discounted payback period of less than 4 years. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components.</p>																																																																															
<p><b>12. Supplemental Data:</b></p> <p>A. Estimated Design Data:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td colspan="4">1. Status</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>01/03</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Completed as of January 2004:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>35</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>04/03</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>06/04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Design/Bid/Build</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">2. Basis</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>N/A</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>200</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>175</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>375</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>300</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>75</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4. Contract Award</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>12/04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5. Construction Start</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>01/05</td> </tr> <tr> <td>6. Construction Completion</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>04/06</td> </tr> </table> <p>B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534</p>				1. Status				(a) Date Design Started:			01/03	(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):			NO	(c) Percent Completed as of January 2004:			35	(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:			04/03	(e) Date Design Complete:			06/04	(f) Type of Design Contract:			Design/Bid/Build	2. Basis				(a) Standard or Definitive Design:			NO	(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:			N/A	3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)				(a) Production of Plans and Specifications			200	(b) All Other Design Costs			175	(c) Total			375	(d) Contract			300	(e) In-House			75	4. Contract Award			12/04	5. Construction Start			01/05	6. Construction Completion			04/06
1. Status																																																																															
(a) Date Design Started:			01/03																																																																												
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):			NO																																																																												
(c) Percent Completed as of January 2004:			35																																																																												
(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:			04/03																																																																												
(e) Date Design Complete:			06/04																																																																												
(f) Type of Design Contract:			Design/Bid/Build																																																																												
2. Basis																																																																															
(a) Standard or Definitive Design:			NO																																																																												
(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:			N/A																																																																												
3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)																																																																															
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications			200																																																																												
(b) All Other Design Costs			175																																																																												
(c) Total			375																																																																												
(d) Contract			300																																																																												
(e) In-House			75																																																																												
4. Contract Award			12/04																																																																												
5. Construction Start			01/05																																																																												
6. Construction Completion			04/06																																																																												



<b>1. COMPONENT</b>  <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM</b>						<b>2. DATE</b>  <b>FEB 04</b>		
<b>3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION</b>  <b>MARINE CORPS AIR STATION (MCAS), CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA</b>			<b>4. COMMAND</b>  <b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>				<b>5. AREA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX</b> <b>0.96</b>			
<b>6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH</b>		<b>PERMANENT</b>			<b>STUDENTS</b>			<b>SUPPORTED</b>		<b>TOTAL</b>
Tenant of USMC		<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>
a. AS OF										
b. END FY										
<b>7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)</b>										
A. TOTAL ACREAGE										
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF										
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY										
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM <span style="float: right;">22,700</span>										
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM										
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS										
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY										
H. GRAND TOTAL <span style="float: right;">22,700</span>										
<b>8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:</b>										
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>				<b>COST</b>	<b>DESIGN</b>	<b>STATUS</b>		
<b>CODE</b>	<b>NUMBER</b>					<b>(\$000)</b>	<b>START</b>	<b>COMPLETE</b>		
121	DESC0501	Replace Hydrant Fuel System				22,700	01/03	09/04		
<b>9. FUTURE PROJECTS:</b>										
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM										
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>					<b>COST</b>				
<b>CODE</b>						<b>(\$000)</b>				
None										
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS										
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>					<b>COST</b>				
<b>CODE</b>						<b>(\$000)</b>				
None										
<b>10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION</b>										
These fuel facilities provide essential storage and distribution systems to support the missions of assigned units and transient aircraft at Marine Corps Air Station, Cherry Point, North Carolina.										
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for fuel facilities at this location is \$2.9 million.										
<b>11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:</b>										
A. AIR POLLUTION <span style="float: right;">0</span>										
B. WATER POLLUTION <span style="float: right;">0</span>										
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH <span style="float: right;">0</span>										



<b>1. Component</b> <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>	<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>		<b>2. Date</b> <b>FEB 04</b>																										
<b>3. Installation and Location:</b> <b>MARINE CORPS AIR STATION (MCAS).</b> <b>CHERRY POINT, NORTH CAROLINA</b>		<b>4. Project Title</b> <b>REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM</b>																											
<b>5. Program Element</b> <b>702976S</b>	<b>6. Category Code</b> <b>121</b>	<b>7. Project Number</b> <b>DESC0501</b>	<b>8. Project Cost (\$000)</b> <b>22,700</b>																										
<p>is part of the corrective action plan to resolve the 2000 NOV. The base currently operates this system at only 25 percent of its rated capacity to keep pipeline pressures within acceptable limits. Manual operations are needed to throttle system controls to run in this manner. This extra work diverts the labor force from other tasks. Replacement of the rail fuel unload facility will provide proper spill containment for the typical 20 rail cars delivering fuel to the base on a recurring basis. The existing 60-year-old unload station can only accommodate 14 rail cars with a containment system too small for the larger rail cars in use.</p> <p><b>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</b> If this project is not provided, further deterioration and failure of these fuel receipt and delivery systems will increase the risk of a complete shutdown of these systems by state regulators. Disruption of aircraft refueling will have a severely negative impact to MCAS Cherry Point's mission readiness and role as a power projection platform.</p> <p><b>ADDITIONAL:</b> Because of the amount of deterioration, repairing the existing hydrant fuel system is infeasible. New construction of these facilities is the only practicable alternative. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components.</p>																													
<p><b>12. Supplemental Data:</b></p> <p>A. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>1. Status</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started:</td> <td>01/03</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Completed as of January 2004:</td> <td>35</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:</td> <td>06/03</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete:</td> <td>09/04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract:</td> <td>Design/Bid/Build</td> </tr> </table> <p>2. Basis</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design:</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:</td> <td>09/02</td> </tr> </table> <p>3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>780</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>520</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>1,300</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>1,040</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td>260</td> </tr> </table> <p>4. Contract Award: 01/05</p> <p>5. Construction Start: 02/05</p> <p>6. Construction Completion: 02/07</p> <p>B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534</p>				(a) Date Design Started:	01/03	(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):	YES	(c) Percent Completed as of January 2004:	35	(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:	06/03	(e) Date Design Complete:	09/04	(f) Type of Design Contract:	Design/Bid/Build	(a) Standard or Definitive Design:	YES	(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:	09/02	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	780	(b) All Other Design Costs	520	(c) Total	1,300	(d) Contract	1,040	(e) In-House	260
(a) Date Design Started:	01/03																												
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):	YES																												
(c) Percent Completed as of January 2004:	35																												
(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:	06/03																												
(e) Date Design Complete:	09/04																												
(f) Type of Design Contract:	Design/Bid/Build																												
(a) Standard or Definitive Design:	YES																												
(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:	09/02																												
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	780																												
(b) All Other Design Costs	520																												
(c) Total	1,300																												
(d) Contract	1,040																												
(e) In-House	260																												

<b>1. COMPONENT</b>  <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM</b>					<b>2. DATE</b>  <b>FEB 04</b>			
<b>3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATIONS</b>  <b>DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER COLUMBUS (DSCC), OHIO</b>			<b>4. COMMAND</b>  <b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>			<b>5. AREA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX</b>  <b>0.98</b>				
<b>6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH</b>		<b>PERMANENT</b>		<b>STUDENTS</b>			<b>SUPPORTED</b>		<b>TOTAL</b>	
		<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>			
A. AS OF 30 Sep 2003		33	6	2,352	0	0	0	0	2,391	
B. END FY 2008		33	6	2,273	0	0	0	0	2,312	
<b>7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)</b>										
A. TOTAL ACREAGE		551 ACRES								
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF SEP 2003										244,047
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY										
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM										5,500
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM										0
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS										9,140
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY										
H. GRAND TOTAL										258,687
<b>8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:</b>										
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>			<b>COST</b>	<b>DESIGN</b>	<b>STATUS</b>			
<u>CODE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>				<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>			
742	DSCC0301	Replace Physical Fitness Facility			5,500	03/01	11/02			
<b>9. FUTURE PROJECTS:</b>										
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM										
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>				<b>COST</b>					
<u>CODE</u>					<u>(\$000)</u>					
None										
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS										
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>				<b>COST</b>					
<u>CODE</u>					<u>(\$000)</u>					
823	Decentralize Heat Plant (FY 2008)				5,140					
724	Replace Community/Lodging Center (FY 2008)				4,000					
<b>10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION</b>										
The Defense Supply Center Columbus (DSCC) organizes, directs, and accomplishes the management of supplies in assigned federal groups and provides supply support of decentralized and non-cataloged items to the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marines. DSCC also supports tenant activities on the installation including the DLA Defense Distribution Depot Columbus (DDCO), Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS), and other Department of Defense tenants.										
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization at this location is \$22.0 million.										
<b>11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:</b>										
A.	AIR POLLUTION								0	
B.	WATER POLLUTION								0	
C.	OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH								0	

<b>1. Component</b> DEFENSE (DLA)		<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>			<b>2. Date</b> FEB 04			
<b>3. Installation and Location</b> DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER COLUMBUS (DSCC) OHIO				<b>4. Project Title</b> REPLACE PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY				
<b>5. Program Element</b> 702976S		<b>6. Category Code</b> 742	<b>7. Project Number</b> DSCC0301		<b>8. Project Cost (\$000)</b> 5,500			
<b>9. COST ESTIMATES</b>								
Item					U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES.....					-	-	-	3,972
PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY.....(29,000 SF)					m <sup>2</sup>	2,695	1,474	(3,972)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.....					-	-	-	960
SITE PREPARATION AND IMPROVEMENTS.....					LS	-	-	(410)
SITE UTILITIES.....					LS	-	-	(500)
ANTI-TERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION.....					LS	-	-	(50)
SUBTOTAL.....					-	-	-	4,932
CONTINGENCY (5%).....					-	-	-	<u>247</u>
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST.....					-	-	-	5,179
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.0%).....					-	-	-	<u>311</u>
TOTAL REQUEST.....					-	-	-	5,490
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED).....					-	-	-	5,500
<b>10. Description of Proposed Construction:</b> Construct a physical fitness center to include multi-purpose court, three racquetball courts, indoor running track mezzanine, aerobics room, weight-training area, administrative and storage space, and locker and shower facilities. Work includes site improvements such as driveways, sidewalks, landscaping, and site utilities. Anti-terrorism protective measures and access for the handicapped will be provided.								
<b>11. REQUIREMENT:</b> 2,695 square meters (m <sup>2</sup> ) <b>ADEQUATE:</b> 0 m <sup>2</sup> <b>SUBSTANDARD:</b> 3,135 m <sup>2</sup>								
PROJECT: Replace an existing physical fitness facility. (C)								
REQUIREMENT: There is a need to relocate the existing fitness center, located in a converted World War II warehouse, so this 26,734 m <sup>2</sup> (287,763 square-foot) building may be vacated and demolished by separate action to reduce DoD facilities infrastructure. The relocation of this function and subsequent demolition of unneeded warehouses are part of the installation master plan, driven by a reduction of depot operations and transformation of the installation to a mostly administrative complex. Consequently, 95 percent of the installation's population has moved over the past five years to administrative facilities more than a mile away from the current fitness center. In addition, as part of this plan, an obsolete central heat plant serving warehouses at the depot is scheduled for demolition in FY 2008. When this occurs, the center would have to install an expensive individual heating system in the existing fitness facility to keep it in operation. This facility serves more than 8,000 military personnel, dependents, and government civilians at this location. The proposed center will be constructed across the street from two recently built administrative buildings providing more than one million square feet of office space.								
CURRENT SITUATION: DSCC currently uses 3,135 m <sup>2</sup> (33,746 SF) of a converted warehouse, constructed in 1942, to house its physical fitness facility. As the only remaining occupant in this mostly vacant building, the fitness center is expensive to maintain and no longer situated to support conveniently the personnel who use it. Conversion of this part of the warehouse began over 20 years ago. As a result, electrical power, ventilation, plumbing, and fire protection systems are aging and more costly to maintain. In addition, the facility is not accessible to the physically handicapped.								
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, DSCC will be forced to sustain its fitness center in a vacant building for which it has no other use and at a location that detracts from a quality work environment at this installation. Aging building systems will continue to be costly to maintain, and additional funds for a new heating system and personnel accessibility will be spent on a marginal facility.								

<b>1. Component</b> DEFENSE (DLA)	<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>		<b>2. Date</b> FEB 04
<b>3. Installation and Location:</b> DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER COLUMBUS (DSCC) OHIO		<b>4. Project Title</b> REPLACE PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY	
<b>5. Program Element</b> 702976S	<b>6. Category Code</b> 742	<b>7. Project Number</b> DSCC0301	<b>8. Project Cost (\$000)</b> 5,500
ADDITIONAL: This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency certifies that this facility is suitable for joint use by other components.			
<b>12. Supplemental Data:</b>			
A. Estimated Design Data:			
1. Status			
(a) Date Design Started:		03/01	
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):		NO	
(c) Percent Completed as of January 2004:		100	
(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:		07/01	
(e) Date Design Complete:		11/02	
(f) Type of Design Contract:		Design/Bid/Build	
2. Basis			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design:		NO	
(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:		N/A	
3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		300	
(b) All Other Design Costs		270	
(c) Total		570	
(d) Contract		455	
(e) In-House		115	
4. Contract Award		12/04	
5. Construction Start		01/05	
6. Construction Completion		03/06	
B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None			

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

<b>1. Component</b> <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM</b>						<b>2. DATE</b> <b>FEB 04</b>			
<b>3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION</b> <b>TINKER AIR FORCE BASE,</b> <b>OKLAHOMA</b>				<b>4. COMMAND</b> <b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>						<b>5. AREA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX</b> <b>0.91</b>	
<b>6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH</b>		<b>PERMANENT</b>			<b>STUDENTS</b>			<b>SUPPORTED</b>			<b>TOTAL</b>
Tenant of USAF		<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	
a. AS OF											
b. END FY											
<b>7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)</b>											
A. TOTAL ACREAGE											
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF											
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY											
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM											5,400
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY											
H. GRAND TOTAL											5,400
<b>8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:</b>											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>					<b>COST</b>	<b>DESIGN</b>	<b>STATUS</b>		
<b>CODE</b>	<b>NUMBER</b>						<b>(\$000)</b>	<b>START</b>	<b>COMPLETE</b>		
121	DESC0607	Add/Alter Hydrant Fuel System					5,400	04/03	09/04		
<b>9. FUTURE PROJECTS:</b>											
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>					<b>COST</b>					
<b>CODE</b>						<b>(\$000)</b>					
None											
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>					<b>COST</b>					
<b>CODE</b>						<b>(\$000)</b>					
None											
<b>10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION</b>											
These fuel facilities provide essential storage and distribution systems to support the missions of assigned units and transient aircraft at Tinker Air Force Base, Oklahoma.											
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for fuel facilities at this location is \$4.0 million.											
<b>11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:</b>											
A. AIR POLLUTION											0
B. WATER POLLUTION											0
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH											0

<b>1. Component</b> <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>	<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>	<b>2. Date</b> <b>FEB 04</b>
---	---	---------------------------------

<b>3. Installation and Location</b> <b>TINKER AIR FORCE BASE</b> <b>OKLAHOMA</b>	<b>4. Project Title</b> <b>ADD/ALTER HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM</b>
--	---

<b>5. Program Element</b> <b>702976S</b>	<b>6. Category Code</b> <b>121</b>	<b>7. Project Number</b> <b>DESC0607</b>	<b>8. Project Cost (\$000)</b> <b>5,400</b>
---	---------------------------------------	---	--

<b>9. COST ESTIMATES</b>				
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES.....	-	-	-	3,300
HYDRANT OUTLETS AND FUEL PIPING (8 OUTLETS).....	LS	-	-	(2,000)
FUEL STORAGE TANK (795 kL / 5,000 BARRELS).....	LS	-	-	(700)
PUMP STATION AND SHELTER.....	LS	-	-	(600)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.....	-	-	-	1,550
SITE PREPARATION AND IMPROVEMENTS.....	LS	-	-	(700)
MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL UTILITIES.....	LS	-	-	(600)
GENERATOR AND ENCLOSURE.....	LS	-	-	(150)
DEMOLITION.....	LS	-	-	(50)
OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE SUPPORT INFORMATION.....	LS	-	-	(50)
SUBTOTAL.....	-	-	-	4,850
CONTINGENCY (5%).....	-	-	-	<u>243</u>
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST.....	-	-	-	5,093
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.0%).....	-	-	-	<u>306</u>
TOTAL REQUEST.....	-	-	-	5,399
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED).....	-	-	-	5,400

**10. Description of Proposed Construction:** Provide a modified hydrant fuel system with four fuel and four defuel hydrant outlets in associated lateral control pits, pump shelter and control room, one 795-kiloliter (kL) (5,000-barrel) steel fuel storage tank, and fuel distribution pipeline. Work includes leak detection system, cathodic protection, utility and sewer connections, electrical transformer, and emergency generator. Demolish two existing lateral control pits and two hydrant pits and associated piping. Provide operations and maintenance support information.

**11. REQUIREMENT:** 8 Outlets (OL)                      **ADEQUATE:** 0 OL                      **SUBSTANDARD:** 2 OL

**PROJECT:** Add to and alter an existing hydrant fuel system to meet current mission requirements. (C)

**REQUIREMENT:** There is a need to provide an efficient and environmentally safe means to fuel or defuel up to four wide-bodied aircraft simultaneously. When these aircraft arrive for programmed depot maintenance (PDM) at the Oklahoma Air Logistics Center, their fuel tanks must be emptied (defueled) and purged of fuel vapors before maintenance activities may begin. This project provides an operationally efficient means of defueling these aircraft upon arrival and refueling them during testing and at departure. In addition, the new system reduces the risk of fuel spills compared with the labor-intensive defueling of these large aircraft into refueler trucks. This hydrant system supports the depot maintenance of B-1, B-52, KC-135, and E-3 aircraft.

**CURRENT SITUATION:** The existing system is antiquated and reduces productivity because it can only fuel or defuel one aircraft at a time. Current defueling and fueling operations are conducted at different locations on the parking apron. When aircraft arrive for PDM, they are defueled one at a time into refueler trucks, which must cycle to and from the bulk storage tanks three miles away to unload this fuel. After defueling, these aircraft must be towed to another location on the apron to have their fuel tanks purged of fuel vapors. This labor- and equipment-intensive process is inefficient, costly, and environmentally risky because of the frequent transfer of fuel to trucks. In contrast, the proposed project will allow simultaneous operations on four aircraft and eliminate towing aircraft between sites.



<b>1. Component</b> DEFENSE (DLA)	<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>		<b>2. Date</b> FEB 04
<b>3. Installation and Location:</b> TINKER AIR FORCE BASE OKLAHOMA		<b>4. Project Title</b> ADD/ALTER HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM	
<b>5. Program Element</b> 702976S	<b>6. Category Code</b> 121	<b>7. Project Number</b> DESC0607	<b>8. Project Cost (\$000)</b> 5,400
<p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, productivity at the Oklahoma Air Logistics Center will continue to be adversely affected by an outdated fuel system that lacks the capability of efficiently handling large, wide-bodied aircraft. The environmental risk of a potential fuel spill will remain unmitigated.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis comparing adding to and altering the current hydrant system versus continuing status quo operations concluded that the proposed project was the more cost effective alternative. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components.</p>			
<b>12. Supplemental Data:</b>			
A. Estimated Design Data:			
1. Status			
(a) Date Design Started:			04/03
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):			NO
(c) Percent Completed as of January 2004:			35
(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:			07/03
(e) Date Design Complete:			09/04
(f) Type of Design Contract:			Design/Bid/Build
2. Basis			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design:			NO
(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:			N/A
3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications			240
(b) All Other Design Costs			160
(c) Total			400
(d) Contract			320
(e) In-House			80
4. Contract Award			01/05
5. Construction Start			02/05
6. Construction Completion			08/06
B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None			
Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534			

<b>1. COMPONENT</b> <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM</b>						<b>2. DATE</b> <b>FEB 04</b>			
<b>3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION</b> <b>DDSP, NEW CUMBERLAND, PENNSYLVANIA</b>			<b>4. COMMAND</b> <b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>						<b>5. AREA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX</b> <b>0.93</b>		
<b>6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH</b>		<b>PERMANENT</b>			<b>STUDENTS</b>			<b>SUPPORTED</b>			<b>TOTAL</b>
		<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	
a. AS OF 30 SEP 2003		14	11	1,653	0	0	0	201	0	663	2,542
b. END FY 2008		11	10	1,600	0	0	0	190	0	600	2,411
<b>7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)</b>											
A. TOTAL ACREAGE 848 ACRES											
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF 3,761,311											
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY 24,600											
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM 22,300											
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM 6,500											
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS 84,016											
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY 90,000											
H. GRAND TOTAL 3,988,727											
<b>8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:</b>											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>					<b>COST</b>	<b>DESIGN</b>	<b>STATUS</b>		
<b>CODE</b>	<b>NUMBER</b>						<b>(\$000)</b>	<b>START</b>	<b>COMPLETE</b>		
219	DDCX0501	Consolidated Maintenance Facility					22,300	04/03	09/04		
<b>9. FUTURE PROJECTS:</b>											
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>						<b>COST</b>				
<b>CODE</b>							<b>(\$000)</b>				
724	Replace Lodging Facility						6,500				
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>						<b>COST</b>				
<b>CODE</b>							<b>(\$000)</b>				
740	Replace Physical Fitness Facility (FY 2007)						5,300				
841	Elevated Water Storage Tank (FY 2008)						3,416				
441	Bulk Warehouse (FY 2008)						30,000				
441	Logistics Operations Warehouse (FY 2008)						17,000				
441	Bulk Sheds (FY 2009)						8,300				
610	DDC Headquarters Building (FY 2009)						20,000				
<b>10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION:</b>											
<p>Defense Distribution Depot Susquehanna (DDSP) is responsible for receiving, storing, issuing, and shipping Department of Defense-owned commodities to all branches of the Armed Forces, as well as supporting other Federal agencies. Among the commodities are medical materiel, clothing and text files; subsistence; and industrial, construction, and electronic parts required for maintenance support of Armed Forces equipment. DDSP is the home of the Eastern Distribution Center, a 148,600 square meter (1.6 million square feet) automated materiel processing center that services CONUS and overseas customers.</p> <p>Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization at this installation is \$50.7 million.</p>											
<b>11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:</b>											
A. AIR POLLUTION								0			
B. WATER POLLUTION								0			
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH								0			

<b>1. Component</b> DEFENSE (DLA)		<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>			<b>2. Date</b> FEB 04			
<b>3. Installation and Location</b> DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT SUSQUEHANNA (DDSP), NEW CUMBERLAND, PENNSYLVANIA				<b>4. Project Title</b> CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE FACILITY				
<b>5. Program Element</b> 702976S		<b>6. Category Code</b> 219	<b>7. Project Number</b> DDCX0501		<b>8. Project Cost (\$000)</b> 22,300			
<b>9. COST ESTIMATES</b>								
Item					U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES.....					-	-	-	17,186
CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE FACILITY.....(181,000 SF)					m <sup>2</sup>	16,815	855	(14,377)
PRODUCT TESTING CENTER.....(15,000 SF)					m <sup>2</sup>	1,394	1,208	(1,684)
COVERED PARKING.....					LS	-	-	(770)
TRACTOR AND SALT SHEDS.....					LS	-	-	(280)
WASH BAY.....					LS	-	-	(75)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.....					-	-	-	2,810
SITE PREPARATION AND IMPROVEMENTS.....					LS	-	-	(1,200)
SITE UTILITIES.....					LS	-	-	(610)
DEMOLITION.....					LS	-	-	(1,000)
SUBTOTAL.....					-	-	-	19,996
CONTINGENCY (5%).....					-	-	-	<u>1,000</u>
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST.....					-	-	-	20,996
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.0%).....					-	-	-	<u>1,260</u>
TOTAL REQUEST.....					-	-	-	22,256
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED).....					-	-	-	22,300
EQUIPMENT FUNDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD).....					-	-	-	(700)
<p><b>10. Description of Proposed Construction:</b> Construct a 16,815 m<sup>2</sup> (181,000 SF) maintenance facility to consolidate eight facilities engineering and vehicle maintenance functions. Typical clear height will be 6.1 meters (m) (20 feet) with clearance in three large-vehicle maintenance bays at 7.62 m (25 feet). Built-in equipment includes hoists and bridge cranes, compressed air piping, dust collection system, oil and grease delivery system, and vehicle exhaust ventilation system. Provide connection to all needed utilities. Work includes separate fuel dispensing station, wash bay, tractor shed, and a salt storage shed. Provide open and covered parking for installation vehicles and parking for employees. Construct a separate 1,394 m<sup>2</sup> (15,000 SF) product testing center with a clear height of 5.18 m (17 feet.). Demolish 14 existing structures at 26,775 m<sup>2</sup> (288,200 SF) occupied by these functions.</p>								
<p><b>11. REQUIREMENT:</b> 18,209 m<sup>2</sup>                      ADEQUATE: 0 m<sup>2</sup>                      SUBSTANDARD: 26,775 m<sup>2</sup></p> <p>PROJECT: Construct a modern maintenance facility to consolidate base support functions and reduce obsolete infrastructure. (C)</p> <p>REQUIREMENT: There is a need to consolidate eight facilities-maintenance functions now scattered in 14 various World War I and II-era buildings and sheds. This project will improve productivity and efficiency and eliminate costly, deteriorated plant infrastructure. Adequate work space for 219 employees will be provided for facilities engineering shops and engineering staff, base supply, road and grounds, motor pool, vehicle maintenance, and a separate product testing center. Supporting facilities include sufficient open and covered parking for the numerous service vehicles, trucks, construction equipment, and forklifts assigned or serviced at this facility. Fuel dispensing facilities, wash rack, a tractor shed, and a salt storage shed will also be provided. A separate product testing center, supporting the DLA logistics mission, will be constructed to replace space impacted by the planned demolition.</p> <p>CURRENT SITUATION: Eight facilities engineering and vehicle maintenance activities provide essential base support from 14 separate facilities on the installation that range from 60 to 85 years old. The largest of these facilities is a 21,461 m<sup>2</sup> (231,000 SF) wooden World War I warehouse that provides inadequate safety clearances, ventilation, and fire protection to meet current building codes for the vehicle maintenance and shop work performed inside. The other building and sheds, totaling 5,314 m<sup>2</sup> (57,200 SF),</p>								

<b>1. Component</b> <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>	<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>	<b>2. Date</b> <b>FEB 04</b>	
<b>3. Installation and Location:</b> <b>DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT SUSQUEHANNA (DDSP), NEW CUMBERLAND, PENNSYLVANIA</b>		<b>4. Project Title</b> <b>CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE FACILITY</b>	
<b>5. Program Element</b> <b>702976S</b>	<b>6. Category Code</b> <b>219</b>	<b>7. Project Number</b> <b>DDCX0501</b>	<b>8. Project Cost (\$000)</b> <b>22,300</b>

are in various states of deterioration, but are used due to a lack of suitable alternate space. In some cases, functional units are divided into two or more buildings. As a result, communication, coordination, and staff supervision, between and within departments, are difficult and inefficient due to the distance between supervisors and workers. All 14 of these deteriorated structures will be demolished as part of this project in support of Department of Defense infrastructure recapitalization goals and the DDSP installation master plan.

**IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:** If this project is not provided, DDSP will continue to perform essential facilities engineering and vehicle maintenance activities from obsolete buildings that fail to meet current building-code standards. Worker health, safety, and productivity will remain at risk from a substandard working environment. Costly facilities operation, sustainment, and restoration of these deteriorated buildings will divert scarce infrastructure resources that could be better invested in other urgent needs.

**ADDITIONAL:** An analysis of the status quo versus new construction concluded that the proposed project is the only feasible alternative to meet mission requirements. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components.

**12. Supplemental Data:**

**A. Estimated Design Data:**

1. Status

- (a) Date Design Started: 04/03
- (b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No): YES
- (c) Percent Completed as of January 2004: 35
- (d) Date 35 Percent Completed: 07/03
- (e) Date Design Complete: 09/04
- (f) Type of Design Contract: Design/Bid/Build

2. Basis

- (a) Standard or Definitive Design: NO
- (b) Date Design was Most Recently Used: N/A

3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)

- (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 720
- (b) All Other Design Costs 480
- (c) Total 1,200
- (d) Contract 960
- (e) In-House 240

- 4. Contract Award 02/05
- 5. Construction Start 03/05
- 6. Construction Completion 03/07

**B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations:**

<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>APPROPRIATION</u>	<u>FISCAL YEAR REQUIRED</u>	<u>AMOUNT (\$000)</u>
Storage Aids	DWCF	2005	700

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

<b>1. COMPONENT</b>  <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM</b>						<b>2. DATE</b>  <b>FEB 04</b>			
<b>3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION</b>  <b>NAVAL AIR STATION (NAS) KINGSVILLE, TEXAS</b>				<b>4. COMMAND</b>  <b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>				<b>5. AREA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX</b> <b>0.91</b>			
<b>6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH</b>		<b>PERMANENT</b>			<b>STUDENTS</b>			<b>SUPPORTED</b>			<b>TOTAL</b>
Tenant of USN		<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	
a. AS OF											
b. END FY											
<b>7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)</b>											
A. TOTAL ACREAGE											
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF											
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY											9,200
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM											3,900
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY											
H. GRAND TOTAL											13,100
<b>8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:</b>											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>					<b>COST</b>	<b>DESIGN</b>	<b>STATUS</b>		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>						<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>		
124	DESC0560	Replace Jet Fuel Storage Tank					3,900	12/02	09/04		
<b>9. FUTURE PROJECTS:</b>											
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>						<b>COST</b>				
<u>CODE</u>							<u>(\$000)</u>				
None											
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>						<b>COST</b>				
<u>CODE</u>							<u>(\$000)</u>				
None											
<b>10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION</b>											
These fuel facilities provide essential storage and distribution systems to support the missions of assigned units at Naval Air Station, Kingsville, Texas.											
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for fuel facilities at this location is \$360,000.											
<b>11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:</b>											
A. AIR POLLUTION											0
B. WATER POLLUTION											0
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH											0

<b>1. Component</b> <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>	<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>	<b>2. Date</b> <b>FEB 04</b>
---	---	---------------------------------

<b>3. Installation and Location</b> <b>NAVAL AIR STATION (NAS) KINGSVILLE, TEXAS</b>	<b>4. Project Title</b> <b>REPLACE JET FUEL STORAGE TANK</b>
---	---

<b>5. Program Element</b> <b>702976S</b>	<b>6. Category Code</b> <b>124</b>	<b>7. Project Number</b> <b>DESC0560</b>	<b>8. Project Cost (\$000)</b> <b>3,900</b>
---	---------------------------------------	---	--

**9. COST ESTIMATES**

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES .....	-	-	-	2,780
FUEL STORAGE TANK (3,180 kL / 20,000 BARRELS).....	LS	-	-	(1,620)
SPECIAL FOUNDATIONS.....	LS	-	-	(280)
FUEL OPERATIONS BUILDING.....	LS	-	-	(320)
CORROSION CONTROL CANOPIES.....	LS	-	-	(460)
FUEL DISTRIBUTION PIPING.....	LS	-	-	(100)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.....	-	-	-	720
SITE PREPARATION AND IMPROVEMENTS.....	LS	-	-	(80)
MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL UTILITIES.....	LS	-	-	(90)
DEMOLITION.....	LS	-	-	(510)
OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE SUPPORT INFORMATION.....	LS	-	-	(40)
SUBTOTAL.....	-	-	-	3,500
CONTINGENCY (5%).....	-	-	-	<u>175</u>
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST.....	-	-	-	3,675
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.0%).....	-	-	-	<u>221</u>
TOTAL REQUEST.....	-	-	-	3,896
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED).....	-	-	-	3,900

**10. Description of Proposed Construction:** Construct a steel, aboveground fuel-storage tank of 3,180-kiloliter (kL) (20,000-barrel) capacity, concrete containment dikes, fuel operations building, and corrosion control canopies over existing fuel fillstands. Work includes fuel distribution piping, cathodic protection systems, fire protection, controls and alarms, automatic tank gauging, utility and sewer connections, and site preparation and improvements. Provide operations and maintenance support information. Demolish the existing storage complex of underground and aboveground tanks, piping, and associated support facilities.

**11. REQUIREMENT:** 9,540 kL                      **ADEQUATE:** 6,360 kL                      **SUBSTANDARD:** 5,612 kL

**PROJECT:** Replace jet-fuel storage tanks and distribution system. (C)

**REQUIREMENT:** There is a need to replace a failing jet-fuel storage complex at NAS Kingsville. To support the station's mission of naval pilot training and to meet Department of Defense fuel inventory requirements at this location, a fuel storage facility of 9,540-kL (60,000-barrels) capacity is essential. This project is the second of two projects to provide the vital storage tanks, fuel receipt facilities, and refueler-truck distribution system to support the flight line refueling of aircraft. The first project, approved in the DLA FY 2004 MILCON program, provided 6,380 kL (40,000 barrels) of fuel storage and fuel loading and unloading systems. The existing fuel storage complex will be demolished in coordination with an ongoing environmental remediation project. Four underground tanks and one aboveground tank of 5,612-kL (35,300-barrel) total capacity will be removed with their supporting pipelines and other facilities.

**CURRENT SITUATION:** The capability of NAS Kingsville to meet its mission responsibilities has been severely jeopardized by the closure of three of its five jet-fuel storage tanks due to their deterioration and leaking of fuel into the ground. The underground tanks are more than 50 years old; the one aboveground tank is more than 30 years old. This storage site has been formally

<b>1. Component</b> DEFENSE (DLA)	<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>	<b>2. Date</b> FEB 04
--------------------------------------	---	--------------------------

<b>3. Installation and Location:</b> NAVAL AIR STATION (NAS) KINGSVILLE, TEXAS	<b>4. Project Title</b> REPLACE JET FUEL STORAGE TANK
---	--

<b>5. Program Element</b> 702976S	<b>6. Category Code</b> 124	<b>7. Project Number</b> DESC0560	<b>8. Project Cost (\$000)</b> 3,900
--------------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

identified as contaminated by the State of Texas. The Texas Natural Resources Conservation Commission has listed these tanks in their Leaking Petroleum Storage Tank program. Environmental remediation of this site is ongoing through the Navy's Installation Restoration Program.

**IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:** If this project is not provided, NAS Kingsville might not be able to meet its assigned mission in the future due to the failure of its fuel storage facilities. Existing in-service tanks and underground piping will continue to age and deteriorate, posing a significant environmental and safety risk. Ongoing remediation efforts will be hampered by the potential for further contamination.

**ADDITIONAL:** An analysis of repairing the existing facilities versus constructing a new fuel storage complex concluded that construction at a new site was the only feasible alternative to accomplish the fueling mission. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components. This project is required to fund the remaining scope of work to construct a new jet-fuel storage complex at this installation. The FY 2004 project, inserted by Congress, was appropriated at \$9.2 million—too little to accomplish the full scope of the intended work.

**12. Supplemental Data:**

**A. Estimated Design Data:**

1. Status

- (a) Date Design Started: 12/02
- (b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No): YES
- (c) Percent Completed as of January 2004: 35
- (d) Date 35 Percent Completed: 06/03
- (e) Date Design Complete: 09/04
- (f) Type of Design Contract: Design/Bid/Build

2. Basis

- (a) Standard or Definitive Design: YES
- (b) Date Design was Most Recently Used: 09/02

3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)

- (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 140
- (b) All Other Design Costs 95
- (c) Total 235
- (d) Contract 190
- (e) In-House 45

4. Contract Award 11/04

5. Construction Start 11/04

6. Construction Completion 11/06

**B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None**

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

<b>1. COMPONENT</b>		<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM</b>						<b>2. DATE</b>			
<b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>								<b>FEB 04</b>			
<b>3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION</b>				<b>4. COMMAND</b>			<b>5. AREA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX</b>				
<b>DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER RICHMOND (DSCR), VIRGINIA</b>				<b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>			<b>0.91</b>				
<b>6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH</b>		<b>PERMANENT</b>			<b>STUDENTS</b>			<b>SUPPORTED</b>		<b>TOTAL</b>	
		<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	
a. AS OF 30 Sep 2003		36	8	3,318	0	0	60	8	1	618*	4,049*
b. END FY 2008		40	9	3,649	0	0	66	9	2	679*	4,454*
* Includes Contractors											
<b>7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)</b>											
A. TOTAL ACREAGE		633 ACRES									
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF SEP 2003		135,120									
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY		5,500									
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM		10,100									
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS		23,000									
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY											
H. GRAND TOTAL		173,720									
<b>8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:</b>											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT NUMBER</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>					<b>COST (\$000)</b>	<b>DESIGN START</b>	<b>STATUS COMPLETE</b>		
610	DSCR0401	Conference Center					3,600	02/02	07/04		
442	DSCR0502	Security Enhancements					6,500	01/03	0704		
<b>9. FUTURE PROJECTS:</b>											
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>						<b>COST (\$000)</b>				
<b>CODE</b>											
None											
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>						<b>COST (\$000)</b>				
<b>CODE</b>											
610	Consolidate Industrial Plant Equipment Admin (FY 2008)						10,300				
740	Replace Physical Fitness Facility (FY 2009)						5,800				
219	Replace Engineering Center (FY 2009)						6,900				
<b>10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION</b>											
The Defense Supply Center Richmond (DSCR) organizes, directs, and accomplishes the management of supplies in assigned Federal groups and provides supply support of decentralized and non-cataloged items to the U.S. and European areas. DSCR also supports tenant activities on the installation, including the DLA Defense Distribution Depot Richmond (DDRV).											
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization at this location is \$2.8 million.											
<b>11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:</b>											
A. AIR POLLUTION		0									
B. WATER POLLUTION		0									
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH		0									





<b>1. Component</b> <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>	<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>		<b>2. Date</b> <b>FEB 04</b>
<b>3. Installation and Location:</b> <b>DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER RICHMOND (DSCR), VIRGINIA</b>		<b>4. Project Title</b> <b>CONFERENCE CENTER</b>	
<b>5. Program Element</b> <b>702976S</b>	<b>6. Category Code</b> <b>610</b>	<b>7. Project Number</b> <b>DSCR0401</b>	<b>8. Project Cost (\$000)</b> <b>3,600</b>
<p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, DSCR will continue to incur costs to rent commercial conference space and lose productivity by employees traveling to remote sites for large meetings. Senior managers will continue to expend scarce resources conducting multiple meetings on the same subject in order to reach their intended audience. Vacant warehouse space in the new administrative section of the installation will remain underutilized.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility is suitable for joint use by other components.</p>			
<b>12. Supplemental Data:</b>			
A. Estimated Design Data:			
1. Status			
(a) Date Design Started:			02/02
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):			NO
(c) Percent Completed as of January 2004:			35
(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:			07/02
(e) Date Design Complete:			07/04
(f) Type of Design Contract:			Design/Bid/Build
2. Basis			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design:			NO
(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:			N/A
3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications			150
(b) All Other Design Costs			100
(c) Total			250
(d) Contract			200
(e) In-House			50
4. Contract Award			12/04
5. Construction Start			01/05
6. Construction Completion			01/06
B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations:			
<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>APPROPRIATION</u>	<u>FISCAL YEAR REQUIRED</u>	<u>AMOUNT (\$000)</u>
Audio/Visual Equipment	DWCF	2005	400
Telecommunication Equipment	DWCF	2005	70
Auditorium/Conference Furniture	DWCF	2005	105
Intrusion Detection System	DWCF	2006	<u>30</u>
			605

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534



<b>1. Component</b> DEFENSE (DLA)	<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>		<b>2. Date</b> FEB 04																										
<b>3. Installation and Location:</b> DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER RICHMOND (DSCR), VIRGINIA		<b>4. Project Title</b> SECURITY ENHANCEMENTS																											
<b>5. Program Element</b> 701111S	<b>6. Category Code</b> 442	<b>7. Project Number</b> DSCR0502	<b>8. Project Cost (\$000)</b> 6,500																										
<p>storage container. The existing mail center is located in a bay of a multi-functional administrative building within a complex of heavily occupied buildings. This location exposes employees to a potentially deadly risk if the mail center receives contaminated mail or a malicious package.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, security forces at DSCR will continue to be hampered by inadequate facilities to inspect incoming truck and automobile traffic and to process visitors. The existing mail center will continue to expose a majority of DSCR's employees to serious risk.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: Because the mail center must be isolated, but readily accessible to an installation entrance, new construction of this facility is the only practicable alternative. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components.</p>																													
<p><b>12. Supplemental Data:</b></p> <p>A. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>1. Status</p> <table border="0"> <tr><td>(a) Date Design Started:</td><td>01/03</td></tr> <tr><td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):</td><td>YES</td></tr> <tr><td>(c) Percent Completed as of January 2004:</td><td>35</td></tr> <tr><td>(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:</td><td>07/03</td></tr> <tr><td>(e) Date Design Complete:</td><td>07/04</td></tr> <tr><td>(f) Type of Design Contract:</td><td>Design/Bid/Build</td></tr> </table> <p>2. Basis</p> <table border="0"> <tr><td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design:</td><td>NO</td></tr> <tr><td>(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:</td><td>N/A</td></tr> </table> <p>3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr><td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td><td>235</td></tr> <tr><td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td><td>155</td></tr> <tr><td>(c) Total</td><td>390</td></tr> <tr><td>(d) Contract</td><td>310</td></tr> <tr><td>(e) In-House</td><td>80</td></tr> </table> <p>4. Contract Award 12/04</p> <p>5. Construction Start 01/05</p> <p>6. Construction Completion 07/06</p>				(a) Date Design Started:	01/03	(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):	YES	(c) Percent Completed as of January 2004:	35	(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:	07/03	(e) Date Design Complete:	07/04	(f) Type of Design Contract:	Design/Bid/Build	(a) Standard or Definitive Design:	NO	(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:	N/A	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	235	(b) All Other Design Costs	155	(c) Total	390	(d) Contract	310	(e) In-House	80
(a) Date Design Started:	01/03																												
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):	YES																												
(c) Percent Completed as of January 2004:	35																												
(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:	07/03																												
(e) Date Design Complete:	07/04																												
(f) Type of Design Contract:	Design/Bid/Build																												
(a) Standard or Definitive Design:	NO																												
(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:	N/A																												
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	235																												
(b) All Other Design Costs	155																												
(c) Total	390																												
(d) Contract	310																												
(e) In-House	80																												
<p>B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations:</p>																													
<p><u>PURPOSE</u></p> <p>Telecommunications</p> <p>X-Ray Equipment</p> <p>Intrusion Detection System</p> <p>Systems &amp; Other Furniture</p>	<p><u>APPROPRIATION</u></p> <p>DWCF</p> <p>DWCF</p> <p>DWCF</p> <p>DWCF</p>	<p><u>FISCAL YEAR REQUIRED</u></p> <p>2005</p> <p>2005</p> <p>2006</p> <p>2006</p>	<p><u>AMOUNT (\$000)</u></p> <p>500</p> <p>250</p> <p>60</p> <p>100</p> <p>910</p>																										
<p style="text-align: right;">Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534</p>																													

<b>1. COMPONENT</b> <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM</b>						<b>2. DATE</b> <b>FEB 04</b>		
<b>3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION</b> <b>NAVAL AIR STATION (NAS)</b> <b>OCEANA, VIRGINIA</b>			<b>4. COMMAND</b> <b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>				<b>5. AREA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX</b> <b>0.92</b>			
<b>6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH</b>		<b>PERMANENT</b>			<b>STUDENTS</b>			<b>SUPPORTED</b>		<b>TOTAL</b>
Tenant of USN		<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>
a. AS OF										
b. END FY										
<b>7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)</b>										
A. TOTAL ACREAGE										
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF										
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY										
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM										3,589
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM										
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS										
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY										
H. GRAND TOTAL										3,589
<b>8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:</b>										
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>				<b>COST</b>	<b>DESIGN</b>	<b>STATUS</b>		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>					<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>		
411	DESC0601	Bulk Fuel Storage Tank				3,589	01/03	10/04		
<b>9. FUTURE PROJECTS:</b>										
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM										
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>				<b>COST</b>					
<u>CODE</u>					<u>(\$000)</u>					
None										
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS										
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>				<b>COST</b>					
<u>CODE</u>					<u>(\$000)</u>					
None										
<b>10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION</b>										
These fuel facilities provide essential storage and distribution systems to support the missions of assigned units at Naval Air Station Oceana, Virginia.										
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for fuel facilities at this location is \$4.9 million.										
<b>11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:</b>										
A. AIR POLLUTION										0
B. WATER POLLUTION										0
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH										0

<b>1. Component</b> <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>	<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>	<b>2. Date</b> <b>FEB 04</b>
---	---	---------------------------------

<b>3. Installation and Location</b> <b>NAVAL AIR STATION (NAS) OCEANA, VIRGINIA</b>	<b>4. Project Title</b> <b>BULK FUEL STORAGE TANK</b>
--	--

<b>5. Program Element</b> <b>701111S</b>	<b>6. Category Code</b> <b>411</b>	<b>7. Project Number</b> <b>DESC0601</b>	<b>8. Project Cost (\$000)</b> <b>3,589</b>
---	---------------------------------------	---	--

<b>9. COST ESTIMATES</b>				
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES.....	-	-	-	2,520
FUEL STORAGE TANK (3,180 kL / 20,000 BARRELS).....	LS	-	-	(1,450)
PILE FOUNDATION.....	LS	-	-	(350)
PUMP STATION AND SHELTER.....	LS	-	-	(420)
FUEL DISTRIBUTION PIPING.....	LS	-	-	(300)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.....	-	-	-	705
SITE PREPARATION AND IMPROVEMENTS.....	LS	-	-	(375)
SITE UTILITIES.....	LS	-	-	(300)
OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE SUPPORT INFORMATION.....	LS	-	-	(30)
SUBTOTAL.....	-	-	-	3,225
CONTINGENCY (5%).....	-	-	-	<u>161</u>
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST.....	-	-	-	3,386
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.0%).....	-	-	-	<u>203</u>
TOTAL REQUEST.....	-	-	-	3,589

**10. Description of Proposed Construction:** Construct a 3,180-kiloliter (kL) (20,000-barrel) aboveground jet-fuel storage tank. Work will include pile foundation, impervious concrete dikes, leak detection, controls, alarms, piping, cathodic protection, automatic tank gauging, and fire protection. Provide a 76 liter-per-second (1,200 gallon-per-minute) transfer pump station and shelter. Provide site preparation, fencing, access roads, and site utilities. Connect pipelines to existing jet fuel storage system.

**11. REQUIREMENT:** 14,309 kL                      **ADEQUATE:** 11,129 kL                      **SUBSTANDARD:** 0 kL

**PROJECT:** Construct a bulk jet-fuel storage tank. (C)

**REQUIREMENT:** There is a need to provide additional jet fuel storage capacity of 3,180 kL (20,000 barrels) at Naval Air Station Oceana to provide a total on-station storage capacity of 14,309 kL (90,000 barrels). This quantity of fuel is necessary to meet war-reserve and operation-plan (OPLAN) requirements determined by the Commander, Atlantic Fleet.

**CURRENT SITUATION:** NAS Oceana currently has 11,129 kL (70,000 barrels) of storage in three bulk fuel storage tanks and two small operating tanks. Additional storage capacity is needed to sustain ongoing peacetime operations and satisfy OPLAN and war-reserve requirements to support the station's mission.

**IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:** If this project is not provided, the lack of adequate jet fuel storage will jeopardize NAS Oceana's ability to conduct sustained flight operations in support of Atlantic Fleet operations plans. The increased fuel demand of assigned and transient aircraft, coupled with the lack of fuel storage tanks, will raise the potential for running out of fuel or issuing fuel that has not had sufficient storage time to settle out contaminants.

<b>1. Component</b> <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>	<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>		<b>2. Date</b> <b>FEB 04</b>
<b>3. Installation and Location:</b> <b>NAVAL AIR STATION OCEANA, VIRGINIA</b>		<b>4. Project Title</b> <b>BULK FUEL STORAGE TANK</b>	
<b>5. Program Element</b> <b>701111S</b>	<b>6. Category Code</b> <b>411</b>	<b>7. Project Number</b> <b>DESC0601</b>	<b>8. Project Cost (\$000)</b> <b>3,589</b>
<p>ADDITIONAL: Construction of a new fuel storage tank on the installation is the only feasible alternative. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components.</p>			
<b>12. Supplemental Data:</b>			
A. Estimated Design Data:			
1. Status			
(a) Date Design Started:			01/03
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):			NO
(c) Percent Completed as of January 2004:			35
(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:			07/03
(e) Date Design Complete:			10/04
(f) Type of Design Contract:			Design/Bid/Build
2. Basis			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design:			YES
(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:			09/02
3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications			115
(b) All Other Design Costs			75
(c) Total			190
(d) Contract			150
(e) In-House			40
4. Contract Award			01/05
5. Construction Start			02/05
6. Construction Completion			04/06
B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None			

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

<b>1. COMPONENT</b>  <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM</b>						<b>2. DATE</b>  <b>FEB 04</b>			
<b>3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATION</b>  <b>MISAWA AIR BASE, JAPAN</b>				<b>4. COMMAND</b>  <b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>						<b>5. AREA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX</b> <b>1.68</b>	
<b>6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH</b>		<b>PERMANENT</b>			<b>STUDENTS</b>			<b>SUPPORTED</b>			<b>TOTAL</b>
Tenant of USAF		<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	<b>OFF</b>	<b>ENL</b>	<b>CIV</b>	
a. AS OF											
b. END FY											
<b>7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)</b>											
A. TOTAL ACREAGE											
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF											
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY											26,400
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM											19,900
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY											
H. GRAND TOTAL											46,300
<b>8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:</b>											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>					<b>COST</b>	<b>DESIGN</b>	<b>STATUS</b>		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>						<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>		
121	DESC0503	Hydrant Fuel System					19,900	01/03	06/04		
<b>9. FUTURE PROJECTS:</b>											
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>						<b>COST</b>				
<u>CODE</u>							<u>(\$000)</u>				
None											
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>						<b>COST</b>				
<u>CODE</u>							<u>(\$000)</u>				
None											
<b>10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION</b>											
These fuel facilities provide essential storage and distribution systems to support the missions of assigned units and transient aircraft at Misawa Air Base, Japan.											
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for fuel facilities at this location is \$1.1 million											
<b>11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:</b>											
A. AIR POLLUTION											0
B. WATER POLLUTION											0
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH											0





<b>1. Component</b> <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>	<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>		<b>2. Date</b> <b>FEB 04</b>																										
<b>3. Installation and Location:</b> <b>MISAWA AIR BASE, JAPAN</b>		<b>4. Project Title</b> <b>HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM</b>																											
<b>5. Program Element</b> <b>701111S</b>	<b>6. Category Code</b> <b>121</b>	<b>7. Project Number</b> <b>DESC0503</b>	<b>8. Project Cost (\$000)</b> <b>19,900</b>																										
<p><b>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED:</b> If this project is not provided, the continued refueling of large aircraft by trucks will jeopardize Misawa Air Base's ability to meet aircraft-sortie cycle times in support of contingency and operations plans. The safety of personnel operating and maintaining overburdened equipment during these high-demand periods will be imperiled.</p> <p><b>ADDITIONAL:</b> This project is ineligible for Japanese Facilities Improvement Program (JFIP) funding because it will add to the offensive operational capability of Misawa Air Base. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components .</p>																													
<p><b>12. Supplemental Data:</b></p> <p>A. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>1. Status</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started:</td> <td>01/03</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Completed as of January 2004:</td> <td>60</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:</td> <td>06/03</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete:</td> <td>06/04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract:</td> <td>Design/Bid/Build</td> </tr> </table> <p>2. Basis</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design:</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:</td> <td>09/02</td> </tr> </table> <p>3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>970</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>645</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>1,615</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td>1,615</td> </tr> </table> <p>4. Contract Award 12/04</p> <p>5. Construction Start 01/05</p> <p>6. Construction Completion 01/07</p> <p>B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None</p>				(a) Date Design Started:	01/03	(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):	NO	(c) Percent Completed as of January 2004:	60	(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:	06/03	(e) Date Design Complete:	06/04	(f) Type of Design Contract:	Design/Bid/Build	(a) Standard or Definitive Design:	YES	(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:	09/02	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	970	(b) All Other Design Costs	645	(c) Total	1,615	(d) Contract	0	(e) In-House	1,615
(a) Date Design Started:	01/03																												
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):	NO																												
(c) Percent Completed as of January 2004:	60																												
(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:	06/03																												
(e) Date Design Complete:	06/04																												
(f) Type of Design Contract:	Design/Bid/Build																												
(a) Standard or Definitive Design:	YES																												
(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:	09/02																												
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	970																												
(b) All Other Design Costs	645																												
(c) Total	1,615																												
(d) Contract	0																												
(e) In-House	1,615																												

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

<b>1. COMPONENT</b>  <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM</b>						<b>2. DATE</b>  <b>FEB 04</b>			
<b>3. INSTALLATION AND LOCATIONS</b>  <b>LAJES FIELD, AZORES</b>				<b>4. COMMAND</b>  <b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>				<b>5. AREA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX</b>  <b>1.30</b>			
<b>6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH</b>		<b>PERMANENT</b>			<b>STUDENTS</b>			<b>SUPPORTED</b>			<b>TOTAL</b>
Tenant of USAF		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
a. AS OF											
b. END FY											
<b>7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)</b>											
A. TOTAL ACREAGE											
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF											
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY											
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM											19,113
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											0
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											1,400
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY											
H. GRAND TOTAL											20,513
<b>8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:</b>											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>					<b>COST</b>	<b>DESIGN</b>	<b>STATUS</b>		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>						<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>		
121	DESC0404	Replace Hydrant Fuel System					19,113	07/01	03/04		
<b>9. FUTURE PROJECTS:</b>											
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>						<b>COST</b>				
<u>CODE</u>							<u>(\$000)</u>				
None											
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
<b>CATEGORY</b>	<b>PROJECT TITLE</b>						<b>COST</b>				
<u>CODE</u>							<u>(\$000)</u>				
610	Fuels Operations Facility (FY 2008)						1,400				
<b>10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION</b>											
These fuel facilities provide essential storage and distribution systems to support the missions of assigned units at Lajes Field, Azores, and other transient aircraft.											
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for fuel facilities at this location is \$14.8 million.											
<b>11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:</b>											
A. AIR POLLUTION											0
B. WATER POLLUTION											0
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH											0

<b>1. Component</b> <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>			<b>2. Date</b> <b>FEB 04</b>			
<b>3. Installation and Location</b> <b>LAJES FIELD, AZORES</b>				<b>4. Project Title</b> <b>REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM</b>				
<b>5. Program Element</b> <b>702976S</b>		<b>6. Category Code</b> <b>121</b>	<b>7. Project Number</b> <b>DESC0404</b>		<b>8. Project Cost (\$000)</b> <b>19,113</b>			
<b>9. COST ESTIMATES</b>								
Item					U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES.....					-	-	-	15,100
HYDRANT OUTLETS AND FUEL PIPING (9 OUTLETS).....					LS	-	-	(7,800)
OPERATING FUEL TANKS (3,180 kL/ 20,000 BARRELS).....					LS	-	-	(2,600)
PUMPHOUSE.....					LS	-	-	(3,000)
TRUCK FILLSTAND (3 STOPS) & HYDRANT TRUCK CHECKOUT.....					LS	-	-	(900)
PANTOGRAPHS AND STORAGE SHELTER.....					LS	-	-	(800)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.....					-	-	-	1,992
SITE PREPARATION AND IMPROVEMENTS.....					LS	-	-	(767)
MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL UTILITIES.....					LS	-	-	(565)
DEMOLITION.....					LS	-	-	(300)
GENERATOR AND ENCLOSURE.....					LS	-	-	(160)
OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE SUPPORT INFORMATION.....					LS	-	-	(200)
SUBTOTAL.....					-	-	-	17,092
CONTINGENCY (5%).....					-	-	-	<u>855</u>
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST.....					-	-	-	17,947
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.5%).....					-	-	-	<u>1,166</u>
TOTAL REQUEST.....					-	-	-	19,113
Currency Exchange Rate: 1.031 Euro/\$								
<b>10. Description of Proposed Construction:</b> Provide one 152 liter-per-second (2,400 gallon-per-minute) pumphouse, nine hydrant fuel outlets, two 1,590-kiloliter (kL)(10,000-barrel) aboveground operating tanks, distribution piping, truck fillstand, and checkout stand for hydrant hose trucks. Work includes cathodic protection systems, leak detection, automatic tank gauging, fire protection, fire hydrants, utility connections, oil/water separator, emergency generator, secondary containment systems, perimeter fencing, and security lighting. Cross connect fuel distribution piping to existing 18-outlet hydrant system. Provide four pantographs, two high-reach units, and a pantograph storage shelter. Demolish existing pumphouse, underground storage tanks, and hydrant outlets. Provide operations and maintenance support information.								
<b>11. REQUIREMENT:</b> 27 Outlets (OL)			<b>ADEQUATE:</b> 18 OL			<b>SUBSTANDARD:</b> 7 OL		
PROJECT: Replace a deteriorated hydrant fuel system with a modern pressurized fuel system. (C)								
REQUIREMENT: There is a need to provide a functioning hydrant fuel system for wide-bodied aircraft supporting strategic mobility requirements and operations plans in the Atlantic. This 9-outlet system will replace a hydrant system that has failed and cannot support peacetime missions or en route mobility requirements in contingency or wartime operations. Lajes Field supports the Expeditionary Air Force concept and provides ground and in-flight refueling for aircraft transiting the Atlantic. It also provides a base of operations for humanitarian relief missions. This project provides the second of two hydrant fuel systems needed to meet the total requirement of 27 hydrants. The previous system was approved in the FY 1999 DLA MILCON program.								
CURRENT SITUATION: There is only one functional hydrant fuel system at Lajes Field. An existing 7-hydrant outlet system has been taken out of service due to environmental protection concerns and interference with airfield communications and radar upgrades. The area serviced by this hydrant system can no longer be used for aircraft parking. The current operational hydrant fuel system cannot support expected refueling demands during wartime scenarios. This situation leaves the proposed project site as the								

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>	<b>FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>		2. Date <b>FEB 04</b>																										
3. Installation and Location: <b>LAJES FIELD, AZORES</b>		4. Project Title <b>REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM</b>																											
5. Program Element <b>702976S</b>	6. Category Code <b>121</b>	7. Project Number <b>DESC0404</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>19,113</b>																										
<p>only remaining area to park wide-bodied aircraft. When large-frame aircraft are located at parking locations without hydrant capability, they must be serviced by refueling trucks. Because of the distances the refuelers must travel between aircraft and truck fillstands, they cannot provide the necessary fuel support in the required one-hour refueling time.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, the refueling operations at Lajes Field will be severely hampered if the only existing hydrant fuel system were to fail. The resulting lack of hydrant refueling capability would increase aircraft refueling time, impacting personnel, cargo, and weapons movement to various theaters of operations.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: This project is not eligible for NATO Security Investment Program funding because of the terms of the 1984 Technical Agreement between the United State and Portugal, which governs the use of Lajes Field. An analysis of the status quo, refueling by truck, or constructing the proposed hydrant system concluded that replacement of the existing system is the only feasible alternative to accomplish the refueling mission. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components .</p>																													
<p><b>12. Supplemental Data:</b></p> <p>A. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>1. Status</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Date Design Started:</td> <td>07/01</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Percent Completed as of January 2004:</td> <td>60</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:</td> <td>07/02</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) Date Design Complete:</td> <td>03/04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(f) Type of Design Contract:</td> <td>Design/Bid/Build</td> </tr> </table> <p>2. Basis</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Standard or Definitive Design:</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:</td> <td>09/02</td> </tr> </table> <p>3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications</td> <td>670</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(b) All Other Design Costs</td> <td>450</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(c) Total</td> <td>1,120</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(d) Contract</td> <td>900</td> </tr> <tr> <td>(e) In-House</td> <td>220</td> </tr> </table> <p>4. Contract Award 12/04</p> <p>5. Construction Start 01/05</p> <p>6. Construction Completion 07/06</p> <p>B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534</p>				(a) Date Design Started:	07/01	(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):	NO	(c) Percent Completed as of January 2004:	60	(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:	07/02	(e) Date Design Complete:	03/04	(f) Type of Design Contract:	Design/Bid/Build	(a) Standard or Definitive Design:	YES	(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:	09/02	(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	670	(b) All Other Design Costs	450	(c) Total	1,120	(d) Contract	900	(e) In-House	220
(a) Date Design Started:	07/01																												
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):	NO																												
(c) Percent Completed as of January 2004:	60																												
(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:	07/02																												
(e) Date Design Complete:	03/04																												
(f) Type of Design Contract:	Design/Bid/Build																												
(a) Standard or Definitive Design:	YES																												
(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:	09/02																												
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	670																												
(b) All Other Design Costs	450																												
(c) Total	1,120																												
(d) Contract	900																												
(e) In-House	220																												