Fiscal Year 2015 Budget Estimates Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD)



March 2014

(This page intentionally left blank)

Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide Summary (\$ in thousands) Budget Activity (BA) 4: Administrative and Service-Wide Activities

| | FY 2013 | Price | Program | FY 2014 | Price | Program | FY 2015 |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | <u>Actual</u> | <u>Change</u> | <u>Change</u> | <u>Estimate</u> | <u>Change</u> | <u>Change</u> | <u>Estimate</u> |
| OSD | 2,006,840 | 54,938 | -113,304 | 1,948,474 | 32,693 | -90,004 | 1,891,163 |
| * The FY 2013 Actual | column includes \$38,25 | 1 thousand of F | Y 2013 OCO Appr | opriations fundi | ng (PL 113-6). | | |

* The FY 2014 Estimate column **excludes** \$68,227 thousand of FY 2014 Overseas Contingency Operations Appropriations funding (PL 113-76). * The FY 2015 Estimate **excludes** OCO.

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed</u>:

The National Security Act of 1947 unified the United States Armed Forces under a single Secretary of Defense (SECDEF) with cabinet rank. The President exercises his authority as Commander-in-Chief through the SECDEF, who is responsible for setting policy and directing defense programs and planning within the Department of Defense (DoD). The Deputy Secretary of Defense (DEPSECDEF) is delegated full power and authority to act for the SECDEF. The Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) supports the SECDEF and DEPSECDEF by performing the duties and responsibilities for policy development, planning, resource management, fiscal, and program evaluation at DoD level.

The Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) contains the immediate offices of the Secretary, the DEPSECDEF, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (OUSD(AT&L)), the Under Secretary of Defense Comptroller/Chief Financial Officer (OUSD(C)), the Under Secretary of Defense Intelligence (OUSD(I)), the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (OUSD(P&R)), the Under Secretary of Defense Policy (OUSD(P)), the Deputy Chief Management Officer (DCMO), the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Legislative Affairs), the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Public Affairs), the Office of General Counsel, the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Intelligence Oversight), the Director of Administration and Management (DA&M), the Director, Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation (D,CAPE), the Director, Net Assessment

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

(ODNA), the Director, Operational Test and Evaluation (DOT&E), and the DoD Chief Information Officer (DoD CIO).

Narrative Explanation of Changes from FY 2014 to FY 2015:

The Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) Operation and Maintenance (O&M) FY 2015 budget request is based on Department of Defense (DoD) strategic guidance, complies with the Secretary of Defense (SECDEF) priorities for continuation of efficiency initiatives that started in FY 2012, and includes reductions for streamlining of management headquarters activities. The FY 2015 budget request reflects an overall decrease of -\$57.3 million when compared to the FY 2014 current estimate. The net decrease combines a price adjustment of +\$32.7 million and a program decrease of -\$90.0 million resulting from programmatic increases and decreases that include internal funding realignments detailed in the Financial Summary (Part III).

<u>\$ in Thousands</u>

| | | FY 2013 <u>Actuals</u> | FY 2014 <u>Estimate</u> | FY 2015 <u>Estimate</u> |
|----|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| A. | Core Operating Program: | 355,088 | 359,277 | 357 , 360 |

The OSD Core Operating Program provides the necessary resources to support the operations of the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) and the centrally funded support services within OSD. Funding in this subactivity group includes <u>all</u> civilian personnel compensation and benefits. Costs/funding for Intergovernment Personnel Act (IPA) are included in the Core Operating Program for FY 2013, FY 2014 and FY 2015.

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

\$ in Thousands

| | Full-Time |
|----------|---------------|
| Civilian | Equivalents |
| Pay | <u>(FTEs)</u> |

Estimated FY 2015 Civilian Pay and Benefits for

| <u> Major OSD Principal Staff Assistants (PSAs):</u> | | |
|--|-----------------|-------|
| OUSD(AT&L) | 88,446 | 448 |
| OUSD(Comptroller) | 27,666 | 152 |
| OUSD(Intelligence) | 32 , 551 | 174 |
| OUSD(P&R) | 25,847 | 142 |
| OUSD(Policy) | 60,864 | 360 |
| O,DCMO | 15 , 548 | 87 |
| D,CAPE | 23,965 | 132 |
| Dod CIO | 21,009 | 109 |
| Other DoD Offices | 61,464 | 327 |
| Total Estimated Civilian Pay and Benefits | 357,360 | 1,931 |

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

\$ in Thousands

| | <u>FY 2013</u> | FY 2014 | <u>FY 2015</u> |
|--|-----------------------|----------|----------------|
| | 1/ Actuals | Estimate | Estimate |
| B. Other DoD Programs and Initiatives: 1/Excludes one-time congressional increase of FY13-\$40258 | 194,386 | 275,329 | 328,580 |

1/Excludes one-time congressional increase of FY13-\$402 and FY14-\$44,000 for SECDEF Grants, (Sec 8078)

This Subactivity is comprised of:

| | <u>FY 2013</u> | <u>FY 2014</u> | <u>FY 2015</u> |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Assistant Secretary of Defense, Legislative Affairs (ASD(LA)) | 423 | 703 | 481 |
| Assistant Secretary of Defense, Public Affairs (ASD(PA)) | 5,893 | 5,906 | 6,100 |
| Office of General Counsel (OGC) | 100 | 701 | 672 |
| Assistant to the Secretary of Defense(Intelligence Oversight) | 109 | 914 | 863 |
| Director of Administration and Management (DA&M) | 4,683 | 5,030 | 3,852 |
| Office of the Director Net Assessment (ODNA) | 18,304 | 10,253 | 8,944 |
| Boards, Commissions and Task Forces (BCTF) | 5,889 | 7,780 | 9,145 |
| Test Resource Management Center (TRMC) | | 4,442 | 3,629 |
| Capital Security Cost Sharing (CSCS)(State Department Bill) | 102,212 | 183,897 | 281,244 |
| Other DoD Programs and Initiatives(*includes Grants) | <u>*56,773</u> | <u>*55,703</u> | <u>13,650</u> |
| Total Other DoD Programs and Initiatives | 194,386 | 275,329 | 328,580 |

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

a. ASD (Legislative Affairs) serves as the principal staff assistant and advisor to the Secretary of Defense for DoD relations with the U.S. Congress and has overall supervision of DoD legislative affairs. The OASD (LA) promotes the Administration's defense budget and the Department's strategy, legislative priorities, and policies to the U.S. Congress.

b. ASD (Public Affairs) is the principal staff advisor and assistant to the SECDEF and Deputy Secretary of Defense (DEPSECDEF) for public information, internal information, community relations, information, training, and audiovisual matters. ASD(PA)follows the Secretary's guidance in providing Defense Department information to the public, the Congress and the media. ASD(PA) sponsors the DoD "Defense.gov" web site, which is the official website of DoD and the starting point for finding U.S. military information online. ASD(PA) supports all Secretary of Defense Press briefings and responds to all public inquiries to the DoD public website.

c. Office of General Counsel (OGC) provides advice to the Secretary and Deputy Secretary of Defense regarding all legal matters and services performed within or involving the Department of Defense.

d. Assistant to the Secretary of Defense (Intelligence Oversight) serves as the Secretary of Defense's personal, independent oversight mechanism for the Defense Intelligence Components. Through assessment inspections, training programs, and investigations as required, it ensures that defense intelligence activities are conducted in accordance with statute, Presidential order, and Departmental policy and regulation. The office also serves as the proponent for DoD Intelligence Oversight policy.

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

e. Director of Administration and Management (DA&M) has three broad responsibilities: to advise the Secretary and DoD senior leaders team on organizational and management matters of institutional importance; to oversee the Pentagon Reservation which is the Headquarters of the U.S. Defense establishment and a highly visible symbol of U.S. military power, as well as Defense leased facilities in the National Capital Region (NCR), providing administrative, logistical, facilities, and technological support at those facilities; and to secure and protect the people, facilities, and infrastructure of the Pentagon Reservation and DoD leased facilities. DA&M oversees DoD Committee Management, DoD Headquarters Management, and Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) Historical Program, DoD Freedom of Information Act Program (FOIA), DoD Privacy Program, DoD Civil Liberties Program, OSD Internal Management Control Program, and OSD Networks/CIO. DA&M performs management and oversight of the Pentagon Force Protection Agency (PFPA) and the Washington Headquarters Services (WHS), a DoD Field Activity which provides financial, personnel, and other administrative support to the NCR organizations.

f. Office of the Director Net Assessment (ODNA) supports projects of broad importance to the Secretary and the Deputy Secretary of Defense for research in support of the Net Assessment mission. These projects address near- and long-term problems and opportunities for U.S. military forces and policies, as seen from the perspective of the SECDEF. They draw on sources of expertise not available within DoD and that cannot be developed within DoD. This research differs in character and focus from other DoD research programs which are concerned with issues of current or near future policy.

g. Boards, Commissions, and Task Forces (BCTF) is a subset of the Department of Defense Federal Advisory Committee Management Program. The Department's program is mandated by law - the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) of 1972. Other federal

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

statutes and regulations impact on DoD FACA Program (i.e., the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), the Privacy Act (PA), and the American's with Disability Act (ADA)). Once established, the Department is required by Federal statutes to provide each advisory committee it establishes or supports with adequate resources so the advisory committee can conduct its independent work without undue influence from the Department, Federal employees, military officers, or interest groups. This program also provides resources and funding to support the Quadrennial Review of Military Compensation Board, Defense Business Board, and the 50th Anniversary Vietnam War Commemoration Board.

h. Test Resource Management Center (TRMC) develops and maintains a strategic plan of the SECDEF needs for Test and Evaluation (T&E) facilities and resources.

i. Capital Security Cost Sharing (CSCS) finances the Department's share for the CSCS as authorized by section 604 of the Secure Embassy Construction and Counterterrorism Act of 1999. The Department of State (DoS) determines the cost share responsibility for each tenant agency.

| | <u>\$ in Thousands</u> | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | FY 2013 1/Actuals | FY 2014 2/Estimate | FY 2015 3/ Estimate | |
| C. Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, | | | | |
| Technology, and Logistics): | 283,548 | 286,761 | 244,515 | |
| 1/FY 2013 Actuals includes \$12,659 thousand OCO funding (PL 113-6) | | | | |
| 2/FY 2014 Estimate excludes \$5,000 thousand OCO funding (PL 113-76) | | | | |
| 3/FY 2015 Estimate excludes OCO. | | | | |

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

The OUSD(AT&L) is the principal staff assistant and advisor to the Secretary and Deputy Secretary of Defense for all matters relating to DoD Acquisition System. OUSD(AT&L) supervises DoD procurement of research and development; advanced technology; developmental test and evaluation; production; logistics; installation management; military construction; procurement; environmental security; and nuclear, chemical, and biological matters. Requested resources will provide for the offices of Performance Assessment and Root Cause Analysis (PARCA); the Director of Developmental Test & Evaluation (DT&E), and the Director of Systems Engineering (DSE).

a. <u>Congressional Mandate</u>:

1) Contingency Business Tools: This program funds and supports the DoD established business system taskforce committed to leveraging existing resources and knowledge to quickly provide contingency forces with information technology and simple, user-friendly e-business tools in a contingency environment. This program addresses deficiencies documented in the 2007 Gansler Commission Report, and seeks to create the necessary tools for contracting officers deployed in support of contingency operations. This is a "toolkit" approach that evolves as the phase of a mission in the area of operations matures. Efforts include solving bandwidth and connectivity challenges in the context of four mission phases: 1) deployment: 2) build up: 3) sustainment: and 4) turnover/redeployment. This program pursues a holistic approach, based on research, identification of existing technologies, system functions, and ebusiness tools. Contingency Business Tools integrate financial, contracting, and logistics products to provide timely solutions to enduring contingency challenges.

- 2) Chief Financial Officers (CFO) Act Compliance: This program funds and supports the development and implementation of new policies, processes, and procedures required to meet the 2010 National Defense Authorization Act. This public law directs accounting for and valuing DoD resources, and addresses property accountability for general property, plant and equipment (GPP&E) audit preparation. The Department must be audit ready by 2017 and owns more than 80 percent of the GPP&E, operating materials and supplies, and inventory, which encompasses \$1.4 trillion in acquisitions and a net book value of \$827 billion. The program also supports additional mandates such as the Campaign to Cut Waste and Managers' Internal Control Program (OMB Circular A-123).
- 3) <u>Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS)</u>: This program funds and supports the inter-agency committee that reviews the national security implications of foreign investments in U.S. companies or operations in agreement with the Exon-Florio Amendment of the Defense Production Act. OUSD(AT&L) must consider potential effects of these transactions on U.S. technological leadership and supply chain reliability and integrity in areas affecting U.S. national security and critical infrastructure, and whether the acquirer is controlled by, or acting on behalf of a foreign government.
- 4) <u>Electronic Business Center of Excellence (e-Business COE)</u>: This program funds and supports the requirements for transforming the Department's business processes related to acquisition, procurement, and implementation of e-Government initiatives. This program facilitates procurement data standards implementation and compliance, as well as procurement data validation and

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

verification, supporting federal and departmental traceability and transparency efforts.

- 5) <u>Legacy Resource Management</u>: This program funds and supports DoD's primary means of funding innovative and cost-effective national and regional projects that sustain long-term military training and testing while providing stewardship for its natural and cultural resources. Legacy projects support both statutory and mission-related environmental conservation requirements that support DoD training and testing. Projects funded are selected on the basis of the most positive effects on military readiness, increased conservation efficiencies, and the need to meet Congressional intent.
- 6) <u>Native American Lands Remediation</u>: This program funds and supports DoD's environmental impacts to Native American Lands, environmental mitigation projects on Indian Lands, training and technical assistance to tribes, and implementation of DoD Policy and consultation responsibilities to American Indians, Alaskan Natives, and Native Hawaiians.
- 7) <u>BRAC Round Planning and Analyses</u>: This program funds and supports the requested authority from Congress to conduct a new round of Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) to align infrastructure with planned force structure changes. The BRAC process requires extensive up-front analysis including a 20-year force structure plan, a comprehensive inventory of installations, and a discussion of categories of excess infrastructure and infrastructure capacity. To support this effort, BRAC funds are required to develop recommendations and to manage these efforts.

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

b. Improve Acquisition & Logistics Processes:

- 1) Acquisition Programs Support Systems (APPS): This program funds and supports the flow of mission-essential information, expedites acquisition decision making, and assures continuity of business/leadership operations through disaster recovery scenarios. Services include Portfolio Management, Information Assurance, Application Development, Application Hosting, Infrastructure Engineering, and Website Governance services for over 100 AT&L mission applications and tools. APSS supports over 50 public, intranet, and classified websites such as ACOweb. AT&L SharePoint has developed nearly 300 collaborative sites. Portfolio Management, Infrastructure and DoD Governance expertise is provided for Mission Applications such as DAMIR and related Acquisition Visibility Services, Unmanned Warfare Information Repository, DAB Calendar, DAE Tracker (DAT), and the Executive Information System (EIS). This program leverages Pentagon and OSD/WHS Enterprise Services and Data Center offerings on behalf of AT&L software application sponsors thereby delivering manpower efficiencies and cost savings. The customer base supported by this program and its applications includes the AT&L staff and approximately 7,300 external clients to include other DoD, Federal and Legislative entities.
- 2) <u>Contingency Contracting</u>: This program funds and strengthens combat power and support of contingency operations. Contractors now provide essential capabilities to all of our military Services and, in combat contingency operations, constitute over half of the personnel forward on the battlefield. This level of reliance brings key challenges to the (DoD) in planning, integrating, and managing requirements for contracted support in forward areas.

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

The Contingency Contracting program drives improvements to contracting in support of deployed forces, humanitarian or peacekeeping operations, and disaster relief through policy, guidance, and oversight. It enables the Military to focus on key initiatives; develop critical tools; and implement policy, processes, regulations, and doctrine to maximize speed and efficiency of responses to improve contingency support across the DoD.

- 3) <u>Corrosion Prevention Program</u>: This program funds and supports the implementation of DoD's long-term strategy to reduce corrosion and the effects of corrosion on military equipment and infrastructure throughout the acquisition and sustainment lifecycle as defined in 10 U.S.C. 2228.
- 4) Defense Management Initiative: This program funds and supports the improvements of Defense installations' services and facilities management (including housing). The initiative evaluates concepts, approaches, policies and systems for studying selected Departmental functions, and produces tools needed to improve installation management.
- 5) Defense Industrial Base (DIB) Cyber Security: This program funds and supports critical DoD programs and technology by protecting DoD unclassified information resident on and transitioning DIB unclassified networks. This project further establishes the DoD Damage Assessment Management Office (DAMO) to coordinate the conduct of assessments involving the loss of DoD information requiring controls resulting from the unauthorized access and/or exfiltration of technical data maintained on unclassified DIB networks. The DAMO identifies and categorizes the impact of the loss of acquisition information contained on the affected systems, organizes and coordinates the assessment reports with all affected components

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

and DIB members, and establishes a process to appropriately share collected information with all affected parties. The DAMO establishes policy and procedures for conducting damage assessments applicable to all DoD components and in concert with Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) and Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation (DFAR) procedures pertaining to contracts with the DIB.

- 6) Defense Installation Spatial Data Infrastructure (DISDI): This program funds and supports the organization of people, policies, standards and protocols to optimize Component acquisition, management, and sustainment of geospatial imagery and mapping investments. The DISDI protocols will enable the use of previously disparate data, allowing decision makers to visualize the installations' complex array of natural and physical assets in an integrated manner.
- 7) Defense Procurement & Acquisition Policy (DPAP): This program funds and supports changes throughout the DoD Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics community; supports acquisition policy initiatives; supports the development, review, and coordination of DoD acquisition policy and regulations, develops and maintains the Defense Acquisition Guidebook; facilitates the review and management of major acquisitions services; and furthers the development and staffing of acquisition policy initiatives.
- 8) Developmental Test and Evaluation (DT&E): This program funds and supports DoD Developmental Test and Evaluation (DT&E), under Title 10, section 139b of the United States Code. For major defense acquisition programs, (DT&E) supports program managers in developing DT&E test strategies that support the development

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

and acquisition lifecycle. This includes reviewing and approving the developmental test and evaluation plan within the test and evaluation master plan; and monitoring and reviewing the developmental test and evaluation activities of the program. DASD(DT&E) develops detailed technical assessments to support significant acquisition decisions. In addition, DASD(DT&E) provides advocacy, oversight, and guidance to elements of the acquisition workforce responsible for developmental test and evaluation. This funding acquires analytical support to accomplish the above efforts and is primarily focused on development of analytical methods and processes that apply to all services/programs.

9) Operational Energy Plans and Programs (OEP&P): This program funds and supports Operational Energy Plans and Programs (OEPP), under Title 10, section 138c of the United States Code (USC). This requires the appointment of an Assistant Secretary of Defense for Operational Energy Plans and Programs (ASD(OEPP)) to serve as the principal advisor to the SECDEF and the principal policy official within the senior management of DoD regarding operational energy security. The USC also requires the ASD(OEPP) to have sufficient professional staff of military and civilian personnel to enable the ASD(OEPP) to carry out the prescribed duties and responsibilities. The ASD(OEPP) is responsible for: (1) Providing leadership and facilitation of communications and management oversight for OEPP within DoD; (2) establishing the DoD Operational Energy Strategy; (3) coordinating and overseeing Planning, Programming, Budgeting, and Execution (PPBE) activities for DoD related to implementation of the operational energy strategy; and (4) monitoring and reviewing all operational energy initiatives within DoD.

- 10) Environmental, Safety, & Occupational Health (ESOH) in Acquisition: This program funds and supports the Department's efforts to carry out newly assigned acquisition ESOH oversight functions in accordance with DoDI 5000.02. As an official Defense Acquisition Board Advisor, I&E is required to conduct oversight related to ESOH requirements in major DoD acquisitions as defined in the December 2013 DoD Instruction (DoDI) 5000.02, including developing ESOH acquisition policy and quidance; conducting reviews of over 175 Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAPs) and Major Automated Information Systems (MAISs); and providing policy implementation assistance for program managers. Evolving regulatory issues such as the international chemical management regulation called "REACH" now require monitoring due to their potential impact to development and O&M life cycle costs of weapons systems. Additional ESOH expertise is needed to address these issues and ensure that ESOH considerations are integrated properly before major milestone reviews. This is critical to ensuring system capabilities while ensuring ESOH risks and costs are minimized throughout system life cycles.
- 11) I&E Business Enterprise Integration (BEI)/(formerly Facilities Program <u>Requirements FPRS</u>): This program funds and supports both I&E and DoD-wide information needs for installations management, energy management, environmental management, safety and occupational health. BEI develops IT information, data, and technical standards to enable lifecycle Real Property, Energy, Environment, Safety and Occupational Health management and; Oversees IT investment for all I&E systems to ensure compliant, cost- effective, and integrated solutions.

- 12) <u>Emerging Contaminants</u>: This program funds and supports early identification of Emerging Contaminants (EC), assessments of impacts to human health and DoD functions, and development of risk management options. The program applies lessons learned from DoD's experience with perchlorate and other ECs.
- 13) <u>Human Capital Initiative (HCI)</u>: This program funds and supports the execution of the DoD-wide acquisition workforce statutory requirement to strengthen the workforce and continuously improve its quality, capability and capacity. This program assesses the current AT&L workforce and identifies competency gaps to improve the future AT&L workforce. Funds support HCI efforts to manage Department-wide acquisition workforce planning, analysis, policy, and programs to include the Defense Acquisition Workforce Education, Training, and Career Development Program (DODD 5000.52 and DODI 5000.66); update and implementation of the Defense Acquisition Strategic workforce Plan; the Acquisition Demonstration Program (AcqDemo Program Office), the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund (DAWDF), and all supporting workforce initiatives.
- 14) Industrial Policy Program Support: This program funds and supports the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (DASD) of Manufacturing and Industrial Base Policy (MIBP) who serves as the Department's primary liaison with industry to ensure industry understands the Department's policy intent and helps align procurement efforts at all phases of the acquisition process. It acts as the Department's focal point for industrial and manufacturing base policy initiatives, studies, and reviews to determine effects of changes, as well as the overall health of, the industrial base to meet future needs. It funds efforts to sustain an environment that ensures the Industrial base on which the

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

Department depends is reliable, cost-effective, and sufficient to meet DoD requirements. The DASD(MIBP) is the federal Government's "executive secretariat" of the Defense Production Act Committee (DPAC), tasked with conducting industrial base assessments and coordinating recommendations to the President on effective use of statutory authorities for preserving/creating domestic manufacturing capabilities deemed essential for the national defense. MIBP is organizing multiple inter-agency assessment teams and preparing strategic guidance on behalf of DPAC members for consideration by the President. Finally, MIBP is the Department's new point of contact for the statutory Committee on Foreign Investment in the U.S. The committee findings are signed out by Deputy Secretary of Defense and the President of the United States of America.

- 15) <u>Installation Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment</u>: This program funds and supports comprehensive assessments of the vulnerability of DoD installations to the projected impacts of climate change to support strategic risk assessments and development of adaptation plans and options tailored to facility managers, natural resource managers, test and training range managers, and installation military mission planners.
- 16) Information Superiority Integration Support (ISIS): This program funds functional and acquisition oversight of all critical war-fighting communications, command and control (C2), and cyberspace capabilities in DoD, and funds programs, projects and activities to support the DASD C3 & Cyber. This funding increment underwrites development of analyses of alternatives and studies for selected capabilities; portfolio investment strategies, system synchronization plans and roadmaps to support acquisition programs and

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

enterprise capabilities; and planning, resourcing and executing activities which improve operational capabilities for the war-fighter.

- 17) Integrated Acquisition Environment (IAE) (GSA Bill): This program funds and supports the Department's share of mandatory GSA e-Government shared service costs for federally mandated procurement-related systems. The IAE portfolio, established in 2001, provides federal-wide regulatory required systems that are used to support the procurement and finance processes. The Military Services and Defense Agencies are the primary customers of IAE capabilities and data, and use them extensively to support procurement, grants, and vendor payment processes throughout the Department. Federal agencies' required monetary contributions to sustain the IAE budget are established by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Provided IAE capabilities include vendor identification and payment information through the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) system, centralized advertising of solicitation opportunities through FedBizOpps, and required collection of contract award data for congress and the public at the Federal Procurement Data System (FPDS). These are authoritative sources of data and provide a single federal interaction point with the vendor community for certain processes, all required to be used by statute and/or regulation. Capabilities do not exist in the Department to accomplish the processes they support
- 18) <u>The Joint Purchase Card Program Office</u>: This program funds and supports integration of policy, oversight, and data mining tools to combat fraud, waste, and abuse of the purchase card by purchase card officials. These requirements were directed federal government-wide by statute in FY2013.

- 19) Logistics Systems Modernization Support (LSMS): This program funds and supports essential L&MR activities necessary to effectively carry out functional mission of the ASD Logistics and Materiel Readiness (L&MR) who serves as the principal staff assistant and advisor to the OUSD(AT&L), Deputy Secretary of Defense (DEPSECDEF), and Secretary of Defense (SECDEF) on logistics and materiel readiness in DoD. These resources are designed to support the logistics mission area activities at an acceptable level that will move the Department towards critical improvements in logistics systems and processes. The program facilitates efforts to make effective supply chain management and logistics processes a reality in the Department – from sources of supply to operational customers and from early acquisition planning through sustainment and disposal.
- 20) Mission Capabilities (MC)/Systems Engineering (SE): This program provides funding for Public Law 111-23, "Weapons System Acquisition Reform Act of 2009" (WSARA) which directed the appointment of a Deputy Assistant Secretary for System Engineering (DASD(SE)) and establishment of an SE office subordinate to the OUSD(AT&L). This program sets policy for SE practices and specialty engineering, and serves as functional leader for the systems engineering workforce. DASD(SE) also oversees effective policy and guidance implementation, including leading assessments of technical approaches and plans for major defense acquisition programs (MDAP); providing independent expert program review support to program managers as requested; and conducting systemic analysis of acquisition issues to identify causal factors contributing to program execution shortfalls. DASD(SE) develops technical risk assessments of (MDAPs) to ensure technical risk is effectively considered and managed through the MDAP development lifecycle.

- 21) Performance Assessment & Root Cause Analysis (PARCA): This program funds and supports Public Law 111-23, "Weapons System Acquisition Reform Act of 2009" (WSARA) in support of MDAP programs directed the SECDEF to designate a senior official to serve as the principal official for conducting and overseeing Performance Assessments and Root Cause Analysis (PARCA) for Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAPs).
- 22) DoD Siting Clearinghouse: This program funds and supports development of a basic capability to perform assessments of proposed wind and solar projects in the private industry for their impact on DoD mission, development and evaluation of possible mitigation measures, development of tools to assist developers, and the development of more timely, transparent and consistent processes.
- 23) <u>Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) Oversight</u>: This program funds and supports centralized management and administrative expenses of RDT&E programs across the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (ASD (R&E))enterprise.
- 24) <u>Small Business Program Support</u>: This program funds the Office of Small Business Programs of the Department of Defense implementation and management of the statutory and regulatory requirements within the office of small business programs as well as the Office of Small Business Programs market research Center of Excellence (COE) initiative, which supports Better Buying Power 2.0 and is key to improving effectiveness of the acquisition workforce and the Departments ability to achieve small business performance goals.

- 25) <u>Space & Intelligence Major Defense Acquisition Program (MDAP) Oversight</u>: This program funds and supports management, technical and programmatic evaluation, and functional oversight for all DoD and Intelligence Community Space and Intelligence programs, to ensure investment and risk are balanced over specific capability focus areas, leverage capabilities across Services and organizations, and ensure avoidance of duplicative efforts.
- 26) Strategic Sourcing: This program funds and supports development, implementation, governance and execution of the acquisition oversight framework for contracted services, and for the championing of strategic sourcing policy and initiatives, for DOD. Services acquisitions have accounted for more than half of all DOD contracting dollars spent in support of the Warfighter in recent years. Services Acquisition is responsible to ensure the proper process execution of services procurement results in the best value at the most reasonable cost. The Services Acquisition Directorate is also committed to reshaping the DoD acquisition enterprise through strategic sourcing to support customers making more efficient and effective business decisions about acquiring goods and services through the use of enterprises portfolio spend analyses. The Strategic Sourcing program conducts annual portfolio spend analyses and additional spend-related ad hoc studies and analyses that are utilized by, but not limited to, OUSD(AT&L), PDUSD(AT&L), OSBP(AT&L), DP(AT&L), DPAP(AT&L), and DoD Components to make business decisions that lead to greater efficiency and productivity in DoD spending for goods and services.
- 27) <u>Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD) Sustainment</u>: This program funds and provides sustainment and the operational capability portion of CWMD. It

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

also funds the administrative costs associated with analyses, oversight, and portfolio management. Program funding will ensure the long-term success and stability of the CWMD System. The CWMD program is an integrated and interconnected CWMD capabilities-based system that defines and enables a comprehensive, global awareness and readiness for CWMD steady-state and surge postures.

28) <u>Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD) Expert Fellows Program</u>: This program establishes a cost-effective and stable source of funding for Fellowship programs that allows the Department of Defense to compete annually for academic experts that provide direct benefit to AT&L. This provides funding for Fellows within AT&L each year, beginning with American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS) fellows and Nunn-Lugar fellows. Annual placements for experts with S&T and academic expertise will provide an infusion of fresh ideas and will ensure that DoD is no longer under-represented in specific fellowships that provide direct and cost-effective benefits to the Department.

c. <u>Promulgate Policy</u>

1) AT&L Knowledge Management System (AKMS): This program funds and supports an integrated "system of systems" consisting of knowledge repositories, communities of practice, performance support, learning tools, and discover/search capabilities. It provides reach-back to Defense Acquisition University's formal learning courses and collaborative tools, allowing the acquisition workforce access to the overall DoD AT&L knowledge base from their work locations. AKMS includes the Defense Acquisition Portal (formerly called

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

Acquisition Knowledge Sharing System, or AKSS), the Acquisition Community Connection, and various performance learning tools and job aids that focus on the performance needs of the AT&L workforce. AKMS funding is used to build, operate, and maintain all AKMS subsystems, to include development of job performance support tools, such as functional guidebooks and roadmaps. Additionally, the funding supports the development of new continuous learning modules in response to workforce competency requirements; review and update of existing content to provide up-to-date information to the AT&L workforce through the Continuous Learning Center; and incorporation of gaming and simulation delivery methods into courseware, when appropriate to learner needs.

- 2) <u>Transform Procurement Regulations</u>: This program funds and supports the continuing initiative to increase the efficiency and improve the quality and effectiveness of DoD procurement regulatory process and rule making capability. This ongoing initiative maintains the development, implementation, publication, and communication of hundreds of policies, laws, and changes in the FAR and DFARS as a web-based capability.
- 3) <u>Acquisition Visibility (AV)</u>: This program funds and supports the Defense Acquisition Executive (DAE), OSD senior leaders, and OSD and Component analysts in acquiring and sustaining war-fighting capability for the Department via Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAPs), Major Automated Information Systems (MAIS), and all other programs. AV is the capability that supports USD(AT&L) responsibilities by providing critical information for acquisition analysis, oversight, and decision making. AV institutionalizes governance of data and business rules used in acquisition decision making, and it combines and builds

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

on functions of different capabilities. The product is a centrally accessible collection of tools, including Defense Acquisition Management Information Retrieval (DAMIR); capabilities; data and related access services; and data standards for use across Congress and the Department, particularly in support of the Defense Acquisition Executive and his decision authority.

d. Regulatory Requirement:

- 1) Defense Environmental International Cooperation: This program funds and supports bilateral and multilateral environmental initiatives with foreign defense departments and militaries in support of global basing/operations and the Secretary's Security Cooperation Guidance goals.
- 2) International Cooperation Program: This program funds and supports the requirements for the Department pursuing more effective cooperation with U.S. allies and friends in the research, development, production and support of weapons systems and related equipment. International Cooperation Program supports the USD(AT&L) in carrying out his responsibilities and exercising his authorities on all international matters. This mission involves developing and monitoring the implementation of defense policies on international cooperation in coordination with U.S. government agencies, foreign governments and industry.
- 3) Low Observable/Counter Low Observable Export Control (LO/CLO): This program supports the Director of Special Programs' review of arms export control and license applications to include the review and approval of those technologies associated with Low Observables (LO) and Counter-Low Observables (CLO).

- 4) Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI): This program funds and supports efforts to sustain military readiness while assisting in the protection of valuable habitat and open space. The Military Departments identify expanding development and urban growth as an increasing challenge to range and installation viability and a growing impediment to mission readiness. The initiative supports cooperative agreements with states and local communities, and other interested stakeholders to acquire key conservation easements thus preventing incompatible development around military bases and ranges. DoD promotes such partnerships through its Sustainable Ranges Initiative.
- e. OSD Analysis and Support: This program funds and provides the Secretary and the entire OSD staff and Joint Chiefs of Staff with a source of funds to explore management and programmatic options before committing to a course of action. These funds provide assurance that future budget requests contain programs and policies that have been explored in some depth and represent an optimum solution to a particular problem. The OSD Studies Program falls under the OSD Analysis and Support umbrella developing requirements for analytic support within the OUSD(AT&L) and conducting joint studies with other components of OSD and the Joint Staff components to execute their missions in a complex global environment, by allowing access to specialized technical support. Foremost among the areas supported are installation management, international cooperation and security policymaking, environmental protection.

I. Description of Operations Financed (cont.)

f. OTHER:

Travel Program:

This program funds and supports travel for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics program managers throughout the AT&L community. Travel activities include, but are not limited to, travel in support of bilateral and multilateral International treaties, major acquisition program reviews, advancement of Small Business outreach, science and technology reviews, critical manufacturing and industrial base activities, nuclear treaties and security initiatives, strategic operational energy initiatives and defense installations and environment management.

| | <u>\$ in Thousands</u> | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| | FY 2013 1/ Actuals | FY 2014 <u>2</u> / Estimate | FY 2015 3/ Estimate |
| D. <u>Under Secretary of Defense (Policy):</u> 1/ FY 2013 Actuals <u>includes</u> \$13,991 thousand OCO funding. (PL 113-6) 2/ FY 2014 Estimate <u>excludes</u> \$19,463 thousand OCO funding. (PL 113-76) 3/ FY2015 Estimate <u>excludes</u> OCO. | 62,445 | 56,985 | 60,608 |

The Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy (OUSD (P)) oversees matters relating to international security policy and political-military affairs. The Under Secretary is the principal staff assistant and advisor to the Secretary and the Deputy Secretary of Defense for all matters on the formulation of national security and defense policy; the integration and oversight of DoD policy and plans to achieve national

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

security objectives; and represents the Secretary and Department of Defense in the interagency process, with Congress, and in public/media outreach.

- a) <u>OUSD(P) Operations</u>: Funds support the day-to-day operations of the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Policy) by contracting for essential services and general contractor support. Program includes support requirements for the various OUSD (P) Staff Offices. Among the areas supported are administrative management, mission essential travel, systems acquisition and architecture, communications and software assurance, Intergovernmental Personnel Act (IPA) program, and comprehensive workforce training.
- b) <u>OUSD(P) Mission Support</u>: Funds support mission requirements within the OUSD(P) by allowing the USD(P) to represent the Department of Defense, as directed, in matters involving national security policy; develop DoD policy for defense-related international negotiations; develop DoD policy and positions for international negotiations on arms control implementation and/or compliance issues; develop DoD policy on the conduct of alliances and defense relationships with foreign governments, their military establishments, and international organizations; oversee the implementation of DoD policy to reduce and counter threats to the United States, U.S. Armed Forces, and U.S. allies, from weapons of mass destruction and other militarily significant technologies and force capabilities, to include counter-proliferation policy, arms control policy, and security policy. The program funding provides the Assistant Secretaries of Defense (ASDs) the capacity to execute their missions in a complex global environment, by allowing access to specialized technical support capabilities. Supported ASDs include ASD for International Security Affairs (ISA), ASD Asian and Pacific Security Affairs (APSA), ASD for

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

Homeland Defense & Americas Security Affairs (HD&ASA), ASD for Special Operations and Low-Intensity Conflict (SOLIC) and ASD for Global Strategic Affairs (GSA). Among the areas supported are oversight of capability development to include generalpurpose forces, space and information capabilities, nuclear and conventional strike capabilities, missile defense, security cooperation programs and organizations, foreign military sales, military education and training, supervision of homeland defense activities of the Department of Defense, to include domestic antiterrorism, the Defense Continuity Program, other homeland defense-related activities, and alignment of homeland defense policies and programs with DoD policies for counterterrorism and counter narcotics.

- c) Defense Critical Infrastructure Protection: Oversees policy formulation and strategic planning for the Defense Critical Infrastructure Program, to include the Defense Industrial Base (DIB), which provides defense-related products and services that are essential to equip, mobilize, deploy and sustain military operations, enabling the Warfighter to minimize risk. It ensures the resiliency of networked infrastructure assets, whether owned or operated by DoD or private industries that are critical to executing military missions. Activities include the identification, assessment, monitoring, and risk management of cyber and physical infrastructure assets critical to the execution of the National Military Strategy.
- d) <u>International Defense Programs</u>: Program provides stable interface with US allies, the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) and its member states, responses to terrorism and unstable conditions in fragile and failed nation states that involves NATO-member state support (e.g. Syria), weapons of mass destruction bilateral measures, and support of overseas facilities. Program supports staff coordination

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

requirements on those matters both internal and external to the Department of Defense.

e) Rewards Program: This program provides resources needed to publicize the program and pay rewards for information to disrupt international terrorist activities. Funding for this mission enables combatant commanders to pay rewards for information and nonlethal assistance beneficial to force protection and operations against international terrorism. Information and nonlethal assistance derived through the program has led to the prevention of planned attacks against U.S. and allied armed forces, disruption of a planned foreign presidential assassination, capture of highvalue individuals, interdiction of improvised explosive devices, seizure of weapons and ammunition caches, and recovery of missing service members and sensitive equipment. Originally implemented in FY 2004 in Iraq and Afghanistan with great success, combatant commands sought to expand and replicate that success, beginning in FY 2007, by implementing the Program in other non-OCO countries. Today, combatant commands have programs operating in 14 countries and are planning or considering implementation in another 17 countries. Of these 31 countries, only a handful is appropriate to fund using OCO. This evolution of the program to non-OCO countries has caused a significant shift in where funds are spent. Whereas in FY 2006 DoD spent ten percent of the baseline in non-OCO countries and 90 percent in OCO countries. By FY 2011, the program's baseline was reversing that trend with close to 70 percent of the baseline in non-OCO countries and only 30 percent of the baseline in OCO countries. In response to this evolution, in FY 2012, DoD started transitioning funds for this Program from OCO to Base. The DoD Rewards Program has proven to be a critical and effective tool, worldwide and not just in OCO countries, at protecting the force and disrupting international terrorism.

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

f) Strategy and Force Development: The Under Secretary of Defense (Policy) is responsible for assisting the Secretary of Defense in the development of national security and defense strategy; advising the Secretary on the forces necessary to implement that strategy to include serving as the principal advisor to the Secretary of Defense for the planning phase of the Planning, Programming, Budgeting and Execution System (PPBES) and for monitoring the degree to which the program underwrites the strategy. USD(P) is also responsible for the development, coordination, and oversight of the implementation of international security strategy and policy; political-military policy on issues of DoD interest relating to foreign governments and their defense establishments, to include arrangements for U.S. military facilities, access and operating rights, and status of forces. Program funding informs the development of the future force by supporting external/internal research and analyses that provide independent and objective assessments of the broad range of potential threats and challenges the US and DoD may have to confront now and in the future, alternative approaches to countering those threats, and unbiased insights into current and future defense programs and policies that may be best suited or require adjustments to counter those threats. The program also supports requirements necessary to fulfill legislative requirements such as the Quadrennial Defense Review QDR), to include tailored modeling and simulation of future warfare, increased analysis of threats to U. S. security interests and the development and understanding of the implications of alternative U.S. strategies and force postures, and to examine the range of alternative force structures, budgets, and strategies. These resources also further support the Secretary's Title 10 responsibility, delegated to the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, to provide written policy quidance for the preparation and review of operational and contingency plans, including those for nuclear and conventional forces, and in reviewing such plans through the use of technical expertise to strengthen the

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

connection of plans to resources. Without funding support, the ability to ensure that the Secretary and President have a broad range of executable defense strategy options and sustainable defense program options to underwrite the strategy will be severely diminished and the potential risk for an un-executable strategy will be high. Furthermore the value of the QDR and defense strategies will be diminished to the extent that it fails to take maximum advantage of external analyses and alternative points of view.

<u>\$ in Thousands</u>

| | FY 2013 <u>Actuals</u> | FY 2014 <u>Estimate</u> | FY 2015 <u>Estimate</u> |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| E. <u>Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller)</u> | | | |
| and Chief Financial Officer: | 30,368 | 37,107 | 39,590 |

Comptroller and Chief Financial Officer: The Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller)/Chief Financial Officer (OUSD(C)/CFO) oversees and sets policy for budget and fiscal matters including financial management, accounting policy and systems, management control systems, budget formulation and execution, and contract audit administration. The office is responsible for the analysis of force planning and programming as a part of the process upon which force structure, system acquisition, and other resource allocation actions are based. The four main areas of operations financed include Comptroller Initiatives, Administrative Support, Next Generation Resource Management System, and Enterprise Funds Distribution System Support.

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

a. <u>Comptroller Initiatives</u>:

- Support for monitoring and reporting progress in achieving auditable financial statements for the Department; includes developing financial improvement and audit preparation methodologies and guidance and reviewing, improving, and executing Component financial improvement plans.
- 2) Oversee Department business transformation efforts to a simplified, standard, cost effective environment characterized by accurate, timely, standard financial information, streamlined business processes, resource and asset visibility and accountability, and compliance.
- 3) Maintain tools designed to display and track budgetary data and performance metrics such as the Comptroller's internal dashboard, the Overseas Contingency Operations support tool, and the legacy budget systems.
- 4) Implement the course-based DoD Financial Management Certification Program to improve the professional and analytical skills and abilities of the financial workforce and to support financial improvement and audit readiness and the changing business needs of the department.

b. <u>Administrative Support:</u> Funds services including general office support, data administration, records management, workflow and correspondence tracking, travel, communications, and other administrative tasks.

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

c. <u>Next Generation Resource Management System</u>: Maintain automated system used to formulate, justify, and present the Department's budgets and to support delivery of timely and accurate budgets which reflect the goals of the Administration and the Secretary of Defense.

d. <u>Enterprise Funds Distribution System Support</u>: Maintain the automated system used for controlling and distributing funds including apportionment, reprogramming, rescissions, continuing resolution, etc.

More detailed information on the mission and functions of the OUSD(C) can be found at the following website: <u>http://comptroller.defense.gov/</u>.

\$ in Thousands

| | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
|--|----------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | 1/Actuals | 2/Estimate | 3/ Estimate |
| F. <u>Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel</u> <u>and Readiness)</u> : <u>1</u> /FY 2013 Actuals <u>includes</u> \$11,601 thousand OCO funding (PL 113-6) <u>2</u> /FY 2014 Estimate excludes \$13,764 thousand OCO funding (PL 113-76) | 765,815 | 653 , 895 | 656,989 |

<u>3</u>/FY2015 Estimate excludes OCO.

The Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (OUSD (P&R)) is the principal staff assistant and advisor to the SECDEF for Total Force Management. OUSD (P&R) develops policies, plans, and programs for Total Force personnel. This includes the allocation among DoD Components and between the Active and Reserve components and Reserve Component Affairs to promote the effective integration of the Reserve component

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

capabilities into a Cohesive Total Force; health and medical affairs; recruitment, education, training, equal opportunity, compensation, recognition, discipline, and separation of all DoD personnel; interagency and intergovernmental activities, special projects, or external requests that create a demand for DoD personnel resources; readiness to ensure forces can execute the National Military Strategy (NMS) along with oversight of military training and its enablers; and quality of life for our military and their families. The following programs are supported:

Includes the Workforce Recruitment Program for College Students with Disabilities to increase the number of people with targeted disabilities in the federal civilian workforce to support a DoD goal of two percent DoD-wide, emphasizing the benefit for wounded service members. The Defense Equal Opportunity Management Institute develops curricula and trains military and civilian personnel in cultural competencies/awareness for engaging in warfare and to provide a website and clearinghouse materials for deployed military equal opportunity advisors. Growing Diversity in the Senior Ranks will improve diversity in key occupational pipelines that feed into the military flag/general officer and civilian senior executive service positions by increasing diversity in DoD internship programs.

a. <u>Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) Operations:</u> The ASD (HA) is the principal medical staff advisor to the Secretary of Defense and principal program manager for all DoD health matters to include medical readiness, health care delivery, preventive medicine, medical military construction, and the procurement, development, training and retention of medical military and civilian personnel.

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

b. <u>Assistant Secretary of Defense (Reserve Affairs) Operations</u>: Funds managed by ASD (RA) are utilized to conduct valuable research and analysis for specific topics and issues that are related to the National Guard (NG) and Reserve components (RC). These efforts provide mandated reports, data and recommendations as potential solutions or courses of action to DoD and Congress. The research results provide an opportunity for focused analysis to aid ASD RA) in making informed decisions on policies and future direction for the NG and RC in the areas of resourcing, manpower, personnel, material, facilities, readiness, training, and mobilization. Funds are also used for travel of ASD (RA), Principal Deputy, Deputy ASDs, and actions officers to conduct Active and Reserve component and Combatant Command site visits in addition to attending training and requirements in the operational/field environment.

c. <u>Combatant Commanders' Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2):</u> Supports the joint training requirements of the Department of Defense to include exercises for nine Combatant Commands as well as Service joint training down to the tactical level.

CE2T2 ensures the Combatant Commanders' ability to conduct joint, combined, and interagency exercises to meet Unified Command Plan responsibilities, enhance Joint Force Readiness, and support each Combatant Command's Theater Campaign Plan (TCP). For example, in Fiscal Year 2013, CE2T2-funded exercises prepared U.S. and Israeli forces for ballistic missile defense, and CE2T2 funds U.S. Pacific Command's annual exercises for the defense of South Korea.

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

Aside from Overseas Contingency Operations and Title 22 Security Assistance programs, CE2T2 provides the lion's share of Combatant Commands' funds for military-tomilitary engagement.Because of their international political impact, Combatant Commanders' exercises are tools of national power, and the exercises directly advance U.S. national strategy. In addition to their primary purpose of training U.S. forces, the Combatant Commanders' exercises have corollary effects which build partner capacity and strengthen alliances. Furthermore, the exercises generate international interest and shape the geopolitical environment. For example, CE2T2 funds U.S. Central Command's International Mine Countermeasures Exercise in the Straits of Hormuz; by participating in this exercise, the U.S., allies, and partners demonstrate our collective resolve to protect freedom of navigation, thus deterring Iranian provocation.

For Service joint training, the CE2T2 program provides training enablers for realistic and robust combat training with interagency participation in Service events, realistic opposing forces, feedback and lessons learned, and development of a more adaptive joint force. In addition, the CE2T2 program provides funds for each of the Services to participate in the exercises of the other Services, for example, Air Force participation in Army's pre-deployment exercises at the National Training Center.

The consolidated CE2T2 program was established as a result of direction from the 2007 Quadrennial Defense Review that leveraged the Department's 2002 Training Transformation program, realigned joint training programs, and applied resulting efficiencies against new mission areas and existing joint training shortfalls. Ongoing efforts maintain a ready and capable force, support the Department's priority to strengthen joint warfighting capabilities, support the primary missions of the U.S.

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

Armed Forces, and advance U.S. national strategy. The CE2T2 funding resources the following critical training programs:

1) The Joint Exercise Transportation Program (JETP): Is a key component of the Combatant Commanders Exercise Engagement program and funds transportation of personnel and equipment to worldwide exercises. It enables CCMDs to train battle staffs and participating forces in joint and combined operations, evaluate Operation Plans (OPLANs), and execute engagement strategies. It also provides an opportunity to stress strategic transportation systems as well as Command, Control, Communications, Computer and Intelligence systems to evaluate their readiness across the full spectrum of operations. Consistent with defense strategy, JETP funds have been redistributed across Combatant Commands to support the rebalance to the Asia-Pacific regions.

2) <u>Service Incremental Funding (SIF)</u>: funds Service participation in CCMD exercise and engagement events. Through a collaborative planning process, CCMDs identify forces required. SIF is then provided to pay for the additional costs that would otherwise be borne by the Services. This allows CCMDs to achieve Theater Campaign Plan objectives without having a detrimental impact on Service training budgets. SIF is essential to the realistic conduct of multi-echelon exercises - exercises which include forces on the ground, in the air, or at sea, rather than exercises merely for headquarters.

3) J7 Support to Combatant Command (CCMD) Exercises: Each year, CCMDs conduct exercise/engagement events ranging from small-scale, unilateral to major, multi-lateral events. To maximize effectiveness of these events, the Joint Staff J7 annually supports two exercises per CCMD. This support includes scenario development; observer/trainers; exercise management; and subject matter expertise in Interagency,

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

Information Operations, Cyber Operations, Intelligence, Strategic Communications, Public Affairs, and other warfighting functional areas. J7 also provides this support to United States Forces Korea (USFK) and to select Federal organizations during one annual National Level Exercise (NLE).

4) <u>Combatant Command Headquarters</u>: Provides the Combatant Commands with funding resources to facilitate their participation over 120 annual training, exercise, and engagement events to ensure overall CCMD readiness to conduct assigned missions. Additionally, the program supports the development, evaluation, and integration of a single, high quality training environment. In addition to serving as the operating budget for CCMD J7s, this program ensures readiness of CCMD and component staffs to execute key operational plans (OPLANs) in support of U.S. national strategy.

5) Joint National Training Capability (JNTC): uses a mix of live, virtual, and constructive (LVC) training resulting in the most realistic joint mission experience possible. The LVC environment links and combines existing exercises with live forces, augmented by appropriate modeling and simulation, to create a more realistic training experience for the joint force. Through the use of over 42 persistent, networked training sites, JNTC also enables Joint, Interagency, Intergovernmental, and Multinational (JIIM) context to a greater extent than otherwise available. Through a network of subject matter experts, JNTC provides a vital link between joint training activities and ongoing operations. This linkage is crucial to institutionalizing lessons learned (and lessons anticipated) from 10+ years of conflict. JNTC also funds improvements to training realism, including advanced range instrumentation (threat replication), opposition forces, and Afghan role-players for pre-deployment exercises. Overall, JNTC increases training effectiveness while mitigating gaps and seams between Service-centric training programs.

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

6) Joint Training Coordination Program (JTCP): Provides funds for the Services and U.S. Special Operations Command to participate in the exercises of the other Services. Through such participation, U.S. forces develop the skills and cross-Service familiarity that are necessary to operate in a joint environment. JTCP facilitates the integration of special operations and conventional forces - critical to the defeat of terrorists, including al-Qaeda. By providing or replicating cross-Service participation in pre-deployment exercises at Army's National Training Center and the Marine Air Ground Task Force Training Command at Twenty-Nine Palms, JTCP improves training for the troops who will be on the front lines.

d. <u>Defense Safety Oversight Council (DSOC)</u>: Supports mishap and injury prevention efforts and projects to meet the Secretary's accident reduction goals. It includes support for installations and sites pursuing Voluntary Protection Program recognition.

e. Defense Readiness Reporting System (DRRS): DRRS provides an adaptive, capabilitiesbased, near real time readiness information environment with tools, standards and policy for all of DoD. DRRS funding supports system enhancements to include: Mission Assurance Category I compliance, collaboration of DRRS with the Adaptive Planning & Execution System and placement of DRRS on the Joint Worldwide Intelligence Communications System network. Allows for quick analysis of force capability issues, effective program oversight, operator training, and data maintenance. Based on intelligent agents, dynamic databases, semantic middleware, and publish/subscribe concepts; and provides a logically uniform view into the multiple databases and information sources that will feed DRRS.

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

f. <u>Lost Work Days System (LWD)</u>: Lost Work Days aims to increase operational readiness by providing data and analysis to eliminate preventable mishaps.

g. <u>Military Naturalization Support</u>: Funding was added to OUSD(P&R) for Military Naturalization Support Services in response to Congressional Language in the FY 2010, Department of Homeland Security (DHS) conference report (House Report 111-298). Section 1701 of the FY 2004, National Defense Authorization Act (P.L. 108-136) directed the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) in DHS not to charge fees to military members applying for naturalization to become US citizens. Funding of the Military Naturalization program was directed by OMB and included in DoD appropriations beginning in FY 2011. Estimated cost per applicant is \$675 and approximately 9,400 applications are processed per year.

h. <u>Studies Program</u>: The Department contracts for assistance in facilitating studies that improve the overall operation and efficiency of the OUSD(P&R) and the programs over which it exercises oversight. Major themes of these studies include the three main focus areas of recruiting, retention, and readiness along with the full continuum of subjects that impact these major themes. Population of interest is the Total Force.

i. <u>Training Transformation</u>: Provides oversight of the Department's Joint training effort, including DoD training ranges.

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

<u>\$ in Thousands</u>

| | FY 2013 1/ Actuals | FY 2014 2/Estimate | FY 2015 <u>3/Estimate</u> |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| G. <u>Under Secretary of Defense</u> | | | |
| <u>(Intelligence):</u> | 179,754 | 143,811 | 75 , 736 |
| 1/ FY 2013 Actuals includes OCO funding (PL 113-6) | | | |
| 2/ FY 2014 Estimate excludes \$30,000 thousand OCO funding (PL 113-76) | | | |

<u>3</u>/ FY2015 Estimate excludes OCO.

The Intelligence Management project includes funding for the staff in the four Directors for Defense Intelligence (DDI) and four direct report offices within the OUSD(I). The OUSD(I) recently realigned to better prepare for future strategic challenges and added a fourth DDI (formerly Deputy Under Secretary of Defense) staff organization. The USD(I) advises the Secretary of Defense and Deputy Secretary of Defense regarding intelligence, counterintelligence (CI), security, sensitive activities, technical collection, capabilities, special programs and other intelligence-related matters. The OUSD(I) exercises the SECDEF's authority, direction, and control over the Defense Agencies and DoD Field Activities that are Defense intelligence, CI, or security components; and exercises planning, policy, and strategic oversight over all DoD intelligence, CI, and security policy, plans and programs. The USD(I) is dual-hatted as the Director of Defense Intelligence within the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI). In this capacity, the USD(I) reports to the DNI on Defense Intelligence matters.

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

- a) <u>The DDI Warfighter Support (WS)</u>: Ensures that intelligence support across the Department meets critical and timely warfighter requirements through policy development, planning, operational oversight, and resource advocacy. DDI WS aligns policies and programs with current operational requirements and Special Operations Intelligence Integration.
- b) The DDI Intelligence Strategy, Programs and Resources (ISP&R): Develops DoD's investment strategy for ISR and environmental system capabilities, as well as governance, concepts, and technologies to enhance the Defense Intelligence Information Enterprise (DI2E). The office executes this investment strategy through management of the Military Intelligence Program (MIP) as well as the Battlespace Awareness (BA) Portfolio, balancing investment and risk over specific capability focus areas. The office also provides oversight support to USD(I) for ISR acquisition programs and synchronizes MIP investment with those investments in the National Intelligence Program (NIP) that support military planning and operations. Finally, the office identifies, manages, and coordinates ISR systems and programs through the OSD and DNI requirements and acquisition processes.
- c) <u>The DDI Intelligence and Security (I&S)</u>: Responsible for developing policy and planning, and conducting strategic oversight for the DoD in the areas of HUMINT, Counterintelligence (CI), security policy and oversight, clandestine operations and sensitive activities, national programs and policy support, insider threat, intelligence sharing and partner engagement, and defense analysis. Oversees and coordinates closely with DIA's HUMINT and CI enterprises. Supports management of resources and investments to meet evolving warfighter needs; expands defense HUMINT global reach; enhances CI and credibility assessment (CA) capabilities; transforms

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

defense security; and synchronizes SAP and other sensitive activities to provide enhanced operational integration and collaboration.

- d) The DDI Technical Collection & Special Programs (DDI/TCSP): Newly added DDI established to strengthen operational oversight of the National Security Agency, National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency the Defense Intelligence Agency's Science and Technology Directorate, cyber operations and capabilities and other special programs. DDI/TCSP will drive development of new capabilities and responses to strategic situations and synergize efforts to cultivate new technologies. It oversees, enables and integrates technical collection, cyberspace activities, clandestine technical operations, capabilities development and the Foreign Materiel Program. DDI/TCSP advocates, as necessary, for Defense Intelligence Enterprise resources to support technical operations and other traditional military activities, as assigned, in support of DoD and National intelligence and intelligence related activities. DDI/TCSP represents OUSD(I) and OSD at DoD, IC, national, and international technical forums and conducts outreach activities with DoD components and other elements of the U.S. Government, as well as with our foreign partners. DDI/TCSP also ensures coordination and synchronization of technical capabilities, operations and activities among stakeholders, to include the IC and DoD components.
- e) <u>Direct Report Offices (DRO)</u>: Consists of the Chief of Staff Office, Human Capital Management Office, Congressional Activities, and the Special Access Program Central Office. This office serves as the focal point for coordination of organization-wide management and administrative matters; Defense Intelligence Mission Assurance; and Intelligence Policy. The office of the CoS provides customer focused administrative, management, policy, and operational support capabilities that enable the day to day functions of the OUSD(I). Responsible for managing OUSD(I) Continuity of Operations/Continuity of Government requirements and full integration with OSD; and

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

serves as the DoD lead for the Defense Intelligence Mission Assurance activities ensuring Combat Support Agencies are fully integrated into DoD and IC efforts. Responsible for the development and coordination of DoD intelligence, CI and security policy, strategy and planning guidance to reflect the USD(I)'s strategic direction and priorities; and provides a framework for effective intelligence operations worldwide. Synchronizes DoD policy with IC policy to foster intelligence integration in support of national intelligence requirements and the warfighter. Identifies and fills policy gaps to provide critical guidance in emerging and evolving intelligence mission areas. Ensures Joint Staff Intelligence Doctrine is accurate and correctly reflects intelligence policy guidance.

- f) Human Capital Management Office (HCMO): Exercises policy oversight of personnel in Defense intelligence positions to ensure that Defense intelligence, CI, and security Components are manned, trained, equipped and structured to support the missions of the Department. Develops and oversees the policies associated with the Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel System (DCIPS). Develops policy and provides oversight on training, education, and career development of personnel within the Defense Intelligence, CI, and security Components and ensures integration of Defense intelligence into other DoD training within the DoD and IC, as appropriate. Oversees the implementation of DoD detailee policy within the Defense intelligence, CI, and security Components, and exercises approval authority over the assignment of personnel. Develops and advocates policy, strategies, and programs for meeting DIE needs for foreign language skills and country knowledge capabilities.
- **g)** <u>Congressional Activities</u>: Works in support of the USD(I), the ASD (Legislative Affairs) and the OSD (Comptroller); and facilitates OUSD(I) interaction with Defense

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

and Intelligence oversight committees, and Members of Congress and their staffs in order to provide information on the MIP and OUSD(I) legislative priorities consistent with DoD objectives.

h) The Special Access Program Central Office: Manages security and ensures policy compliance for Special Access Programs (SAP) executed by OUSD (I). Provides guidance, direction and compliance support to SAPs executed by other DoD components and overseen by the USD(I). Serves as the Designated Accreditation Authority for SAP Information Systems within OUSD(I), and drives the modernization of SAP Information Technology for OUSD(I) staff, including ensuring interconnectivity with OUSD(I)'s customers and partners. Functions as the IC's primary focal point for DoD SAP issues. Represents USD(I) equity in DoD SAP Governance forums, and coordinates and de-conflicts compartmented activities between DoD and the IC.

<u>\$ in Thousands</u>

| | | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
|----|---------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | <u>Actuals</u> | <u>Estimate</u> | <u>Estimate</u> |
| Н. | Director, Cost Assessment and Program | | | |
| | Evaluation (CAPE): | 24,885 | 31,975 | 27,701 |

The Director, CAPE (D,CAPE) provides critical analyses of DoD programs and independent advice to the SECDEF and DEPSECDEF regarding the defense program. CAPE develops and

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

analyzes program alternatives, manages the Future Years Defense Program (FYDP) and validates the costing and funding of programs throughout DoD.

a. <u>Long Range Planning</u>: This program provides independent advice to the SECDEF for analysis and advice on Planning, Programming, Budgeting, and Execution (PPBE) decisions, cost estimation and cost analysis for major Defense acquisition programs, strategy and force planning, the Quadrennial Defense Review, and transformation. Specific areas of focus include:

- 1) Cost Estimating Analysis and Economic Research
- 2) Strategic, C4, and ISR Programs
- 3) Irregular Warfare Analysis
- 4) Conventional Forces Analytical Support
- 5) Defense Program Projection Support (DPP)
- 6) Force Structure, Weapons Systems, and Warfighting Analysis
- 7) Mobility Capability Analysis
- 8) Scenario Analysis and Simulation and Analysis Center (SAC)
- 9) Defense Contract and Resource Center (DCARC)

b. Industrial Base Study Program: This program is a continuation of the congressionally directed effort focusing on Space and Ship programs initiated in FY 2009. The program provides for the collection and analysis of data to support assessment of industrial base health and tools to continually monitor program and contractor performance. Some of the current efforts include the development of automated tools for the analysis of industrial and government work forces, program performance data, investment trends, and assessment of alternative acquisition strategies.

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

c. <u>Future Years Defense Program (FYDP) Improvement</u>: This program is a transfer from OUSD(Comptroller), effective 2013. Maintain the FYDP information system used to collect, transform, disseminate, build reports, and provide analytical displays for PPBE deliverables. Improve the efficiency and effectiveness of PPBE processes and systems. Support PPBE decision making by the Secretary of Defense and senior DoD leadership.

More detailed information on the mission and functions of CAPE can be found at the following website: https://www.cape.osd.mil.

\$ in Thousands

| | | FY 2013 <u>Actuals</u> | FY 2014 <u>Estimate</u> | FY 2015 <u>Estimate</u> |
|----|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| I. | DoD Chief Information Officer: | 83,521 | 74,235 | 70,237 |

a. <u>Chief Information Officer Mission:</u> Responsible for all matters relating to information and the information environment including command and control (C2), communications, radio frequency spectrum, network operations, information systems, information assurance, defense cybersecurity, the joint information environment, and position, navigation, and timing (PNT) policy. Supports all aspects of the Defense Information Enterprise – its policy development and oversight; strategic, business, infrastructure, and capital planning; resource management (e.g., people, funds, and technology); and its design, development, configuration, operation and protection. Emphasis is placed on providing plans, policies, processes, IT governance structures and

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

compliance oversight, engagement with DoD, OMB, and Inter-Agency Governance forums/processes, analytic assessments, enterprise-wide architecture, and technical expertise to achieve the Department's Joint Information Environment (JIE). Funds support DoD CIO responsibilities for the development and integration of Command, Control, Communications, Computing Network, and Information Infrastructure Capabilities (C4&IIC) to include cloud, data center, identity/access management, and asset management policies to ensure successful implementation of the JIE. For initiatives impacting the Department's information sharing, supporting the full range of Defense operations from tactical through strategic levels. Provides for C4&IIC technical strategy development and analysis activities (e.g. bandwidth assessments, spectrum analysis, satellite communication, integrated joint C2 capability plans, and commercial wireless analysis), capability advocacy, and domestic and international policy engagement. Funds the analysis and oversight of implementation strategies for IT-based systems, services, standards, specifications and protocols to enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of capabilities and ensure compliance with the Department's JIE objectives. Enables management and oversight of Department and Component-level strategies for C4&IIC programs to include technical analyses and evaluations of cost, schedule, performance, dependencies and requirements, and provides leadership with solid capability strategies and economic alternatives. Funds technical analysis, architecture development, and systems engineering analysis of C4&IIC related programs, technical evaluation and assessments of acquisition strategies and requirements, analyses of alternatives, and systems integration and synchronization. The funds also support the DoD CIO role as the Secretary's Principal Staff Assistant (PSA) for command and control and National Leadership Command Capabilities, and supports the availability and provisioning of fully capable and collaborative nuclear and national C2 networks, systems, and equipment. Funds support efforts to: improve visualization of the status of National and Presidential communications networks and information services, oversee and monitor secure

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

voice and cryptographic device/equipment modernization (both nationally and nuclear command and control), and develop engineering and architecture of future systems. These funds also support the oversight and preparation of OMB and Congressional justification materials for the DoD Information Technology budget, to include the Department's cybersecurity budget

b. <u>Information Systems Security Program (ISSP)</u>: Supports policy development, program oversight, development of strategies, the integration of all DoD Information Assurance (IA) efforts such as Computer Network Defense (CND), and capabilities for the restoration of information systems. Supports: IA and CND architecture development and oversight; IA and CND operations process integration, and impact assessment and mitigation planning. Also supports oversight and development of IA education, training and awareness -including IA Scholarship Program - defense information systems security programs, engagement with our allies on Internet Governance, and capabilities that support mission assurance and implementation processes.

c. <u>e-Gov Initiatives and Government-Wide Councils</u>: This program implements OMB IT Management requirements supporting the President's agenda for IT Efficiency, transparency, information sharing, and OMB's guidance on alignment of architectures, advancement of new technologies, Federal-wide management initiatives (e.g., Information Resource Management Planning, Enterprise Architecture and Roadmaps, Shared Services, Digital Government, Mobile Enablement, Cloud Capabilities, Data Center Consolidation, Commodity IT, Privacy, Section 508 Compliance, Management of Information as a Strategic Asset, IPv6, IT Asset Management and Investment oversight), and implementation of Federal-wide services. The funding will also provide for the Department's annual share for support to the Federal government-wide councils (Chief Financial Officers Council,

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

Chief Information Officers Council, Chief Human Capital Officers Council, Chief Acquisition Officers Council, and the President's Management Council).

d. <u>Cyber Security Initiative:</u> Supports the President's inter-agency Comprehensive National Cybersecurity Initiative (CNCI) in the areas of: FISMA compliance and increasing the security of the networks and information system; expanding education; and developing approaches for Global Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM). Funding for SCRM activities are generally comprised of two types: 1) SCRM piloting activities within DoD, and; 2) continued expansion of SCRM threat assessment capability. DoD is piloting SCRM key practices within DoD acquisition programs through SCRM piloting centers of excellence, which place SCRM Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) within DoD acquisition programs to test SCRM key practices and leverage threat information from the SCRM threat assessment capability. The goal is to mitigate risks to DoD's IT information systems from the global supply chain of hardware and software-based components. In addition funds support program protection plan analyses, and support for cyber professional education and training activities at the service academies, senior service and defense colleges, service training schools, and for distributive/web-based training and mentoring.

e. Defense Industrial Base, Cyber Security Initiative: Supports the Defense Industrial Base Cyber Security/Information Assurance (DIB CS/IA) program. Program activities include US government, Interagency, and DoD-wide collaboration, DoD policy development, cyber threat information sharing, network incident reporting and remediation, cyber intrusion damage assessment, digital forensic analysis, the development of network security/IA capabilities and development of associated network security technologies, as well as network management and remediation tools. The DIB CS/IA Task Force (TF) oversees implementation of roles and responsibilities assigned to DoD Components supporting the

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

program (e.g., NSA, Defense Cyber Crime Center, OSD, Military Departments, USSTRATCOM / USCYBERCOM, Agencies, etc.) and coordination with the Interagency. The DIB CS/IA TF also supports the Dept. of Homeland Security (DHS) efforts to extend DoD's DIB information sharing model to other critical infrastructure sectors, and supports the DIB CS/IA program for partnering with industry on cyber security and information assurance.

More detailed information on the mission and functions of DoD CIO can be found at the following website: <u>http://dodcio.defense.gov</u>.

\$ in Thousands

| | | FY 2013 <u>Actuals</u> | FY 2014 <u>Estimate</u> | FY 2015 <u>Estimate</u> |
|----|----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| J. | Deputy Chief Management Officer: | 27,030 | 29,099 | 29,847 |

The Office of Deputy Chief Management Officer (O, DCMO) was established through Section 904 of the Fiscal Year 2009 National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) to lead and enable end-to end integration and improvement of the business operation. On behalf of the Deputy Secretary of Defense, the O,DCMO works across the Department to synchronize and coordinate cross-functional business activities and break down organizational stovepipes.

The DCMO also serves as DoD Performance Improvement Officer ensuring that both operational and institutional processes are delivering needed capability. The DCMO strives to ensure delivery of efficient, effective and agile business operations that support and enable the Warfighter.

I. <u>Description of Operations Financed (cont.)</u>

O,DCMO creates this optimized enterprise-wide business environment by synchronizing and integrating the Department's business activities and management structures. Specifically, the DCMO is responsible for DoD business strategic planning, performance management, and oversight; successful implementation and oversight of defense business systems; effective business portfolio and investment management; providing rapid and agile solutions to the Warfighter; delivering the Business Enterprise Architecture, standards, and technology innovation; end-to-end business process optimization, integration and alignment; and utilization of business intelligence for effective decision making.

Overall, the O,DCMO provides DoD Components and stakeholders the guidance, tools, and resources needed to create a performance-oriented culture guided by an overarching business strategy that identifies cross-functional processes, tracks critical performance measures, manages investments to ensure Warfighter requirements are quickly and accurately met, and properly leverages information technology. This integrated management framework enhances DoD's ability to achieve important business outcomes in the Business Mission Area (BMA) and operational outcomes throughout the rest of the Department.

More detailed information on the mission and functions of the Office of the DCMO can be found at the following website: <u>https://dcmo.defense.gov</u>.

II. <u>Force Structure Summary</u>: N/A

| | - | FY 2014 | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------|-----------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| | | | Cong | ressional | Action | | | | |
| | FY 2013 | Budget | - | | | Current | FY 2015 | | |
| A. <u>BA Subactivities</u> | <u>Actual</u> | Request | Amount | Percent | Appropriated | <u>Estimate</u> | <u>Estimate</u> | | |
| 1. Core Operating Program | 355,088 | 388,252 | -28,975 | -7.5 | 359,277 | 359,277 | 357,360 | | |
| Core Operating Program | 355 , 088 | 388,252 | -28,975 | -7.5 | 359 , 277 | 359,277 | 357 , 360 | | |
| 2. Other DoD Programs and | 194,386 | 237,904 | 37,425 | 15.7 | 275,329 | 275,329 | 328,580 | | |
| Initiatives | | | | | | | | | |
| Other DoD Programs and | 194,386 | 237,904 | 37,425 | 15.7 | 275,329 | 275,329 | 328,580 | | |
| Initiatives | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. OUSD (AT&L) | 41,931 | 33,642 | 2,356 | 7.0 | 35,998 | 35,998 | 24,741 | | |
| Congressional Mandate | | | | | | | | | |
| BRAC Support | 0 | 8,000 | -8,000 | -100.0 | 0 | 0 | 4,800 | | |
| CFO Act Compliance | 8,061 | 3,176 | -201 | -6.3 | 2,975 | 2,975 | 2,606 | | |
| Committee on Foreign | 0 | 2,598 | -164 | -6.3 | 2,434 | 2,434 | 2,826 | | |
| Investment in the US (CFIUS) | | | | | | | | | |
| Contingency Business | 10,101 | 11,999 | -756 | -6.3 | 11,243 | 11,243 | 9,810 | | |
| Tools | | | | | | | | | |
| E-Business COE | 2,443 | 2,877 | -182 | -6.3 | 2,695 | 2,695 | 2,537 | | |
| Legacy Resource | 9,313 | 4,941 | -341 | -6.9 | 4,600 | 4,600 | 2,158 | | |
| Management Program | | | | | | | | | |
| Native American Land | 12,013 | 51 | 12,000 | 23,529. | 12,051 | 12,051 | 4 | | |
| Remediation | | | | 4 | | | | | |
| 4. OUSD (AT&L) Improve | 143,190 | 158,053 | -10,031 | -6.4 | 148,022 | 148,022 | 139,037 | | |
| Acquisition & Logistics | | | | | | | | | |
| Processes | | | | | | | | | |
| Acquisition Program | 7,969 | 8,998 | -567 | -6.3 | 8,431 | 8,431 | 7,359 | | |
| Support Systems | | | | | | | | | |
| Contingency | 1,991 | 2,907 | -184 | -6.3 | 2,723 | 2,723 | 2,600 | | |
| Contracting | | | | | | | | | |
| Corrosion Prevention | 3,689 | 5 , 752 | -363 | -6.3 | 5,389 | 5,389 | 4,848 | | |
| Corrosion Prevention | 3,689 | 5,752 | -363 | -6.3 | 5,389 | 5,389 | 4,848 | | |

| | | _ | FY 2014 | | | | | |
|----|--|--------------------------|-------------------|--------|-----------|--------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | Cong | ressional | Action | | |
| A. | BA Subactivities | FY 2013 <u>Actual</u> | Budget Request | Amount | Percent | | Current <u>Estimate</u> | FY 2015 <u>Estimate</u> |
| | Program | | | | | | | |
| | CWMD Expert Fellows Program | 5,882 | 1,912 | -164 | -8.6 | 1,748 | 1,748 | 2,160 |
| | CWMD Sustainment | 1,973 | 2,825 | -177 | -6.3 | 2,648 | 2,648 | 2,702 |
| | Defense Industrial Base Cyber Security | 2,662 | 3,065 | -194 | -6.3 | 2,871 | 2,871 | 2,579 |
| | Defense Installation Spatial Data Infra (DISDI) | 633 | 724 | -46 | -6.4 | 678 | 678 | 601 |
| | Defense Management Initiatives | 3,380 | 1,738 | -110 | -6.3 | 1,628 | 1,628 | 1,424 |
| | Defense Procurement & Acquisition Policy (DPAP) | 1,786 | 2,405 | -152 | -6.3 | 2,253 | 2,253 | 2,101 |
| | Developmental Test and Engineering (DT&E) | 1,871 | 2,185 | -138 | -6.3 | 2,047 | 2,047 | 1,885 |
| | DoD Siting Clearinghouse | 1,297 | 3,015 | -212 | -7.0 | 2,803 | 2,803 | 2,341 |
| | Emerging Contaminants | 1,041 | 672 | -60 | -8.9 | 612 | 612 | 505 |
| | Employee Safety Occupational Health (ESOH) in Acquisition | 1,368 | 689 | -44 | -6.4 | 645 | 645 | 634 |
| | Human Capital Initiative (HCI) | 1,536 | 2,994 | -190 | -6.4 | 2,804 | 2,804 | 2,521 |
| | I&E Business Enterprise Integration (Formerly Facilities Program Requirement) | 8,490 | 2,723 | -172 | -6.3 | 2,551 | 2,551 | 1,986 |

| | _ | FY 2014 | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| | | | Cong | ressional | Action | | | |
| | FY 2013 | Budget | | | | Current | FY 2015 | |
| A. <u>BA Subactivities</u> | <u>Actual</u> | Request | Amount | Percent | Appropriated | <u>Estimate</u> | <u>Estimate</u> | |
| Industrial Policy | 3,359 | 4,971 | -314 | -6.3 | 4,657 | 4,657 | 4,194 | |
| Program Support | | | | | | | | |
| Information | 0 | 6,819 | -430 | -6.3 | 6,389 | 6,389 | 4,516 | |
| Superiority | | | | | | | | |
| Integration Support | | | | | | | | |
| (ISIS) | | | | | | | | |
| Installation Climate | 697 | 963 | -71 | -7.4 | 892 | 892 | 760 | |
| Change Vulnerability | | | | | | | | |
| Assessment | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Acquisition | 29,495 | 29,052 | -1,698 | -5.8 | 27,354 | 27,354 | 29,116 | |
| Environment (GSA Bill) | c 100 | | | | | | C 101 | |
| Joint Purchase Card | 6,108 | 7,497 | -473 | -6.3 | 7,024 | 7,024 | 6,491 | |
| Office | 01 (50 | 00.004 | 1 4 6 7 | 6 3 | 01 01 7 | 01 017 | 10 001 | |
| Logistics Systems | 21,658 | 23,284 | -1,467 | -6.3 | 21,817 | 21,817 | 18,921 | |
| Modernization (LSM) Mission | 5,104 | 6,646 | -419 | -6.3 | 6,227 | 6,227 | 5,897 | |
| Capabilities/Systems | 5,104 | 0,040 | -419 | -0.3 | 0,227 | 0,227 | 5,897 | |
| Engineering | | | | | | | | |
| Operational Energy | 7,371 | 6,599 | -416 | -6.3 | 6,183 | 6,183 | 5,569 | |
| Plans and Programs | 1,311 | 0,399 | 410 | 0.5 | 0,105 | 0,105 | 5,505 | |
| (OEP&P) Office | | | | | | | | |
| Performance Assessment | 7,704 | 10,950 | -690 | -6.3 | 10,260 | 10,260 | 8,914 | |
| & Root Cause Analysis | ///01 | 10,000 | 050 | 0.0 | 107200 | 10,200 | 0,911 | |
| (PARCA) Office | | | | | | | | |
| RDT&E Oversight | 2,917 | 3,836 | -345 | -8.0 | 3,491 | 3,491 | 4,935 | |
| Small Business Program | 6,713 | 6 , 777 | -427 | -6.3 | 6,350 | 6,350 | 6,749 | |
| Support | -, | - / | | | -, | -, | -, | |
| Space and Intelligence | 6,189 | 6,833 | -431 | -6.3 | 6,402 | 6,402 | 5,743 | |
| <u>.</u> | , | , | | | , - | · - | , - | |

| | _ | FY 2014 | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | Cong | ressional | Action | | |
| A. <u>BA Subactivities</u> | FY 2013 <u>Actual</u> | Budget <u>Request</u> | Amount | Percent | Appropriated | Current <u>Estimate</u> | FY 2015 <u>Estimate</u> |
| MDAP Oversight Strategic Sourcing 5. OUSD (AT&L) Promulgate | 307 23,328 | 1,222 23,455 | -77 -1,479 | -6.3 -6.3 | 1,145 21,976 | 1,145 21,976 | 986 19,498 |
| Policy | , | , | _, | | , | , | , |
| Acquisition Visibility AT&L Knowledge Sharing Systems | 16,715 6,026 | 18,906 3,836 | -1,192 -242 | -6.3 -6.3 | 17,714 3,594 | 17,714 3,594 | 15,411 3,354 |
| Transform Procurement Regulations | 587 | 713 | -45 | -6.3 | 668 | 668 | 733 |
| 6. OUSD (AT&L) Regulatory | 51,391 | 53,688 | 9,594 | 17.9 | 63,282 | 63,282 | 46,229 |
| Requirement | | | | | | | |
| Acquisition Workforce Demonstration | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Environmental International Cooperation | 2,899 | 2,037 | -129 | -6.3 | 1,908 | 1,908 | 1,642 |
| International Cooperation Program Support | 410 | 1,089 | -81 | -7.4 | 1,008 | 1,008 | 1,075 |
| Low Observable, CLO | 3,514 | 125 | -8 | -6.4 | 117 | 117 | 108 |
| Readiness & Env. Protection Initiative (REPI) | 44 , 568 | 50,437 | 9,812 | 19.4 | 60,249 | 60,249 | 43,404 |
| 7. OUSD (AT&L) Other | 11,049 | 18,660 | -1,177 | -6.3 | 17,483 | 17,483 | 15,010 |
| OSD Studies Fund | 10,685 | 14,546 | -917 | -6.3 | 13,629 | 13,629 | 11,604 |
| OUSD(AT&L) Travel | 364 | 4,114 | -260 | -6.3 | 3,854 | 3,854 | 3,406 |
| 8. OUSD (AT&L) OCO | 12,659 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OCO OUSD (AT&L) | 12,659 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | - | FY 2014 | | | | | |
|----|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | Cong | ressional | Action | | |
| A. | BA Subactivities | FY 2013 <u>Actual</u> | Budget <u>Request</u> | Amount | Percent | Appropriated | Current <u>Estimate</u> | FY 2015 <u>Estimate</u> |
| 9. | OUSD (Policy) | 62,445 | 66,058 | -9,073 | -13.7 | 56,985 | 56,985 | 60,608 |
| | Defense Critical | 7,455 | 8,640 | -500 | -5.8 | 8,140 | 8,140 | 7 , 710 |
| | Infrastructure | | | | | | | |
| | Protection | | | | | | | |
| | Global Threat | 4,147 | 7,087 | -2,100 | -29.6 | 4,987 | 4,987 | 0 |
| | Management | | | | | | | |
| | Homeland Defense | 4,948 | 6 , 375 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 , 375 | 6 , 375 | 0 |
| | Support Activities | | | | | | | |
| | International Defense | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 5,638 |
| | Program | | | | | | | |
| | Mission Support | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 5,908 |
| | OCO OUSD(Policy) | 13,991 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | OUSD(P) Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 29,962 |
| | OUSD(P) Travel | 3 , 475 | 5,613 | 0 | 0.0 | 5,613 | 5,613 | 0 |
| | Policy Planning and | 7 , 835 | 8,750 | -2,800 | -32.0 | 5,950 | 5,950 | 0 |
| | Integration | | | | | | | |
| | Regional Security | 3,500 | 5,958 | -600 | -10.1 | 5 , 358 | 5 , 358 | 0 |
| | Affairs | | | | | | | |
| | Rewards Program | 5 , 250 | 8,466 | -500 | -5.9 | 7 , 966 | 7,966 | 7,990 |
| | Strategy and Force | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 3,400 |
| | Development | | | | | | | |
| | US Mission to NATO | 5,497 | 6,100 | -573 | -9.4 | 5 , 527 | 5 , 527 | 0 |
| | Warfighting Support | 6 , 347 | 9,069 | -2,000 | -22.1 | 7,069 | 7,069 | 0 |
| | Activities | | | | | | | |
| 10 | . OUSD (Comptroller) | 30,368 | 39,585 | -2,478 | -6.3 | 37,107 | 37,107 | 39,590 |
| | Comptroller | 23,224 | 30,709 | -2,478 | -8.1 | 28,231 | 28,231 | 30,424 |
| | Initiatives | | | | | | | |
| | Enterprise Funds | 3,093 | 3,150 | 0 | 0.0 | 3,150 | 3,150 | 3,206 |

| | _ | FY 2014 | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | | | Cong | ressional | Action | | | |
| A. BA Subactivities | FY 2013 <u>Actual</u> | Budget <u>Request</u> | Amount | Percent | Appropriated | Current <u>Estimate</u> | FY 2015 <u>Estimate</u> | |
| Distribution System Support | | | | | | | | |
| Next Generation Resource Management System | 2,644 | 4,202 | 0 | 0.0 | 4,202 | 4,202 | 4,277 | |
| OUSD(C) Administrative Support | 1,407 | 1,524 | 0 | 0.0 | 1,524 | 1,524 | 1,683 | |
| 11. $OUSD$ (P&R) | 765,815 | 693,296 | -39,401 | -5.7 | 653,895 | 653,895 | 656,989 | |
| Advancing Diversity & EO | 6,678 | 8,700 | -494 | -5.7 | 8,206 | 8,206 | 7,569 | |
| ASD (Health Affairs) Operations | 728 | 858 | -49 | -5.7 | 809 | 809 | 678 | |
| ASD (Reserve Affairs) Operations | 1,566 | 1,610 | -91 | -5.7 | 1,519 | 1,519 | 1,392 | |
| Combatant Commanders Exercise Engagement & Training Transformation | 632,433 | 649,224 | -41,333 | -6.4 | 607,891 | 607,891 | 611,155 | |
| Defense Readiness Reporting System (DRRS) | 7,143 | 7,387 | -420 | -5.7 | 6 , 967 | 6,967 | 6,169 | |
| Defense Safety Oversight Council (DSOC) | 15,754 | 9,296 | -528 | -5.7 | 8 , 768 | 8,768 | 9,116 | |
| Lost Work Day System Military Naturalization Support | 2,583 6,645 | 2,785 6,774 | -158 -385 | -5.7 -5.7 | 2,627 6,389 | 2,627 6,389 | 2,781 6,019 | |
| OCO OUSD(P&R) | 11,601 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

| | | _ | FY 2014 | | | | | |
|----|-------------------------|---------|-----------------|--------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | | | | Cong | ressional | Action | | |
| | | FY 2013 | Budget | | | | Current | FY 2015 |
| A. | BA Subactivities | Actual | Request | Amount | Percent | Appropriated | <u>Estimate</u> | <u>Estimate</u> |
| | OUSD(P&R) | 4,810 | 3,267 | -186 | -5.7 | 3,081 | 3,081 | 3,158 |
| | Administrative Support | | | | | | | |
| | OUSD(P&R) Travel | 504 | 1,049 | -60 | -5.7 | 989 | 989 | 948 |
| | Studies Program/CASS | 890 | 2,346 | -133 | -5.7 | 2,213 | 2,213 | 2,159 |
| | Training | 0 | 0 | 4,436 | n/a | 4,436 | 4,436 | 5,845 |
| | Transformation | | | | | | | |
| | Wounded Warrior Care | 74,480 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | . OUSD (Intel) | 179,754 | 153,239 | -9,428 | -6.2 | 143,811 | 143,811 | 75,736 |
| | Defense Civilian | 2,078 | 2,100 | -100 | -4.8 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 1,903 |
| | Intelligence Personnel | | | | | | | |
| | System | | | | | | | |
| | Defense Military | 4,461 | 5,820 | -2,203 | -37.9 | 3,617 | 3,617 | 3,724 |
| | Deception Program | | | | | | | |
| | Office | | | | | | | |
| | Defense Operation | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 4,300 |
| | Security Initiative | | | | | | | |
| | Intelligence Mission | 111,916 | 75 , 773 | -4,102 | -5.4 | 71,671 | 71 , 671 | 65 , 809 |
| | International | 61,299 | 69 , 546 | -3,023 | -4.4 | 66 , 523 | 66 , 523 | 0 |
| | Intelligence | | | | | | | |
| | Technology and | | | | | | | |
| | Architecture | | | | | | | |
| | OCO OUSD(INTEL) | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | . Director, CAPE | 24,885 | 34,110 | -2,135 | -6.3 | 31,975 | 31,975 | 27,701 |
| | CAPE Travel | 192 | 450 | 0 | 0.0 | 450 | 450 | 245 |
| | FYDP Improvement | 3,601 | 3,748 | 0 | 0.0 | 3,748 | 3,748 | 3,816 |
| | Industrial Base | 1,840 | 2,268 | 0 | 0.0 | 2,268 | 2,268 | 2,308 |
| | Studies | | | | | | | |
| | Long-Range Planning | 19,252 | 27,644 | -2,135 | -7.7 | 25 , 509 | 25,509 | 21,332 |
| | | | | | | | | |

III. Financial Summary (\$ in thousands)

| | _ | FY 2014 | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | Cong | ressional | Action | | | | |
| A. <u>BA Subactivities</u> 14. DoD Chief Information | FY 2013 <u>Actual</u> 83,521 | Budget <u>Request</u> 79,192 | <u>Amount</u> -4,957 | Percent -6.3 | Appropriated 74,235 | Current <u>Estimate</u> 74,235 | FY 2015 <u>Estimate</u> 70,237 | | |
| Officer | · | | | | | | | | |
| CIO Mission | 29,287 | 32 , 375 | -2,055 | -6.4 | 30,320 | 30,320 | 29,257 | | |
| Cyber Security Initiative | 23,072 | 11,394 | -723 | -6.4 | 10,671 | 10,671 | 9,951 | | |
| Defense Industrial Base, Cyber Security Initiative | 2,285 | 3,410 | -217 | -6.4 | 3,193 | 3,193 | 3,038 | | |
| DoD CIO Travel | 350 | 1,097 | 0 | 0.0 | 1,097 | 1,097 | 673 | | |
| Egov, Councils | 16,272 | 17,738 | -1,126 | -6.4 | 16,612 | 16,612 | 15,809 | | |
| Information Superiority Integration Support (ISIS) | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Information Systems Security Program (ISSP) | 12,255 | 13,178 | -836 | -6.3 | 12,342 | 12,342 | 11,509 | | |
| Mission & Analysis Fund | 0 | 0 | 0 | n/a | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 15. Deputy Chief | 27,030 | 31,042 | -1,943 | -6.3 | 29,099 | 29,099 | 29,847 | | |
| Management Officer | , | - , | , | - / - | -, | -, | - / | | |
| DCMO Integration and Policy | 27,030 | 31,042 | -1,943 | -6.3 | 29,099 | 29,099 | 29,847 | | |
| Total | 2,006,840 | 2,010,176 | -61,702 | -3.1 | 1,948,474 | 1,948,474 | 1,891,163 | | |

* The FY 2013 Actual column includes \$38,251 thousand of FY 2013 OCO Appropriations funding (PL 113-6).

* The FY 2014 Estimate column excludes \$68,227 thousand of FY 2014 Overseas Contingency Operations Appropriations funding (PL 113-76).

* The FY 2015 Estimate <u>excludes</u> OCO.

| | Change | Change |
|--|-----------|------------------------|
| B. <u>Reconciliation Summary</u> | | <u>FY 2014/FY 2015</u> |
| Baseline Funding | 2,010,176 | 1,948,474 |
| Congressional Adjustments (Distributed) | -95,185 | |
| Congressional Adjustments (Undistributed) | | |
| Adjustments to Meet Congressional Intent | | |
| Congressional Adjustments (General Provisions) | 33,483 | |
| Subtotal Appropriated Amount | 1,948,474 | |
| Fact-of-Life Changes (2014 to 2014 Only) | | |
| Subtotal Baseline Funding | 1,948,474 | |
| Supplemental | 68,227 | |
| Reprogrammings | | |
| Price Changes | | 32,693 |
| Functional Transfers | | |
| Program Changes | | -90,004 |
| Current Estimate | 2,016,701 | 1,891,163 |
| Less: Wartime Supplemental | -68,227 | |
| Normalized Current Estimate | 1,948,474 | |

| C. <u>Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases</u> FY 2014 President's Budget Request (Amended, if applicable) 1. Congressional Adjustments | <u>Amount</u> | <u>Totals</u> 2,010,176 -61,702 |
|--|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| a. Distributed Adjustments 1) Distributed Adjustments | -95,185 | |
| b. Undistributed Adjustments | | |
| c. Adjustments to Meet Congressional Intent d. General Provisions | | |
| 1) Section 8023 (FFRDC) | -1,065 | |
| 2) Section 8034 (Indian Lands) | 11,250 | |
| 3) Section 8077 (Red Cross) | 24,000 | |
| 4) Section 8077 (USO) | 20,000 | |
| 5) Section 8108 (Review of Superintendents of SVC | 1,000 | |
| Academies) | 01 700 | |
| 6) Section 8140 (WCF Excess Cash) FY 2014 Appropriated Amount | -21,702 | 1,948,474 |
| 2. War-Related and Disaster Supplemental Appropriations | | 68,227 |
| a. OCO Supplemental Funding | | 007227 |
| 1) Anticipated OCO Supplemental Funding | 68,227 | |
| 3. Fact-of-Life Changes | | |
| FY 2014 Baseline Funding | | 2,016,701 |
| 4. Reprogrammings (Requiring 1415 Actions) | | |
| Revised FY 2014 Estimate | | 2,016,701 |
| 5. Less: Item 2, War-Related and Disaster Supplemental Appropriations and Item 4, Reprogrammings | | -68,227 |
| FY 2014 Normalized Current Estimate | | 1,948,474 |
| 6. Price Change | | 32,693 |
| 7. Functional Transfers | | -67,720 |
| a. Transfers In | | |
| b. Transfers Out | | |
| 1) OUSD(I) - International Intelligence Technology and | -67,720 | |

| C. <u>Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases</u> | Amount | <u>Totals</u> |
|---|--------|---------------|
| Architectures | | |
| Program transferred to the Air Force from the USD(I) | | |
| as a part of the Department's efforts to | | |
| appropriately align programs as a result of the | | |
| SECDEF Organizational Review. No FTEs were | | |
| transferred. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$66,523 thousand; +0 | | |
| FTEs) | | |
| 8. Program Increases | | 109,803 |
| a. Annualization of New FY 2014 Program | | |
| b. One-Time FY 2015 Increases | | |
| c. Program Growth in FY 2015 | | |
| 1) DCMO - Integration and Policy | 224 | |
| Increase will support the DCMO's ability to comply | | |
| and support legislated requirements to include | | |
| mandated Business Process Reengineering activity and | | |
| Department of Defense business systems. (FY 2014 | | |
| Baseline: \$29,099 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 2) Other DoD Programs and Initiatives | 48,296 | |
| Department of State increased OSD's capital security | | |
| cost sharing allocation for Capital Security Cost- | | |
| Sharing and Maintenance Cost-Sharing Programs in | | |
| support of Embassy Security and Maintenance. (FY | | |
| 2014 Baseline: \$275,329 thousand; +0 FTEs) | 2.4.0 | |
| 3) OUSD (AT&L) - Committee on Foreign Investment in the | 348 | |
| United States (CFIUS) | | |
| Increase ensures compliance with section 721 of the | | |
| Defense Production Act (DPA), which states that MIBP | | |
| is required to conduct national security reviews | | |
| within 75 days, or 30 days in case of a heightened | | |
| concern to determine the risks of an acquisition | | |

| C. <u>Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases</u> | Amount | <u>Totals</u> |
|--|--------|---------------|
| transaction. This enhancement will allow the | | |
| Department to meet the statutory deadlines and | | |
| protect U.S. national security interests. (FY 2014 | | |
| Baseline: \$2,434 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 4) OUSD (AT&L) - Integrated Acquisition Environment | 1,270 | |
| (GSA Bill) | | |
| Increase represents GSA cost passed along to DoD to | | |
| fund software support bill. (FY 2014 Baseline: | | |
| \$27,354 thousand; +0 FTEs) | F 2 | |
| 5) OUSD (AT&L) - Transform Procurement Regulations | 53 | |
| Account was slightly increased to fund necessary | | |
| contracted efforts. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$668 thousand; | | |
| +0 FTES) | 4,800 | |
| 6) OUSD - (AT&L) BRAC 2015 Round Planning and Analyses Increase reflects contractor support for preparation | 4,000 | |
| of analysis for possible BRAC. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$0 | | |
| thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 7) OUSD - (AT&L) International Cooperation Program | 48 | |
| Support | 10 | |
| Account was slightly increased to fund necessary | | |
| contracted efforts. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$1,008 | | |
| thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 8) OUSD - (AT&L) RDT&E Oversight | 1,380 | |
| The increase represents the realignment of funds from | | |
| compensation and benefits to mission funding due to | | |
| reduction of 3 IPA planned hires in FY15. (FY 2014 | | |
| Baseline: \$1,145 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 9) OUSD - (AT&L) Small Business Program Support | 285 | |
| Increase in in the level of effort for the Office, | | |
| Small Businee Programs (OSBP) initiative for | | |
| | | |

| C. <u>Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases</u> continuing the implementation of the DoD Small Business Market Research Center of Excellence, which supports Better Buying Power 2.0 and is key to improving effectiveness of the acquisition workforce and the Department's ability to achieve small business performance goals. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$6,350 thousand; +0 FTEs) | <u>Amount</u> | <u>Totals</u> |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| 10) OUSD(AT&L) - Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD) Expert Fellows Program The increase represents the realignment of funds from compensation and benefits to mission funds due to the reduction 3 IPAs. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$1,748 thousand; +0 FTEs) | 381 | |
| 11) OUSD(AT&L) - Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction | 6 | |
| <pre>(CWMD) Sustainment Increase in contractor support for the Global CWMD Awareness System (GCAS) prototype development. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$2,648 thousand; +0 FTEs) 12) OUSD(C) - Administrative Support Increase funds additional requirements for conference call support which reduces travel costs and also funds price change for administrative support contract. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$1,524 thousand; +0 FTEs)</pre> | 132 | |
| <pre>13) OUSD(C) - Comptroller Initiatives Increase funds program support for the Department's financial improvement plans and audit readiness goals. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$28,231 thousand; +0 FTEs)</pre> | 1,685 | |
| 14) OUSD(I) - Defense Military Deception Program Office Increase support in managing the formulation of | 40 | |

| C. <u>Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases</u> MILDEC policies and plans related to Department of Defense (DoD) activities and advising on security matters, with emphasis on national, DoD, intelligence, missile defense, arms control, space control, acquisition, electronic warfare, and training. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$3,617 thousand; +0 FTEs) | Amount | <u>Totals</u> |
|---|--------|---------------|
| 15) OUSD(I) - Defense Operations Security Initiative (DOSI) | 4,300 | |
| The internal realignment of FY 2015 DOSI funding between Operations and Maintenance and Research & Development is due to the maturation of the DOSI Program from mainly a developmental focus to a more equitable balance between continued development and sustainment of the development already completed. As the defense-wide OPSEC program progresses, DOSI O&M functions are progressing and maturing respectively. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$0 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 16) OUSD(P&R) - Administrative Support Increase provides funding for the continued support of a Department of Defense (DoD) Veteran Affairs Office for the accomplishment of SECDEF-directed improvements in the coordination of Veterans' programs throughout DoD. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$3,081 thousand; +0 FTEs) | 21 | |
| 17) OUSD(P&R) - Defense Safety Oversight Council (DSOC) Supports the increasing number of installations and sites improving their safety management systems and submitting Voluntary Protection Programs (VPP) progress applications, resulting in decreases in job | 190 | |

| C. <u>Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases</u> related injuries and claims. (FY 2014 Baseline: | Amount | <u>Totals</u> |
|--|--------|---------------|
| \$8,768 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 18) OUSD(P&R) - Lost Work Day System (LWD) | 107 | |
| Supports the information assurance requirements and | 107 | |
| transition of hosting the Force Risk Reduction tool | | |
| in accordance with the DoD IT consolidation. (FY 2014 | | |
| Baseline: \$2,627 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 19) OUSD(P&R) - Training Transformation | 1,329 | |
| Supports Training Readiness and Strategy Analytical; | 1,329 | |
| and Policy contracts which adhere to the Defense-wide | | |
| initiatives to increase readiness. Effort provides | | |
| scientific, engineering, technical, and analytical | | |
| services in support of training policy and strategy | | |
| development. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$4,436 thousand; +0 | | |
| FTEs) | | |
| 20) OUSD(P) International Defense Programs | 5,638 | |
| OUSD(P) restructured its programs to more accurately | 57050 | |
| reflect the support it provides to the Secretary of | | |
| Defense. Increase is a result of realigning the US | | |
| Mission to NATO program funding. (FY 2014 Baseline: | | |
| \$0 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 21) OUSD(P) Mission Support | 5,908 | |
| OUSD(P) restructured its programs to more accurately | 0,000 | |
| reflect the support it provides to the Secretary of | | |
| Defense. Increase is a result of funding realignment | | |
| from OUSD(P) Warfighting Support Activities and | | |
| Global Threat Management. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$0 | | |
| thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 22) OUSD(P) Operations | 29,962 | |
| OUSD(P) restructured its programs to more accurately | , | |
| | | |

| с. | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases | Amount | <u>Totals</u> |
|----|---|--------|---------------|
| | reflect the support it provides to the Secretary of | | |
| | Defense. Increase is a result of OUSD(P) funding | | |
| | realignment from OUSD(P) Travel, Regional Security | | |
| | Affairs, Policy Planning and Integration, Homeland | | |
| | Defense Support Activities, Warfighting Support | | |
| | Activities, and Global Threat Management. (FY 2014 | | |
| | Baseline: \$0 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| | 23) OUSD(P) Strategy and Force Development | 3,400 | |
| | OUSD(P) restructured its programs to more accurately | | |
| | reflect the support it provides to the Secretary of | | |
| | Defense. Increase is a result of OUSD(P) funding | | |
| | realignment from Global Threat Management and | | |
| | Warfighting Support Activities. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$0 | | |
| ~ | thousand; +0 FTEs) | | 100.005 |
| 9. | Program Decreases | | -132,087 |
| | a. Annualization of FY 2014 Program Decreases | | |
| | b. One-Time FY 2014 Increases | | |
| | c. Program Decreases in FY 2015 | 4 600 | |
| | 1) CAPE - Long Range Planning | -4,638 | |
| | Reduction in the Long Range Planning program | | |
| | beginning this cycle to support realignment of | | |
| | management headquarters resources to combat | | |
| | capabilities to streamline management headquarters | | |
| | activities. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$25,509 thousand; +0 | | |
| | FTEs) 2) CAPE Travel | -212 | |
| | , | -212 | |
| | Reduction in travel funding by leveraging technologies for video-teleconferencing, minimizing | | |
| | the number of individuals traveling to events and | | |
| | locations, and continuing to ensure the organization | | |
| | rocations, and continuing to ensure the organization | | |

| С. | Recon | ciliation of Increases and Decreases | Amount | <u>Totals</u> |
|----|-------|--|--------|---------------|
| | | conducts only mission-essential travel to streamline | | |
| | | management headquarters activities. (FY 2014 | | |
| | | Baseline: \$450 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| | 3) | Core Operating Program - Compensation and Benefits | -5,505 | |
| | | The program decrease of $\$-5,505$ thousand is due to a | | |
| | | strategic efficiency reduction in management | | |
| | | headquarters and staffing for better alignment and to | | |
| | | provide support to a smaller military force. Cost | | |
| | | associated with non-consulting Intergovernmental | | |
| | | Personnel Act (IPA) was reduced from \$9,520 thousand | | |
| | | to \$3,575 thousand, a program decrease of \$-6,040. | | |
| | | Cost for direct civilian full-time equivalents | | |
| | | program increased by \$535 due to anticipated change | | |
| | | in workforce cost. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$359,277 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| | 1) | DoD CIO - CIO Mission | -1,608 | |
| | 4) | Reduce contractor support to C2 policy development | 1,000 | |
| | | activities, bandwidth assessments, UAS encryption | | |
| | | instructions, SATCOM and commercial wireless policy | | |
| | | documents, domestic and international spectrum policy | | |
| | | support, and C2 core data standards. In addition to | | |
| | | reducing contractor support to the Defense | | |
| | | Information Enterprise - its strategic, business, | | |
| | | infrastructure, and capital planning; resource (e.g., | | |
| | | people, funds, and technology) management; and its | | |
| | | design, development, configuration, acquisition, | | |
| | | operation and protection. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$30,320 | | |
| | | thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| | 5) | DoD CIO - Cyber Security Initiative OSD | -911 | |
| | | Reduce contractor support to the Supply Chain Risk | | |

| c. | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases Management (SCRM) threat assessment capability and will reduce efforts for support program protection plan analysis, and support for cyber professional education and training activities at the service academies, senior service and defense colleges, service training schools, and for distributive/web- based training and mentoring. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$10,671 thousand) | <u>Amount</u> | <u>Totals</u> |
|----|--|---------------|---------------|
| | 6) DOD CIO - Defense Industrial Base, Cyber Security | -213 | |
| | Initiative | | |
| | Reduction to contractor support to the Defense Industrial Base Cyber Security/Information Assurance (DIB CS/IA) program. Support to US Government, Interagency, and DoD-Wide collaboration, DoD policy development, cyber threat information sharing, network incident reporting and remediation, cyber intrusion damage assessment, digital forensic analysis, the development of network security/IA capabilities and development of associated network security technologies. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$3,193 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| | 7) DoD CIO - Egov, Councils Reduce contractor support to IT Management requirements supporting the President's agenda for transparency, information sharing, and alignment of architectures, advancement of new technologies, Federal-wide management initiatives, and implementation of Federal-wide services. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$16,612 thousand; +0 FTEs) | -1,102 | |
| | 8) DoD CIO - Information Systems Security Program (ISSP) | -1,055 | |
| | | | |

| C. <u>Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases</u> Reduce contractor support to policy development, program oversight and integration of all DoD Information Assurance (IA) efforts such as Computer Network Defense (CND) and the restoration of information systems. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$12,342 | <u>Amount</u> | <u>Totals</u> |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 9) DoD CIO - Travel Reduce Travel costs by more efficient use of video Teleconfrencing (VTC) in lieu of travel and, where possible, consolidation of mission travel requirements into fewer trips to streamline management headquarters activities. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$1,097 thousand; +0 FTEs) | -444 | |
| 10) OUSD (AT&L)-Legacy Resource Management Program Deferral of eight natural and/or cultural resource projects aimed at improving access to, or use of, training lands and development/fielding of tools to increase efficiency of installation-level programs. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$4,600 thousand; +0 FTEs) | -2,525 | |
| 11) OUSD - (AT&L) Acquisition Program Support Systems Reduces leverage Enterprise software licensing and postpones support to emerging requirements. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$8,431 thousand; +0 FTEs) | -1,224 | |
| 12) OUSD - (AT&L) Acquisition Visibility Significant decrease of contract support to execute information for milestone decision support, Defense Acquisition Executive Summary (DAES), and the ability to measure the Department's overall acquisition performance. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$17,714 thousand; +0 FTEs) | -2,623 | |

| C. | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases | Amount | <u>Totals</u> |
|----|--|--------|---------------|
| | 13) OUSD - (AT&L) AT&L Knowledge Sharing Systems | -305 | |
| | Halts development and updates of performance learning | | |
| | tools (PLTs), job aids, and other knowledge sharing | | |
| | services that directly support on-the-job learning. | | |
| | Reduction in the updates to continuous learnining | | |
| | module. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$3,594 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| | 14) OUSD - (AT&L) Contingency Business Tools | -1,635 | |
| | Reduces the overall level of effort of for the | | |
| | Contingency Acquisition Support Model and Joint | | |
| | Contingency Contract Systems requirements. (FY 2014 | | |
| | Baseline: \$11,243 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| | 15) OUSD - (AT&L) Contingency Contracting | -172 | |
| | Reduction of contractor support. (FY 2014 Baseline: | | |
| | \$2,723 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| | 16) OUSD - (AT&L) Corrosion Prevention Program | -638 | |
| | Reduces contract support that determines mission | | |
| | critical projects affecting weapon systems' | | |
| | availability due to corrosion. (FY 2014 Baseline: | | |
| | \$5,389 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| | 17) OUSD - (AT&L) Defense Industrial Base Cyber Security | -344 | |
| | Reduces level of effort for the Institute of Defense | | |
| | Analyses (IDA). (FY 2014 Baseline: \$2,871 thousand; | | |
| | +0 FTEs) | | |
| | 18) OUSD - (AT&L) Defense Installation Spatial Data | -89 | |
| | Infra (DISDI) | | |
| | Decrease reduces contract support. (FY 2014 Baseline: | | |
| | \$678 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| | 19) OUSD - (AT&L) Defense Management Initiatives | -233 | |
| | Decrease is due to a one-time effort in FY14 to | | |
| | support energy initiatives. Eliminates of contractor | | |
| | | | |

| C. <u>Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases</u> | Amount | <u>Totals</u> |
|--|--------|---------------|
| support, defers contractor efforts to automate analytics for energy, requiring continued use of manual spreadsheets to analyze data. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$1,628 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 20) OUSD - (AT&L) Defense Procurement & Acquisition | -192 | |
| Policy (DPAP) | | |
| Reduction of contractor support. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$2,253 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 21) OUSD - (AT&L) Developmental Test and Engineering | -200 | |
| (DT&E) | | |
| Reduces FFRDC support by approximately 1.2 Staff Technical equivalent(STE). This decrease would impact the technical data analysis and development of detailed written performance assessments for all MDAP and MAIS programs entering EMD (MS B), proceeding to MS C, or IOT&E. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$2,047 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 22) OUSD - (AT&L) DoD Siting Clearinghouse Decrease is due to a one-time effort in FY14 to comply with FY11 NDAA requirements. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$2,803 thousand; +0 FTEs) | -512 | |
| <pre>23) OUSD - (AT&L) E-Business COE Reduction of contractor support. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$2,695 thousand; +0 FTEs)</pre> | -207 | |
| 24) OUSD - (AT&L) Emerging Contaminants Elimination of one Phase I Impact Assessment for a potential high risk chemical, and one Phase II Impact Assessment for decaBDE, a fire retardant chemical previously identified as high risk due to a production phase-out. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$612 | -117 | |

| C. <u>Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases</u> | Amount | <u>Totals</u> |
|--|--------|---------------|
| thousand; +0 FTEs) 25) OUSD - (AT&L) Employee Safety Occupational Health (ESOH) in Acquisition | -22 | |
| Reduces the number of ESOH acquisition reviews for systems in the acquisition pipeline by approximately (10) ten. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$645 thousand; +0 FTEs) 26) OUSD - (AT&L) Environmental International Cooperation | -300 | |
| Decrease is due to a one-time effort in FY14 to meet support requirements to the Joint Staff. Defers several engagements originally approved through the DEIC process. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$1,908 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 27) OUSD - (AT&L) Human Capital Initiative (HCI) Reduction in contractor support. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$2,804 thousand; +0 FTEs) | -333 | |
| 28) OUSD - (AT&L) I&E Business Enterprise Integration Decrease is due to a one-time effort in FY14 to accelerate activities that were reduced due to the disestablishment of BTA. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$2,551 thousand; +0 FTEs) | -611 | |
| 29) OUSD - (AT&L) Industrial Policy Program Support Reduction in contractor support. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$4,657 thousand; +0 FTEs) | -546 | |
| 30) OUSD - (AT&L) Information Superiority Integration Support (ISIS) Reduces portfolio oversight of DASD(C3&Cyber) programs, to include development and enforcement of policies and practices of DoDD 5000.01, DoDI 5000.02 and DoDD 7045.20; engineering, analytic and technical | -1,988 | |

| C. <u>Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases</u> support such as metric development, issue resolution, cost, schedule, risk and performance assessments relating to tactical and strategic military systems. Oversight responsibilities do not diminish in proportional to reductions in acquisition programs. Technical oversight and planning for long-term capabilities will be impaired. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$6,389 thousand; +0 FTEs) | <u>Amount</u> | <u>Totals</u> |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| 31) OUSD - (AT&L) Installation Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment Delays schedules to develop policy for climate change adaptation. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$892 thousand; +0 FTEs) | -148 | |
| 32) OUSD - (AT&L) Joint Purchase Card Office Reduction of contractor support. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$7,024 thousand; +0 FTEs) | -659 | |
| 33) OUSD - (AT&L) Logistics Systems Modernization (LSM) Decrease is a result of the transfer of funding to the Defense Logistics Agency to support the Center for Joint and Strategic Logistics (\$-469K), no FTEs were transferred. Decrease is also a result of efficiencies realized through successful efforts to streamline supply chain and maintenance operations, and more effective inventory management. Efficiencies also realized by improving internal acquisition processes. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$21,817 thousand; +0 FTEs) | -3,289 | |
| <pre>34) OUSD - (AT&L) Low Observable, CLO Reduction in contractor support. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$117 thousand; +0 FTEs)</pre> | -11 | |

| C. <u>Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases</u> 35) OUSD - (AT&L) Mission Capabilities/Systems Engineering | <u>Amount</u> -421 | <u>Totals</u> |
|---|-----------------------|---------------|
| Reduces contractor support for the follow-on JHU/APL software analysis in support of major defense acquisition programs. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$6,227 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 36) OUSD - (AT&L) Native Amer Land Remediation Section 8045 | -12,264 | |
| Reduces program support provided by the Corps of Engineers to efficiently close out projects funded in prior years. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$12,051 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 37) OUSD - (AT&L) Operational Energy Plans and Programs | -725 | |
| (OEP&P) Office Reduction in contractor support. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$6,183 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 38) OUSD - (AT&L) OSD Studies Fund Numerous research studies that would be used to inform senior decision makers regarding investment, strategy, performance, and policy issues will be deferred or cancelled. Other studies will be conducted with reduced scope or with deferred phases. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$13,629 thousand; +0 FTEs) | -2,270 | |
| 39) OUSD - (AT&L) Performance Assessment & Root Cause Analysis (PARCA) Office Reduces contractor support to provide multiple performance assessments and root cause analyses. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$10,260 thousand; +0 FTEs) | -1,509 | |
| 40) OUSD - (AT&L) Readiness Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI) | -17,933 | |

| С. | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases | Amount | <u>Totals</u> |
|----|---|-----------------|---------------|
| | Decrease is due to a one-time effort in FY14 to | | |
| | mitigate encroachment around military installations. | | |
| | (FY 2014 Baseline: \$60,249 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| | 41) OUSD - (AT&L) Space and Intelligence MDAP Oversight | -774 | |
| | Decrease reflects the consolidation of MDAP Oversight | | |
| | related studies with enterprise level space systems | | |
| | architecture studies for the USD(AT&L). (FY 2014 | | |
| | Baseline: \$6,402 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| | 42) OUSD - (AT&L) Strategic Sourcing | -180 | |
| | Reduction in contractor support. (FY 2014 Baseline: | | |
| | \$1,145 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| | 43) OUSD - (AT&L) Travel | -517 | |
| | Reduces travel funding by leveraging video | | |
| | teleconferencing technology to streamline management | | |
| | Headquarters. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$3,854 thousand; +0 | | |
| | FTES) | | |
| | 44) OUSD(AT&L) - CFO Act Compliance | -422 | |
| | Reduces contractor effort to support the 2017 Full | | |
| | Financial Audit Directive. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$2,975 | | |
| | thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| | 45) OUSD(I) - Intelligence Mission | -7 , 155 | |
| | Decrease is predominantly due to reduced requirements | | |
| | for Quick Reaction Capability (QRC) oversight and | | |
| | direct support to Theater commensurate with the draw | | |
| | down in Afghanistan. The Intelligence, Surveillance, | | |
| | Reconnaissance (ISR) Task Force has transitioned to a | | |
| | permanent Directorate within OUSD(I), and is staffed | | |
| | appropriately to enable rapid fielding of new ISR | | |
| | capabilities in support of global warfighter | | |
| | requirements. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$71,671 thousand) | | |

| C. <u>Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases</u> | Amount | <u>Totals</u> |
|--|--------|---------------|
| 46) OUSD(I) Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel | -134 | |
| System | | |
| Reduce analytical support required for oversight of | | |
| DCIPS Implementation across the Defense Intelligence | | |
| Enterprise. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$2,000 thousand; +0 | | |
| FTEs) | | |
| 47) OUSD(P&R) - Advancing Diversity & EO | -785 | |
| Program reduction represents a decrease in the number | | |
| of student interns hired under the Workforce | | |
| Recruitment Program, cost-saving efficiency measures | | |
| in strategic outreach efforts, and reduced support | | |
| for the OSD-sponsored Science, Technology, | | |
| Engineering and Math (STEM) Program. (FY 2014 | | |
| Baseline: \$8,206 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 48) OUSD(P&R) - ASD (Health Affairs) Operations | -145 | |
| Reduction in contract support to streamline | | |
| management headquarters. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$809 | | |
| thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 49) OUSD(P&R) - ASD (Reserve Affairs) Operations | -154 | |
| Reduction in contract support to streamline | | |
| management headquarters. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$1,519 | | |
| thousand; +0 FTEs) | 0 01 7 | |
| 50) OUSD(P&R) - Combatant Commanders Exercise Engagement | -8,217 | |
| & Training Transformation (CE2T2) | | |
| Decrease is based on expected decline in the number | | |
| of Forces that will participate in CE2T2 exercises | | |
| across the Combatant Commands leading to programmatic | | |
| funding decreases for various programs and | | |
| operations within CE2T2 - including transportation | | |
| and cargo operations of the Joint Exercise | | |

| C. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases | Amount | Totals |
|--|--------|--------|
| Transportation Program (JETP). (FY 2014 Baseline: | | |
| \$607,891 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 51) OUSD(P&R) - Defense Readiness Reporting System | -923 | |
| (DRRS) | | |
| Contract support funding will be prioritized with | | |
| respect to maintaining Mission Assurance Category | | |
| (MAC)1 certification, over other performance | | |
| parameters. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$6,967 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 52) OUSD(P&R) - Military Naturalization Support | -485 | |
| Reduction in contract support. (FY 2014 Baseline: | | |
| \$6,389 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 53) OUSD(P&R) - Studies Program/CASS | -94 | |
| Reduces P&R Studies Program funding. Studies | | |
| supporting P&R policy and program initiatives will be | | |
| funded in accordance with their priority. (FY 2014 | | |
| Baseline: \$2,213 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 54) OUSD(P&R) - Travel | -59 | |
| Efficiencies will be achieved through the increased | | |
| use of tele-conferencing to streamline management | | |
| headquarters. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$989 thousand; +0 | | |
| FTES) | | |
| 55) OUSD(P) Defense Critical Infrastructure Protection | -577 | |
| Efficiencies are gained from building deep | | |
| partnerships with other government agencies, public, | | |
| and private sector groups within similar goals. (FY | | |
| 2014 Baseline: \$8,140 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 56) OUSD(P) Global Threat Management | -5,077 | |
| OUSD(P) restructured its program to more accurately | | |
| reflect the support it provides to the Secretary of | | |
| | | |

| C. <u>Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases</u> Defense. Decrease reflects funding realignment to the new program structure designation OUSD(P) Operations, OUSD(P) Mission Support and Strategy and Force Development. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$4,987 thousand; +0 FTEs) | <u>Amount</u> | <u>Totals</u> |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| 57) OUSD(P) Homeland Defense Support Activities Decrease reflects funding realignment to revised program structure designation OUSD(P) Operations and OUSD(P) Mission Support. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$6,375 thousand; +0 FTEs) | -6,490 | |
| 58) OUSD(P) Policy Planning and Integration Programmatic decrease reflects funding realignment to revised program structure designations OUSD(P) Operations and OUSD(P) Mission Support. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$5,950 thousand; +0 FTEs) | -6,056 | |
| 59) OUSD(P) Regional Security Affairs Programmatic decrease reflects funding realignment to revised program structure designations OUSD(P) Operations and OUSD(P) Mission Support. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$5,358 thousand) | -5,455 | |
| 60) OUSD(P) Rewards Program Reduces Rewards Program funding. Program initiatives will be funded in accordance with department's priorities. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$7,966 thousand; +0 FTEs) | -119 | |
| 61) OUSD(P) Travel Programmatic decrease reflects funding realignment to revised program structure designation OUSD(P) Operations for travel of personnel. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$5,613 thousand) | -5,714 | |

| C. <u>Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases</u> | Amount | Totals |
|---|--------|-----------|
| 62) OUSD(P) US Mission to NATO | -5,626 | |
| Programmatic decrease reflects funding realignment to | | |
| revised program structure designation International | | |
| Defense Programs to support Department of | | |
| Defense/NATO coordination requirements. (FY 2014 | | |
| Baseline: \$5,527 thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| 63) OUSD(P) Warfighting Support Activities | -7,196 | |
| Programmatic decrease reflects funding realignment to | | |
| revised program structure designation OUSD(P) | | |
| Operations, OUSD(P) Mission Support and Strategy and | | |
| Force Development. (FY 2014 Baseline: \$7,069 | | |
| thousand; +0 FTEs) | | |
| FY 2015 Budget Request | | 1,891,163 |

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

A. Under Secretary of Defense, Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (OUSD(AT&L)):

The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (AT&L) is the principal staff assistant and advisor to the Secretary of Defense and Deputy Secretary Defense for all matters concerning acquisition, technology, and logistics. AT&L's primary responsibilities include: 1) Supervising (DoD) acquisition, 2) Establishing policies for acquisition (including procurement of goods and services, research and development, developmental testing, and contract administration) for all elements of the Department of Defense, 3) Establishing policies for logistics, maintenance, and sustainment support for all elements of the DoD, and 4) Establishing policies of the Department of Defense for maintenance of the defense industrial base of the United States. The following Performance Evaluation Metrics are samples from three of the top four programs under AT&L: Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI), Logistics Systems Modernization (LSM) Support and Acquisition Visibility Program. These three programs account for 35% of AT&L's total Operations and Maintenance (O&M) in FY 2015.

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

| Measure/Description | Actual | Projected | Projected |
|--|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Readiness and Environmental Protection | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
| Initiative | | | |
| Metric #1: | | | |
| Percent funding to highest tier priority | 57% | 78% | 70응 |
| installations (Target is 70%) | | | |
| Metric #2: | | | |
| Percent of validated projects funded (Target | 58% | 55% | 51% |
| is 80%) | | | |
| Metric #3: | | | |
| Percent partner cost-share (Target is 50%) | 50% | 49% | 50% |

Major Program Title: Regulatory Environment

The risk of incompatible land use threatens mission capabilities essential for realistic training and testing for current and future force. Investments for the Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI) address Component requirements to enter into agreements with private conservation organizations and/or state and local governments to acquire off-base property interests, usually conservation easements. These agreements protect mission capability by cost-sharing the long-term protection of high-value habitat and limiting incompatible land uses around DoD ranges and installations. REPI projects meet critical, near-term but time limited opportunities, to preserve DoD installation and range capabilities. REPI leverages the capabilities, resources and priorities of the private sector and state and local governments to obtain land and/or easements that will prevent incompatible development or other land uses that impact military operations. REPI partnerships support sound planning, ecological integrity and interagency coordination, and help avoid more expensive costs, such as the need for training

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

workarounds or segmentation and future Military Construction (MILCON) to modify or relocate training assets to less-restricted locations.

Major Program Title- Improve Acquisition & Logistics Processes - Logistics Systems Modernization Support

| | Actual ¹ | Projected ² | Projected ² |
|--|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Measure/Description | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
| Supply Chain and Inventory Management | | | |
| Metric #1: | | | |
| DLA Perfect Order Fulfillment (POF)for | 87.3 | | |
| Stocked Items (Percentages) | | | |
| Metric #2: | | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Customer Wait Time - Army (Days) | 13.0 | | |
| Metric #3 | | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| Customer Wait Time - Air Force (Days) | 5.8 | | |
| Metric #4 | | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Customer Wait Time - Navy (Days) | 16.0 | | |

Note 1: The actual DLA Perfect Order Fulfillment (POF) Percentage is reflected based on the cumulative year to date performance through the end of the second quarter of FY2013. The actual Customer Wait Time (CWT) for Army, Air Force and Navy are reflected based upon performance through the end of the third quarter of FY2013. The Navy's monthly CWT performance in the third quarter of FY2013 was well within 15 days -- April (13.5 days), May (13.8 days), and June (12 days). These figures will be updated for total annual performance in conjunction with the FY2015 President's Budget.

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

Note 2: DLA Perfect Order Fulfillment (POF) Percentage will no longer be reflected in the Performance Budget for FY2014 and beyond. DLA consistently meets performance objectives for this measure.

Supply Chain Management: Responsiveness and reliability are desired attributes of the DoD Supply Chain in support of maximizing materiel readiness for warfighters. Customer Wait Time (CWT) is the measure that best reflects the responsiveness of the supply chain. Perfect Order Fulfillment reflects the reliability of the supply chain in providing items from Defense Logistics Agency's inventory items to warfighters. These items are either held in inventory in the Defense Distribution Depots or directly delivered from commercial vendors to customers of the DoD Supply Chain. These measures are being tracked and updated in the Defense Performance Measurement Systems.

Inventory Management Initiative: The Comprehensive Inventory Management Improvement Plan (CIMIP) was developed to guide and direct DoD's collective efforts to improve inventory management and support to warfighters. This plan details specific objectives to ensure that inventory accurately reflects the needs of warfighters. It established actions to improve management of the Department's inventory. The overall objective of the plan is to prudently reduce current and future excess inventory. In that vein, by the end of FY2016, the Department will reduce the total percentage of excess on-order secondary item inventory to 4.0%. The Department will also maintain the percentage of excess on-hand secondary item inventory at a "not to exceed" goal of 8% by FY2016. These measures are being tracked and updated in the Defense Performance Measurement System.

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

Major Program Title- Improve Acquisition & Logistics Processes - Logistics Systems Modernization Support

| | Actual | Projected | Projected |
|--|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Measure/Description | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
| Transportation Policy Initiatives | | | |
| Metric #1: | | | |
| Defense Transportation Coordination | 97% | 97% | 98% |
| Initiative (DTCI): On-time Delivery | | | |
| Metric #2: | | | |
| Personal Property Regionalization Total Cost | \$13.0M | \$13.0M | \$13.0M |
| Savings | | | |

Transportation Policy Initiatives:

Defense Transportation Coordination Initiative (DTCI): DTCI is a third party logistics contract for the movement of the Department's freight within the Continental United States. The contract is in its final year of operation and the Contractor is meeting or exceeding all of the Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), including On-Time Delivery at 97%. USTRANSCOM / SDDC are reviewing the Department's overall surface transportation strategy and options for continuing the third party logistics concept.

Personal Property Regionalization: The Department plans to reduce the number of personal property shipping offices from 63 to 12 offices worldwide by 2018. This initiative will help leverage the Department's buying power and standardize business practices across the Department, saving approximately 13M per year beginning in FY14.

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

Major Program Title: Promulgate Policy: AT&L Acquisition Visibility (AV)

| Measure/Description | Actual | Projected | Projected |
|--|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| Acquisition Visibility (AV) | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
| Metric #1: Number of Authorized Users with Access to AV Services | 5,803 | 6 , 650 | 7,700 |
| Metric #2: Number of Acquisition Information Elements and Acquisition Decision Documents under AT&L Control | 1,601 | 1 , 850 | 2,300 |
| Metric #3: Number of Acquisition Reports Submitted using AV Services to meet statutory requirements | (see chart) | (see chart) | (see chart) |

| | FY-13 | FY-14 | FY-15 |
|------|-------|-------|-------|
| SAR | 90 | 94 | 94 |
| MAR | 44 | 44 | 44 |
| DAES | 468 | 468 | 468 |
| MQR | 127 | 127 | 130 |

Acquisition Visibility (AV) is the AT&L transformational activity to fundamentally alter the way in which Defense acquisition information is governed, shared and trusted among the community of senior analysts, managers and officials responsible for oversight, analysis, and decision making for Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAP), Major Automated Information Systems (MAIS), and special interest programs. AV provides the

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

institutional governance of acquisition information, working across DoD to regulate definitions, technical standards, and authoritative sources for critical acquisition decision-making. AV also provides the USD AT&L and the Department the capabilities to make acquisition information available to support the Department's acquisition business analysis, acquisition reporting and informed acquisition decisions. Because one of AV's core mission areas is to collect and share information that can support AT&L and OSD senior-level officials, as well as Congress, a small but significant portion of AV performance may be measured by the amount of data under governance and the number of users with access to that data.

B. Under Secretary of Defense, Comptroller (OUSD(C)) / Chief Financial Officer:

The Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) OUSD(C)/Chief Financial Officer (CFO) is the Principal Staff Assistant and advisor to the Secretary of Defense and Deputy Secretary Defense for all Department of Defense financial matters. This office oversees and sets policy for budget and fiscal matters, including financial management, accounting policy and systems, management control systems, budget formulation, execution, and contract audit administration. Performance Evaluation Metrics have been developed for the major programs under OUSD(C): Comptroller Initiatives, Enterprise Funds Distribution Support System (EFDSS), and Next Generation Resource Management Systems (NGMRMS). These programs account for 95% of Comptroller's total Operations and Maintenance budget in FY 2015.

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

Major Program Title- Comptroller Initiatives

| | Projected | Actual | Projected | Projected |
|---|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Measure/Description | FY 2013 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
| Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness | | | | |
| Metric #1: | 20% | 19% | 84% | 100% |
| Percent of General Fund Schedule of Budgetary | | | | |
| Activity (SBA) Validated Audit Ready | | | | |
| Metric #2: | 100% | 100% | n/a | n/a |
| Percent of Appropriations Received Validated | | | | |
| Audit Ready | | | | |
| Metric #3: | 30% | 9% | 98% | 98% |
| Percent of General Fund Funds Balance with | | | | |
| Treasury Validated Audit Ready | | | | |
| Metric #4: | 42% | 50% | 60% | 72% |
| Percent of Mission Critical Asset Existence | | | | |
| and Completeness Validated Audit Ready | | | | |

The Department has implemented a strategy to audit the Statement of Budgetary Resources (SBR) through a Schedule of Budgetary Activity (SBA). Beginning in FY 2015, the Department will undergo a series of audits of the current year's budgetary activity, which will assess interim progress through improved business processes and controls. Each year, DoD will build upon successful audits of the SBA to support the audit of the full SBR.

The Department has reached its goal for audit readiness for Appropriations Received. The Department will continue to monitor its internal controls through periodic testing to

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

support sustainment of this process, as it continues to prepare for full financial statement audit.

The Mission Critical Asset Existence and Completeness assertion focuses primarily on the Existence, Completeness, Rights and Obligations, and Presentation and Disclosure financial statement assertions. The asset categories included in this assertion are Military Equipment, Real Property, Inventory, Operating Materials and Supplies, and General Equipment.

| | Actual | Projected | Projected |
|---|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Measure/Description | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
| Implement the DoD Financial Management (FM) | | | |
| Certification Program | | | |
| Metric: DoD FM personnel (military and | | | |
| civilian) participating in the FM | 2.3% | 46% | 90% |
| Certification Program | | | |

Major Program Title- Comptroller Initiatives

The Department has initiated a multi-year effort to develop a competency-based FM Certification Program that is applicable to the FM workforce. The FY12 NDAA provides the legal authority to implement the FM Certification Program. The Program is designed to ensure DoD meets the FM workforce and lifecycle management needs. All FM positions, civilian and military, are being coded as Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3. Enterprise-wide, FM competencies applicable to each FM occupation series have been established. Each certification level requires a minimum number of training course hours and FM experience.

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

Training is targeted to specific FM technical competencies, DoD leadership competencies, and specific topics such as audit readiness, fiscal law and ethics.

The FM Certification Policy was finalized in a Department of Defense Instruction signed on November 20, 2013, superseding the March 22, 2013 Directive-Type Memorandum.

Phased Implementation for the DoD FM Certification Program began in June 2013 with the initial launches of 5 organizations. By the end of Calendar Year 2013, over 5,000 users, consisting of both military and civilian users, were launched in the DoD FM Learning Management System. Those users came from 19 components: Air Force, Army, Department of Navy, DCAA, DFAS, DARPA, DAU, DCMA, DeCA, DISA, DLA, DSCA, JCS, MDA, NDU, OSD, DTSA, PFPA, and WHS.

Major Program Title - Enterprise Funds Distribution Support System (EFDSS)

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

| | Actual | Projected | Projected |
|--|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Measure/Description | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY2015 |
| Enterprise Funds Distribution Support | | | |
| System | | | |
| Metric #1: | | | |
| Deployment of Basic Account Symbols / | 40% | 55% | 75% |
| Appropriations in support of the Audit | | | |
| Readiness Efforts for Appropriations | | | |
| Received underway by FIAR | | | |

Enterprise Funds Distribution Support System (EFDSS) system continues to deploy by appropriation and title to support:

- a. Improving quality, visibility and transparency of information
- b. Increasing exchange and reconciliation of budget execution information via automated methods

During FY 2014, remaining Family Housing appropriations are scheduled to be implemented. FY 2014 and FY 2015 efforts will include implementation or closure of remaining non-conventional appropriations.

Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) is the acquisition Program Manager and service provider. The metrics shown above address Phase 1 funds distribution only. Metrics for funds distribution below Congressional reporting levels are reported separately under DLA.

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

| | Actual | Projected | Projected |
|---|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Measure/Description | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
| Exhibit Automation System (EAS) | | | |
| Metric #1: Number of Agencies Utilizing the EAS tool | 33 | 34 | 34 |
| Metric #2: Man-Hours Saved Using Resource Management Decision Tool | 10% | 12% | 13% |
| Metric #3: Number of Exhibits Automated | 12 | 18 | 19 |

Major Program Title- Next Generation Resource Management System

The Next Generation Resource Management System (NGRMS) will utilize emerging technology, processes, trends, capabilities and techniques to incorporate state-of-the-art information technology enabling the ability to process, administer and report resource management data and to automate business processes within a more robust analytical environment within the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) (OUSD(C)). NGRMS will replace redundant inefficient legacy systems to provide for the effective formulation and justification of the Defense Budget. NGRMS will increase the exchange and reconciliation of budget data, improve efficiency through the utilization of a unified budgetary data model, the timely ability to generate data for management reviews and decisions, and the capability to accommodate emerging business practices as required.

A key accomplishment for FY 2013 was the deployment of the Exhibit Automation System (EAS) to additional accounts such as the Defense Health Program (DHP) and Overseas

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

Contingency Operations (OCO). In FY 2014, four new Exhibits have been automated for OUSD(C) and the Defense Agencies. Additionally, functionality was implemented to assist OUSD(C) and SOCOM meet emerging Congressional requirements for budget justification. In the remainder of FY 2014, a new exhibit will be automated for the Defense Agencies to assist with reporting civilian reimbursable and civilian pay costs, as well as, a new Exhibit to assist DHP to report medical costs. In 2015 additional exhibits will continue to be automated to further streamline the budget build process and reduce data re-entry, saving time, and reducing errors.

C. Deputy Chief Management Officer (DCMO):

The Deputy Chief Management Officer (DCMO) is the Principal Staff Assistant (PSA) and advisor to the Secretary and Deputy Secretary of Defense for matters relating to management and improvement of integrated DoD business operations. This includes providing leadership in terms of acquisition oversight and investment review of Defense business systems. Inherent in these management responsibilities, DCMO leads and integrates DoD Enterprise-wide performance improvement and business operations to enable and support the Warfighter.

With the disestablishment of the Department of Defense (DoD) Business Transformation Agency (BTA), an Agency under the purview and cognizance of the Office of the DCMO (ODCMO), DCMO was directed by the Secretary of Defense (SECDEF) to transition appropriate oversight and integration functionality heretofore performed by the BTA into the ODCMO.

In FY 2013, the ODCMO has realized this transformational reshaping and realignment of select functionality. This growth in scope within the ODCMO policy and oversight core

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

mission areas accommodate greater visibility in orchestrating and synchronizing effective and efficient business operations across all Departmental Components. This growth and redefinition in ODCMO mission requirements has also prompted the separation of the office into a stand-alone reporting budgetary entity within OSD. It has also accommodated review and expansion of ODCMO performance based budget metrics as described in this document.

Major Program Title- Disciplined MAIS Program Oversight

| | Actual | Projected | Projected |
|------------------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Measure/Description | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
| Disciplined MAIS Program Oversight | 62.5% | 75% | 90% |

Disciplined MAIS Program Oversight: Number of cost, schedule and performance baselines that exist for Major Automated Information System (MAIS) Defense Business Systems (DBS). DCMO is the MDA for 16 programs.

Major Program Title- Agile Investment Review

| | Actual | Projected | Projected |
|-------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Measure/Description | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
| Agile Investment Review | N/A | 100% | 100% |

Agile Investment Review: This is a new DCMO Metric based on the Section 2222 of Title 10, USC. DCMO is responsible for establishing single IRB process for Business Mission Area programs. 100% of the Defense Business Systems (DBS) submitted to the Defense Business Council (DBC)/Investment Review Board (IRB) are arranged into portfolios, reviewed for BEA/BPR compliance, strategic alignment, utility and cost and as appropriate funds

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

certification provided by portfolio. DCMO must maintain 100% compliance IAW with statutory requirements.

Major Program Title- Expanded Procure-to-Pay

| | Actual | Projected | Projected |
|-------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Measure/Description | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
| Expanded Procure-to-Pay | 0 % | 0% | 0% |

The Expanded Procure to Pay (P2P) metric established to evaluate the number of contract actions that can be successfully identified across the complete P2P process, i.e., from requirements through obligation to entitlement and disbursement in the systems of record was discontinued for FY13 and FY14. This metric was removed officially from the DoD Strategic Management Plan.

We achieved 89.6% for FY12 which far surpassed our target for FY13 of 75%. The reason this metric was removed is environment in Afghanistan drastically shifted in FY13 with the deployment of the enterprise target system of the Army's General Fund Enterprise System in theater which automated the traceability of procure to pay process. Furthermore, the operational environment has shift significantly to drawdown of personnel and equipment.

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

D. <u>Under Secretary of Defense Intelligence OUSD(I)</u>:

The Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence OUSD(I) is the principal staff assistant and advisor to the Secretary of Defense and Deputy Secretary Defense for all matters concerning intelligence, counterintelligence, security, sensitive activities, and other intelligence-related matters. OUSD (I) exercises planning, policy, and strategic oversight over all DoD intelligence assets. The following Evaluation Metrics are samples from the Intelligence Mission and International Intelligence Technology and Architectures programs, the largest programs under OUSD (I). These two programs account for over 90% of OUSD (I)'s total Operations and Maintenance in FY 2015.

| Measure Description | Actual | Proje | ected |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Sensitive Activities (SA) | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
| Cover oversight reviews | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Clandestine Quarterly (CQ) activity reports | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Compartmented Approvals and Sensitive Execute Orders (EXORD) coordination | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Cover plan/annex reviews | 120 | 120 | 120 |

Major Program Title: Intelligence Mission

In accordance with DoD Directive 5143.01, OUSD (I) is responsible for oversight of the Defense Cover Program. The Clandestine Operations and Sensitive Activities Directorate

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

conducts a strategic oversight review of a Defense Cover Component each quarter to assess effectiveness and compliance with policy. This formal review program began in 2Qtr FY 2011. An annex to the Annual Defense Appropriations Act directs DoD to provide quarterly reports on certain clandestine activities. The directorate compiles and reviews inputs from DoD Components and distributes the Clandestine Quarterly (CQ) Activities Report to six Congressional Committees and senior Executive Branch officials. The directorate coordinates with the Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Warfighter Support) to address potential cover-related issues on sensitive and compartmented EXORDs for signature by the Secretary of Defense. The directorate coordinates OSD-level approvals to conduct certain compartmented activities, conducts periodic reviews of these activities, and prepares/coordinates reports to the OUSD (I), Secretary of Defense, and Congressional oversight committees. The directorate reviews cover plans and annexes in accordance with DoD Instruction 5105.63.

| Measure Description | Actual | Projected | |
|--|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Reform the Department of Defense (DoD) | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
| Personnel Security Clearance Process | | | |
| DoD will adjudicate the fastest 90% of initial | 90%/7 days | 90%/20 days | 90%/20 days |
| top secret and secret personnel security | | | |
| clearances within 20 days | | | |
| 90% of DoD adjudicators were certified | 90% | 90% | 90% |
| | certified | certified | certified |
| 90% of Single Scope Background Investigations | Assessment | 99% will | 99% will |
| and Top Secret Periodic Investigations will | not yet | meet 2009 | meet 2009 |
| meet 2009 adjudication documentation standards | completed | adjudication | adjudication |
| | | standards | standards |

Major Program Title: Intelligence Mission

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

Congress mandated specific security clearance process investigation and adjudication timeliness goals as part of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (IRTPA). The DoD is responsible for adjudication of completed background investigations and has focused on ensuring that the time to adjudicate the fastest 90% of investigations does not exceed an average of 20 days. In order to ensure that emphasis on timeliness has not degraded the quality of adjudications, the DoD has implemented policy and procedures for review of the quality of adjudications and for the professional certification of adjudicators.

| Measure Description | Actual | Projected | | ctual Project | ected |
|---|---------|-----------|---------|---------------|-------|
| Compliance, Currency, and Relevance of DoD Counterintelligence and Credibility Assessment | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 | | |
| Policies | | | | | |
| Review 100% of existing policy documents which are five years old and either revise, cancel or extend the issuance | 100% | 100% | 100% | | |
| Complete development of new policy documents. (Two new policy documents in FY 2013, one new policy document in FY 2014 and one in FY 2015.) | 100% | 100% | 100% | | |

Major Program Title: Intelligence Mission

Counterintelligence and Federal Law Enforcement Support (CI&FLES) Directorate is responsible for identifying and developing new policy documents related to CI and Credibility Assessment, reviewing and updating current policy documents, and maintaining four National level agreements.

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

| Measure Description | Actual | Proj | ected |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Establish All-Source Intelligence Analysis | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
| Policy | | | |
| Completed review of USD(I) issuances to | 100% | N/A | N/A |
| identify All-Source Intelligence Analysis roles | | | |
| and responsibilities and align and incorporate | | | |
| in DRAFT DoDI "All-Source Intelligence | | | |
| Analysis." | | | |
| By September 2014, conduct quarterly reviews of | 60% | 75% | 100% |
| All-Source Intelligence Analysis requirements | | | |
| policies, procedures, and tools. Including | | | |
| policy gaps gleaned through Oversight | | | |
| assessments/reviews. Identify issuances to | | | |
| develop and/or modify existing requirements | | | |
| process. Complete draft issuance submission | | | |
| within a target time period of no more than six | | | |
| months. | | | |

Major Program Title: Intelligence Mission

Defense Analysis is responsible for developing new policies and procedures to structure and guide All-Source Intelligence Analysis. The reviews of All Source Intelligence Analysis requirements, policies, procedures and tools exceeded existing manpower to accomplish the requirement in FY 2013. We expect efficiencies and experience gained during the FY 2013 review will permit us to achieve 75% of requirements in FY 2014 and complete the review by FY 2015.

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

In FY 2013, DODI "All-Source Intelligence Analysis" was drafted to address changes in business process and governance within Defense Intelligence All-Source Analysis community. This issuance is in formal coordination; however, recent and anticipated changes in DoD All-Source Analysis Community resulting from the ongoing review will require additional updates. Therefore, this document will be revised in FY 2014 to address needed changes in DoD All-Source Analysis Enterprise business processes.

| Measure Description | Actual | Projected | |
|---|---------|-----------|---------|
| All-Source Intelligence Analysis Oversight | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
| Establish an Oversight Program that includes | 1 | 4 | 6 |
| assessments/reviews to selected Enterprise | | | |
| Components responsible for All-Source | | | |
| Intelligence Analysis with priority on the | | | |
| Services (Production Centers and MIP funded | | | |
| within Service), the Defense Intelligence | | | |
| Agency (DIA)- Defense Intelligence Analysis | | | |
| Program (DIAP) and the Directorate for Analysis | | | |
| (DI), and the Combatant Commands. | | | |
| Formalize into the Oversight Program Structure- | N/A | 2 | 4 |
| Evaluation of Warning tools and certification | | | |
| requirements and All-source intelligence | | | |
| analysis certification requirements. | | | |

Major Program Title: Intelligence Mission

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

Defense Analysis is responsible for executing strategic and policy oversight assessment and reviews of the executors of DoD All-Source Intelligence Analysis. DA also coordinates with the Office of the Director of National Intelligence regarding development, implementation, and compliance to National directives and related issuances.

During FY 2013 we began a nascent oversight program resulting in one oversight review in FY 2013. We expect that program to expand to four oversight visits in FY 2014 and six visits in FY 2015. Despite its limited scope in FY 2013, the program has demonstrated value. As a result of this first review, we have exposed two areas demanding additional focus - Defense Warning tools and certification, and All-Source analysis training-certification. These two areas have been added to the DA Oversight Program agenda.

| Measure Description | Actual | Projected | |
|---|---------|-----------|---------|
| Policy Oversight (Counterintelligence & Federal | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
| Law Enforcement Support) | | | |
| For FY 2013, completed eight DoD components and | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| five ad hoc policy oversight initiatives; for | | | |
| FY 2014, complete nine DoD components and five | | | |
| ad hoc policy oversight initiatives, and for FY | | | |
| 2015 complete four ad hoc policy oversight | | | |
| initiatives. | | | |
| For FY 2013, FY 2014, and FY 2015 follow-up on | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| 100% of those DoD components that were | | | |
| identified as non-compliant. | | | |

Major Program Title: Intelligence Mission

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

The CI & FLES Directorate is responsible for conducting CI and credibility assessment (CA) policy oversight assessments to determine if DoD Components are executing CI and CA policies in accordance with DoD guidance and to identify needed changes in existing policies. These are conducted via visiting all DoD Components during a three-year cycle and identifying special "ad hoc" assessments that are issue-oriented and may involve multiple Components. The process includes follow-up reviews to determine if recommended actions were implemented.

| Measure Description | Actual | ctual Projected | ected |
|---|---------|-----------------|----------|
| US Battlefield Information Collection and | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
| Exploitation System (US BICES) | | | |
| Number of multilateral/bilateral intelligence | 67 | 81 | 90 |
| information sharing connections with partner | | | |
| nations or Communities of Interest (COI) (e.g., | | | |
| Operation Unified Protector) | | | |
| Number of US releasable intelligence products | 125,000 | 200,000+ | 250,000+ |
| to coalition partners or COIs | | | |
| Number of coalition releasable intelligence | 22,000 | 40,000 | 100,000 |
| products to US | | | |
| Number of US organizations producing | 45 | 50 | 55 |
| intelligence products to US BICES | | | |
| Number of US and coalition partner personnel | 1342 | 1700 | 2050 |
| trained through classroom and mobile courses | | | |
| | | | |
| Number of US Government personnel within the US | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| BICES program management office | | | |

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

The Intelligence Systems and Architectures Directorate develops information sharing technologies and Combatant Command coalition information sharing architectures, through the US BICES program mechanisms, to increase the amount of intelligence information shared with coalition allies and partner nations both bi-laterally and multi-nationally. US BICES capability expansion across the COCOMs, Services, and Agencies is key to implementing USD (I) effort to establish an enduring coalition intelligence sharing enterprise supporting both conventional forces and special operations forces worldwide. US BICES expanded to access over 125,000 US, NATO, and Non-NATO users during FY 2013. Commander, US SOCOM designated US BICES as the intelligence system of choice for global coalition special operations. Coalition Data Centers (CDC) established at each COCOM in FY 2011 allow increased multilateral/bilateral intelligence information sharing connections with partner nations or communities of interest (COIs). The expanded number of communication connections funded by O&M will result in an increased number of people connected. Incorporation of the Trusted Network Environment (TNE) as an overarching architecture used within all of the CDCs will allow for even more rapid establishment of bilateral and multilateral cross-domain intelligence sharing. The number of US releasable intelligence products to coalition partners or COIs will increase based on the FY 2013/2014/2015 funded TNE and the resulting increased capability to process products. Number of coalition releasable intelligence products to US will increase to provide increased capabilities to process products both being released to coalition partners and products received from coalition partners. As the TNE becomes operational, the number of US intelligence organizations requesting connectivity to US BICES will increase thereby increasing the number of products released to US BICES from these organizations. The number of US and coalition partner personnel trained through classroom and mobile courses will increase as the US BICES on-line training becomes available. This training will be available through US BICES connectivity and is approved for US and non US.

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

E. <u>Department of Defense</u>, <u>Chief Information Officer (DoD(CIO))</u>:

The DoD CIO is the PSA and advisor to the Secretary of Defense for information technology (IT), national security systems (NSS), and information resources management (IRM) matters. The DoD CIO shall be responsible for all matters relating to information and the information environment including command and control (C2), communications, radio frequency spectrum, network operations, information systems, information assurance (IA), defense cyber security, the Global Information Grid, and positioning, navigation, and timing (PNT) policy.

| Major | Program | Title: | Information | Enterprise |
|-------|---------|--------|-------------|------------|
|-------|---------|--------|-------------|------------|

| Measure/Description | Actual | Projected | Projected |
|--|--------|-----------|-----------|
| Train the Total Defense Workforce with the | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 |
| right competencies. Protect DoD critical | | | |
| infrastructure. | | | |
| Metric #1: Percent of information assurance positions and contract requirements filled with personnel meeting certification requirements. | 85% | 90% | 95% |
| Metric #2: Percentage of systems supported by Enterprise Data Centers (EDCs) and Installation Processing Nodes (IPN) | | 15% | 35% |

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

Investments for Information Enterprise are to develop strategies and policies governing the DoD Information Enterprise; consisting of the DoD information resources, assets, and processes required to achieve an information advantage and share information across DoD and with mission partners. Establish enterprise-wide architectures, standards, policies and guidance to support effective implementation of the DoD enabling infrastructure, enterprise services, and effective information management. Provide functional oversight for the development and sustainment of the IT and cyber workforce. Support governance through engagement with the key DoD decision processes. Engage with international partners and mission partners to ensure DoD enterprise information strategies meet information sharing requirements for all mission areas.

| Measure/Description | Actual | Projected | Projected |
|--|--------|-----------|-----------|
| Expand capacity to succeed against adversary states armed with anti-access capabilities and/or nuclear weapons and improve capabilities to conduct effective operations in cyberspace and space. | FY13 | FY14 | FY5 |
| Metric #1: Percent of DoD's NC3 crypto- modernization plan completed. | 44% | 56% | 90% |
| Metric #2Percentage of secure mobile devices supported by enterprise mobile device management and contracted through DISA | 18 | 2% | 10% |

Major Program Title: C4 Information Infrastructure Capabilities

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

Investments for C4 Information Infrastructure Capabilities are to assess success of critical Department information technology programs and initiatives and engage where necessary to make sure they deliver on time and at the level of performance required. Assess compliance with enterprise strategy and mission requirements. Develop Capability Architecture Views, Fielding/Deployment/Synchronization Plans, and Roadmaps. Provide oversight and guidance for the fielding of key enabling infrastructures and capabilities. Oversee policies, programs, and strategic plans regarding net-centric capabilities in support of nuclear and non-nuclear strategic strike, integrated missile defense, Continuity of Government (COG), and Senior Leadership Communications, including National Leadership Command Capabilities (NLCC).

| Measure/Description | Actual | Projected | Projected |
|--|--------|-----------|-----------|
| Protect critical DoD infrastructure and | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 |
| partner with other critical infrastructure | | | |
| owners in government and the private sector | | | |
| to increase mission assurance. | | | |
| Metric #1: Percentage of inspected military cyberspace organizations attaining a passing score on a comprehensive cybersecurity inspection that assesses compliance with technical, operational, and physical security standards, resulting in improved hardening and cyber defense. | 89% | 90% | 94% |
| | 95% | 95% | 99% |
| Metric #2: Percent of applicable IT and NSS that are certification and accreditation | | | |

Major Program Title: Cybersecurity

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

| compliant. | | | |
|---|-------|-----|-----|
| Metric #3: Cumulative percentage of DoD SIPRNet accounts with PKI cryptographic logon capability. | 20.0% | 90% | 95% |

Investments for Cybersecurity are to manage security architectures, programming, and risk to provide a more defensible information environment and enable safe sharing of information across the enterprise and with mission partners. Ensure DoD has an effective, properly funded cybersecurity program.

F. Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness) (OUSD(P&R)):

The Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (OUSD (P&R)) is the principal staff assistant and advisor to the Secretary of Defense and Deputy Secretary Defense for all matters concerning personnel and readiness. OUSD (P&R) funds the operation and support of programs like Commanders Exercise Engagement& Training Transformation (CE2T2), Defense Readiness Reporting System (DRRS), Defense Safety Oversight Council, Training Transformation, and others. In FY 2015 the top two programs are; CE2T2, Defense Oversight Council. CE2T2 accounts for 94% of P&R's program.

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

Major Program Title: Combatant Commander's Exercise Engagement & Training Transformation

| | Actual | Projected | Projected |
|--|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Measure/Description | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
| CE2T2 | | | |
| Metric #1: Percent of validated CCMD (Combatant Command) Joint Exercise Transportation Requirements executed with support of JETP (Joint Exercise Training Program) | 74.60% | 93% | 85% |
| Metric #2: Percent of CCMD (Combatant Command) JMETs (Joint Mission-Essential Tasks) with assigned training performance assessments (TPAs) | 86% | 79% | 100% |
| Metric #3: Percent of JNTC (Joint National Training Center) JTCP (Joint Training Coordination Program) supportable enabler requests filled | 100% | 100% | 75% |

The CE2T2 program has supported DoD joint training needs, consistent with the new defense strategy. The program has provided the resources and expertise for training that has:

- Improved the joint, integrated training of DoD components at the tactical through strategic levels of operations;
- Assisted the DoD components with the fielding of robust opposing force capabilities, after action and ground truth instrumentation/capabilities, and

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

improved realistic training;

- Improved Combatant Command TCP and OPLAN readiness, through regional and countryspecific engagements and exercises, including exercises in South Korea and Israel;
- Supported the rebalance between the Middle East and Asia-Pacific regions;
- Deterred aggression and shaped the global security environment;
- Prepared U.S. forces for operations in Afghanistan and the Horn of Africa.

Budget uncertainty and budget reductions have recently compelled the cancellation or elimination of some CE2T2-funded exercises and enablers, including the following:

- Elimination and consolidation of six Combatant Command exercises with partners in the Middle East, including special operations and counterterrorism exercises;
- Reduction in scope of six exercises with European and NATO partners;
- Cancellation of four exercises in the Asia-Pacific region as well as the reduction in scope of an additional three exercises;
- Reduction in scope of one nuclear command and control exercise;
- Reduction in scope of three U.S. Special Operations Command exercises;
- Cancellation of one U.S. Transportation Command exercise;
- Cancellation of four exercises in Africa, including a counterterrorism exercise;
- Cancellation of three exercises in Central and South America, including FUERZAS COMANDO, a counterterrorism exercise, as well as the reduction in scope of an additional two exercises.

IV. Performance Criteria and Evaluation Summary:

Major Programs Title: Defense Safety Oversight Council and Lost Workdays System

| | Actual | Projected | Projected |
|---|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Measure/Description | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
| | | | |
| Metric #1: Number and rates of military fatalities and injuries, civilian lost time injuries and occupational illness, and aviation class A mishaps. | 40% reduction from FY 2002 baseline | Continuous improvement | Continuous improvement |

The Defense Safety Oversight Council provides governance on DoD-wide efforts to reduce preventable mishaps. It reviews accidents and incidents trends, ongoing safety initiatives, private sector and other governmental agency best practices, and make recommendations to the Secretary of Defense for safety improvement policies, programs, and investments.

| V. <u>Personnel Summary</u> | <u>FY 2013</u> | <u>FY 2014</u> | <u>FY 2015</u> | Change FY 2013/ FY 2014 | Change FY 2014/ FY 2015 |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <u>Active Military End Strength (E/S) (Total)</u> | <u>394</u> | 404 | <u>394</u> | <u>10</u> | <u>-10</u> |
| Officer | 375 | 382 | 373 | 7 | -9 |
| Enlisted | 19 | 22 | 21 | 3 | -1 |
| <u>Reserve Drill Strength (E/S) (Total)</u> | <u>27</u> | <u>27</u> | <u>18</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>-9</u> |
| Officer | 22 | 22 | 14 | 0 | -8 |
| Enlisted | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | -1 |
| <u>Reservists on Full Time Active Duty (E/S)</u> | <u>12</u> | <u>12</u> | <u>10</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>-2</u> -2 |
| Officer | 11 | 11 | 9 | 0 | -2 |
| Enlisted | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| <u>Civilian End Strength (Total)</u> | <u>2,005</u> | <u>1,928</u> | <u>1,946</u> | <u>-77</u> | <u>18</u> |
| U.S. Direct Hire | 2,005 | 1,928 | 1,946 | -77 | 18 |
| Total Direct Hire | 2,005 | 1,928 | 1,946 | -77 | 18 |
| <u>Active Military Average Strength (A/S)</u> | <u>394</u> | 404 | <u>394</u> | <u>10</u> | <u>-10</u> |
| (Total) | | | | _ | 2 |
| Officer | 375 | 382 | 373 | ./ | -9 |
| Enlisted | 19 | 22 | 21 | 3 | -1 |
| <u>Reserve Drill Strength (A/S) (Total)</u> | 27 | <u>27</u> | <u>18</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>-9</u> |
| Officer | 22 | 22 | 14 | 0 | -8 |
| Enlisted | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | -1 |
| Reservists on Full Time Active Duty (A/S) | <u>12</u> | <u>12</u> | 10 | <u>0</u> | <u>-2</u> |
| <u>(Total)</u> Officer | 11 | 11 | 9 | 0 | -2 |
| Enlisted | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | -2 |
| Civilian FTEs (Total) | _ | _ | 1 021 | Ũ | • |
| U.S. Direct Hire | <u>1,945</u> 1,945 | <u>1,931</u> 1,931 | <u>1,931</u> 1,931 | $\frac{-14}{-14}$ | <u>0</u> 0 |
| Total Direct Hire | 1,945 | 1,931 | 1,931 | -14 | 0 |
| IOCAL DITECC HITE | ±,940 | I, 90I | ±, 95± | 14 | 0 |

| V. <u>Personnel Summary</u> | <u>FY 2013</u> | <u>FY 2014</u> | <u>FY 2015</u> | Change FY 2013/ FY 2014 | Change FY 2014/ FY 2015 |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Average Annual Civilian Salary (\$ in thousands) | 183.7 | 186.1 | 185.1 | 2.4 | -1.0 |
| <u>Contractor FTEs (Total)</u> | 2,487 | <u>2,097</u> | 2,923 | -390 | <u>826</u> |

Note: Contractor FTEs increase represents change in methodology used to determine number of contractors FTEs, instilling more accuracy & consistency.

VI. OP 32 Line Items as Applicable (Dollars in thousands):

| | | Chan | ge | Change | | | |
|---|---------|------------------|----------|----------|------------------|---------------|----------|
| | FY 2013 | <u>FY 2013/E</u> | Y 2014 | FY 2014 | <u>FY 2014/F</u> | <u>Y 2015</u> | FY 2015 |
| OP 32 Line | Actual | Price | Program | Estimate | Price | Program | Estimate |
| 101 Exec, Gen'l & Spec Scheds | 357,072 | 2,678 | -973 | 358,777 | 3,588 | -5,505 | 356,860 |
| 107 Voluntary Sep Incentives | 298 | 0 | 202 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 500 |
| 199 Total Civ Compensation | 357,370 | 2,678 | -771 | 359,277 | 3,588 | -5,505 | 357,360 |
| 308 Travel of Persons | 84,196 | 1,599 | 1,243 | 87,038 | 1,567 | -2,993 | 85,612 |
| 399 Total Travel | 84,196 | 1,599 | 1,243 | 87,038 | 1,567 | -2,993 | 85,612 |
| 672 PRMRF Purchases | 6,971 | 351 | -7,322 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 680 Building Maint Fund Purch | 0 | 0 | 4,120 | 4,120 | 32 | -4,152 | 0 |
| 699 Total DWCF Purchases | 6,971 | 351 | -3,202 | 4,120 | 32 | -4,152 | 0 |
| 703 JCS Exercises | 103,100 | 2,784 | 34,752 | 140,636 | 18,001 | -19,215 | 139,422 |
| 708 MSC Chartered Cargo | 11,945 | 1,326 | 10,565 | 23,836 | -215 | -2,335 | 21,286 |
| 719 SDDC Cargo Ops-Port hndlg | 50,959 | 19,874 | -11,551 | 59,282 | -13,220 | 7,150 | 53,212 |
| 771 Commercial Transport | 35,569 | 676 | -994 | 35,251 | 635 | -2,379 | 33,507 |
| 799 Total Transportation | 201,573 | 24,660 | 32,772 | 259,005 | 5,201 | -16,779 | 247,427 |
| 912 Rental Payments to GSA (SLUC) | 1,859 | 35 | -636 | 1,258 | 23 | 725 | 2,006 |
| 913 Purchased Utilities (Non-Fund) | 2,006 | 38 | 791 | 2,835 | 51 | -791 | 2,095 |
| 914 Purchased Communications (Non- Fund) | 8,789 | 167 | -5,131 | 3,825 | 69 | -360 | 3,534 |
| 915 Rents (Non-GSA) | 102,737 | 1,952 | 80,815 | 185,504 | 3,339 | 94,321 | 283,164 |
| 917 Postal Services (U.S.P.S) | 19 | 0 | 17 | 36 | 1 | -7 | 30 |
| 920 Supplies & Materials (Non- Fund) | 10,617 | 202 | 2,296 | 13,115 | 236 | -1,409 | 11,942 |
| 921 Printing & Reproduction | 578 | 11 | -347 | 242 | 4 | 157 | 403 |
| 922 Equipment Maintenance By Contract | 62,902 | 1,195 | -13,379 | 50,718 | 913 | -32,076 | 19,555 |
| 923 Facilities Sust, Rest, & Mod by Contract | 431 | 8 | 556 | 995 | 18 | -528 | 485 |
| 925 Equipment Purchases (Non-Fund) | 15,582 | 296 | -9,511 | 6,367 | 115 | -2,675 | 3,807 |
| 932 Mgt Prof Support Svcs | 425,486 | 8,084 | -60,613 | 372,957 | 6,713 | -8,891 | 370,779 |
| 933 Studies, Analysis & Eval | 110,800 | 2,105 | -39,040 | 73,865 | 1,330 | -15,736 | 59,459 |
| 934 Engineering & Tech Svcs | 364,891 | 6,933 | -161,458 | 210,366 | 3,787 | -25,151 | 189,002 |
| 951 Other Costs (Special Personal | 6,625 | 0 | -6,625 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | Change Change | | | je | | |
|---|---------------|-----------------|----------|-----------------|------------------|---------|-----------------|
| | FY 2013 | <u>FY 2013/</u> | Y 2014 | FY 2014 | <u>FY 2014/F</u> | Y 2015 | FY 2015 |
| OP 32 Line | <u>Actual</u> | Price | Program | <u>Estimate</u> | Price | Program | <u>Estimate</u> |
| Svc Pay) | | | | | | | |
| 958 Other Costs (Investments and Loans) | 17 | 0 | -17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 960 Other Costs (Interest and Dividends) | 40 | 1 | -41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 985 Research & Development, Contracts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,094 | 5,094 |
| 987 Other Intra-Govt Purch | 95,075 | 1,806 | 108,928 | 205,809 | 3,705 | -12,881 | 196,633 |
| 988 Grants | 40,258 | 765 | 8,064 | 49,087 | 884 | -45,224 | 4,747 |
| 989 Other Services | 102,790 | 1,953 | -47,214 | 57,529 | 1,036 | -13,774 | 44,791 |
| 990 IT Contract Support Services | 5,228 | 99 | -801 | 4,526 | 81 | -1,369 | 3,238 |
| 999 Total Other Purchases | 1,356,730 | 25,650 | -143,346 | 1,239,034 | 22,305 | -60,575 | 1,200,764 |
| Total | 2,006,840 | 54,938 | -113,304 | 1,948,474 | 32,693 | -90,004 | 1,891,163 |

* The FY 2013 Actual column includes \$38,251 thousand of FY 2013 OCO Appropriations funding (PL 113-6).

* The FY 2014 Estimate column **excludes** \$68,227 thousand of FY 2014 Overseas Contingency Operations Appropriations funding (PL 113-76). * The FY 2015 Estimate **excludes** OCO.

Note: The increase in OP-32 line 915 Rents (Non-GSA) is attributed to the increase in the DoD's allocated share of the Capital Security Cost Sharing bill as determined by the Department of State.