Department of Defense Fiscal Year (FY) 2013 President's Budget Submission

February 2012



Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

Justification Book

Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

OT&E

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense • President's Budget Submission FY 2013 • RDT&E Program

Table of Contents

Comptroller Exhibit R-1	iii
Program Element Table of Contents (by Budget Activity then Line Item Number)	xi
Program Element Table of Contents (Alphabetically by Program Element Title)	iii
Exhibit R-2's	1

i

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

UNCLASSIFIED

ii

Department of Defense FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

24 Jan 2012

Appropriation	FY 2011 Actuals	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Operational Test & Eval, Defense	192,094	188,037		188,037
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	192,094	188,037		188,037

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of January 24, 2012 at 14:54:22

Department of Defense FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

24 Jan 2012

Appropriation	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total
Operational Test & Eval, Defense	185,268		185,268
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	185,268		185,268

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of January 24, 2012 at 14:54:22

Department of Defense FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

24 Jan 2012

Summary Recap of Budget Activities	FY 2011 Actuals	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
RDT&E Management Support	192,094	188,037		188,037
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	192,094	188,037		188,037
Summary Recap of FYDP Programs				
Research and Development	192,094	188,037		188,037
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	192,094	188,037		188,037
Research and Development	,			

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of January 24, 2012 at 14:54:22

v

Department of Defense FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

24 Jan 2012

Summary Recap of Budget Activities	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total
RDT&E Management Support	185,268		185,268
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	185,268		185,268
Summary Recap of FYDP Programs			
Research and Development	185,268		185,268
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	185,268		185,268

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of January 24, 2012 at 14:54:22

Defense-Wide FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

24 Jan 2012

Summary Recap of Budget Activities	FY 2011 Actuals	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
	100.004			
RDT&E Management Support Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	192,094	188,037		188,037
iotal Research, Development, lest & Evaluation	192,094	188,037		188,037
Summary Recap of FYDP Programs				
Research and Development	192,094	188,037		188,037
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	192,094	188,037		188,037

vii

Defense-Wide FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

24 Jan 2012

Summary Recap of Budget Activities	FY 2013 FY 2 Base OC	O Total
RDT&E Management Support	185,268	185,268
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	185,268	185,268
Summary Recap of FYDP Programs		
Research and Development	185,268	185,268
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	185,268	185,268

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of January 24, 2012 at 14:54:22

viii

Defense-Wide FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

24 Jan 2012

Appropriation: 0460D Operational Test & Eval, Defense

No	Program Element Number	Item	Act	FY 2011 Actuals	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	S e c
1	06051180TE Operat	tional Test and Evaluation	06	59,125	60,444		60,444	U
2	06051310TE Live	Fire Test and Evaluation	06	12,834	12,126		12,126	U
3	-	tional Test Activities and Analyses	06	120,135	115,467		115,467	ט
	RDT&E Manage	ement Support		192,094	188,037		188,037	
Tota	Operational Test	t & Eval, Defense		192,094	188,037		188,037	

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of January 24, 2012 at 14:54:22

ix

Defense-Wide FY 2013 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2013 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

24 Jan 2012

Appropriation: 0460D Operational Test & Eval, Defense

No	Program Element Number	Item	Act	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	S C
1	06051180TE	Operational Test and Evaluation	06	72,501		72,501	U
2	06051310TE	Live Fire Test and Evaluation	06	49,201		49,201	U
3	06058140TE	Operational Test Activities and Analyses	06	63,566		63,566	U
	RDT&E	Management Support		185,268	********	185,268	
Total	l Operationa	l Test & Eval, Defense		185,268		185,268	

R-1C: FY 2013 President's Budget (Published Version), as of January 24, 2012 at 14:54:22

Х

Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense • President's Budget Submission FY 2013 • RDT&E Program

Program Element Table of Contents (by Budget Activity then Line Item Number)

Appropriati	on 0460: Operatio	Management Support onal Test and Evaluation, Defens		
Line Item	Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title	Page
1	06	0605118OTE	Operational Test and Evaluation	1
2	06	0605131OTE	Live Fire Test and Evaluation	7
3	06	0605814OTE	Operational Test Activities and Analyses	15

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense • President's Budget Submission FY 2013 • RDT&E Program

Program Element Table of Contents (Alphabetically by Program Element Title)

Program Element Title	Program Element Number	Line Item	Budget Activity Page	,
Live Fire Test and Evaluation	0605131OTE	2	067	,
Operational Test Activities and Analyses	0605814OTE	3	06 15	j.
Operational Test and Evaluation	0605118OTE	1	06 1	

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense							DATE: February 2012				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support				R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605118OTE: <i>Operational Test and Evaluation</i>							
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	59.125	60.444	72.501	-	72.501	73.546	75.620	78.232	80.688	Continuing	Continuing
0605118OTE: Operational Test and Evaluation	59.125	60.444	72.501	-	72.501	73.546	75.620	78.232	80.688	Continuing	Continuing

Note

As a result of the Department's recognition of the importance of enhanced cyber assessment capabilities, additional resources were added in fiscal year 2013.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Director of Operational Test and Evaluation (DOT&E) was created by Congress in 1983. The Director is responsible under Title 10 for policy and procedures for all aspects of Operational Test and Evaluation (OT&E) within the Department of Defense (DoD). Particular focus is given to OT&E that supports major weapon system production decisions for acquisition programs included on the Office of Secretary of Defense Test and Evaluation Oversight List that is prepared and approved annually. Generally, there are about 300 programs on the oversight list including all Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAP) and Major Automated Information Systems (MAIS). MDAPs may not proceed beyond low-rate initial production (BLRIP) until OT&E of the program is complete. DOT&E is involved early in the planning phase of each program to ensure adequate testing is planned and executed. Key elements of DOT&E's oversight authority include:

- The approval of component Test and Evaluation Master Plans (TEMPS).

- The approval of component OT&E Test Plans.

- Oversight of Military Department preparation and conduct of field operational tests; analysis and evaluation of the resultant test data; the assessment of the adequacy of the executed test and evaluation programs; and assessment of the operational effectiveness and suitability of the weapon systems.

- Reporting results of OT&E that support BLRIP decisions to the Secretary of Defense and Congress, as well as providing an annual report summarizing all OT&E activities and the adequacy of test resources within DoD during the previous fiscal year.

DOT&E also oversees and resources OT&E community efforts to plan and execute joint operational evaluations of information assurance and interoperability of fielded systems and networks during major Combatant Command (COCOM) and Service exercises, and reports the trends and findings in the annual report. As a result of the Department's recognition of the importance of enhanced cyber assessment capabilities, additional resources were added in fiscal year 2013. These enhancements will include expanded threat assessments of the advanced cyber adversary, more representative portrayal of the cyber adversary by Red Teams, and improvements to the Joint Information Operations Range that will support more operationally realistic and threat representative assessment and training events.

This Program Element includes funds to obtain Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) support in performing the described tasks, travel funds to carry out oversight of the OT&E program, funds for Service teams performing information assurance and interoperability assessments during exercises, administrative and financial support services, and engineering and technical support services related to the conduct of operational test and evaluation.

1

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 C	hibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense							
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support		TEM NOMENCLA 605118OTE: Oper	TURE rational Test and Evalua	tion				
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total			
Previous President's Budget	59.430	60.444	62.695	-	62.695			
Current President's Budget	59.125	60.444	72.501	-	72.501			
Total Adjustments	-0.305	-	9.806	-	9.806			
 Congressional General Reductions 	-	-						
 Congressional Directed Reductions 	-	-						
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-						
 Congressional Adds 	-	-						
 Congressional Directed Transfers 	-	-						
 Reprogrammings 	-	-						
 SBIR/STTR Transfer 	-	-						
 Congressional General Reductions 	-0.305	-	-	-	-			
 Progam Realignment/Adjustments 	-	-	1.956	-	1.956			
 Cyber Enhancement Capabilities 	-	-	7.850	-	7.850			

Change Summary Explanation

As a result of the Department's recognition of the importance of enhanced cyber assessment capabilities, additional resources (\$7.850 million) were added in fiscal year 2013.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense								DATE: February 2012			
0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense								PROJECT 0605118OTE: <i>Operational Test and Evaluation</i>			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
0605118OTE: Operational Test and Evaluation	59.125	60.444	72.501	-	72.501	73.546	75.620	78.232	80.688	Continuing	Continuing
Puantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Director of Operational Test and Evaluation (DOT&E) was created by Congress in 1983. The Director is responsible under Title 10 for policy and procedures for all aspects of Operational Test and Evaluation (OT&E) within the Department of Defense (DoD). Particular focus is given to OT&E that supports major weapon system production decisions for acquisition programs included on the Office of Secretary of Defense Test and Evaluation Oversight List that is prepared and approved annually. Generally, there are about 300 programs on the oversight list including all Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAP) and Major Automated Information Systems (MAIS). MDAPs may not proceed beyond low-rate initial production (BLRIP) until OT&E of the program is complete. DOT&E is involved early in the planning phase of each program to ensure adequate testing is planned and executed. Key elements of DOT&E's oversight authority include:

- The approval of component Test and Evaluation Master Plans (TEMPS).

- The approval of component OT&E Test Plans.

- Oversight of Military Department preparation and conduct of field operational tests; analysis and evaluation of the resultant test data; the assessment of the adequacy of the executed test and evaluation programs; and assessment of the operational effectiveness and suitability of the weapon systems.

- Reporting results of OT&E that support BLRIP decisions to the Secretary of Defense and Congress, as well as providing an annual report summarizing all OT&E activities and the adequacy of test resources within DoD during the previous fiscal year.

DOT&E also oversees and resources OT&E community efforts to plan and execute joint operational evaluations of information assurance and interoperability of fielded systems and networks during major Combatant Command (COCOM) and Service exercises, and reports the trends and findings in the annual report. As a result of the Department's recognition of the importance of enhanced cyber assessment capabilities, additional resources and emphasis were added in fiscal year 2013. These enhancements will include expanded threat assessments of the advanced cyber adversary, more representative portrayal of the cyber adversary by Red Teams, and improvements to the Joint Information Operations Range that will support more operationally realistic and threat representative assessment and training events.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: Operational Test and Evaluation	59.125	60.444	72.501
FY 2011 Accomplishments: Operational Test and Evaluation Oversight			
This effort is in direct support of the Director's Title 10 responsibilities to conduct independent, rigorous, and comprehensive evaluation of the operational effectiveness and suitability of the Department's weapons programs. Funding for FY 2011 provided			

3

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense				DATE: February 2012			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605118OTE: Operational Test and Evaluation	PROJECT 0605118OTE: <i>Operational Test and Eva</i>			Evaluation		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013		
Operational Test and Evaluation inputs for Test and Evaluation Master F Acquisition Executive Summary Reports for those programs designated of DOT&E oversight authority were identified in Calendar Year 2011 Off Oversight List.	elements						
Information Assurance and Interoperability Evaluations							
Information assurance and interoperability assessments were performed during real-world operations. Warfighter responses to computer network captured in all information assurance events. Portrayal of advanced three assessments were guided by a more rigorous process that includes exp. In partnership with Joint Staff J8 (previously the Joint Forces Command and executed with emphasis on the systems and capabilities contained in Mix Study. Assessment support to units deploying to theaters of operative enhancements to their network defense postures. Fiscal year 2011 infor- included trend analyses across prior year results, both within and across and DoD leadership for their awareness and remediation actions, as app supported two assessment events for added operational realism and record FY 2012 Plans: Operational Test and Evaluation Oversight							
This is a continuing effort and is in direct support of the Director's Title 1 Test and Evaluation inputs for Test and Evaluation Master Plans, Test P Executive Summary Reports for those programs designated for oversigh Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (OUSD(AT&L)). Key Calendar Year 2012 Office of the Secretary of Defense Test and Evalua							
Information Assurance and Interoperability Evaluations							
Approximately 25 information assurance and interoperability assessmen and Service exercises. Full assessment of warfighter responses to com restore) will be captured in all information assurance events. Portrayal c most events, in accordance with the CJCS Red Team EXORD, and inter-	puter network attack (ability to protect, detect, rea of selected advanced-cyber threats will be included	ct, and d in					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense				DATE: February 2012				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT						
0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	PE 0605118OTE: Operational Test and	0605118C	DTE: Operati	onal Test and	l Evaluation			
BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	Evaluation							
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013			
threat environments will be examined. In partnership with US CYBER (
reflect DIA assessments, and application of these teams will be synchro	•							
priorities. In partnership with the Joint Staff J8, focused interoperability	•							
with emphasis on the systems and capabilities contained in the C2 Opti								
units deploying to theaters of operation will continue as needed. Fiscal								
evaluations will include trend analyses across prior year results, both will transmitted to Service and DeD leadership for their averages and rem								
transmitted to Service and DoD leadership for their awareness and rem Operations Range will support events across multiple COCOMS for add								
assessments.	and required security during ex	ercise						
FY 2013 Plans:								
Operational Test and Evaluation Oversight								
operational rest and Evaluation oversight								
This is a continuing effort and is in direct support of the Director's Title 1	0 responsibilities. FY 2013 funds will provide Op	erational						
Test and Evaluation inputs for Test and Evaluation Master Plans, Test F								
Executive Summary Reports for those programs designated for oversig								
Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (OUSD(AT&L)). Key		tified in						
Calendar Year 2013 Office of the Secretary of Defense Test and Evaluation	ation Oversight List.							
Information Assurance and Interoperability Evaluations								
Approximately 25 information assurance and interoperability assessme								
and Service exercises. Full assessment of warfighter responses to com								
and restore) will be captured in all information assurance events. Portra								
events, in accordance with the CJCS Red Team EXORD, and interoper threat environments will be examined. In partnership with US CYBER (• • • •							
reflect DIA assessments, and application of these teams will be synchro								
priorities. In partnership with the Joint Staff J8, focused interoperability								
with emphasis on the systems and capabilities contained in the C2 Opti	•							
units deploying to theaters of operation will continue as needed. Fiscal								
evaluations will include trend analyses across prior year results, both will								
transmitted to Service and DoD leadership for their awareness and rem								
Operations Range with Red Teams portraying advanced cyber adversa	ries will support the majority of COCOM exercises	s for						
added threat realism and required security.								

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Operational Tes		DATE: February 2012				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605118OTE: <i>Operational Test and</i> <i>Evaluation</i>	PROJECT 0605118OTE: <i>Operational Test and Evalua</i>				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
As a result of the Department's recognition of the importance of enhance and emphasis were added in fiscal year 2013. These enhancements we cyber adversary, more representative portrayal of the cyber adversary to Operations Range that will support more operationally realistic and three	vill include expanded threat assessments of the ad by Red Teams, and improvements to the Joint Info	lvanced ormation				
	Subtotals	59.125	60.444	72.501		
 C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A D. Acquisition Strategy N/A E. Performance Metrics Performance Measure: Percentage of required operational test plann and Evaluation Oversight List and other special interest programs/lega Actual Performance and Goals: Operational Test and Evaluation On-Time Completion Rate FY 2011 (Actual) 94% FY 2012 (Goal) 95% FY 2013 (Goal) 96% The on-time completion rate was computed on the basis of the number number of such products that fell due during the fiscal year. Products Test and Evaluation Master Plans for operational test and evaluation of assurance and interoperability testing associated with scheduled test continued management emphasis on timely delivery of required product 	er of required products that were submitted within included in the measure include beyond low-rate oversight as well as assessment plans, "quick look events. DOT&E plans to maintain its on-time com	established initial produ « reports, a	time standa action reports nd final repo	makers on tir rds relative to s, Test Plans, rts for the info	the total and ormation	

6

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item	xhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense								DATE: February 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0460: Operational Test and Evaluati BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	on, Defense			R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605131OTE: <i>Live Fire Test and Evaluation</i>							
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	12.834	12.126	49.201	-	49.201	48.423	49.587	50.255	51.306	Continuing	Continuing
0605131OTE: Live Fire Test and Evaluation	12.834	12.126	49.201	1 - 49.201 48.423 49.587 50.255 5					51.306	Continuing	Continuing

<u>Note</u>

Starting in FY 2013 the increase in funding is the result of the realignment of the JASP and JTCG/ME programs from the Operational Test Activities and Analyses program (0605814OTE) to the Live Fire Test and Evaluation program (06051310TE).

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element consists of three programs: Live Fire Test and Evaluation, Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP) and Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/ME). Starting in FY 2013 the JASP and JTCG/ME programs are realigned from the Operational Test Activities and Analyses program (0605814OTE) to the Live Fire Test and Evaluation program element (06051310TE). The JASP and JTCG/ME programs focus on the survivability of currently fielded systems; therefore, the two programs are more appropriately funded within the Live Fire Test and Evaluation program element.

This Program Element directly supports the Congressional statutory requirements for oversight of Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E). The primary objective of LFT&E is to assure that the vulnerability and survivability of Department of Defense (DoD) crew-carrying platforms and the lethality of our conventional munitions are known and acceptable before entering full-rate production. LFT&E encompasses realistic tests involving actual United States (U.S.) and foreign threat hardware or, if not available, acceptable surrogate threat hardware. The objective is to identify and correct design deficiencies early in the development process. A completed LFT&E program and test report is required before programs proceed beyond low-rate initial production (BLRIP). LFT&E also includes realistic modeling and simulation (M&S) to examine survivability and lethality attributes not assessed during testing.

This Program Element also supports DoD's Joint Live Fire (JLF) Program and other LFT&E related initiatives. JLF was begun in 1984 under an Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) charter to test fielded front-line combat aircraft and armor systems for their vulnerabilities as well as fielded weapons, both U.S. and foreign, for their lethalities against their respective targets. Funds are also used to support other initiatives related to quick reaction requests from theater and other areas of personnel survivability.

The Joint Aircraft Survivability Program is the DoD's focal point for joint service enhancement of military aircraft non-nuclear survivability. The JASP is chartered by the commanders of the USN Naval Air Systems Command, USA Aviation and Missile Command and USAF Aeronautical Systems Center to coordinate and conduct RDT&E to improve military aircraft survivability, develop and standardize aircraft survivability modeling and simulation (M&S), facilitate information exchange on aircraft survivability and support aircraft survivability education for the DoD and U.S. aircraft community. Each chartering command provides a senior aircraft survivability expert for the JASP Principal Members Steering Group (PMSG), which guides the program and approves projects for funding. The JASP assesses and reports on combat damage incidents through the Joint Combat Assessment Team (JCAT), is the Executive Agent for the Joint Live Fire Aircraft Systems Program managed by

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013	Operational Test and	Evaluation, Def	fense	DATE: F	ebruary 2012
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 IT	EM NOMENCLA	TURE		
0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	PE 060	051310TE: <i>Live</i>	Fire Test and Evaluation	n	
BA 6: RDT&E Management Support					
the Live Fire Test office of DOT&E and is also an Executiv survivability information.	ve Agent for the Surv	rivability Vulnera	bility Information Analys	is Center (SURVIAC), t	he repository for aircra
The Joint Logistics Commanders Joint Technical Coordination					
focal point for munitions effectiveness information. This h					
non-nuclear U.S. weapons. JTCG/ME authenticates wea					
and simulation. JMEMs are used by the Armed Forces of					
support force-level analyses. JTCG/ME also develops an					
vulnerability, munitions lethality, and weapon system accu					
learned (Enduring Freedom, Iraqi Freedom, and Odyssey			Commands, Services, I	military rargeting Comm	littee, and Operational
Users Working Groups input for specific weapon-target pa	annys and methodol	ogies.			
This program element also includes funds to obtain Feder	ally Funded Researc	h and Developm	ent Center (FERDC) ex	pertise in performing a	nalyses in support of
described Live Fire Test and Evaluation tasks, as well as				portioo in portorning a	
	,				
This program element is budgeted in Budget Activity 6, RI	DT&E Management S	Support, to supp	ort LFT&E management	activities for the oversi	ght of RDT&E of new
systems, as well as RDT&E of fielded systems.					
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2011</u>	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total
Previous President's Budget	12.899	12.126	11.982	-	11.982
Current President's Budget	12.834	12.126	49.201	-	49.201
Total Adjustments	-0.065	-	37.219	-	37.219
 Congressional General Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			

 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
 Congressional General Reductions 	-0.065	-	-	-	-
Program Realignment	-	-	36.925	-	36.925
 Revised Economic Assumptions 	-	-	0.294	-	0.294

Change Summary Explanation

Starting in FY 2013 the increase in funding is the result of the realignment of the JASP and JTCG/ME programs from the Operational Test Activities and Analyses program (0605814OTE) to the Live Fire Test and Evaluation program (0605131OTE).

8

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justi		3 2013 Oper	ational lest		-			1	DATE: February 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV					OMENCLA			PROJECT		_ , ,	
0460: Operational Test and Evaluation BA 6: RDT&E Management Support				PE 0605131OTE: <i>Live Fire Test and Evaluation</i> 06					E: Live Fire	Test and Ev	aluation
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cos
0605131OTE: Live Fire Test and Evaluation	12.834	12.126	49.201	-	49.201	48.423	49.587	50.255	51.306	Continuing	Continuin
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											
program (0605814OTE) to the Live currently fielded systems; therefore B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	e, the two pro	ograms are i						ation progra		survivability	of FY 2013
<i>Title:</i> Live Fire Test and Evaluation	yranis (ə m	<u>wiiiions)</u>							12.834	12.126	49.20
FY 2011 Accomplishments: Live Fire Test and Evaluation Major The FY 2011 budget provided Live F Acquisition Reports, Defense Acquis those programs designated for overs JLF Programs and LFT&E Initiatives	Fire Test and sition Execut sight by DOT	l Evaluation ive Summar	input for Tes y reports, ar	nd Beyond L	ow Rate Initia	al Production	n (BLRIP) re	ports for			
Conducted tests of fielded systems r warfighter needs. The need for thes or being operated in new combat en support and continued to partner wit supporting deployed operators and i helmets were addressed. Supported improvements to the combat theater with OUSD (Personnel and Readine	e tests result wironments, th the Joint In issues of imp d helicopter r. An initiativ	Its from syste and the sub- mprovised E portance to the survivability ve to investig	ems being e sequent nee xplosive Dev he Congress efforts of the ate aortic inj	xposed to n d for an ass vice Defeat (in the area Departmer uries was co	ew threats, u essment of the Organization s of personne to recomme completed. The	sed in new u heir perform . Urgent req el body armo end quickly f	inanticipated ance. Provi uests direct or and comb ielded surviv	d tactics, ded y at /ability			
JLF projects continued to be perform investigated vulnerabilities of aircraft								d			

hibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense			DATE: February 2012				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605131OTE: <i>Live Fire Test and Evaluation</i>	PROJECT 0605131OTE: <i>Live Fire Test and Evaluation</i>					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013		
simulation to more accurately take into account vulnerabilities to MANPA continued work on large engine vulnerability to MANPADS, including hit Land projects continued investigating the vulnerability of vehicles to new against typical in-theater targets, as well as improving modeling and sim projects continued developing key components of alternatives to tradition investigating ship vulnerabilities in the areas of commercial standards ar and compartment fires.							
FY 2012 Plans: Live Fire Test and Evaluation Major Test and Evaluation Programs							
This is a continuing effort. The FY 2012 budget provides Live Fire Test a Plans, Test Plans, System Acquisition Reports, Defense Acquisition Exe programs designated for oversight by DOT&E and OUSD(AT&L). The o	cutive Summary reports, and BLRIP reports for th						
JLF Programs and LFT&E Initiatives							
Conduct tests of fielded systems not previously tested under Air, Land, or operator needs. The need for these tests results from systems being ex or being operated in new combat environments, and the subsequent need continue to support and partner with the Joint Trauma Analysis and Preve efforts in support of Personnel Protection Equipment, including combat here requests that directly support deployed operators and issues of important	posed to new threats, used in new unanticipated t ed for an assessment of their performance. As ne vention of Injury in Combat (JTAPIC) program. Co nelmets and body armor. Continue to address urg	actics, cessary, ontinue					
Continue to perform JLF projects to provide survivability data on currentl investigation of an emerging threat first seen in a CH 47 combat incident engine nacelle vulnerability reduction techniques, as well as generic vuln arms, and the performance of self sealing fuel tanks using bio-fuels. Ner and missile debris on aircraft vulnerability, the vulnerability of turboprop of threats. JLF Land projects will continue to investigate the vulnerability of weapons against typical in-theater targets, as well as improving modeling projects will study the use and validity of manikins, helmets, and improve and simulation. JLF Sea projects will continue to develop key componer	t, test the vulnerability of sponsons to RPGs, evalu- nerabilities to all aircraft, such as to MANPADS, sr w projects will investigate the effect of yawed proj engines, and a comparison of commonly used tes f vehicles to underbody blast and the lethality of U g and simulation tools by providing validation data ements to material characteristics used in modelin	uate mall ectiles t I.S. a. New g					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Operational Test		DATE: February 2012			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605131OTE: <i>Live Fire Test and Evaluation</i>	PROJECT 060513101	E: Live Fin	e Test and Ev	aluation
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
submarines, will continue to investigate ship vulnerabilities in the areas of damage, and will investigate vulnerabilities of designs and components f	ent				
FY 2013 Plans: Live Fire Test and Evaluation Major Test and Evaluation Programs					
This is a continuing effort. The FY 2013 budget provides Live Fire Test a Plans, Test Plans, System Acquisition Reports, Defense Acquisition Exe programs designated for oversight by DOT&E and OUSD(AT&L). The or	cutive Summary reports, and BLRIP reports for th				
JLF Programs					
Conduct tests of fielded systems not previously tested under Air, Land, or warfighter needs. The need for these tests result from systems being ex or being operated in new combat environments, and the subsequent need continue to support and partner with the JTAPIC. Continue initiatives with support deployed warfighters and issues of importance to the Congress.	tactics, cessary,				
Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP)					
In FY 2013 the JASP will continue work on at least 29 multi-year RDT&E Principal Members Steering Group and OSD/DOT&E. The JASP will approtorcraft combat survivability. In the area of susceptibility reduction, the countermeasures, electronic countermeasures technology and technique needs. In the area of vulnerability reduction, the JASP will continue to ac vulnerability reduction technology (e.g., armor, fuel containment, fire sup aircraft survivability M&S, the JASP will continue to improve survivability survivability data, integrate DIA threat missile models into threat engager passenger injuries, and address M&S requirements identified by the joint	ties and ared ator In Dr				
The JCAT will continue to support the Air Force, Army, Marine Corps and operators on threat effects and combat damage assessment, and report DoD science and technology and acquisition communities. The JASP we and information exchange through internet sites (restricted access and c	he ion				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Operational Te	st and Evaluation, Defense		DATE: Feb	oruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	Γ		
0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	PE 06051310TE: Live Fire Test and Evaluation	06051310	DTE: Live Fire	e Test and E	aluation/
BA 6: RDT&E Management Support					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
developing educational materials and conducting training for the DoD		ue and			
complete other projects as approved by the JASP Principal Members \$	Steering Group and OSD/DOT&E.				
Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG	/ME)				
In support of operational commanders, DoD targeteers, weaponeers, a					
Weaponeering System (JWS) v2.x in September 2013 and Joint-Antia (AS) v5.2 in September 2013.	r Combat Effectiveness System (J-ACE) Air Super	iority			
JWS v2.x will provide new COCOM high priority targets and weapons	data; updates to Boat Estimation Tool (BET), Fast				
Integrated Structural Tool (FIST), and Passive Vehicle Target Model (F					
J-ACE v5.2 will add Browse descriptive material to support new weapo Kill-chain Models and Data (SAK-MD) capability; and update existing v		Anti-air			
JTCG/ME will continue to; (i) develop a predictive capability to assess					
synergism and incorporate these mechanisms in the JTCG/ME estima the use of computational physics to improve test design and data analy					
characterization of weapons addressing blast interactions with structur					
JMEMs will continue to be evolved. Fast running operational tools will					
typically used to support system acquisition decisions. Necessary inve configuration management and validation required to insure their appli		opment,			
investment will allow more effective and efficient use of DoD resources		hter			
application of conventional weapons; and will increase operational cap					
in an environment where zero collateral casualties is the expectation; (
preferred and prepositioned munitions; (iii) reduced uncertainties and (
(BDA); (iv) weapon effects in a CM environment; and (v) reduced risk t			40.004	40.400	49.20
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs S	bublotals	12.834	12.126	49.20
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)					
N/A					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Operational Test	and Evaluation, Defense	DATE: February 2012
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	PE 0605131OTE: <i>Live Fire Test and Evaluation</i>	06051310TE: Live Fire Test and Evaluation
BA 6: RDT&E Management Support		
D. Acquisition Strategy		
N/A		
 E. Performance Metrics Performance Measure: Percentage of required live fire test planning de Evaluation Oversight List and other special interest programs/legacy sy of required products, such as test planning documents, munitions effect managers and customers on time. Actual Performance and Goals: Live Fire Test and Evaluation FY 2011 Actual FY 2012 Goal On-Time Completion Rate 90% 93% The on-time completion rate was computed on the basis of the number number of such products that fell due during the fiscal year. DOT&E pl timely delivery of required reports to customer activities. 	vstems that are completed and delivered to the ap tiveness manuals, tactic-techniques and reports t FY 2013 Goal 95% required reports that were submitted within estab	propriate decision makers on time. Percentage hat are developed and delivered to program

13

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense				DATE: February 2012							
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIN 0460: Operational Test and Evaluat BA 6: RDT&E Management Suppor	ion, Defense			R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: <i>Operational Test Activities and Analyses</i>							
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	120.135	115.467	63.566	-	63.566	63.382	64.771	64.637	64.377	Continuing	Continuing
0605814OTE: <i>OT&A</i>	120.135	115.467	63.566	-	63.566	63.382	64.771	64.637	64.377	Continuing	Continuing

Note

Starting in FY 2013 the decreases in funding are the result of the realignment of the JASP and JTCG/ME programs from the Operational Test Activities and Analyses program (0605814OTE) to the Live Fire Test and Evaluation program (06051310TE) and a reduction to the Joint Live Fire Program as part of the overall DoD budget reduction effort.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Test and Evaluation programs are continuing efforts that provide management and oversight of test and evaluation functions and expertise to the Department of Defense (DoD). The T&E programs consist of five activities: Joint Test and Evaluation (JT&E); Threat Systems (TS); Center for Countermeasures (CCM); Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/ME); and Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP). Starting in FY 2013 the JTCG/ME and JASP programs are realigned from the Operational Test Activities and Analyses program element (06058140TE) to the Live Fire Test and Evaluation program element (06051310TE). Since the JTCG/ME and JASP programs focus on the survivability of currently fielded systems the two programs are more appropriately funded within the Live Fire Test and Evaluation program element.

Joint Test and Evaluation projects are test and evaluation activities conducted in a joint military environment that develop process improvements. These multi-Service projects, chartered by the Office of the Secretary of Defense and coordinated with the Joint Staff, appropriate combatant commanders, and the Services, provide non-materiel solutions that improve: joint interoperability of Service systems, technical and operational concepts, joint operational issues, development and validation of joint test methodologies, and test data for validating models, simulations, and test beds. The JT&E projects address relevant joint war fighting issues in a joint test and evaluation environment by developing and providing new tactics, techniques, and procedures to improve joint test capabilities and methodologies.

Threat Systems, based on a memorandum of agreement between the Director, Operational Test and Evaluation (DOT&E) and the Defense Intelligence Agency, provides DOT&E support in the areas of threat resource analysis, intelligence support and threat systems investments. Threat Systems provides threat resource analyses on the availability, capabilities and limitations of threat representations (threat simulators, targets, models, U.S. surrogates and foreign materiel) and analysis of test resources used for operational testing to support DOT&E's assessment of the adequacy of testing for those programs designated for oversight by DOT&E and the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (OUSD(AT&L)). Threat Systems provides DOT&E assessment officers and other DOT&E activities with program specific threat intelligence support. Threat Systems also funds management, oversight, and development of common-use threat specifications for threat simulators, threat representative targets, and digital threat models used for test and evaluation.

The Center, a Joint Service Countermeasure (CM) Test and Evaluation Center, serves as DoD's independent evaluator for electro-optical systems with emphasis on rotary wing survivability, precision guided weapons (PGWs), CMs/ counter-countermeasures (CCMs) employment, and warning devices. The Center conducts tests, analyzes test results and provides CM expertise that benefits the Services, Joint activities, T&E Agencies, DoD Acquisition Community, the Intelligence Community,

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 Operation	onal Test and Evaluation, Defense	DATE: February 2012
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	
0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities	s and Analyses
BA 6: RDT&E Management Support		
Homeland Defense and Overseas Contingency Operations (OCC officers for select oversight programs. The Center assesses curre the basis for understanding how CMs might affect systems used i can be used for both Title 10 programs and OCO urgent operation	ent and developing systems, using carefully developing current and future battlefields. Additionally, the	oped test and evaluation methodologies to provide
The Joint Logistics Commanders Joint Technical Coordinating Gr focal point for munitions effectiveness information. This has taken non-nuclear U.S. weapons. JTCG/ME authenticates weapons eff and simulation. JMEMs are used by the Armed Forces of the U.S support force-level analyses. JTCG/ME also develops and stand vulnerability, munitions lethality, and weapon system accuracy. T learned (Enduring Freedom, Iraqi Freedom, and Odyssey Dawn) Users Working Groups input for specific weapon-target pairings a	n the form of widely used Joint Munitions Effective fectiveness data for use in training, systems acquis S., NATO, and other allies to plan operational miss ardizes methodologies for evaluation of munitions The JMEM requirements and development process and the needs of Combatant Commands, Services	ness Manuals (JMEMs) which address all major sition, weapon procurement, and combat modeling ions, support training and tactics development, and effectiveness and maintains databases for target ses continues to be driven by operational lessons
The Joint Aircraft Survivability Program is the DoD's focal point for the commanders of the USN Naval Air Systems Command, USA RDT&E to improve military aircraft survivability, develop and stan- survivability and support aircraft survivability education for the Do	Aviation and Missile Command and USAF Aerona dardize aircraft survivability modeling and simulation	utical Systems Center to coordinate and conduct on (M&S), facilitate information exchange on aircra

survivability and support aircraft survivability education for the DoD and U.S. aircraft community. Each chartering command provides a senior aircraft survivability expert for the JASP Principal Members Steering Group (PMSG), which guides the program and approves projects for funding. The JASP assesses and reports on combat damage incidents through the Joint Combat Assessment Team (JCAT), is the Executive Agent for the Joint Live Fire Aircraft Systems Program managed by the Live Fire Test office of DOT&E and is also an Executive Agent for the Survivability Vulnerability Information Analysis Center (SURVIAC), the repository for aircraft survivability information.

This Program Element was reduced in FY 2013 and the outyears as part of the overall DoD budget reduction effort.

This Program Element is budgeted in Budget Activity 6, RDT&E Management Support, to support management activities for the DOTE oversight responsibilities of test and evaluation functions.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2013 O	perational Test and	Evaluation, Def	ense	DATE: F	ebruary 2012
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY				ad Analyzan	
0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	PE 000	581401E: Oper	rational Test Activities al	nd Analyses	
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total
Previous President's Budget	122.581	118.722	121.012	-	121.012
Current President's Budget	120.135	115.467	63.566	-	63.566
Total Adjustments	-2.446	-3.255	-57.446	-	-57.446
 Congressional General Reductions 	-	-3.255			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 	-	-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 	-	-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 	-	-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
 Congressional General Reductions 	-2.446	-	-	-	-
 Revised Economic Assumptions 	-	-	2.000	-	2.000
 Program Reductions 	-	-	-20.489	-	-20.489
 Other Program Realignments 	-	-	-38.957	-	-38.957

Change Summary Explanation

Starting in FY 2013 the decreases in funding are the result of the realignment of the JASP and JTCG/ME programs from the Operational Test Activities and Analyses program (0605814OTE) to the Live Fire Test and Evaluation program (06051310TE) and a reduction to the Joint Live Fire Program as part of the overall DoD budget reduction effort.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense						DATE: February 2012					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support							PROJECT 0605814OTE: <i>OT&A</i>				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013 Base	FY 2013 OCO	FY 2013 Total	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
0605814OTE: OT&A	120.135	115.467	63.566	-	63.566	63.382	64.771	64.637	64.377	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Test and Evaluation programs are continuing efforts that provide management and oversight of test and evaluation functions and expertise to the Department of Defense (DoD). The T&E programs consist of five activities: Joint Test and Evaluation (JT&E); Threat Systems (TS); Center for Countermeasures (CCM); Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/ME); and Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP). Starting in FY 2013 the JTCG/ME and JASP programs are realigned from the Operational Test Activities and Analyses program element (06051310TE). Since the JTCG/ME and JASP programs focus on the survivability of currently fielded systems the two programs are more appropriately funded within the Live Fire Test and Evaluation program element.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Title: Operational Test Activities and Analyses	120.135	115.467	63.566
FY 2011 Accomplishments: Joint Test and Evaluation (JT&E)			
In FY 2011, JT&E had three projects close and four projects ongoing from FYs 2009 and 2010. The Joint Civil Information Management Joint Test, closed June 2011, developed joint tactics, techniques, and procedures to collect, consolidate, and share civil information at the tactical and operational levels so that the joint task force commander will have better information to plan operations. Another project that closed in FY 2011 was Joint Data Integration. This project researched, tested, and evaluated the tactics, techniques, and procedures for use in standardizing the common tactical picture by addressing the quality of: duplicate tracks, time latency, common operational picture synchronization, channel disruptions, position/location discrepancies, and naming schema discrepancies. On a continual basis, JT&E reviews nominations for new projects, manages ongoing projects, and ensures that closing projects transition products to their customers are debriefed, and that their final reports are distributed to the appropriate Service organizations. Two projects were initiated in FY 2011.			
Threat Systems			
During FY 2011, Threat Systems completed development of standard, DIA-validated airborne jammer models for use throughout the Department to evaluate effects on U.S. aircraft; evaluated proposals to develop and implement a more robust open-air threat environment to make operational testing more realistic; continued to address testing against advanced threats that may be			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense			DATE: Fe	oruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: <i>Operational Test Activities</i> <i>and Analyses</i>	PROJEC 1 0605814C	r)TE: <i>OT&A</i>		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
encountered from adversarial nations; and initiated a project to obtain da systems for use in Iraq and Afghanistan.	ta to support fielding of upgraded hostile fire indic	cator			
Threat Systems continued test planning working group participation to identify threat shortfalls; conducted special studies and provided current intelligence support tailored to specific U.S. weapon systems acquisition; and demonstrated test facility connectivity for enhanced weapons systems testing and improving end-to-end testing of U.S. threat warning and countermeasures systems. These efforts continued to develop threat test assets used for testing in a joint test environment; continued with the third year of a four-year project to integrate current intelligence community-based missile models into all DoD Hardware-In-The-Loop countermeasure test facilities; successfully demonstrated the ability of recently developed standards for target control interfaces to control sub-scale aerial targets; completed the design and analysis phase to develop a set of prototype designs for a cost effective full-scale aerial target that embodies the critical attributes of future 5th generation threat fighter aircraft; and performed a comprehensive requirements analysis for a new full-scale rotary wing target. These activities help DOT&E carry out its Title 10 responsibilities to assess test adequacy and determine whether testing is realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat representation needs.					
Center for Countermeasures (the Center)					
The Center tested, analyzed, and reported on more than 40 electro-optic survivability, countermeasures (CM)/ counter-countermeasures (CCMs) precision guided weapons (PGWs). Each program supported received a support for CM/ CCM evaluations. Approximately 66% of the Center effor testing; the majority of these efforts in support of rotary wing aircraft and 18% of the Center effort was dedicated to overseas contingency operation deployment training for rotary wing units. About 4% of Center effort was efforts were spent on internal programs to improve test capabilities and t activities. The Center continued development of the Central Test and Ex Threat System, Towed Aerial Plume Simulator and Multi-Spectral Sea ar testing for both Title 10 programs and OCO aircraft survivability equipme across all the Services as well as intelligence agencies and research and	employment, warning and targeting systems and an independent assessment of our findings and ter orts were spent on Aircraft Survivability Equipmer Hostile Fire Indication (HFI) capabilities. Approxi- ons (OCO) support with emphasis on CM based p spent on PGW testing. Thirteen percent of the C o develop test methodologies for new types of T& valuation Investment Program sponsored, Joint IF and Land Target Simulator that will be used in sup- ent urgent operational needs. Our support was dis-	t (ASE) mately ore- center &E &CM port of			
The Center provided expertise to many organizations and was actively in Countermeasures (JECM) Integrated Product Team, Joint , Infrared Cou (MSS IRCM WG), Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP), Foreign M	ntermeasures Multi Sensing Symposia Working (Group			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense				bruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses	PROJEC 06058140	T DTE: OT&A		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		[FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Program Test and Evaluation (T&E) Subcommittee, Joint Project Mallari Group (JCMT&E WG), and JCMT&E WG Hostile Fire Indicator (HFI) sub		orking			
Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/N	ЛЕ)				
JWS v2.0.1 and the JTCG/ME generated Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Radii (CER) tables were used for operational weaponeering and collater Odyssey Dawn Tomahawk Land Attack Missile (TLAM) and Joint Direct of operational commanders, DoD targeteers, weaponeers, and planners Weaponeering System (JWS) v2.1 beta version in June 2011. In additio 2011. Joint-Antiair Combat Effectiveness System (J-ACE) Air Superiorit was released in September 2011. JWS v2.1 beta version contained the Fast Integrated Structural Tool (FIS incorporates the integral modules from Building Analysis Module (BAM) tool that generates weapon effectiveness and damage assessments aga and tunnels. In addition, JWS v2.1 release will contain approximately 18 Explosive Equivalent Weights based on blast testing and an improved 3-	al damage estimation calls in direct support of Op Attack Munition (JDAM) strikes. In continued sup , the JTCG/ME developed and released JMEM on, development of JWS v2.1 continued throughou y (AS) v4.1 was released in October 2010. J-AC ST). FIST is the future JMEM operational-level to and Hardened Target Module (HTM) to create a r ainst infrastructure targets to include buildings, bu 30 new/updated targets, 15 new/updated munition	oeration oport ut FY E v5.0 ol that merged nkers,			
J-ACE v4.1 contained additional threat Surface-to-Air (SAM) Flyout Mod and improved Blue Air-to-Air missile FOMs. J-ACE 4.1 was released in software, consistent with Operational Flight Programs in the currently fie "FrankenWEZ" software was used for threat aircraft missile engagement simulations were added for the US AIM-7, AIM-9, and AIM-120 and NAS improved MSIC threat surface-to-air missiles (SAM) were also added. S user requirements; and, interface with other models, simulations, training J-ACE v5.0 provided the initial release of the Suite of Antiair Killchain Mo a significant increase in J-ACE capability. The faster than real time calc miss distance, effects of countermeasures, fuze performance, missile lef elements are provided for RED and BLUE weapons. To more effectively USSTRATCOM, the JAAM 5.0 release also provides a direct interface to expansion was provided only to specific users for extended testing, while to all users.	els (FOMs), additional threat Air-to-Air missile FC October 2010. Weapon Engagement Zone (WEZ Ided fighter fleet was provided for U.S. missiles; N zone determination. New or updated air-to-air m GIC threat AA-12, Magic 2, and PL-12. Sixteen ne coftware changes continued to better support oper grange telemetry and mission planning system so odels and Data (SAK-MD). SAK-MD software and ulations address missile fly out, target evasive ma thality and target vulnerability. These key "kill char y support operational mission planning, particularl o force level simulations. This initial SAK-MD cap	2) NASIC hissile ew or rational oftware. d data is aneuver, hin" y at ability			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Operational Test	ibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense		DATE: Fe	bruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: <i>Operational Test Activities</i> <i>and Analyses</i>	PROJEC 06058140	T DTE: OT&A		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
In support of the Combatant Commands and the CJCSI 3160.01, JTCG systems. In addition, the JTCG/ME accredited the Digital Precision Stril tool version 1.0.0 in July 2011 for operational use. This tool displays ac effective radii reference tables. Additionally, JTCG/ME trained nearly 15 FY 2011 continued development and refinement of the Joint Blast Analy were implemented in JBAM software, the user interface and documenta implementation of the Operational Requirement-based Casualty Assess weapon systems to inflict varying levels of personnel incapacitation to in The JTCG/ME assessed fielded and emerging Information Operations (as part of early efforts to create an Effects Based Operations (EBO) eval characterization, coordinating test data development and providing oper Attack and Electronic Warfare; Laser and Radio Frequency DE; and, NL weapon effectiveness and associated confidence level data are critical esenior leaders and warfighters with information to develop policy and communication of the commu	ke Suite (DPSS) Collateral Damage Estimation (D credited Collateral Damage Estimate Level 1-5 A- 50 users at 10 different Commands. vsis Model (JBAM). Additional damage modules tion. Additionally, JTCG/ME developed a strategy ment (ORCA) methodology in evaluating the capa aclude complete and immediate loss of function. IO), Directed Energy (DE) and Non-lethal (NL) sys aluation capability set. The scope includes weapon rational tools for the IO elements of Computer Net systems against materiel and personnel targets. enablers for application of these weapons as it will	CiDE) C series for ability of stems n work This			
Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP)					
In FY 2011 the JASP continued work on 28 multi-year RDT&E projects a Principal Members Steering Group and OSD/DOT&E. In the area of sus directed energy infrared countermeasures, electronic countermeasures and immediate operator needs. In the area of vulnerability reduction, the more effective armor, fuel containment, fire suppression; and aircrew ar and Simulation (M&S), the JASP continued to improve survivability M&S data, integrate DIA threat missile models into threat engagement codes injuries, and address M&S requirements identified by the joint aircraft su documenting projects completed in FY 2011.	sceptibility reduction, the JASP addressed improvi technology and techniques, aircrew situational aw e JASP continued to address requirements for ligh ad passenger protection. In aircraft survivability M 5 credibility, address operator requirements for sur , improve the assessment of aircrew and passeng	ng areness hter and odeling vivability er			
The JCAT continued to support the Air Force, Army, Marine Corps and operators on threat effects and combat damage assessment, and report DoD science and technology and acquisition communities. The JASP c	ting their findings to combatant commanders and t	he			

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense				DATE: February 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses	PROJECT 0605814O	TE: <i>OT&A</i>			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
information exchange through internet sites (restricted access and class developing educational materials and conducting training for the DoD ar		nal,				
<i>FY 2012 Plans:</i> Joint Test and Evaluation (JT&E)						
In FY 2012 JT&E has two projects slated for closing and an estimated for Joint Integration of Maritime Domain Awareness Joint Test, expected to techniques, and procedures to synchronize maritime domain information homeland defense. The other project closing in FY 2012 is Joint Jammi will develop joint tactics, techniques, and procedures to sustain operatio ultra- and super-high frequencies of the satellite communication bands. operations when satellite communications are degraded. On a continua manages ongoing projects, and ensures that closing projects transition p final reports are distributed to the appropriate Service organizations.	close in FY 2012, is looking to develop joint taction for key decision markers across operations cent ng Assessment and Mitigation Joint Test. This pursues ns in the presence of purposeful interference on the This will allow commanders and operators to execute a basis, JT&E reviews nominations for new project	cs, ters for roject the ecute cts,				
Threat Systems						
As part of the Secretary of Defense FY 2012 efficiency initiatives, the Ta funding for investments in advanced threat surrogate developments was responsible for the development and acquisition of new threat represent threat surrogate investments will slow but not eliminate development of a evaluation.	s reduced. TMI elimination will make the Services ative targets and target control systems. Reducin	s solely ng				
Threat Systems will complete the four-year project to integrate current in DoD Hardware-In-The-Loop countermeasure test facilities, continue test shortfalls; conduct special studies and provide current intelligence support In addition, Threat Systems will develop an unmanned aerial vehicle Glog jammers to increase threat realism at test ranges, and use existing live f and hardware-in-the-loop facility testing capabilities to increase confident operational testing. Initiatives for FY 2012 include development of a mot that support effective testing of US and Allied countermeasure systems; simulations used for testing advanced systems in an integrated air defer of a hostile fire signature model for use in testing new hostile fire indicated.	t planning working group participation to identify the ort tailored to specific U.S. weapon systems acquiobal Positioning Satellite jamming capability using fire data to verify and compare MANPAD laborated ince in using other than open air live fire events for deling and simulation (M&S) roadmap to identify integration of authoritative, DIA-approved models use network; data collection to support the develop	hreat isition. micro pry projects s into pment				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense			DATE: February 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: <i>Operational Test Activities</i> <i>and Analyses</i>	PROJEC 1 0605814C	Г)TE: <i>ОТ&А</i>		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013		
investigations into digital radio frequency memory use against threat air GPS jammers and their potential impact of US weapon systems; and tra management and command, control, communications and computer systems	anslation of all source technical intelligence on a b				
These activities help DOT&E carry out its Title 10 responsibilities to asserealistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat		is			
Center for Countermeasures (the Center)					
The Center will test, analyze, and report on more than 30 systems with a (CM)/counter-countermeasures (CCMs) employment, warning and targe Each program supported will receive an independent assessment of our Center will continue to emphasize support of the DOT&E enterprise with survivability and hostile fire initiatives. Additionally, a large percentage of in support of current OCO. Furthermore, the Center will continue provid as well as CM/CCM focused tactics and procedures development. The Evaluation Investment Program sponsored, Towed Aerial Plume Simula will be used in support of testing for both Title 10 programs and OCO air The Center will be developing the Threat Simulator Working Group spor will be distributed across all the Services as well as intelligence agencie The Center provided expertise to many organizations and was actively i Countermeasures (JECM) Integrated Product Team, Joint , Infrared Cot (MSS IRCM WG), Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP), Foreign N Program Test and Evaluation (T & E) Subcommittee, Joint Project Malla Group (JCMT&E WG), and JCMT&E WG Hostile Fire Indicator (HFI) sul Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/I In support of operational commanders, DoD targeteers, weaponeers, ar Weaponeering System (JWS) v2.1 in December 2011, JWS v2.1.1 in Settem (J-ACE) Air Superiority (AS) v5.1 in Settember 2012.	eting systems and precision guided weapons (PG r findings and test support for CM/CCM evaluation n a clear focus on Title 10 weapons systems, aircr of on-going efforts will focus on aircraft survivabiliti ling CM expertise in pre-deployment events and the Center will continue to develop, the Central Test a tor and Multi-Spectral Sea and Land Target Simular reraft survivability equipment urgent operational n hsored Hostile Fire Signature model. The Center' as and research and development activities. Involved in the following panels: Joint Expendable untermeasures Multi Sensing Symposia Working Material Exploitation Working Group, Foreign Material ari Working Group, Joint Countermeasures T&E W bgroup lead. ME) and planners, the JTCG/ME will release JMEM	SWs). Ins. The raft ty testing raining, and lator that eeds. s support Group erial /orking			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Operational Tes	t and Evaluation, Defense		DATE: Fe	bruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses	PROJECT 0605814O	ГЕ: <i>ОТ&А</i>		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
JWS v2.1 will provide a major capability increase to include Fast Integra Cratering Effeects (PCEffects), Precision Munitions Planning Tool (PMF Weaponeering and Estimation Tool, Mine methodology, and Hellfire we Combatant Command targets, other critical data and methodology fixes develop releasable versions of JWS v2.1 in support of combined warfar	PT), Joint Smart Weapons Model (JSWM), Improv aponeering data. JWS v2.1.1 will provide additio to warfighters. In addition, the JTCG/ME will pla	ed Ship nal			
J-ACE v5.1 will contain Joint Antiair Model (JAAM) v5.1 and additional E F-18 C/E, MiG-29 Fulcrum, Su-27 Flanker, Rafale, Jian-10/F-10, MiG-19 GUI improvements. J-ACE v5.1 will also include distribution of the SAK	9 Farmer, and F-1 Mirage) and architectural/mode				
In support of Combatant Commands and the CJCSI 3160.01, JTCG/ME fielded systems. JTCG/ME will continue to monitor the DCiDE tool vers that subsequent versions of DCiDE accurately reflect the latest JTCG/M population density factors and associated user input. The DCiDE tool we estimation on JWS.	ion 1.0.0 configuration management process to e IE accredited tables; Combatant Command speci	nsure fied			
Advanced Joint Effectiveness Model (AJEM) updates will focus on supp to respond to shortfalls within existing methodology and expanding to su weapons to more precise weapons. The precision of these new weapo Specific methodology tasks will be to (i) add partial impact to prevent un ORCA for use in JTCG/ME studies with AJEM; (iii) expand the suite of p tools and as plug-in for higher level codes; and (iv) improve blast data for	upport the ongoing paradigm shift from overmatch ns requires a better understanding of target responder-prediction of JWS lethality; (ii) develop under penetration methodology modules as standalone	ning onse. stating of			
JTCG/ME will continue to: (i) develop JMEM data for most critical Combupdate cycles through incremental updates; (iii) accredit tri-Service JME incorporate newly fielded weapons (i.e., Air-to-Surface, Surface-to-Surfa damage and hardened target structure methodology; and, (vi) provide c sensitive targets.	M operational tools; (iv) expand existing databas ace Direct/Indirect Fire, and Antiair); (v) enhance	es to collateral			
<i>FY 2013 Plans:</i> Joint Test and Evaluation (JT&E)					
In FY 2013 JT&E has two projects slated for closing and an estimated for Joint Cyber Operations Joint Test, anticipated to close in January 2013,					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Operational Test	and Evaluation, Defense		DATE: Fe	bruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: <i>Operational Test Activities</i> <i>and Analyses</i>	PROJEC 0605814C	DTE: OT&A		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
and procedures to enable the joint task force commander to employ a vi command and control services from cyber threats across the DoD Globa Exchange Joint Test is scheduled to close in September 2013.					
In FY 2013, the JT&E Program will begin to experience the impact of its digress from its business model of funding three Joint Feasibility Studies (QRTs) annually. FY 2013 will have no new JFS and one additional QR any Joint Tests chartered in FY 2013.	s (JFS), two Joint Tests, and three Quick Reaction	Tests			
As part of the Secretary of Defense FY 2012 efficiency initiatives, JT&E requirements of its stakeholders with the reduction in budget. The new rigorous Test and Evaluation methods to produce effective solutions to jumodel will be implemented and refined starting in FY 2013.	model reduces the life span of a project while mai	ntaining			
Threat Systems					
Threat Systems will continue integration of current intelligence communic continue test planning working group participation to identify threat short intelligence support tailored to specific U.S. weapon systems acquisition to increase threat realism at our test ranges, and complete the develop signature model for use in hostile fire indicator systems. Threat Systems intelligence agencies and develop models for use in test and evaluation. radio frequency memory technology to develop modern threat jammers.	falls; conduct special studies and provide current ; develop Global Positioning Satellite jamming ca nent of an ammunition and rocket propelled grena s will propose candidate threat systems from the Threat Systems will investigate the integration of	pability de various			
New initiatives for FY 2013 include implementation of M&S roadmap pro countermeasure systems are available for testing, development of next on US weapon systems, providing representative cyber warfare threats resources that represent land and sea threats.	generation threat GPS jammers and their potentia				
These activities help DOT&E carry out its Title 10 responsibilities to assere a realistic and suitable, and promotes common solutions to Service threat		is			
Center for Countermeasures (CCM)					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2013 Operational Test	and Evaluation, Defense		DATE: Fel	oruary 2012	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses	PROJEC 06058140	T DTE: OT&A		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
The Center will test, analyze, and report on more than 30 electro-optical survivability, counter measures (CM)/counter-countermeasures (CCMs) of precision guided weapons. Each program supported will receive an inde CM/CCM evaluations. We will continue to emphasize support of the DO systems, aircraft survivability and hostile fire initiatives. Additionally, a la survivability testing in support of current OCO. Furthermore, the Center events and training, as well as CM/CCM focused tactics and procedures Central Test and Evaluation Investment Program sponsored Multi-Spectr support of testing for both Title 10 programs and OCO aircraft survivability continue to develop the Threat Simulator Working Group sponsored Hos across all the Services as well as intelligence agencies and research and providing advice on CM/CMS. Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/M program element 60501130TE Live Fire Test and Evaluation.)	employment, warning and targeting systems and ependent assessment of our findings and test sup T&E enterprise with a clear focus on Title 10 weat rge percentage of on-going efforts will focus on a will continue providing CM expertise in pre-deplo development. The Center will continue to develor ral Sea and Land Target Simulator that will be us ty equipment urgent operational needs. The Cert tile Fire Signature model. Our support will be dis d development activities.	pport for ipons iircraft yment op, the ed in iter will tributed			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs S	Subtotals	120.135	115.467	63.566
 <u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> Not Applicable <u>E. Performance Metrics</u> (U) PERFORMANCE METRICS: Performance Measure: Percentage of required products, such as test p characteristics, assessments, and reports that are developed and delivered 	planning documents, munitions effectiveness ma	nuals, tacti			

nibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 201			DATE: February 2012		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITYR-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, DefensePE 0605814OTE: Operational Test / and AnalysesBA 6: RDT&E Management Supportand Analyses			PROJECT		
			0605814OTE: <i>OT&A</i>		
		yses			
ctual Performance and Goals:					
perational Test Activities and Analyses FY 2011	(Actual) FY 2012 (Goal)	FY 2013 (Goal)			
n-Time Completion Rate 94%		96%			
	,				
he on-time completion rate was computed on the b	asis of the number of require	ed products that were submitted withi	n established time standards relative to the		
tal number of such products that fell due during th	e fiscal year. DOT&E plans t	o maintain its on-time completion rat			
anagement emphasis on timely delivery of require	d products to customer activi	ties.			

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK