Department of Defense Fiscal Year (FY) 2012 Budget Estimates

February 2011



Defense Threat Reduction Agency

Justification Book Volume 5

Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Defense Threat Reduction Agency • President's Budget FY 2012 • RDT&E Program

Volume 5 Table of Contents

Comptroller Exhibit R-1	Volume 5 - 609
Program Element Table of Contents (by Budget Activity then Line Item Number)	.Volume 5 - 617
Program Element Table of Contents (Alphabetically by Program Element Title)	.Volume 5 - 619
DTRA Overview	Volume 5 - 621
Acronyms	Volume 5 - 623
Exhibit R-2's	Volume 5 - 629

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Defense-Wide FY 2012 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2012 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

FY 2011 FY 2011 FY 2011 FY 2011 FY 2011 FY 2011 FY 2010 Base Request OCO Request Total Request Annualized Annualized Annualized Summary Recap of Budget Activities (Base & OCO) with CR Adj* with CR Adj* with CR Adj* CR Base** CR OCO** CR Total** Basic Research 39,951 47,412 47,412 47,328 47,328 Applied Research 218,761 212,742 212,742 212,366 212,366 Advanced Technology Development (ATD) 236,408 295,163 295,163 294,642 294,642 System Development and Demonstration (SDD) 9,255 7,307 7,307 7,294 7,294 RDT&E Management Support 8,347 Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation 512,722 562,624 562,624 561,630 561,630 Summary Recap of FYDP Programs ------Research and Development 512,722 562,624 562,624 561,630 561,630 Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation 512,722 562,624 562,624 561,630 561,630

R-1P: FY 2012 President's Budget (Published Official Position With FY 2011 CR Adjustments), as of February 1, 2011 at 16:00:47

* Reflects the FY 2011 President's Budget with an undistributed adjustment to match the Annualized Continuing Resolution funding level by appropriation.

** Adjusts each budget line included in the FY 2011 President's Budget request proportionally to match the Annualized Continuing Resolution funding level for each appropriation.

Page D-1

UNCLASSIFIED

Volume 5 - 609

01 Feb 2011

Defense-Wide FY 2012 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2012 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

Summary Recap of Budget Activities	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Basic Research	47,737		47,737
Applied Research	196,954		196,954
Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	283,073		283,073
System Development and Demonstration (SDD)	5,888		5,888
RDT&E Management Support			
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	533,652		533,652
Summary Recap of FYDP Programs			
Research and Development	533,652		533,652
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	533,652		533,652

R-1P: FY 2012 President's Budget (Published Official Position With FY 2011 CR Adjustments), as of February 1, 2011 at 16:00:47

Page D-1A

Volume 5 - 610

01 Feb 2011

Defense-Wide FY 2012 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2012 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

01 Feb 2011

Appropriation	FY 2010 (Base & OCO)		FY 2011 Total Request with CR Adj*	FY 2011 Annualized CR Base**	FY 2011 Annualized CR OCO**	FY 2011 Annualized CR Total**
Defense Threat Reduction Agency	512,722	562,624	562,624	561,630		561,630
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	512,722	562,624	562,624	561,630		561,630

R-1P: FY 2012 President's Budget (Published Official Position With FY 2011 CR Adjustments), as of February 1, 2011 at 16:00:47

* Reflects the FY 2011 President's Budget with an undistributed adjustment to match the Annualized Continuing Resolution funding level by appropriation.

** Adjusts each budget line included in the FY 2011 President's Budget request proportionally to match the Annualized Continuing Resolution funding level for each appropriation.

UNCLASSIFIED

Page D-2
Volume 5 - 611

Defense-Wide FY 2012 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2012 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

01	Feb	2011

Appropriation	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	
Defense Threat Reduction Agency	533,652		533,652	
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	533,652		533,652	

R-1P: FY 2012 President's Budget (Published Official Position With FY 2011 CR Adjustments), as of February 1, 2011 at 16:00:47

Defense-Wide FY 2012 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2012 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

Appropriation: 0400D Research, Development, Test & Eval, DW

		Program				FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2011	S
T	ine	Element			FY 2010	Base Request	OCO Request	Total Request	Annualized	Annualized	Annualized	1000
	No	Number	Item	Act	(Base & OCO)	with CR Adj*	with CR Adj*		CR Base**	CR OCO**	CR Total**	c
			12 12 12									-
	1	0601000BR	DTRA Basic Research Initiative	01	39,951	47,412		47,412	47,328		47,328	
		Basic	Research		39,951	47,412		47,412	47,328		47,328	
	24	0602718BR	Weapons of Mass Destruction Defeat Technologies	02	218,761	212,742		212,742	212,366		212,366	U
		Appli	ed Research		218,761	212,742		212,742	212,366		212,366	2
	30	0603160BR	Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation Prevention and Defeat	03	236,408	295,163		295,163	294,642		294,642	U
									********			<u>e</u> 11 1
		Advan	ced Technology Development (ATD)		236,408	295,163		295,163	294,642		294,642	
	123	0605000BR	Weapons of Mass Destruction Defeat Capabilities	05	9,255	7,307		7,307	7,294		7,294	U
												b)
		Syste	m Development and Demonstration (SDD)	9,255	7,307		7,307	7,294		7,294	
	155	0605502BR	Small Business Innovation Research	06	8,347	2						U
												8 N (
		RDT&E	Management Support		8,347							
									100000000000			2
r	lota	l Research,	Development, Test & Eval, DW		512,722	562,624		562,624	561,630		561,630	

R-1P: FY 2012 President's Budget (Published Official Position With FY 2011 CR Adjustments), as of February 1, 2011 at 16:00:47

* Reflects the FY 2011 President's Budget with an undistributed adjustment to match the Annualized Continuing Resolution funding level by appropriation.

** Adjusts each budget line included in the FY 2011 President's Budget request proportionally to match the Annualized Continuing Resolution funding level for each appropriation.

Page D-3 Volume 5 - 613

UNCLASSIFIED

01 Feb 2011

Defense-Wide FY 2012 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2012 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

Appropriation: 0400D Research, Development, Test & Eval, DW

	Program						S
Line	Element			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012	e
No	Number	Item	Act	Base	oco	Total	С
100							140
1	0601000BR	DTRA Basic Research Initiative	01	47,737		47,737	U
	Basic	Research		47,737		47,737	
24	0602718BR	Weapons of Mass Destruction Defeat Technologies	02	196,954		196,954	U
	Appli	ed Research		196,954		196,954	
30	0603160BR	Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation Prevention and Defeat	03	283,073		283,073	υ
	Advan	ced Technology Development (ATD)		283,073		283,073	
123	0605000BR	Weapons of Mass Destruction Defeat Capabilities	05	5,888		5,888	U
	Syste	m Development and Demonstration (SDD))	5,888		5,888	
155	0605502BR	Small Business Innovation Research	06				U
	RDT&E	Management Support					
							-3
Tota.	l Research,	Development, Test & Eval, DW		533,652		533,652	

R-1P: FY 2012 President's Budget (Published Official Position With FY 2011 CR Adjustments), as of February 1, 2011 at 16:00:47

01 Feb 2011

Defense Threat Reduction Agency FY 2012 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2012 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

Appropriation: 0400D Research, Development, Test & Eval, DW

	Program				FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2011	FY 2011	S
Line	Element			FY 2010	Base Request	OCO Request	Total Request	Annualized	Annualized	Annualized	e
No	Number	Item	Act	(Base & OCO)	with CR Adj*	with CR Adj*	with CR Adj*	CR Base**	CR OCO**	CR Total**	С
											2
1	0601000BR	DTRA Basic Research Initiative	01	39,951	47,412		47,412	47,328		47,328	
В	asic Resear	ch		39,951	47,412		47,412	47,328		47,328	
24	0602718BR	Weapons of Mass Destruction Defeat Technologies	02	218,761	212,742		212,742	212,366		212,366	
А	pplied Rese	arch		218,761	212,742		212,742	212,366		212,366	2
30	0603160BR	Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation Prevention and Defeat		236,408	295,163		295,163	294,642		294,642	U
A	dvanced Tec	hnology Development (ATD)		236,408	295,163		295,163	294,642		294,642	
123	0605000BR	Weapons of Mass Destruction Defeat Capabilities	05	9,255	7,307		7,307	7,294		7,294	U
											# 5
S	ystem Devel	opment and Demonstration (SDD)		9,255	7,307		7,307	7,294		7,294	
155	0605502BR	Small Business Innovation Research	06	8,347							U
	DIE Have a				********						40 - E
R	Dige Manage	ment Support		8,347							
											10
Tota	l Defense I	hreat Reduction Agency		512,722	562,624		562,624	561,630		561,630	

R-1P: FY 2012 President's Budget (Published Official Position With FY 2011 CR Adjustments), as of February 1, 2011 at 16:00:47

* Reflects the FY 2011 President's Budget with an undistributed adjustment to match the Annualized Continuing Resolution funding level by appropriation.

** Adjusts each budget line included in the FY 2011 President's Budget request proportionally to match the Annualized Continuing Resolution funding level for each appropriation.

01 Feb 2011

UNCLASSIFIED

Page D-4 Volume 5 - 615

Defense Threat Reduction Agency FY 2012 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2012 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

Appropriation: 0400D Research, Development, Test & Eval, DW

Line	Program Element			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012	S e
No	Number	Item	Act	Base	OCO	Total	С
							2 <u>7</u> 3
1	0601000BR	DTRA Basic Research Initiative	01	47,737		47,737	U
Ва	asic Resear	ch		47,737		47,737	
24	0602718BR	Weapons of Mass Destruction Defeat Technologies	02	196,954		196,954	U
Aj	oplied Rese	arch		196,954		196,954	
30	0603160BR	Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation Prevention and Defeat	03	283,073		283,073	U
Ad	dvanced Tec	hnology Development (ATD)		283,073		283,073	
123	0605000BR	Weapons of Mass Destruction Defeat Capabilities	05	5,888		5,888	U
S	ystem Devel	opment and Demonstration (SDD)		5,888		5,888	
155	0605502BR	Small Business Innovation Research	06				U
RI	DT&E Manage	ment Support					
Tota.	l Defense T	hreat Reduction Agency		533,652		533,652	

R-1P: FY 2012 President's Budget (Published Official Position With FY 2011 CR Adjustments), as of February 1, 2011 at 16:00:47

Page D-4A Volume 5 - 616

Defense Threat Reduction Agency • President's Budget FY 2012 • RDT&E Program

Program Element Table of Contents (by Budget Activity then Line Item Number)

Appropriati	Budget Activity 01: Basic Research Appropriation 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide								
Line Item	Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title	Page					
01	01	0601000BR	DTRA Basic Research Initiative	Volume 5 - 629					
Budget Activity 02: Applied Research Appropriation 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide									
Line Item	Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title	Page					
24	02	0602718BR	WMD Defeat Technologies	Volume 5 - 633					
Budget Activity 03: Advanced Technology Development (ATD) Appropriation 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide									
Line Item	Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title	Page					
30	03	0603160BR	Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	Volume 5 - 675					

Defense Threat Reduction Agency • President's Budget FY 2012 • RDT&E Program

-	get Activity 05: Development & Demonstration (SDD) ropriation 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide										
Line Item	Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title	Page							
123	05	0605000BR	WMD Defeat Capabilities	Volume 5 - 707							
	udget Activity 06: RDT&E Management Support opropriation 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide										
Line Item	Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title	Page							
155	06	0605502BR	Small Business Innovation Research	Volume 5 - 717							

Defense Threat Reduction Agency • President's Budget FY 2012 • RDT&E Program

Program Element Table of Contents (Alphabetically by Program Element Title)

Program Element Title	Program Element Number	Line Item	Budget Activity	Page
Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	0603160BR	30	03Volume 5	- 675
DTRA Basic Research Initiative	0601000BR	01	01Volume 5	- 629
Small Business Innovation Research	0605502BR	155	06Volume 5	- 717
WMD Defeat Capabilities	0605000BR	123	05Volume 5	- 707
WMD Defeat Technologies	0602718BR	24	02Volume 5	- 633

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-1, RDT&E Programs Defense Threat Reduction Agency

Appropriation: RDT&E, Defense-Wide

Date: February 2011

OVERVIEW

The threat to the nation's security presented by weapons of mass destruction (WMD) is immediate, persistent, growing, and evolving. The recently updated National Security Strategy (NSS) underscores this by stating "... there is no greater threat to the American people than weapons of mass destruction, particularly the danger posed by the pursuit of nuclear weapons by violent extremists and their proliferation to additional states." Accordingly, the Quadrennial Defense Review Report (QDR), February 2010, identifies numerous initiatives in support of the Department's priorities and key mission areas to provide a layered defense across the spectrum of the counter-WMD mission in order to provide the American people the most effective and efficient barriers to WMD.

The Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) is the Department of Defense's (DoD) combat support agency for the WMD mission, executing national missions related to countering WMD while working as an interagency and international team builder to stop WMD threats at their sources, interdict weapons and WMD materials at borders and in transit, as well as mitigate WMD effects. Additionally, the Director, DTRA heads the United States Strategic Command Center for Combating WMD (SCC-WMD) in a dual-hatted role. The SCC-WMD supports the development and advocacy of DoD doctrine, organization, training, material, leadership and education, personnel, and facilities (DOTMLPF) for countering WMD capabilities and synchronizes DoD component countering WMD-related planning efforts. The DTRA budget request implements DoD guidance and represents the Department's investment in securing the nation from the threat of WMD.

Overview, Page 1 of 1 UNCLASSIFIED

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Acronyms

ACES	Arms Control Enterprise System
AI	Active Interrogation
ATD	Advanced Technology Development
AUV	Autonomous Underwater Vehicle
BAA	Broad Agency Announcement
BDA	Battle Damage Assessment
BDI	Battle Damage Information
BLADE	BDI Link Advanced Demonstrator
BLU	Bomb, Live Unit
CBRNE	Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, and High-yield Explosives
COCOM	Combatant Command
CoE-NI	Consequence of Execution – Nuclear Integration
COI	Community of Interest
CONOPS	Concept of Operations
CONPLAN	Concept of Operation Plan
COOP	Continuity of Operations
CP	Counter-proliferation
CTR	Cooperative Threat Reduction
C-WAC	Counter-WMD Analysis Center
CWMD	Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction
CWMD-T	Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction -Terrorism
DARPA	Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
DEL	DTRA Experimentation Lab
DIAMONDS	Defense Integration and Management of Nuclear Data Services
DITEC	DTRA Integration Technical Experimentation Center
DNDO	Domestic Nuclear Detection Office

DoD	Department of Defense
DOE	Department of Energy
DPOE	Dynamic Picture of the Operating Environment
DSP	Digital Signal Processing
DSWA	Defense Special Weapons Agency
DTRA	Defense Threat Reduction Agency
DTSA	Defense Technology Security Administration
EMP	Electromagnetic Pulse
EOD	Explosive Ordnance Disposal
EXCALIBUR	Explicit Calculations of Interacting Blocks Under Rapid Loading
FINDER	Flight Inserted Detector Expendable for Reconnaissance
FOC	Full Operational Capability
GDF	Global Development of Forces
GEF	Global Employment of Forces
GIG	Global Information Grid
GNDS	Global Nuclear Defense System
GUI	Graphical User Interface
HANE	High Altitude Nuclear Environments
HEMP	High Altitude Electro Magnetic Pulse
He3-RT	Helium 3 Replacement Technology
HDBT	Hard and Deeply Buried Targets
HPAC	Hazard Prediction and Assessment Capability
HPC	High Performance Computing
IBRD	Interagency Biological Restoration Demonstration
IED	Improvised Explosive Device
IMEA	Integrated Munitions Effects Assessment
IND	Improvised Nuclear Device

INDRAC	Interagency CWMD Database of Responsibilities, Authorities, and Capabilities
IPODS	Integrated Precision Ordnance Delivery System
ISIS	Integrated Standoff Inspection System
ISS	Integrated Sensor System
ITD	Integrated Technology Demonstration
IWMDT	Integrated Weapons of Mass Destruction Toolset
JAIEG	Joint Atomic Information Exchange Group
JCDE	Joint Concept Development & Experimentation
JCTD	Joint Concept Technology Demonstration
JECE	Joint Elimination Coordination Element
JEM	Joint Effects Model
JIPOE	Joint Intelligence Preparation of the Operational Environment
JSAF	Joint Semi-Automated Forces
JSIVA	Joint Staff Integrated Vulnerability Assessments
LIBS	Laser Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy
LTS	Large Test Structure
MAV	Micro Air Vehicle
MCNP	Monte Carlo N-Particle
MDA	Missile Defense Agency
M&S	Modeling and Simulation
MFK-R	Mobile Field Kit – Radiological
MMUAS	Multi-Mission Unmanned Aerial Systems
MOP	Massive Ordnance Penetrator
ΝΑΤΟ	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
NIF	National Ignition Facility
NLGC	Nunn Lugar Global Cooperation

NMS	National Military Strategy
NMSP	National Military Strategic Plan
NPR	Nuclear Posture Review
NRTRS	Near Real Time Reachback Support
NSS	National Security Strategy
NTNF	National Technical Nuclear Forensics
NTPR	Nuclear Test Personnel Review
NuCS	Nuclear Capability Services
NWE	Nuclear Weapon Effects
NWEC	Nuclear Weapon Effects Center
NWRM	Nuclear Weapons Related Materiel
000	Overseas Contingency Operations
OCONUS	Outside the Continental United States
OPCW	Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons
OSCAR	Occluding Six-Crystal Array
OSD CAPE	Office of the Secretary of Defense Capability Assessment and Program Evaluation
OSIA	On-site Inspection Agency
P-ISR	Persistent Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance
PITAS	Photonuclear Inspection and Threat Analysis System
PNAF	Prime Nuclear Airlift Forces
R2TD	Rapid Reaction Tunnel Detection
RDD	Radiological Dispersion Device
R&D	Research and Development
RadHard	Radiation Hardened
RHBD	Radiation Hardened by Design
RHM	Radiation Hardened Microelectronics
RHOC	Radiation Hardened Oversight Council

SBIR	Small Business Innovative Research
SCC WMD	USSTRATCOM Center for Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction
SHAPE	Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers, Europe
SOF	Special Operation Forces
SOX	Standoff Operational Exercise
SREMP	Source Region Electromagnetic Pulse
START	Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty
STIRS	Smart Threads Integrated Radiological Sensors
TACSAT	Technical Satellite
TDFD	Timed Delay Firing Device
TEAMS	Technical Evaluation Assessment and Monitor Site
ΤΟΑ	Total Obligation Authority
UAV	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
UCP	Unified Command Plan
UGF	Underground Facility
UHPC	Ultra-High Performance Concrete
USEUCOM	U.S. European Command
USNORTHCOM	U.S. Northern Command
USP	University Strategic Partnership
USPACOM	U.S. Pacific Command
USSOCOM	U.S. Special Operations Command
USSTRATCOM	U.S. Strategic Command
UTAS	Underground Targeting and Analysis System
VAPO	Vulnerability Assessment Protection Option
VOIP	Voice Over Internet Protocol
WACS	WMD Aerial Collection System
WCF	West Coast Facility

WESC	Weapon Effects Steering Committee

WMD Weapons of Mass Destruction

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item J	hibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat Reduction Agency									DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 1: Basic Research			R-1 ITEM N PE 0601000	OMENCLAT DBR: DTRA								
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
Total Program Element	39.951	47.412	47.737	-	47.737	48.071	48.493	48.925	49.757	Continuing	Continuing	
RU: Fundamental Research for Combating WMD	39.951	47.412	47.737	-	47.737	48.071	48.493	48.925	49.757	Continuing	Continuing	

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) safeguards America and its allies from Weapons of Mass Destruction (chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, and high explosives) by providing capabilities to reduce, eliminate, counter the threat, and mitigate its effects. The Basic Research Initiative program provides for the discovery and development of fundamental knowledge and understanding by research performers drawn primarily from academia and world-class research institutions in government and industry. This leverages Department of Defense's \$1 billion annual investment in basic research by ensuring a motivation within the scientific community to conduct research benefiting Weapons of Mass Destruction-related defense missions and by improving Agency knowledge of other research efforts of potential benefit to DTRA nonproliferation, counterproliferation and consequence management efforts.

These efforts are closely coordinated with the Chem-Bio Technology portfolio which executes a basic research program under the joint Chem-Bio Defense Program. Agency research interests are coordinated with those of Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency and Service basic research programs through the Defense Basic Research Advisory Group. DTRA reviews research interests annually to focus on technology areas not clearly addressed by other basic research efforts.

The increase from FY 2010 to FY 2011 is due to a FY 2010 Congressional budget reduction of \$7.500M which was levied on the program due to the rate of program growth. The FY 2011 to FY 2016 program reflects the DTRA corporate decision to fund the 6.1 Basic Research program to 8-10% of Total Obligation Authority.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	<u>FY 2011</u>	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	40.848	47.412	47.737	-	47.737
Current President's Budget	39.951	47.412	47.737	-	47.737
Total Adjustments	-0.897	-	-	-	-
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
Reprogrammings	-0.061	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.836	-			

Change Summary Explanation

The FY 2010 decrease from the previous President's Budget submission is due to the internal SBIR reprogramming and

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Defense T	hreat Reduction Agency	DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	PE 0601000BR: DTRA Basic Research Initiative	
BA 1: Basic Research		
the FY 10-11PA reprogramming action in support of higher pr	riority Department needs.	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat Reduction Agency										DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 1: Basic Research					OMENCLAT		rch	PROJECT RU: <i>Fundamental Research for Combating</i> <i>WMD</i>				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
RU: Fundamental Research for Combating WMD	39.951	47.412	47.737	-	47.737	48.071	48.493	48.925	49.757	Continuing	Continuing	

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This project provides for the discovery and development of fundamental knowledge and understanding by research performers drawn primarily from academia and world-class research institutions in government and industry. This leverages the Department of Defense's (DoD) \$1 billion annual investment in basic research by ensuring a motivation within the scientific community to conduct research benefiting Weapons of Mass Destruction-related defense missions and by improving Agency knowledge of other research efforts of potential benefit to Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) nonproliferation, counterproliferation and consequence management efforts.

These efforts are closely coordinated with the Chem-Bio Technology Portfolio which executes a basic research program under the joint Chem-Bio Defense Program. Agency research interests are coordinated with those of Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency and Service basic research programs through the Defense Basic Research Advisory Group. DTRA reviews research interests annually to focus on technology areas not clearly addressed by other basic research efforts.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Project RU: Fundamental Research for Combating WMD	39.951	47.412	47.737
 FY 2010 Accomplishments: Expanded the FY 2009 basic research portfolio, adding an additional 180 research investigators to the basic research community dedicated to developing better and new understanding of science principals that can underwrite science and technology to meet strategic challenges. The expanded portfolio will include the Combating Weapon of Mass Destruction (CWMD) grand challenge for the DoD. The attained goal was to build a 6.1 basic research portfolio of approximately 8-10% of the DTRA research and development investment. Conducted a technical review of each grant that assessed the scientific advancements and progress met by the award's technical objectives, which also fostered collaboration and built relationships within the scientific community. Conducted an external panel review of the basic research program that was open to DoD research stakeholders, which assessed the focus and scope of the program with respect to the CWMD challenges, and assessed the coordination of CWMD basic research across the DoD mission space and across the broader basic research community to avoid unintended duplication and ensure successful partnerships. 			
<i>FY 2011 Plans:</i> - Program expected to be managing over 200 active basic research awards on a three year cycle. The Agency's 6.1 basic research portfolio is expected to continue the CWMD grand challenge for the DoD, and be capitalized at approximately 8-10% of the DTRA research and development investment.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justif	ication: PB	2012 Defens	se Threat Re	eduction Age	ency				DATE: Feb	ruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVI 0400: Research, Development, Test & BA 1: Basic Research								undamental Research for Combating			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Prog	<u>ırams (\$ in N</u>	<u>/lillions)</u>							FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
 Conduct a technical review of each objectives and to foster collaboration Conduct an external panel review of scope of the program with respect to DoD mission space and across the b partnerships. 	and build rel f the basic re the CWMD c	lationships w esearch prog challenges, a	within the scie gram, open to and to asses	entific comm o DoD resea s the coordir	rch stakehol nation of CW	ders, to asse /MD basic re	ess the focus	s and ss			
 Program expected to be managing research portfolio is expected to control the DTRA research and development Plan to conduct a technical review of technical objectives and to foster coll Plan to conduct an external panel reassess the focus and scope of the probasic research across DoD mission sensure successful partnerships. 	tinue the CW t investment. of each grant aboration and eview of the b ogram with re	MD grand cl to assess th d build relati basic resear espect to the	hallenge for he scientific a ionships with ch program, e CWMD cha	the DoD, and advancemen nin the scient which will be allenges, and	d be capitaliz its and progr tific commun e open to Do d to assess t	zed at appro ress in meeti ity. D research : he coordinat	ing the award stakeholders tion of CWMI	0% of d's s, to D			
				Accon	nplishment	3/Planned P	Programs Su	btotals	39.951	47.412	47.73
C. Other Program Funding Summa Line Item • 20/0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies	r <u>y (\$ in Millio</u> <u>FY 2010</u> 13.876	<u>ons)</u> <u>FY 2011</u> 10.385	<u>FY 2012</u> <u>Base</u> 8.631	<u>FY 2012</u> <u>OCO</u>	<u>FY 2012</u> <u>Total</u> 8.631	<u>FY 2013</u> 8.065	<u>FY 2014</u> 7.754	FY 2015 7.530		Cost To Complete Continuing	Total Cos
D. Acquisition Strategy Procurement methods include in-sc	one award th	arough Defe	unco Throat [Doduction Ac							

E. Performance Metrics

Project performance is measured via a combination of statistics including the number of publications generated, number of students trained in sciences and engineering supporting DoD educational goals, number of research organizations participating, and percentage of participating universities on the US News & World Report "Best Colleges" list.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat Reduction Agency DATE: February 2011									ruary 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research				R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies								
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
Total Program Element	218.761	212.742	196.954	-	196.954	191.786	191.547	195.336	198.406	Continuing	Continuing	
RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation	49.387	53.464	42.112	-	42.112	41.379	40.652	41.600	41.440	Continuing	Continuing	
RE: Counter-Terrorism Technologies	9.277	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	
RF: Detection Technology	40.556	52.649	50.548	-	50.548	48.248	48.614	49.926	50.894	Continuing	Continuing	
RG: Advanced Energetics & Counter WMD Weapons	29.431	29.139	17.115	-	17.115	14.825	14.935	13.786	13.718	Continuing	Continuing	
RI: Nuclear Survivability	22.048	17.902	17.503	-	17.503	17.261	17.388	17.855	18.718	Continuing	Continuing	
RL: Nuclear & Radiological Effects	21.813	16.776	25.343	-	25.343	23.922	23.968	25.202	25.620	Continuing	Continuing	
RM: WMD Battle Management	15.239	10.899	13.761	-	13.761	18.569	16.366	17.288	17.693	Continuing	Continuing	
RR: Test Infrastructure	16.648	21.528	21.941	-	21.941	19.517	21.870	22.149	22.740	Continuing	Continuing	
RT: Target Assessment Technologies	0.486	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	
RU: Fundamental Research for Combating WMD	13.876	10.385	8.631	-	8.631	8.065	7.754	7.530	7.583	Continuing	Continuing	

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The mission of the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) is to safeguard America and its allies from Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) by reducing the present threat and preparing for the future threat. This mission directly reflects several national and Department of Defense level guidance/vision documents to include the National Security Strategy, Unified Command Plan, National Strategy to Combat WMD, Counterproliferation Interdiction, National Strategy for Combating Terrorism, National Military Strategy, Global Development of Forces, Global Employment of Forces, National Military Strategy for Combating WMD, National Military Strategic Plan for the War on Terrorism, Joint Strategics and tasks to ensure the objectives are met. Three of these objectives are to deter the use of WMD, reduce the present threat, and to prepare for the future threat. A focused and strong threat reduction technology base is critical to achieving these objectives and is closely tied with the operational support programs that make up its combat support mission. DTRA has taken the steps to develop this technology base and provide a foundation for transformational activities within the WMD arena.

Project RA provides systems engineering and analysis support across all other Projects, innovative counterproliferation research, and technical advisory reachback support on Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) effects and consequences.

	Threat Reduction Agency	DATE: February 2011
PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	
00: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide A 2: Applied Research	PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technolog	ies
Project RE provides initial funding for the Joint Intelligence Prepara planning and conducting operations to combat WMD terrorism. Fo pudget exhibit.		
Project RF develops technologies, systems and procedures to dete weapons, components, or materials in support of Department of De nomeland defense, and international initiatives and agreements.		
Project RG develops advanced technologies and weapon concepts	s and validates their applicability as counter We	eapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) weapon systems
Project RI provides the capability for DoD nuclear forces and their a or other hostile action, to the extent that essential functions can con of efforts within the program element to augment the Radiation Har (NWE) experimentation capability.	ntinue or be resumed after the onset of hostile	action. Funding in this project reflects a rebalancing
Project RL develops nuclear and radiological assessment modeling design decisions.	g tools to support military operational planning,	, weapon effects predictions, and strategic system
Project RM provides (1) full scale testing of counter WMD weapon the Defense Threat Reduction Agency Experimentation Lab.	effects, sensor performance, and weapon delive	very optimization, (2) weapon effects modeling, and (
Project RR provides a unique national test bed capability for simula respond to operational needs by developing and maintaining test b evaluate the implications of WMD, conventional, and other special	peds used by the DoD, the Services, the Comb	atant Commanders and other federal agencies to
Project RT provides the Combatant Commands and the Intelligenc argets and then assess the results of attacks against those targets		s to find and characterize hard and deeply buried
	sion support tools and analysis to support comb	bating WMD research and development investments,

bit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Defens	e Threat Redu	uction Agency		DATE: F	ebruary 2011	
ROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide Applied Research		EM NOMENC 02718BR: WM	LATURE ID Defeat Technologies			
ogram Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2010</u>	<u>FY 2011</u>	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	<u>FY 2012</u>	? Total
Previous President's Budget	221.185	212.742	206.170	-	20	06.170
Current President's Budget	218.761	212.742	196.954	-	19	96.954
Total Adjustments	-2.424	-	-9.216	-		-9.216
 Congressional General Reductions 		-				
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-				
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-				
 Congressional Adds 		-				
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-				
 Reprogrammings 	-0.329	-				
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-3.695	-				
 MisDirected Congressional Add 	1.600	-	-	-		-
(FY10-21IR)						
 Realignment / Directed Efficiencies 	-	-	-8.367	-		-8.367
Inflation Reduction	-	-	-0.849	-		-0.849
Congressional Add Details (\$ in Millions, and Includes (General Redu	<u>ictions)</u>			FY 2010	FY 20
Project: RM: WMD Battle Management					ļ	
Congressional Add: National Center for Blast Mitigation	& Protection				1.200	
			Congressional Add Subt	otals for Project: RM	1.200	
Project: RU: Fundamental Research for Combating WMD						
Congressional Add: University Strategic Partnership					1.920	
Congressional Add: Center for Nonproliferation Studies	– Monterey Ir	nstitute			1.600	
	-		Congressional Add Subl	totals for Project: RU	3.520	
			Congressional Add	Totals for all Projects	4.720	
Change Summary Explanation]	
The FY 2010 decrease from the previous President's Budg the FY 10-11PA reprogramming action in support of highe				ing and		

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat Reduction Agency DATE: February 2011 APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 TIEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies Services and other contractual services, an increased investment to build international partner capacity to combat weapons of mass destruction, and a realignment of 0603160BR fluids to 0602718BR to better reflect the nature of the Radiation Hardened (RadHard) Microelectronics efforts in the RI-Nuclear Survivability budget project. RadHard efforts are developmental and involve the transition of promising basic research outputs into solutions for broadly defined military needs, short of major development projects, with a view towards development and evaluation of technical feasibility. Additionally, there is an increased investment and evaluations in the Nuclear Weapons Effects Center (NWEC) for first-principles nuclear weapon effects modeling and analysis capability contributing to the National Effects Enterprise.	APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies BA 2: Applied Research PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies Services and other contractual services, an increased investment to build international partner capacity to combat weap realignment of 0603160BR funds to 0602718BR to better reflect the nature of the Radiation Hardened (RadHard) Micro Survivability budget project. RadHard efforts are developmental and involve the transition of promising basic research military needs, short of major development projects, with a view towards development and evaluation of technical feasit investment and consolidation of key nuclear weapons effects functions in the Nuclear Weapons Effects Center (NWEC)	
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research The FY 2012 decrease is predominately attributed to the net effect of a Departmental direction for increased efficiency in the area of Advisory & Assistance Services and other contractual services, an increased investment to build international partner capacity to combat weapons of mass destruction, and a realignment of 0603160BR funds to 0602718BR to better reflect the nature of the Radiation Hardened (RadHard) Microelectronics efforts in the RI-Nuclear Survivability budget project. RadHard efforts are developmental and involve the transition of promising basic research outputs into solutions for broadly defined military needs, short of major development projects, with a view towards development and evaluation of technical feasibility. Additionally, there is an increased investment and consolidation of key nuclear weapons effects functions in the Nuclear Weapons Effects Center (NWEC) for first-principles nuclear weapon effects	0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research The FY 2012 decrease is predominately attributed to the net effect of a Departmental direction for increased efficiency is Services and other contractual services, an increased investment to build international partner capacity to combat weap realignment of 0603160BR funds to 0602718BR to better reflect the nature of the Radiation Hardened (RadHard) Micro Survivability budget project. RadHard efforts are developmental and involve the transition of promising basic research military needs, short of major development projects, with a view towards development and evaluation of technical feasili investment and consolidation of key nuclear weapons effects functions in the Nuclear Weapons Effects Center (NWEC)	DATE: February 2011
BA 2: Applied Research The FY 2012 decrease is predominately attributed to the net effect of a Departmental direction for increased efficiency in the area of Advisory & Assistance Services and other contractual services, an increased investment to build international partner capacity to combat weapons of mass destruction, and a realignment of 0603160BR funds to 0602718BR to better reflect the nature of the Radiation Hardened (RadHard) Microelectronics efforts in the RI-Nuclear Survivability budget project. RadHard efforts are developmental and involve the transition of promising basic research outputs into solutions for broadly defined military needs, short of major development projects, with a view towards development and evaluation of technical feasibility. Additionally, there is an increased investment and consolidation of key nuclear weapons effects functions in the Nuclear Weapons Effects Center (NWEC) for first-principles nuclear weapon effects	BA 2: Applied Research The FY 2012 decrease is predominately attributed to the net effect of a Departmental direction for increased efficiency is Services and other contractual services, an increased investment to build international partner capacity to combat weap realignment of 0603160BR funds to 0602718BR to better reflect the nature of the Radiation Hardened (RadHard) Micro Survivability budget project. RadHard efforts are developmental and involve the transition of promising basic research military needs, short of major development projects, with a view towards development and evaluation of technical feasit investment and consolidation of key nuclear weapons effects functions in the Nuclear Weapons Effects Center (NWEC)	
The FY 2012 decrease is predominately attributed to the net effect of a Departmental direction for increased efficiency in the area of Advisory & Assistance Services and other contractual services, an increased investment to build international partner capacity to combat weapons of mass destruction, and a realignment of 0603160BR funds to 0602718BR to better reflect the nature of the Radiation Hardened (RadHard) Microelectronics efforts in the RI-Nuclear Survivability budget project. RadHard efforts are developmental and involve the transition of promising basic research outputs into solutions for broadly defined military needs, short of major development projects, with a view towards development and evaluation of technical feasibility. Additionally, there is an increased investment and consolidation of key nuclear weapons effects functions in the Nuclear Weapons Effects Center (NWEC) for first-principles nuclear weapon effects	The FY 2012 decrease is predominately attributed to the net effect of a Departmental direction for increased efficiency in Services and other contractual services, an increased investment to build international partner capacity to combat weap realignment of 0603160BR funds to 0602718BR to better reflect the nature of the Radiation Hardened (RadHard) Micro Survivability budget project. RadHard efforts are developmental and involve the transition of promising basic research military needs, short of major development projects, with a view towards development and evaluation of technical feasit investment and consolidation of key nuclear weapons effects functions in the Nuclear Weapons Effects Center (NWEC)	
Services and other contractual services, an increased investment to build international partner capacity to combat weapons of mass destruction, and a realignment of 0603160BR funds to 0602718BR to better reflect the nature of the Radiation Hardened (RadHard) Microelectronics efforts in the RI-Nuclear Survivability budget project. RadHard efforts are developmental and involve the transition of promising basic research outputs into solutions for broadly defined military needs, short of major development projects, with a view towards development and evaluation of technical feasibility. Additionally, there is an increased investment and consolidation of key nuclear weapons effects functions in the Nuclear Weapons Effects Center (NWEC) for first-principles nuclear weapon effects	Services and other contractual services, an increased investment to build international partner capacity to combat wear realignment of 0603160BR funds to 0602718BR to better reflect the nature of the Radiation Hardened (RadHard) Micro Survivability budget project. RadHard efforts are developmental and involve the transition of promising basic research military needs, short of major development projects, with a view towards development and evaluation of technical feasily investment and consolidation of key nuclear weapons effects functions in the Nuclear Weapons Effects Center (NWEC)	
		bons of mass destruction, and a belectronics efforts in the RI-Nuclear outputs into solutions for broadly defined bility. Additionally, there is an increased

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat Reduction Agency								DATE: February 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIN 0400: Research, Development, Tes BA 2: Applied Research		n, Defense-V			BR: WMD L		ologies	PROJECT RA: System	ation			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation	49.387	53.464	42.112	-	42.112	41.379	40.652	41.600	41.440	Continuing	Continuing	

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Systems Engineering and Innovation project provides (1) systems engineering and analysis support across all other Projects, (2) innovative counterproliferation research, and (3) technical advisory reachback support on Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) effects and consequences. The systems engineering effort provides research and development with requirements, technology, architecture analyses and proof-of-principle capability necessary for making decisions on strategic planning, research and development investments, new initiatives, cooperation, ventures with new customers, and accomplishment of high-level, short notice special projects. It also conducts the development, validation and fielding of the Arms Control Information System as a part of the U.S. commitment under arms control treaties. The innovative counterproliferation effort conducts research and development to investigate, identify, develop and transition short term, high payoff technologies from Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA), other government agencies, industry, academia and international Science and Technology partners into the respective DTRA research and development programs. The technical reachback effort provides 24 hours, 7 days per week information and analyses on potential impacts of a WMD event to Warfighters and First Responders in consult with DTRA's Combating WMD Research and Development subject matter experts. This project also provides technical support to the DTRA London Office.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation	49.387	53.464	42.112	-	42.112
Description: Project RA provides the research and development both for systems engineering and analysis support across all other projects and innovative counterproliferation research and technical reachback support.					
FY 2010 Accomplishments:					
- Delivered enhanced CBRNE modeling and simulation (M&S) capability in the Joint Semi-Automated Forces					
M&S environment.					
- Conducted requirements and gap analyses to enable research and development efforts to meet combating					
WMD capability gaps.					
- Developed an analytic capability to aid in requirements analysis and inform portfolio management system.					
- Supported program and project managers by translating Agency goals and Concept of Operations into					
actionable products.					
- Conducted one CONUS and one OCONUS Maritime Radiological Standoff Identification demonstrations in					
conjunction with US PACOM, DOE, US Navy, and the Republic of Singapore					
- Conducted requirements analysis and initiated spiral 1 software development efforts to update the Arms					
Control Enterprise System (ACES), incorporating requirements specified in the New START Treaty					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat			DATE: Febru	ary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technolog		g and Innov	ation		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 201	FY 2012 FY 201			FY 2012 Total
 Fielded a web-based Technology Program Maturity Model (TPMM) to Levels (TRL) assessments Initiated operational capability for systems engineering decision suppor Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) programs and projects for analyzing key technical parameters to support investment strategies. Initiated 21st century nuclear threat assessment in support of the Nucc - Initiated Battle Management Architecture and Manufacturing Readines: DTRA mission and active projects. Initiated Nuclear Enterprise architecture analysis. Initiated three new systems engineering-based special projects. Completed and transition micro miniature chemical detector for unattee - Solicited new innovative research projects. Initiated operational capability for systems engineering decision suppor Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) programs and projects for analyzing key technical parameters to support investment strategies. Completed and transition micro miniature chemical detector for unattee - Solicited new innovative research projects. Initiated operational capability for systems engineering decision suppor Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) programs and projects for analyzing key technical parameters to support investment strategies. Continued requirements and gap analyses to enable research and de WMD capability gaps. Support program and project managers by trans Operations into actionable products. Initiated Battle Management Architecture analysis. Initiated Battle Management Architecture analysis. Initiated three new systems engineering-based special projects. Completed and transitioned innovative projects in portable neutron so systems for use in jamming environments. Completed and transitioned micro miniature chemical detector for unater a solicited new innovative research projects. Completed and transitioned micro miniature chemical detector for unater a solicited new innovative research projects. <	ort tools. Direct support to Defense and determining key performance and lear Posture Review. ss Level Assessment studies vis a vis the ces for nuclear detection and radio ended sensors. Ort tools. Direct support to Defense and determining key performance and velopment efforts to meet combating slating Agency goals and Concept of lear Posture Review. ss Level Assessment studies vis a vis the urces for nuclear detection and radio					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Three		DATE: February 2011						
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technolog</i>		PROJECT RA: Systems Engineering and Innov					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 201	D FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total		
 Finalize operational capability for systems engineering decision supprograms and projects for analyzing and determining key performance investment strategies. Continue requirements and gap analyses to enable research and decapability gaps. Support program and project managers by translating into actionable products. Complete 21st century nuclear threat assessment. Complete the Distributed Decision Support and Analysis architecture Assessment studies vis a vis the DTRA Mission and active projects. Complete Nuclear Enterprise architecture analysis. Initiate three new systems-engineering based special projects. Solicit new innovative research projects. Complete reconstructing the current networks to produce the DTRA Center (DITEC) as an environment to test and assess new technolog. Develop and integrate secure core infrastructure enhancements that Engineer and deploy full virtual infrastructure modeling and anomal <i>FY 2012 Base Plans:</i> Solicit at least 5 new innovative research projects focused on Cherr Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD) / Improvised Explosive Devide Continue requirements and gap analyses to enable research and decapability gaps. Support program and project managers by translating into actionable products. Complete initial concept demonstrations for Standoff Detection in the Outside the Continental United States (OCONUS) environments to C investigate and explore developmental technologies, such as Virtua Analyze, explore, and identify gaps, and barriers associated with C is support program and project managers by translating into actionable products. 	e and key technical parameters to support evelopment efforts to meet combating WMD og Agency goals and Concept of Operations e and Manufacturing Readiness Level . Integration Technical Experimentation ties and configuration changes. . Integration changes. . It remediate vulnerability issues. . It remediates vulnerability issues. . It works. . I							

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat Reduction Agency DATE: February						uary 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	R-1 ITEM N PE 0602718		JRE efeat Technol		PROJECT RA: Systems Engineering and Innovat				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)				FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	
 Management of Nuclear Data Services with the ability to evolve to kee technologies to consolidate various Department of Defense (DoD) trac accountability system that provides the ability to account, maintain, reportsis, and wartime. Design and implementation of Mission Domain IT architecture. Inclue R&D IT capabilities leveraged by DTRA operational and combat support infrastructure. Contract support to design, implement and manage the DTRA Integrity. Provide capability to model, simulate and analyze existing DTRA system communications capabilities and perform regression testing for system Information Assurance patches). 	high-impact projects to address long term resolution of WMD issues. upport to the warfighter. r Weapon-Related Materiel (NWRM) module in Defense Integration and rvices with the ability to evolve to keep up with emerging mainstream us Department of Defense (DoD) tracking systems into a single worldwide es the ability to account, maintain, report, and track NWRM during peacetime, Mission Domain IT architecture. Includes migration and integration of current DTRA operational and combat support customers into the operational IT plement and manage the DTRA Integration, Test and Experimentation Center.								
Accomp	olishments/Pla	nned Progra	ms Subtotal	s 49.38	7 53.464	42.112		42.112	
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) FY 201	12 FY 2012	<u>FY 2012</u>					<u>Cost To</u>		
Line ItemFY 2010FY 2011Bas• 28/0603160BR: Proliferation8.4357.2707.16Prevention and Defeat		<u>Total</u> 7.161	<u>FY 2013</u> 7.826	<u>FY 2014</u> 8.891	<u>FY 2015</u> 9.174			Total Cost Continuing	
D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable									
E. Performance Metrics Number of customer requests for data analysis compared to historica	al level.								
Number of changes to investments based on systems engineering ar	nalyses.								
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Thre	eat Reduction Agency	DATE: February 2011							
--	--	--							
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT							
400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies	RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation							
BA 2: Applied Research									
Number of exercise and operations supported.									
Number of Defense Acquisition Workforce Improvement Act certifie	ed systems engineers.								
New capabilities delivered and transitioned to operational capabilitie	es.								
Manage the strategic weapons stockpile and Nuclear Weapon-Rela	ated Materiel; maintain 100% accountability.								

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat Reduction Agency							DATE: February 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research								PROJECT RE: Counte	E: Counter-Terrorism Technologies		
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RE: Counter-Terrorism Technologies	9.277	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Counter-Terrorism Technologies project RE is primarily funded in Proliferation Prevention and Defeat, 0603160BR. This FY10 funding kicks off the USSOCOM Counter Weapons of Mass Destruction – Terrorism (CWMD T) Support Program (SCSP) that supports the Joint Intelligence Preparation of the Operational Environment (JIPOE) process to forecast plausible terrorist WMD threats for planning and conducting operations to combat WMD terrorism. The CWMD-T Support Program specifically addresses Commander, USSOCOM responsibilities under the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff (CJCS) Unified Command Plan (UCP) and Concept of Operation Plans (CONPLANS) 7500 and 7520 for integrating and synchronizing Defense–wide operations and activities to prevent terrorists from developing, acquiring, proliferation or using WMD.

. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
itle: Project RE: Counter-Terrorism Technologies	9.277	-	-	-	-
Description: Project RE provides initial funding for the Joint Intelligence Preparation of the Operational Invironment (JIPOE) process to forecast plausible terrorist WMD threats for planning and conducting operations of combat WMD terrorism. Follow-on funding for this project can be found in the Proliferation Prevention and Defeat; 0603160BR, budget exhibit.					
EY 2010 Accomplishments: Established SCSP Initial Operational Capability. Integrated and federated national intelligence with operations research systems analysis capabilities to support lanning and operations.					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	9.277	-	-	-	-
. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)					
		<u>FY 2015</u> 115.798		Cost To Complete Continuing	
<u>. Acquisition Strategy</u>					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat R	eduction Agency	DATE: February 2011	
		PROJECT RE: Counte	r-Terrorism Technologies

E. Performance Metrics

Number of technologies developed and delivered, and/or proof of concept, or successful Military Utility Assessments conducted that increase the potential mission success and reduce the number of current gaps in Special Operations Forces (SOF) capabilities to counter weapons of mass destruction when conducting Overseas Contingency Operations.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat Reduction Agency									DATE: February 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 2: Applied Research		n, Defense-V	Vide	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies RF: Detection Technology								
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
RF: Detection Technology	40.556	52.649	50.548	-	50.548	48.248	48.614	49.926	50.894	Continuing	Continuing	

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Detection Technology project develops technologies, systems and procedures to detect, identify, track, tag, locate, monitor and interdict strategic and improvised nuclear and radiological weapons, components, or materials in support of Department of Defense requirements for combating terrorism, counterproliferation and nonproliferation, homeland defense, and international initiatives and agreements. This project researches, develops, demonstrates, and transitions advanced technologies to improve: operational capability to detect and identify nuclear and radiological weapons, and to support the attribution process through improved post-detonation National Technical Nuclear Forensics operational capabilities; and to support the attribution process. Efforts under this project also support international peacekeeping and nonproliferation objectives, on-site and aerial inspections and monitoring, on-site sampling and sample transport, and on-site and off-site analysis to meet forensic, verification, monitoring and confidence-building requirements.

The Detection Technology project under Weapons of Mass Destruction Proliferation Prevention and Defeat emphasizes the advanced technology development and engineering portion of the overall effort.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: RF: Detection Technology	40.556	52.649	50.548	-	50.548
Description: Project RF develops technologies, systems and procedures to detect, identify, track, tag, locate, monitor and interdict strategic and improvised nuclear and radiological weapons, components, or materials in support of Department of Defense (DoD) requirements for combating terrorism, counterproliferation and nonproliferation, homeland defense, and international initiatives and agreements.					
 FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continued the extensive effort begun in the standoff Bremsstrahlung active interrogation system Joint Capability Technology Demonstration to develop a standoff active interrogation system to detect hidden and shielded nuclear material. Performed field demonstrations of new detector technologies for handheld detectors, distributed sensors, and vehicle mountable detector systems, to improve the ability of fielded forces to detect, locate, and identify nuclear materials in the battle space. Continued to improve performance of new detector materials, imaging and spectroscopy systems, and signals analysis methods through rigorous field testing. Continued development of prototype upgraded technical capabilities for prompt and debris sample collection, sample analysis, and integration of design modeling and forensic data to support development of technical conclusions. 					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threa	t Reduction Agency			DATE	E: Febru	ary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technolog</i>		PROJEC RF: Detec		chnology	,	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 201	0 FY 20		Y 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
- Investigated the use of muon and proton beams for standoff stimulati Conducted experiments to validate the feasibility of the approach.	on of fission in nuclear materials.						
 FY 2011 Plans: Complete development of a fielded standoff active interrogation systel hidden and shielded nuclear material. Continue development of a baseline DoD large standoff monoenerge interrogation system to provide a new reference standard for evaluating detection and warning of hidden and shielded nuclear material. Perform field demonstrations of new detector technologies for handhovehicle mountable detector systems, to improve the ability of fielded for nuclear materials in the battle space. Continue to improve performance spectroscopy systems, and signals analysis methods through rigorous. Continue to develop and field (prototype) upgraded technical capabilit sample analysis, and integration of design modeling and forensic data conclusions. Continue execution of the National Technical Nuclear Forensics Joint (JCTD). Investigate the use of muon and proton beams for standoff stimulatio experiments to validate the feasibility of the approach. Investigate alternative methods to stimulate fissions in nuclear material use of high-energy lasers to generate beams of mono-energetic x-rays. Develop methods to rapidly determine nuclear weapon yields post-evenuclear weapons effects on the environment. Develop improved correlation tools, signature databases, and modeli increase confidence, decrease uncertainties and timelines, to better suforensics results. Transition alternative neutron detection materials and systems as an FY 2012 Base Plans: 	tic or wakefield accelerator active g progress and capabilities in standoff eld detectors, distributed sensors, and reces to detect, locate, and identify ce of new detector materials, imaging and field testing. ties for prompt debris sample collection, to support development of technical t Concept Technology Demonstration n of fission in nuclear materials. Conduct ials from standoff ranges, including the s. vent, by investigating alternative prompt ng of device/production design space to upport production of consensus technical alternative to the use of helium-3.						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Three	eat Reduction Agency		[DATE: Febru	ary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technolo	ogies	PROJECT RF: Detection	n Technology	/	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 20	10 FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
 Complete design of man-portable field instrument capable of passimaterials. Continue to develop and demonstrate neutron detection technology detectors. Institute development of a rugged, mobile stand-off radiation detection identification of nuclear materials in a field environment. Research and develop new detector materials intended to improve threat materials. Improve the manufacturing readiness level by matur processes. Transition compact, high performing replacement electronics for detectors and vanced algorithm to increase speed and reliability of and portable detectors. Investigate viability of an Active Interrogation (AI) system integrated (AUV). Continue to develop and field (prototype) upgraded technical capable collection, sample analysis, and integration of design modeling and ftechnical conclusions. Complete execution, transition and fielding of the National Technical Technology Demonstration (JCTD) capabilities and begin Limited Op Sustainment activities Continue to perform field demonstrations of new detector technolog sensors, and vehicle mountable detector systems, to improve the ability nuclear materials in the battle space. Continue to improve performance of new detector materials, imagin analysis methods through rigorous field testing. Expand the functionality of the Mobile Field Kit – Radiological (MFK awareness to the current suite of chemical sensors in the kit. Investigate alternative methods to stimulate fissions in nuclear material suite of chemical sensors in the kit. 	y as an alternative to helium-3 neutron tion system to provide detection and the capability to detect, locate, and identify ring technologies, designs, and production etectors to commercial production. isotope identification in fielded hand-held d on an Autonomous Underwater Vehicle pilities for prompt and debris sample forensic data to support development of al Nuclear Forensics (NTNF) Joint Concept perational Use / Employment and Follow-on system for standoff detectors, distributed ility of fielded forces to detect, locate, and ng and spectroscopy systems, and signals G-R) to add radiological situational erials from standoff ranges, including the					

APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE				•				
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologie	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PROJECT PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies RF: Detection Technology							
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total			
 Investigate the use of muon and proton beams for standoff stimulation of fission in nuclear materials. Conduct experiments to validate the feasibility of the approach. Progressively advance the laboratory physics demonstrations of target stimulation, signature detection, and validated modeling capability. Develop a system to produce, capture, steer, cool and re-accelerate negative muons in a reduced footprint and with fewer components than are being used in comparable muon generating systems. Develop the ability and Concept of Operations (CONOPS) to detect radiation induced air fluorescence from special nuclear material (SNM) by passive and active means. Investigate concept of a pulsed millimeter wave system which detects radioactive sources in both passive and active interrogation scenarios. Improve the Monte Carlo N-Particle (MCNP) code to enhance its modeling capability for specific problems. Continue development of a large standoff, directionally oriented, monoenergetic gamma (e.g. laser Wakefield/ inverse Compton scattering accelerator) source for integration with an active interrogation system. 								
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	40.556	52.649	50.548	-	50.548			
	<u>Y 2014</u> 77.863	<u>FY 2015</u> 78.528			<u>Total Cost</u> Continuing			
D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable								
E. Performance Metrics Successful completion of laboratory testing of the helium dimer Compton imager.								
Successful completion of the individual digital dosimeter project.								
Increased standoff detection distance using a mobile active interrogation system to stimulate characteristic neutro	on and gar	mma ray sig	nals from n	uclear mate	erial.			
Successful acceptance and operational development of transitional detection technologies.								

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat	Reduction Agency	DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>	PROJECT RF: Detection Technology
Successful demonstrations of a forensics capability to support attributi	on involving both Radiological Dispersal and Imp	rovised Nuclear Devices.
Delivery of technical equipment prototypes to reduce their current gap. Mass Destruction devices in support of a classified Chairman Joint Ch		de advanced diagnostics to defeat Weapons of
Improved forensics evaluation tool capabilities.		
Support development of National Technical Nuclear Forensics (NTNF) Department of Defense (DoD) NTNF capabilities, and through participation of Defense (DoD) NTNF capabilities.		
Use an active interrogation system to interrogate and differentiate Spe	cial Nuclear Materials and an inert material at ex	tended ranges.
Delivery of a series of documents that discuss the technical aspects of along with their supporting documents.	f land and sea concepts of operations (CONOPS)) for detecting radiological and nuclear threats,

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification: PE	3 2012 Defer	ise Threat F	Reduction Ag	ency				DATE: Febr	ruary 2011	
					PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies				PROJECT RG: Advanced Energetics & Counter WMD Weapons		
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RG: Advanced Energetics & Counter WMD Weapons	29.431	29.139	17.115	-	17.115	14.825	14.935	13.786	13.718	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Counter WMD Weapons & Capabilities project provides applied research supporting defeat of Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) targets (including facilities with biological and chemical agents) while minimizing collateral damage and release of those agents when using air, land and sea assets brought to the theater by the warfighters. The effort integrates disruptive payloads and technologies into existing and next generation weapon systems, develops a Hard and Deeply Buried Target (HDBT) Defeat capability against targets in deeply buried facilities and tunnels to provide an over ten times increase in capability to propagate weapon effects in tunnels compared to the current inventory weapons capability by FY 2017 and provides residual and transition support of these products. These objectives will be accomplished by a combination of developing and/or maturing technologies, weapon systems, weapon concepts and methods. Supported products are: (1) advanced counter WMD weapons, fuzing technology, and autonomous systems; (2) agent defeat weapons and methods; and (3) disruptive payloads and delivery systems. The Advanced Energetics & Counter WMD Weapons Program, transferred from RG to RM between FY11 and FY12, develops new novel energetic materials and weapon design technology for rapid, directed and enhanced energy release, providing new capability to defeat difficult WMD/HDB targets. The Advanced Energetics Program also develops new high energy systems well above chemical energy levels to defeat WMD targets beyond the reach of traditional high explosive blast/frag warhead technology.

The decrease from FY 2011 to FY 2012 is predominately due to the transfer of Advanced Energetics effort to RM-Battle Management to properly align organizational responsibilities.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: RG: Advanced Energetics & Counter WMD Weapons	29.431	29.139	17.115	-	17.115
Description: Project RG develops advanced technologies and weapon concepts and validates their applicability as counter Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) weapon systems.					
 FY 2010 Accomplishments: Completed 1st year of four year joint activity between DTRA and Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL) focused on survivable penetrator explosive development of transformational energetic material fill with enhanced survivability. Initiated assessment of kinetic and non-kinetic capabilities into single payload for Counter WMD (CWMD). Initiated HDBT Countermeasures Program to assess countermeasure effects on current weapons & tactics and identify gaps in defeat capability. 					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat	Reduction Agency		D	ATE: Febru	ary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technolog	gies RG	ROJECT 6: Advance eapons	d Energetics	s & Counter	WMD
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
 Continued development of process modeling capability for non-kinetic CWMD targets. Developed inventory of survivable data recorders for use in DTRA fund. Initiated bulk neutralization research on innovative weapon fill concept capability. Demonstrated survivability of fuze booster cup recorder during multiple. Continued development of integrated process model for use in DT&E of Tested first crucial fuze component under static and dynamic harsh en Conducted sub-scale bio defeat testing of enhanced payload concepts payloads). Flight tested Battle Damage Information (BDI) system including Micro Acoverage of target site. Developed an algorithm for improving the capability to conduct DT&E of Flight tested prototype BDI Link Advanced Demonstrator (BLADE) har data. Developed advanced wireless sensor capability and advanced diagnost C-WMD payloads. Designed infrastructure for long haul communication of BDI data from Determined feasibility of combined chem/bio defeat testing. Conducted detonations in a scaled complex tunnel facility in support of Initiated functional defeat biological effects testing. Conducted four full scale sled tests through multi-story structures to imsurvivability models. Developed target Void Sensing Fuze full-scale Joint Capability T testing. Developed test plan for thermal evaluation of the JMEWS warhead. Evaluated and assessed the Second-order Hydrodynamic Automatic N to model multi-phase reactive flow, and identification of needed improve. Upgraded the SHAMRC code to add an ability to model multiple fuel ty Demonstrated tests and characterization experiments of fuel-augment. 	ded FY10-11 penetration test efforts. s for chemical/biological agent defeat e hard target penetration sled tests. of non-kinetic CWMD capabilities. wironment conditions. s (pre-formed fragment and jetting Air Vehicle (MAV) ejection and video of non-kinetic CWMD capabilities. dware that transmits pre-impact weapon stic capabilities to meet gaps in DT&E for battlefield back to command centers. f weapon and model development efforts. aprove weapon penetration and roduction target. echnology Demonstration survivability Mesh Refinement Code (SHAMRC) ability ements. /pes and liquid fuels. ed warhead concept.					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threa	t Reduction Agency		C	ATE: Febru	ary 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technolo</i>	gies	PROJECT RG: Advanced Energetics & Counter WMD Weapons					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 201	0 FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total			
 Conducted performance characterization of highly Aluminized and particular performance characterization of highly Aluminized and particular performance and fabricated new capability to produce and characterized energetic materials. 								
 FY 2011 Plans: Conduct Scaled High Speed Penetrator Tests versus High Strength of breakthrough penetrator technologies. Incorporate improved material models into penetration codes for geo Complete development of fuze/fuze module sub-scale survivability terbreakthrough penetrator technologies. Continue maturing advanced non-energetic countering WMD payload Initiate advanced testing of countering WMD sub-munitions. Explore transformational energetic fills by performing Sub-scale charpenetrator energetic material fill. Demonstrate robust survivable 3" fuze instrumentation weapon data Continue Thermite Multi-effort Basic Research, trade studies, tests a Initiate Singlet Oxygen Compatibility studies/tests. 	logical and concrete targets. est protocol to further characterize d components. acterization of next generation survivable recorder package in sub-scale tests.							
 FY 2012 Base Plans: Downselect and qualify enhanced survivable energetic material fill ar Continue maturing advanced non-energetic WMD Defeat payload co Conduct subscale experiments to develop and verify prediction capa projectile penetration. Continue advanced testing of WMD Defeat sub-munitions. Develop and test fuze well redundant data recorder for field and fligh hard target defeat weapons. Initiate testing and demonstrations of Bulk Neutralization Payloads. Develop a low-cost layer and void sensing target detection device for hardware to a fuze development. Continue explore transformational energetic fills by performing Sub-s survivable penetrator energetic material fill. Develop miniature shock survivable fuze and integrate low cost layer hardware. 	mponents. bility for countermeasure effects on t testing of both legacy and developmental r hard target defeat fuze and transition scale characterizations of next generation							

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Three	eat Reduction Age	ency			D	ATE: Febru	ary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	R-1 ITEM NO PE 0602718			logies R	ROJECT G: Advance ⁄eapons	d Energetic	s & Counte	r WMD
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)				FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
 Continue development of process modeling capability for non-kinet CWMD targets. Conduct flight testing of operational BLADE system demonstrating communication infrastructure. Continue to explore integration of kinetic and non-kinetic capabilitie Demonstrate entire infrastructure for long haul communication of Bl centers leveraging BDI flight tests. Initiate testing and demonstrations of non-energetic countering WM Conduct full scale test against target with penetration countermeas Initiate warhead integration of WMD Defeat sub-munitions. Determine and catalog the accuracy and precision of bio-aerosol sa testing. Conduct the investigations necessary to develop a capability to con acceptable accuracy and precision. Complete bio effects testing with insensitive munitions and other Hi Continue reduced scale target testing of functional and kinetic defees Initiate testing for BLU-119/B conversion to safer, lower Life Cycle of FY 2012 OCO Plans: 	capability to trans es into single paylo DI data from battle ID payloads. sures. ampling equipmer nduct full-scale ag igh Energy fills for eat.	mit BDI data bad for coun efield back to nt utilized in t ent defeat te	ter WMD. command C-WMD	Ju				
<u>.</u>					0.0 400			
	mplishments/Pla	nned Progra	ams Subtota	Is 29.431	29.139	17.115	-	17.11
	2012 FY 2012 Base OCO .186	FY 2012 <u>Total</u> 15.186	<u>FY 2013</u> 20.631	<u>FY 2014</u> 21.477	<u>FY 2015</u> 21.768		<u>Cost To</u> <u>Complete</u> Continuing	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Three	eat Reduction Agency	DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>	PROJECT RG: Advanced Energetics & Counter WMD Weapons
Percent increase of countering WMD weapon performance compar	ed to fielded weapons (e.g. Bomb, Live Unit (BLU)-	-109 and BLU-113).

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	tification: PE	3 2012 Defer	nse Threat F	ency				DATE: Feb	ruary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research				R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>				PROJECT RI: <i>Nuclear Survivability</i>			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RI: Nuclear Survivability	22.048	17.902	17.503	-	17.503	17.261	17.388	17.855	18.718	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Nuclear Survivability project provides enabling technologies for Department of Defense (DoD) nuclear forces and their associated control and support systems and facilities in wartime to avoid, repel, or withstand attack or other hostile action, to the extent that essential functions can continue or be resumed after the onset of hostile action. Emphasis is on ionizing radiation effects. The Nuclear Survivability project provides Radiation Hardened (RadHard) Microelectronics and Nuclear Weapons Effects (NWE) experimentation research. Funding in this project also supports the expanding role of the Nuclear Test Personnel Review (NTPR) program into Science & Technology development for human survivability.

The NWE simulators are available to validate nuclear survivability requirements for DoD missile and space systems, conduct research in radiation effects, and validate computational models. The Nuclear Survivability Experimental Capabilities program is working with the National Nuclear Security Administration and the United Kingdom Atomic Weapons Establishment to jointly develop new, enabling technologies for improved NWE experimentation capabilities for x-rays, gamma rays and neutrons.

The Nuclear Technology Analysis Support provides support for the Joint Atomic Information Exchange Group (JAIEG) and the international Weapon Effects Steering Committee (WESC) that was called the NWE Users' Group. The WESC establishes standards for U.S. and U.K nuclear weapons effects simulation codes and models as defined and prioritized by the nuclear community, and serves as a forum for sharing information on nuclear technologies, gaps and plans.

The increase from FY 2011 to FY 2012 in this project is due to the net effect of the conversion of 0603160BR funds to 0602718BR funds to better reflect the nature of the RadHard Microelectronics efforts in the RI-Nuclear Survivability budget project. RadHard efforts are applied research and involve the transition of promising basic research outputs into solutions for broadly defined military needs, short of major development projects, with a view towards development and evaluation of technical maturity.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: RI: Nuclear Survivability	22.048	17.902	17.503	-	17.503
Description: Project RI provides the capability for DoD nuclear forces and their associated control and support systems and facilities in wartime to avoid, repel, or withstand attack or other hostile action, to the extent that essential functions can continue or be resumed after the onset of hostile action. Funding in this project reflects a rebalancing of efforts within the program element to augment the Radiation Hardened Microelectronics Program and enabling technologies to enhance Nuclear Weapons Effects (NWE) experimentation capability.					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat F	Reduction Agency		D	ATE: Febru	ary 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technolog</i>		PROJECT RI: <i>Nuclear Survivability</i>					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2010) FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total		
 Continued transition of reflex triode technology for warm X-rays on Satu Laboratories Completed a joint cold x-ray source and effects experiment at the Natio Livermore National Laboratory and the Missile Defense Agency. Developed enabling technologies for improved NWE experimentation of neutrons. Developed modeling for prompt radiation environment in urban settings shielding by structures. Initiated short pulse gamma project to develop a compact, high fidelity a FY 2011 Plans: Demonstrate initial 45nm RadHard prototype circuits to develop RadHard complete prototype demonstration of a high-temporal fidelity gamma s 	anal Ignition Facility (NIF) with Lawrence apabilities for x-rays, gamma rays, and a, noting in particular canyon effects and source for dose rate testing.							
 Continue investigation of NIF as a potential NWE experimentation capa Complete Warm X-ray source experiments on Saturn. Improve operational models of secondary and tertiary blast effects. 	bility.							
 FY 2012 Base Plans: Demonstrate compatibility of 90nm RadHard by design library cells and enhancements. Perform full-scale MDA telescope response experiments on NIF Investigate deuterium pinch neutron source on Z-machine at Sandia Na Implementation of human radiation induced performance decrement methods. 	ational Laboratories.							
FY 2012 OCO Plans:								
Accompli	shments/Planned Programs Subtotals	22.04	8 17.902	17.503	-	17.503		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	tification: PB	2012 Defens	se Threat F	Reduction Age	ency				DATE: Febr	uary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 2: Applied Research		Defense-W	lide	R-1 ITEM NO PE 0602718			ologies	PROJECT RI: <i>Nuclear</i>	Survivability		
C. Other Program Funding Summ	ary (\$ in Milli	ons <u>)</u>									
Line Item • 28/0603160BR: Proliferation Prevention and Defeat	FY 2010 19.687	<u>FY 2011</u> 14.052	<u>FY 2012</u> <u>Base</u> 6.985	000	FY 2012 Total 6.985	FY 2013 6.271	<u>FY 2014</u> 6.295		FY 2016 6.208	Cost To Complete Continuing	Total Cost
D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable											
E. Performance Metrics Reduce facility overhead costs by	disposition of	excess gove	ernment-ov	ned simulato	r hardware a	at the West C	Coast Facili	ty (WCF).			
Development of cold and warm x-r	ray capabilities	s on the Sati	urn machin	e at Sandia N	ational Labo	pratory that n	neet or exc	eed the equiv	alent capab	ilities at the	WCF.
Weapon Effects Steering Committ defense communities and provide		-				•	nd programs	s across the l	United State	s and Unite	d Kingdom

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB			DATE: Febr	ruary 2011						
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research								PROJECT RL: <i>Nuclear & Radiological Effects</i>			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RL: Nuclear & Radiological Effects	21.813	16.776	25.343	-	25.343	23.922	23.968	25.202	25.620	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Nuclear and Radiological Effects project develops nuclear and radiological assessment modeling tools to support military operational planning, weapon effects predictions, and strategic system design decisions; consolidate validated Defense Threat Reduction Agency modeling tools into net-centric environment for integrated functionality; predict system response to nuclear and radiological weapons producing electromagnetic, thermal, blast, shock and radiation environments - key systems include Nuclear Command and Control System, Global Information Grid, missiles, structures, humans and environment; provide detailed adversary nuclear infrastructure characterization to enhance counterforce operations and hazard effects; conduct analyses in support of nuclear and radiological Science and Technology and address the priority needs of the Combatant Commands and the Department of Defense, develop and provide electromagnetic pulse assessment capabilities to support national and military operational planning, weapon effects predictions, and national strategic systems designs; and develop foreign nuclear weapon outputs.

The increase from FY 2011 to FY 2012 is due predominately to increased investment in and consolidation of key nuclear weapons effects functions in the Nuclear Weapons Effects Network (NWEN). This network will encompass all nuclear weapons effects related activities and, with the establishment of a first-principles nuclear weapon effects modeling and analysis capability contributing to the National Effects Enterprise.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: RL: Nuclear & Radiological Effects	21.813	16.776	25.343	-	25.343
Description: Project RL develops nuclear and radiological assessment modeling tools to support military operational planning, weapon effects predictions, and strategic system design decisions.					
 FY 2010 Accomplishments: Provided nuclear electromagnetic hardening and survivability support to USSTRATCOM, Defense Information Systems Agency, and Missile Defense Agency, elements of the Nuclear Command and Control System, and White House Communications Agency (WHCA) systems. Conducted tests on USS New Orleans and USS Fresno from the Inactive Ship Fleet in support of a maritime EMP standard development. Demonstrated the DTRA Automated Shielding Effectiveness Recorder at an operational WHCA communication node. Completed the Redbook Vol IV (foreign nuclear weapon effects models) and delivered to the Navy Strategic Systems Program office. Continued development of models allowing the predictions and analysis of nuclear survivability for ballistic missile defense system. 					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Three	at Reduction Agency			DATE: Febru	ary 2011				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technolo</i>		PROJECT RL: <i>Nuclea</i>	CT lear & Radiological Effects					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 201	0 FY 201	FY 2012 1 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total			
 Provided small scale testing in support of modeling and simulation (Continued EM-1 development; integration activities to include valida coordination with experimentation efforts; published Joint Radiation E Validated code for system response to X-Rays; validate and integra structural response to X-Rays; validate and integrate M&S capability 	ation and verification, peer review, and Effects documentation. Ite M&S capability to understand thermo-								
 FY 2011 Plans: Conduct tests of vulnerabilities of reprocessing facilities. Begin Electro Magnetic Pulse (EMP) E1 physics-based code. Provide collateral effects M&S for enrichment facilities. Continue EM-1 development; continue publication of Joint Radiation Continue development of models allowing the predictions and analy Command and Control System. Continue to validate code for system response to X-Rays; validate a (M&S) capability to understand thermo-structural response to X-Rays satellite design. 	vsis of nuclear survivability for Nuclear and integrate Modeling and Simulation								
 FY 2012 Base Plans: Standup of the Nuclear Weapons Effects Network (NWEN). Model and code development, analyses at all computational levels - Emphasize on re-initiation of quality NWE science via balanced mo Initial focus on first-principles model development and Uncertainty C Complete non-ideal Source Region Electromagnetic Pulse (SREMF Complete new version of United States Strategic Command's (USS) used to determine the probability of damage from nuclear weapon. Complete new trapped radiation belt model. Perform EMP test in support of the development of a maritime EMP Conduct EMP Assessment of Ramstein Global Communications No Command and Control System facilities. Develop techniques for assessing the High-Altitude EMP (HEMP) s electronic subsystems used in DoD infrastructure. Develop measurement procedures and test protocols for determining materials and enclosures. 	deling and simulation and experimentation. Quantification. P) Study. TRATCOM) official strategic targeting code P standard for destroyer class ships. ode and C4I EMP assessment on Nuclear hielding and survivability of compact								

			/ide					PROJECT RL: <i>Nuclear &</i>	& Radiologic	al Effects	
Provide technical support for EMP surviva or global telecommunications.	<u>(\$ in N</u>	(illions)	0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research								
or global telecommunications.		-					FY 201	0 FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Continue Ent-1 development, continue pu						se Terminals					
Y 2012 OCO Plans:											
			Accomplia	shmonts/Pla	nned Progr	ams Subtotals	s 21.8 ²	13 16.776	5 25.343		25.34
			Accomplis	SIIIICIIIS/FIA	inieu Fiogra		21.0	10.770	20.040		20.04
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ i	n Milli	ons <u>)</u>	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
	2 010 .255	FY 2011 7.307	<u>Base</u> 5.888	000	<u>Total</u> 5.888	<u>FY 2013</u> 5.749	<u>FY 2014</u> 5.995	<u>FY 2015</u> 6.077		Complete Continuing	
D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable											
. Performance Metrics Complete transition of all hazard source to predict hazards associated with weapons				logical (Cherr	n-Bio) Defens	se Program's J	loint Effect	ts Model (JEN	∕I) Block II e	nhancing o	ur ability to
Develop and integrate baseline database	of 80%	of current	foreign nucl	lear reactors	and enrichm	ent facilities.					
Provide Department of Defense the ability acceptability criteria defined during the mo				ission impact	of military c	ritical systems	exposed to	o nuclear wea	apon enviroi	nments with	ıin
Transition required capabilities to the Che and U.S. Strategic Command's planning s		Defense Pr	ogram's JE	M and Joint C	Operational E	Effects Federat	ion, the M	issile Defens	e Agency, U	.S. Space (Command

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	2012 Defer	nse Threat F	Reduction Agency					DATE: February 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 2: Applied Research		n, Defense-V	Vide		BBR: WMD L			PROJECT RM: <i>WMD Battle Management</i>				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	014 FY 2015 FY 2016 Complete Tota			Total Cost	
RM: WMD Battle Management	15.239	10.899	13.761	-	13.761	18.569	16.366					

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The WMD Battle Management project provides applied research to support full and sub-scale testing required to investigate countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) weapon effects, and sensor performance, weapon effects modeling algorithm development, and the set-up of the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) Experimentation Lab.

This project provides combatant commanders the prediction capability and the attack options to engage Hard & Deeply Buried Targets (HDBTs) as the proliferation and hardness of this class of targets increases. The project conducts weapon effects phenomenology tests, analyzes data, conducts high performance computer simulations, and creates/modifies software to more accurately model cratering effects, fragmentation (both primary & secondary), internal air blast, equipment/container damage, structural response, and penetration. These efforts will lead to advanced modeling capability in the countering WMD tools, Integrated Munitions Effects Assessment (weaponeering) and Vulnerability Assessment and Protection Option (force/structure protection). The Advanced Energetics & Counter WMD Weapons Program, transferred from RG to RM between FY11 and FY12, develops new novel energetic materials and weapon design technology for rapid, directed and enhanced energy release, providing new capability to defeat difficult WMD/HDB targets. The Advanced Energetics Program also develops new high energy systems well above chemical energy levels to defeat WMD targets beyond the reach of traditional high explosive blast/frag warhead technology.

The DTRA Experimentation Lab Capability is an Agency-wide capability that assures the timely acquisition, synchronization, correlation and delivery of Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear and Explosive (CBRNE) consequence management and mitigation data necessary in combating WMD. The DTRA Experimentation Lab will be the "key enabler" allowing the Agency to transform successfully into an interoperable DoD Science and Technology environment. Through the use of the DTRA Experimentation Lab, DTRA will be able to shape and improve military situational awareness independent of time or location, effectively shorten decision cycles in a CBRNE event, and extend DTRA's knowledge base externally through collaborative technologies.

The increase from FY 2011 to FY 2012 is predominately due to the transfer of Advanced Energetics effort from RG-Advanced Energetics to RM-Battle Management to properly align organizational responsibilities.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	000	Total
Title: RM: WMD Battle Management	14.039	10.899	13.761	-	13.761
Description: Project RM provides (1) full scale testing of counter WMD weapon effects, sensor performance, and weapon delivery optimization, (2) weapon effects modeling, and (3) the Defense Threat Reduction Agency Experimentation Lab.					
FY 2010 Accomplishments:					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Three	eat Reduction Agency			DATE: Febru	ary 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technol</i>	1	PROJECT RM: <i>WMD E</i>	r 9 Battle Management				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 201	0 FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total		
 Conducted Ultra High Performance Concrete penetration tests and Completed model for multi-hit attacks to hardened bunker roof slab Performed testing and analysis of equipment fragility models. Began Internal Detonation (quasi-static and dynamic pressure) fast Coordinated across service labs to consolidate testing data for Wearelease tests to facilitate finalizing an Agent Release Model. Completed column satchel charge model. Conducted blast door model testing and model modifications. Completed construction for a full-scale progressive collapse test str Continued to provide leading technological integration capabilities tuilization of the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) Experim Continued to support demonstrations and experimentation events f Interest to include participation in Noble Resolve, Coalition Warrior In Resolve, and Campaign X experimentation campaigns. Continued facilitation of the internal Continuity of Operations Table Experimentation Lab DEL. Conducted Ultra High Performance Concrete penetration tests and Completed model for multi-hit attacks to hardened bunker roof slab efforts. Delivered 15 additional validated equipment fragility models. Completed Quasi Static Pressure model. Conducted blast door model testing and model modifications. Completed column satchel charge model. Conducted blast door model testing and model modifications. Completed column satchel charge model. Conducted blast door model testing and model modifications. Completed stating and modeling improvements to the Weapons of Model with emphasis on dry agents. Completed progressive collapse model. Conducted blast door model testing and model modifications. Completed progressive collapse model. Conducted blast door model testing and model modifications. Completed progressive collapse mod	s. running model development. apons of Mass Destruction (WMD) agent ructure. o the combating WMD mission through entation Lab (DEL). or the Countering WMD Continuity of nteroperability Demonstration, Urban Top Experiment through the DTRA material analysis. Continue modeling. s. Finalize or re-direct multi-hit research Mass Destruction (WMD) Agent Release o the combating WMD mission through entation Lab (DEL). or the Countering WMD continuity of							

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Three	at Reduction Agency		C	DATE: Febru	ary 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technolo</i>		PROJECT RM: WMD Battle Management					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 201	0 FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total		
- Facilitated internal Continuity of Operations Table Top Experiment t DEL.	hrough the DTRA Experimentation Lab							
 FY 2011 Plans: Conduct Ultra-High Performance Concrete penetration tests and matrialize evaluation of current models. Deliver 15 additional validated equipment fragility models. Complete validation and verification on Internal Detonation (quasi-seconduct testing and modeling improvements to the WMD Agent Reverification of dry agent model. Conduct blast door model testing and model modifications. Complete progressive collapse testing and model development for econtinue to provide leading technological integration capabilities to utilization of the DTRA Experimentation Lab (DEL). Continue to support demonstrations and experimentation events for Interest (COI) to include participation in Noble Resolve, Coalition Wa Resolve, and efforts to prevent loose nukes experimentation campaig Continue facilitation of the internal Continuity of Operations Table T 	tatic and dynamic pressure) model. Jease Model. Complete validation and concrete frame structures. the combating WMD mission through the Countering WMD Community of rrior Interoperability Demonstration, Urban gns.							
 - Integrate first principle modeling codes into Graphical User Interface - Facilitate Joint Concept Development & Experimentation (JCDE) fo Destruction (C-WMD) Community of Interest. - Investigate and explore developmental technologies, such as Virtua - Analyze, explore, and identify gaps, and barriers associated with CV - Complete facilitation of the internal Continuity of Operations Table T - Plan, design, execute, and analyze warfighting experimentation in s the Services, Combatant Commands, Defense agencies, and the interfaction in s - Develop capability to model equipment fragility for any generic equi - Finalize Internal Detonation (quasi-static and dynamic pressure) modeling improvements to the WMD Agent Res - Complete blast door model verification and validation. 	r the Combating Weapons of Mass al Worlds. WMD Warfighter Challenges. Top Experiment through the DEL. support of DTRA, and in coordination with er-agency as appropriate. pment. odel. s from blast and fragmentation.							

	stification: PB 2	2012 Defens	se Threat Re	eduction Age	ency			C	DATE: Febru	ary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTI 0400: Research, Development, Tes BA 2: Applied Research		Defense-W			DMENCLATI BR: WMD D	URE efeat Technolo		PROJECT RM: WMD Battle Management				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pr	ograms (\$ in M	lillions)					FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	
 Conduct progressive collapse tes Evaluate technology transfer to c Integrate bimodal fuel particles, p Study agent defeat using hybrid e reactions, and target directed ener Incorporate SHAMRC Workshop results with test results. Document the progress made for 	ruise missile pay backet charges a enhanced blast o getic reactions. recommendatio	yload. and reactive explosives, ons into impl	cases into v reactive cas roved SHAM	weapon payl es, target co IRC code; co	oad. herent energ ompare the s	imulated						
			Accomplis	hments/Plai	nned Progra	ms Subtotal	s 14.039	9 10.899	13.761	-	13.76	
							FY 2010	FY 2011]			
Congressional Add: National Cer	ator for Plaat Mit	tigation & D					4.000		_			
•		•		blast enviro	nments and	weapon-targe	1.200	- (
FY 2010 Accomplishments: - Imp interactions. - Improved internal blast models to Integrated Munitions Effects Asses - Enhanced computational ability for	oroved high fide enhance DTRA ssment (IMEA) p	lity analyses A's Vulnerat	s for internal vility Assessr Is.	nent & Prote	ection Option		ət					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: - Implinteractions. - Improved internal blast models to Integrated Munitions Effects Asses	oroved high fide enhance DTRA ssment (IMEA) p	lity analyses A's Vulnerat	s for internal vility Assessr Is.	ment & Prote g target solu	ection Option tions.		et					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: - Implinteractions. - Improved internal blast models to Integrated Munitions Effects Asses	proved high fide enhance DTRA ssment (IMEA) p or the Agency to	lity analyses A's Vulnerate planning too o save time	s for internal vility Assessr Is.	ment & Prote g target solu	ection Option tions.	(VAPO) and	et			<u>Cost To</u> <u>Complete</u> Continuing		

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Thre	at Reduction Agency	DATE: February 2011
PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT
400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies	RM: WMD Battle Management
A 2: Applied Research Percent confidence in assessment solutions.		
ercent confidence in assessment solutions.		
Number of targets successfully planned.		
Time required to complete assessments.		
The DTRA Experimentation Lab (DEL) is occupied by planning or e	execution efforts 75% of the year.	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	tification: PE	3 2012 Defer	nse Threat F	Reduction Agency					DATE: February 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIN 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 2: Applied Research		n, Defense-V	Vide	R-1 ITEM N PE 0602718		FURE Defeat Techn		PROJECT RR: <i>Test Infrastructure</i>				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 FY 2016 Cost To Complete Tota			Total Cost	
RR: Test Infrastructure	16.648	21.528	21.941	-	21.941	19.517	21.870	22.149	22.740	Continuing	Continuing	

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Test Infrastructure project provides a unique national test bed capability for simulated Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) facility characterization, weapon-target interaction, and WMD facility defeat testing to respond to operational needs by developing and maintaining test beds used by the Department of Defense (DoD), the Services, the Combatant Commanders, and other federal agencies to evaluate the implications of WMD, conventional, and other special weapon use against U.S. military or civilian systems and targets. It leverages fifty years of testing expertise to investigate weapons effects and target response across the spectrum of hostile environments that could be created by proliferant nations or terrorist organizations with access to advanced conventional weapons or WMD (nuclear, biological and chemical). The project maintains testing infrastructure to support the testing requirements of warfighters, other government agencies, and friendly foreign countries on a cost reimbursable basis. It creates testing strategies and a WMD Test Bed infrastructure focusing on the structural response of buildings and Hard & Deeply Buried Targets that house nuclear, biological, and chemical facilities. It provides support for full and sub-scale tests that focus on weapon-target interaction with fixed soft and hardened facilities to include aboveground facilities, cut-and-cover facilities, and deep underground tunnels. This capability does not exist anywhere else within the DoD and supports the counterproliferation pillar of the National Strategy to Combat WMD.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: RR: Test Infrastructure	16.648	21.528	21.941	-	21.941
Description: Project RR provides a unique national test bed capability for simulated WMD facility characterization, weapon-target interaction, and WMD facility defeat testing to respond to operational needs by developing and maintaining test beds used by the DoD, the Services, the Combatant Commanders and other federal agencies to evaluate the implications of WMD, conventional, and other special weapon use against U.S. military or civilian systems and targets.					
 FY 2010 Accomplishments: Began design and procurement of an add-on structure for Component Test Structure-3 for structural stress tests with Singapore. Conducted nuclear detection and forensics testing. Conducted nuclear detection and forensics testing for the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Domestic Nuclear Detection Office (DNDO) in accordance with the DTRA- Domestic Nuclear Detection Office (DNDO) Memorandum of Agreement. Conducted WMD sensor testing at the Technical Evaluation Assessment and Monitor Site (TEAMS); provided infrastructure upgrades for TEAMS. 					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat	Reduction Agency		D	ATE: Febru	ary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technolog</i>		PROJECT RR: Test Infra	structure		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 201	0 FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
 Continued environmental remediation and compliance activities at the Grounds, White Sands Missile Range, and Kirtland Air Force Base Che Continued infrastructure and instrumentation upgrades to ensure test technology testing needs. Conducted testing in support of the USAF responsible test organizatio the Massive Ordnance Penetrator (MOP) Quick Reaction Capability (QI 	stnut Site. beds meet customers' advanced n, the Air Armament Center (AAC), for					
 FY 2011 Plans: Complete construction of add on structures to Component Test Structure mitigation test data models for fire and blast in cooperation with the Singulate for testing first quarter FY 2011. Upgrade and integrate instrumentation mobile wireless "Mesh" infrastrin support of the Department of Home Land Security/ Domestic Nuclear conducted at DTRA and DHS/DNDO defined CONUS wide locations in (STC), Lower Manhattan Security Initiative (LMSI) and other functional the first quarter FY 2011. Conduct Interagency Biological Restoration Demonstration (IBRD) test reduce the time and resources necessary to recover and restore wide ut critical infrastructure following a biological incident with estimated start date for testing of third quarter FY 2011. Conduct testing on Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear and Externate geological sensing, and battle management systems designed for WMD activities during the third and fourth quarters FY 2011. Conduct WMD Aerial Collection System testing which is designed to no fan "all-in-one" Chemical Biological Radiological & Nuclear sensor systemage Assessment) of suspected WMD facilities and mobile time-ser quarters FY 2011. Conduct nuclear detection and forensics testing to prevent weapons g the U.S., U.S. Territories, and Allied Nations with estimated start date of - Conduct Weapons of Mass Destruction sensor testing at the Technical Site to detect nuclear grade material from entering the U.S., U.S. Territories hip, and air ports with estimated start date of fourth quarter FY 2011. 	gapore government with estimated start ucture capabilities and improvements Detection Office (DHS/DNDO) tests support of DHS/DNSO Secure the Cities tests as defined by DHS/DNDO during ting in conjunction with DoD & DHS to irban areas, Military Installations, and date second quarter FY 2011. and threat-based scenarios with an splosive sensors, WMD countermeasures, for surveillance and tracking targets used meet U.S. Forces Korea's requirement stem for post-strike assessment (Battle hsitive targets during third and fourth rade material/dirty bombs from entering f fourth quarter FY 2011. I Evaluation Assessment and Monitor					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Three	DATE: February 2011					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technolo</i>	I	PROJECT RR: Test Infra	astructure		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 201	0 FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
 Continue environmental remediation and compliance activities at the Grounds, White Sands Missile Range, and Kirtland Air Force Base in Agency (EPA), Safety, & Environmental guidelines throughout FY 2011. Develop Cost Analysis Tool for Test Sites database to develop Roudifferent types of tests as well as different test beds during FY 2011. Conduct tunnel work detection testing at Nevada Test Site for the C detect tunnel work or tunnels along northern and southern borders or 2011. Continue infrastructure and instrumentation upgrades to ensure test technology testing needs. Document, prioritize, and support test infrastructure requirements. FY 2012 Base Plans: Develop and implement prototype Voice Over Internet Protocol (VC and unclassified data, voice communications, video, etc., to support FY 2012. Modify existing test infrastructure or develop test infrastructure to si Phenomenology Program supporting DTRA test programs. Make improvements to existing test infrastructure and test articles, DTRA Detection Technology Program starting in first quarter FY 201 Conduct testing in support of Treaty Verification Technologies Progration of Biological and Chemical Weapons. Continue support of Weapons of Mass Destruction sensor testing a Monitor Site (TEAMS) to detect and prevent nuclear grade material f Allied Nations through rail, ship, and air ports. Continue Interagency Biological Restoration Demonstration (IBRD) to reduce the time and resources necessary to recover and restore veritical infrastructure, following a biological incident. Continue testing Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, and E remote geological sensing, and battle management systems designed for WMD activities. 	accordance with Environmental Protection gh Order of Magnitude estimates for Sustoms and Border Patrol to be able to CONUS; estimated for fourth quarter FY t beds meet customers' advanced PIP) system that can transfer both classified test program execution starting first quarter upport revitalized Weapons Effects or construct new test articles to support 2. ram and Source Physics Experiments Varhead Verification, and detection and t the Technical Evaluation Assessment and rom entering the U.S., U.S. Territories, and testing in conjunction with DoD and DHS vide urban areas, military installations, and xplosive sensors, WMD countermeasures,					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Thre		1		ATE: Febru	ary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PF	ROJECT			
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technolog	gies RF	R: Test Infra	structure		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
 Continue WMD Aerial Collection System testing that is designed to an "all-in-one" Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear senso Damage Assessment) of suspected WMD facilities and mobile time-se - Continue nuclear detection and forensics testing to prevent weapon the U.S., U.S. Territories, and Allied Nations. Continue Weapons of Mass Destruction sensor testing at the Techr Site to detect and prevent nuclear grade material from entering the U through rail, ship, and air ports. Continue environmental remediation and compliance activities at the Grounds, White Sands Missile Range, and Kirtland Air Force Base in Environmental guidelines throughout FY 2012. Continue development of a Cost Analysis Tool for Test Sites database estimates for different types of tests as well as different test beds dur Continue tunnel work detection testing at Nevada Test Site for the C detect tunnel work or tunnels along northern and southern borders of - Continue infrastructure and instrumentation upgrades to ensure test technology testing needs. Document, prioritize, and support test infrastructure requirements. 	r system for post-strike assessment (Battle sensitive targets. s grade material/dirty bombs from entering nical Evaluation Assessment and Monitor .S., U.S. Territories, and Allied Nations e Nevada Test Site, Dugway Proving accordance with EPA, Safety, and ase to develop Rough Order of Magnitude ing FY 2012. Customs and Border Patrol to be able to CONUS.					
Accom	plishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	16.648	21.528	21.941	-	21.94
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A						
D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable						
E. Performance Metrics Number of tests executed safely, i.e., no loss of life or limb, no unin	tentional significant damage of property.					
Number of tests that go through the milestone review process.						

Number of tests that undergo environmental assessment consistent with existing Environmental Impact Statements.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	stification: PE	nse Threat F	Reduction Agency						DATE: February 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACT 0400: Research, Development, Te BA 2: Applied Research		n, Defense-V	Nide	1	NOMENCLA 8BR: WMD		nologies	PROJECT RT: <i>Target</i>	Assessment Technologies			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
RT: Target Assessment Technologies	0.486	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Target Assessment Technologies provides the Combatant Commands and the Intelligence Community with technologies and processes to find and characterize hard and deeply buried targets and then assess the results of attacks against those targets. Overall objectives are to develop new methodologies, processes and technologies for detecting, locating, identifying, physically and functionally characterizing, modeling, and assessing new and existing hard and deeply buried targets to support full dimensional defeat operations. Extending this activity and applying these processes to Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) target characterization and threat analysis presents the next technical challenge. The Target Assessment Technologies project consists of three subordinate and related activities: (1) Targeting and Intelligence Community Technology Development; (2) Find, Characterize, Assess Technology Development; and (3) Counter WMD Analysis Cell Technology Support. Additionally, this project is researching technology applications for treaty verification mission.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Pr	ograms (\$ in N	<u>/illions)</u>					FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: Project RT: Target Assessm	ent Technologi	es					0.486	-	-	-	-
Description: Project RT provides the Combatant Commands and the Intelligence Community with technologies and processes to find and characterize hard and deeply buried targets and then assess the results of attacks against those targets.											
FY 2010 Accomplishments: - Researched treaty verification mis	ssion support te	echnology a	pplications.								
			Accomplish	hments/Plar	nned Progra	ams Subtotals	0.486	-	-	-	-
C. Other Program Funding Sumn	nary (\$ in Milli	ons <u>)</u>	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
Line Item • 28/0603160BR: Proliferation, Prevention, and Defeat	FY 2010 33.097	FY 2011 35.112	Base 32.837	000	<u>Total</u> 32.837	FY 2013 32.014	FY 2014 31.084	FY 2015 31.759		Complete Continuing	
D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable											
					_						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Thre	DATE: February 2011				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT			
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies	RT: Target Assessment Technologies			
E. Performance Metrics					
Not Applicable					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat Reduction Agency										DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research					BR: WMD L		ologies	PROJECT RU: <i>Fundamental Research for Combating</i> <i>WMD</i>				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
RU: Fundamental Research for Combating WMD	13.876	10.385	8.631	-	8.631	8.065	7.754	7.530	7.583	Continuing	Continuing	

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Fundamental Research for Combating WMD project (1) conducts strategic studies to support Department of Defense, (2) develops decision support tools and conducts analyses to support combating Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) research and development investments, and (3) advances emerging technology and transitional science into viable applied technology development capabilities. The strategic studies address challenges in reducing the threat from WMD based on an assessment of the future national security environment. They also develop and maintain an evolving analytical vision of necessary and sufficient capabilities to protect the U.S. and allied forces and citizens from nuclear, biological, and chemical attack and identify gaps in these capabilities and initiate programs to fill them. The decision support tools identify key technology and performance parameters required for products generated under research and development investments. These tools also assess the expected impact on military missions and forces. The advancement of technology and science into applied technology development effort focus upon increasing the stability and utility of mid-to-long term, moderate risk but high payoff science, and emerging technologies for transition to other Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) applied technology programs. This effort serves as the bridge between the bench scientist and the applied technologist.

Beginning in FY 2010, this project was rebalanced to transition the decision support tools efforts into Project RA - Systems Engineering and Innovation to enhance corporate capabilities across all projects.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: RU: Fundamental Research for Combating WMD	10.356	10.385	8.631	-	8.631
Description: Project RU provides (1) strategic studies to support DoD, (2) Decision support tools and analysis to support combating WMD research and development investments, and (3) early applied research for technology development.					
FY 2010 Accomplishments:					
- Transitioned decision support tools with current and out year funding to Project RA - Systems Engineering and Innovation.					
 Identified and conducted strategic studies addressing challenges in reducing the threat from WMD. Exercised the test bed to assess promising technologies to quantify and mitigate large area nuclear effects on systems, networks and equipment. 					
- Initiated "bridging" projects for early applied development of combating WMD technologies, initiate transition to appropriate long-term sponsors for concept/design validation, prototype fabrication, testing, and fielding.					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Thre	DATE: February 2011							
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technolog	gies Rl	PROJECT RU: Fundamental Research for WMD					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total			
 Completed the final operational capability for pilot program to suppoweb-based system for research proposal submission, evaluation and Provided technical expertise and advice to generate the new basic annual solicitation. Continue examination of emerging technologies and underlying sci increased emphasis on avoiding technical surprise. Continued the mentoring, sponsorship, and education of the "Next technical and engineering expertise. 	status reporting. research topics in support of the semi- ences applicable to combating WMD with							
 FY 2011 Plans: Identify and transition all suitable investigatory Science and Technol appropriate long-term sponsors for concept/design validation, prototy Identify and conduct strategic studies addressing challenges in red Assess utility of continuing test bed; continue to exercise the test be quantify and mitigate large area nuclear effects on systems, networks Continue "bridging" projects for early applied development of comb Continue to provide technical expertise and advice to generate the semi-annual solicitation. Continue the mentoring, sponsorship, and education of the "Next G technical and engineering expertise. 	pe fabrication, testing, and fielding. ucing the threat from WMD. ed to assess promising technologies to s and equipment. ating WMD technologies. new basic research topics in support of the							
 FY 2012 Base Plans: Identify and transition all suitable investigatory Science and Technol appropriate long-term sponsors for concept/design validation, prototy Identify and conduct strategic studies addressing challenges in red Continue "bridging" projects for early applied development of comb Continue to provide technical expertise and advice to generate the semi-annual solicitation. Continue the mentoring, sponsorship, and education of the "Next G technical and engineering expertise. 	pe fabrication, testing, and fielding. ucing the threat from WMD. ating WMD technologies. new basic research topics in support of the							
FY 2012 OCO Plans:								

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Thr	reat Red	duction Age	ncv			D	ATE: Febru	arv 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 2: Applied Research	Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide PE 0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies									
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)					FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	
Acco	mplish	ments/Plan	ned Progra	ams Subtotals	10.356	10.385	8.631	-	8.631	
					FY 2010	FY 2011]			
Congressional Add: University Strategic Partnership					1.920	-				
FY 2010 Accomplishments: CON02 – University Strategic Partner -Supported early technology development for the Counter-WMD mis including new materials for radiation detectors, survivable electronic -Collaborated with universities to stimulate interest in cutting edge C for fostering the growth of scientific talent for the Counter-WMD wor	ssion ar cs, and o Counter-	ea across n computatior	nal modeling].						
Congressional Add: Center for Nonproliferation Studies – Montere	ey Institu	ute			1.600	-				
FY 2010 Accomplishments: -Supported early technology developed across multiple science areas including new materials for radiation of computational modeling. -Collaborated with universities to stimulate interest in cutting edge of for fostering the growth of scientific talent for the Counter-WMD work	detector Counter-	rs, survivabl	e electronic	s, and						
		Congr	essional A	dds Subtotals	3.520	-				
Line Item FY 2010 FY 2011	2012 Base 7.737	<u>FY 2012</u> <u>OCO</u>	FY 2012 Total 47.737	FY 2013 48.071	FY 2014 48.493	FY 2015 48.925			<u>Total Cost</u> Continuing	
D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable										
E. Performance Metrics Project performance is measured via a combination of statistics in engineering supporting DoD's educational goals, number of resea Report "Best Colleges" list.	irch orga	anizations p	articipating,						& World	
		UNCLAS	SIFIED							

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Three	eat Reduction Agency	DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide 3A 2: Applied Research	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0602718BR: <i>WMD Defeat Technologies</i>	PROJECT RU: Fundamental Research for Combating WMD
Minimum 10% increase in the number of new universities participat	ting in the basic research grant program from FY 20	008-2010.
Publication of an annual basic research technical and external prog	grammatic review report.	
Each study/project will commence within 3 months of customer req	uest and results delivered within 3 months of comp	letion.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item	n Agency				DATE: Feb	ruary 2011						
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)				R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat								
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
Total Program Element	236.408	295.163	283.073	-	283.073	278.100	282.135	284.607	290.856	Continuing	Continuing	
RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation	8.435	7.270	13.641	-	13.641	7.826	8.891	9.174	10.028	Continuing	Continuing	
RE: Counter-Terrorism Technologies	59.627	102.395	114.337	-	114.337	114.657	115.798	115.964	117.728	Continuing	Continuing	
RF: Detection Technology	64.986	90.688	77.784	-	77.784	76.298	77.863	78.528	80.321	Continuing	Continuing	
RG: Advanced Energetics & Counter WMD Weapons	16.688	17.386	15.186	-	15.186	20.631	21.477	21.768	22.442	Continuing	Continuing	
RI: Nuclear Survivability	19.687	14.052	6.985	-	6.985	6.271	6.295	6.277	6.208	Continuing	Continuing	
RM: WMD Battle Management	33.888	28.260	22.303	-	22.303	20.403	20.727	21.137	21.700	Continuing	Continuing	
RT: Target Assessment Technologies	33.097	35.112	32.837	-	32.837	32.014	31.084	31.759	32.429	Continuing	Continuing	

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat program reduces Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) proliferation and enhances WMD defeat capabilities through advanced technology development. To accomplish this objective, seven project areas were developed: RA - Systems Engineering and Innovation, RE - Counter-Terrorism Technologies, RF - Detection Technology, RG - Counter WMD Weapons & Capabilities, RI - Nuclear Survivability,

RM - WMD Battle Management, and RT - Target Assessment Technologies. This supports technology requirements in line with the Joint Functional Concepts (Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff Instruction 3170.01). The missions and plans of these projects are described below and in the R-2a Budget Exhibits.

Project RA provides the research and development both for systems engineering and analysis support across all other projects and innovative counterproliferation research and technical reachback support.

Project RE provides research and development support to Joint U.S. Military Forces, specifically U.S. Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) in the areas of Device Defeat, counter WMD technologies for warfighters, USSOCOM Counter Weapons of Mass Destruction – Terrorism (CWMD T) Support Program (SCSP) supports the Joint Intelligence Preparation of the Operational Environment (JIPOE) process to forecast plausible terrorist WMD threats for planning and conducting operations to combat WMD terrorism, and oversight of Counterproliferation (CP) research and development resources sent directly to USSOCOM for Special Operations Forces (SOF)-unique CP technologies.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 De	fense Threat Re	duction Agency		DATE:	February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 I	TEM NOMENCL	ATURE				
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-W. BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	ide PE 0	603160BR: Cou	nterproliferation Initiatives				
Project RF develops technologies, systems and procedures strategic and improvised nuclear and radiological weapons, counterproliferation and nonproliferation, homeland defense,	components, or	materials in supp	ort of Department of Defe				
Project RG develops advanced technologies and weapon co	oncepts and valio	dates their application	ability as counter Weapor	ns of Mass Destructior	n (WMD) weap	on systems.	
Project RI provides the capability for DoD nuclear forces and other hostile action, to the extent that essential functions car				s in wartime to avoid,	repel, or withst	and attack or	
Project RM provides (1) full scale testing of counter WMD we the Defense Threat Reduction Agency Experimentation Lab.		ensor performanc	e, and weapon delivery c	optimization, (2) weapo	on effects mode	eling, and (3)	
Project RT provides the Combatant Commands and the Inte targets and then assess the results of attacks against those		nity with technolo	ogies and processes to fir	nd and characterize ha	ard and deeply	buried	
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2010</u>	<u>FY 2011</u>	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	<u>FY 2012</u>	Total	
Previous President's Budget	238.773	295.163	302.977	-	30	2.977	
Current President's Budget	236.408	295.163	283.073	-	28	3.073	
Total Adjustments	-2.365	-	-19.904	-	-1	9.904	
Congressional General Reductions		-					
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-					
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-					
Congressional Adds		-					
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-					
Reprogrammings	1.230	-					
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-3.595	-					
 Realignment / Directed Efficiencies 	-	-	-19.904	-	-1	9.904	
Congressional Add Details (\$ in Millions, and Includ	des General Red	ductions)			FY 2010	FY 2011	
Project: RF: Detection Technology							
Congressional Add: AELED IED Electronic Signatu	re Detection				4.800	-	
			Congressional Add Sub	totals for Project: RF	4.800	-	
			Congressional Add	Totals for all Projects	4.800	-	
				_			
Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Defense T	DATE: February 2011						
---	--	-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat						
Change Summary Explanation The FY 2010 decrease from the previous President's Budget s to realign a \$1,920 Congressional Add to the proper executing needs.	submission is due to the internal SBIR reprogramming action, the agency, and the FY 10-11PA reprogramming action in support						
The EV 2012 decrease is prodominately attributed to the net o	ffect of Departmental direction for increased efficiency in the ar	as of Advisory & Assistance					

The FY 2012 decrease is predominately attributed to the net effect of Departmental direction for increased efficiency in the area of Advisory & Assistance Services and other contractual services, increased investment for expanded capacity in Technical Reachback. support of increased user requests for information on Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) effects and their consequences, and the conversion of 0603160BR funds to 0602718BR to better reflect the nature of the Radiation Hardened (RadHard) Microelectronics efforts in the RI-Nuclear Survivability budget project. The RadHard efforts are developmental and involve the transition of promising basic research outputs into solutions for broadly defined military needs, short of major development projects, with a view toward development and evaluation of technical feasibility. Also contributing to the reduction are program reductions made to comply with Department guidance to identify funds to support higher priority mission areas.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat Reduction Agency										DATE: February 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITYR-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-WidePE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation InitiativesBA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)- Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat							PROJECT RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation						
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation	8.435	7.270	13.641	-	13.641	7.826	8.891	9.174	10.028	Continuing	Continuing		

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Systems Engineering and Innovation project provides (1) systems engineering and analysis support across all other Projects, (2) innovative counterproliferation research, and (3) technical advisory reachback support on Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) effects and consequences. The systems engineering effort provides research and development with requirements, technology, architecture analyses and proof-of-principle capability necessary for making decisions on strategic planning, research and development investments, new initiatives, cooperation, ventures with new customers, and accomplishment of high-level, short notice special projects. This includes analysis of National, Department of Defense (DoD) and other Federal agencies' strategic guidance and plans in the combating Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD), Combating Terrorism and Homeland Defense arenas through analytical political-military and technical studies, workshops and conferences. It also provides the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) on-site support to North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) and Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers, Europe (SHAPE) with a current primary focus on support to U.S. European Command (USEUCOM), NATO, and SHAPE in combating WMD and maintaining the NATO nuclear deterrent. A significant element of this project includes support to Command Elements and the warfighting Combatant Commands (COCOMs) on strategies for reducing/countering the WMD threat in the COCOMs Areas of Responsibility. This project also provides for the solution to the Secretary of Defense mandate for DTRA to account, maintain, report, and track the National Nuclear deterrent, the Defense Integration and Management of Nuclear Data Services provides a platform to ensure continued sustainability and viability of the nuclear deterrent, the Defense Integration and Management of Nuclear Data Services provides a platform to ensure continued sustainability and viability of the nuclear weapon stockpile.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation	8.435	7.270	13.641	-	13.64 ⁻
Description: Project RA provides the research and development both for systems engineering and analysis support across all other projects and innovative counterproliferation research and technical reachback support.					
 FY 2010 Accomplishments: Institutionalized development of Combating WMD lessons learned in regional COCOMs theaters and with appropriate international staffs. Continued to support development and update of the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) annexes to U. S. European Command (USEUCOM) Theater Security Cooperation Plans to insure DTRA assets are used to further Combating WMD mission in that theater. Institutionalized linkage with NATO/SHAPE and USEUCOM in international research and development collaboration. 					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Thre	DATE: February 2011								
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE		ROJECT						
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Init - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	, , ,							
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total			
 Continued to work with SHAPE J3 and J6 for survivable, reliable co and positive control of the nuclear mission with the goal of NATO Infr Continued to conduct strategic analyses and assessments on emer Continued to organize/conduct senior COCOMs, Interagency, and I table top exercises to address key national/international strategies for 	astructure Committee procurement. ging WMD threats. nternational workshops, symposiums, and								
 FY 2011 Plans: Continue to conduct strategic analyses and assessments on emerg Continue to organize/conduct senior COCOM, Interagency, and Interable top exercises to address key national/international strategies fo Continue to refine and enhance WMD lessons learned process with COCOMs, incorporating lessons learned from partner activities. Continue to develop and update the Defense Threat Reduction Age directed in the Global Employment of Forces (GEF) to further Comba balancing DTRA assets and managing risks as prioritized within the C Utilize institutionalized linkage with NATO/SHAPE and USEUCOM in the Comba balancing DTRA assets and managing risks as prioritized within the C 	ernational workshops, symposiums, and r reducing/combating the WMD threat. international staff and across the other ency (DTRA) Campaign Support Plan as ating WMD mission across all theaters while GEF. in international research and development								
 collaboration to further develop similar international research and develop in accordance with the GEF. FY 2012 Base Plans: Develop and innovate a Nuclear Weapon-Related Materiel (NWRM) Management of Nuclear Data Services with the ability to evolve to ke technologies to consolidate various DoD tracking systems into a sing provides the ability to account, maintain, report, and track NWRM during the ability to account.) module in Defense Integration and eep up with emerging mainstream le worldwide accountability system that ring peacetime, crisis, and wartime.								
 Continue to organize/conduct senior COCOM, Interagency, and Interable top exercises to address key national/international strategies fo Continue to refine and enhance WMD lessons learned process with COCOMs, incorporating lessons learned from partner activities. Continue to develop and update DTRA Support Plan as directed in mission across all theaters while balancing DTRA assets and manage Continue to utilize institutionalized linkage with NATO/SHAPE and U development collaboration to further develop similar international reset the Pacific Region in accordance with the GEF. 	r reducing/combating the WMD threat. international staff and across the other the GEF to further Combating WMD ing risks as prioritized within the GEF. JSEUCOM in international research and								

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Thr	reat Reduction Age	ncy			D	DATE: Febru	ary 2011				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	R-1 ITEM NO PE 0603160E - Proliferation	R: Counter	proliferation I		tives RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation						
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)				FY 2010) FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total			
 Continue to conduct strategic analyses and assessments on emer Increase the capacity of Technical Reachback through the develop computing and geospatial services for decision support – support prinformation. Building partner capacity through advanced technology demonstratinternational partners. Develop, test, and deploy Arms Control Enterprise System (ACES #2 mid FY12 providing production facility, weapon transfer, annual relimination plans and flight route notification capability Develop, test, and deploy ACES NST Increment #3 end FY12 providemonstrations and telemetry notification capability. Increment #3 ACES NST software upgrade. 	pment and integration rojected workload of ations to increase the S) New START Treat nuclear weapons ployiding prototypes, n	f over 1,800 ne technical ty (NST) Ind atform Conv new equipme	requests for capacity of crement version or ent,								
Acco	omplishments/Plan	ned Progra	ims Subtota	ls 8.43	5 7.270	13.641	-	13.64			
Line Item FY 2010 FY 2011	2012 FY 2012 Base OCO 2.112	FY 2012 Total 42.112	FY 2013 41.379	FY 2014 40.652	FY 2015 41.600		<u>Cost To</u> <u>Complete</u> Continuing				
D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable											
<u>E. Performance Metrics</u> Development of a DoD annex to the National Response plan for a Development of Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) Secur)Me)					
Development of a DTRA gap analysis of Combating Weapons of I to provide way ahead for DTRA operational and research and dev	Mass Destruction (C	CWMD) miss	-		,	,	orism missi	on areas			

PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives	PROJECT
A 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	- Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation
Robust lessons learned process that incorporates new, workable	operational and technical solutions into DoD and with	allies.
Incorporation of at least three new technologies by FY 2013 as a	result of International research and development collal	poration.
Number of strategic analyses and assessments conducted on em	erging WMD threats.	
Number of senior Combatant Commands (COCOMs), Interagenc strategies for reducing the WMD threat.	y and/or International Workshops/Conferences organiz	ed/conducted to address national/internationa
Manage the strategic weapons stockpile and Nuclear Weapon-Re	elated Materiel; maintain 100% accountability.	
Support the Office of Secretary of Defense, Joint Staff, Combatar	nt Commands, Services, Nuclear Weapon Custodial Ur	nits, and Department of Energy.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat Reduction Agency											
							PROJECT RE: Counter-Terrorism Technologies				
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RE: Counter-Terrorism Technologies	59.627	102.395	114.337	-	114.337	114.657	115.798	115.964	117.728	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Counter-Terrorism Technologies project is an over-arching project that develops and transitions the full spectrum of new technologies for Joint U.S. Military Forces to counter WMD enabling warfighters, specifically Special Operations Forces (SOF), to improve their ability to detect, disable, interdict, neutralize, and destroy chemical, biological, nuclear production, storage, and weaponization facilities. This project supports Joint U.S. Military Forces, and in particular, the U.S. Special Operations Command (USSOCOM). This research and development support to USSOCOM is one of the highest priority mission areas in the Overseas Contingency Operations and a top priority for Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA). The FY 2011 increase built upon the FY 2010-2015 request in support of the Combating WMD-Terrorism (CWMD-T) over guidance instruction to increase funding for USSOCOM Counterproliferation (CP) R&D, Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) Device Defeat, alternative WMD defeat program, and the USSOCOM CWMD T Support Program (SCSP). The following efforts are included in this project:

Provide oversight for Counterproliferation (CP) research and development resources sent directly to USSOCOM that are used to develop SOF-unique technologies in support of USSOCOM's CP mission. New CP technologies are developed under USSOCOM management that provides SOF with the operational capability to counter WMD threats.

The EOD Device Defeat effort develops innovative technologies, energetic materials, and software programs to identify, defeat, contain and mitigate Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) capable Improvised Explosive Devices. DTRA has been delegated the responsibilities and authority to act as Task Lead on behalf of the Department of Defense (DoD) to provide leadership, integration, development, and testing as the primary U.S. Government coordinator for the National Implementation Plan WMD-Terrorism Task 5.4.4. EOD Device Defeat began with minimal funding in FY 2008 and received its first increment of funding in FY 2010, thus starting the multi-year development effort. The Bold Gambler (BG) program is an EOD Device Defeat effort that transferred to this RE Project from RF-Detection technology. BG adds targeted rapid development of tools, techniques and procedures for the access, and advanced diagnostics and defeat of WMD systems and improvised devices. The focus of the activity is prototype development and transition of promising technologies to the user for procurement.

The SCSP supports the Joint Intelligence Preparation of the Operational Environment (JIPOE) process to forecast plausible terrorist WMD threats for planning and conducting operations to combat WMD terrorism. The CWMD-T Support Program specifically addresses Commander USSOCOM responsibilities under the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff (CJCS) Unified Command Plan and Concept of Operation Plans (CONPLANS) 7500 and 7520 for integrating and synchronizing Defense–wide operations and activities to prevent terrorists from developing, acquiring, proliferation or using WMD.

The CWMD-T alternate defeat program builds upon the collaborative effort with the warfighter that delivered a proof of concept to USSOCOM in June 2007 and provides a multi-mission oriented critical capability that may be applied throughout the entire spectrum of warfare while significantly eliminating collateral damage. It will develop technologies to enable the warfighter to locate, identify, characterize and access WMDs, their production and storage facilities and associated enablers along multiple nodes concurrently or simultaneously within the terrorist pathway to disrupt, delay, degrade, destroy or deny Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat	Reduction Agency	DATE: February 2011							
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Init - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	<i>tiatives</i> F	ROJECT		Ū.				
WMDs while minimizing risk to US forces in support of Counterprolife Directive 70-1 Appendix C, Special Mission Area Programs and 71-4						SSOCOM			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total				
Title: RE: Counter-Terrorism Technologies	59.62	7 102.395	114.337	-	114.337				
Description: Project RE provides research and development support t U.S. Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) in the areas of Device for warfighters, USSOCOM Counter Weapons of Mass Destruction – T (SCSP) supports the Joint Intelligence Preparation of the Operational E plausible terrorist WMD threats for planning and conducting operations of Counterproliferation (CP) research and development resources sent Operations Forces (SOF)-unique CP technologies.									
 FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continued development and then transition new technologies for Join enabling warfighters, specifically SOF, to improve their ability to detect, chemical, biological, and nuclear production, storage, and weaponization. Characterized material properties of Ultra-High Performance Concrete Warfare partners. Initiated funding for three 48-month technology solutions. Began EOD work on following Knowledge Management Objectives: the characterization & testing; classified Research and Development progreties and attack WMD production and storage facilities with minimal sesses and attack WMD production and storage facilities with minimal - Established Initial Operational Capability (IOC) for SCSP. Integrated and federated national intelligence with operations researce planning and operations. 									
<i>FY 2011 Plans:</i> - Continue development and then transition new technologies for Joint of Mass Destruction (WMD), enabling warfighters, specifically SOF, to interdict, neutralize, and destroy chemical, biological, and nuclear prod facilities. These efforts use innovative technologies utilizing energetic, improve the efficiencies and effectiveness of Joint U.S. Military Ground Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear Effects (CBRNE) WMD pro	mprove their ability to detect, disable, uction, storage, and weaponization mechanical and alternative energies to Force's offensive operations against								

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Three			D	ATE: Febru	DATE: February 2011							
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE		PROJE	СТ								
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Init - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	tiatives	RE: Col	unter-T	Terrorism Te	echnologies						
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 201	0 FY	2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total					
 Develop test articles for development of Ultra High-Performance Cd Develop tools to enable the warfighter to combat against WMDs, th associated enablers anywhere within the terrorist pathway. Initiate funding for three 48-month technology solutions. Continue work on following Knowledge Management Objectives: Th design and build; characterization & testing; classified R&D program: CWMD-T Support Program achieves Full Operational Capability. D and capabilities for processing, analysis, modeling, simulation and pl methodologies for anticipating rare events. Develop and transition innovative counter-WMD tools designed to attack WMD production and storage facilities with minimal to no colla Conduct surreptitious Sensitive Site exploitation of high priority WN effective tools designed to defeat WMD production systems and ena This project implements the acquisition strategy contained in USSG Special Mission Area Programs and Directive 71-4 Force Development Integration and Development Systems (Tempest Edge). Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) Device Defeat: Develop techn identify the electronic environment and any improvised electronic trig Defeat). Develop tools to enable warfighters to locate, identify and render sa Defeat). Enhance the threat assessment to replicate WMD triggering design develop render safe procedures (EOD Device Defeat). Barrier Defeat will develop tools which enhance defeat solutions to (perimeter, external, internal) using a range of breaching techniques, Production Defeat will develop tools for the destruction of key entry p rendering it unusable (Target Defeat). Continue Counter-Smuggling Network development, and utilize Uni Black Sea Regional Academic Network in support of the Global Initia <i>FY 2012 Base Plans:</i> 	eir production and storage facilities and hreat Assessment, acquire emergent fire set s to counter emergent threat(s). evelop advanced IT infrastructure lanning; and begin development of locate, identify, characterize, assess and ateral damage or loss of life (Tempest Edge). <i>ND</i> facilities through the use of highly bling technologies (Tempest Edge). <i>DCOM</i> Directive 70-1, Appendix C, ent Special Operations Forces Capabilities hologies and tools that characterize and gering and firing system (EOD Device afe improvised WMD systems (EOD Device us to be reproduced and tested in order to "breach" a variety of WMD barriers , equipment and material (Target Defeat). estroy "critical nodes" used in the production noints while collapsing the structure or iversity Strategic Partnership to develop a											

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Three		D	ATE: Febru	ary 2011					
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Init - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	nitiatives RE: Counter-Terrorism Technologies							
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 201	0 FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total			
 Continue development and then transition new technologies for Join of Mass Destruction (WMD), enabling warfighters, specifically SOF, to interdict, neutralize, and destroy chemical, biological, and nuclear profacilities. These efforts use innovative technologies utilizing energetic improve the efficiencies and effectiveness of Joint U.S. Military Grour CBRNE WMD production facilities. Develop and transition innovative counter-WMD tools designed to loattack WMD production and storage facilities with minimal to no collate. Continue funding and manage progress for three 48-month technoloc. CWMD-T Support Program will continue to develop the Dynamic Pierfor the CWMD Community of Interest. Improve methodologies for anticipating plausible terrorist WMD three research. Develop systemic operational plans for integrating diplomatic, militate enforcement to counter proliferation of WMD and acquisition by know a Begin development of next generation imaging capabilities to allow a capabilities. 	b improve their ability to detect, disable, duction, storage, and weaponization c, mechanical and alternative energies to ad Force's offensive operations against ocate, identify, characterize, assess and teral damage or loss of life. by solutions that began in FY10 cture of the Operating Environment (DPOE) ats to support operational planning and ry, economic, financial, intelligence and law n terrorist organizations.								
FY 2012 OCO Plans:									
Accom	plishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	59.62	102.395	114.337	-	114.337			
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable		·							

E. Performance Metrics

Number of technologies developed and delivered, and/or proof of concept, or successful Military Utility Assessments conducted that increase the potential mission success and reduces the number of current gaps in SOF capabilities to counter weapons of mass destruction when conducting Overseas Contingency Operations.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just		DATE: February 2011									
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV					PROJECT						
0400: Research, Development, Test BA 3: Advanced Technology Develo			Vide	PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives R - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat				RF: Detection Technology			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RF: Detection Technology	64.986	90.688	77.784	-	77.784	76.298	77.863	78.528	80.321	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Detection Technology project develops technologies, systems and procedures to detect, identify, track, tag, locate, monitor and interdict strategic and improvised nuclear and radiological weapons, components, or materials in support of Department of Defense requirements for combating terrorism, counterproliferation and nonproliferation, homeland defense, and international initiatives and agreements. This project researches, develops, demonstrates, and transitions advanced technologies to improve: operational capability to detect and identify nuclear and radiological weapons; and to support the attribution process through improved post-detonation National Technical Nuclear Forensics (NTNF) operational capabilities; and to support the attribution process. Efforts under this project also support international peacekeeping and nonproliferation objectives, on-site and aerial inspections and monitoring, on-site sampling and sample transport, and on- and off-site analysis to meet forensic, verification, monitoring and confidence-building requirements.

The Detection Technology project under Weapons of Mass Destruction Proliferation Prevention and Defeat emphasizes the advanced technology development and engineering portion of the overall effort.

Efforts within the program element are rebalanced beginning in FY 2010 to support the nuclear forensics Joint Capability Technology Demonstration (JCTD) to employ mature technologies and to improve procedures to address gaps identified by the NTNF Capabilities Based Assessment to advance capabilities across the entire post detonation NTNF system.

The FY 2011 budget increase predominately reflects funding increases for Nuclear Forensics. This accelerates development and implementation of accurate, rapid, and reliable global nuclear forensic capabilities to collect, analyze, and evaluate post-detonation prompt data and ground debris from a nuclear or radiological event to support attribution and National decision-making. It also funds Helium-3 (He-3) replacement to develop technologies and components that serve as one-for-one replacements for systems that rely on He-3 technology. Additionally, it supports Arms Control Monitoring & Verification Technology to develop systems and technologies to improve monitoring and verification capabilities that are responsive to the new security environment without compromising sensitive US information in the international arena for the arms control treaty regime. Additionally, it supports Arms Control Monitoring & Verification Technology by developing systems and technologies to improve monitoring and verification capabilities that are responsive to the new security environment, but without compromising sensitive US information in the international arena for the arms control treaty regime.

The decrease from FY 2011 to FY 2012 is predominately due to the transfer of the Bold Gambler program to project RE-Counter Terrorism Technologies to better reflect the progression of that program and also to fund increased investment for the nuclear weapons effects, modeling, and simulation capabilities.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	000	Total
Title: RF: Detection Technology	60.186	90.688	77.784	-	77.784

		DATE: February 2011							
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE		ROJECT						
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Init	<i>tiatives</i> R	atives RF: Detection Technology						
BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	- Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat								
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)				FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012			
		FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	000	Total			
Description: Project RF develops technologies, systems and procedu and to detect, identify, track, tag, locate, monitor and interdict strategie weapons, components, or materials in support of Department of Defen terrorism, counterproliferation and nonproliferation, homeland defense agreements.	c and improvised nuclear and radiological nse (DoD) requirements for combating								
 FY 2010 Accomplishments: Continued the extensive effort begun in the stand off Bremsstrahlung develop a system capable of detecting hidden and shielded nuclear meta-Performed field demonstrations of new detector technologies for har and vehicle mountable detector systems, to improve the ability of field nuclear materials in the battle space. Continued to improve performance spectroscopy systems, and signals analysis methods. Continued development of prototype upgraded technical capabilities sample analysis, and integration of design modeling and forensic data conclusions. Provided enhanced technical support and analysis to the Nuclear We Council Standing and Safety Committee and other high-level committee transform the nuclear stockpile and infrastructure. Investigated the use of muon and proton beams for standoff stimula conducted experiments to validate the feasibility of the approach. Continued development of prototype sensor suite for mapping rad field and conclusions. Continued development of prototype sensor suite for mapping rad field serial Vehicles (UAV) in support of ground sample collections. Continued cooperation and acceptance of DTRA developed detection 	haterial. adheld detectors, distributed sensors, led forces to detect, locate, and identify nce of new detector materials, imaging and for prompt and debris sample collection, a to support development of technical eapons Council and Nuclear Weapons ees and senior decision makers to tion of fission in nuclear materials and platforms for Improvised Nuclear Device eld to be mounted on rotor wing Unmanned								

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Three		DATE: February 2011						
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Ini - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat		ROJECT	Technolog	/			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total		
 Completed first round of development of unattended sensor technological material. Continue development of contour mapping technologies for radiatic 								
 FY 2011 Plans: Complete development of a fielded standoff active interrogation syshidden and shielded nuclear material. Complete development of a baseline Department of Defense large accelerator active interrogation system to provide a new reference st capabilities in standoff detection and warning of hidden and shielded Perform field demonstrations of new detector technologies for hand vehicle mountable detector systems, to improve the ability of fielded nuclear materials in the battle space. Continue to improve performant spectroscopy systems, and signals analysis methods through rigorou. Continue to develop and field (prototype) upgraded technical capate collection, sample analysis, and integration of design modeling and f technical conclusions. Begin development of fieldable (integrated and deployable) enhance analysis laboratory capabilities and prototype novel technologies to see Provide enhanced technical support and analysis to the Nuclear We Council Standing and Safety Committee and other high-level commit transform the nuclear stockpile and infrastructure. Investigate the use of muon and proton beams for standoff stimulate experiments to validate the feasibility of the approach. Investigate alternative methods to stimulate fissions in nuclear material succer methods to rapidly determine nuclear weapon yields post-nuclear weapons effects on the environment. Complete development blast model to improve yield accuracy. Complete development of contour mapping technology prototype for Develop improved correlation tools, signature databases, and mode increase confidence, decrease uncertainties and timelines, to better 	standoff monoenergetic or wakefield andard for evaluating progress and nuclear material. held detectors, distributed sensors, and forces to detect, locate, and identify nce of new detector materials, imaging and us field testing. illities for prompt and debris sample orensic data to support development of ed/rapid separation, dissolution and shorten the analysis timeline. eapons Council and Nuclear Weapons tees and senior decision-makers to ion of fission in nuclear materials. Conduct erials from standoff ranges, including the ys. event, by investigating alternative prompt at, validation and transition of seismic/air or radiation field analysis. eling of device/production design space to							

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Three		DATE: February 2011						
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Ini - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives RF: I						
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 201	0 FY 20	FY 2012 11 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total		
forensics results. Field improved debris diagnostic codes; accelerate and base lining of weapon design analysis capability. - Complete operational characterization of select shape charges in si - Complete operational testing of classified defeat capability against si - Continue update/enhancement and maintenance of Sniper family of - Complete development of next generation of man portable battery provide - Complete development of next generation Metal Detector. - Complete development of Next Generation Metal Detector. - Continue Concept of Operations development & Standard Operatin complex Outside the Continental United States (OCONUS) demonst capabilities. - Continue cooperation and acceptance of DTRA developed detectio - Continue cooperation and acceptance of DTRA developed post nucleoperational development. - Continue transitioning multiple near term technologies to generate provide the continue collection capabilities with table top experiment. - Continue robotic ground sample collection improvements. Begin defautonomous collection capabilities as well as improved/new collection - Continue development and testing of remote information awareness data integration for increased area of detection capability. - Complete operational characterization of select shape charges in si (WMD) defeat technologies. - Complete operational testing of classified defeat capability against si - Continue update/enhancement and maintenance of Sniper family of - Complete operational testing of classified defeat capability against si - Continue update/enhancement and maintenance of Sniper family of - Complete operational testing of classified defeat capability against si - Continue update/enhancement and maintenance of Sniper family of - Complete development of next generation of man portable battery provident of the st generation of man portable battery provident development of next generation of man portable battery provident development of next generation of man portable battery provident development of n	upport of WMD defeat technologies. specific WMD targets. f data bases. powered X-ray systems for diagnostics of ce. g Procedures development for more rations for detection, and collection n technologies for operational development. clear event collection technologies for prototypes and design packages to assist ment, command post exercise, and field test evelopment of enhanced autonomous/semi- n capabilities (e.g., water). clear forensics ground sample collection is capability for radiation sensor systems and upport of Weapons of Mass Destruction specific WMD targets. f data bases. powered X-ray systems for diagnostics of							

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Thre		DATE: February 2011							
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Init - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat		ves RF: Detection Technology						
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total			
 Investigate capability gaps and opportunities for insertion of technol Develop experiment to determine the seismic effects of device coup Begin to develop a manufacturing capability for boron and lithium badetectors. 	oling.								
 FY 2012 Base Plans: Complete design and fabrication of a prototype passive interrogation signature of nuclear material. Continue development of a rugged, mobile stand-off radiation detect detection and identification of nuclear materials in a field environmen. Complete development and testing of a small, light-weight, low-cost dosimeter to provide a single design for the Navy, Army, and Air Ford primary dosimeter providing beta, gamma, and neutron sensitivity. Continue to develop and demonstrate alternative neutron detection neutron detectors. Continue developing and improving high performing microelectronic source. Develop, test, verify, assist with validation, and use additions to the intended to provide nuclear detection simulation capability into the JS environment where the Concept of Operations (CONOPS) and physit tandem. Continue to develop, accelerate development where appropriate, detechnical capabilities for prompt diagnostics and debris sample collection analysis laboratory capabilities and prototype novel technologies to so - Continue development of methods to rapidly determine post-event ralternative prompt nuclear weapons effects, effects on the environment capabilities. Continue robotic air/ground sample collection improvements; completion and information and information capabilities. 	etion system to provide mid to long-range t. d, and low-power real-time secondary ce. Continue development on a real-time technologies for replacement of helium-3 es to determine the location of a radiological Joint Semi-Automated Forces (JSAF) tool SAF environment, an integrated, accurate, cs of nuclear detection can be studied in emonstrate, and field (prototype) upgraded ction, sample analysis, and integration of cal conclusions. anced/rapid separation, dissolution and horten the analysis timeline. nuclear weapon yields by investigating ent, and developing/fielding prototype ete development and prototype fielding of								

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Three		DATE: February 2011						
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE		ROJECT	- , ,				
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Ini - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	tiatives	RF: Detection	Technolog	/			
BA 3. Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	- Tromeration, Trevention and Deleat							
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012		
		FT 2010	FT ZUTT	Base	0.0	Total		
- Continue development of a fielded standoff active interrogation syst hidden and shielded nuclear material.	tem for standoff detection and warning of							
- Continue to perform field demonstrations of new detector technolog	ies for handheld detectors, distributed							
sensors, and vehicle mountable detector systems, to improve the abi								
identify nuclear materials in the battle space.	•							
- Continue to improve performance of new detector materials, imagin								
analysis methods through rigorous laboratory and field testing.								
- Complete execution of the National Technical Nuclear Forensics Jo								
(JCTD) and begin Limited Operational Use / Employment and Follow								
- Continue expanding the functionality of the Mobile Field Kit - Radio								
situational awareness and mission review to current and future suites								
- Investigate capability gaps and opportunities for insertion of radiation	on detection technology for treaty monitoring							
and verification.								
- Continue transitioning multiple near term technologies to generate p	prototypes and design packages to assist							
operational users.	t standoff ovpariments with the							
- Standoff Operational Exercise (SOX) Range will continue to suppor Photonuclear Inspection and Threat Analysis System (PITAS), a Brea								
- Establish the Integrated Standoff Inspection System (ISIS) as an Ad								
- Continue development of a large standoff, directionally oriented, mo								
inverse Compton scattering accelerator) source for integration with a								
- Complete execution of the National Technical Nuclear Forensics Jo								
(JCTD) and begin Limited Operational Use / Employment and Follow								
- Begin systems engineering approach for integration of technologies								
monitoring of the follow-on to the New								
Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty (START).								
- Demonstrate Spiral I of the Arms Control Enterprise System (ACES) that enhances the database for strategic							
bomber movements and inspection	-							
operations.								
- Initiate Spiral II of ACES that addresses production facilities and we								
- Complete Phase I near source strong motion-small scale tests and	high fidelity analysis for detection and							
identification of low yield and evasive testing.								

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Three	DATE: February 2011							
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Init - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat		PROJECT RF: <i>Detection Technology</i>					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2010	D FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total		
 Initiate Phase I near source strong motion-small scale tests and high evasive testing. Begin exploring technologies for man portable detection and analysis Treaty. Demonstrate field portable gamma ray and neutron detection system counting and identification. Start experimental assessment of advanced concepts for warhead of START. Initiate upgrade analysis system for radioactive noble gases to detect CTBT. Complete operational characterization of the imaging and high spect vehicle borne and stationary radiological detectors. Begin development of the next generation NIMBLE ELDER network Begin operational characterization of the emerging radiological active Continue development of the Force protection improvement for NIM Continue development of NIMBLE ELDER maritime detection capability to a p Continue testing and evaluation nuclear forensics sample collection exercises. 	is capability for the Fissile Material Cutoff in for New and Future START warhead counting and assessment for Future ct underground nuclear explosions for stral resolution systems for man portable, technologies. We detection prototypes. BLE ELDER detection equipment. bilities. In technologies for operational development.							
Accom	pplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	60.18	90.688	77.784	-	77.784		
		FY 2010						
Congressional Add: AELED IED Electronic Signature Detection		4.80	- 00					
FY 2010 Accomplishments: - Continued active source technology d capability. - Continued frequency agile source development and integration. - Researched phenomenology for better assessment of target respon								

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB	2012 Defens	se Threat F	Reduction Age	ency				DA	ATE: Febr	uary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 3: Advanced Technology Develo	t & Evaluation,	Defense-W	lide		BR: Counter	URE rproliferation I n and Defeat		PROJEC RF: <i>Dete</i>	JECT Detection Technology				
							FY 201	0 FY 2	011				
 Developed phenomenology for WI WMD/IED triggers. Developed advanced receiver and Digital Signal Processing (DSP) cap hardware for electronics detection. 	algorithm enh	nancement f	or detection	n of evolving s	signatures to	improve	f						
				Cong	ressional A	dds Subtota	ls 4.80	00	-				
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summ</u> <u>Line Item</u> • 22/0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies	ary (\$ in Milli <u>FY 2010</u> 40.556	<u>ons)</u> <u>FY 2011</u> 52.649	FY 2012 Base 50.548	000	FY 2012 <u>Total</u> 50.548	FY 2013 48.248	FY 2014 48.614	FY 20 49.92				Total Cost Continuing	
D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable													
<u>E. Performance Metrics</u> Conduct/support end-to-end Natio	nal Technical	Nuclear For	ensics cap	abilities exerc	ise and sup	porting demor	nstration(s).						
Successfully develop data integra	tion capability	with future i	nteragency	comprehens	ive, all doma	in weapons o	f mass des	truction c	letect	ion archite	ecture.		
Continue to develop upgraded tec demonstrations; formulate program						alysis; develop	o plan for fa	ster diag	nostic	cs based o	on technolog	ду	
Detection standoff distance: hand	held identificat	ion of 1 kilo	gram of shi	elded Highly	Enriched Ura	anium at five r	neters.						
Successful maritime demonstratio	n of neutron s	ensitive pan	el detector										
Complete laboratory testing of CZ	T-based Com	oton imaging	g spectrom	eter.									
Successful testing of prototype co	mponents of a	large area	gamma del	ection systen	n.								
Successful completion of the real-	time secondar	y dosimeter	project.										

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	3 2012 Defer	nse Threat R	Reduction Ag	gency				DATE: Feb	ruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 3: Advanced Technology Develo	& Evaluation		Vide	PE 060316		FURE erproliferation on and Defea		PROJECT RG: Advand Weapons	ed Energeti	cs & Counte	er WMD
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RG: Advanced Energetics & Counter WMD Weapons	16.688	17.386	15.186	-	15.186	20.631	21.477	21.768	22.442	Continuing	Continuing
land and sea assets brought to the weapon systems, weapon concept agent defeat weapons and method The decrease from FY 2011 to FY program reductions made to comp	ts and metho ds; and (3) di 2012 is prec	ods. Support sruptive pay dominately fo	ted products loads and de or increased	are: (1) adv elivery syste	vanced count ems. for nuclear w	ter-WMD wea	apons, fuzin ets in projec	g technology	, and roboti	cs; (2) count	er force
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	grams (\$ in	<u>Millions)</u>					FY 20	10 FY 201	FY 2012 1 Base	2 FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
<i>Title:</i> RG: Advanced Energetics & C	Counter WME) Weapons					16.6	588 17.38	36 15.18	6 -	15.186
Description: Project RG develops a as counter Weapons of Mass Destru		•	•	concepts and	d validates th	neir applicabil	ity				
 FY 2010 Accomplishments: Supported USAF Quick Reaction (- Continued development of novel th Completed Phase I: Concept Refir Program. Conducted live simulant matrix tes Initiated Air Force Research Labor maturation. 	nermal based nement of the ting.	l payloads. e Integrated	Precision Or	dnance Deli	ivery System	(IPODS)	ъду				
 Conducted small scale testing and Initiated Modular Autonomous Cou Development trade studies. Developed advanced wireless sen Identified MACS critical component Completed Kinetic Fireball Analysi 	intering Wea sor capability t technologie	pons of Mas / for DT&E. es.	s Destructio	n System (N	/IACS) Conce	ept					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threa	t Reduction Agency	DATE: February 2011						
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE		PROJECT					
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Init		RG: Advanced	d Energetics	s & Counter	r WMD		
BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	- Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat		Weapons					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)				FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012		
		FY 201	0 FY 2011	Base	000	Total		
- Completed initial High Power Microwave production equipment dama	age and disruption testing.							
FY 2011 Plans:								
- Complete IPODS concept design and initiate scaled model tests of s	elected design.							
- Finalize Modular Autonomous Countering Weapons of Mass Destruct								
Studies and initiate technology maturation efforts.								
- Evaluate Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Strategic Ha								
maturity.								
- Continue development of enhancements to Weapons Effects Modeli	ng for Agent Defeat and integrate non-							
kinetic based Countering WMD capabilities. - Initiate improvements for soft target Countering WMD capability.								
- Conduct initial full-scale flight test against a multi-story test structure.								
 Initiate advancements in Bulk Neutralization Payload Development. 								
FY 2012 Base Plans:								
- Develop IPODS preliminary Hardware Design and Software Architec	ture							
- Continue development of enhancements to Weapons Effects Modeli								
- Conduct computerized fit checks on carriage platforms and scale mo	0 0							
- Continue improvements for soft target CWMD capabilities.	Ũ							
- Continue AFRL end-game seeker technology maturation testing.								
- Continue maturing diagnostic capability to meet emerging needs and	field improved capabilities.							
- Initiate development of MACS architecture.								
- Continue improvements for soft target WMD Defeat capability.								
 Develop initial MACS prototype. Integrate Kinetic Fireball sub-munitions into warhead. 								
- Conduct High Power Microwave disruption and forensics testing.								
- Complete Counter Electronics High Power Microwave Advanced Mis	sile Project (CHAMP) ICTD Operational							
Utility Assessment against a WMD target.								
FY 2012 OCO Plans:								
	nlishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	16 68	38 17 386	15 186	_	15.18		
Accom	plishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	16.68	38 17.386	15.186	_	15		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	chibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat Reduction Agency											
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV	/ITY			R-1 ITEM NO	MENCLAT	JRE		PROJECT				
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide				PE 0603160	BR: Counter	proliferation	Initiatives	RG: Advand	ced Energeti	cs & Counte	er WMD	
BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD) - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat Weapons												
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Cost To												
Line Item	<u>FY 2010</u>	<u>FY 2011</u>	Base	000	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>FY 2016</u>	<u>Complete</u>	Total Cos	
• 22/0602718BR: WMD Defeat	29.431	29.139	17.115		17.115	14.825	14.935	13.786	13.718	Continuing	Continuing	
Technologies												
D. Acquisition Strategy												

Not Applicable

E. Performance Metrics

Percent increase of countering Weapons of Mass Destruction weapon performance compared to fielded weapons (e.g. Bomb, Live Unit (BLU)-109 and BLU-113).

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just		DATE: February 2011										
							PROJECT					
0400: Research, Development, Test	00: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide						PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives RI: Nu					
BA 3: Advanced Technology Develo	elopment (ATD) - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat											
			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To		
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	000	Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	014 FY 2015 FY 2016 Complete Tot			Total Cost	
RI: Nuclear Survivability	19.687	14.052	6.985	-	6.985	6.271	6.295	6.277	6.277 6.208 Continuing Cont			

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Nuclear Survivability project develops and demonstrates Radiation Hardened Microelectronics (RHM) for nuclear hardening and survivability of Department of Defense's (DoD) systems and provides for the execution of force-on-force evaluations and nuclear weapons surety efforts to enhance the protection of nuclear resources.

The RHM program responds to DoD space and missile system requirements for RHM and photonics technology to support mission needs. This program develops and demonstrates radiation-hardened, high performance prototype microelectronics to support the availability of RHM and photonics for DoD missions from both private sector and government organizations.

Mighty Guardian Force-on-Force Tests aid in satisfying requirements for the Services by providing denial of access to nuclear resources in all environments; operational, storage and in transit. The results of the evaluations identify security vulnerabilities to weapons systems that are then addressed through targeted application of research and development projects requested by the resource owners. These projects are designed to demonstrate, test, and evaluate security enhancement systems prior to service procurement.

Nuclear Weapons Surety, as tasked by the DoD Nuclear Weapon System Safety Program, provides Combatant Commands (COCOMs), Services, and Joint Chiefs of Staff with technical analyses, studies, research, and experimental data necessary to identify and quantify risks of plutonium dispersal and Loss of Assured Safety due to accidents, fires or natural causes during peacetime operations of the nation's nuclear weapon systems. Additionally, this will provide studies necessary to quantify the probability of success against targeted terrorist attacks on DoD facilities, while leveraging these risk assessment advances. It also provides new and innovative technologies for the protection of nuclear resources in support of COCOMs and Services.

The decrease from FY 2011 to FY 2012 in RI Nuclear Survivability is predominately due to the conversion of 0603160BR funding to 0602718BR funding to better reflect the nature of the Radiation Hardened Microelectronics efforts in the RI-Nuclear Survivability budget project. Radiation Hardened efforts are developmental and involve the transition of promising basic research outputs into solutions for broadly defined military needs, short of major development projects, with a view toward development and evaluation of technical feasibility.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: RI: Nuclear Survivability	19.687	14.052	6.985	-	6.985

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threa	at Reduction Agency		C	ATE: Febru	ary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initia - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat		PROJECT RI: <i>Nuclear Survivability</i>			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Description: Project RI provides the capability for DoD nuclear forces systems and facilities in wartime to avoid, repel, or withstand attack or essential functions can continue or be resumed after the onset of host	other hostile action, to the extent that					
 FY 2010 Accomplishments: Completed development of 90nm Static Random Access Memory (S Application Specific Integrated Circuits (ASIC). Completed initial investigation of 90nm RadHard by process enhanc circuit demonstrations Performed initial characterizations of single event effects in commerce technology. Conducted Mighty Guardian XIII Force-On-Force test to evaluate nullaunch facility security at Minot AFB, ND. Planned Mighty Guardian XIV Force-On-Force test to evaluate bomb Global Strike Command installation. Conducted research, development, test, and evaluation on physical protection of the nuclear stockpile as determined by the Services. 	ements and developed a baseline for cial 45nm bulk and silicon-on-insulator clear security policy as it applies to missile per generation operations at an Air Force					
 FY 2011 Plans: Develop mitigation techniques for 45nm Radiation Hardened by Des Develop initial Technology Computer-Aided Design modeling for 45r Conduct Mighty Guardian XIV Force-On-Force test at a location to b evaluate nuclear security policy as it applies to bomber generation. Plan Mighty Guardian XV Force-on-Force test to evaluate nuclear se and submarines in transit at Naval Base Kings Bay, GA. Conduct exploratory research on physical security equipment and te of the nuclear stockpile as determined by the Services. 	e determined by Global Strike command to curity policy for waterfront restricted areas					
 FY 2012 Base Plans: Develop 90nm RHBD qualification vehicle for ASIC design flow capa Continue investigation of 45nm RHBD mitigation techniques on a tec Demonstrate 45nm RHBD Test Circuit Vehicle. Demonstrate initial 90nm radiation hardened 64Mb Static Random A 	chnology characterization vehicle.					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	tification: PB	2012 Defens	e Threat R	eduction Age	ency				DATE: Febr	uary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIN 0400: Research, Development, Tes BA 3: Advanced Technology Develo	t & Evaluation,	Defense-W	ide	R-1 ITEM NO PE 0603160 - Proliferation	BR: Counter	PROJECT RI: <i>Nuclear Survivability</i>					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	ograms (\$ in N	<u>Aillions)</u>					FY 20 ⁻	FY 2010 FY 2011		FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
 Conduct Mighty Guardian XV Fordareas and submarines in transit at level of Plan Mighty Guardian XVI Force-of Forces (PNAF). Plan Mighty Guardian XVI Force-of transit at a location still to be deterred to be deterred. Conduct research, development, the protection of the nuclear stockpile at the second statement of the nuclear stockpile at the second statement. 	Naval Base Kir on-Force test to On-Force Test nined. test, and evalu	ngs Bay, GA o evaluate n to evaluate n ation on phys	uclear secu nuclear sec sical securit	rity policy for urity policy a	Prime Nucles it applies to	ear Airlift o submarine ir					
		•		hments/Pla	nned Progra	ams Subtotal	s 19.6	687 14.052	2 6.985	5 -	6.98
C. Other Program Funding Summ Line Item • 22/0602718BR: WMD Defeat	nary (\$ in Milli FY 2010 22.048	<u>ons)</u> <u>FY 2011</u> 17.902	<u>FY 2012</u> <u>Base</u> 17.503	<u>FY 2012</u> <u>OCO</u>	<u>FY 2012</u> <u>Total</u> 17.503	<u>FY 2013</u> 17.261	FY 2014	<u>FY 2015</u> 17.855		<u>Cost To</u> Complete Continuing	Total Cos
<i>Technologies</i> D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable										-	
E. Performance Metrics Achieve Radiation Hardened and	Radiation Har	dened by De	sign (RHBE	D) 90nm App	lication Spec	cific Integrated	Circuit d	esign flow cap	ability.		
Successful completion of Mighty (completed, execution of the exerc									ving when r	equired, tra	ining
Successful completion of research within budget, all stated tasks in the project, and transitioning the project	he statement c	of work/objec	tives being								

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat Reduction Agency										DATE: February 2011				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 3: Advanced Technology Develo	t & Evaluation, Defense-Wide PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives RM: WMD Battle Managemen				gement									
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost			
RM: WMD Battle Management	33.888	28.260	22.303	-	22.303	20.403	20.727	21.137	21.700	Continuing	Continuing			

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The WMD Battle Management project develops, integrates, demonstrates and transitions emerging/innovative technologies to support the counter Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) Mission. This activity specifically focuses on two critical components in countering the WMD threat:

Develop end-to-end planning capabilities including weaponeering tools to aid the Combatant Commander's targeting and weapons officers in choosing the proper weapon, fuze, and employment parameters to optimize the defeat of WMD and related hard targets. Deliver modernized, validated and fast running attack planning tools and integrating software. Leverage attack planning tools to support force protection planners and vulnerability assessment teams.

Develop, integrate, demonstrate and transition emerging/innovative technologies to provide the warfighter with an enhanced near real-time combat and battle damage assessment capability. Capability is achieved through the development of Unmanned Aerial Systems and weapon-based sensors, platforms, taggants, seekers and other innovative technologies to; remotely sense, identify, track and target WMD-related threats; perform battle damage assessment/indication of strikes against these threats; and locate, track, collect, detect, selectively identify, and characterize Chemical Weapon and Biological Weapon aerosol agents released during these WMD counterforce strikes.

The decrease from FY 2011 to FY 2012 is predominately due to program reductions made to comply with Department guidance to identify funds to support higher priority mission areas and program changes for increased investment in detection technologies.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012
	FY 2010	FY 2011	Base	000	Total
Title: RM: WMD Battle Management	33.888	28.260	22.303	-	22.303
Description: Project RM provides (1) full scale testing of counter WMD weapon effects, sensor performance, and weapon delivery optimization, (2) weapon effects modeling, and (3) the Defense Threat Reduction Agency Experimentation Lab.					
 FY 2010 Accomplishments: Conducted Global Strike Battle Damage Assessment (BDA) Phase 2 field demonstration of remote ground and air-based BDA sensors. Continued development of the WMD Aerial Collection System (WACS). Identified signatures and establish test beds for sensors to find fix and track WMD related items and people. Validated and transitioned the near real time Concept of Operations (CONOPS) for Constant Hawk to the warfighter. 					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Three	eat Reduction Agency			D	ATE: Febru	ary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Interproliferation, Prevention and Defeat	itiatives	-	JECT WMD Ba	ttle Manage	ment	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 20 ⁴	10	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
 Participated in the development of High Altitude Long Endurance L sensor data. Demonstrated capability to launch and control Flight Inserted Detect (FINDER) UAV from the Predator MQ-1 and conduct AFSOC missio Promulgated collaboration and decision support tool solutions into to (DTRA) Operations Center through identification and procurement or security accreditation, installation upon approval, and implementation the user community. Administered situational awareness solutions into the DTRA Opera alternatives of government off-the-shelf and commercial off-the-shelf and visualization. Delivered Integrated Munitions Effects Assessment 2010 incorporal Navy and a new capability to calculate WMD release & dispersion free Performed annual cycle of requirements collection, challenge properting High Performance Computing. Provided Targeting and Weaponeering Analysis Cell academics an <i>FY 2011 Plans:</i> 	ctor Expendable for Reconnaissance ins through SATCOM. the Defense Threat Reduction Agency f cutting-edge technologies, completion of n of a comprehensive training program for itions Center through an analysis of f products for next-generation data analysis ating JSOW-C planning capability for the om tunnel facilities. psals, resource allocation and tech support						
 - Conduct demonstration of the WMD Aerial Collection System. - Validate implemented solutions for command and control, collabora awareness and identify any necessary support base for further enhale. Perform integration testing and begin Dynamic Toolset development Capability. - Perform annual cycle of requirements collection, challenge proposat through High Performance Computing. - Begin development of algorithms for Dynamic Toolset support usin - Provide Targeting/Weaponeering Analysis Cell academics and target - Deliver Vulnerability Assessment Protection Option (VAPO) version modeling and vulnerability analysis. - Commence development of Phase 3 of the Global Strike battle Batt optimization). - Design prototype capability for precision delivery of unattended groups. 	ncement. Int for Advance Targeting Assessment als, resource allocation and tech support g High Performance Computing. geting support. In with Critical Infrastructure Protection tle Damage Assessment (BDA) (system						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Three	at Reduction Agency		D	ATE: Febru	ary 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initi - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat							
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY FY 2011 Base OCO FY				
 Enhance Wide Area Aerial Surveillance technology to produce pers and counter threats from Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear Develop, integrate and demonstrate miniaturized CBRNE sensors v Combating Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD) Tag, Track and L Develop CWMD Persistent Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconna the fusion of data from multiple sources that provide activity based in Complete system assessment and flight test of the Phase 2 Global to include the Chemical, Acoustic, Nuclear and Seismic sensor capal hubs, relay of BDA data via a long haul (satellite) interface and displate 	and Explosives (CBRNE). with radio frequency tags in support of .ocate. issance (P-ISR) integration framework for telligence. Strike battle damage assessment system, bilities, mesh networking with two or more							
 FY 2012 Base Plans: Continue to support the Combatant Commands with the further refir center critical technologies that will enhance the capability of rapid re reach back capabilities. Conduct demonstration of the WMD Aerial Collection System (WAC Conduct Spectre-FINDER Phase 2 Demonstration. Initiate the transition of WACS prototypes to the U.S. Army. Develop and demonstrate novel tag technologies for C-WMD Tag, Complete system assessment of the Phase 2 conventional strike bat the Chemical, Acoustic, Nuclear and Seismic sensor capabilities, me of BDA data via a long haul (satellite) interface and display on a War Conduct an operationally representative flight test of a near real-tim system for conventional strikes. Deliver Integrated Munitions Effects Assessment 2012. Perform annual cycle of requirements collection, challenge proposa through High Performance Computing. Provide Targeting and Weaponeering Analysis Cell academics and Continue the effort to integrate first principle modeling codes into Gi FY 2012 OCO Plans: 	sponse in regards to next generational CS). Track and Locate Program. Attle damage assessment system, to include sh networking with two or more hubs, relay fighter Interface. The Battle Damage Assessment (BDA) Is, resource allocation and tech support targeting support.							
•						22.30		
Accor	plishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	33.888	28.260	22.303	-			

PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 400: Research, Development, Test A 3: Advanced Technology Develop . Other Program Funding Summa Line Item	& Evaluation, oment (ATD) ary (\$ in Millio		lide	PE 0603160	BR: Counter		Initiatives		otto Manag					
	•	<u>ons)</u>			R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat									
l ine lien														
22/0602718BR: WMD Defeat Fechnologies	FY 2010 15.239	<u>FY 2011</u> 10.899	FY 2012 Base 13.761	<u>FY 2012</u> <u>OCO</u>	FY 2012 <u>Total</u> 13.761	<u>FY 2013</u> 18.569	<u>FY 2014</u> 16.366			Cost To Complete Continuing				
. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable														
<u>. Performance Metrics</u> Standoff detection range of Weapo	ons of Mass D	estruction (WMD) recor	inaissance sy	/stem.									
Number of new capabilities deliver	ed to Combat	ant Comma	nds (COCO	Ms).										
Number of weaponeering solutions	delivered to	COCOMs.												
Increase automation of the analytic for Combating WMD.	c process use	d by Defens	e Threat Re	duction Ager	ncy Reachba	ick, DTRA O	perations (Center and the	e U.S. Strate	egic Comma	and Center			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus		DATE: February 2011									
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTI 0400: Research, Development, Tes BA 3: Advanced Technology Devel	Vide	PE 0603160	OMENCLAT OBR: Counte	rproliferation		RT: Target Assessment Technologies					
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RT: Target Assessment Technologies	33.097	35.112	32.837	-	32.837	32.014	31.084	31.759	32.429	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

For some hard and deeply buried targets, physical destruction is neither possible, nor practical, with current conventional weapons and employment techniques. It may be possible, however, to achieve target defeat objectives by denying or disrupting the mission or function of the target facility. Functional defeat, however, requires more information, more detailed analysis of the target. The functional defeat process includes finding and identifying a facility, characterizing its function and physical layout, determining its vulnerabilities to available weapons, planning and executing an attack, assessing damage, and if necessary, suppressing reconstitution efforts and re-attacking the facility. Target Assessment Technologies provides the Combatant Commands and the Intelligence Community with technologies and processes to find and characterize hard and deeply buried targets and then assess the results of attacks against those targets. Overall objectives are to develop new methodologies, processes and technologies for detecting, locating, identifying, physically and functionally characterizing, modeling, and assessing new and existing hard and deeply buried targets to support full dimensional defeat operations. Extending this activity and applying these processes to Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) target characterization and threat analysis presents the next technical challenge. The Target Assessment Technologies project now consists of three subordinate and related activities: (1) Targeting and Intelligence Community Technology Development; (2) Find, Characterize, Assess Technology Development; and (3) the newly added WMD Analysis Cell Technology Support.

The FY 2010 to FY 2011 increase is in support of the Department of Defense (DoD) and Presidential CWMD strategic priorities and will fill critical investment and sustainment gaps across the DTRA CWMD spectrum. This increase is in support of the Counter-WMD Analysis Cell (C-WAC) and will accelerate spiral development and deployment of new modeling capabilities across Nuclear, Biological Warfare (BW) and Chemical Warfare (CW) threat areas, enhancing fusion of R&D and intelligence support for the Combatant Commands.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: RT: Target Assessment Technologies	33.097	35.112	32.837	-	32.837
Description: Project RT provides the Combatant Commands and the Intelligence Community with technologies and processes to find and characterize hard and deeply buried targets and then assess the results of attacks against those targets.					
 FY 2010 Accomplishments: Delivered Underground Targeting and Analysis System (UTAS) functional process modeling and point mensuration capability to the COCOMs and Intelligence Community. Fully integrated UTAS modeling capability into the DIA Underground Facility Analysis Center target characterization process and products. 					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Thre	at Reduction Agency		C	DATE: Febru	ary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Int - Proliferation, Prevention and Defeat	v v					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 201	0 FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	
 Continued to provide target characterization training for the UGF an Demonstrated the capabilities of a prototype Integrated Sensor Sys and Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) target characterization and Commands (COCOMs) and Intelligence Community. Demonstrated added Counter-WMD Analysis Cell (C-WAC) capabil weapons threats in support of COCOMs Command and Intelligence Community. Researched and developed models for analysis and assessment of equipment and systems for use by the Intelligence Community. 	tem to support the Underground Facility d assessment processes of the Combatant ities to model and analyze biological Community needs.						
 FY 2011 Plans: Add WMD systems and process characterization modeling and assifunctionality for support of the COCOMs and Intelligence Community Fully integrate models for analysis and assessment of weapons effects systems into UTAS for use by the Intelligence Community. Continue target characterization training for the Underground Facilit communities. Design, develop and test on-node data fusion to enhance Integrated for support of Combatant Commands (COCOMs) and Intelligence Comstrate Counter-WMD Analysis Cell (C-WAC) initial capabilities weapons threat development processes in response to COCOMs and requirements. 	targeting and weaponeering requirements. ects on WMD related equipment and ty (UGF) and WMD target defeat d Sensor System surveillance capabilities ommunity target characterization and es to model and analyze chemical						
FY 2012 Base Plans: - Demonstrate Integrated Sensor System (ISS) version 1 capabilities Reaction Tunnel Detection (R2TD) Joint Concept Technology Demor - Demonstrate Integrated Sensor System (ISS) version 1 capabilities Technologies Directorate's Integrated Technology Demonstration 1 (- Develop and demonstrate C-WAC integrated counter-WMD strategi - Develop and demonstrate an UTAS version that combines buildings operating picture (COP) and demonstrate this capability during the D - Demonstrate a UTAS version that integrates analysis of facilities and	nstration (JCTD). as part of the DTRA Counter WMD ITD-1). ic analysis capability. s, bunkers and tunnels into a common TRA ITD-1.						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB	2012 Defen	se Threat R	eduction Age	ency		DATE: February 2011						
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 3: Advanced Technology Develo	t & Evaluation,	Defense-W	lide	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATUREPROJECTPE 0603160BR: Counterproliferation Initiatives - Proliferation, Prevention and DefeatRT: Target Assessment Technologies									
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	ograms (\$ in N	<u>/lillions)</u>					FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total		
- Continue target characterization tr	aining for the	UGF and W	MD target de	efeat commu	inities.								
FY 2012 OCO Plans:													
·			Accomplis	hments/Pla	nned Progra	ams Subtotal	s 33.097	35.112	32.837	-	32.83		
C. Other Program Funding Summ	ary (\$ in Milli	ons)											
Line Item	FY 2010	FY 2011	<u>FY 2012</u> Base	FY 2012 OCO	<u>FY 2012</u> Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To	Total Cos		
• 22/0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies	0.486	0.000	0.000	<u>000</u>	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		Continuing			
D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable													
E. Performance Metrics Increased WMD target characteriz into the UTAS functionality.	ation capabilit	y thru succe	essful incorp	oration of W	MD systems	and process	characteriza	tion modelir	ig and asses	ssment cap	abilities		
Remotely determine geotechnical	UTAS calcula	tion properti	es within 35	percent.									
Increased analysis of weapons eff equipment and systems in UTAS I			successful	integration o	f models for	analysis and a	assessment	of weapons	effects on s	ome WMD	related		
Demonstrated improved Integrated	d Sensor Syst	em (ISS) on	-node data f	usion capab	ility.								
Improved chemical weapons analy	ysis capability	thru Counte	er-WMD Ana	lysis Cell (C·	-WAC) mode	ling and anal	ysis of chem	ical weapon	s threat.				
				-			-						

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item		: PB 2012 D	efense Ihre						DATE: Feb	ruary 2011	_
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV					IOMENCLA						
0400: Research, Development, Test		n, Defense-V	Vide	PE 060500	OBR: WMD L	Defeat Capal	bilities				
BA 5: Development & Demonstration	n (SDD)				1					1	
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cos
Total Program Element	9.255	7.307	5.888	-	5.888	5.749	5.995	6.077	6.097	Continuing	Continuin
RL: Nuclear & Radiological Effects	9.255	7.307	5.888	-	5.888	5.749	5.995	6.077	6.097	Continuing	Continuin
A. Mission Description and Budge	et Item Justi	fication									
The Weapons of Mass Destruction			globally acc	essible net-o	entric frame	work which r	nigrates the	Defense Thre	eat Reducti	on Agency (DTRA)
chemical, biological, nuclear, radio											
capabilities. The framework is the											
alone mobile deployments which a	are validated	and accredi	ed for operation	tional use b	y Internation	al, National,	State, and lo	ocal authoritie	s.		
B. Program Change Summary (\$ i	n Millions)		FY 2	<u>.010</u> <u>F</u>	Y 2011	<u>FY 2012</u>	Base	<u>FY 2012 C</u>	000	<u>FY 2012 T</u>	otal
Previous President's Budget			9	489	7.307		6.660) –		6.66	
Current President's Budget				255	7.307		5.888		-	- 5.888	
Total Adjustments				234	-		-0.772		-	-0.772	
Congressional Ger	neral Reducti	ons			-						
Congressional Dire	ected Reduct	ions			-						
 Congressional Res 	scissions			-	-						
 Congressional Add 	ls				-						
 Congressional Dire 	ected Transfe	ers			-						
 Reprogrammings 			-	.013	-						
 SBIR/STTR Transf 	er		-0	.221	-						
Realignment / Dire	cted Efficiend	cies		-	-		-0.772		-	-0	.772
Congressional Add Details	(\$ in Million	s, and Inclu	ides Genera	al Reduction	<u>ns)</u>				F	Y 2010	FY 2011
Project: RL: Nuclear & Radi	ological Effec	sts									
Congressional Add: Elec	tric Grid Relia	ability/Assur	ance							0.800	-
					C	Congressiona	al Add Subto	otals for Projec	ct: RL	0.800	-
						Congress	ional Add To	otals for all Pro	ojects	0.800	-
									L		
Change Summary Explana											
The FY 2010 decreases from				bmission are	e due to the i	nternal SBIF	R reprogramr	ming and the I	FY 10-11P	A reprogram	ming
action in support of higher p	riority Depart	ment needs									

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Defense T	hreat Reduction Agency	DATE: February 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide BA 5: Development & Demonstration (SDD)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605000BR: <i>WMD Defeat Capabilities</i>	
FY 2012 decrease is predominately attributed to Departmenta contractual support services.	I guidance for increased efficiency in the area of Advis	sory & Assitance services and other

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PE	2012 Defer	nse Threat R	eduction Ag	lency				DATE: Febr	uary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 5: Development & Demonstration	& Evaluation	n, Defense-V		R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605000BR: WMD Defeat CapabilitiesPROJECT RL: Nuclear & Radiological							
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RL: Nuclear & Radiological Effects	9.255	7.307	5.888	-	5.888	5.749	5.995	6.077	6.097	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Net-Centric Architecture includes three functional areas 1) Integrated Weapons of Mass Destruction Toolset (IWMDT), 2) IWMDT Codes, and 3) Software Assurance and Certification and Accreditation. The IWMDT functional area develops the architecture, defines and implements the standards to consolidate validated Defense Threat Reduction Agency tools, and through this architecture, enables rapid access for planning, emergency response, and assessment capabilities. These capabilities are used by a wide range of planners, managers, and operational and technical personnel facing the full spectrum of chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, and high-yield explosives threats. The IWMDT Codes functional area develops analysis and simulation codes, and then integrates the codes into the IWMDT architecture. These efforts are unique to this effort across the Department of Defense (DoD) and directly supports analysis capabilities in the Office of the Secretary Defense (OSD) Studies and Analysis Group, and Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation (OSD CAPE), US Pacific Command and United States Forces Korea offices, Republic of Korea Ministry of Defense, Ministry of Defense Taiwan, as well as providing unique simulation capabilities to US Joint Forces Command and the Air Force Distributed Mission Operation Center. This sub-project extends research and development to system development and demonstration.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Title: RL: Nuclear & Radiological Effects	8.455	7.307	5.888	-	5.888
 FY 2010 Accomplishments: Operationally implemented a globally accessible integrated net-centric CBRNE capability used across exercise and operational deployments on unclassified, classified and exercise networks. Migrated nuclear effects framework and Consequence of Execution tools – Completed FY10 nuclear integration efforts to Joint Program Offices for community use and broader integration across DoD Command and Control (C2) systems. Operationally deployed a "fly-away" implementation of an IWMDT virtual machine (VM) on a single laptop for disconnected use at USSTRATCOM, USJFCOM, and SHAPE. Deployed IWMDT v3.0 and v. 3.1 employing a role-based accredited system operationally available to partner nations, and state and local users for collaborative real-time planning and assessment. Developed integrated within the IWMDT framework, technologies to mitigate effects of Electromagnetic Pulse (EMP) attacks through the Nuclear Capability Services (NuCS) program. 					
<i>FY 2011 Plans:</i> - Enhance the Continuity of Operations (COOP) functionality to allow "hot" updates and full Rapid Assessment and Identification support of alternate sites and capabilities.					

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat Reduction Agency		D	ATE: Febru	ary 2011	
PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITYR-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE 400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-WidePE 0605000BR: WMD Defeat CapabilitieA 5: Development & Demonstration (SDD)PE 0605000BR: WMD Defeat Capabilitie		PROJECT RL: <i>Nuclear &</i>	& Radiologic	al Effects	
. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 201	0 FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Enhanced implementation of Net Centric Enterprise Services messaging and collaboration for use across xercise and operational deployments. All three programs complete legacy tools migration, enter into a pure integration paradigm focused on "plug nd play" methodology for emergent technologies into the extant Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear and Explosive Integrated Weapons of Mass Destruction Toolset (IWMDT) framework. Integrate Nevada Test Site dig data into Consequence of Execution – Nuclear Integration science efforts esulting in enhanced capabilities across IWMDT and the nuclear community tools.					
EY 2012 Base Plans: Develop and provide an intial cyberspace capability through internal agency integration efforts. Integrate advanced capabilities within the Net-Centric Architecture with the Global Strike Mission. Complete and release IWMDT framework version 3.4. Complete and release CBRNE Explosive IWMDT framework version 3.4.					
Y 2012 OCO Plans:					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	8.45	55 7.307	5.888	-	5.88
	FY 201	0 FY 2011]		
Congressional Add: Electric Grid Reliability/Assurance	0.80	- 00			
Y 2010 Accomplishments: - Planned EMP long pulse (E3) power grid test at Idaho National Laboratory.			_		
Congressional Adds Subtotals	0.80	- 00			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	tification: PB	2012 Defens	se Threat R	Reduction Age	ency				DATE: Febr	uary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIN 0400: Research, Development, Tes BA 5: Development & Demonstration	t & Evaluation	, Defense-W		R-1 ITEM NO PE 06050001				PROJECT RL: Nuclear	& Radiologi	ical Effects	
C. Other Program Funding Summ	nary (\$ in Milli	ons <u>)</u>	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2012					Cost To	
Line Item • 22/0602718BR: WMD Defeat Technologies	<u>FY 2010</u> 21.813	<u>FY 2011</u> 16.776	<u>Base</u> 25.343	000	<u>Total</u> 25.343	<u>FY 2013</u> 23.922	<u>FY 2014</u> 23.968	<u>FY 2015</u> 25.202		Complete Continuing	Total Cost

D. Acquisition Strategy

The programs for IWMDT, Nuclear Capability Services, and Consequence of Execution are executed through competed, Cost Plus Award-Fee and Cost Plus Fixed-Fee contracts. These contracts are normally 3-year efforts for software development, test, and integration. Follow-on contracts will be competed for award to continue any out-year activities.

E. Performance Metrics

Demonstrate and provide over 80% of the customer-required CBRNE modeling and simulation capabilities over networks, e.g. Department of Defense Global Information Grid.

Transform 100% of the validated mission-required legacy Defense Threat Reduction Agency CBRNE codes to a net-centric implementation in a process-controlled Verification, Validation, and Accreditation standards-based method.

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Pro	oject Cost	Analysis: PB 2012 D	efense Thi	reat Redu	ction Agen	су			DAT	E: Februar	ry 2011	
Product Development (s in Millions) FY 2011 Base OC Total Prior System Development- WMOT Curper Association (Single Priority & Location (Single Priori	0400: Research, Develo	pment, Tes	t & Evaluation, Defen	se-Wide					abilities		adiological	Effects	
Method System Development- WMO Method System Development- WMO Keyret CCPAF SAICSan Deigo. CA SAICSan Deigo. CA Applied Research Associates Raliegh, NC Associates Raliegh, NC Ass	Product Development	(\$ in Millio	ns)		FY 2	2011		-		-			
NMMDT CICHA SAICSan Dego, LA 14.02b 2.36b Dec 2010 3.100 NoV 2011 - 3.100 14.510 34.200 </th <th>Cost Category Item</th> <th>Method</th> <th></th> <th>Years</th> <th>Cost</th> <th></th> <th>Cost</th> <th></th> <th>Cost</th> <th>Cost</th> <th></th> <th>Total Cost</th> <th>Target Value of Contract</th>	Cost Category Item	Method		Years	Cost		Cost		Cost	Cost		Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
System Development - NuCS CIC/PF Associates.Raliegh, NC 3.00 1.2/1 Mar 2011 - - - 0.000 4.3.90 t System Development - COE C/CPFF Titan.Kingstowne, VA 5.091 0.444 Mar 2011 - - - 0.000 5.053 7 System Development - Component Contracts C/Various 4.729 0.344 Mar 2011 - - - 0.000 5.073 6 System Development - Cortracts Subtotal 27.506 4.622 3.100 - 3.100 14.510 49.738 56 Remarks The 'Various' reported reflects multiple contracts, mainly CPFF. FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Total 7 Total 7<		C/CPAF	SAIC:San Deigo, CA	14.026	2.564	Dec 2010	3.100	Nov 2011	-	3.100	14.510	34.200	37.949
System Development - Component Contracts Cr/Various Various: Various 4.729 0.344 Mar 2011 - - - 0.000 5.073 66 Subtotal 27.506 4.622 3.100 - 3.100 - 3.100 14.510 49.738 56 Remarks The "Various" reported reflects multiple contracts, mainly CPFF. FY 2012 FY 2012 Base FY 2012 CO FY 2012 Total FY 2012 To	System Development - NuCS	C/CPFF		3.660	1.270	Mar 2011	-		-	-	0.000	4.930	6.300
Component Contracts Civanous Vanous:various 4./29 0.344 Mar 2011 - - - 0.000 5.073 0 Subtotal 27.506 4.622 3.100 - 3.100 14.510 49.738 56 Remarks The "Various" reported reflects multiple contracts, mainly CPFF. FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Total Prior Total Prior Variance Cost Category Item Aertority & Location Cost Award Cost Award Cost Cost To Cost To Contract Total Cost Mar 2011 - 0.000 1.153 1.559 2.2 Configuration Management C/Various SAIC, ARA, Titan/Various 0.122 0.024 Nov 2010 0.060 Nov 2011 - 0.060 1.153 1.559 2.2 Software Integration C/Various SAIC, ARA, Titan/Various 0.042 0.008 Nov 2010 0.503 Nov 2011 - 0.503 0.786 2.753 3.3 Engineering Services C/Various SAIC, ARA, Titan/Various	System Development - COE	C/CPFF	Titan:Kingstowne, VA	5.091	0.444	Mar 2011	-		-	-	0.000	5.535	7.100
Remarks The "Various" reported reflects multiple contracts, mainly CPFF. Support (\$ in Millions) FY 2011 FY 2012 Base FY 2012 OCO FY 2012 Total Contract Method & Type Total Prior Years Cost Cost Award Date Cost Award Date Cost Cost Total Cost Total Cost Total Cost Total Cost Cost Cost To Cost Total Cost Total Cost Total Cost Cost Cost To Cost Cost Cost To Cost Cost Cost To Cost Cost To Cost Total Cost Cost Cost To Cost Cost Cost Cost To Cost Cost Cost Cost<		C/Various	Various:Various	4.729	0.344	Mar 2011	-		-	-	0.000	5.073	6.800
The "Various" reported reflects multiple contracts, mainly CPFF. FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Total Support (\$ in Millions) FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 FY 2012 Total Contract Method A first Priorming Activity & Location Total Prior Total Cost Award Cost Award Cost Award Date Cost Cost Cost of Cost of Complete Total Cost Value Contract Method & Type SAIC, ARA, Tatar.Various 0.002 Nov 2010 0.000 Nov 2010 O.000 Nov 2010 Nov 2011 Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost			Subtotal	27.506	4.622		3.100		-	3.100	14.510	49.738	58.149
Cost Category ItemMethod & TypePerforming Activity & LocationYears CostCostAward DateCostAward Date <th< th=""><th>· · ·</th><th></th><th>ntracts, mainly CPFF.</th><th></th><th>FY 2</th><th>2011</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>	· · ·		ntracts, mainly CPFF.		FY 2	2011							
Configuration Management Ovarious Titan:Various 0.122 0.024 Nov 2010 0.000 Nov 2011 - 0.000 1.333	Cost Category Item	Method	0	Years	Cost		Cost		Cost	Cost		Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Software Integration C/Various Titan:Various 2.600 0.500 Nov 2010 0.200 Nov 2011 - 0.200 1.100 4.400 6 Technical Data C/Various SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various 0.042 0.008 Nov 2010 0.573 Nov 2011 - 0.573 0.938 1.561 2 Engineering Services C/Various SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various 1.264 0.200 Nov 2010 0.503 Nov 2011 - 0.503 0.786 2.753 3 Accreditation & Certification C/Various SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various 0.122 0.024 Nov 2010 0.420 Nov 2011 - 0.420 0.983 1.549 1 C/Various SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various 0.122 0.024 Nov 2010 0.420 Nov 2011 - 0.420 0.983 1.549 1 C/Various SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various 0.125 0.756 1.756 - 1.756 5.160 11.822 16	Configuration Management	C/Various		0.122	0.024	Nov 2010	0.060	Nov 2011	-	0.060	1.353	1.559	2.074
Technical Data C/Various Titan:Various 0.042 0.008 NoV 2010 0.0573 NoV 2011 - 0.0573 0.038 1.561 2.2 Engineering Services C/Various SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various 1.264 0.200 Nov 2010 0.503 Nov 2011 - 0.503 0.786 2.753 3.3 Accreditation & Certification C/Various SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various 0.122 0.024 Nov 2010 0.420 Nov 2011 - 0.420 0.983 1.549 1 Maccreditation & Certification C/Various SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various 0.122 0.024 Nov 2010 0.420 Nov 2011 - 0.420 0.983 1.549 1 Maccreditation & Certification C/Various Subtotal 4.150 0.756 1.756 - 1.756 5.160 11.822 16	Software Integration	C/Various		2.600	0.500	Nov 2010	0.200	Nov 2011	-	0.200	1.100	4.400	6.168
Engineering Services C/Various Titan:Various 1.264 0.200 Nov 2010 0.503 Nov 2011 - 0.503 0.786 2.753 353 Accreditation & Certification C/Various SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various 0.122 0.024 Nov 2010 0.420 Nov 2011 - 0.420 0.420 0.983 1.549 1 Subtotal 4.150 0.756 1.756 - 1.756 - 1.756 5.160 11.822 16	Technical Data	C/Various		0.042	0.008	Nov 2010	0.573	Nov 2011	-	0.573	0.938	1.561	2.300
Accreditation & Certification C/Various Titan:Various 0.122 0.024 Nov 2010 0.420 Nov 2011 - 0.420 0.983 1.549 1.549	Engineering Services	C/Various		1.264	0.200	Nov 2010	0.503	Nov 2011	-	0.503	0.786	2.753	3.727
	Accreditation & Certification	C/Various		0.122	0.024	Nov 2010	0.420	Nov 2011	-	0.420	0.983	1.549	1.944
			Subtotal	4.150	0.756		1.756		-	1.756	5.160	11.822	16.213
UNCLASSIFIED Volume 5 - 7					U	NCLASS	IFIED						

Exhibit R-3, RDT&E Pro	ject Cost	Analysis: PB 2012 D	efense Thr	eat Redu	ction Ageno	су				DAT	E: Februar	ry 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDO 0400: Research, Develop BA 5: Development & De	oment, Tes	t & Evaluation, Defen	se-Wide		ITEM NON 0605000BF			abilities	PROJI RL: Nu	ECT Iclear & Ra	adiological	Effects	
Test and Evaluation (\$ i	in Millions	;)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 Ise	FY 20 OC		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Developmental Test & Evaluation	C/Various	SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various	1.563	0.505	Nov 2010	0.350	Nov 2011	-		0.350	1.300	3.718	5.228
Operational Test & Evaluation	C/Various	SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various	1.562	0.505	Nov 2010	0.070	Nov 2011	-		0.070	0.925	3.062	4.456
		Subtotal	3.125	1.010		0.420		-		0.420	2.225	6.780	9.684
Management Services ((\$ in Millio	ons)		FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba	2012 Ise	FY 20 OC		FY 2012 Total			
Cost Category Item	Contract Method & Type	Performing Activity & Location	Total Prior Years Cost	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Award Date	Cost	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
Program Management	C/Various	SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various	1.817	0.479	Nov 2010	0.132	Nov 2011	-		0.132	2.100	4.528	5.278
Travel	C/Various	SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various	0.850	0.220	Nov 2010	0.240	Nov 2011	-		0.240	1.300	2.610	3.530
Overhead	C/Various	SAIC, ARA, Titan:Various	0.984	0.220	Nov 2010	0.240	Nov 2011	-		0.240	1.600	3.044	3.582
		Subtotal	3.651	0.919		0.612		-		0.612	5.000	10.182	12.390
			Total Prior Years Cost	FY 2	2011	FY 2 Ba		FY 20 OC		FY 2012 Total	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	Target Value of Contract
	-	Project Cost Totals	38.432	7.307		5.888		-		5.888	26.895	78.522	96.436

Remarks

All "PY Costs" costs and activities for Integrated Weapons of Mass Destruction Toolset (IWMDT), Nuclear Capability Server (NuCS), and Consequence of Execution (COE) were assigned under Project BD of PE 0602716BR. IWMDT was funded in 2004 by a competitive CPAF contract for \$12.425M over a 3-year period. At end of FY 2006, its follow-on contract was awarded with an initial \$.300M increment. IWMDT program efforts have continued into FY 2010 with \$28.962M now applied. Likewise, the NuCS program was funded under a competitive CPFF contract over a 3-year period with funding of \$5.913M applied through FY 2008; a follow-on contract has now been awarded with initial funding to date of \$2.356M to continue program efforts. COE was funded under a competitive CPFF contract with increments to date of \$6.566M total. Beginning in FY 2008, these activities began funding under PE 0605000BR. A new vehicle will be awarded November 2010 for a period of 24 months on the base contract and then one option year with \$8.300M scope for each year for IWMDT. NUCS and COE will no longer be funded under this line. In CY 2013 IWMDT will be openly competed under the new DTRA ID/IQ for approx \$24.000M for FY2014-16.

	R-4, RDT&E Schedule Profile: PB 20	012 Det	fens	se Thr	eat F	Redu	ctio	n Ag	enc	у													DAT	Γ Ε : Ι	Feb	ruar	y 20)11	
00: R	PRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY Research, Development, Test & Evaluat Development & Demonstration (SDD)	tion, De	fen	se-Wi	ide		1	- 1 IT E 06							-	pab	ilities	S			DJE Nuc		• & R	Radio	olog	ical	Effe	ects	
_								UN	CL	ASS	SIFI	ED																	
	Exhibit R-4, 1																			510,000,00	ate	- sper		orua	ry 2	2011	5		
Z	Activity:	Prog PE 00 Capab	505	SOOOE	BR V					nd	Na	me:					Nam and							ff∈	ect	s -	1	RL	
	Fiscal Year	-		2010		1	20	11 3	4	1	20	12 3	4	1	20	13 3	4	1	20		4	1	20:	15 3	4	10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	16	3	4
A	Acquisition Milestones		-+	2 3		<u> </u>	2	3	4	<u>.</u>	2	5	4	╞╧	2	5	4	<u> </u>	~	5	4	-	~	5	4		2		4
	IWMDT System Development, Test, an Integration Phase 2 IWMDT System Development, Test, an Integration Phase 3/4																												
	Consequence of Execution (COE) Developm	nent																_	_										
	and Integration Nuclear Capabilities Services (NuCS) Sp Development, Test, and Integration Phase		-																										

R-1 Line Item No. 117 (Page 1 of 1)

R-4 Program Schedule Profile

xhibit R-4A, RDT&E Schedule Details: PB 2012 Defense Threat R	eduction Agency			DATE: Febr	uary 2011
PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide A 5: Development & Demonstration (SDD)	R-1 ITEM NOMENCI PE 0605000BR: WM			JECT Nuclear & Radiologi	cal Effects
	Schedule Details	S			
	[St	art		End
Events		St Quarter	art Year	Quarter	End Year
Events IWMDT - System Development, Test, and Integration - Phase 2					
			Year	Quarter	Year
IWMDT - System Development, Test, and Integration - Phase 2			Year 2010	Quarter 4	Year 2012

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item	Justification	: PB 2012 D	efense Thre	eat Reductior	n Agency				DATE: Feb	ruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIV 0400: Research, Development, Test BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	t & Evaluation	n, Defense-V	Vide		OMENCLA 2BR: Small E	FURE Business Inn	ovation Res	earch			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	8.347	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation	8.347	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<u>Note</u>

* Funding is not allocated until the year of execution. Program Element 0605502BR "Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR)" is used in reporting year-end actual expenses only.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The SBIR program provides the means for stimulating technological innovation in the private sector, strengthens the role of small business in meeting Department of Defense (DoD) research and development needs; fosters and encourages participation of minority and disadvantaged businesses in technological innovation; and increases the commercial application of DoD supported research and development results. These efforts are responsive to Public Law 106-554.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2010</u>	<u>FY 2011</u>	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	-	-	-	-	-
Current President's Budget	8.347	-	-	-	-
Total Adjustments	8.347	-	-	-	-
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	8.347	-			

Change Summary Explanation

Funding for the FY 2010 SBIR Program has been consolidated in this program element for execution.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	tification: PE	3 2012 Defei	nse Threat F	Reduction Ag	ency				DATE: Feb	ruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIN 0400: Research, Development, Tes BA 6: RDT&E Management Suppor	t & Evaluation	n, Defense-V	Vide	R-1 ITEM N PE 0605502 Research		TURE Business Inn	ovation	PROJECT RA: System	ns Engineeri	ng and Innov	vation
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation	8.347	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

<u>Note</u>

* Funding is not allocated until the year of execution. Program Element 0605502BR "Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR)" is used in reporting year-end actual expenses only.

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This project provides the means for stimulating technological innovation in the private sector, strengthens the role of small business in meeting the Department of Defense (DoD) research and development needs; fosters and encourages participation of minority and disadvantaged businesses in technological innovation; and increases the commercial application of the DoD supported research and development results. These efforts are responsive to Public Law 106-554.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation	8.347	-	-
Description: This project provides the means for stimulating technological innovation in the private sector, strengthens the role of small business in meeting the Department of Defense (DoD) research and development needs; fosters and encourages participation of minority and disadvantaged businesses in technological innovation; and increases the commercial application of the DoD supported research and development results. These efforts are responsive to Public Law 106-554.			
 FY 2010 Accomplishments: Completed execution of 7 FY 2008 Phase II contracts. Coordinated transition plans with the small business for the 8 FY 2007 and 7 FY2008 PH II contracts. Continued the second-year of development and execution for the 8 FY 2009 Phase II contracts. Awarded 21 Phase I contracts to perform feasibility studies on FY 2010 topics. Awarded 8 Phase II contracts on successful FY 2009 Phase I efforts. Transitioned FY 2007 and prior Phase II efforts to Phase III, Commercialization, as results and funding permitted. Participated in educational outreach during DoD sponsored SBIR events. 			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	8.347	-	-

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Defense Threat Reduction Agency		DATE: February 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE	PROJECT	
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide 3A 6: RDT&E Management Support	PE 0605502BR: Small Business Innovation Research	RA: Systems Engineering and Innovation	
2. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A			
. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable			
<u>Performance Metrics</u> Number of Phase I awards supporting innovative technology develo	ppment.		
Number of Phase II and III awards leading to technology transition.			

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK