

**Defense Logistics Agency**  
**FY 2011 Military Construction, Defense-Wide**  
**(\$ in Thousands)**

<u>State/Installation/Project</u>	<u>Authorization Request</u>	<u>Approp. Request</u>	<u>New/Current Mission</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
<b>California</b>				
Point Loma Annex Replace Fuel Storage Facilities Increment 3	-	20,000	C	13
Point Mugu Aircraft Direct Fueling Station	3,100	3,100	C	17
<b>Georgia</b>				
Hunter ANGS Fuel Unload Facility	2,400	2,400	C	20
<b>Hawaii</b>				
Hickam Air Force Base Alter Fuel Tanks	8,500	8,500	C	23
<b>Idaho</b>				
Mountain Home Air Force Base Replace Fuel Storage Tanks	27,500	27,500	C	26
<b>Maryland</b>				
Andrews Air Force Base Replace Fuel Storage and Distribution Facilities	14,000	14,000	C	29
<b>Ohio</b>				
Defense Supply Center Columbus Replace Public Safety Facility	7,400	7,400	C	32
<b>Pennsylvania</b>				
Defense Distribution Depot Susquehanna New Cumberland Replace Headquarters Facility	96,000	96,000	C	35
<b>Virginia</b>				
Craney Island Replace Fuel Pier	58,000	58,000	C	39
<b>Japan</b>				
Kadena Air Base Install Fuel Filters-Separators	3,000	3,000	C	42

**Defense Logistics Agency**  
**FY 2011 Military Construction, Defense-Wide**  
**(\$ in Thousands)**

<u>State/Installation/Project</u>	<u>Authorization Request</u>	<u>Approp. Request</u>	<u>New/Current Mission</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
Misawa Air Base Hydrant Fuel System	31,000	31,000	C	45
<b>United Kingdom</b>				
RAF Mildenhall Replace Hydrant Fuel Distribution System	15,900	15,900	C	48
<b>Total</b>	<b>266,800</b>	<b>286,800</b>		

<b>1. Component</b> DEFENSE (DLA)	<b>FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM</b>							<b>2. Date</b> <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>			
<b>3. Installation And Location</b> FLEET AND INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, SAN DIEGO (POINT LOMA), CALIFORNIA				<b>4. Command</b>  DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY				<b>5. Area Construction Cost Index</b> <b>1.11</b>			
<b>6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH</b>		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
Tenant of U.S.NAVY		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
a. AS OF											
b. END FY											
<b>7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)</b>											
A. TOTAL ACREAGE											
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF											
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY											148,000
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM											20,000
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											27,000
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											61,200
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY											
H. GRAND TOTAL											256,200
<b>8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:</b>											
<u>CATEGORY</u> <u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT</u> <u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>					<u>COST</u> <u>(\$000)</u>	<u>DESIGN</u> <u>START</u>	<u>STATUS</u> <u>COMPLETE</u>		
411	DESC0704	Replace Fuel Storage Facilities, Increment #3					20,000	12/04	10/07		
<b>9. FUTURE PROJECTS:</b>											
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
<u>CATEGORY</u> <u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT</u> <u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>					<u>COST</u> <u>(\$000)</u>				
411	DESC0704	Replace Fuel Storage Facilities, Increment #4					27,000				
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
<u>CATEGORY</u> <u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT</u> <u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>					<u>COST</u> <u>(\$000)</u>				
151	DESC1210	Replace Pier 180 (FY 13)					61,200				
<b>10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION:</b>											
These fuel facilities provide essential storage and distribution systems to support the mission of the assigned units at FISC San Diego.											
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for fuel facilities at this location is \$11.9 million.											
<b>11. OUTSTANDING POLLTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:</b>											
A. AIR POLLUTION											0
B. WATER POLLUTION											0
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH											0

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>	
3. Installation and Location <b>FLEET AND INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, SAN DIEGO (POINT LOMA), CA</b>			4. Project Title <b>REPLACE FUEL STORAGE FACILITIES, INCREMENT #3</b>		
5. Program Element <b>0702976S</b>	6. Category Code <b>411</b>	7. Project Number <b>DESC0704</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>Appropriations 20,000</b>		
<b>9. COST ESTIMATES</b>					
Item		U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES .....		-	-	-	105,400
FUEL STORAGE TANKS (159,000 KILOLITERS /1,000,000 BARRELS) .....		LS	-	-	(53,100)
FUEL DISTRIBUTION PIPING .....		LS	-	-	(30,500)
FUEL OIL RECLAIMED (FOR) FACILITIES .....		LS	-	-	(7,800)
TRUCK LOAD / UNLOAD STATIONS .....		LS	-	-	(1,900)
PUMPHOUSE .....		LS	-	-	(8,400)
CONTROL BUILDING .....		LS	-	-	(1,800)
LUBE OIL SYSTEM .....		LS	-	-	(1,900)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES .....		-	-	-	70,275
SITE PREPARATION AND IMPROVEMENTS .....		LS	-	-	(21,675)
MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL UTILITIES .....		LS	-	-	(39,500)
DEMOLITION .....		LS	-	-	(7,400)
OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE SUPPORT INFORMATION ....		LS	-	-	(1,700)
SUBTOTAL .....		-	-	-	175,675
CONTINGENCY (5%) .....		-	-	-	<u>8,784</u>
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST .....		-	-	-	184,459
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (5.7%) ..		-	-	-	<u>10,514</u>
TOTAL REQUEST .....		-	-	-	194,973
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) .....		-	-	-	195,000
LESS FY 2008, FY 2010, AND FY 2012 APPROPRIATIONS .		-	-	-	175,000
FY 2011 APPROPRIATION TOTAL REQUEST .....		-	-	-	<b>20,000</b>
<p><b>10. Description of Proposed Construction:</b> Construct eight 19,874-kiloliter (kL) (125,000-barrel) multi-product fuel storage tanks, fuel distribution piping, pumphouse, fuel oil reclamation (FOR) facilities, and a lube oil storage and dispensing system. Work includes fuel tanker truck loading and unloading stations, fuel icing inhibitor injection system, and pier-side operations control building. Site preparations and improvements include extensive earthwork operations, earth retaining structures, pavements, storm and sanitary sewers, sedimentation basins, fencing, site lighting, electrical distribution systems, and emergency power generators. Improve secondary entrance gate for truck traffic to accommodate new work. Demolish or close 30 aboveground or underground storage tanks, totaling greater than one million barrels of storage capacity, plus 24 other FOR and lube oil tanks of varying sizes. Project includes extensive remediation of fuel contaminated soil, automated fuel handling and tank gauging equipment, and physical security equipment funded by other appropriations.</p>					

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. Date FEBRUARY 2010
3. Installation and Location: FLEET AND INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, SAN DIEGO (POINT LOMA), CA		4. Project Title REPLACE FUEL STORAGE FACILITIES, INCREMENT #3		
5. Program Element 0702976S	6. Category Code 411	7. Project Number DESC0704	8. Project Cost (\$000) Appropriations 20,000	
<p>11. REQUIREMENT: 159,000 kiloliters (kL)      ADEQUATE: 0 kL      SUBSTANDARD: 159,000 kL</p> <p>PROJECT: Replace the existing fuel storage, distribution, and support facilities at a Defense Fuel Supply Point. This is an incrementally funded project. Authorization of \$140 million and Increment 1 funding of \$55.7 million was approved in the FY 2008 program. Modification of Authorization of \$55 million for a total of \$195 million was approved in the FY 2010 program. The fourth increment will be requested in FY 2012. (C)</p> <p>REQUIREMENT: There is a need to replace underground and aboveground fuel storage tanks that are 60-80 years old at one of the largest and most important defense fuel terminals on the west coast. These tanks must be replaced before deterioration leads to further environmental contamination at this site adjacent to San Diego Bay. One million barrels of jet fuel (JP-5) and diesel fuel marine (DFM) storage must be provided to support ships and shore units of the Third Fleet, Naval Air Station North Island, Marine Corps Air Station Miramar, U.S. Coast Guard, and other regional forces. The proposed project will provide environmentally secure fuel storage meeting stringent federal and state environmental regulations. The high cost of this project is driven not only by the extensive scope of replacement work, but also by having to build over the existing terminal footprint, which is on a hilly, environmentally sensitive area, while terminal operators maintain undiminished fuel support to U.S. Forces.</p> <p>CURRENT SITUATION: The existing fuel storage facilities, some dating back to the 1920's, are aging and under increased scrutiny by Navy and state regulators because of their location on the ecologically sensitive Point Loma peninsula, adjacent to San Diego Bay. Environmental remediation of fuel-contaminated groundwater under the site is ongoing due to past fuel releases and leaks from these tanks. This highly publicized effort has raised state and local concerns about the environmental risk posed by these aging tanks and the need to replace them with safe, environmentally compliant fuel storage facilities.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, further deterioration of these aging tanks will increase the risk of significant fuel leaks into this ecologically sensitive site.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: Replacement of existing fuel facilities is the only feasible alternative. The Defense Logistics Agency certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.</p>				

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>	
3. Installation and Location: <b>FLEET AND INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER, SAN DIEGO (FISC SD), POINT LOMA, CALIFORNIA</b>			4. Project Title <b>REPLACE FUEL STORAGE FACILITIES, INCREMENT #3</b>		
5. Program Element <b>0702976S</b>		6. Category Code <b>411</b>	7. Project Number <b>DESC0704</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>Appropriations 20,000</b>	
12. Supplemental Data:					
A. Estimated Design Data:					
1. Status					
(a) Date Design Started:				12/04	
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):				No	
(c) Percent Completed as of February 2010:				100	
(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:				03/06	
(e) Date Design Complete:				10/07	
(f) Type of Design Contract:				D/B/B	
2. Basis					
(a) Standard or Definitive Design:				No	
(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:				N/A	
3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)					
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications				3,600	
(b) All Other Design Costs				2,400	
(c) Total				6,000	
(d) Contract				4,800	
(e) In-House				1,200	
4. Contract Award				09/08	
5. Construction Start				10/08	
6. Construction Completion				09/13	
B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: Shown previously on FY 2008 DD 1391 project request.					
C. Incremental Funding Profile:					
Increment	FY	Authorization (\$000)	Auth of Appropriation (\$000)	Appropriation (\$000)	
1	2008	140,000	55,700	55,700	
2	2010	55,000	92,300	92,300	
3	2011	0	20,000	20,000	
4	2012	0	27,000	27,000	

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

<b>1. Component</b> DEFENSE (DLA)	<b>FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM</b>					<b>2. Date</b> FEBRUARY 2010				
<b>3. Installation And Location</b> NAVAL BASE VENTURA COUNTY, POINT MUGU, CALIFORNIA				<b>4. Command</b> DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY				<b>5. Area Construction Cost Index</b> 1.19		
<b>6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH</b> Tenant of U.S.Navy  a. AS OF b. END FY	PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		TOTAL	
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	V
<b>7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)</b>										
A. TOTAL ACREAGE										
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF										
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY										
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM										3,100
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM										
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS										
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY										
H. GRAND TOTAL										3,100
<b>8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:</b>										
<u>CATEGORY</u> <u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT</u> <u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>				<u>COST</u> <u>(\$000)</u>	<u>DESIGN</u> <u>START</u>	<u>STATUS</u> <u>COMPLETE</u>		
121	DESC10S4	Aircraft Direct Fueling Station				3,100	03/08	09/10		
<b>9. FUTURE PROJECTS:</b>										
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM										
<u>CATEGORY</u> <u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>					<u>COST</u> <u>(\$000)</u>				
	None									
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS										
<u>CATEGORY</u> <u>CODE</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>					<u>COST</u> <u>(\$000)</u>				
	None									
<b>10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION:</b>										
These fuel facilities provide essential storage and distribution systems to support the mission of assigned units and transient aircraft at Naval Base Ventura County, Point Mugu, California.										
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for fuel facilities at this location is \$4.0 million.										
<b>11. OUTSTANDING POLLTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:</b>										
A. AIR POLLUTION						0				
B. WATER POLLUTION						0				
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH						0				

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. Date FEBRUARY 2010
----------------------------------	--	--------------------------

3. Installation and Location NAVAL BASE VENTURA COUNTY (NBVC), POINT MUGU, CALIFORNIA	4. Project Title AIRCRAFT DIRECT FUELING STATION
--	---

5. Program Element 0701111S	6. Category Code 121	7. Project Number DESC10S4	8. Project Cost (\$000) 3,100
--------------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------------------

**9. COST ESTIMATES**

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES .....	-	-	-	1,870
AIRCRAFT DIRECT FUELING STATIONS & REFURBISHMENT	LS	-	-	(460)
FUEL DISTRIBUTION PIPING & UNLOAD FACILITY .....	LS	-	-	(765)
CONTAINMENT AND PRODUCT RECOVERY SYSTEM .....	LS	-	-	(645)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES.....	-	-	-	810
MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL UTILITIES.....	LS	-	-	(600)
SITE PREPARATION & CIVIL UTILITIES .....	LS	-	-	(210)
SUBTOTAL .....	-	-	-	2,680
CONTINGENCY(5%).....	-	-	-	<u>134</u>
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST .....	-	-	-	2,814
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (5.7%)	-	-	-	160
DESIGN FOR DESIGN-BUILD (4% OF SUBTOTAL).....	-	-	-	<u>107</u>
TOTAL REQUEST .....	-	-	-	3,081
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) .....	-	-	-	3,100

10. **Description of Proposed Construction:** Construct a two-position aircraft direct fueling station (ADFS) from salvaged serviceable ADFS components on base. System consists of three 8,000-gallon fuel storage tanks, pumps, filters, controls, and fixed pantographs. Provide truck unload facility; fuel spill containment systems on apron; oil-water separator; concrete containment vault for tanks; and civil, mechanical, and electrical utilities. Refurbish Government-furnished equipment (GFE) as needed. Restripe affected airfield pavement.

11. **REQUIREMENT:** 2 Stations      **ADEQUATE:** 0 Station      **SUBSTANDARD:** 0 Station

**PROJECT:** Construct a two-position aircraft direct fueling station. (C)

**REQUIREMENT:** There is a need to provide ADFS capability at NBVC, Point Mugu, to train four E-2C squadrons in hot-pit refueling and Field Carrier Landing Practice (FCLP) prior to the squadrons' deployment aboard aircraft carriers. NBVC, Point Mugu, is the only naval air station in the Pacific Fleet (PACFLT) without a fixed ADFS for this training. Point Mugu is the home base of all PACFLT E-2C, early airborne warning aircraft.

**CURRENT SITUATION:** Currently, NBVC, Point Mugu, is using an expedient hot refueling system consisting of a portable pantograph connected to a refueler truck. This temporary system does not provide the level of fire safety that a fixed ADFS would provide as required by naval standards.



1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. Date FEBRUARY 2010
-------------------------------	--	--------------------------

3. Installation and Location: NAVAL BASE VENTURA COUNTY (NBVC), POINT MUGU, CALIFORNIA	4. Project Title AIRCRAFT DIRECT FUELING STATION
---	---

5. Program Element 0701111S	6. Category Code 121	7. Project Number DESC10S4	8. Project Cost (\$000) 3,100
--------------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------------------

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, NBVC, Point Mugu, will continue to operate an expedient ADFS at high risk to personnel and aircraft safety. Salvaged assets, available for reuse, will deteriorate in open storage until they become unserviceable.

ADDITIONAL: New construction is the only feasible alternative to meet Navy standards for a fixed ADFS at this base. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Defense Logistics Agency certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components.

**12. Supplemental Data:**

**A. Estimated Design Data:**

1. Status

- (a) Date Design Started: 03/08
- (b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No): No
- (c) Percent Completed as of February 2010: 90
- (d) Date 35 Percent Completed: 08/08
- (e) Date Design Complete: 09/10
- (f) Type of Design Contract: D/B

2. Basis

- (a) Standard or Definitive Design: No
- (b) Date Design was Most Recently Used: N/A

3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)

- (a) Production of Plans and Specifications (RFP Prep) 108
- (b) All Other Design Costs 107
- (c) Total 215
- (d) Contract 170
- (e) In-House 45

- 4. Contract Award 01/11
- 5. Construction Start 02/11
- 6. Construction Completion 02/12

**B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations:**

ADFS - salvaged Government Furnished Equipment (GFE)

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM					2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>				
3. Installation And Location <b>HUNTER ARMY AIRFIELD, GEORGIA</b>				4. Command <b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>			5. Area Construction Cost Index <b>0.91</b>				
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		TOTAL	
Tenant of US Army		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
a. AS OF											
b. END FY											
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
A. TOTAL ACREAGE											
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF											
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY											
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM											
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY											
H. GRAND TOTAL											
3,500											
2,400											
5,900											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:											
CATEGORY	PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE					COST	DESIGN	STATUS		
CODE	NUMBER						(\$000)	START	COMPLETE		
126	DESC11S4	Fuel Unload Facility					2,400	06/09	07/10		
9. FUTURE PROJECTS:											
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE					COST					
CODE						(\$000)					
	None										
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE					COST					
CODE						(\$000)					
	None										
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION:											
These fuel facilities provide essential storage and distribution systems to support the mission of assigned units and transient aircraft at Hunter Army Airfield, Georgia.											
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for fuel facilities at this location is \$1.3 million.											
11. OUTSTANDING POLLTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:											
A. AIR POLLUTION											
0											
B. WATER POLLUTION											
0											
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH											
0											

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA	2. Date FEBRUARY 2010
-------------------------------	--	--------------------------

3. Installation and Location HUNTER ARMY AIRFIELD, GEORGIA	4. Project Title FUEL UNLOAD FACILITY
---	--

5. Program Element 0701111S	6. Category Code 126	7. Project Number DESC11S4	8. Project Cost (\$000) 2,400
--------------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------------------

**9. COST ESTIMATES**

Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES .....	-	-	-	1,200
TRUCK UNLOAD FACILITY WITH CANOPY (2 STATIONS) ...	LS	-	-	(1,200)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES .....	-	-	-	875
SITE PREPARATION/IMPROVEMENTS .....	LS	-	-	(150)
CIVIL & MECHANICAL UTILITIES .....	LS	-	-	(175)
ELECTRICAL UTILITIES .....	LS	-	-	(550)
SUBTOTAL .....	-	-	-	2,075
CONTINGENCY(5%) .....	-	-	-	<u>104</u>
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST .....	-	-	-	2,179
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD(SIOH) (5.7%) ....	-	-	-	124
DESIGN FOR DESIGN-BUILD (4% OF SUBTOTAL) .....	-	-	-	<u>83</u>
TOTAL REQUEST .....	-	-	-	2,386
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) .....	-	-	-	2,400

**10. Description of Proposed Construction:** Provide two skid-mounted fuel truck unload assemblies, including 600 gallon-per-minute (GPM) pumps, filter/separators, canopy to include task lighting, and carbon steel piping and valves. Work includes emergency generator and emergency eyewash and shower. Associated site work includes relocating 8-inch water line and providing new fire hydrant, paving, curbs, containment, and drainage for each station.

**11.Requirement: 4 unload stations ADEQUATE: 2 EA SUBSTANDARD: 0 EA**

PROJECT: Construct two commercial fuel truck unload stations. (C)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to provide two additional fuel truck unload stations to improve fuel receipt capability. These two stations will allow the airfield to receive its daily fuel requirement in an eight-hour period, ensuring an uninterrupted fuel supply during an emergency. The proposed project provides standard-design truck unload assemblies with required impermeable containment surfaces, controlled storm drainage structures, and emergency generator. Hunter Army Airfield supports the deployment of forces from Fort Stewart. It also supports Coast Guard elements and transient aircraft of the U.S. Transportation Command.

CURRENT SITUATION: Additional means of delivering fuel to the flightline, beyond the current capability, is required to provide flexibility under various operational scenarios.

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>
3. Installation and Location: <b>HUNTER ARMY AIRFIELD, GEORGIA</b>			4. Project Title <b>FUEL UNLOAD FACILITY</b>	
5. Program Element <b>0701111S</b>	6. Category Code <b>126</b>	7. Project Number <b>DESC11S4</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>2,400</b>	
<p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, operators will lack the flexibility to deliver fuel to the flightline by various means.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: Construction of additional truck unload stations is the only feasible alternative to mitigate fuel resupply risks. The Defense Logistics Agency certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.</p>				
<p>12. Supplemental Data:</p> <p>A. Estimated Design Data:</p> <p>1. Status</p> <p>(a) Date Design Started: 06/09</p> <p>(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No): No</p> <p>(c) Percent Completed as of February 2010: 35</p> <p>(d) Date 35 Percent Completed: 07/09</p> <p>(e) Date Design Complete: 07/10</p> <p>(f) Type of Design Contract: D/B</p> <p>2. Basis</p> <p>(a) Standard or Definitive Design: No</p> <p>(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used: N/A</p> <p>3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)</p> <p>(a) Production of Plans and Specifications (RFP Prep) 100</p> <p>(b) All Other Design Costs 25</p> <p>(c) Total 125</p> <p>(d) Contract 83</p> <p>(e) In-House 42</p> <p>4. Contract Award 01/11</p> <p>5. Construction Start 02/11</p> <p>6. Construction Completion 02/12</p> <p>B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations:</p> <p>None</p>				
Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534				

<b>1. Component</b> <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>	<b>FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM</b>	<b>2. Date</b> <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>																													
<b>3. Installation And Location</b> <b>HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HI</b>	<b>4. Command</b> <b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>	<b>5. Area Construction Cost Index</b> <b>2.16</b>																													
<b>6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH</b> Tenant of USAF a. AS OF b. END FY	<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th colspan="3">PERMANENT</th> <th colspan="3">STUDENTS</th> <th colspan="3">SUPPORTED</th> <th rowspan="2">TOTAL</th> </tr> <tr> <th>OFF</th><th>ENL</th><th>CIV</th> <th>OFF</th><th>ENL</th><th>CIV</th> <th>OFF</th><th>ENL</th><th>CIV</th> </tr> <tr> <td> </td><td> </td><td> </td> <td> </td><td> </td><td> </td> <td> </td><td> </td><td> </td> <td> </td> </tr> </table>	PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV											
PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL																						
OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV																							
<b>7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)</b>																															
A. TOTAL ACREAGE																															
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF																															
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY										26,000																					
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM										8,500																					
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM																															
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS																															
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY																															
H. GRAND TOTAL										34,500																					
<b>8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:</b>																															
CATEGORY <u>CODE</u>	PROJECT <u>NUMBER</u>	PROJECT TITLE	COST <u>(\$000)</u>	DESIGN <u>START</u>	STATUS <u>COMPLETE</u>																										
124	DESC1190	Alter Fuel Storage Tanks	8,500	01/07	09/07																										
<b>9. FUTURE PROJECTS:</b>																															
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM																															
CATEGORY <u>CODE</u>	PROJECT <u>NUMBER</u>	PROJECT TITLE				COST <u>(\$000)</u>																									
		None																													
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS																															
CATEGORY <u>CODE</u>	PROJECT <u>NUMBER</u>	PROJECT TITLE				COST <u>(\$000)</u>																									
		None																													
<b>10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION:</b>																															
<p>These fuel facilities provide essential storage and distribution systems to support the missions of assigned units at Hickam Air Force Base and other contingency operations.</p> <p>Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for fuel facilities at this location is \$800,000.</p>																															
<b>11. OUTSTANDING POLLTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:</b>																															
A. AIR POLLUTION										0																					
B. WATER POLLUTION										0																					
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH										0																					

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>	
3. Installation and Location <b>HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII</b>			4. Project Title <b>ALTER FUEL STORAGE TANKS</b>		
5. Program Element <b>0702976S</b>	6. Category Code <b>124</b>	7. Project Number <b>DESC1190</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>8,500</b>		
<b>9. COST ESTIMATES</b>					
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)	
PRIMARY FACILITIES .....	-	-	-	7,100	
MODIFY EXISTING FUEL TANKS & CONTAINMENT DIKES .....	LS	-	-	(7,100)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES .....	-	-	-	490	
EMERGENCY GENERATOR ENCLOSURE .....	LS	-	-	(490)	
SUBTOTAL .....	-	-	-	7,590	
CONTINGENCY(5%) .....	-	-	-	<u>380</u>	
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST .....	-	-	-	7,970	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD(SIOH)(6.5%)	-	-	-	<u>518</u>	
TOTAL REQUEST .....	-	-	-	8,488	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) .....	-	-	-	8,500	
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Upgrade two existing 8,745-kiloliter (kL) (55,000-barrel) operating tanks by providing additional level alarms, piping, service platforms, stairs, honeycombed floating pans, and fuel recovery systems. Upgrade the tanks' containment dikes and liners to meet current regulatory requirements. Work includes providing a new generator building.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 17,490 kL                      ADEQUATE: 0 kL                      SUBSTANDARD 17,490 kL					
PROJECT: Upgrade operating tanks to meet Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) and regulatory requirements. (C)					
REQUIREMENT: There is a need to provide adequate operating tanks in support of a hydrant fuel system. This project would correct deficiencies noted during inspections conducted in accordance with American Petroleum Institute (API) guidelines and DoD Unified Facilities Criteria for fuel storage tanks. The proposed improvements would provide safeguards to prevent accidentally overfilling these tanks, contain spills and leaks if they occurred, improve fire safety, and allow accessibility to inspect and maintain these facilities. The generator building will provide weather protection to an existing generator to mitigate the corrosive effects of Hawaii's climate.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The existing serviceable tanks lack certain standard features to make them compliant with current API and UFC requirements for jet fuel storage and to safeguard the environment. The proposed work was originally part of the FY 2008 DLA					

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>	
3. Installation and Location: <b>HICKAM AIR FORCE BASE, HAWAII</b>			4. Project Title <b>ALTER FUEL STORAGE TANKS</b>		
5. Program Element <b>0702976S</b>	6. Category Code <b>124</b>	7. Project Number <b>DESC1190</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>8,500</b>		
MILCON project to construct a hydrant fuel system at this base, but was deleted from the construction contract due to affordability constraints.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, these fuel storage tanks will continue to lack essential operating and environmental safeguards to provide reliable long-term service to the hydrant fuel systems they support.					
ADDITIONAL: An analysis considered the status quo versus alteration of the existing tanks and concluded that alteration of the existing tanks was the only feasible alternative to accomplish the refueling mission. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Defense Logistics Agency certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components					
12. Supplemental Data:					
A. Estimated Design Data:					
1. Status					
(a) Date Design Started:					01/07
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):					No
(c) Per cent Completed as of February 2010:					100
(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:					07/07
(e) Date Design Complete:					09/07
(f) Type of Design Contract:					D/B/B
2. Basis					
(a) Standard or Definitive Design:					No
(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:					N/A
3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)					
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications					360
(b) All Other Design Costs					240
(c) Total					600
(d) Contract					480
(e) In-House					120
4. Contract Award					01/11
5. Construction Start					02/11
6. Construction Completion					02/12
B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None					

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM						2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>			
3. Installation And Location <b>MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE, IDAHO</b>			4. Command <b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>				5. Area Construction Cost Index <b>1.05</b>				
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
Tenant of USAF		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
a. AS OF											
b. END FY											
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
A. TOTAL ACREAGE											
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF											
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY											
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM											27,500
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY											
H. GRAND TOTAL											27,500
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:											
CATEGORY	PROJECT						COST	DESIGN	STATUS		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>					<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>		
411	DESC1113	Replace Fuel Storage Tanks					27,500	04/08	07/10		
9. FUTURE PROJECTS:											
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
CATEGORY	PROJECT										COST
<u>CODE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>									<u>(\$000)</u>
		None									
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
CATEGORY	PROJECT										COST
<u>CODE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>									<u>(\$000)</u>
		None									
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION:											
These fuel facilities provide essential storage and distribution systems to support the missions of assigned units at Mountain Home Air Force Base and other contingency operations.											
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for fuel facilities at this location is \$1.9 million.											
11. OUTSTANDING POLLTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:											
A. AIR POLLUTION											0
B. WATER POLLUTION											0
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH											0



<b>1. Component</b> DEFENSE (DLA)		<b>FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>			<b>2. Date</b> FEBRUARY 2010	
<b>3. Installation and Location</b> MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE, IDAHO			<b>4. Project Title</b> REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS			
<b>5. Program Element</b> 0702976S	<b>6. Category Code</b> 411	<b>7. Project Number</b> DESC1113	<b>8. Project Cost (\$000)</b> 27,500			
<b>9. COST ESTIMATES</b>						
Item		U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)	
PRIMARY FACILITIES .....		-	-	-	22,050	
BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKS (12,720 kL; 80,000 BL) .		LS	-	-	(7,645)	
OPERATING STORAGE TANKS (3,180 kL; 20,000 BL) ..		LS	-	-	(2,055)	
PUMPHOUSE .....		LS	-	-	(2,410)	
SECONDARY CONTAINMENT DIKE .....		LS	-	-	(5,155)	
RECEIPT/ISSUE PIPING .....		LS	-	-	(4,785)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES .....		LS	-	-	2,705	
SITE PREPARATION AND IMPROVEMENTS .....		LS	-	-	(1,025)	
STORM DRAINAGE .....		LS	-	-	(850)	
DEMOLITION .....		LS	-	-	(830)	
SUBTOTAL .....		-	-	-	24,755	
CONTINGENCY(5%) .....		-	-	-	<u>1,238</u>	
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST .....		-	-	-	25,993	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (5.7%).		-	-	-	<u>1,482</u>	
TOTAL REQUEST .....		-	-	-	27,475	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) .....		-	-	-	27,500	
EQUIPMENT FUNDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) ..		-	-	-	(135)	
<b>10. Description of Proposed Construction:</b> Construct two 6,360-kiloliter (kL) (40,000-barrel)(BL) bulk fuel storage tanks and two 1,590-kL (10,000-BL) operating tanks. Construct a 76 liter-per-second (1,200 gallon-per-minute) pumphouse. Work also includes construction of secondary containment dikes, piping, automatic tank gauging, storm drainage, site improvements, and demolition of two existing 5,724-kL (36,000-BL) cut-and-cover storage tanks.						
<b>11. REQUIREMENT:</b> 15,900 kL                      ADEQUATE: 0 kL                      SUBSTANDARD: 11,448 kL						
PROJECT: Replace deteriorated fuel storage tanks with new facilities. (C)						
REQUIREMENT: There is a need to replace deteriorated fuel storage tanks, built in 1954, before these tanks fail. Replacement of these tanks is needed to prevent further environmental contamination of soil and groundwater under these tanks. If the tanks fail, the only alternate fuel storage facilities on base are three operating tanks, inadequate to accomplish Mountain Home's training, deployment, and homeland defense missions.						
CURRENT SITUATION: The existing cut-and-cover fuel storage tanks have deteriorated to a point of imminent service failure due to corrosion, lack of cathodic protection, and faulty construction. Originally, the installation had three identical 5,724-kL bulk storage tanks. One of these tanks leaked in 2001 and was subsequently demolished.						

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>	<b>FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>	2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>
--------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------

3. Installation and Location: <b>MOUNTAIN HOME AIR FORCE BASE, IDAHO</b>	4. Project Title <b>REPLACE FUEL STORAGE TANKS</b>
---	---

5. Program Element <b>0702976S</b>	6. Category Code <b>411</b>	7. Project Number <b>DESC1113</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>27,500</b>
---------------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--

Another tank leaked in 2009 and is out of service pending evaluation of the cause of the leak and feasibility of repairs. Consequently, the base is relying on the last remaining storage tank to sustain operations until this project is completed.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, further deterioration of these aging tanks will increase, and significant fuel leaks at the site will continue. Voluntary or regulator-enforced closure of these tanks will jeopardize fuel storage capability at this site.

ADDITIONAL: Replacement of existing fuel facilities is the only feasible alternative. The Defense Logistics Agency certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

**12. Supplemental Data:**

**A. Estimated Design Data:**

1. Status
  - (a) Date Design Started: 04/08
  - (b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No): No
  - (c) Percent Completed as of February 2010: 35%
  - (d) Date 35 Percent Completed: 06/09
  - (e) Date Design Complete: 07/10
  - (f) Type of Design Contract: Design-Bid-Build
2. Basis
  - (a) Standard or Definitive Design: No
  - (b) Date Design was Most Recently Used: N/A
3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)
  - (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 970
  - (b) All Other Design Costs 650
  - (c) Total 1,620
  - (d) Contract 1,300
  - (e) In-House 320
4. Contract Award 01/11
5. Construction Start 02/11
6. Construction Completion 02/13

**B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations:**

<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>APPROPRIATION</u>	<u>FISCAL YEAR REQUIRED</u>	<u>AMOUNT(\$000)</u>
Automatic Tank Gauging	DWCF	2011	135

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

<b>1. Component</b> DEFENSE (DLA)	<b>FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM</b>						<b>2. Date</b>  FEBRUARY 2010			
<b>3. Installation And Location</b>  ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND				<b>4. Command</b>  DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY			<b>5. Area Construction Cost Index</b>  1.05			
<b>6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH</b> Tenant of USAF a. AS OF b. END FY	PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
<b>7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)</b>										
A. TOTAL ACREAGE										
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF										
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY										
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM										14,000
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM										
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS										
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY										
H. GRAND TOTAL										14,000
<b>8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:</b>										
<u>CATEGORY</u> CODE	<u>PROJECT</u> NUMBER	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>				<u>COST</u> (\$000)	<u>DESIGN</u> START	<u>STATUS</u> COMPLETE		
411	DESC1003	Replace Fuel Storage and Distribution Facilities				14,000	12/08	07/10		
<b>9. FUTURE PROJECTS:</b>										
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM										
<u>CATEGORY</u> CODE	<u>PROJECT</u> NUMBER	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>				<u>COST</u> (\$000)				
										None
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS										
<u>CATEGORY</u> CODE	<u>PROJECT</u> NUMBER	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>				<u>COST</u> (\$000)				
										None
<b>10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION:</b>										
These fuel facilities provide essential storage and distribution systems to support the missions of assigned units at Andrews Air Force Base and other contingency operations.										
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for facilities at this location is \$400,000.										
<b>11. OUTSTANDING POLLTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:</b>										
A. AIR POLLUTION										0
B. WATER POLLUTION										0
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH										0

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>	<b>FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>	2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>
--------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------

3. Installation and Location <b>ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND</b>	4. Project Title <b>REPLACE FUEL STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES</b>
---	---

5. Program Element <b>0702976S</b>	6. Category Code <b>411</b>	7. Project Number <b>DESC1003</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>14,000</b>
---------------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--

9. COST ESTIMATES				
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES .....	-	-	-	11,245
TRANSFER PIPELINE .....	LS	-	-	(6,400)
FUEL STORAGE TANK (1,590 kL)(10,000 BARRELS) ....	LS	-	-	(2,045)
PUMPHOUSE .....	LS	-	-	(2,800)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES .....	-	-	-	1,355
SITE PREPARATION AND IMPROVEMENTS .....	LS	-	-	(955)
SITE UTILITIES .....	LS	-	-	(400)
SUBTOTAL .....	-	-	-	12,600
CONTINGENCY(5%) .....	-	-	-	<u>630</u>
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST .....	-	-	-	13,230
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD(SIOH) (5.7%) ...	-	-	-	<u>754</u>
TOTAL REQUEST .....	-	-	-	13,984
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) .....	-	-	-	14,000
EQUIPMENT FUNDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) ...	-	-	-	(735)

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 1,590-kiloliter (kL) (10,000-barrel) bulk fuel storage tank and 114 liter-per-second (1,800 gallon-per minute) pumphouse. Replace the existing 6-inch fuel transfer pipeline with a new 8-inch line. The work includes secondary containment, filter separators, pig launcher/receiver, high/low point drains, leak detection system, automatic tank gauging, utilities, site preparation and improvements, and associated supporting facilities. Demolish existing pumphouse and decommission in place the existing transfer pipeline per regulatory criteria.

11. REQUIREMENT: 1,590 kL                      ADEQUATE: 0 kL                      SUBSTANDARD: 0 kL

PROJECT: Construct bulk fuel storage tank, pumphouse, and upgraded transfer line to meet fuel mission requirements. (C)

REQUIREMENTS: There is a need to provide secured fuel storage at this installation. The proposed bulk fuel storage tank will satisfy this requirement. A new pumphouse and upgraded fuel transfer pipeline will replace failing, aging facilities that cannot adequately meet the flow rate demands of three modern hydrant fuel systems supported by this bulk storage terminal. The new underground pipeline will safeguard the environment by including cathodic protection, leak detection, and pigging capability for internal pipeline cleaning and inspections.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing pumphouse, built in 1950, and its components are failing due to age and corrosion. Differential ground settlement has affected the drains and floors causing unsafe conditions. In addition, this facility lacks safety and environmental protection features such as product recovery tanks, high/low-point drains, and secondary containment. The undersized pipeline, also more than 50 years

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>	<b>FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>		2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>
3. Installation and Location: <b>ANDREWS AIR FORCE BASE, MARYLAND</b>		4. Project Title <b>REPLACE FUEL STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES</b>	
5. Program Element <b>0702976S</b>	6. Category Code <b>411</b>	7. Project Number <b>DESC1003</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>14,000</b>

old, suffers from severe corrosion due to a lack of cathodic protection systems.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, fueling operations at this installation would be in jeopardy of interruptions due to potential pumphouse or pipeline failures. Leakage of the underground pipeline would have a significant environmental impact.

ADDITIONAL: An analysis of the status quo versus new construction concluded that replacement of existing facilities is the only feasible alternative. The Defense Logistics Agency certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.

**12. Supplemental Data:**

**A. Estimated Design Data:**

1. Status

(a) Date Design Started:	12/08
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):	Yes
(c) Percent Completed as of February 2010:	35%
(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:	06/09
(e) Date Design Complete:	07/10
(f) Type of Design Contract:	Design-Bid-Build

2. Basis

(a) Standard or Definitive Design:	No
(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:	N/A

3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)

(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	600
(b) All Other Design Costs	380
(c) Total	980
(d) Contract	780
(e) In-House	200

4. Contract Award	01/11
5. Construction Start	02/11
6. Construction Completion	08/12

**B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations:**

<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>APPROPRIATION</u>	<u>FISCAL YEAR REQUIRED</u>	<u>AMOUNT(\$000)</u>
Automatic Tank Gauging	DWCF	2011	275
Leak Detection System	DWCF	2011	460

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

<b>1. Component</b> DEFENSE (DLA)	<b>FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM</b>						<b>2. Date</b> FEBRUARY 2010		
<b>3. Installation And Location</b>  DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER COLUMBUS, OHIO				<b>4. Command</b>  DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY			<b>5. Area Construction Cost Index</b>  0.93		
<b>6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH</b>									
Army Installation									
a. AS OF									
b. END FY									
PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
<b>7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)</b>									
A. TOTAL ACREAGE									
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF									
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY									
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM									
7,400									
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM									
5,600									
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS									
10,000									
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY									
H. GRAND TOTAL									
23,000									
<b>8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:</b>									
<u>CATEGORY</u> CODE	<u>PROJECT</u> <u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>				<u>COST</u> <u>(\$000)</u>	<u>DESIGN</u> <u>START</u>	<u>STATUS</u> <u>COMPLETE</u>	
730	DSCC0802	Replace Public Safety Facility				7,400	02/07	09/10	
<b>9. FUTURE PROJECTS:</b>									
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM									
<u>CATEGORY</u> CODE	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>					<u>COST</u> <u>(\$000)</u>			
880	Security Enhancements (FY 12)					5,600			
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS									
<u>CATEGORY</u> CODE	<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>					<u>COST</u> <u>(\$000)</u>			
742	Replace Physical Fitness Facility (FY 14)					10,000			
<b>10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION:</b>									
The Defense Supply Center Columbus (DSCC) organizes, directs, and accomplishes the management of supplies in assigned Federal groups and provides supply support of decentralized and non-cataloged items to the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marines. DSCC also supports tenant activities on the installation including the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) and other Department of Defense tenants.									
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for facilities at this location is \$37.4 million.									
<b>11. OUTSTANDING POLLTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:</b>									
A. AIR POLLUTION									0
B. WATER POLLUTION									0
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH									0

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>	<b>FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>		2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>
3. Installation and Location <b>DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER COLUMBUS, OHIO</b>		4. Project Title <b>REPLACE PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY</b>	
5. Program Element <b>0702976S</b>	6. Category Code <b>730</b>	7. Project Number <b>DSCC0802</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>7,400</b>

9. COST ESTIMATES				
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES .....	-	-	-	5,050
PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY (16,200 SF) .....	SM	1,505	3,229	(4,860)
COVERED VEHICLE PARKING .....	LS	-	-	(190)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES .....	-	-	-	1,610
SITE PREPARATION AND IMPROVEMENTS .....	LS	-	-	(340)
DEMOLITION .....	LS	-	-	(250)
MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL UTILITIES .....	LS	-	-	(630)
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION.....	LS	-	-	(390)
SUBTOTAL .....	-	-	-	6,660
CONTINGENCY(5%) .....	-	-	-	<u>333</u>
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST .....	-	-	-	6,993
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (5.7%) .	-	-	-	<u>399</u>
TOTAL REQUEST .....	-	-	-	7,392
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) .....	-	-	-	7,400

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a public safety facility to consolidate the offices of Public Safety and Environmental, Safety & Occupational Health (ESOH). Construction includes administrative offices, training and conference space, secure lobby, arms room, arms cleaning area, evidence storage room, interview rooms with insulated walls, equipment storage space, holding area, day room for overnight duty officers, exercise room, and other support spaces. Provide covered parking area for seven public safety vehicles and drives, sidewalks, and other improvements to site new building. Demolish existing 1,059 square-meter (11,400 square-foot) facility and restore site. Design facility to meet Americans with Disabilities Act and DoD Minimum Antiterrorism (AT/FP) Standard for Buildings.

11. REQUIREMENT: 1,505 SM                      ADEQUATE: 0 SM                      SUBSTANDARD: 1,059 SM

PROJECT: Construct a consolidated Public Safety and Environmental Safety & Occupational Health facility. (C)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to replace an inadequate, inefficient facility, built in 1943 as a cafeteria and converted in 1960 for administrative use. A modern facility with adequate space for interview rooms and a personnel holding area is required to perform the installation's public safety functions. This facility will also allow the ESOH office to consolidate its functions and file storage requirements, which are now dispersed in multiple locations. This facility will accommodate up to 81 employees.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing 65-year-old facility lacks the space and physical layout to perform public safety operations adequately. Its layout results in wasted space that cannot accommodate security personnel required to be on duty for extended

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>	
3. Installation and Location: <b>DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER COLUMBUS, OHIO</b>			4. Project Title <b>REPLACE PUBLIC SAFETY FACILITY</b>		
5. Program Element <b>0702976S</b>	6. Category Code <b>730</b>	7. Project Number <b>DSCC0802</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>7,400</b>		
<p>periods during elevated force-protection levels. Because of its limited space, the ESOH office must store sensitive medical records and other files in multiple buildings on base.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, both the Public Safety and ESOH offices will continue to operate in inefficient facilities that do not comply with current DoD AT/FP standards.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: An analysis of the status quo versus the construction of a new security facility concluded that new construction is the more cost effective alternative that complies with DoD AT/FP criteria for this mission requirement at DSCC. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Defense Logistics Agency certifies that this facility has been considered for joint use, as applicable, by other components. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components.</p>					
12. Supplemental Data:					
A. Estimated Design Data:					
1. Status					
(a) Date Design Started: 02/07					
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No): No					
(c) Percent Completed as of February 2010: 35					
(d) Date 35 Percent Completed: 06/07					
(e) Date Design Complete: 09/10					
(f) Type of Design Contract: D/B/B					
2. Basis					
(a) Standard or Definitive Design: Yes					
(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used: 01/06					
3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)					
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications 360					
(b) All Other Design Costs 240					
(c) Total 600					
(d) Contract 480					
(e) In-House 120					
4. Contract Award 01/11					
5. Construction Start 02/11					
6. Construction Completion 02/12					
B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None					

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534



1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM						2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>			
3. Installation And Location <b>DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT SUSQUEHANNA (DDSP), NEW CUMBERLAND, PENNSYLVANIA</b>				4. Command <b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>			5. Area Construction Cost Index <b>0.91</b>				
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
Army Installation		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
a. AS OF											
b. END FY											
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
A. TOTAL ACREAGE											
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF											
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY											36,328
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM											96,000
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											40,000
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											56,800
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY											
H. GRAND TOTAL											229,128
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:											
CATEGORY	PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE					COST	DESIGN	STATUS		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>						<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>		
610	DCCX0802	Replace Headquarters Facility					96,000	02/09	09/10		
9. FUTURE PROJECTS:											
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
CATEGORY	PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE					COST				
<u>CODE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>						<u>(\$000)</u>				
441	DCCX1202	Logistics Operations Warehouse					25,000				
872	DCCX1203	Upgrade Access Control Points					12,500				
441	DCCX1204	Enclose Open-Sided Shed					2,500				
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
CATEGORY	PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE					COST				
<u>CODE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>						<u>(\$000)</u>				
131	DCCX1301	Replace Communications Building (FY 13)					3,700				
831	DCCX1303	Replace Sewage Treatment Plant (FY 13)					5,000				
841	DCCX1305	Replace Reservoir (FY 13)					3,100				
441	DCCX1501	Replace Bulk Warehouse (1-2 Site)(FY 15)					45,000				
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION:											
<p>Defense Distribution Depot Susquehanna (DDSP) is responsible for receiving, storing, issuing, and shipping Department of Defense-owned commodities to all branches of the Armed Forces, as well as supporting other Federal agencies. Among the commodities are medical materiel; clothing and textiles; subsistence; and industrial, construction, and electronic parts required for maintenance support of Armed Forces equipment. DDSP is the home of the Eastern Distribution Center, a 148,600 square meter (1.6 million square feet) automated materiel processing center that services CONUS and overseas customers.</p> <p>Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for facilities at this location is \$26.9 million.</p>											
11. OUTSTANDING POLLTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:											
A. AIR POLLUTION										0	
B. WATER POLLUTION										0	
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH										0	

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>	
3. Installation and Location <b>DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT SUSQUEHANNA (DDSP), NEW CUMBERLAND, PENNSYLVANIA</b>				4. Project Title <b>REPLACE HEADQUARTERS FACILITY</b>		
5. Program Element <b>0702976S</b>		6. Category Code <b>610</b>	7. Project Number <b>DDCX0802</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>96,000</b>		
<b>9. COST ESTIMATES</b>						
Item		U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)	
PRIMARY FACILITY .....		-	-	-	76,650	
HEADQUARTERS FACILITY (265,000 SF) .....		SM	24,620	2,695	(66,351)	
SUSTAINABLE MATERIALS (@2% OF HQ FAC.) .....		LS	-	-	(1,327)	
LEED GOLD @5% .....		LS	-	-	(3,318)	
ANTITERRORISM/FORCE PROTECTION (ATFP) @2% .....		LS	-	-	(1,327)	
BUILDING COMMISSIONING @2% .....		LS	-	-	(1,327)	
INFORMATION SYSTEMS .....		LS	-	-	(3,000)	
SUPPORTING FACILITIES .....		LS	-	-	9,789	
SITE WORK .....		LS	-	-	(2,900)	
SITE IMPROVEMENTS & DEMOLITION .....		LS	-	-	(2,512)	
SITE UTILITIES .....		LS	-	-	(3,559)	
SUSTAINABLE MATERIALS/LEED/ATFP .....		LS	-	-	(619)	
INFORMATION SYSTEMS SUPPORTING WORK .....		LS	-	-	(62)	
SUPPORT FACILITIES COMMISSIONING .....		LS	-	-	(137)	
SUBTOTAL .....		-	-	-	86,439	
CONTINGENCY (5%) .....		-	-	-	<u>4,322</u>	
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST .....		-	-	-	90,761	
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (5.7%) .....		-	-	-	<u>5,173</u>	
TOTAL REQUEST .....		-	-	-	95,934	
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) .....		-	-	-	96,000	
EQUIPMENT FUNDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD) .....		-	-	-	(10,700)	
<b>10. Description of Proposed Construction:</b> Construct a 24,620 square-meter (SM) (265,000 square-foot) (SF) multi-story office building to accommodate 965 employees of a Primary Level Field Activity command headquarters. Space includes open and private office space, conference rooms, cafeteria, auditorium, video conferencing center, computer center with raised flooring, storage areas for filing systems, and other special-purpose spaces. Supporting facilities include all required utilities, access roads, walks, curbs and gutters, storm drainage management structures, surface parking areas, entry access controls and barriers, intrusion detection systems, and related site improvements. Install special deep foundations. Replace and upgrade the electrical substation transformer and feeders to support building electrical loads. Design facilities to meet Americans with Disabilities Act, Energy Policy Act of 2005, related sustainable design requirements, and DoD minimum antiterrorism standards for buildings. Seek Gold-level registered certification in accordance with Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design - New Construction. Demolish existing headquarters building (60,086 SF), structure in the footprint (8,900 SF), and two temporary modular structures (29,200 SF total). Relocate/restore golf course fairway and greens in the site footprint.						
<b>11. REQUIREMENT:</b> 24,620 SM                      ADEQUATE: 0 SM                      SUBSTANDARD: 8,296 SM						
<b>PROJECT:</b> Replace existing headquarters facility with new headquarters for a major subordinate command. (C)						

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>	<b>FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>		2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>
3. Installation and Location: <b>DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT SUSQUEHANNA (DDSP), NEW CUMBERLAND, PENNSYLVANIA</b>		4. Project Title <b>REPLACE HEADQUARTERS FACILITY</b>	
5. Program Element <b>0702976S</b>	6. Category Code <b>610</b>	7. Project Number <b>DDCX0802</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>96,000</b>

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to provide a consolidated headquarters facility for the Defense Distribution Center (DDC), a DLA major subordinate command, that complies with all modern accessibility, fire and life safety, force protection, and energy conservation requirements. This project replaces an existing 60,086 SF headquarters building, built in 1958, and consolidates an organization now located in nine different locations in six dispersed buildings on the installation.

CURRENT SITUATION: The DDC headquarters staff occupies space in six dispersed, aging buildings on the installation that provide inadequate administrative offices to accommodate the expanding mission of the DDC to manage its worldwide storage and distribution operations. Three of these buildings are located in a secured warehouse depot with restricted entry. One office space, converted from a former post commissary, is in a World War I warehouse programmed for demolition. Other staff organizations are in two temporary, leased modular buildings due to a lack of existing administrative space. Because of this dispersion, DDC must duplicate and sustain facilities, information technology, and custodial services at each of these sites, creating inefficiencies and additional costs. Lack of conference rooms for large meetings requires staff members to drive to other locations on these occasions, reducing productivity. Aging facilities are less energy efficient and more costly to maintain.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, DDC Headquarters will be compelled to operate inefficiently with key staff elements scattered in outlying, inadequate, or temporary facilities, which are scheduled for disposal. Employees will continue to work in cramped, aging facilities. DDC must continue to duplicate building services and equipment for these scattered offices.

ADDITIONAL: An analysis considered the status quo versus new construction and concluded that new construction is the more feasible alternative. The Defense Logistics Agency certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Joint use potential, within the space limitations of the proposed scope, is feasible.

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>	
3. Installation and Location: <b>DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT SUSQUEHANNA (DDSP), NEW CUMBERLAND, PENNSYLVANIA</b>			4. Project Title <b>REPLACE HEADQUARTERS FACILITY</b>		
5. Program Element <b>0702976S</b>	6. Category Code <b>610</b>	7. Project Number <b>DDCX0802</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>96,000</b>		
12. Supplemental Data:					
A. Estimated Design Data:					
1. Status					
(a) Date Design Started:					02/09
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):					No
(c) Percent Completed as of February 2010:					35%
(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:					08/09
(e) Date Design Complete:					09/10
(f) Type of Design Contract:					Design-Bid-Build
2. Basis					
(a) Standard or Definitive Design:					No
(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:					N/A
3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)					
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications					2,900
(b) All Other Design Costs					1,950
(c) Total					4,850
(d) Contract					3,900
(e) In-House					950
4. Contract Award					01/11
5. Construction Start					02/11
6. Construction Completion					02/14
B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations:					
<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>APPROPRIATION</u>	<u>FISCAL YEAR</u>	<u>REQUIRED</u>	<u>AMOUNT(\$000)</u>	
Prewired Workstations	DWCF	2012		5,000	
Telecommunications Equipment	DWCF	2012		3,000	
Intrusion Detection Systems	DWCF	2012		2,700	

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM						2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>			
3. Installation And Location <b>DEFENSE FUEL SUPPORT POINT, CRANEY ISLAND, VIRGINIA</b>				4. Command <b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>				5. Area Construction Cost Index <b>0.97</b>			
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL
Tenant of USN		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
a. AS OF											
b. END FY											
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
A. TOTAL ACREAGE											
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF											
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY											39,900
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM											58,000
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY											
H. GRAND TOTAL											97,900
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:											
CATEGORY	PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE					COST	DESIGN	STATUS		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>						<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>		
151	DESC0909	Replace Fuel Pier					58,000	02/08	07/10		
9. FUTURE PROJECTS:											
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
CATEGORY	PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE					COST				
<u>CODE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>						<u>(\$000)</u>				
											None
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
CATEGORY	PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE					COST				
<u>CODE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>						<u>(\$000)</u>				
											None
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION:											
The DFSP Craney Island provides essential storage and distribution systems to support the missions of the Navy, Air Force, Coast Guard, and Army.											
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for facilities at this location is \$4.3 million.											
11. OUTSTANDING POLLTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:											
A. AIR POLLUTION										0	
B. WATER POLLUTION										0	
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH										0	

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA			2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>			
3. Installation and Location <b>DEFENSE FUEL SUPPORT POINT, CRANEY ISLAND, VIRGINIA</b>				4. Project Title <b>REPLACE FUEL PIER</b>				
5. Program Element <b>0702976S</b>		6. Category Code <b>151</b>	7. Project Number <b>DESC0909</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>58,000</b>				
<b>9. COST ESTIMATES</b>								
Item					U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES .....					-	-	-	45,200
FUEL PIER .....					LS	-	-	(33,600)
CENTER MOORING DOLPHIN .....					LS	-	-	(1,750)
FUEL PIPING .....					LS	-	-	(4,550)
FENDER SYSTEM .....					LS	-	-	(3,000)
PIER MOORING ACCESSORY EQUIPMENT .....					LS	-	-	(2,300)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES .....					-	-	-	6,995
DEMOLITION .....					LS	-	-	(3,100)
SITE WORK .....					LS	-	-	(2,665)
ELECTRICAL AND MECHANICAL SYSTEMS .....					LS	-	-	(1,230)
SUBTOTAL .....					-	-	-	52,195
CONTINGENCY(5%) .....					-	-	-	<u>2,610</u>
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST .....					-	-	-	54,805
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (5.7%) .....					-	-	-	<u>3,124</u>
TOTAL REQUEST .....					-	-	-	57,929
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED) .....					-	-	-	58,000
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a 3,530-square meter(SM) (38,000-square foot) (SF) fuel pier in two sections and a new center mooring dolphin to replace an existing fuel pier. The piping system will provide jet fuel (JP-5) and marine diesel (F-76) issue and receipt, oily waste receipt, fuel oil reclaimed (FOR) issue, and water pipelines. The work includes access ramps, ship/barge fendering systems, spill containment, lighting, electrical and mechanical control systems, and demolition of the existing pier in phases. Refurbish and reinstall two existing fuel loading arm assemblies (4 arms each). Provide JP-5 piping connection to adjacent pier. Reinforce and reuse two existing mooring dolphins.								
11. REQUIREMENT: 3,530 SM                      ADEQUATE: 0 SM                      SUBSTANDARD: 3,530 SM								
PROJECT: Replace an aging, deteriorated pier with a new pier to meet current fleet requirements. (C)								
REQUIREMENT: There is a need to replace the fuel terminal's deteriorated primary fuel pier with a new pier in the same location, sized to service a variety of modern vessels from large-class oilers to fuel barges and support craft. New concrete pile foundations will support a two-section pier deck, each connected to shore by an access ramp for service vehicles and a piping containment corridor. This split-pier configuration will allow partial fueling operations to continue at the existing pier during phased construction. A new mooring dolphin will be constructed between the two sections, accessed by personnel bridges from the piers. Two existing mooring dolphins at the ends of the pier will be reinforced and reused. Two fuel loading-arm assemblies will be disassembled, refurbished, and reinstalled on the new pier sections.								

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>	
3. Installation and Location: <b>DEFENSE FUEL SUPPORT POINT, CRANEY ISLAND, VIRGINIA</b>			4. Project Title <b>REPLACE FUEL PIER</b>		
5. Program Element <b>0702976S</b>	6. Category Code <b>151</b>	7. Project Number <b>DESC0909</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>58,000</b>		
<p>CURRENT SITUATION: The existing 1,200-foot concrete pier, built in 1942, suffers significant structural corrosion and load-capacity degradation due to its long years of service and exposure to a marine environment. In particular, despite numerous repairs of the structure over the years, corrosion of the concrete-encased steel piles has reduced the load-carrying capacity of this pier to a point where emergency response vehicles must operate from shore, 200 feet away, to avoid overloading the pier supports. Portions of the concrete pile caps and underside of the concrete deck have spalled, allowing reinforcing steel to corrode extensively.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, Craney Island's primary fuel pier will continue deteriorating in its current environment. Reduced loading capacity and safety restrictions will jeopardize fueling support to the fleet and other DoD components at this vital fuel terminal.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: An analysis considered the status quo versus replacement of this pier and concluded that replacement is the only feasible alternative. The Defense Logistics Agency certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.</p>					
<b>12. Supplemental Data:</b>					
<b>A. Estimated Design Data:</b>					
1. Status					
(a) Date Design Started:					02/08
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):					Yes
(c) Percent Completed as of February 2010:					35
(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:					05/09
(e) Date Design Complete:					07/10
(f) Type of Design Contract:					Design-Bid-Build
2. Basis					
(a) Standard or Definitive Design:					No
(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:					N/A
3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)					
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications					2,050
(b) All Other Design Costs					1,350
(c) Total					3,400
(d) Contract					2,700
(e) In-House					700
4. Contract Award					01/11
5. Construction Start					02/11
6. Construction Completion					02/14
<b>B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations:</b>					
None					
Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534					

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>			FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM						2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>		
3. Installation And Location <b>KADENA AIR BASE, OKINAWA, JAPAN</b>			4. Command <b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>						5. Area Construction Cost Index <b>1.37</b>		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH Tenant of USAF a. AS OF b. END FY	PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL	
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV		
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)											
A. TOTAL ACREAGE											
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF											
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY											
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM											
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY											
H. GRAND TOTAL											
3,000											
3,000											
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:											
CATEGORY	PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE					COST	DESIGN	STATUS		
<u>CODE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>						<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>		
126	DESC11S1	Install Fuel Filter/Separators					3,000	02/09	12/09		
9. FUTURE PROJECTS:											
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM											
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE					COST					
<u>CODE</u>						<u>(\$000)</u>					
	None										
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE					COST					
<u>CODE</u>						<u>(\$000)</u>					
	None										
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION:											
These fuel facilities provide essential storage and distribution systems to support the mission of assigned units and transient aircraft at Kadena Air Base, Japan.											
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for fuel facilities at this location is \$2.4 million.											
11. OUTSTANDING POLLTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:											
A. AIR POLLUTION											
0											
B. WATER POLLUTION											
0											
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH											
0											



1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>	
3. Installation and Location <b>KADENA AIR BASE, OKINAWA, JAPAN</b>			4. Project Title <b>INSTALL FUEL FILTERS-SEPARATORS</b>		
5. Program Element <b>0701111S</b>	6. Category Code <b>126</b>	7. Project Number <b>DESC11S1</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>3,000</b>		
<b>9. COST ESTIMATES</b>					
Item		U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES .....		-	-	-	2,150
FILTER/SEPARATORS, RECOVERY TANK, & FUEL LINE .		LS	-	-	(2,150)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES .....		-	-	-	525
CIVIL/STRUCTURAL/ELECTRICAL DEMO AND NEW WORK .		LS	-	-	(525)
SUBTOTAL .....		-	-	-	2,675
CONTINGENCY(5%) .....		-	-	-	<u>134</u>
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST .....		-	-	-	2,809
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.5%)		-	-	-	<u>183</u>
TOTAL REQUEST .....		-	-	-	2,992
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED).....		-	-	-	3,000
Currency Exchange Rate: ¥101.9517/\$					
10. Description of Proposed Construction: Install three 1,200 gallon-per-minute filter/separators, 4,000-gallon product recovery tank, control valves, piping, appurtenances, wiring, and necessary electrical systems. Construct separator foundations, containment slabs, and other related work to provide a complete and usable facility.					
11. REQUIREMENT: 1,200 GPM                      ADEQUATE: 0 GPM                      SUBSTANDARD: 0 GPM					
PROJECT: Install three filter/separators and product recovery tank at the Seido truck fillstand. (C)					
REQUIREMENT: There is a need to provide filtered jet fuel to fillstands used by Air Force refueler trucks to deliver fuel to aircraft. Both Unified Facilities Criteria (UFC) and Air Force Technical Order 42B1 require filter/separators to be installed between fuel storage tanks and fillstands if the piping between these facilities exceeds 300 feet. This fuel terminal fails to comply with this requirement. A 4000-gallon product recovery tank provides essential storage for system thermal and pressure relief flows.					
CURRENT SITUATION: The existing fillstands receive fuel directly from bulk fuel storage tanks without it passing through filters or separators to remove dirt or entrapped water before delivery to aircraft. This condition violates UFC and Air Force technical orders.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, refueler trucks could potentially deliver off-specification fuel to aircraft that could result in loss of lives and aircraft.					

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>	<b>FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA</b>		2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>
3. Installation and Location: <b>KADENA AIR BASE, OKINAWA, JAPAN</b>		4. Project Title <b>INSTALL FUEL FILTERS-SEPARATORS</b>	
5. Program Element <b>0701111S</b>	6. Category Code <b>126</b>	7. Project Number <b>DESC11S1</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>3,000</b>
<p>ADDITIONAL: Installing issue filter/separators is the only feasible alternative. This project was previously considered for funding by sustainment, restoration, and modernization (SRM) sources; however, cost estimates exceeded minor construction ceiling thresholds. This project is not eligible for Host Nation funding since Japanese Facilities Improvement Program (JFIP) programming and implementation instructions state JFIP will not accept projects typically for sustainment, restoration and modernization. The Defense Logistics Agency certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.</p>			
12. Supplemental Data:			
A. Estimated Design Data:			
1. Status			
(a) Date Design Started:		02/09	
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):		No	
(c) Percent Completed as of February 2010:		60	
(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:		02/09	
(e) Date Design Complete:		12/09	
(f) Type of Design Contract:		D/B/B	
2. Basis			
(a) Standard or Definitive Design:		Yes	
(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:		05/09	
3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)			
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications		160	
(b) All Other Design Costs		110	
(c) Total		270	
(d) Contract		215	
(e) In-House		55	
4. Contract Award		01/11	
5. Construction Start		02/11	
6. Construction Completion		02/12	
B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations:			
None			

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM						2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>				
3. Installation And Location <b>MISAWA AIR BASE, JAPAN</b>			4. Command <b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>				5. Area Construction Cost Index <b>1.57</b>					
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL	
Tenant of USAF		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV		
a. AS OF												
b. END FY												
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)												
A. TOTAL ACREAGE												
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF												
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY												
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM											31,000	
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM												
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											6,090	
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY												
H. GRAND TOTAL											37,090	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:												
CATEGORY	PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE					COST	DESIGN	STATUS			
CODE	NUMBER						(\$000)	START	COMPLETE			
121	DESC0503	Hydrant Fuel System					31,000	01/03	09/10			
9. FUTURE PROJECTS:												
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM												
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE						COST					
CODE							(\$000)					
	None											
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS												
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE						COST					
CODE							(\$000)					
121	DESC1322	Repair Hydrant Loop HAS Area (FY 15)					6,090					
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION:												
These fuel facilities provide essential storage and distribution systems to support the mission of assigned units and transient aircraft at Misawa Air Base, Japan.												
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for fuel facilities at this location is \$37.5 million.												
11. OUTSTANDING POLLTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:												
A. AIR POLLUTION											0	
B. WATER POLLUTION											0	
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH											0	



1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>	
3. Installation and Location: <b>MISAWA AIR BASE, JAPAN</b>			4. Project Title <b>HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM</b>		
5. Program Element <b>0701111S</b>	6. Category Code <b>121</b>	7. Project Number <b>DESC0503</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>31,000</b>		
CURRENT SITUATION: The refueling of wide-bodied aircraft at Misawa is accomplished by refueler trucks, typically requiring 5-6 truckloads and up to 4-6 hours per aircraft, versus 1 hour by hydrant operations. This means of refueling overburdens current work force and refueling truck capabilities.					
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, the continued refueling of large aircraft by trucks will jeopardize the safety of personnel operating and maintaining overburdened equipment during high-demand periods.					
ADDITIONAL: This project is ineligible for Japanese Facilities Improvement Program (JFIP) funding because it will add to the offensive operational capability of Misawa Air Base. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Defense Logistics Agency certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.					
12. Supplemental Data:					
A. Estimated Design Data:					
1. Status					
(a) Date Design Started:				01/03	
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):				No	
(c) Percent Completed as of February 2010:				90	
(d) Date 35 Percent Completed:				06/03	
(e) Date Design Complete:				09/10	
(f) Type of Design Contract:				D/B/B	
2. Basis					
(a) Standard or Definitive Design:				Yes	
(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:				04/08	
3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)					
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications				1,130	
(b) All Other Design Costs				750	
(c) Total				1,880	
(d) Contract				200	
(e) In-House				1,680	
4. Contract Award				01/11	
5. Construction Start				02/11	
6. Construction Completion				02/13	
B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations:					
PURPOSE	APPROPRIATION	FISCAL YEAR	AMOUNT (\$000)		
Automatic Tank Gauging	DWCF	2011	130		

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM						2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>				
3. Installation And Location <b>ROYAL AIR FORCE MILDENHALL, UNITED KINGDOM</b>			4. Command <b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>				5. Area Construction Cost Index <b>1.15</b>					
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANENT			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED			TOTAL	
Tenant of USAF		OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV		
a. AS OF												
b. END FY												
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)												
A. TOTAL ACREAGE												
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF												
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY											4,700	
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM											15,900	
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM												
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS											9,900	
G. REMAINING DEFICIENCY												
H. GRAND TOTAL											30,500	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN THIS PROGRAM:												
CATEGORY	PROJECT	PROJECT TITLE					COST	DESIGN	STATUS			
<u>CODE</u>	<u>NUMBER</u>						<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>START</u>	<u>COMPLETE</u>			
121	DESC0905	Replace Hydrant Fuel Distribution System					15,900	02/08	07/10			
9. FUTURE PROJECTS:												
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING PROGRAM												
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE						COST					
<u>CODE</u>							<u>(\$000)</u>					
	None											
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE YEARS												
CATEGORY	PROJECT TITLE						COST					
<u>CODE</u>							<u>(\$000)</u>					
411	Replace Fuel Storage Tank (PSI4) (FY 14)						9,900					
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNCTION:												
These fuel facilities provide essential storage and distribution systems to support the mission of assigned units and transient aircraft at RAF Mildenhall, United Kingdom												
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for fuel facilities at this location is \$4.8 million.												
11. OUTSTANDING POLLTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:												
A. AIR POLLUTION											0	
B. WATER POLLUTION											0	
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH											0	



1. Component <b>DEFENSE (DLA)</b>		FY 2011 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA		2. Date <b>FEBRUARY 2010</b>	
3. Installation and Location: <b>ROYAL AIR FORCE MILDENHALL, UNITED KINGDOM</b>			4. Project Title <b>REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM</b>		
5. Program Element <b>0702976S</b>	6. Category Code <b>121</b>	7. Project Number <b>DESC0905</b>	8. Project Cost (\$000) <b>15,900</b>		
<p>aluminum piping with alkaline in the concrete is causing pitting corrosion of the pipe. An engineering study recommended replacement of this 34-year-old piping system, which has significantly exceeded its 25-year life expectancy.</p> <p>IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, the operators will be forced to refuel wide-bodied aircraft with refueler trucks, which are labor and equipment intensive and exceed the required refueling times for the turnaround of strategic en route aircraft.</p> <p>ADDITIONAL: Construction of a new fuel piping loop is the only feasible solution to deliver fuel to wide-bodied aircraft at the flow rates required. This project is not part of a NATO capability package and is consequently not eligible for NATO Security Investment Program funding at this time. A precautionary prefinancing statement will be filed so, if the project does become eligible in the future, the U.S. may recoup funds from NATO. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Defense Logistics Agency certifies that this facility has been considered for joint use, as applicable, by other components. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components.</p>					
12. Supplemental Data:					
A. Estimated Design Data:					
1. Status					
(a) Date Design Started: 02/08					
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No): Yes					
(c) Percent Completed as of February 2010: 35					
(d) Date 35 Percent Completed: 12/08					
(e) Date Design Complete: 07/10					
(f) Type of Design Contract: D/B					
2. Basis No					
(a) Standard or Definitive Design: N/A					
(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:					
3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)					
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications (RFP Prep) 570					
(b) All Other Design Costs 380					
(c) Total 950					
(d) Contract 850					
(e) In-House 100					
4. Contract Award 01/11					
5. Construction Start 02/11					
6. Construction Completion 08/12					
B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations:					
PURPOSE		APPROPRIATION		FISCAL YEAR	
Leak Detection System		DWCF		2011	
				AMOUNT (\$000)	
				250	

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534