

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

	<u>(\$ in Millions)</u>						
	<u>FY 2001</u>	<u>Price</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FY 2002</u>	<u>Price</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FY 2003</u>
	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
Environmental Restoration	1,310.3	+21.0	-62.2	1,269.1	+19.0	-9.9	1,278.2
Environmental Compliance	1,625.9	+26.1	+6.6	1,658.6	+24.9	+22.2	1,705.7
Environmental Conservation	182.5	+2.9	-21.7	163.7	+2.5	-14.2	152.0
Pollution Prevention	211.8	+3.4	+26.1	241.3	+3.6	+2.6	247.5
Environmental Technology	285.9	+4.6	-64.9	225.6	+3.4	-23.9	205.1
Base Realignment & Closure (BRAC)	<u>793.2</u>	<u>+12.7</u>	<u>-211.8</u>	<u>594.1</u>	<u>+8.9</u>	<u>-83.3</u>	<u>519.7</u>
Total Environmental Program*	4,409.6	+70.7	-327.9	4,152.4	+62.3	-106.5	4,108.2

* Includes environmental funding for all DoD appropriations/funds, not just those funded in the operation and maintenance (O&M) title.

The Department of Defense Environmental Program addresses five overriding and interconnected goals: (1) to support the readiness of U. S. Forces by ensuring access to air, land, and water for training and operations; (2) to improve the quality of life by protecting military personnel and families from environmental, safety, and health hazards; (3) to ensure that weapons systems, logistics, installations, et. al., have greater performance, lower total ownership costs, and minimal health and environmental effects; (4) to serve customers, clients, and stakeholders through public participation and advocacy; and (5) to enhance international security through military-to-military cooperation. To help achieve these goals, the Department established its environmental program around five pillars -- cleanup, compliance, conservation, pollution prevention, and environmental technology. Much of this program is addressed in the Defense Environmental Restoration (or Cleanup) Program Annual Report to Congress and the Defense Environmental Quality (includes Compliance, Conservation, and Pollution Prevention) Annual Report to Congress. The FY 2003 budget request of \$4,108.1 million decreases by \$44.3 million, which includes price growth of \$62.3 million and a net program reduction of \$106.6 million (-2.6 percent) that primarily reflects a discontinuance of one-time FY 2002 congressional adds. Each of the Department's environmental pillars is discussed below.

Environmental Restoration

The Department's Environmental Restoration program focuses on reducing the risks to human health and the environment at active installations and Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS), while ensuring that DoD environmental cleanup policy conforms to existing laws and regulations. The DoD Environmental Restoration appropriations provide for: the identification, investigation, and cleanup of past contamination (prior to 1986) from hazardous substances and wastes; correction of other environmental damage; detection of unexploded ordnance; and the demolition and removal of unsafe buildings, structures, and debris. Until 1994, the Department spent

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

the majority of the resources documenting the magnitude of the cleanup problem on DoD lands, a significant but necessary investment. In 1994, DoD turned the corner, by devoting more resources to actual cleanup than to studies. As a result, the pace of restoration has increased while more sites continue to be cleaned up and closed out. In FY 1996, DoD began a relative risk approach to environmental cleanup. This process enables the Department to prioritize cleanup activities that pose the greatest danger to the health and safety of the environment and public in the context of regulatory agreements. The relative risk process is the key tool used by the Department in the planning, programming, and budgeting of the cleanup program as well as its execution.

Also in FY 1996, the Department developed specific clean-up goals that have been briefed to the Congress, the regulator community, and the public. Accordingly, the Department funds its environmental restoration program to ensure the Military Departments and Defense Agencies meet all legal agreements and ensure compliance with DoD cleanup goals. As required by the Presidential Management Plan and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) FY 2003 budget guidance, the current budget exhibits and justification make explicit links between the cleanup goals and the funded DoD program. As shown in the various Component DERA budget exhibits for FY 2003, Army and Navy cleanup programs are essentially on schedule to meet the Department's cleanup goals; Air Force and DLA are ahead of schedule which is necessary in some cases to meet legal requirements and agreements with states and regulatory agencies; and FUDS is falling behind. The FUDS program is unique in that there are no physical boundaries such as a military installation fence line to establish a finite limit of potential contaminated sites and the number of potential new FUDS sites is boundless. While investigations and analyses have been completed for most potential cleanup sites at our military installations, FUDS is still adding new sites. The FUDS baseline number of high relative risk sites for measuring against the DoD cleanup goals initially contained 224 sites in FY 1998. Since that time, an additional 149 high relative risk sites have been identified for a total of 373 sites. Of the 373 FUDS sites categorized as high relative risk, 126 sites have been removed from the list as of February 2002. Had the number of sites not increased, FUDS would have met the Department's FY 2002 cleanup goal of 50 percent of relative high risk sites. In response to increased FUDS sites, and cleanup costs, the Department increased FUDS resources by about \$20 million per year in the recent program review.

Between FY 2002 and FY 2003, the Department's Defense Environmental Restoration Program increases by \$9.1 million, reflecting price growth of \$19.0 million and programmatic reductions of \$9.9 million (-0.8 percent). The program decrease of \$9.9 million primarily consists of the discontinuance of a one-time congressional increase to the FUDS account in FY 2002 (\$-30.4 million) and an increase in the Department's FY 2003 budget request for FUDS to meet emergent requirements related to unexploded ordnance (\$+18.2 million).

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

Environmental Compliance

The FY 2003 compliance program includes sufficient resources to enable the Department's day-to-day operations to comply with state and local government enforcement of current environmental laws and regulations. Environmental Compliance projects include the replacement and upgrade of waste water treatment plants to comply with Clean Water Act standards, hazardous waste management, testing and remediation of underground storage tanks, and monitoring waste water treatment systems. In FY 2003, the environmental compliance program increases by \$47.1 million. This increase reflects a price growth of \$24.9 million and a programmatic increase of \$22.2 million (0.1 percent) as DoD addresses some wastewater and drinking water projects to protect our people and the surrounding environment. The program increase of \$22.2 million primarily consists of: an increase in Army recurring and Army National Guard project costs at Massachusetts Military Reservation (\$34.4 million) and an increase in Air Force requirements due to more one-time projects (\$+44.9 million). These increases are offset by a reduction in the Department of Navy requirements due to completion of one time projects (\$-52.3 million) and a decrease in the Defense-wide requirement due to a decrease in one-time projects (\$-4.8 million).

Environmental Conservation

The Department of Defense is the steward of nearly 25 million acres of public lands. The DoD has a responsibility to protect, maintain, and enhance the natural and cultural resources found on these lands. Through the conservation program, biological and cultural resources are managed to help support the military mission. Additionally, conservation activities are required by law (e.g., Endangered Species Act, Sikes Act, and National Historic Preservation Act) and must be funded. In FY 2003, the Environmental Conservation funding decreases by \$11.7 million, reflecting a price growth of \$2.5 million and a program decrease of \$14.2 million (+3.7 percent). The program decrease of \$14.2 million primarily consists of decreases in the Air Force recurring costs (\$16.5 million) and a decrease in Defense-wide requirements in the Legacy Program funding due to the discontinuation of a one-time FY 2002 congressional (\$13.0 million). These decreases are offset by an increase in Army recurring costs (\$14.0 million) and an increase in non-recurring projects for the Navy (\$1.3 million).

Pollution Prevention

The Pollution Prevention program targets the reduction of hazardous material, solid waste, toxic releases, air emissions, and water pollution at the source. The funding requested for FY 2003 will support these efforts, as well as implementation of Executive Order 13148, "Greening the Government through Leadership in Environmental Management," and Executive Order 13101, "Greening the Government Through Waste Prevention, Recycling, and Federal Acquisition." In FY 2003, the Pollution Prevention program increases by \$6.2 million. This reflects a price increase of \$3.6 million and a program increase of \$2.6 million (+1.1 percent). The program increase of \$2.6 million primarily results from increases in Air Force (\$5.4 million) and Defense-wide (\$4.4 million)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

programs. These increases are offset by a reduction of recurring costs in the Army (-\$6.6 million) and completion of one-time projects in the Navy (-\$0.6 million). The significant success achieved within the Department towards meeting pollution prevention goals will cause periodic fluctuations in program funding as various projects are completed.

Environmental Technology

Technology development is important to meet DoD-unique environmental needs with programs that yield quick results and have high payoffs, including accelerating the development and deployment of technologies that address issues such as shipboard discharges and remediation of soil, surface, and ground water contamination from explosives. The FY 2003 request allows DoD to continue environmental research, development, test, demonstration, and validation activities to provide technologies that result in direct operational savings, mitigate future liabilities, and permit the Department to meet its environmental obligations more cost-effectively. In FY 2003, the Environmental Technology program decreases by \$20.5 million. This reflects a price increase of \$3.4 million and a program decrease of \$23.9 million (-10.6 percent). The program decrease of \$23.9 million is primarily the result of the discontinuance of several one-time FY 2002 congressional increases to the Military Department's Research, Development, Test & Evaluation (RDT&E) appropriation (\$-14.4 million) and a small decrease in DoD requirements (-\$15.9 million). This decrease is partially offset by an increase in the Department's Environmental Security Technology Certification Program for accelerated development of new technologies, including the detection and cleanup of unexploded ordnance (\$+7.8 million).

Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC)

The DoD is striving to complete scheduled base closures as rapidly as possible to realize potential savings to the government and to make property available to local communities for redevelopment. To do this, the DoD must complete environmental restoration and compliance work at these bases. In FY 2003, the BRAC environmental program decreases by \$74.4 million. This reflects a price increase of \$8.9 million and a program decrease of \$83.3 million (-14.0 percent). The program decrease primarily reflects discontinuance of a one-time FY 2002 congressional add (-\$100.5 million) and an increase of \$18.6 million in requirements.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

(\$ in Millions)

<u>ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION*</u>	FY 2001		FY 2002		FY 2003
<u>Cleanup</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
Army	254.8	-5.7	249.1	+55.9	305.0
Navy	168.2	-2.0	166.2	+1.2	167.4
Air Force	212.2	+37.8	250.0	+34.0	284.0
Formerly Used Defense Sites	125.3	-0.1	125.2	+10.5	135.7
Defense-Wide	<u>15.9</u>	<u>+2.5</u>	<u>18.4</u>	<u>-1.4</u>	<u>17.0</u>
Subtotal	776.4	+32.5	808.9	+100.2	909.1
<u>Investigations and Analysis</u>					
Army	96.4	-6.7	89.7	-44.1	45.6
Navy	85.5	-32.2	53.3	+2.1	55.4
Air Force	108.2	-30.4	77.8	-26.1	51.7
Formerly Used Defense Sites	83.9	-14.0	69.9	-18.3	51.6
Defense-Wide	<u>2.1</u>	<u>-1.8</u>	<u>0.3</u>	<u>+1.6</u>	<u>1.9</u>
Subtotal	376.1	-85.1	291.0	-84.8	206.2
<u>Program Oversight</u>					
Army	37.9	+10.4	48.3	-3.0	45.3
Navy	39.7	-4.0	35.7	-1.6	34.1
Air Force	55.1	-0.1	55.0	-0.9	54.1
Formerly Used Defense Sites	21.8	+3.8	25.6	-0.8	24.8
Defense-Wide	<u>3.4</u>	<u>+1.2</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>4.6</u>
Subtotal	157.9	+11.3	169.2	-6.3	162.9
<u>Total</u>					
Army	389.1	-2.0	387.1	+8.8	395.9
Navy	293.4	-38.2	255.2	+1.7	256.9
Air Force	375.5	+7.3	382.8	+7.0	389.8
Formerly Used Defense Sites	231.0	-10.3	220.7	-8.6	212.1
Defense-Wide	<u>21.3</u>	<u>+2.0</u>	<u>23.3</u>	<u>+0.2</u>	<u>23.5</u>
Total Environmental Restoration	1,310.3	-41.2	1,269.1	+9.1	1,278.2

* This display shows the amounts budgeted by functional area for each of the five Environmental Restoration transfer appropriations – one for each Department, one for Formerly Used Defense Sites, and one for Defense-wide. The FY 2001 amounts (executed in various Component appropriations) are included for comparability purposes.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

(\$ in Millions)

<u>ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE</u>	FY 2001		FY 2002		FY 2003
<u>Army</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
Operation & Maintenance, Army	329.4	+49.6	379.0	+46.1	425.1
Operation & Maintenance, Army Reserve	22.9	+1.6	24.5	-1.2	23.3
Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard	106.1	+11.6	117.7	+37.7	155.4
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	11.1	+16.1	27.2	-16.0	11.2
Other Procurement, Army	0.0	+0.0	0.0	+0.6	0.6
Military Construction, Army	22.0	+1.0	23.0	-23.0	0.0
Family Housing, Army	3.7	-3.6	0.1	+0.1	0.2
Defense Working Capital Fund	<u>26.0</u>	<u>+0.0</u>	<u>26.0</u>	<u>-0.9</u>	<u>25.1</u>
Total Army	521.2	+76.3	597.5	+43.4	640.9
<u>Navy</u>					
Operation & Maintenance, Navy	264.2	-4.1	260.1	-45.3	214.8
Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve	8.8	-3.3	5.5	+0.3	5.8
RDT&E, Navy	3.4	+1.0	4.4	+0.3	4.7
Other Procurement, Navy	54.2	+16.5	70.7	+2.3	73.0
Military Construction, Navy	6.6	+21.5	28.1	-4.7	23.4
Military Construction, Navy Reserve	0.0	0.0	0.0	+2.7	2.7
Defense Working Capital Fund	<u>83.9</u>	<u>+1.3</u>	<u>85.2</u>	<u>-0.5</u>	<u>84.7</u>
Total Navy	421.1	+32.9	454.0	-44.9	409.1
<u>Marine Corps</u>					
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps	93.9	-16.2	77.7	+1.1	78.8
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	<u>3.9</u>	<u>-0.9</u>	<u>3.0</u>	<u>-0.5</u>	<u>2.5</u>
Total Marine Corps	97.8	-17.1	80.7	+0.6	81.3

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

(\$ in Millions)

<u>ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE</u>	FY 2001		FY 2002		FY 2003
<u>Air Force</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force	272.2	+6.8	279.0	+15.4	294.4
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	9.9	+1.4	11.3	+1.7	13.0
Operation & Maintenance, Air National Guard	14.7	+0.1	14.8	+1.4	16.2
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	12.3	-0.1	12.2	-1.2	11.0
Missile Procurement, Air Force	1.4	-	1.4	-0.2	1.2
Military Personnel, Air Force	13.3	+0.7	14.0	-2.5	11.5
Guard Personnel, Air Force	1.1	+1.2	2.3	-1.0	1.3
Military Construction, Air Force	17.3	-7.3	10.0	+36.5	46.5
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve	3.8	-3.8	-	-	-
Military Construction, Air National Guard	-	-	-	-	-
Defense Working Capital Fund	<u>13.2</u>	<u>+0.2</u>	<u>13.4</u>	<u>+0.2</u>	<u>13.6</u>
Total Air Force	359.2	-0.8	358.4	+50.3	408.7
<u>Defense-Wide</u>					
Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide	48.4	+12.1	60.5	-6.5	54.0
Military Construction, Defense-Wide	52.1	-46.6	5.5	+4.0	9.5
RDT&E, Defense-Wide	8.8	+2.8	11.6	-0.7	10.9
Defense Working Capital Fund	<u>117.3</u>	<u>-26.9</u>	<u>90.4</u>	<u>+0.9</u>	<u>91.3</u>
Total Defense-Wide	226.6	-58.6	168.0	-2.3	165.7
<u>Total</u>					
Army	521.2	+76.3	597.5	+43.4	640.9
Navy	421.1	+32.9	454.0	-44.9	409.1
Marine Corps	97.8	-17.1	80.7	+0.6	81.3
Air Force	359.2	-0.8	358.4	+50.3	408.7
Defense-Wide	<u>226.6</u>	<u>-58.6</u>	<u>168.0</u>	<u>-2.3</u>	<u>165.7</u>
Total Environmental Compliance	1,625.9	+32.7	1658.6	+47.1	1705.7

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS
(\$ in Millions)

<u>ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION</u>	FY 2001		FY 2002		FY 2003
<u>Army</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
Operation & Maintenance, Army	49.3	3.2	52.5	+15.8	68.3
Operation & Maintenance, Army Reserve	1.9	-0.3	1.6	+1.0	2.6
Operation & Maintenance, Army Natl Guard	20.0	+3.2	23.2	-2.4	20.8
Defense Working Capital Fund	<u>1.1</u>	<u>+0.2</u>	<u>1.2</u>	<u>+0.8</u>	<u>2.0</u>
Total Army	72.2	+6.3	78.5	+15.2	93.7
Navv					
Operation & Maintenance, Navy	13.7	-2.8	10.9	+1.3	12.2
Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve	.2	-0.1	0.1	-	0.1
RDT&E, Navy	0.5	-0.1	0.4	-	0.4
Defense Working Capital Fund	<u>1.5</u>	<u>+0.1</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>+0.1</u>	<u>1.7</u>
Total Navy	15.9	-3.0	12.9	+1.5	14.4
Marine Corps					
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps	18.4	-10.8	7.6	+0.1	7.7
Operation & Maintenance, MC Reserve	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Total Marine Corps	18.4	-10.8	7.6	+0.1	7.7
Air Force					
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force	61.7	-13.1	48.6	-16.3	32.3
Operation & Maintenance, AF Reserve	1.2	+0.2	1.4	+0.5	1.9
Operation & Maintenance, Air National Guard	<u>0.5</u>	<u>+0.3</u>	<u>0.8</u>	<u>+0.1</u>	<u>0.9</u>
Total Air Force	63.4	-12.6	50.8	-15.7	35.1
Defense-Wide					
O&M, Defense-Wide (Includes Legacy)	12.5	+1.1	13.6	-12.8	0.8
DoD Working Capital Fund	<u>0.1</u>	<u>+0.2</u>	<u>0.3</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>0.3</u>
Total Defense-Wide	12.6	+1.3	13.9	-12.8	1.1
<u>Total</u>					
Army	72.2	+6.3	78.5	15.2	93.7
Navy	15.9	-3.0	12.9	+1.5	14.4
Marine Corps	18.4	-10.8	7.6	+0.1	7.7
Air Force	63.4	-12.6	50.8	-15.7	35.1
Defense-Wide	<u>12.6</u>	<u>+1.3</u>	<u>13.9</u>	<u>-12.8</u>	<u>1.1</u>
Total Environmental Conservation	182.5	-18.8	163.7	-11.7	152.0

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

(\$ in Millions)

<u>POLLUTION PREVENTION</u>	FY 2001		FY 2002		FY 2003
<u>Army</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
Operation & Maintenance, Army	34.0	+6.5	40.5	-8.3	32.2
Operation & Maintenance, Army Reserve	1.3	+0.8	2.1	-0.9	1.2
Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard	0.8	+0.4	1.2	2.0	3.2
Other Procurement, Army	2.1	-2.1	-	-	-
Defense Working Capital Fund	<u>1.2</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1.2</u>	<u>+1.3</u>	<u>2.5</u>
Total Army	39.4	+5.6	45.0	-5.9	39.1
<u>Navy</u>					
Operation & Maintenance, Navy	33.3	-7.1	26.2	+0.5	26.7
Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve	0.7	-0.1	0.6	-	0.6
RDT&E, Navy	1.0	-1.0	-	-	-
Other Procurement, Navy	16.5	-1.0	15.5	-0.2	15.3
Defense Working Capital Fund	<u>6.2</u>	<u>+1.1</u>	<u>7.3</u>	<u>-0.3</u>	<u>7.0</u>
Total Navy	57.7	-8.1	49.6	-	49.6
<u>Marine Corps</u>					
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps	14.3	17.4	31.7	+0.4	32.1
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	<u>0.4</u>	<u>+1.5</u>	<u>1.9</u>	<u>+0.2</u>	<u>2.1</u>
Total Marine Corps	14.7	+18.9	33.6	+0.6	34.2

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

(\$ in Millions)

<u>POLLUTION PREVENTION</u>	FY 2001		FY 2002		FY 2003
<u>Air Force</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force	83.2	-0.6	82.6	+4.2	86.8
Operation & Maintenance, AF Reserve	3.6	-0.2	3.4	+0.3	3.7
Operation & Maintenance, Air National Guard	2.8	+0.6	3.4	+0.5	3.9
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	2.8	+0.1	2.9	-0.6	2.3
Missile Procurement, Air Force	1.0	-	1.0	-	1.0
RDT&E, Air Force	2.4	-2.4	-	+2.7	2.7
Other Procurement, Air Force	<u>1.0</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>1.0</u>	<u>-0.2</u>	<u>0.8</u>
Total Air Force	96.8	-2.5	94.3	+6.9	101.2
<u>Defense-Wide</u>					
Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide	2.6	+2.6	5.2	-2.4	2.8
RDT&E, Defense-Wide	0.1	+0.1	0.2	-	0.2
Defense Working Capital Fund	<u>0.5</u>	<u>+12.8</u>	<u>13.3</u>	<u>+7.1</u>	<u>20.4</u>
Total Defense-Wide	3.2	+15.5	18.7	+4.7	23.4
<u>Total</u>					
Army	39.4	+5.6	45.0	-5.9	39.1
Navy	57.7	-8.1	49.6	-	49.6
Marine Corps	14.7	+18.9	33.6	+0.6	34.2
Air Force	96.8	-2.5	94.3	+6.9	101.2
Defense-Wide	<u>3.2</u>	<u>+15.5</u>	<u>18.7</u>	<u>+4.7</u>	<u>23.4</u>
Total Pollution Prevention	211.8	+29.5	241.3	+6.2	247.5

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

(\$ in Millions)

ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY

	<u>FY 2001</u>		<u>FY 2002</u>		<u>FY 2003</u>
	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
<u>Army</u>					
RDT&E, Army	<u>95.9</u>	<u>-19.1</u>	<u>76.8</u>	<u>-24.1</u>	<u>52.7</u>
Total Army	95.9	-19.1	76.8	-24.1	52.7
<u>Navy</u>					
RDT&E, Navy	<u>101.4</u>	<u>-35.3</u>	<u>66.1</u>	<u>-2.5</u>	<u>63.6</u>
Total Navy	101.4	-35.3	66.1	-2.5	63.6
<u>Air Force</u>					
RDT&E, Air Force	<u>1.0</u>	<u>-1.0</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Total Air Force	1.0	-1.0	-	-	-
<u>Defense-Wide</u>					
Strategic Environmental R&D Program (SERDP)	<u>59.0</u>	<u>+3.2</u>	<u>62.2</u>	<u>-1.7</u>	<u>60.5</u>
Env Security Technology Certification Program	<u>28.6</u>	<u>-8.1</u>	<u>20.5</u>	<u>+7.8</u>	<u>28.3</u>
Total Defense-Wide	87.6	-4.9	82.7	+6.1	88.8
<u>Total</u>					
Army	<u>95.9</u>	<u>-19.1</u>	<u>76.8</u>	<u>-24.1</u>	<u>52.7</u>
Navy	<u>101.4</u>	<u>-35.3</u>	<u>66.1</u>	<u>-2.5</u>	<u>63.6</u>
Air Force	<u>1.0</u>	<u>-1.0</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Defense-Wide	<u>87.6</u>	<u>-4.9</u>	<u>82.7</u>	<u>+6.1</u>	<u>88.8</u>
Total Environmental Technology	285.9	-60.3	225.6	-20.5	205.1

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS
(\$ in Millions)

<u>BASE REALIGNMENT&CLOSURE (BRAC)</u>	FY 2001		FY 2002		FY 2003
	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
<u>BRAC 95</u>					
Army	255.4	-112.2	143.2	+3.0	146.2
Navy	384.6	-163.0	221.6	+27.5	249.1
Air Force	146.7	+75.3	222.0	-102.9	119.1
Defense-Wide	<u>6.5</u>	<u>+0.8</u>	<u>7.3</u>	<u>-2.0</u>	<u>5.3</u>
Total BRAC 95	793.2	-199.1	594.1	-74.4	519.7

ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

(\$ in Millions)

<u>Operation & Maintenance Title Summary</u>	FY 2001		FY 2002		FY 2003
	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>	<u>Change</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
Army	412.7	+59.3	472.0	+53.6	525.6
Army Reserve	26.1	+2.1	28.2	-1.2	27.0
Army National Guard	126.9	+15.2	142.1	+37.3	179.4
Navy	311.2	-14.0	297.2	-43.5	253.7
Navy Reserve	9.7	-3.5	6.2	+0.3	6.5
Marine Corps	126.6	-9.6	117.0	+1.6	118.6
Marine Corps Reserve	4.3	+0.6	4.9	-0.2	4.7
Air Force	417.2	-7.1	410.1	+3.4	413.5
Air Force Reserve	14.7	+1.5	16.2	+2.3	18.5
Air National Guard	17.9	+1.2	19.1	+1.9	21.0
Defense-Wide	63.4	+15.8	79.4	-21.8	57.6
Environmental Restoration (*)	<u>1,297.1</u>	<u>-28.0</u>	<u>1,269.1</u>	<u>+9.1</u>	<u>1,278.2</u>
Total Operation & Maintenance	2,827.6	+33.7	2,861.3	+42.9	2,904.2
<u>Program Summary for Operation and Maintenance</u>					
<u>Title</u>					
Environmental Restoration (*)	1,297.1	-28.0	1,269.1	+9.1	1,278.2
Environmental Compliance	1,174.2	+58.9	1,233.1	+50.2	1,283.3
Environmental Conservation	179.3	-19.0	160.3	-12.8	147.5
Pollution Prevention	<u>177.0</u>	<u>+21.8</u>	<u>198.8</u>	<u>-3.6</u>	<u>195.2</u>
Total Operation & Maintenance	2,827.6	+33.7	2,861.3	+42.9	2,904.2

* FY 2001 does not reflect \$13.2 million executed in Military Construction, Army for Environmental Restoration projects.