

# Executive Summary & Budget Justification FY 2002 Amended Budget Submission

Justification Data Submitted to Congress

July 2001

# Table of Contents

|   | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| <b>I. Base Realignment and Closure Overview</b>         |             |
| A. Background   | 3           |
| B. Budget Justification Requirements                    | 4           |
| C. DoD Base Closure Account Capitalization              | 5           |
| D. Financial Management Procedures                      | 6           |
| <b>II. Budget Summary</b>                               | <b>7</b>    |
| <b>III. Homeowners Assistance Program</b>               | <b>28</b>   |
| <b>IV. Revenue from the Sale of Land and Facilities</b> | <b>28</b>   |

## **I. Base Realignment and Closure Overview**

### **A. Background**

The Defense Secretary's Commission on Base Realignment and Closures was chartered on May 3, 1988 to recommend military installations within the United States, its commonwealths, territories, and possessions for realignment and closure. The Congress and the President subsequently endorsed this approach through legislation that removed some of the previous impediments to successful base closure actions. The Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act, Public Law 100-526, as enacted October 24, 1988, provides the basis for implementing the recommendations of the 1988 Commission. Under this Act, all closures and realignments were to be completed no later than September 30, 1995. Funding for these actions resides in the Base Realignment and Closure Account - Part I (BRAC-I) which spans fiscal years 1990 through 1995.

The National Defense Authorization Act for FY 1990 and 1991, Section 2831, allowed for the one-time transfer of \$31 million from BRAC I into the FY 1990 Homeowners Assistance Fund. This Fund is used to assist employees who are forced to move as a consequence of base closures and who find that they must sell their homes in real estate markets which have been adversely affected by the closure decision.

In the Committee Reports accompanying the FY 1990 Military Construction Appropriations Act, the Congress began applying some restrictions on the use of BRAC I funds. Concerned that the one-time implementation costs had increased by \$1 billion when compared to the 1988 Commission's estimate, the House Appropriations Committee (HAC) adopted a spending cap for military construction and family housing of \$2.4 billion. This cap was reflected in the FY 1990 act itself. The FY 1992 Military Construction Appropriations Act lowered the cap to \$1.8 billion commensurate with the budget request.

On November 5, 1990, The President signed Public Law 101-510, Title XXIX, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, establishing an independent commission known as the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission which met only during calendar years 1991, 1993, and 1995. The purpose of the Commission was to ensure a timely, independent, and fair process for closing and realigning U.S. military installations. The actions to implement the recommendations of the 1991, 1993, and 1995 Commissions are underwritten from the Base Realignment and Closure Account 1990 (BRAC II). Action must be initiated no later than two years after the date on which the President transmits a report to Congress and all closures and realignments must be completed no later than the end of the six-year period beginning on the same date. The implementation period for the last authorized round of base closure is complete as of 13 July 2001.

Public Law 101-510 included a number of other provisions affecting base closure, one of which, section 2923, designated the Base Closure Account (BRAC I) to be the exclusive source of funds for environmental restoration projects at round one closure sites. The National Defense Authorization Act for FY 1992, Section 2827, designated Base Closure Account 1990 as the exclusive source of funds for environmental restoration projects at closure sites approved by the 1991, 1993, and 1995 Commissions. The intent of this section was to preclude the cleanup actions at bases slated for closure from competing with other sources of funding for environmental cleanup such as the Defense Environmental Restoration Account (DERA). A total of \$6,894.8 million has been made available for cleanup for the four rounds of base closures through FY 2001. The FY 2002 budget request includes \$491.2 million for environmental restoration at BRAC bases, of which \$32.1 million is funded with prior year unobligated balances.

B. Budget Justification Requirements

The Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act, Public Law 100-526, is specific in the types of information required as to budget justification. The Act states, "As part of each annual budget request for the Department of Defense, the Secretary shall transmit to the appropriate committees of Congress:

(1) a schedule of the closure and realignment actions to be carried out under this title in the fiscal year for which the request is made and an estimate of the total expenditures required and cost savings to be achieved by each such closure and realignment and of the time period in which these savings are to be achieved in each case, together with the Secretary's assessment of the environmental effects of such actions; and

(2) a description of the military installations, including those under construction and those planned for construction, to which functions are to be transferred as a result of such closures and realignments, together with the Secretary's assessment of the environmental effects of such transfers."

The FY 2002 budget justification material has been developed to comply with the above requirements. The BRAC Executive Summary Book provides an overview of the BRAC costs and savings for each DoD Component through the six-year implementation period. The DoD Components have prepared separate justification books providing detailed information by realignment and closure package, broken out by one-time implementation costs, anticipated revenues from land sales, and expected savings. This comprehensive approach addresses the total financial impact of realignment and closure actions and provides justification for the funds requested in the Base Closure Accounts.

### C. DoD Base Closure Account Capitalization and Funding

The Department has complied with the guidance contained in the House of Representatives Report 101-76, Military Construction Appropriations Bill, 1990, July 26, 1989, to determine the proper method of capitalizing the DoD Base Closure Accounts. In denying general transfer authority to the Secretary of Defense, the Committee expressed the belief that the necessary one-time costs to implement base realignments and closures be requested as new appropriated amounts to facilitate improved accounting of the funds appropriated. Additionally, the Committee indicated that any savings or cost avoidance due to base realignment or closure should be reflected through reduced requirements in the annual budget requests for the affected appropriations.

The manner in which the impacts of base realignments and closures are reflected in BRAC appropriations accounts is consistent with this language. The new BRAC appropriations requested represent the costs of environmental restoration and caretaker functions at bases closed under the prior rounds of base closure authority. Also included in the appropriation request are funds to be transferred to the Homeowner's Assistance Program for the purpose outlined in Section III. Since the FY 1991 budget request, parcels of land have been transferred, without compensation to the Department, thereby reducing projected offsetting receipts. Section IV provides examples of anticipated revenue from the sale of land and facilities and the anticipated revenue loss from land transfers.

The implementation of base realignment and closures requires the relocation of units and activities from one site to another. Recurring savings (reduced base operations costs) are realized through the increased efficiencies inherent in the consolidation of functions on fewer bases. The net savings are reflected as savings in the specific appropriations, primarily operation and maintenance, and are not incorporated in the DoD Base Closure Accounts.

Estimates for savings or cost avoidance have been incorporated into the DoD Component appropriation account where they are to accrue, resulting in corresponding reduced budget requests for those appropriations. The annual recurring saving from the four authorized rounds of base closure and realignment are projected to be about \$6 billion after the implementation period ending in FY2001.

#### D. Financial Management Procedures

The Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act, Public Law 100-526, established the Defense Base Closure Account (BRAC I) as a mechanism to provide the required funding to implement the approved recommendations of the Base Closure and Realignment Commissions. Public Law 101-510, Title XXIX, Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, established Base Closure Account 1990 (BRAC II). From aspects of management, budgeting and accounting, both Accounts are treated in the same fashion. Funding approved by Congress in both Accounts is appropriated and authorized in a lump sum amount and may be spent for construction, planning and design, civilian severance pay, civilian permanent change in station, transportation of things, and other costs related to the realignment or closure of the subject bases. The management structure of the program is described below.

1. The Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Installations & Environment) is responsible for issuing policy for management of the BRAC program and overseeing the DoD Components' execution of the program.

2. To properly account for and manage appropriated fund resources, the DoD Base Closure Accounts were established on the books of the Treasury to aid the DoD Components in the closure and realignment of certain military installations. Treasury has assigned account symbol 97-0103 to identify the DoD Base Closure Account - Part I, and 97-0510 to identify DoD Base Closure Account 1990 - Part II, Part III, and Part IV.

Funds made available to the DOD Components are subdivided and distribute to the activities responsible for base closure actions. Separate allocations are made for each of the accounts by program year. Each DoD Component distributes the base closure funds in accordance with its normal fund distribution procedures. The applicable reporting requirements include:

##### Military Construction

- Construction

- Planning and Design

##### Family Housing

- Construction

- Operations

##### Environmental

##### Operation and Maintenance (O&M)

- Civilian Severance Pay

- Civilian Permanent Change of Station (PCS) costs

- Transportation of things

- Real Property Maintenance

- Program Management (civilian work years, TDY travel, and related support dedicated to implementation efforts)

- Military Personnel (limited to PCS expenses dedicated to implementation efforts)

- Other (including procurement-type items)

3. The Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) makes funds available to the DoD Components based on their official financial plans. Financial plans are prepared by the DoD Components in cooperation with and at the direction of the program manager, the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Installations & Environment). The DoD Components' financial plans and the subsequent allocation of funds are supported by detailed, line-item military and family housing construction justification. Separate narrative explanations for other planned expenditures are also submitted to the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) in sufficient detail to support the DoD Component's Financial plan. The DoD Components are allowed to revise planned execution as the situation dictates but must notify the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Installations & Environment) and the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) of all changes. To keep the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) apprised of these changes, the DoD Components are required to submit a revised current year financial plan and supporting documentation on a quarterly basis to reflect the status of the current plan being executed. When a military construction or family housing construction project is to be executed, but does not appear on the approved construction project list, the prior approval of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), and Congress is required. This will ensure that the Department has complied with the notification requirements of the House of Representatives Report 101-176, Military Construction Appropriation Bill, July 26, 1989, prior to the expenditure of DoD Base Closure Account funds. Each DoD Component is allocated funds based upon its official budget justification and financial plan.

4. Decision Rule for Determining the Validity of Charging Cost to the DoD Base Closure Accounts: In addition to being supported by the detailed budget justification, the general criterion to be applied when deciding whether to charge specific costs to the DoD Base Closure Account is that the cost in question is a one-time implementation cost directly associated with the overall base closure effort. For example, the one-time operation and maintenance-type costs at R&D-funded installations are charged to the appropriate sub-account of "Operation and Maintenance." Low-dollar value construction projects budgeted as lump sum under the real property maintenance category are charged to that sub-account and not the construction sub-account of military construction, which is reserved for projects listed individually on the financial plan accompanying the fund allocation document. Recurring costs driven by the transfer of workload from one location to another is budgeted for and charged to the non-base closure accounts.

## **II. Budget Summary**

The tables on the following pages provide information on one-time implementation costs, expected savings, and revenues from land sales by DoD Component and approved BRAC closure round. BRAC I closures and realignments have been projected to cost \$2.7 billion and will generate total savings of \$2.4 billion and land sale revenue of \$65.7 million during the FY 1990-1995 implementation period. BRAC II closures and realignments have been projected to cost \$5.2 billion and will generate total savings of \$6.5 billion and land sale revenue of \$25.3 million during the FY 1992-1997 implementation period. BRAC III closures and realignments have been projected to cost \$7.6 billion and will generate total savings of \$7.8 billion and land sale revenue of \$3.4 million during the FY 1994-1999 implementation period. BRAC IV closures and realignments are projected to cost \$6.7 billion and will generate total savings of \$6.2 billion and land sale revenue of \$241.6 million during the FY 1996-2001 implementation period.

**FY 2002 Budget Estimates**  
**Base Realignment and Closure Account Parts I – IV**  
**(Dollars in Millions)**

|  | <u><b>FY 2002</b></u> |
|--|-----------------------|
| <b>Army</b>                                    |                       |
| Environmental                                  | 143.220               |
| Operations & Maintenance                       | 21.129                |
| <b>Navy</b>                                    |                       |
| Environmental                                  | 138.592               |
| Operations & Maintenance                       | 17.933                |
| <b>Air Force</b>                               |                       |
| Environmental                                  | 202.000               |
| Operations & Maintenance                       | 26.396                |
| <b>Defense Logistics Agency</b>                |                       |
| Environmental                                  | 7.345                 |
| Operations & Maintenance                       | 4.555                 |
| Prior Year Financing                           | <u>(36.700)</u>       |
| <b>Total Environmental and Caretaker Costs</b> | <b>524.470</b>        |
| Homeowner's Assistance Program                 | 7.730                 |
| Estimated Land Sale Revenue                    | -                     |
| <b>Budget Request</b>                          | <b>532.200</b>        |

The FY 2002 budget requests \$532.2 million for environmental restoration and caretaker cost for facilities closed under the previous four rounds of base closure authority. This funding will ensure bases are continuing to be cleaned efficiently to speed the transfer of property to redevelopment authorities. Also included in the funding request is \$7.7 million that will be transferred during execution to the Homeowner's Assistance Program to provide assistance to military and civilian homeowners affected by base closures. Additional information on the Homeowner's Assistance Program is provided in Section III.

Unobligated balances of \$36.7 will be used to finance the Defense Logistics Agency's total FY 2002 requirement of \$11.9 million and \$24.8 million will be used to offset a portion of the Navy's total FY 2002 requirement of \$156.5 million.

Annual recurring savings from the four rounds of base closure and realignment are projected to be about \$6 billion after the implementation period ending in FY 2001.



### **III. Homeowners Assistance Program**

The Homeowners Assistance Program (HAP) provides assistance to eligible service members and civilian employee homeowners who have suffered losses through the depression of the real estate market resulting from actual or pending base closures. Pursuant to section 2832 of Title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 2831 of Public Law 101-89, the National Defense Authorization Act for FY 1990 and FY 1991, the Secretary of Defense was granted authority to transfer \$31 million of funds appropriated in BRAC I to HAP. Accordingly, the Department of Defense transferred \$31 million in FY 1990 to accommodate valid homeowner assistance requirements arising from implementation of the 1988 Commission's recommendations. From FY 1992 through FY 1999 the required homeowners assistance funding associated with base realignments and closures was budgeted in the Homeowners Assistance Program administered by the Department of the Army as executive agent for the program. In FY 2002, \$7.7 million is budgeted in the BRAC program for transfer to the Homeowners Assistance Program during budget execution. This will allow more effective and efficient use of these funds in support of BRAC implementation.

### **IV. Revenue from the Sale of Land and Facilities**

In capitalizing the base closure accounts, the additional appropriations to pay for the one-time costs of implementation have been offset by the amount of revenues that are anticipated due to the authorized sale of land no longer required by the Department. Since the FY 1991 budget request, parcels of land have been transferred, without compensation to the Department, thereby reducing projected offsetting receipts. The tables on the following pages show the anticipated land sale revenue and examples of projected land sales no longer anticipated due to loss cost from transfers of property outside of the Department. Land sale receipts from base closures have amounted to \$336.4 million through June 2001. These receipts are used to offset anticipated BRAC costs.

**FY 2002 Budget Estimates**  
**Base Realignment and Closure - Part I**  
**Anticipated/Realized Land Revenue - Army**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

| <u>Location</u>                           | <u>Total</u>  |
|---|---------------|
| Kapalama Military<br>Reservation, HI      | 38,529        |
| Pontiac Storage Facility                  | 3,100         |
| Fort Holabird, MD                         | 100           |
| USA Reserve Center<br>Gaithersburg, MD    | 785           |
| Stand-Alone Housing,<br>Various Locations | <u>23,199</u> |
| Total                                     | 65,713        |

**FY 2002 Budget Estimates**  
**Base Realignment and Closure - Part I**  
**Loss of Land Revenue - Army**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

| <u>Location</u>        | <u>Total</u>  |
|------------------------|---------------|
| Lexington              | 7,379         |
| Army Material Tech Lab | 8,941         |
| Jefferson              | 30,667        |
| AMC Other              | 8,030         |
| Presidio               | 42,986        |
| Hamilton               | 49,544        |
| Sheridan               | 62,263        |
| Fort Douglas, UT       | 7,379         |
| Fort Meade, MD         | 447,770       |
| Cameron Station, VA    | 212,937       |
| Stand Alone            | <u>62,183</u> |
| Total                  | 940,079       |

**FY 2002 Budget Estimates**  
**Base Realignment and Closure - Part I**  
**Loss of Land Revenue - Navy**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

| <u>Location</u>                               | <u>Total</u>  |
|---|---------------|
| Naval Station New York<br>(Brooklyn, NY)      | 57,000        |
| Naval Station Puget Sound<br>(Sand Point), WA | <u>60,000</u> |
| Total   | 117,000       |

**FY 2002 Budget Estimates**  
**Base Realignment and Closure - Part I**  
**Anticipated/Realized Land Revenue - Air Force**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

| <u>Location</u>            | <u>Total</u> |
|----------------------------|--------------|
| Chanute Air Force Base, IL | 11,541       |
| George Air Force Base, CA  | 3,105        |
| Mather Air Force Base, CA  | 12,022       |
| Norton Air Force Base, CA  | 3,604        |
| Pease Air Force Base, NH   | <u>280</u>   |
| Total*                     | 30,552       |

\*All anticipated/realized land revenues are accounted for in BRAC IV

**FY 2002 Budget Estimates**  
**Base Realignment and Closure - Part I**  
**Loss of Land Revenue - Air Force**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

| <u>Location</u>            | <u>Total</u>   |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| George Air Force Base, CA  | 90,000         |
| Mather Air Force Base, CA  | 305,000        |
| Norton Air Force Base, CA  | 100,000        |
| Chanute Air Force Base, IL | 13,000         |
| Pease Air Force Base, NH   | <u>120,000</u> |
| Total                      | 628,000        |

**FY 2002 Budget Estimates**  
**Base Realignment and Closure - Part II**  
**Anticipated/Realized Land Revenue - Army**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

| <u>Location</u>           | <u>Total</u> |
|---------------------------|--------------|
| Cameron Station, VA       | 15,661       |
| Fort Ben Harrison, IN     | 4,634        |
| Sacramento Army Depot, CA | 299          |
| Fort Devens, MA           | <u>1,998</u> |
| Total                     | 22,592       |

**FY 2002 Budget Estimates**  
**Base Realignment and Closure - Part II**  
**Loss of Land Revenue - Army**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

| <u>Location</u>            | <u>Total</u>  |
|----------------------------|---------------|
| Fort Devens, MA            | 112,000       |
| Fort Dix, NJ*              | 83,000        |
| Fort Ord, CA               | 399,750       |
| Harry Diamond Lab, VA      | 30,000        |
| Fort Benjamin Harrison, IN | 102,616       |
| Sacramento Depot, CA       | <u>24,879</u> |
| Total                      | 752,245       |

\* Note: The anticipated revenues from Fort Dix were reduced from \$83.0 million to zero. The basis of the reduction is the proposed utilization of Fort Dix by other federal and state agencies which precludes disposal of the anticipated excess land.



**FY 2002 Budget Estimates**  
**Base Realignment and Closure - Part II**  
**Anticipated/Realized Land Revenue - Navy**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

| <u>Location</u>     | <u>Total</u> |
|---------------------|--------------|
| NAS Chase Field, TX | 791          |
| NCBC Davisville, RI | 63           |
| Various Locations   | <u>2,216</u> |
| Total               | 3,070        |

**FY 2002 Budget Estimates**  
**Base Realignment and Closure - Part II**  
**Loss of Land Revenue - Navy**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

| <u>Location</u>                   | <u>Total</u>   |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| NAS Chase Field, TX               | 2,000          |
| NCBC Davisville, RI               | 22,000         |
| NH Long Beach, CA                 | 15,500         |
| NS Long Beach, CA                 | 20,250         |
| NAS Moffet Field, CA              | 4,200          |
| NS Philadelphia, PA               | 20,000         |
| NS Puget Sound<br>(Sand Point) WA | 12,800         |
| NCCOSC San Diego, CA              | 3,000          |
| MCAS Tustin, CA                   | <u>672,000</u> |
| Total                             | 771,750        |

**FY 2002 Budget Estimates**  
**Base Realignment and Closure - Part II**  
**Anticipated/Realized Land Revenue - Air Force**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

| <u>Location</u>                                  | <u>Total</u> |
|--|--------------|
| Carswell Air Force Base, TX                      | 170          |
| Castle Air Force Base, CA                        | 5481         |
| England Air Force Base, LA                       | 783          |
| Grissom Air Force Base, IN                       | 13,020       |
| Loring Air Force Base, ME                        | 117          |
| Lowry Air Force Base, CO                         | 14,850       |
| Myrtle Beach Air Force Base, SC                  | 10,455       |
| Richards-Gebaur Air Force Reserve<br>Station, MO | 300          |
| Rickenbacker Air Guard Base, OH                  | 600          |
| Williams Air Force Base, AZ                      | 4,400        |
| Wurtsmith Air Force Base, MI                     | <u>49</u>    |
| Total*   | 50,225       |

\*All anticipated/realized land revenues are accounted for in BRAC IV

**FY 2002 Budget Estimates**  
**Base Realignment and Closure - Part II**  
**Loss of Land Revenue - Air Force**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

| <u>Location</u>                                  | <u>Total</u> |
|--|--------------|
| Williams Air Force Base, AZ                      | 8,000        |
| Eaker Air Force Base, AR                         | 8,000        |
| Castle Air Force Base, CA                        | 27,000       |
| Lowry Air Force Base, CO                         | 100,000      |
| MacDill Air Force Base, FL                       | 50,000       |
| Grissom Air Force Base, IN                       | 8,000        |
| Loring Air Force Base, ME                        | 8,000        |
| Wurtsmith Air Force Base, MI                     | 8,000        |
| Richards Gebaur Air Force<br>Reserve Station, MO | 8,000        |
| Rickenbacker Air National<br>Guard Base, OH      | 8,000        |
| Myrtle Beach Air Force Base, SC                  | 8,000        |
| Bergstrom Air Force Base, TX                     | 8,000        |
| Carswell Force Base, TX                          | <u>8,000</u> |
| Total  | 257,000      |

**FY 2002 Budget Estimates**  
**Base Realignment and Closure - Part III**  
**Anticipated/Realized Land Revenue - Army**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

| <u>Location</u>   | <u>Total</u> |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Various Locations | <u>798</u>   |
| Total             | 798          |

**FY 2002 Budget Estimates**  
**Base Realignment and Closure - Part III**  
**Anticipated/Realized Land Revenue - Navy**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

| <u>Location</u>                      | <u>Total</u> |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| DoD Family Housing Niagara Falls, NY | <u>1,113</u> |
| Total                                | 1,113        |

**FY 2002 Budget Estimates**  
**Base Realignment and Closure - Part III**  
**Loss of Land Revenue - Navy**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

| <u>Location</u>   | <u>Total</u>   |
|-------------------|----------------|
| NS Mobile, AL     | 28,000         |
| Various Locations | <u>309,263</u> |
| Total             | 337,263        |

**FY 2002 Budget Estimates**  
**Base Realignment and Closure - Part III**  
**Anticipated/Realized Land Revenue - Air Force**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

| <u>Location</u>               | <u>Total</u> |
|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Griffiss Air Force Base, NY   | 81           |
| Homestead Air Force Base, FL  | 487          |
| March Air Force Base, CA      | 680          |
| Plattsburg Air Force Base, NY | <u>1,287</u> |
| Total*                        | 2,535        |

\*All anticipated/realized land revenues are accounted for in BRAC IV



**FY 2002 Budget Estimates**  
**Base Realignment and Closure - Part IV**  
**Anticipated/Realized Land Revenue - Army**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

| <u>Location</u>                  | <u>Total</u> |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Fort Devens, MA                  | 2,500        |
| Fort Ben Harrison, IN            | 2,805        |
| Cameron Station, VA              | 15,183       |
| Stratford AAP, CT                | 6,783        |
| Army Material Technology Lab, MA | 7,613        |
| Bayonne MOT, NJ                  | 278          |
| Hamilton AAF, CA                 | 3,938        |
| Jefferson Proving Ground, IN     | 135          |
| Detroit, ATP, MI                 | 5,924        |
| Fort Sheridan, IL                | 5,750        |
| Stand Alone Housing              | 1,016        |
| Savanna AD                       | 8            |
| Fort Ritchie                     | 54           |
| Fitzsimons AMC                   | 172          |
| City of Chicago                  | 15,980       |
| Fort McClellan                   | 499          |
| Fort Ord                         | 250          |
| New Orleans MOT                  | <u>264</u>   |
| Total                            | 69,152       |

**FY 2002 Budget Estimates**  
**Base Realignment and Closure - Part IV**  
**Anticipated/Realized Land Revenue - Navy**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

| <u>Location</u>               | <u>Total</u> |
|-------------------------------|--------------|
| NAS Moffett Field, CA         | 6,250        |
| NTC Orlando, FL               | 2,095        |
| NAWC Trenton, NJ              | 652          |
| Pittsfield Reserve Center, MA | 52           |
| NS New York , NY              | 602          |
| NAS Dallas, TX                | 2            |
| Various Locations             | <u>9,147</u> |
| Total                         | 18,800       |

**FY 2002 Budget Estimates**  
**Base Realignment and Closure - Part IV**  
**Anticipated/Realized Land Revenue – Air Force**  
(Dollars in Thousands)

| <u>Location</u>   | <u>Total</u> |
|---|--------------|
| City of Chicago, Illinois – O’Hare International Airport* | 94,380       |
| Kelly Air Force Base, TX                                  | 5,181        |
| McClellan Air Force Base, TX                              | 2,417        |
| Reese Air Force Base, TX                                  | 52           |
| Roslyn Air Guard Station, NY                              | <u>3,030</u> |
| Total   | 105,060      |

\*Revenue from the City of Chicago for the movement of the Air National Guard facilities to Scott Air Force Base, Illinois.