# Department of Defense Fiscal Year (FY) 2015 Budget Estimates

March 2014



# **Office of Secretary Of Defense**

Defense Wide Justification Book Volume 3 of 5

Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Office of Secretary Of Defense • Budget Estimates FY 2015 • RDT&E Program

# **Table of Volumes**

Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency V	'olume 1
Missile Defense AgencyV	′olume 2
Office of the Secretary of DefenseV	′olume 3
Chemical and Biological Defense ProgramsV	′olume 4
Defense Contract Management AgencyV	′olume 5
Defense Human Resources ActivityV	′olume 5
Defense Information Systems AgencyV	
Defense Logistics AgencyV	olume 5
Defense Security Cooperation AgencyV	′olume 5
Defense Security ServiceV	olume 5
Defense Technical Information CenterV	′olume 5
Defense Threat Reduction AgencyV	′olume 5
The Joint StaffV	olume 5
U.S. Special Operations CommandV	′olume 5
Washington Headquarters ServiceV	
Operational Test and EvaluationV	olume 5

Office of Secretary Of Defense • Budget Estimates FY 2015 • RDT&E Program

Defense Geospatial Intelligence Agency	(see	) NIP	and MIP	Justification Books)
Defense Intelligence Agency	(see	NIP	and MIP	Justification Books)
National Security Agency	(see	NIP	and MIP	Justification Books)

Office of Secretary Of Defense • Budget Estimates FY 2015 • RDT&E Program

# **Volume 3 Table of Contents**

Comptroller Exhibit R-1	Volume 3 - v
Program Element Table of Contents (by Budget Activity then Line Item Number)	Volume 3 - xiii
Program Element Table of Contents (Alphabetically by Program Element Title)	Volume 3 - xxi
Exhibit R-2's	Volume 3 - 1

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

#### Defense-Wide FY 2015 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2015 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

27 Feb 2014

Appropriation	FY 2013 (Base & OCO)	FY 2014 Base Enacted	FY 2014 OCO Enacted	FY 2014 Total Enacted	FY 2015 Bage
Office of Secretary of Defense	2,431,946	2,404,427		2,404,427	2,204,504
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	2,431,946	2,404,427		2,404,427	2,204,504

R-1C1: FY 2015 President's Budget (Published Version), as of February 27, 2014 at 08:39:12

#### Department of Defense FY 2015 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2015 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

27 Feb 2014

Summary Recap of Budget Activities	FY 2013 (Base & OCO)	FY 2014 Base Enacted	FY 2014 FY 2014 OCO Enacted Total Enacted	FY 2015 Base
Basic Research	91,035	124,305	124,305	114,464
Applied Research	102,780	124,824	124,824	138,061
Advanced Technology Development	781,134	828,207	828,207	806,416
Advanced Component Development And Prototypes	508,307	576,971	576,971	430,708
System Development And Demonstration	260,985	130,918	130,918	138,561
Management Support	638,935	558,267	558,267	504,303
Operational System Development	48,770	60,935	60,935	71,991
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	2,431,946	2,404,427	2,404,427	2,204,504
Summary Recap of FYDP Programs				
General Purpose Forces	2,355	5,288	5,288	1,956
Intelligence and Communications	104,507	112,258	112,258	113,390
Research and Development	2,267,767	2,247,972	2,247,972	2,045,153
Training Medical and Other	56,325	38,909	38,909	44,005
Administration and Associated Activities	992			
Total Research, Development, Test & Evaluation	2,431,946	2,404,427	2,404,427	2,204,504

R-1C1: FY 2015 President's Budget (Published Version), as of February 27, 2014 at 08:39:12

#### Defense-Wide FY 2015 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2015 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

Appropriation: 0400D Research, Development, Test & Eval, DW

Line No	Program Element Number	Item	Act	FY 2013 (Base & OCO)	FY 2014 Base Enacted	FY 2014 OCO Enacted	FY 2014 Total Enacted	FY 2015 Base	S @ C
3	0601110D8z	DD8Z Basic Research Initiatives		17,368	11,169		11,169	44,564	υ
5	0601120D8z	National Defense Education Program	01	73,667	77,241		77,241	45,488	σ
6	0601228D8Z	Historically Black Colleges and Universities/Minority Institutions	01		35,895		35,895	24,412	-
	Basic	Research		91,035	124,305		124,305	114,464	
8	0602000D8Z	Joint Munitions Technology	02	18,701	17,959		17,959	20,065	σ
10	0602228D8Z	Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCU) Science	02	27,246					σ
11	11 0602234D8Z Lincoln Laboratory Research Program		02	32,637	41,868		41,868	51,875	σ
12	12 0602251D8Z Applied Research for the Advancement of S&T Priorities		02		37,984		37,984	41,965	υ
17	7 0602663D8Z Data to Decisions Applied Research		02	8,605					σ
18	0602668D8Z	Cyber Security Research	02	10,542	13,907		13,907	15,000	υ
19		Human, Social and Culture Behavior Modeling (HSCB) Applied Research	02	5,049	2,000		2,000		σ
24	0602751D8z	Software Engineering Institute (SEI) Applied Research	02		11,106		11,106	9,156	σ
	Applie	ad Research		102,780	124,824		124,824	138,061	
26	0603000D8z	Joint Munitions Advanced Technology	03	18,253	20,012		20,012	26,688	σ
27	0603121D8Z	SO/LIC Advanced Development	03	23,648	17,403		17,403	8,682	σ
28	0603122D8Z	Combating Terrorism Technology Support	03	108,245	100,754		100,754	69,675	σ
29	0603133D8z	Foreign Comparative Testing	03					30,000	σ
37	0603225D8Z	Joint DoD-DoE Munitions Technology Development	03	17,828	19,292		19,292	19,335	σ
42	0603288D8Z	Analytic Assessments	03					12,000	σ
43	0603289D8z	Advanced Innovative Analysis and Concepts	03					60,000	υ

R-1C1: FY 2015 President's Budget (Published Version), as of February 27, 2014 at 08:39:12

Volume 3 - vii

#### Defense-Wide FY 2015 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2015 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

Appropriation: 0400D Research, Development, Test & Eval, DW

Line No 	Program Element Number	Item	Act	FY 2013 (Base & OCO)	FY 2014 Base Enacted	FY 2014 OCO Enacted	FY 2014 Total Enacted	FY 2015 Base	5 e c
46	0603618D8Z J	oint Electronic Advanced Technology	03	6,108	8,996		8,996	10,965	σ
47	0603648D8Z Jo	oint Capability Technology Demonstrations	03	138,374	165,008		165,008	131,960	σ
48	0603662D8Z Ne	etworked Communications Capabilities	03	21,476	5,000		5,000		σ
49	0603663D8Z Da	ata to Decisions Advanced Technology Development	03	9,217					σ
50	0603668D8Z C	yber Security Advanced Research	03	11,103	9,667		9,667		σ
51		uman, Social and Culture Behavior Modeling (HSCB) Advanced evelopment	03	6,994	2,000		2,000		σ
52	0603680D8Z De	efense-Wide Manufacturing Science and Technology Program	03	49,532	59,014		59,014	91,095	υ
53	0603699D8Z En	merging Capabilities Technology Development	03	20,859	53,967		53,967	33,706	σ
56	0603716D8z st	rategic Environmental Research Program	03	58,621	62,324		62,324	57,796	σ
58	0603727D8Z Jo	pint Warfighting Program	03	7,335	3,425		3,425	7,405	σ
65	0603781D8Z Sc	oftware Engineering Institute	03	28,619	19,006		19,006	15,776	σ
66	0603826D8Z Qu	nick Reaction Special Projects	03	69,946	68,524		68,524	69,319	σ
68	0603832D8Z Do	D Modeling and Simulation Management Office	03	37,881	34,338		34,338	3,000	σ
71	0603941D8Z Te	est & Evaluation Science & Technology	03	84,112	83,255		83,255	81,148	σ
72	0604055D8Z Op	perational Energy Capability Improvement	03	27,966	47,001		47,001	31,800	σ
73	0303310D8z CW	MD Systems	03	35,017	49,221		49,221	46,066	σ
	Advanced	Technology Development		781,134	828,207		828,207	806,416	
77	0603161D8Z Nu	clear and Conventional Physical Security Equipment RDT&E ADC&	04	29,919	48,302		48,302	41,072	σ
78	0603527D8Z RE	TRACT LARCH	04	18,889	19,139		19,139		σ
79	0603600D8z WA	lkoff	04	84,174	63,763		63,763	90,558	σ
80	0603714D8Z Ad	vanced Sensors Application Program	04	17,407	19,190		19,190	15,518	σ
B 1 01	. WY 2015 D-			~~ ~~					

R-1C1: FY 2015 President's Budget (Published Version), as of February 27, 2014 at 08:39:12

UNCLASSIFIED

#### Defense-Wide FY 2015 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2015 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

Appropriation: 0400D Research, Development, Test & Eval, DW

Line No 	Program Element Number	Item 	Act	FY 2013 (Base & OCO)	FY 2014 Base Enacted	FY 2014 OCO Enacted	FY 2014 Total Enacted	FY 2015 Base	S e c -
81	0603851D8Z	Environmental Security Technical Certification Program	04	67,998	66,453		. 66,453	51,462	σ
99	0603920D8Z	Humanitarian Demining	04	11,741	11,688		11,688	10,194	σ
100	0603923D8Z Coalition Warfare			10,559	9,827		9,827	10,139	σ
101	0604016D8Z	Department of Defense Corrosion Program	04	30,221	20,312		20,312	2,907	υ
102	0604250D8Z	Advanced Innovative Technologies	04		129,883		129,883	190,000	σ
103	3 0604400D8Z Department of Defense (DoD) Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS) Common Development		04	11,233	8,263		8,263	3,702	σ
105	0604670D8Z	Human, Social and Culture Behavior Modeling (HSCB) Research and Engineering	04	4,492	2,000		2,000		σ
106	106 0604775D8Z Defense Rapid Innovation Program		04	218,775	175,000		175,000		σ
113	113 0605170D8Z Support to Networks and Information Integration		04					12,500	σ
114	0303191D8z	Joint Electromagnetic Technology (JET) Program	04	2,899	3,151		3,151	2,656	σ
	Advanc	ed Component Development And Prototypes		508,307	576,971		576,971	430,708	
116	0604161D8Z	Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security Equipment RDT&E SDD	05	6,152	8,109		8,109	7,936	σ
117	0604165D8z	Prompt Global Strike Capability Development	05	176,390	65,393		65,393	70,762	σ
120	0604771D8Z	Joint Tactical Information Distribution System (JTIDS)	05	18,336	17,423		17,423	17,562	υ
124	24 0605022D8Z Defense Exportability Program		05	1,655	3,750		3,750	3,244	σ
125	0605027D8z	OUSD(C) IT Development Initiatives	05	6,267	6,788		6,788	6,500	σ
127	0605075D8Z	DCMO Policy and Integration	05	22,429	19,969		19,969	19,351	σ
130	0605210D8Z	Defense-Wide Electronic Procurement Capabilities	05	26,580	6,184		6,184	9,546	σ
132	0305304D8z	DoD Enterprise Energy Information Management (EEIM)	05	3,176	3,302		3,302	3,660	σ
	System	Development And Demonstration		260,985	130,918		130,918	138,561	

R-1C1: FY 2015 President's Budget (Published Version), as of February 27, 2014 at 08:39:12

UNCLASSIFIED

Volume 3 - ix

#### Defense-Wide FY 2015 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2015 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

Appropriation: 0400D Research, Development, Test & Eval, DW

Line No 	Program Element Number	Item 	Act	FY 2013 (Base & OCO)	FY 2014 Base Enacted	FY 2014 OCO Enacted	FY 2014 Total Enacted	FY 2015 Base	S e c
133	0604774D8z	Defense Readiness Reporting System (DRRS)	06	5,815	6,356		6,356	5,616	σ
134	0604875D8Z	Joint Systems Architecture Development	06	3,227	2,471		2,471	3,092	σ
135	0604940D8z	Central Test and Evaluation Investment Development (CTEIP)	06	177,520	179,607		179,607	254,503	υ
136	0604942D8Z	0604942D8Z Assessments and Evaluations			2,115		2,115	21,661	σ
137	0604943D8Z	Thermal Vicar	06	7,438	8,255		8,255		σ
138	0605100D8Z	Joint Mission Environment Test Capability (JMETC)	06	21,055	27,878		27,878	27,162	σ
139	0605104D8Z	Technical Studies, Support and Analysis	06	30,951	21,930		21,930	24,501	υ
140	0605110D8z	USD(A&T)Critical Technology Support	06	669					υ
141	0605117D8Z	Foreign Materiel Acquisition and Exploitation	06	51,366	48,911		48,911		σ
143	0605128D8z	Classified Program USD(P)	06	89,695	100,000		100,000		υ
144	0605130D8Z	Foreign Comparative Testing	06	15,352	12,125		12,125		υ
145	0605142D8Z	Systems Engineering	06	38,882	39,606		39,606	44,246	σ
146	0605151D8z	Studies and Analysis Support - OSD	06	5,901	5,837		5,837	2,665	υ
147	0605161D8z	Nuclear Matters-Physical Security	06	4,362	4,999		4,999	4,366	σ
148	0605170D8z	Support to Networks and Information Integration	06	5,632	6,277		6,277	27,901	υ
149	0605200D8Z	General Support to USD (Intelligence)	06	14,172	6,466		6,466	2,855	σ
154	0605502D8Z	Small Business Innovative Research	06	54,815					υ
159	0605790D8Z	Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR)/ Small Business Technology Transfer	06	1,344	1,857		1,857	1,634	σ
160	0605798D8z	Defense Technology Analysis	06	10,940	8,332		8,332	12,105	υ
163	0605804D8z	Development Test and Evaluation	06	19,116	19,394		19,394	15,187	υ
165	0606100D8Z	Budget and Program Assessments	06	4,221	4,068	15	4,068	4,100	σ

R-1C1: FY 2015 President's Budget (Published Version), as of February 27, 2014 at 08:39:12

UNCLASSIFIED

#### Defense-Wide FY 2015 President's Budget Exhibit R-1 FY 2015 President's Budget Total Obligational Authority (Dollars in Thousands)

Appropriation: 0400D Research, Development, Test & Eval, DW

Line No	Program Element Number	ent		FY 2013 (Base & OCO)	FY 2014 Base Enacted	FY 2014 OCO Enacted	FY 2014 Total Enacted	FY 2015 Base	S e c
166	0203345D8z	Defense Operations Security Initiative (DOSI)	06	2,355	5,288		5,288	1,956	σ
172	0305193D8Z	05193D8Z Cyber Intelligence		14,645	7,586		7,586	6,748	υ
174	0804767D8Z	04767D8Z COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2)		56,325	38,909		38,909	44,005	σ
177	0909999D8Z	Financing for Cancelled Account Adjustments	06	992					υ
	Management Support			638,935	558,267	••••	558,267	504,303	•
181	1 0607210D8Z Industrial Base Analysis and Sustainment Support		07		9,993		9,993	14,778	σ
182	0607310D8Z Operational Systems Development		07		1,944		1,944	2,953	σ
199	0303140D8Z Information Systems Security Program		07	10,496	10,638		10,638	11,304	σ
205	0303260D8z	Defense Military Deception Program Office (DMDPO)	07	1,157	1,242		1,242	951	σ
213	0305125D8z	Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP)	07	9,339	9,728		9,728	8,846	σ
217	0305186D8Z	Policy R&D Programs	07	5,414	4,210		4,210	7,065	σ
218	0305199D8z	Net Centricity	07	18,849	16,490		16,490	23,984	σ
230	0305387D8Z Homeland Defense Technology Transfer Program		07	2,158	2,327		2,327	2,110	σ
231	0305600D8Z International Intelligence Technology and Architectures		07	1,357	4,363		4,363		υ
	Operat	ional System Development		48,770	60,935		60,935	71,991	
Total	Research,	Development, Test & Eval, DW		2,431,946	2,404,427		2,404,427	2,204,504	

R-1C1: FY 2015 President's Budget (Published Version), as of February 27, 2014 at 08:39:12

Volume 3 - xi

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Office of Secretary Of Defense • Budget Estimates FY 2015 • RDT&E Program

## Program Element Table of Contents (by Budget Activity then Line Item Number)

#### Budget Activity 01: Basic Research Appropriation 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

Line Item	Budget Activity	y Program Element Number	Program Element Title	Page
3	01	0601110D8Z	Basic Research InitiativesVo	olume 3 - 1
5	01	0601120D8Z	National Defense Education Program (NDEP)Vo	olume 3 - 9
6	01	0601228D8Z	Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions	ume 3 - 19

#### Budget Activity 02: Applied Research

Appropriati	propriation 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide					
Line Item	Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title Page			
8	02	0602000D8Z	Joint Munitions TechnologyVolume 3 - 25			
10	02	0602228D8Z	Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions (HBCU/MI) Volume 3 - 39			
11	02	0602234D8Z	Lincoln LaboratoryVolume 3 - 45			
12	02	0602251D8Z	Applied Research for the Advancement of S&T PrioritiesVolume 3 - 57			
17	02	0602663D8Z	Data to Decisions Applied ResearchVolume 3 - 63			
18	02	0602668D8Z	Cyber Security Research Volume 3 - 69			

#### Office of Secretary Of Defense • Budget Estimates FY 2015 • RDT&E Program

#### 

## Budget Activity 03: Advanced Technology Development (ATD) Appropriation 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

Line Item	Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title Pag	ge
26	03	0603000D8Z	Joint Munitions Advanced TechnologyVolume 3 - 8	89
27	03	0603121D8Z	SO/LIC Advanced DevelopmentVolume 3 - 10	03
28	03	0603122D8Z	Combating Terrorism Technology SupportVolume 3 - 1	17
29	03	0603133D8Z	Foreign Comparative Testing	39
37	03	0603225D8Z	Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development	45
42	03	0603288D8Z	Science and Technology (S&T) Analytic Assessments	63
43	03	0603289D8Z	Advanced Innovative Analysis and Concepts	67
46	03	0603618D8Z	Joint Electronic Advanced Technology Volume 3 - 1	71
47	03	0603648D8Z	Joint Capability Technology Demonstration (JCTD)Volume 3 - 1	79
48	03	0603662D8Z	Networked Communications CapabilityVolume 3 - 2	<u>211</u>

## Office of Secretary Of Defense • Budget Estimates FY 2015 • RDT&E Program

# Budget Activity 03: Advanced Technology Development (ATD) Appropriation 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

Line Item	Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title	Page
49	03	0603663D8Z	Data to Decisions Advanced TechnologyVolume 3 -	- 221
50	03	0603668D8Z	Cyber Security Advanced Research Volume 3 -	- 227
51	03	0603670D8Z	Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Advanced DevelopmentVolume 3 -	- 235
52	03	0603680D8Z	Defense Wide Manufacturing Science and Technology Program Volume 3 -	- 239
53	03	0603699D8Z	Emerging Capabilities Technology Development	- 263
56	03	0603716D8Z	Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP)Volume 3 -	- 277
58	03	0603727D8Z	Joint Warfighting ProgramVolume 3 -	- 283
65	03	0603781D8Z	Software Engineering Institute (SEI) Volume 3 -	- 289
66	03	0603826D8Z	Quick Reactions Special Projects (QRSP)Volume 3 -	- 301
68	03	0603832D8Z	DoD Modeling and Simulation Management Office Volume 3 -	- 333
71	03	0603941D8Z	Test and Evaluation/Science and Technology Volume 3 -	- 345
72	03	0604055D8Z	Operational Energy Capability Improvement	- 373
73	03	0303310D8Z	Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD) Systems Volume 3 -	- 381

Office of Secretary Of Defense • Budget Estimates FY 2015 • RDT&E Program

## Budget Activity 04: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P) Appropriation 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

Line Item	Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title	Page
77	04	0603161D8Z	Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Equipment RDT&E ADC&P	Volume 3 - 389
78	04	0603527D8Z	Retract Larch	. Volume 3 - 403
79	04	0603600D8Z	WALKOFF	. Volume 3 - 405
80	04	0603714D8Z	Advanced Sensor Applications Program	Volume 3 - 407
81	04	0603851D8Z	Environmental Security Technology Certification Program	. Volume 3 - 409
99	04	0603920D8Z	Humanitarian De-mining	Volume 3 - 413
100	04	0603923D8Z	Coalition Warfare	Volume 3 - 417
101	04	0604016D8Z	Department of Defense Corrosion Program	Volume 3 - 427
102	04	0604250D8Z	Advanced Innovative Technologies	Volume 3 - 437
103	04	0604400D8Z	Unmanned Aircraft Systems Common Development	Volume 3 - 443
105	04	0604670D8Z	Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Research and Engineering	Volume 3 - 453
106	04	0604775D8Z	Defense Rapid Innovation Program	. Volume 3 - 459
113	04	0605170D8Z	Support to Networks and Information Integration	Volume 3 - 461
114	04	0303191D8Z	Joint Electromagnetic Technology (JET) Program	Volume 3 - 463

#### Office of Secretary Of Defense • Budget Estimates FY 2015 • RDT&E Program

## Budget Activity 05: System Development & Demonstration (SDD) Appropriation 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

Line Item	Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title Page
116	05	0604161D8Z	Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Countering Nuclear Threats
117	05	0604165D8Z	Prompt Global Strike Capability Development
120	05	0604771D8Z	Joint Tactical Information Distribution System (JTIDS)
124	05	0605022D8Z	Defense Exportability Program
125	05	0605027D8Z	OUSD(C) IT Development Initiative
127	05	0605075D8Z	DCMO Policy and Integration
130	05	0605210D8Z	Defense-Wide Electronic Procurement Capabilities
132	05	0305304D8Z	DoD Enterprise Energy Information Management (EEIM)Volume 3 - 523

#### Budget Activity 06: RDT&E Management Support

Appropriation 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

Line Item	Budget Activity	/ Program Element Number	Program Element Title Page
133	06	0604774D8Z	Defense Readiness Reporting System (DRRS)
134	06	0604875D8Z	Joint Systems Architecture Development

## Office of Secretary Of Defense • Budget Estimates FY 2015 • RDT&E Program

#### Budget Activity 06: RDT&E Management Support Appropriation 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide Budget Activity Program Element Number Line Item Program Element Title Page Central Test and Evaluation Investment Program (CTEIP)......Volume 3 - 541 135 06 0604940D8Z 136 06 0604942D8Z 137 06 0604943D8Z Thermal Vicar. Volume 3 - 553 Joint Mission Environment Test Capability (JMETC)...... Volume 3 - 555 0605100D8Z 138 06 0605104D8Z 139 06 Technical Studies Support and Analysis......Volume 3 - 563 0605110D8Z 140 06 141 06 0605117D8Z 143 06 0605128D8Z 06 0605130D8Z Foreign Comparative Testing...... Volume 3 - 581 144 0605142D8Z 145 06 Systems Engineering......Volume 3 - 591 0605151D8Z Studies and Analysis Support - OSD......Volume 3 - 607 146 06 147 06 0605161D8Z Nuclear Matters- Physical Security...... Volume 3 - 611 Support to Networks and Information Integration....... 148 06 0605170D8Z General Support to OUSD(I)......Volume 3 - 631 149 06 0605200D8Z Small Business Innovation Research/Small Business Technology Transfer (SBIR/ 154 06 0605502D8Z STTR)...... Volume 3 - 639 Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR)/Small Business Technology Transfer 159 06 0605790D8Z

### Office of Secretary Of Defense • Budget Estimates FY 2015 • RDT&E Program

# Budget Activity 06: RDT&E Management Support

Appropriation 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

Line Item	Budget Activity	/ Program Element Number	Program Element Title	Page
160	06	0605798D8Z	Defense Technology AnalysisVolume	9 3 - 651
163	06	0605804D8Z	Development Test & EvaluationVolume	9 3 - 665
165	06	0606100D8Z	Budget and Program AssessmentsVolume	9 3 - 673
166	06	0203345D8Z	Defense Operations Security Initiative Volume	9 3 - 679
172	06	0305193D8Z	Cyber IntelligenceVolume	9 - 683
174	06	0804767D8Z	COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2) Volume	e 3 - 687
177	06	0909999D8Z	Financing for Cancelled Account AdjustmentsVolume	9 3 - 717

#### Budget Activity 07: Operational Systems Development

Appropriation 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide

Line Item	Budget Activity	Program Element Number	Program Element Title Page
181	07	0607210D8Z	Industrial Base Analysis and Sustainment SupportVolume 3 - 719
182	07	0607310D8Z	Operational Systems Development
199	07	0303140D8Z	Information Systems Security Program
205	07	0303260D8Z	Defense Military Deception Program Office
213	07	0305125D8Z	Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP)Volume 3 - 743

## Office of Secretary Of Defense • Budget Estimates FY 2015 • RDT&E Program

#### **Budget Activity 07: Operational Systems Development** Appropriation 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide Budget Activity Program Element Number **Program Element Title** Page Line Item 0305186D8Z Policy R&D Programs......Volume 3 - 749 217 07 Net Centricity......Volume 3 - 753 218 07 0305199D8Z Homeland Defense Technology Transfer Program......Volume 3 - 763 230 07 0305387D8Z International Intelligence Technology and Architectures...... Volume 3 - 765 231 07 0305600D8Z

## Office of Secretary Of Defense • Budget Estimates FY 2015 • RDT&E Program

## Program Element Table of Contents (Alphabetically by Program Element Title)

Program Element Title	Program Element Number	Line Item	Budget Activity Page
Advanced Innovative Analysis and Concepts	0603289D8Z	43	03Volume 3 - 167
Advanced Innovative Technologies	0604250D8Z	102	04 Volume 3 - 437
Advanced Sensor Applications Program	0603714D8Z	80	04 Volume 3 - 407
Applied Research for the Advancement of S&T Priorities	0602251D8Z	12	02Volume 3 - 57
Assessments & Evaluations	0604942D8Z	136	06Volume 3 - 551
Basic Research Initiatives	0601110D8Z	3	01 Volume 3 - 1
Budget and Program Assessments	0606100D8Z	165	06Volume 3 - 673
COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2)	0804767D8Z	174	06Volume 3 - 687
Central Test and Evaluation Investment Program (CTEIP)	0604940D8Z	135	06Volume 3 - 541
Classified Program	0605128D8Z	143	06Volume 3 - 579
Coalition Warfare	0603923D8Z	100	04 Volume 3 - 417
Combating Terrorism Technology Support	0603122D8Z	28	03Volume 3 - 117
Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD) Systems	0303310D8Z	73	03Volume 3 - 381
Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP)	0305125D8Z	213	07 Volume 3 - 743
Cyber Intelligence	0305193D8Z	172	06Volume 3 - 683
Cyber Security Advanced Research	0603668D8Z	50	03Volume 3 - 227
Cyber Security Research	0602668D8Z	18	02Volume 3 - 69

# Office of Secretary Of Defense • Budget Estimates FY 2015 • RDT&E Program

Program Element Title	Program Element Number	Line Item	Budget Activity Page
DCMO Policy and Integration	0605075D8Z	127	05 Volume 3 - 511
Data to Decisions Advanced Technology	0603663D8Z	49	03Volume 3 - 221
Data to Decisions Applied Research	0602663D8Z	17	02 Volume 3 - 63
Defense Exportability Program	0605022D8Z	124	05Volume 3 - 499
Defense Military Deception Program Office	0303260D8Z	205	07Volume 3 - 739
Defense Operations Security Initiative	0203345D8Z	166	06Volume 3 - 679
Defense Rapid Innovation Program	0604775D8Z	106	04Volume 3 - 459
Defense Readiness Reporting System (DRRS)	0604774D8Z	133	06Volume 3 - 529
Defense Technology Analysis	0605798D8Z	160	06Volume 3 - 651
Defense Wide Manufacturing Science and Technology Program	0603680D8Z	52	03Volume 3 - 239
Defense-Wide Electronic Procurement Capabilities	0605210D8Z	130	05Volume 3 - 517
Department of Defense Corrosion Program	0604016D8Z	101	04 Volume 3 - 427
Development Test & Evaluation	0605804D8Z	163	06Volume 3 - 665
DoD Enterprise Energy Information Management (EEIM)	0305304D8Z	132	05Volume 3 - 523
DoD Modeling and Simulation Management Office	0603832D8Z	68	03Volume 3 - 333
Emerging Capabilities Technology Development	0603699D8Z	53	03Volume 3 - 263
Environmental Security Technology Certification Program	0603851D8Z	81	04Volume 3 - 409
Financing for Cancelled Account Adjustments	0909999D8Z	177	06Volume 3 - 717
Foreign Comparative Testing	0603133D8Z	29	03Volume 3 - 139

# Office of Secretary Of Defense • Budget Estimates FY 2015 • RDT&E Program

Program Element Title	Program Element Number	Line Item	Budget Activity Page
Foreign Comparative Testing	0605130D8Z	144	06 Volume 3 - 581
Foreign Materiel Acquisition and Exploitation	0605117D8Z	141	06 Volume 3 - 577
General Support to OUSD(I)	0605200D8Z	149	06Volume 3 - 631
Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions	0601228D8Z	6	01 Volume 3 - 19
Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions (HBCU/MI)	0602228D8Z	10	02 Volume 3 - 39
Homeland Defense Technology Transfer Program	0305387D8Z	230	07Volume 3 - 763
Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Advanced Development	0603670D8Z	51	03Volume 3 - 235
Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Applied Research	0602670D8Z	19	02 Volume 3 - 77
Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Research and Engineering	0604670D8Z	105	04Volume 3 - 453
Humanitarian De-mining	0603920D8Z	99	04Volume 3 - 413
Industrial Base Analysis and Sustainment Support	0607210D8Z	181	07Volume 3 - 719
Information Systems Security Program	0303140D8Z	199	07Volume 3 - 729
International Intelligence Technology and Architectures	0305600D8Z	231	07Volume 3 - 765
Joint Capability Technology Demonstration (JCTD)	0603648D8Z	47	03Volume 3 - 179
Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development	0603225D8Z	37	03Volume 3 - 145
Joint Electromagnetic Technology (JET) Program	0303191D8Z	114	04Volume 3 - 463
Joint Electronic Advanced Technology	0603618D8Z	46	03Volume 3 - 171
Joint Mission Environment Test Capability (JMETC)	0605100D8Z	138	06Volume 3 - 555
Joint Munitions Advanced Technology	0603000D8Z	26	03Volume 3 - 89

# Office of Secretary Of Defense • Budget Estimates FY 2015 • RDT&E Program

Program Element Title	Program Element Number	Line Item	Budget Activity Page
Joint Munitions Technology	0602000D8Z	8	02Volume 3 - 25
Joint Systems Architecture Development	0604875D8Z	134	06Volume 3 - 535
Joint Tactical Information Distribution System (JTIDS)	0604771D8Z	120	05Volume 3 - 487
Joint Warfighting Program	0603727D8Z	58	03Volume 3 - 283
Lincoln Laboratory	0602234D8Z	11	02 Volume 3 - 45
National Defense Education Program (NDEP)	0601120D8Z	5	01Volume 3 - 9
Net Centricity	0305199D8Z	218	07Volume 3 - 753
Networked Communications Capability	0603662D8Z	48	03Volume 3 - 211
Nuclear Matters- Physical Security	0605161D8Z	147	06Volume 3 - 611
Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Countering Nuclear Threats	0604161D8Z	116	05Volume 3 - 465
Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Equipment RDT&E ADC&P	0603161D8Z	77	04Volume 3 - 389
OUSD(C) IT Development Initiative	0605027D8Z	125	05Volume 3 - 507
Operational Energy Capability Improvement	0604055D8Z	72	03Volume 3 - 373
Operational Systems Development	0607310D8Z	182	07Volume 3 - 725
Policy R&D Programs	0305186D8Z	217	07Volume 3 - 749
Prompt Global Strike Capability Development	0604165D8Z	117	05Volume 3 - 475
Quick Reactions Special Projects (QRSP)	0603826D8Z	66	03Volume 3 - 301
Retract Larch	0603527D8Z	78	04 Volume 3 - 403
SO/LIC Advanced Development	0603121D8Z	27	03Volume 3 - 103

# Office of Secretary Of Defense • Budget Estimates FY 2015 • RDT&E Program

Program Element Title	Program Element Number	Line Item	Budget Activity Page
Science and Technology (S&T) Analytic Assessments	0603288D8Z	42	03Volume 3 - 163
Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR)/Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR)	0605790D8Z	159	06 Volume 3 - 645
Small Business Innovation Research/Small Business Technology Transfer (SBIR/ STTR)	0605502D8Z	154	06 Volume 3 - 639
Software Engineering Institute (SEI)	0603781D8Z	65	03 Volume 3 - 289
Software Engineering Institute (SEI) Applied Research	0602751D8Z	24	02Volume 3 - 83
Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP)	0603716D8Z	56	03 Volume 3 - 277
Studies and Analysis Support - OSD	0605151D8Z	146	06 Volume 3 - 607
Support to Networks and Information Integration	0605170D8Z	113	04 Volume 3 - 461
Support to Networks and Information Integration	0605170D8Z	148	06 Volume 3 - 619
Systems Engineering	0605142D8Z	145	06 Volume 3 - 591
Technical Studies Support and Analysis	0605104D8Z	139	06 Volume 3 - 563
Test and Evaluation/Science and Technology	0603941D8Z	71	03 Volume 3 - 345
Thermal Vicar	0604943D8Z	137	06 Volume 3 - 553
USD (A&T) Critical Technology Support	0605110D8Z	140	06 Volume 3 - 573
Unmanned Aircraft Systems Common Development	0604400D8Z	103	04 Volume 3 - 443
WALKOFF	0603600D8Z	79	04 Volume 3 - 405

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

UNCLASSIFIED

Volume 3 - xxvi

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity       R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)         0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 1: Basic       PE 0601110D8Z I Basic Research Initiatives         Research       Research												
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	17.368	11.169	44.564	-	44.564	46.709	46.799	48.047	50.533	Continuing	Continuing
P010: Basic Research Initiatives	-	17.368	11.169	11.371	-	11.371	11.528	11.548	12.148	12.248	Continuing	Continuing
P101: National Security Science and Engineering Faculty Fellowship (NSSEFF)	-	-	-	33.193	-	33.193	35.181	35.251	35.899	38.285	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### Note

The National Security Science and Engineering Faculty Fellowship (NSSEFF) program is realigned from the National Defense Education Program (NDEP), program element (PE) 0601120D8Z, to this PE beginning in FY 2015.

This program element (PE) incorporates Minerva Research Initiative activities, which include university-led basic research in social science and sponsored research faculty chair positions at defense education institutions, and activities to implement the basic research office strategic plan for the Department of Defense (DoD).

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Basic research provides the DoD with a deep and broad awareness of current directions in science and engineering through the scientific performers in areas of research that are important to U.S. military capabilities including, among others, physics and the physical sciences, materials science, chemistry and chemical engineering, electrical engineering, applied mathematics, computer science, mechanical and aerodynamic engineering, ocean sciences, biological sciences, and the social sciences. Basic research sustains scientific and engineering communities in areas that form the critical technical underpinnings of DoD capabilities. Basic research through exploration and discovery provides the unique means for disruptive non-incremental advances that can improve or radically change military capabilities, strategy, and operations.

The Minerva Research Initiative is a university-based social science basic research program directed from within the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) and executed by the Services, consistent with the January 2012 priorities document "Sustaining U.S. Global Leadership: Priorities for 21st Century Defense" and the Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) requirements. This program seeks to build a deeper understanding of the social, cultural, and political forces that shape regions of the world of strategic importance to the United States. Deeper understanding of the cultural and political environments where threats, such as radical actors and regime disruptions, develop supports more effective strategic and operational policy decisions.

The Strategic Support for Basic Research (SSBR) program funds initiatives to implement the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (ASD(R&E)) strategic plan for defense basic research. This plan defines specific and quantifiable actions to help create conditions for defense basic research investments capable of creating high-payoff, transformative scientific breakthroughs for DoD. The SSBR initiatives support the five aims of: (1) providing scientific leadership; (2) attracting

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	·				
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 1: Basic	PE 0601110D8Z I Basic Research Initiatives					
Research						
the Nation's best Scientists and Engineers (S&Es); (3) ensuring the coherence and balance of the Basic Research portfolio; (4) fostering connections between DoD						
performers and DoD; and (5) improving the efficiency of the defense research	business environment.					

The National Security Science and Engineering Faculty Fellowship (NSSEFF) program supports world-class researchers in scientific areas of critical importance to DoD and ensures the cultivation of exceptional talent. NSSEFF provides a critical resource for connections between academia and the DoD science and engineering enterprise. Fellows' work spans all seven DoD S&T priorities and defines a broad set of emerging scientific areas. Fellows serve as speakers at DoD events, reviewers on panels for DoD science, and as collaborators with DoD laboratory scientists and engineers.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	19.405	11.171	13.091	-	13.091
Current President's Budget	17.368	11.169	44.564	-	44.564
Total Adjustments	-2.037	-0.002	31.473	-	31.473
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-1.524	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.025	-			
Congressional Adds	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.479	-			
<ul> <li>Realignment of the NSSEFF Program</li> </ul>	-	-	33.193	-	33.193
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-1.720	-	-1.720
<ul> <li>FFRDC Adjustments</li> </ul>	-	-0.002	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.009	-	-	-	-

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

The National Security Science and Engineering Faculty Fellowship (NSSEFF) program is realigned from PE 0601120D8Z to this PE in FY 2015.

The reduction is a strategic efficiency approach to reduce funding and staffing. As a result, we provide a better alignment of funding and provide support to a smaller military force.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity         R-1 Program Element (Number/Name           0400 / 1         PE 0601110D8Z / Basic Research Initia						,	Project (N P010 / Bas		,			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P010: Basic Research Initiatives	-	17.368	11.169	11.371	-	11.371	11.528	11.548	12.148	12.248	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Basic research provides the DoD with a deep and broad awareness of current directions in science and engineering through the scientific performers in areas of research that are important to U.S. military capabilities including, among others, physics and the physical sciences, materials science, chemistry and chemical engineering, electrical engineering, applied mathematics, computer science, mechanical and aerodynamic engineering, ocean sciences, biological sciences, and the social sciences. Basic research sustains scientific and engineering communities in areas that form the critical technical underpinnings of DoD capabilities. Basic research through exploration and discovery provides the unique means for disruptive non-incremental advances that can improve or radically change military capabilities, strategy, and operations.

The Minerva Research Initiative is a university-based social science basic research program directed from within the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) and executed by the Services, consistent with the January 2012 priorities document "Sustaining U.S. Global Leadership: Priorities for 21st Century Defense" and the Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) requirements. This program seeks to build a deeper understanding of the social, cultural, and political forces that shape regions of the world of strategic importance to the United States.

The Strategic Support for Basic Research (SSBR) program funds initiatives to implement the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (ASD(R&E)) strategic plan for defense basic research. This plan defines specific and quantifiable actions to help create conditions for defense basic research investments capable of creating high-payoff, transformative scientific breakthroughs for DoD. The SSBR initiatives support the five aims of (1) providing scientific leadership; (2) attracting the Nation's best Scientists and Engineers (S&Es); (3) ensuring the coherence and balance of the Basic Research portfolio; (4) fostering connections between DoD performers and DoD; and (5) improving the efficiency of the defense research business environment.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Minerva Research Initiative	16.000	8.671	8.871
<b>Description:</b> The Minerva Research Initiative is a university-based social science basic research program directed from within the OSD and executed by the Services, consistent with the January 2012 priorities document "Sustaining U.S. Global Leadership: Priorities for 21st Century Defense" and the QDR requirements. This program seeks to build a deeper understanding of the social, cultural, and political forces that shape regions of the world of strategic importance to the United States.			
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> A Department-wide solicitation of topics to be set as Minerva priority social science research areas drew responses from Service leadership, the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), Combatant Commands (COCOMs), J5, the intelligence community, and others. The resulting broad agency announcement (BAA) and correlated source selection process identified			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D		Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 1	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0601110D8Z <i>I Basic Research Initiatives</i>	<b>Project (Νι</b> P010 / Basi			S
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
several new university-led research grants to be awarded in these newly derive white papers; 14 proposals were selected for award in accordance with recomm Technology (S&T), defense policy, and academic experts as well as the approp	nendations from a panel of defense Science a	nd			
Sponsored eight faculty chair positions for Minerva Research Fellows at defens academies).	se education institutions (war colleges and Ser	vice			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> A Department-wide solicitation of topics to be set as Minerva priority social scie DARPA, J5, the intelligence community, and others in the defense community. process will select new university-led research grants to be awarded in these new	The resulting BAA and correlated source sele				
The Minerva Research Fellows program is being restructured to more effective and better connect social science research insights to current and future defens institutions (PMEs) and elsewhere. An ongoing pilot program has been designer rather than funding new research faculty by enabling activities such as PME cu exchange opportunities, and research-informed tabletop exercises.	se leadership at professional military educatior ed to augment existing institutional resources				
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> Continue and start new university-led research initiatives. Based on lessons lead institutions, the Minerva program will continue strengthening DoD-internal social PME curriculum development, new academic-government exchange opportunity	al science capabilities by enabling activities suc	h as			
<i>Title:</i> Strategic Support for Basic Research (SSBR)			1.368	2.498	2.500
<b>Description:</b> The SSBR program funds initiatives to implement the ASD(R&E) plan defines specific and quantifiable actions to help create conditions for defer creating high-payoff, transformative scientific breakthroughs for DoD. The SSB scientific leadership; (2) attracting the Nation's best scientists and engineers; (3) Research portfolio; (4) fostering connections between DoD performers and DoD research business environment.	nse basic research investments capable of BR initiatives support the five aims of (1) provid B) ensuring the coherence and balance of the E	ng asic			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Conducted workshops for scientific situational awareness. Convened National on potential breakthroughs and barriers to advancement in rapidly evolving field					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense	Date:	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 1	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0601110D8Z <i>I Basic Research Initiatives</i>	<b>Project (Numbe</b> P010 / <i>Basic Res</i>		S
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
business practices for improvement. Acquired scientific expertise to oversee e DoD-wide Basic Research objectives and priorities.	ngineering and science initiatives. Established	t		
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Conduct workshops for scientific situational awareness. Convene National respotential breakthroughs and barriers to advancement in rapidly evolving fields related business practices for improvement. Continue support for scientific expinitiatives. Conduct ASD(R&E) Deans Dialog event to foster active connection	of basic research. Continue to analyze universpertise to oversee engineering and science			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Conduct workshops for scientific situational awareness. Convene National respotential breakthroughs and barriers to advancement in rapidly evolving fields related business practices for improvement. Continue support for scientific expinitiatives. Conduct ASD(R&E) Deans Dialog event to foster active connection	of basic research. Continue to analyze universpertise to oversee engineering and science			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals 17.36	8 11.169	11.371
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u> <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A				
<u>E. Performance Metrics</u> N/A				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 1					PE 0601110D8Z / Basic Research Initiatives P101					<b>ject (Number/Name)</b> 1 I National Security Science and ineering Faculty Fellowship (NSSEFF)		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P101: National Security Science and Engineering Faculty Fellowship (NSSEFF)		-	33.193	-	33.193	35.181	35.251	35.899	38.28	5 Continuing	Continuin	
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wil	I be submitted at a lat	er date.		1	<u> </u>	I	1					
									<b>.</b>			
A. Mission Description and Bud The National Security Science an DoD and ensures the cultivation of enterprise. Fellows' work is select defines a broad set of emerging s laboratory scientists and enginee	d Engineering Faculty of exceptional talent. cted on the basis of basis scientific areas. Fellow	NSSEFF pro sic research	vides a crit priorities a	ical resourc ind other em	e for conne nerging area	ctions betwo as of potent	een acader ial importar	nia and the nce to DoD l	DoD scien basic scien	ce and engir tific researcl	neering n and	
The National Security Science an DoD and ensures the cultivation of enterprise. Fellows' work is select defines a broad set of emerging s	d Engineering Faculty of exceptional talent. cted on the basis of ba scientific areas. Fellow rs.	NSSEFF pro isic research vs serve as s	vides a crit priorities a	ical resourc ind other em	e for conne nerging area	ctions betwo as of potent	een acader ial importar	nia and the nce to DoD l ence, and a	DoD scien basic scien as collabora	ce and engir tific researcl	neering n and	
The National Security Science an DoD and ensures the cultivation of enterprise. Fellows' work is select defines a broad set of emerging s laboratory scientists and enginee	nd Engineering Faculty of exceptional talent. oted on the basis of basis scientific areas. Fellow rs. <b>Programs (\$ in Millior</b>	NSSEFF pro isic research vs serve as s is)	vides a crit priorities a speakers at	ical resourc ind other en DoD events	e for conne nerging area	ctions betwo as of potent	een acader ial importar	nia and the nce to DoD l ence, and a	DoD scien basic scien as collabora	ce and engir tific researcl ators with Do	neering n and bD FY 2015	
The National Security Science an DoD and ensures the cultivation of enterprise. Fellows' work is select defines a broad set of emerging s laboratory scientists and enginee <b>B. Accomplishments/Planned P</b>	ad Engineering Faculty of exceptional talent. cted on the basis of basis scientific areas. Fellow rs. <b>Programs (\$ in Million</b> and Engineering Faculty at DoD has a research ctives of the program a boD; (2) provide univer- ure challenges; (3) fos	NSSEFF pro asic research vs serve as s as) ty Fellowship h portfolio th are to: (1) cor rsity research ter research	vides a crit priorities a speakers at (NSSEFF) at supports nduct innov ners with ar collaboratio	ical resourc ind other em DoD events to the foremo vative, uncla n overview c ons betweer	e for conne herging area s, reviewers st creative, ssified, bas of DoD's mis n science an	innovative, ic scientific ssions, emp	een acader ial importar for DoD sci and produc and engine loyed ing faculty	nia and the nce to DoD l ence, and a FY	DoD scien basic scien as collabora	ce and engir tific researcl ators with Do	neering n and bD FY 2015	
The National Security Science an DoD and ensures the cultivation of enterprise. Fellows' work is select defines a broad set of emerging s laboratory scientists and enginee <b>B. Accomplishments/Planned P</b> <i>Title:</i> National Security Science a <i>Description:</i> NSSEFF ensures the university researchers. The object research on topics of interest to D technologies, and current and future	A Engineering Faculty of exceptional talent. Scientific areas. Fellow rs. <b>Programs (\$ in Million</b> and Engineering Faculty and Engineering Faculty at DoD has a research ctives of the program a boD; (2) provide univer- ure challenges; (3) fos ase the cadre of ready EFF Fellows. Review Fellows. Conduct a ections between Fello ther develop the colla	NSSEFF pro asic research vs serve as s as) ty Fellowship h portfolio th are to: (1) cor rsity research ter research and relevan program top NSSEFF pro ws and DoD	vides a crit priorities a speakers at (NSSEFF) at supports nduct innov ners with ar collaboration t technical bic areas, e gram review scientists a	ical resourc ind other em DoD events bob events the foremo vative, uncla n overview c ons betweer expertise will ligibility, revi w and repor	e for conne nerging area s, reviewers st creative, ssified, bas of DoD's mis n science an hich DoD ca iew process t on Fellows rs. Organiz	ctions betw as of potent s on panels innovative, ic scientific ssions, emp nd engineer an call upon s and select s' progress. ze and cond	een acader ial importar for DoD sci and produc and engine loyed ing faculty i. Use this v luct a DoD-	nia and the nce to DoD I ence, and a FY ctive ering enue wide	DoD scien basic scien as collabora	ce and engir tific researcl ators with Do	neering n and oD	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 1	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0601110D8Z <i>I Basic Research Initiatives</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P101 / National Security Science and Engineering Faculty Fellowship (NSSEFF)		
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)				
N/A Remarks				
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A				
E. Performance Metrics				
N/A				

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense Database Databa							Date: Marc	Date: March 2014				
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: <i>Research, Development,</i> <i>Research</i>		ation, Defen	se-Wide I B	e / BA 1: Basic PE 0601120D8Z / National Defense Education Program (NDEP)								
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	73.667	77.241	45.488	-	45.488	48.212	48.308	49.197	52.466	Continuing	Continuing
P120: National Defense Education Program (NDEP)	-	73.667	77.241	45.488	-	45.488	48.212	48.308	49.197	52.466	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

## <u>Note</u>

The National Security Science and Engineering Faculty Fellowship (NSSEFF) program is realigned from PE 0601120D8Z to PE 0601110D8Z, Basic Research Initiatives, beginning in FY 2015.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The National Defense Education Program (NDEP) engages the full science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) continuum to ensure the Department of Defense (DoD) will have access to high-quality STEM personnel vital to national defense now and in the future. STEM degree production compared to U.S. employment projections show that there is likely to be a significant shortage of STEM professionals, especially in computing, information technology, and electronics engineering, DoD mission critical occupations for which NDEP's continuum of initiatives provides a pool of exceptional talent. NDEP's portfolio provides short, medium, and long-term solutions to the perfect storm of workforce challenges, which include: (1) impending retirement of 33 percent of DoD's STEM workforce; (2) low college readiness rate and interest in STEM majors; and (3) challenges that DoD, like other Federal employers, face in recruiting and retaining high-quality STEM talent in a competitive environment.

NDEP aligns to the DoD Science and Technology (S&T) priorities and the integrated STEM/Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions (HBCU/ MIs) program, synchronized with the Federal 5-Year STEM Strategic Plan, the DoD STEM Strategic and Implementation Plans and the DoD Strategic Workforce Plan (in progress). NDEP components engage in assessment and evaluation as required by the Office of Management and Budget and the Government Accountability Office.

Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation (SMART) awards highly competitive scholarships-for-service to undergraduate and graduate students in 19 academic STEM disciplines and moves graduates directly into DoD's workforce following graduation. Internships engage SMART scholars in hands-on, authentic research and work experiences in DoD facilities, thereby enhancing their educational experience and building a public service commitment to the Department's mission. Since its inception as a pilot program in FY 2005, SMART has supported ~1,455 students from bachelor to doctoral levels and to date ~900 have completed program studies and transitioned into the DoD workforce. SMART ensures that DoD has a steady infusion of high-quality U.S. technical talent, prepared in areas of critical importance to DoD, ready to apply their technical knowledge, skills, and abilities to fulfill DoD's mission.

National Security Science and Engineering Faculty Fellowship (NSSEFF) currently supports 29 world-class researchers (NSSEFF Fellows) in scientific areas of critical importance to DoD and ensures the cultivation of exceptional future talent. The NSSEFF Fellows work with ~100 undergraduate students, ~270 graduate students, and ~150 post-doctoral scholars at their respective academic institutions. Three cohorts of NSSEFF Fellows, with the first selected in FY 2008, provides a critical

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 1: Basic	PE 0601120D8Z I National Defense Education Program	(NDEP)
Research		
resource for connections between academia and the DoD science and engine	ering enterprise. Fellows' work spans all seven DoD S&T	priorities and defines a broad
set of emerging scientific research areas, critical to the development of future I	DoD technologies. NSSEFF Fellows serve as speakers a	t DoD events, reviewers on

set of emerging scientific research areas, critical to the development of future DoD technologies. NSSEFF Fellows serve as speakers at DoD events, reviewers on panels for DoD science, and as collaborators with scientists and engineers at DoD's laboratories and other research facilities. The NSSEFF program is realigned to PE 0601110D8Z Basic Research Initiatives in FY 2015.

NDEP Pre-Kindergarten (PK)-12 engages, develops, and attracts STEM talent for future DoD military and civilian workforce needs via 68 local sites and nine national organizations. NDEP PK-12 leverages the DoD's STEM expertise to connect students, teachers, schools, and public sector and industry partners with DoD subject matter experts (SMEs) primarily in those communities adjacent to DoD laboratories and bases where the talent pool resides. Authentic STEM experiences for teachers and students include hands-on activities that are aligned with DoD's technical workforce requirements. Since FY 2007, NDEP PK-12 has increased the number of DoD facilities that directly engage local education authorities (LEAs) to: (1) build student interest in STEM fields and disciplines and in careers specific to DoD; (2) develop DoD-relevant science, engineering and mathematics skills; and (3) provide future talent to fulfill DoD's demand for highly skilled STEM professionals. NDEP PK-12 has utilized 4,100 exceptional DoD STEM professionals to reach 500,000 students and 8,300 teachers in 30 states. As one specific example, DoD SMEs contributed over 8,000 hours to lead FIRST Robotics Competition teams, with over 90 percent of team members reporting that the hands-on experience taught them about how science and technology can be used to solve real-world science and engineering problems. The PK-12 program is terminated in FY 2014, though portions may transfer to the Department of Education.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	87.979	84.271	96.906	-	96.906
Current President's Budget	73.667	77.241	45.488	-	45.488
Total Adjustments	-14.312	-7.030	-51.418	-	-51.418
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-7.000			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-7.826	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.116	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-4.042	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-2.294	-			
<ul> <li>Realignment of the NSSEFF Program</li> </ul>	-	-	-33.193	-	-33.193
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-18.225	-	-18.225
FFRDC Adjustments	-	-0.030	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.034	-	-	-	-

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

The National Security Science and Engineering Faculty Fellowship (NSSEFF) program is realigned to PE 0601110D8Z, Basic Research Initiatives, in FY 2015.

hibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	cretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014
propriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/N	ame)
00: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA		
search		
The reduction is a strategic efficiency approach to reduce fundi		the click match from the conductor ide compart to c
	ng and staning. As a result, we provide a be	tter alignment of funding and provide support to a
smaller military force.		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 1				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0601120D8Z <i>I National Defense</i> <i>Education Program (NDEP)</i>				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P120 <i>I National Defense Education Program</i> (NDEP)					
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P120: National Defense Education Program (NDEP)	-	73.667	77.241	45.488	-	45.488	48.212	48.308	49.197	52.466	Continuing	Continuing	

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### Note

The National Security Science and Engineering Faculty Fellowship (NSSEFF) program is realigned from PE 0601120D8Z to PE 0601110D8Z, Basic Research Initiatives, beginning in FY 2015.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The National Defense Education Program (NDEP) engages the full science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) continuum to ensure the Department of Defense (DoD) will have access to high-quality STEM personnel vital to national defense now and in the future. STEM degree production compared to U.S. employment projections show that there is likely to be a significant shortage of STEM professionals, especially in computing, information technology, and electronics engineering, DoD mission critical occupations for which NDEP's continuum of initiatives provides a pool of exceptional talent. NDEP's portfolio provides short, medium, and long-term solutions to the perfect storm of workforce challenges, which include: (1) impending retirement of 33 percent of DoD's STEM workforce; (2) low college readiness rate and interest in STEM majors; and (3) challenges that DoD, like other Federal employers, face in recruiting and retaining high-quality STEM talent in a competitive environment.

NDEP aligns to the DoD Science and Technology (S&T) priorities and the integrated STEM/Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions (HBCU/ MIs) program, synchronized with the Federal 5-Year STEM Strategic Plan, the DoD STEM Strategic and Implementation Plans and the DoD Strategic Workforce Plan (in progress). NDEP components engage in assessment and evaluation as required by the Office of Management and Budget and the Government Accountability Office.

Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation (SMART) awards highly competitive scholarships-for-service to undergraduate and graduate students in 19 academic STEM disciplines and moves graduates directly into DoD's workforce following graduation. Internships engage SMART scholars in hands-on, authentic research and work experiences in DoD facilities, thereby enhancing their educational experience and building a public service commitment to the Department's mission. Since its inception as a pilot program in FY 2005, SMART has supported ~1,455 students from bachelor to doctoral levels and to date ~900 have completed program studies and transitioned into the DoD workforce. SMART ensures that DoD has a steady infusion of high-quality U.S. technical talent, prepared in areas of critical importance to DoD, ready to apply their technical knowledge, skills, and abilities to fulfill DoD's mission.

National Security Science and Engineering Faculty Fellowship (NSSEFF) program supports world-class researchers in scientific areas of critical importance to DoD and ensures the cultivation of exceptional future talent. The NSSEFF provides a critical resource for connections between academia and the DoD science and engineering enterprise. NSSEFF Fellows' work spans all seven DoD S&T priorities and defines a broad set of emerging scientific research areas, critical to the development of future DoD technologies. NSSEFF Fellows serve as speakers at DoD events, reviewers on panels for DoD science, and as collaborators with scientists and engineers at DoD's laboratories and other research facilities. The NSSEFF program is realigned to PE 0601110D8Z Basic Research Initiatives in FY 2015.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense	Date: March 2014	
0400 / 1	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0601120D8Z <i>I National Defense</i> <i>Education Program (NDEP)</i>		umber/Name) ional Defense Education Program

NDEP Pre-Kindergarten (PK)-12 engages, develops, and attracts STEM talent for future DoD military and civilian workforce needs via 68 local sites and nine national organizations. NDEP PK-12 leverages the DoD's STEM expertise to connect students, teachers, schools, and public sector and industry partners with DoD subject matter experts (SMEs) primarily in those communities adjacent to DoD laboratories and bases where the talent pool resides. Authentic STEM experiences for teachers and students include hands-on activities that are aligned with DoD's technical workforce requirements. Since FY 2007, NDEP PK-12 has increased the number of DoD facilities that directly engage local education authorities (LEAs) to: (1) build student interest in STEM fields and disciplines and in careers specific to DoD; (2) develop DoD-relevant science, engineering and mathematics skills; and (3) provide future talent to fulfill DoD's demand for highly skilled STEM professionals. NDEP PK-12 has utilized 4,100 exceptional DoD STEM professionals to reach 500,000 students and 8,300 teachers in 30 states. As one specific example, DoD SMEs contributed over 8,000 hours to lead FIRST Robotics Competition teams, with over 90 percent of team members reporting that the hands-on experience taught them about how science and technology can be used to solve real-world science and engineering problems. The PK-12 program is terminated in FY 2014, though portions may transfer to the Department of Education.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation (SMART) Defense Education Program	40.267	46.345	45.488
<b>Description:</b> SMART is a scholarship-for-service program that provides support to high performing U.S. graduate and undergraduate students in 19 academic STEM disciplines identified as areas of future workforce need by DoD.			
The disciplines align with the Department's seven S&T priorities and emerging scientific research areas. The disciplines are: Aeronautical and Astronautical Engineering; Biosciences; Chemical Engineering; Chemistry; Civil Engineering; Cognitive, Neural, and Behavioral Sciences; Computer Science; Electrical Engineering; Geosciences; Industrial and Systems Engineering; Information Sciences; Materials Science and Engineering; Mathematics; Mechanical Engineering; Naval Architecture and Ocean Engineering; Nuclear Engineering; Oceanography; Operations Research; and Physics. Upon completion of their degree, students fulfill a service commitment to DoD on a one-to-one payback per year of education funded. In part, SMART's success is measured by participants that remain in the DoD workforce beyond their required service commitment: 84 percent who have completed their service commitment are still employed by DoD beyond their original service commitment.			
Oversight of the SMART program falls under the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (OASD(R&E)). Two types of individuals participate in the program: retention scholars who are current DoD employees and recruitment scholars who are college students enrolled in undergraduate and graduate programs and represent new talent for the DoD. Internships provide SMART scholars with an opportunity to engage in hands-on research and work experiences in DoD labs, thereby enhancing their educational experience and building a public service commitment to the Department's mission.			
Since FY 2005, ~1,455 students have participated in SMART at ~ 160 sponsoring facilities. As of August 2013, ~900 SMART scholars have transitioned into the service commitment phase. To date, these scholars have transitioned as civilian employees into the Air Force, Army, Navy, and other DoD components.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 1	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0601120D8Z <i>I National Defense</i> <i>Education Program (NDEP)</i>	Project (N P120 / Nat (NDEP)		Name) fense Educat	tion Program
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F١	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>142 SMART students were selected for FY 2013.</li> <li>Received signature on the SMART Defense Education Program Directive-typ accordance with the authority in DoD Directive 5134.01, implements the provis Code to establish policy, assign responsibilities, and prescribe procedures for e Assessed the mentoring and workforce development initiatives for current paprocess.</li> <li>Transitioned approximately 100 participants into the DoD workforce.</li> <li>Coordinated with the HBCU/MI program to increase the number of eligible ap HBCU/MIs.</li> </ul>	ions of section 2192a of Title 10 United States executing the program. irticipants and the effectiveness of the transitio	on			
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Establish a SMART DoD Instruction per DTM 13-007.</li> <li>Continue to examine the effectiveness of efforts to increase the number of el such as women and minorities, veterans, and individuals preparing to separate</li> <li>Examine SMART participation and growth of degrees conferred at HBCU/MIs</li> <li>Continue to assess SMART mentoring and workforce development initiatives transition process.</li> <li>Transition ~100 participants into the DoD workforce.</li> <li>Increase the number of candidate spots and select new participants based o</li> <li>Continue to document effectiveness of SMART program with metrics includin at HBCU/MIs; (2) percentage of eligible SMART participants transitioned to the scholars retained post-service commitment.</li> </ul>	n available funding. ng: (1) percentage of SMART participants enro	of the			
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Continue to examine the effectiveness of efforts to increase the number of el such as women and minorities, veterans, and individuals preparing to separate</li> <li>Examine SMART participation and growth of degrees conferred at HBCU/MIs</li> <li>Continue to assess SMART mentoring and workforce development initiatives transition process.</li> <li>Transition ~100 participants into the DoD workforce.</li> <li>Increase the number of candidate spots and select new participants based of the second select new participants.</li> </ul>	e from the military. s. s for current participants and the effectiveness				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Sec	cretary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 1	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0601120D8Z <i>I National Defense</i> <i>Education Program (NDEP)</i>		ct (Number/N / National Dei P)	ion Progran	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Continue to document effectiveness of SMART program with met at HBCU/MIs; (2) percentage of eligible SMART participants transiti scholars retained post-service commitment.</li> </ul>					
Title: National Security Science and Engineering Faculty Fellowship	o (NSSEFF)		21.400	30.896	-
<b>Description:</b> NSSEFF ensures that DoD has a research portfolio th university researchers. The objectives of the program are to:	nat supports the foremost creative, innovative, and produ	uctive			
<ul> <li>Conduct innovative, unclassified, basic scientific and engineering</li> <li>Foster research collaborations between science and engineering</li> <li>Provide university researchers with an overview of DoD's mission</li> <li>Increase the cadre of ready and relevant technical expertise whic</li> </ul>	faculty members and DoD. s, employed technologies, and current and future challe	nges.			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Provided continuing support for current NSSEFF Fellows.</li> <li>Conducted a program review and report on Fellows' progress.</li> <li>Prepared a report documenting the results of the program review.</li> </ul>					
FY 2014 Plans:					
<ul> <li>Continue support for current NSSEFF Fellows.</li> <li>Conduct a NSSEFF program review and report on Fellows' progrebetween Fellows and DoD scientists and engineers.</li> <li>Develop a new competition solicitation.</li> </ul>	ess. Use this venue to identify and facilitate new connect	ions			
<ul> <li>Organize and conduct two scientific workshops to further develop NSSEFF Fellows in areas of scientific or technological importance t</li> <li>Develop metrics for NSSEFF program effectiveness as both a hig</li> </ul>	o DoD.				
and as an effective means for long-term engagement of the Principa staff.					
<i>Title:</i> PK-12			12.000	-	-
<b>Description:</b> A highly-skilled workforce is critical to the long-term viperformance and interest of U.S. students in DoD STEM fields pose graders will be the 2030 bachelor's degree talent pool for DoD. The diverse, high-quality talent pool for the future DoD STEM workforce the Federal STEM Education 5-Year Strategic Plan, these activities	es a risk to national security in the long term. Today's fir e portfolio of NDEP PK-12 activities inspires and develop aligned with STEM skill needs. Consistent with the goa	st os a ils of			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secret	retary Of Defense	Date: N	Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 1	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0601120D8Z / National Defense Education Program (NDEP)	Name)       Project (Number/Name)         Se       P120 / National Defense Educe         P120 / National Defense Educe       (NDEP)         FY 2013       FY 2014         DoD STEM       Itary STEM         Itary STEM       Itary STEM         chieved greater       Itary STEM         near DoD       ver previous         or the 322       Itanent         d General       Itale         horease       Itale         es for the       Itale				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
skills), practices, or knowledge of STEM for students, educators and opportunities; and (3) develop evidence-based STEM education mod		M				
The PK-12 program is terminated in FY 2014, though portions may tra	ansfer to the Department of Education.					
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Performed strategic planning activities to foster connectivity of NDE workforce, optimized NDEP STEM investments, updated and improve coordination among NDEP Defense Component participants.</li> <li>Increased the quality and duration of engagements led by DoD sciel laboratories and bases. For example, the number of supported FIRS fiscal years, expanded to include students in grades K-3. DoD S&amp;Es teams.</li> <li>Engaged evaluation expertise to build assessment and evaluation of with the Federal STEM Education 5-Year Strategic Plan and in concerect Accountability Office guidance on assessment and evaluation.</li> <li>Built upon existing, sustainable partnerships among higher education capacity for long-term sustainability.</li> <li>Leveraged and maximized the FY 2013 NDEP PK-12 investment the engagement of local partners with DoD S&amp;Es.</li> </ul>	ed DoD STEM policy related to NDEP, and achieved gra- entists and engineers (S&Es) in communities near DoD ST Robotics teams increased by 84 percent over previou volunteered more than 26,000 hours to mentor the 322 capabilities for NDEP PK-12 investments in alignment ert with Office of Management and Budget and General on institutions and PK-12 school systems to increase	eater				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals 73.667	77.241	45.488		
<ul> <li>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A</li> <li>Remarks</li> <li>D. Acquisition Strategy N/A</li> <li>E. Performance Metrics</li> <li>The increase in the direct and indirect connectivity of NDEP particip</li> <li>SMART PhD scholars research productivity: (1) number of research</li> </ul>		).				

• The increase in the number of SMART scholars who are transitioned into the DoD workforce.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 1	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0601120D8Z <i>I National Defense</i> <i>Education Program (NDEP)</i>		umber/Name) ional Defense Education Program
<ul> <li>The increase in the number of SMART scholars who are retained by DoD pose</li> <li>The increase in the number of eligible SMART/NSSEFF applicants from HBC</li> <li>The increase in the number of SMART/NSSEFF application reviewers from H</li> </ul>	CU/MIs.		

• Benchmark the performance of SMART PhD scholars (i.e., time to degree) with those of their peers in the general U.S. PhD population.

• Increase directly and indirectly the connectivity of NSSEFF Fellows with the broad DoD S&T enterprise, including inclusion in special DoD task forces, advisory panels, and the broad set of engagements of PIs, postdocs, and students.

• The increase in the direct support and/or advancement of research into DoD S&T emphasis areas and emerging research areas by: (1) recognized transformational discoveries, insights, and other measures of scientific progress, such as scientifically relevant publications in peer reviewed journals; and (2) new patents filed/awarded in these areas.

• The number of co-authored papers between NSSEFF Fellows and DoD S&Es.

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary					Of Defense					Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te Research					<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0601228D8Z <i>I Historically Black Colleges and Universities</i>					sities and N	Ainority Insti	itutions
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	-	35.895	24.412	-	24.412	26.812	26.421	27.312	32.312	Continuing	Continuing
P448: Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions	-	-	35.895	24.412	-	24.412	26.812	26.421	27.312	32.312	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### <u>Note</u>

The Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions (HBCU/MI) Program was realigned from Budget Activity (BA) 2, Program Element (PE) 0602228D8Z to BA 1, PE 0601228D8Z in FY 2014. The Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (ASD(R&E)) retains oversight and execution of the program.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The HBCU/MI program provides support in fields of science and engineering that are important to national defense. The DoD HBCU/MI Program encourages participation of small minority schools as well as large minority research institutions. This competitive program provides support through grants or contracts for research, education assistance, instrumentation purchases, and technical assistance as described below.

• Research. The research grants are to further the knowledge in the basic scientific disciplines through theoretical and experimental activities. Collaborative research allows university professors to work directly with military laboratories or other universities.

• Education. Education assistance funds are used by minority institutions to strengthen their academic programs in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM), thereby increasing the number of under-represented minorities obtaining undergraduate and graduate degrees in these fields. These grants provide equipment, scholarships, cooperative work/study opportunities, visiting faculty programs, summer programs, and a variety of other enhancements designed to support students and to encourage them to pursue careers in STEM.

• Infrastructure. This program allows universities to purchase basic laboratory equipment for research and education program enhancements to highly sophisticated research instruments, such as lasers and spectrometers.

• Technical assistance. These funds are used to design programs that enhance the ability of minority institutions to successfully compete for future Defense funding. The objective is to assist the HBCU/MI community in areas such as proposal writing and administration of grants and contracts.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 C	Date	Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity		R-1 Program El	ement (Number/Name)		
400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense- Research	Wide I BA 1: Basic	PE 0601228D8Z	I Historically Black Coll	leges and Universities	s and Minority Institutio
3. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	-	30.895	31.199	-	31.199
Current President's Budget	-	35.895	24.412	-	24.412
Total Adjustments	-	5.000	-6.787	-	-6.787
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	5.000			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-6.787	-	-6.787

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

The reduction is a strategic efficiency approach to reduce funding and staffing. As a result, we provide a better alignment of funding and provide support to a smaller military force.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 1					R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)PE 0601228D8Z / Historically Black				P448 I Hist	Number/Name) istorically Black Colleges and ies and Minority Institutions			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P448: Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions	-	-	35.895	24.412	-	24.412	26.812	26.421	27.312	32.312	Continuing	Continuing	

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### Note

The Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions (HBCU/MI) Program was realigned from Budget Activity (BA) 2, Program Element (PE) 0602228D8Z to BA 1, PE 0601228D8Z in FY 2014. The Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (ASD(R&E)) retains oversight and execution of the program.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The HBCU/MI program provides support in fields of science and engineering that are important to national defense. The DoD HBCU/MI Program encourages participation of small minority schools as well as large minority research institutions. This competitive program provides support through grants or contracts for research, education assistance, instrumentation purchases, and technical assistance as described below.

• Research. The research grants are to further the knowledge in the basic scientific disciplines through theoretical and experimental activities. Collaborative research allows university professors to work directly with military laboratories or other universities.

• Education. Education assistance funds are used by minority institutions to strengthen their academic programs in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM), thereby increasing the number of under-represented minorities obtaining undergraduate and graduate degrees in these fields. These grants provide equipment, scholarships, cooperative work/study opportunities, visiting faculty programs, summer programs, and a variety of other enhancements designed to support students and to encourage them to pursue careers in STEM.

• Infrastructure. This program allows universities to purchase basic laboratory equipment for research and education program enhancements to highly sophisticated research instruments, such as lasers and spectrometers.

• Technical assistance. These funds are used to design programs that enhance the ability of minority institutions to successfully compete for future Defense funding. The objective is to assist the HBCU/MI community in areas such as proposal writing and administration of grants and contracts.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions (HBCU/MI)	-	35.895	24.412

		2015 Office	of Secretary	Of Defense					Date: N	larch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 1				PE 06	01228D8Z I les and Univ	ment (Numb Historically E rersities and I	Black	P448	bject (Number/Name) 48 I Historically Black Colleges and iversities and Minority Institutions			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Progra	ams (\$ in N	<u>//illions)</u>						ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<b>Description:</b> The HBCU/MI program presearch grants further knowledge in the activities. Collaborative research allow	he basic ph	ysical scient	tific and engi	ineering disc	iplines throu	igh theoretica	al and empir	ical				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Continue efforts from FY 2013. Conduction collaboration project between Naval Ai Development for Manned and Unmann with Paul Quinn College and Cheyney 75 participants. Establish new Centers of Cyber Security Science and Techno	ir Warfare C ned Airborn State Unive s of Excelle	Center and H e System, th ersity. The g nce in supp	HBCUs/MIs in the Thurgood goal is to inc ort of the AS	n support of Marshall Co rease the nu D(R&E) Scie	the Avionic ollege Fund a imber of FY	Enabling Tec and a new ini 2014 summe	chnology itiative, STE er interns fro	M Prep m 60 to				
FY 2015 Plans: Continue efforts from FY 2014. Condu	ict annual c	competition (	of the HBCU	/MI program	Continuo t			amal				
collaboration project between Naval Ai Development for Manned and Unmann Cheney State University. The goal is t new Centers of Excellence in support of	ir Warfare C ned Airborn to increase of the ASD(	Center and H e System ar the number (R&E) Scien	HBCUs/MIs in nd the STEM of FY 2015 s	n support of I Prep projec summer inte	the Avionic t with HBCL rns from 75	Enabling Tec Js: Paul Quin to 85 particip	chnology in College ai pants. Estab	nd Ilish				
collaboration project between Naval Ai Development for Manned and Unmann Cheney State University. The goal is t	ir Warfare C ned Airborn to increase of the ASD(	Center and H e System ar the number (R&E) Scien	HBCUs/MIs in nd the STEM of FY 2015 s	n support of I Prep projec summer inte nology Prior	the Avionic at with HBCU rns from 75 ities in the a	Enabling Tec Js: Paul Quin to 85 particip	chnology in College ai pants. Estab er Security S	nd Ilish cience	-	35.895	24.412	
collaboration project between Naval Ai Development for Manned and Unmann Cheney State University. The goal is t new Centers of Excellence in support of and Technology, Data-to-Decisions, ar C. Other Program Funding Summary Line Item	ir Warfare C ned Airborn to increase of the ASD( nd Autonom <u>y (\$ in Milli</u> FY 2013	Center and H e System ar the number (R&E) Scien ny.	HBCUs/MIs in nd the STEM of FY 2015 s	n support of I Prep projec summer inte nology Prior	the Avionic at with HBCU rns from 75 ities in the a	Enabling Tec Is: Paul Quin to 85 particip reas of Cybe	chnology in College ai pants. Estab er Security S	nd Ilish cience	- 8 FY 201	<u>Cost To</u> 9 <u>Complete</u>	Total Cos	
collaboration project between Naval Ai Development for Manned and Unmann Cheney State University. The goal is t new Centers of Excellence in support of and Technology, Data-to-Decisions, ar <b>C. Other Program Funding Summary</b> <u>Line Item</u> • BA 2, PE 0602228D8Z: <i>HBCU/MI</i>	ir Warfare C ned Airborn to increase of the ASD( nd Autonom y (\$ in Millio	Center and H e System ar the number (R&E) Scien ny. ons)	HBCUs/MIs in nd the STEM of FY 2015 s ce and Tech <u>FY 2015</u>	n support of I Prep project summer intel nology Prior Accon	the Avionic et with HBCL rns from 75 ities in the a nplishment <u>FY 2015</u>	Enabling Tec Js: Paul Quin to 85 particip reas of Cybe s/Planned P	chnology in College an pants. Estab er Security Se <b>rograms Su</b>	nd Ilish cience Ibtotals	- 8 FY 2019 	<u>Cost To</u> 9 <u>Complete</u>	Total Cos	
collaboration project between Naval Ai Development for Manned and Unmann Cheney State University. The goal is t new Centers of Excellence in support of and Technology, Data-to-Decisions, ar <b>C. Other Program Funding Summary</b> <u>Line Item</u> • BA 2, PE 0602228D8Z: <i>HBCU/MI</i> <u>Remarks</u>	ir Warfare C ned Airborn to increase of the ASD( nd Autonom <u>y (\$ in Milli</u> FY 2013	Center and H e System ar the number (R&E) Scien ny. ons)	HBCUs/MIs in nd the STEM of FY 2015 s ce and Tech <u>FY 2015</u>	n support of I Prep project summer intel nology Prior Accon	the Avionic et with HBCL rns from 75 ities in the a nplishment <u>FY 2015</u>	Enabling Tec Js: Paul Quin to 85 particip reas of Cybe s/Planned P	chnology in College an pants. Estab er Security Se <b>rograms Su</b>	nd Ilish cience Ibtotals	- 8 FY 201	<u>Cost To</u> 9 <u>Complete</u>	Total Cos	
collaboration project between Naval Ai Development for Manned and Unmann Cheney State University. The goal is t new Centers of Excellence in support of and Technology, Data-to-Decisions, ar <b>C. Other Program Funding Summary</b> <u>Line Item</u> • BA 2, PE 0602228D8Z: <i>HBCU/MI</i> <u>Remarks</u> <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u>	ir Warfare C ned Airborn to increase of the ASD( nd Autonom y (\$ in Million <u>FY 2013</u> 27.246	Center and H e System ar the number (R&E) Scien ny. <u>ons)</u> <u>FY 2014</u>	HBCUs/MIs in nd the STEM of FY 2015 s ce and Tech <u>FY 2015</u>	n support of I Prep project summer intel nology Prior Accon	the Avionic et with HBCL rns from 75 ities in the a nplishment <u>FY 2015</u>	Enabling Tec Js: Paul Quin to 85 particip reas of Cybe s/Planned P	chnology in College an pants. Estab er Security Se <b>rograms Su</b>	nd Ilish cience Ibtotals	- <u>8 FY 201</u> -	<u>Cost To</u> 9 <u>Complete</u>	<u>.</u>	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office	e of Secretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014
ppropriation/Budget Activity 400 / 1	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0601228D8Z I Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P448 I Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions
Number of undergraduates funded who graduated Number of students participating in the Centers of Exceller Number of students working in Defense Laboratories Number of undergraduates funded who graduated with de Number of graduates who will continue to pursue graduate Number of graduates who intend to work for DoD Number of undergraduates who will receive scholarships a	grees in STEM e or Ph.D. degrees in STEM	

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014			
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: <i>Research, Development, Te</i> <i>Applied Research</i>	st & Evalua	uation, Defense-Wide I BA 2: R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0602000D8Z I Joint Munitions Technology										
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	20.298	18.701	17.959	20.065	-	20.065	20.085	20.177	20.181	20.421	Continuing	Continuing
P000: Insensitive Munitions	14.474	12.895	13.936	13.571	-	13.571	13.580	13.569	13.561	13.729	Continuing	Continuing
P204: Enabling Fuze Technology	5.824	5.806	4.023	6.494	-	6.494	6.505	6.608	6.620	6.692	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

## A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program addresses applied research associated with improving the lethality, reliability, safety, and survivability of munitions and weapon systems. The goal is to develop and demonstrate joint enabling technologies that can be used by the Program Executive Officers (PEOs) as they develop their specific weapon programs. The program invests in and demonstrates technologies from a Joint Service perspective, thus maximizing efficiencies, ensuring the development of technology with the broadest applicability while avoiding duplication of efforts.

Munition Area Technology Groups (MATGs) and Fuze Area Technology Groups (FATGs) have been established for each munition and capability area and are tasked with: 1) coordinating, establishing, and maintaining 2018, and 2023 year technology development plans and roadmaps, 2) coordinating biannual meetings to review technical and programmatic details of each funded and proposed effort, 3) developing and submitting Technology Transition Agreements in coordination with appropriate PEOs for insertion in their Insensitive Munitions (IM) Strategic Plans / Fuze Technology Development Plan, and 4) interfacing with other MATGs / FATGs and IM / fuze science and technology projects as appropriate. The Joint Insensitive Munitions Technology Program (JIMTP) and Joint Fuze Technology Program (JFTP) will utilize a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) (consisting of senior Department of Defense (DoD) and Department of Energy (DOE) laboratory representatives, and senior Munitions PEO representatives) to provide program oversight, policy, direction, and priorities during its annual meeting.

The Insensitive Munitions (IM) effort will demonstrate enabling technologies needed to develop weapons in compliance with requirements established in United States Code, Title 10, Chapter 141, Section 2389 and DoD Instruction 5000.1. This effort will take promising technologies demonstrated at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration programs utilizing generic hardware based on priority munitions identified in the PEO IM Strategic Plans. Mature demonstrated IM technology can be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other non-compliant munitions within their portfolios.

The JIMTP investments focus on five Munition Areas: 1) High Performance Rocket Propulsion (HPP), 2) Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion (MSP), 3) Blast and Fragmentation Warheads (BFW), 4) Anti-Armor Warheads (AAW), and 5) Gun Propulsion (GP). MATGs, under tri-service leadership, have developed technology roadmaps for each Munition Area that are used to guide investments based on goals consistent with the DoD IM Strategic Plan. These IM technologies, alone or in combination, will be developed and tested at the small-scale, and for eventual incorporation in hardware, simulating real-world munitions, to demonstrate their utility and feasibility.

The Enabling Fuze Technology effort will also demonstrate fuze enabling technologies needed to develop weapons that address priority capability areas identified in the Guidance for Development of the Force (GDF), the Secretary of Defense Memorandum, DoD Policy on Cluster Munitions and Unintended Harm to Civilians, and

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretar	y Of Defense	Date: March 2014
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 2: Applied Research	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602000D8Z <i>I Joint Munitions Technology</i>	
shortfalls in current weapon systems. This effort will develop fuzing technolo tools and protocols for weapon fuzing. In this way, the Service and Industria promising technologies in fuzing modeling and simulation tools, multi-point in and fuze sensor.	al base weapon and fuze will be able to heavily leve	erage and apply these emerging and

The Joint Fuze Technology Program investments focus on four specific capability areas that have been identified by Department strategic guidance and current shortfalls in weapon systems and will be validated by the PEOs and the Heads of the Service Science and Technology (S&T) communities. These capability areas are: 1) Hard Target Survivable Fuzing, 2) Tailorable Effects Weapon Fuzing, 3) High Reliability Fuzing, and 4) Enabling Fuze Technologies and Common Architecture.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	20.615	20.065	21.556	-	21.556
Current President's Budget	18.701	17.959	20.065	-	20.065
Total Adjustments	-1.914	-2.106	-1.491	-	-1.491
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-2.000			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-1.701	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.028	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.178	-			
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-1.491	-	-1.491
<ul> <li>FFRDC Adjustments</li> </ul>	-	-0.106	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.007	-	-	-	-

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

The reduction is a strategic efficiency approach to reduce funding and staffing. As a result, we provide a better alignment of funding and provide support to a smaller military force.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	ustification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	Defense				Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2					-	<b>am Elemen</b> )0D8Z I Joir y	•	,	Project (Number/Name) P000 / Insensitive Munitions			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P000: Insensitive Munitions	14.474	12.895	13.936	13.571	-	13.571	13.580	13.569	13.561	13.729	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Joint Insensitive Munitions (IM) Technology Program (JIMTP) aims at developing the enabling technologies needed to build weapons in compliance with requirements established in statute (United States Code, Title 10, Chapter 141, Section 2389) and regulation (DoDI 5000.1 and CJCSI 3170.01F). This effort will take promising technologies developed at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration programs utilizing generic hardware based on the priority munitions identified in the DoD IM Strategic Plan. Mature and demonstrated IM technology can be transitioned, thereby decreasing the program costs and schedule risk. This will additionally promote spin-offs to other non-compliant munitions within the DoD portfolio. Without new technology, future variants of current weapon systems will have the same, or worse, response to IM stimuli. New weapon developments will face similar challenges.

The JIMTP investments focus on five Munition Areas: 1) High Performance Rocket Propulsion, 2) Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion, 3) Blast and Fragmentation Warheads, 4) Anti-Armor Warheads, and 5) Gun Propulsion. Munition Area Technology Groups (MATGs), under tri-service leadership, have developed technology roadmaps for each Munition Area that are used to guide investments based on goals consistent with the DoD IM Strategic Plan. The program is structured around these five areas with clear cross-cutting tasks.

E	3. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
	Fitle: High Performance Rocket Propulsion (HPP)	2.894	3.772	3.699
i r i i c c f f t t r r r	<b>Description:</b> High Performance Rocket Propulsion (HPP) focuses on the development and demonstration of technologies to mprove the IM response of HPP systems (rocket motors with Ammonium Perchlorate and with or without a metal fuel) for rockets and missiles launched from air, ground, and sea platforms. These technologies, when applied to rocket motors, improve to one or nore threats, while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at least maintaining munition performance. Technologies neclude, but are not limited to, rocket propellant ingredients (including synthesis, characterization and scale-up), reduced smoke or smoky propellants (including formulation, characterization and scale-up), rocket motor case design, materials for active and bassive thermal mitigation, shock mitigation materials and techniques, passive and active coatings, active and passive venting echniques for motor cases or containers, ignition systems, sensors, and thrust mitigation techniques. Operating conditions may be controlled or widely varying in both temperature and vibration. The 2018 and 2023 year goals of the HPP MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of missile propulsion systems due to Fragment Impacts and Slow Cook Off for the najority of HPP rocket motors, and solving the Fast Cook Off response of very large HPP motors.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	efense	C	ate: M	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2		Project (Nui P000 / Inser			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2	013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Completed scale up of high performance rocket propellants to five gallon size conducted sensitivity and safety testing.</li> <li>Completed final assembly and conducted slow and fast cook off IM tests.</li> <li>Characterized novel ionic liquid candidates for high performance propulsion. conducted mechanical property testing.</li> <li>Completed burning rate measurements and dynamic mechanical analysis of performance property testing.</li> </ul>	Downselected, scaled-up to one pound, and				
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Determine the IM response of less reactive propellants in steel and composite analogue motors.</li> <li>Characterize less reactive propellants with advanced ingredients with safety to variable confinement cook off testing, and slow cook off visualization testing.</li> <li>Conduct small scale cook-off testing and gap testing on novel ionic liquid can</li> <li>Conduct small-scale slow cook-off study correlating historical subscale and fur rocket motors.</li> <li>Formulate a novel high performance propellant in 1 pound quantities and con</li> </ul>	esting, mechanical property measurements, didates for high performance propulsion. Ill scale slow cook-off data for high performance	æ			
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Synthesize and characterize less reactive ingredients for high performance reperformance.</li> <li>Conduct bench-top testing of motor case venting devices.</li> <li>Conduct sub-scale testing and analysis to validate a new sub-scale test to pretesting.</li> </ul>					
Title: Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion (MSP)			2.994	2.651	2.577
<b>Description:</b> Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion (MSP) focuses on the devimprove the IM response of MSP systems. The development and demonstration when applied to munition systems, will improve munition IM response to one or other IM threats and at least maintaining munition performance. Technologies formulations, ingredients for MS propellant formulations (including synthesis, che design, active and passive venting techniques, rocket motor case design, ignitic particular interest are technologies that provide a higher burning rate minimum and reduced shock sensitivity. The 2018 and 2023 year goals of the MSP MAT missile propulsion systems due to Fragment Impact, Slow Cook Off, and Shape	on of minimum signature (MS) rocket technolog more threats, while not degrading the response include but are not limited to MS rocket propel haracterization and scale-up), case and packat on systems and thrust mitigation techniques. Of signature propellant with state-of-the-art energy of are concentrated on solving the IM response	yies, se to lant ging of ly			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602000D8Z <i>I Joint Munitions</i> <i>Technology</i>	Project (N P000 / Ins		,	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Generated 500 grams of novel coated material. Characterized new materials Performed small-scale IM tests on best candidates.</li> <li>Mixed pint-sized batches of coated materials and conducted mechanical, safe</li> <li>Synthesized, scaled-up, and performed safety testing on state of the art ener thermochemical calculations for potential formulations.</li> </ul>	ety, and ballistic testing of the mixes.				
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Generate multi-gram batches of novel coated materials. Produced 1 pint-scapropellants.</li> <li>Scale up and produced multi-grams of novel material. Built and down-selected mechanism.</li> <li>Characterize two min signature propellants in a unique configuration to detern design factors that contribute to ignition, to aide in the development of a modelin reaction of an analog rocket motor under fragment impact.</li> <li>Complete propellant development program using new binder and conducted</li> <li>Conduct initial screening studies on two ingredients that have potential for Missensitivity testing.</li> <li>Further narrowed the operational range for the autoignition materials and conducted Demonstrated Army Burn-to-Violent Reaction (ABVR) screening test as discriments.</li> </ul>	ed candidate materials for unique venting mine the go/no go threshold and investigated o ing and simulation effort designed to predict th gap testing. S propellants through solubility and ignition ucted trade studies.				
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Conduct mechanical, safety, and card gap testing, and determine ballistic prosignature propellant.</li> <li>Conduct design of experiments of candidate formulations and down-select to performance characteristics.</li> <li>Conduct final characterization tests and slow cook-off tests to validate formulations in environment of the second seco</li></ul>	most promising candidate to provide desired ation.				
Title: Blast and Fragmentation Warheads (BFW)			3.281	2.796	2.723
<b>Description:</b> Blast and Fragmentation Warheads (BFW) focuses on the development improve the IM response of Blast/Fragmentation munitions. The development explosives and warhead and fuze technologies that, when applied to munitions while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at minimum maintain conditions may be controlled or have widely varying environmental conditions,	and demonstration of explosive ingredients an , improve IM response to one or more threats, munition performance. Munition operating	d			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602000D8Z I Joint Munitions Technology	) Project (Number/Name) P000 / Insensitive Munitions				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
factors such as cost, availability and reliability may be critically important deper Technologies include but are not limited to new ingredient synthesis and char scale-up, warhead/charge configuration, venting techniques for both munition materials and systems, shock mitigation liners, initiation devices, techniques, high performance warhead fills, booster explosives, bulk demolition charges, a The 2018 and 2023 year goals of the BFW MATG are concentrated on solving Sympathetic Detonation, Fast Cook Off, and SCJ threats.	acterization, initial formulation development, s and their containers, protection or packaging and technologies. Applications vary but include and bulk fills for blast and/or fragmentation cha	rges.				
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Concluded manufacturing studies and weaponization study for Compounde characteristics of unique warhead explosive material.</li> <li>Concluded down-selecting materials and the sensitization process in order to process.</li> <li>Conducted characterization studies on novel explosive material.</li> <li>Conducted laboratory scale formulation, processing and analysis of melt carexplosive fill.</li> <li>Optimized novel explosive fill formulation for general purpose bombs.</li> <li>Conducted initial synthesis of unique booster materials for explosives.</li> <li>Scaled up to 10 gallon batch mix and conducted initial characterization tests bombs and transition to BA 6.3.</li> <li>Synthesized and characterized unique energetic material. Conducted initial</li> </ul>	to conduct device scale testing to validate the st enhanced blast and environmentally friendly s of innovative explosive fill for general purpose					
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Complete device scale experiments on sensitization process and transition</li> <li>Perform one kilogram scale-up of additional composite materials. Formulat</li> <li>Synthesize 60 kilograms of new explosive ingredients and formulated explo performance and IM properties of new formulations.</li> <li>Conduct thermal cycling and IM testing on novel explosive material.</li> <li>Scale up to one gallon mix a melt cast enhanced blast explosive fill and per</li> <li>Prepare to transition to Task under PE 603000D8Z/P301.</li> <li>Conduct characterization and performance testing, as well as IM assessme formulation. Conducted characterization testing and down selected unique ex PE 603000D8Z/P301.</li> <li>Produce small quantities of unique energetic material for formulation and characterial</li> </ul>	ed and tested IM characteristics of the material sives on the ten gallon scale. Determined mid- formed sensitivity and performance testing. nts for novel general purpose bomb explosive f plosive booster material and transition to Task	scale				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602000D8Z I Joint Munitions Technology	Project (Number/ P000 / Insensitive	,	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
- Conduct synthesis optimization process for novel energetic material and	scaled up to produce several 100 gram batches.			
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Scale up synthesis process of novel energetic material to produce 1 kg b fundamental properties and conduct characterization testing on manufactur</li> <li>Perform safety, IM, and performance testing on novel energetic formulation establish baseline data for designing IM formulations for transition to a pose</li> <li>Scale up to 40 gram batches unique energetic material and conduct perfor</li> <li>Prove concept for detonation train for IM fills for large warheads. Analyze IM threat.</li> <li>Predict the potential for passing sympathetic reaction testing based on extention.</li> </ul>	red materials. ons. Analyze results to define failure diameter an sible 6.3 demonstrator. ormance and thermal response testing. e data for formulation to assess the insensitivity to	an		
Title: Anti-Armor Warheads (AAW)		1.673	2.557	2.485
<ul> <li><b>Description:</b> Anti-Armor Warheads (AAW) focuses on the development an warhead and fuze technologies for improving IM of AAW munitions. The de and warhead and fuze technologies that, when applied to munitions, improving degrading the response to other IM threats and at minimum maintain munital limited to new ingredient synthesis and characterization, initial formulation of venting techniques for both munitions and their containers, protection/packa and initiation devices, techniques, and technologies. Applications vary but explosives, and all other technology to mitigate the violent response of Anti operating conditions may be controlled or have widely varying environment other factors such as cost, availability, and reliability may be critically import The 2018 and 2023 year goals of the AAW MATG are concentrated on solve Fragment Impact, Sympathetic Reaction and Shaped Charge Jet threats for Cookoff, and Sympathetic Reaction / Shaped Charge Jet threats for Medium</li> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:         <ul> <li>Conducted critical diameter and slow cook-off IM tests of down-selected to transition to Task under PE 603000D8Z.</li> <li>Conducted initial formulation work and baseline testing on cast cured explosive.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	evelopment of explosive ingredients, explosives ve IM response to one or more threats, while not ion performance. Technologies include but are no development, scale-up, warhead/charge configural aging materials and systems, shock mitigation line include high performance warhead fills, booster -Armor Warhead munitions to IM threats. Munitio al conditions, such as temperature and vibration, tant depending on the intended munition applicati ving the IM response of anti-armor warheads to the r larger munitions and the Fragment Impact, Slow m Caliber Munitions. formulations. mine physical and performance characteristics.	ot tion, rs, and on. e		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of	Defense		Date: M	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602000D8Z / Joint Munitions Technology	<b>Project (</b> P000 <i>I In</i>	,		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Conducted scale-up to one pound batch and demonstrated acceptable frag explosives formulation. Conducted engineering assessment and began produphase explosive.</li> <li>Scaled up to pint mixes formulations of energetic materials with less nitrami</li> <li>Produced 50 pounds of unique high energy melt cast explosive formulation</li> <li>Developed baseline data for modeling explosive reactions.</li> </ul>	uction of precursor materials for high energy me ine content and enhanced insensitivity.				
FY 2014 Plans:					
<ul> <li>Scale up and conduct IM testing of energetic materials with less nitramine of Conduct small scale performance and mechanical properties testing on uni- Conduct aging study and scaled up formulations to 50 pound batches for not Conduct larger scale formulation (five pounds) of explosive material and pertests.</li> <li>Produce unique high energy melt cast explosive formulation material for initial Characterize materials, formulated, and down-selected high energy melt-ph- Scale up to five gallon mix, conducted initial testing, completed aging study cured, multi-effects explosives formulation.</li> <li>Scale up high energy pressed explosive and conducted performance testing.</li> <li>Assess additional explosive materials to validate the baseline model data.</li> <li>Down-select optimized formulation and conducted IM testing on cast cured transition to Task under PE 603000D8Z.</li> </ul>	que combined effects explosive formulation. novel, cast cured, multi-effects explosives formu- erformed intermediate scale IM and performanc tial characterization and evaluation testing. nase explosive. r, and conducted standard IM tests on novel, ca g.	e st			
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Scale up synthesis of newly identified explosive ingredient with high perform</li> <li>Development and characterization of explosive formulations using a recentl</li> <li>Conduct slow cook-off and small scale sympathetic detonation test on unique</li> <li>Down-select formulations of energetic materials composed of finer particles and conduct small scale cookoff and fragment impact testing. Prepare five po</li> <li>Conduct small scale slow cook-off, fragment impact and shaped charge test formulation.</li> <li>Design surrogate munition and shaped charge jet impact initiation testing conversion.</li> </ul>	ly scaled-up newly identified explosive ingredie ue combined effects explosive formulation. size nitramine content and enhanced insensitiv bund batches of selected formulation. sting on unique high energy melt cast explosive	ity			
<i>Title:</i> Gun Propulsion (GP)			2.053	2.160	2.087

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of I								
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	• • • •	<b>oject (Number</b> / 000 / Insensitive	,					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015				
<b>Description:</b> Gun Propulsion (GP) focuses on the development and demonstristic systems. The development and demonstration of gun propulsion technologies improve munition IM response to one or more threats, while not degrading the maintaining munition performance. Technologies include but are not limited to gun propellant formulations (including synthesis, characterization and scale-up and passive venting techniques, reduced sensitivity primer propellant and prime propellants. Applications vary, but include both large and medium caliber mun and shoulder launched munitions. Operating requirements vary, and other face environmental conditions may be critically important depending on the intended goals of the GP MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of gun proceed.	, that when applied to munition systems, will response to other IM threats and at least gun propellant formulations, ingredients for ), cartridge case and packaging design, active er systems, and robust primers for insensitive itions, as well as propelling charges for mortars tors such as barrel life and operation over varying d munition application. The 2018 and 2023 year							
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Established design of experiments test matrix and complete subsequent mode.</li> <li>Concluded IM and mechanical tests on containers and compared results with</li> <li>Optimized formulation and conducted IM tests to determine viability of down-</li> <li>Continued formulation development to manufacture three kilogram batches for a conducted various tests to validate IM properties and suitability for gun properties.</li> <li>Performed initial characterization of ignition propellants after exposure to now scaled up novel binder material to 25 gram batches and characterized material.</li> <li>Conducted thermal and sensitivity testing on propellant formulation effort using conducted initial testing on representative samples to develop small-scale states.</li> </ul>	n the models' predictions. select candidate for gun propellants. or extrusion into 15 pounds of propellant. ellant. rel ignition methodology. rial thermal and sensitivity properties. ng unique less sensitive binder propellant.							
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Conduct performance IM testing of down-selected candidates for gun propell</li> <li>Continue formulation development to manufacture six kilogram batches for evarious tests to validated IM properties and suitability for gun propellant.</li> <li>Design and fabricate apparatus to test propellants and develop modeling code</li> <li>Develop properties of ignition propellants after exposure to novel ignition metesting. Produce one gallon mixes of novel binder to complete IM testing.</li> <li>Scale up six pounds of unique less sensitive binder propellant formulation are</li> <li>Conduct small scale unique processing of propellant grains.</li> </ul>	xtrusion into 30 pounds of propellant. Conduct le for small-scale slow cook-off protocol. thodology. Performed sub-scale performance							
		Ι						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	stification: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary							arch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2					02000D8Z /	nent (Numb Joint Munitio			Project (Number/Name) P000 / Insensitive Munitions				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pr	rograms (\$ in N	<u>/lillions)</u>						F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<ul> <li>Conduct slow cook-off tests in ne</li> <li>Establish data set of required ma</li> <li>Down select unique process ing</li> </ul>	aterial characte	ristics after e	exposure to i	novel ignitio	n methodolo		ions.						
				Accor	nplishment	s/Planned P	rograms Su	ubtotals	12.895	95 13.936	13.57		
C. Other Program Funding Sumr	mary (\$ in Milli	ons)											
			<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>					Cost To			
Line Item • 0603000D8Z P002: BA 3 Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology Remarks	<u>FY 2013</u> 15.702	<u>FY 2014</u> 16.601	<u>Base</u> 19.807	<u>000</u> -	<u>Total</u> 19.807	<u>FY 2016</u> 19.993	<u>FY 2017</u> 19.953	<u>FY 2018</u> 20.018		<ol> <li><u>Complete</u></li> <li>Continuing</li> </ol>			
<u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A													
<ul> <li>E. Performance Metrics <ol> <li>Transitions of technologies dev</li> <li>Munition Area Technology Grou</li> <li>and technical staff.</li> <li>Chairman's Annual Assessmen</li> <li>each project.</li> <li>Project progress toward goals a</li> <li>Annual technical reports and pa</li> <li>External Peer Review of Project</li> </ol></li></ul>	up Technology Its for each MA <sup>-</sup> and milestones apers are tracke	Roadmaps a TG are critic is assessed ed and docu	are prepared ally reviewed at each MA <sup>-</sup> mented for th	, evaluated, d by the Tec TG meeting. he Program.	and analyze	ed by Joint In ory Committe	isensitive Mu	unitions Tecl	hnology Pr	ogram mana			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014				
						-1 Program Element (Number/Name) E 0602000D8Z I Joint Munitions echnology			<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P204 <i>I Enabling Fuze Technology</i>				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P204: Enabling Fuze Technology	5.824	5.806	4.023	6.494	-	6.494	6.505	6.608	6.620	6.692	Continuing	Continuing	

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This RDT&E effort will demonstrate fuze enabling technologies needed to develop weapons that address priority capability areas identified in the Guidance for Development of the Force (GDF), the Secretary of Defense Memorandum, DoD Policy on Cluster Munitions and Unintended Harm to Civilians, and shortfalls in current weapon systems. This effort will develop enabling technologies at the laboratory scale and transition them into 6.3 demonstration programs for weapons where priority capabilities and technology needs have been identified and validated by the Program Executive Officers (PEOs) and the Heads of the Service Science and Technology (S&T) communities. Mature 6.2 fuze technologies will be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other munitions within their portfolios.

Under the Joint Fuze Technology Program (JFTP), investments are focused on specific capability areas that have been identified by Department strategic guidance and current shortfalls in weapon systems and validated by the PEOs and Heads of the Service S&T communities. These four capability areas are: 1) Hard Target Survivable Fuzing, 2) Tailorable Effects (TE) Weapon Fuzing, 3) High Reliability Fuzing, and 4) Enabling Fuze Technologies and Common Architecture.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Hard Target Fuzing	1.470	1.048	1.665
<b>Description:</b> The Hard Target Fuzing challenges are grouped into three Technology Areas. First, improved modeling and simulation capabilities provide the validated computational tools necessary for hard target applications. Second, basic phenomenology and understanding of the Fuze Environment is the science-based endeavor of providing the test equipment, instrumentation, and analysis techniques for experimentation and data gathering necessary for next generation fuzing. Third, hard target survivable fuze components are developed to increase the effectiveness of facility denial munitions by improving the prediction tools and testing methodologies to evaluate the survivability and functionality of legacy and future fuzes. Development of these technologies will enable next generation boosted and hypersonic penetrators to execute missions against hardened and deeply buried targets.			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Developed and validate modeling and simulation code using high fidelity, multi-scale simulation techniques.</li> <li>Developed survivable modular fuze technology for multi-common miniature munitions with distributed/embedded fuzes.</li> </ul>			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> - Adapt and transition Joint Fuze Technology Program developed testing protocol in boosted and high speed penetrator development programs.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secr	retary Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602000D8Z I Joint Munitions Technology	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P204 <i>I Enabling Fuze Technology</i>				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<ul> <li>Demonstrate and transition survivable modular fuze technology for i embedded fuzes.</li> </ul>	multi-role common miniature munitions with distributed/					
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> - Develop and demonstrate alternative packaging technology for the esurvivability and reliability for hypersonic penetrating weapon application						
Title: Tailorable Effects Fuzing		1.578	1.029	1.646		
<b>Description:</b> This area focuses on developing fuzing for tailorable efficiency vary the output of the weapon (Dial-a-Yield) and/or the ability to generate developing initiation and multi-point technologies to include electronic – scalable yield warheads; MicroElectro-Mechanical Systems (MEMS warheads; and smart fuzing for tailorable effects weapons. These technologies of targets while minimizing unintentional collateral effects.	erate selectable effects (directed blast, fragmentation); c safe and arm based multi-point initiators for tunable of S) based multi-point initiators for tunable output/scalable	utput e yield				
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> <ul> <li>Continued to develop Tailorable Effects modeling and simulation us</li> <li>Developed hardened, Tailorable Effects firing systems for missile a environments associated with impact with Military Operations in Urba</li> </ul>	ind projectile warheads to survive the high-g shock					
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Demonstrate and transition into 6.3 advanced technology developm</li> <li>Apply initiation architecture and control technologies for application</li> </ul>						
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> - Begin development of a primary explosive ink with high output and	low sensitivity for use in MEM's micro-detonators.					
<i>Title:</i> High Reliability Fuzing		1.440	0.987	1.60		
<b>Description:</b> Develop high reliability fuzing architectures, fuzing comfeatures. These technologies will enable the next generation of cluster reliability goal. Evolving DoD emphasis on increased weapon system approaches for achieving increased fuze reliability while maintaining reliability expectations and harsher weapon system operational require available using current technologies.	er munitions to achieve the required greater than 99 pe n reliability is driving the need to consider new and nove or enhancing fuze design safety. DoD policy, higher we	el eapon				
FY 2013 Accomplishments:						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	ecretary Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity )400 / 2	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602000D8Z / Joint Munitions Technology	Project (Number/ P204 / Enabling Fo		עו
3. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Demonstrated high reliability fuze architecture concepts that sati and common-mode failures.</li> <li>Applied next generation cluster monitions fuze design and archit conduct performance and reliability tests in ballistic and harsh environment.</li> </ul>	ecture, fabricate component technology prototypes, and	-point		
FY 2014 Plans: · Research and develop novel technologies for UXO reduction fea eliminate any unexploded ordnance.	tures including fuze mechanisms and initiation energetic t	0		
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> • Develop and demonstrate MEMS structures that give existing ME compromises in an effort to improve reliability.	MS Fuzes the ability to self-report safety and reliability			
Title: Enabling Fuze Technologies		1.318	0.959	1.57
<b>Description:</b> Develop common/modular fuze architecture; innovat fuze setting capability, tools and modeling; and fuzing power source effective solutions while meeting or exceeding the performance of enable future weapon applications to be more mission adaptive an	es. These fuzing technologies will provide smaller, more existing technologies. Development of these technologies	cost s will		
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Established next generation system interface architecture betwe Evaluated proximity fuze sensor, electronics and algorithm techr pallistic environments. Transitioned to 6.3 development of exploitation resistant proximite	ologies in performance and functional testing in air-gun a	nd		
FY 2014 Plans: Conduct assessments of common fuze architecture technologies and packaging.	s: safety components, modular electronics, sensors, interfa	aces,		
F <b>Y 2015 Plans:</b> · Begin research of failure modes in flash programmable logic devi PLDs as fuze components.	ces (F-PLD) that enables reliable, safe and effective use of	of F-		
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals 5.806	4.023	6.49

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justif	fication: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary	Of Defense					Date: Ma	rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2					02000D8Z /	ment (Numb Joint Munitie		<b>Project (I</b> P204 / Er	У		
C. Other Program Funding Summa	ry (\$ in Mill	ions)		l							
		-	FY 2015	<u>FY 2015</u>	FY 2015					Cost To	
Line Item	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	<b>Base</b>	000	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY 2016</u>	<u>FY 2017</u>	<u>FY 2018</u>	FY 2019		
0603000D8Z P301: BA 3 Enabling Fuze Advanced Technology	4.793	3.411	6.881	-	6.881	8.112	8.373	8.536	-	Continuing	Continuin
Remarks											
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A											
. Performance Metrics											
<ol> <li>Transitions of technologies develo</li> <li>Fuze Area Technology Group (FA staff.</li> </ol>	TG) Techno	logy Roadm	aps are prep	ared, evalua	ited, and and	alyzed by Jo	int Fuze Tec	hnology Pro	gram mana	•	
<ol> <li>Chairman's Annual Assessments f of each project.</li> </ol>			•	-	inology Advi	isory Commi	ttee to deter	mine progres	ss, transitio	n plans, and	relevance
<ol> <li>Project progress toward goals and</li> <li>Annual technical reports and pape</li> <li>Technology Transition Agreements</li> </ol>	ers are track	ed and docu	mented for tl								
b) reemology manadion Agreement			programs.								

xhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense       I									Date: March 2014			
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Te Applied Research	est & Evalua	ation, Defen	se-Wide I E		U U		•	,	and Unive	rsities and I	Minority Insti	itutions
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	27.246	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
P489: Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions (HBCU/MI)	-	27.246	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### <u>Note</u>

The HBCU/MI Program was realigned from Budget Activity (BA) 2, Program Element (PE) 0602228D8Z to BA 1, PE 0601228D8Z in FY 2014. The Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (ASD(R&E)) retains oversight and execution of the program.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The HBCU/MI program provides support in fields of science and engineering that are important to national defense. The Department of Defense (DoD) HBCU/MI Program encourages participation of small minority schools as well as large minority research institutions. This competitive program provides support through grants or contracts for research, education assistance, instrumentation purchases, and technical assistance as described below.

• Research. The research grants further the knowledge in the basic scientific disciplines through theoretical and experimental activities. Collaborative research allows university research staff to work directly with military laboratories or other universities.

• Education. Minority institutions use education assistance funds to strengthen their academic programs in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM), thereby increasing the number of under-represented minorities obtaining undergraduate and graduate degrees in these fields. These grants provide equipment, scholarships, cooperative work/study opportunities, visiting faculty programs, summer programs, and a variety of other enhancements designed to support students and to encourage them to pursue careers in STEM.

• Infrastructure. This program allows universities to purchase basic laboratory equipment for research and education program enhancements and highly sophisticated research instruments, such as lasers and spectrometers.

• Technical assistance. HBCU/MI uses these funds to design programs that enhance the ability of minority institutions to successfully compete for future Defense funding. The objective is to assist the HBCU/MI community in areas such as proposal writing and administration of grants, and contracts.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 C	nibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										
Appropriation/Budget Activity           0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-N           Applied Research	Vide I BA 2:	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602228D8Z I Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutio (HBCU/MI)									
3. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total						
Previous President's Budget	-	-	-	-	-						
Current President's Budget	27.246	-	-	-	-						
Total Adjustments	27.246	-	-	-	-						
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-									
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-5.401	-									
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.047	-									
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	15.599	-									
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	20.000	-									
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-2.000	-									
<ul> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> </ul>	-0.892	-									
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.013	-	-	-	-						

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

The FY 2013 President's Budget requested funds for the HBCU/MI program under Army PE 0601104A. FY 2013 funding was appropriated by Congress in OSD PE 0602228D8Z.

The HBCU/MI Program was realigned from BA 2, PE 0602228D8Z to BA 1, PE 0601228D8Z in the FY 2014 President's Budget Request.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2								<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P489 I Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions (HBCU/ MI)				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P489: Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions (HBCU/MI)	-	27.246	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### Note

The HBCU/MI Program was realigned from Budget Activity (BA) 2, Program Element (PE) 0602228D8Z to BA 1, PE 0601228D8Z in FY 2014. The Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (ASD(R&E)) retains oversight and execution of the program.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The HBCU/MI program provides support in fields of science and engineering that are important to national defense. The Department of Defense (DoD) HBCU/MI Program encourages participation of small minority schools as well as large minority research institutions. This competitive program provides support through grants or contracts for research, education assistance, instrumentation purchases, and technical assistance as described below.

• Research. The research grants further the knowledge in the basic scientific disciplines through theoretical and experimental activities. Collaborative research allows university research staff to work directly with military laboratories or other universities.

• Education. Minority institutions use education assistance funds to strengthen their academic programs in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM), thereby increasing the number of under-represented minorities obtaining undergraduate and graduate degrees in these fields. These grants provide equipment, scholarships, cooperative work/study opportunities, visiting faculty programs, summer programs, and a variety of other enhancements designed to support students and to encourage them to pursue careers in STEM.

• Infrastructure. This program allows universities to purchase basic laboratory equipment for research and education program enhancements and highly sophisticated research instruments, such as lasers and spectrometers.

• Technical assistance. HBCU/MI uses these funds to design programs that enhance the ability of minority institutions to successfully compete for future Defense funding. The objective is to assist the HBCU/MI community in areas such as proposal writing and administration of grants and contracts.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions (HBCU/MI)	27.246	-	-

		2013 Onice	of Secretary	Of Defense					Date: Ma	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	Project     R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)     Project       2     PE 0602228D8Z I Historically Black     P489					P489 I Univer	<b>oject (Number/Name)</b> 89 I Historically Black Colleges and viversities and Minority Institutions (HBCU/ )				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Prog	grams (\$ in N	<u>/lillions)</u>						ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Description:</b> The HBCU/MI program research grants further knowledge in activities. Collaborative research allo	the basic ph	iysical scient	ific and engi	neering disc	iplines throu	gh theoretica	al and empiri				
Conducted annual competition of the million. Established the process nee (S&T) Priorities in the areas of Cyber MI participation in Multidisciplinary U (DURIP), Minerva, and Science, Mat HBCU/MI Strategic Plan. Continued of these institutions within DoD. Cor and HBCUs/MIs in support of the Av the Thurgood Marshall College Fund Continued to examine the effectivene in STEM fields and the transition of t workshops to expose HBCUs/MIs to	eded to fund r r Security Res hematics, an to assess the tinued the re ionic Enabling I totaling \$7.0 ess of DoD-w hese student	new Centers ience and Te search Initiati d Research e DoD-wide search and e g Technolog 000 million. ( vide efforts to s into the Do	of Excellence echnology, D ive (MURI), I for Transforr HBCU/MI pro- educational of y Developme Conducted a p increase the	e in support ata-to-Decis Defense Uni- mation (SMA ograms in or collaboration ent for Mann nnual review e number of	of the ASD sions, and Au versity Rese ART) program rder to stren n project betw ned and Unm v of the six D minorities g	R&E) Science arch Instrum ns. Develop gthen the co veen Naval A anned Airbo oD Centers raduating fro	ce and Techr panded the l pentation Pro ed a DoD-wi mpetitive pos Air Warfare C orne System, of Excellence om HBCUs/M	nology HBCU/ gram de sition Center and e. Is			
	opportunities			Accon	nplishments	s/Planned P	rograms Su	btotals	27.246		
									21.240	-	-
C. Other Program Funding Summa	ary (\$ in Milli	<u>ons)</u>							27.240		-
C. Other Program Funding Summa Line Item • BA 1, PE 0601228D8Z: <i>HBCU/MI</i> Remarks	ary (\$ in Milli FY 2013 -	ons) <u>FY 2014</u> 35.895	FY 2015 Base 24.412	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>OCO</u> -	<b>FY 2015</b> <u>Total</u> 24.412	<u>FY 2016</u> 26.812	<u>FY 2017</u> 26.421	<b>FY 20</b> 1 27.31	<u>8 FY 2019</u>	- Cost To Complete Continuing	Total Cost
• BA 1, PE 0601228D8Z: <i>HBCU/MI</i>		FY 2014	<b>Base</b>	000	Total			FY 201	<u>8 FY 2019</u>	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Line Item • BA 1, PE 0601228D8Z: <i>HBCU/MI</i> Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy	<u>FY 2013</u>	FY 2014 35.895	<u>Base</u> 24.412	000	Total			FY 201	<u>8 FY 2019</u>	Cost To Complete	Total Cost

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Off	Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602228D8Z I Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions (HBCU/MI)	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P489 I Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions (HBC MI)		
<ul> <li>Percent of students pursuing graduate and Ph.D. degre</li> <li>Number of undergraduate students achieving specified</li> <li>Number of students participating in the Centers of Exce</li> <li>Number of students working in Defense Laboratories.</li> </ul>	Grade Point Average.			
This data constitutes the "Existing Baseline" for measuren	nent and improvement in future years.			

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificati	ion: PB 20 <sup>-</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: <i>Research, Development, Te</i> <i>Applied Research</i>	est & Evalua	ition, Defen	se-Wide I B	A 2:	-	am Elemen 34D8Z I Linc	•	,				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	34.444	32.637	41.868	51.875	-	51.875	53.993	53.459	55.628	62.303	Continuing	Continuing
P534: Lincoln Laboratory	27.877	29.048	32.865	41.846	-	41.846	44.707	43.634	49.709	55.450	Continuing	Continuing
P535: Technical Intelligence	3.687	3.263	8.638	10.029	-	10.029	9.286	9.825	5.919	6.853	Continuing	Continuing
P536: Testbed for Comparative Analysis	2.880	0.326	0.365	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Lincoln Laboratory (LL) research line program is an advanced technology research and development effort conducted through a cost reimbursable contract with the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). The LL Program funds innovations that directly lead to the development of new system concepts, technologies, components and materials in support of Lincoln Laboratory's missions in Advanced Electronics Technology, Communications Systems, Intelligence, Cyber Security and Information Sciences, Surveillance and Reconnaissance Systems and Technology, Tactical Systems, Space Control, and Air and Missile Defense. In FY 2013 the LL Program supported these missions by conducting research and development in five core science and engineering disciplines and four technical initiatives:

- Advanced Devices, with emphasis on development of materials, devices, and subsystems utilizing microelectronic, photonic, biological, and chemical technologies to enable new system approaches to Department of Defense (DoD) sensors.

- Optical Systems and Technologies, including the development of focal planes, integrated imagers, imaging and spectroscopic detection systems.

- RF Systems and Technologies, including the development of novel active and passive Radio Frequency (RF) sensors and development of electronic protection and electronics attack technologies and system concepts.

- Information, Computation, and Exploitation, which seeks to develop novel architectures, tools, and techniques for the processing, fusion, interpretation, computation, and exploitation of multi-sensor, multi-intelligence data.

- Cyber Security, includes developing technologies and new techniques for the protection of systems against cyber attack and exploitation.

- Technical Initiatives, include biological sciences to aid the warfighter and develop tools for biological research; autonomous systems technologies with the objective of developing mobile, autonomous, robotic platforms that demonstrate key capabilities needed for a wide range of defense applications; quantum information sciences to develop basic technologies that support the storage, transport, and computation of quantum information; and novel and engineered materials that utilize nano-manufacturing techniques to create meta or other materials with unique physical and optical properties not readily found in nature.

Supporting these and other priority technology and capability areas are work efforts entitled Technical Intelligence and Testbed for Comparative Analysis:

- Technical Intelligence is working to develop a comprehensive understanding of technology emergence and advancement in a range of relevant scientific areas such as nanotechnology, directed energy, and propulsion. Some details are classified, but one focus area is working to establish a broad horizon scanning and technology forecasting capability through a collaborative effort by the Department of Defense (DoD) and the Intelligence Community. This effort will develop insight into our relative position in science and technology around the world over time, as well as determine potential impacts on DoD capability development and future threat environments.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Of	ffice of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity		R-1 Program El	ement (Number/Name)		
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-W Applied Research	Vide I BA 2:	PE 0602234D8Z	I Lincoln Laboratory		
- The Testbed for Comparative Analysis will enable the evalu	ation of quantitati	ve, horizon scann	ing and technology fore	casting techniques for o	discovering disruptive
technologies that may impact the DoD. This effort will provid	•			÷ .	
technology forecasting efforts.			,,,,,		
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	36.826	46.875	51.452	-	51.452
Current President's Budget	32.637	41.868	51.875	-	51.875
Total Adjustments	-4.189	-5.007	0.423	-	0.423
Congressional General Reductions	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-3.152	-5.000			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.049	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.974	-			
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	0.423	-	0.423
FFRDC Adjustments	-	-0.007	-	-	-
Other Program Adjustments	-0.014	-	-	-	-

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

The reduction is a strategic efficiency approach to reduce funding and staffing. As a result, we provide a better alignment of funding and provide support to a smaller military force.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense					Date: March 2014							
							Project (Number/Name) P534 / Lincoln Laboratory					
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P534: Lincoln Laboratory	27.877	29.048	32.865	41.846	-	41.846	44.707	43.634	49.709	55.450	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Lincoln Laboratory (LL) research line program is an advanced technology research and development effort conducted through a cost reimbursable contract with the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). The LL Program funds innovations that directly lead to the development of new system concepts, technologies, components and materials in support of Lincoln Laboratory's missions in Advanced Electronics Technology, Communications Systems, Intelligence, Cyber Security and Information Sciences, Surveillance and Reconnaissance Systems and Technology, Tactical Systems, Space Control, and Air and Missile Defense. For FY 2013 the LL Program will support these missions by conducting research and development in five core science and engineering disciplines and four technical initiatives:

- Advanced Devices, with emphasis on development of materials, devices, and subsystems utilizing microelectronic, photonic, biological, and chemical technologies to enable new system approaches to Department of Defense (DoD) sensors.

- Optical Systems and Technologies, including the development of focal planes, integrated imagers, imaging and spectroscopic detection systems.

- RF Systems and Technologies, including the development of novel active and passive Radio Frequency (RF) sensors and development of electronic protection and electronics attack technologies and system concepts.

- Information, Computation, and Exploitation, which seeks to develop novel architectures, tools, and techniques for the processing, fusion, interpretation, computation, and exploitation of multi-sensor, multi-intelligence data.

- Cyber Security, includes developing technologies and new techniques for the protection of systems against cyber attack and exploitation.

- Technical Initiatives, include biological sciences to aid the warfighter and develop tools for biological research; autonomous systems technologies with the objective of developing mobile, autonomous, robotic platforms that demonstrate key capabilities needed for a wide range of defense applications; quantum information sciences to develop basic technologies that support the storage, transport, and computation of quantum information; and novel and engineered materials that utilize nano-manufacturing techniques to create meta or other materials with unique physical and optical properties not readily found in nature.

Supporting these and other priority technology and capability areas is a work effort titled Technical Intelligence. Technical Intelligence supports comprehensive understanding of technology emergence and advancement in a range of relevant scientific areas such as nanotechnology, directed energy, and propulsion. Some details are classified, but one collaborative effort by DoD and the Intelligence community is focused on establishing a broad horizon scanning and technology forecasting capability. This effort will develop insight over time into our relative position in science and technology around the world and potential impacts on capability development and future threat environments.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Information, Computation, and Exploitation Sciences	3.926	4.117	5.118
<b>Description:</b> Seeks to develop novel architectures, tools, and techniques for the processing, fusion, interpretation, computation, and exploitation of multi-sensor, multi-intelligence data.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Secretary Of Defense	Date: N	/larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0602234D8Z / Lincoln Laboratory	Project (Number/ P534 / Lincoln Lab		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Extended video analytics work to enable end user customization a theoretical framework for threat detection in networks and graphs enable collection, fusion, and exploitation of structured and unstructured and unstruc	. Developed a data-intensive cloud analytics infrastructure	eto		
<i>FY 2014 Plans:</i> Begin demonstration of large-scale multi-intelligence data fusion,	exploitation, and visualization for specific application doma	ains.		
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Continue demonstration of large-scale multi-intelligence data fusio domains.	on, exploitation, and visualization for specific application			
Title: Cyber Security		3.595	3.770	4.19
Description: Developing technologies and new techniques for the	e protection of systems against cyber attack and exploitation	on.		
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Developed tools to improve cyber situation awareness and simula mission effectiveness. Developed automated methods to reverse				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Evaluate cyber situational awareness tools on operational network and develop strategies to maximize mission effectiveness.	ks. Evaluate the impact of cyber attacks on simulated net	vorks		
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Continue to evaluate cyber situational awareness tools on operati simulated networks and develop strategies to maximize mission e				
<i>Title:</i> Advanced Devices		5.750	6.029	5.18
<b>Description:</b> Development of materials, devices, and subsystems technologies to enable new system approaches to Department of		al		
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Evaluated new materials for short-wavelength infrared (SWIR) im- for processors. Fabricated silicon photonic devices for heterogen arrays of high-power, semiconductor lasers optimized for incorpor	eous integration into coherent analog systems. Demonstr			
FY 2014 Plans:				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Secretary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0602234D8Z / Lincoln Laboratory	-	(Number/N Lincoln Labo	,	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Fabricate and test new SWIR imagers. Develop design and procheterogeneous photonic component performance from the radio f of directed energy laser components.		scaling			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Continue to test new SWIR imagers. Develop design and proces heterogeneous photonic component performance from the radio f of directed energy laser components.		scaling			
<i>Title:</i> Optical Systems			4.816	5.051	6.000
Description: Development of focal planes, integrated imagers, in	naging and spectroscopic detection systems.				
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Developed optical systems and components for space surveillance efficiency mid-wavelength infrared (MWIR) and long-wavelength i concepts for extending the range of coherent laser radars.					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Continue technology development and evaluate performance of n efficiency MWIR/LWIR transmitters. Develop components for coh		)-			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Continue technology development and evaluate performance of n efficiency MWIR/LWIR transmitters. Develop components for coh		)-			
Title: Radio Frequency (RF) Systems			4.895	5.134	3.380
<b>Description:</b> Development of novel active and passive RF senso attack technologies and system concepts.	rs and development of electronic protection and electronic	S			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Completed fabrication and testing of a high-performance, low-pov concepts to extend the linearity of RF analog devices. Design an channelized RF receivers. Developed RF techniques for electron	d fabricate photonic components needed for massively				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of	Defense	Date:	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0602234D8Z / Lincoln Laboratory	Project (Number P534 / Lincoln La		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Design next generation RF receiver chips with enhanced linearity. Test compreceiver. Design and fabricate new RF components.	ponents for massively channelized photonic RF			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Test next generation RF receiver chips with enhanced linearity. Test componereceiver. Design and fabricate new RF components.	nents for massively channelized photonic RF			
Title: Technical Initiatives		6.066	8.764	12.965
<b>Description:</b> Technical Initiatives includes: Biological sciences to aid the war Autonomous systems technologies with the objective of developing mobile, are key capabilities needed for a wide range of defense applications. Quantum in that support the storage, transport, and computation of quantum information. manufacturing techniques to create meta or other materials with unique physic	utonomous, robotic platforms that demonstrate nformation sciences to develop basic technolog Novel and engineered materials that utilize na	ies no-		
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Biosciences: Grew techniques and platforms for synthetic biology research, for tools and methods for rapid assessment of traumatic brain injury. Developed physiological load monitoring. Autonomous systems: Focused on growth of second complexities (including demonstration) and multi-unmanned aerial vehicles mission operations. Quantum Information Sciences: Focused on demonstration of quantum protected communications. Novel and Engineered Materials: De properties in support of the development of high-frequency, tunable mirrors in and test miniature broad-band antennas utilizing negative index of refraction of the development of the development of high-frequency.	low Size, Weight and Power (SWaP) tools for shared-perception for autonomous systems, le/unmanned ground vehicle (UAV/UGV) cooper tion of multi-qubit computation and development eveloped meta material designs and test material the mid to long-wave infrared. Developed dest	erative nt al		
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Biosciences: Conduct synthetic biology research, focusing on digital-based grassessment of traumatic brain injury. Evaluate low Size, Weight and Power (Autonomous systems: Develop hardware optimized for autonomous control a Narrow focus of qubit research to one or more competing schemes. Focus of Demonstrate quantum protected communications. Novel and Engineered Mathematic to long-wave infrared. Test miniature broad-band antennas.	SWaP) tools for physiological load monitoring. and planning. Quantum Information Sciences: n demonstration of multi-qubit computation.	rs in		
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> Biosciences: Conduct synthetic biology research, focusing on digital-based grassessment of traumatic brain injury. Evaluate low Size, Weight and Power (Autonomous systems: Develop hardware optimized for autonomous control a Narrow focus of qubit research to one or more competing schemes. Focus of	SWaP) tools for physiological load monitoring. and planning. Quantum Information Sciences:			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	efense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0602234D8Z / Lincoln Laboratory	Project (I P534 / Lir		,	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Demonstrate quantum protected communications. Novel and Engineered Mate the mid to long-wave infrared. Test miniature broad-band antennas.	rials: Develop high-frequency, tunable mirro	ors in			
Title: Applied Research Analyses for Advancing S&T Priorities			-	-	5.000
<b>Description:</b> In FY 2014 the Lincoln Laboratory (LL) program will include an act and experiments across a wide range of complex systems problems that face the priorities, natural disasters, and dwindling federal resources, to name a few, are timely and cost-effective military defense of the nation. LL will develop an agile addressing the impact of proposed solutions on complex-systems-engineering of on specific problems selected by the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Resear	ne DoD. Emerging conflicts, shifting global all factors that will tax our ability to provide analytical and experimental methodology fo challenges and will reduce this method to pra	a r			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Assess one or more specific time-critical problems of interest to the DoD with the source of the problem, proposed solution space, cost, and resources required to experimentation and analyses to support specific courses of action. The object thorough reactive or proactive analyses that will aid in the DoD specific short te decision making.	o validate the proposed solutions, and condu ive of these studies are to provide quick and	ıct			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Assess various time-critical problems of interest to the DoD with the goal of proproblem, proposed solution space, cost, and resources required to validate the and analyses to support specific courses of action. The objective of these studies proactive analyses that will aid in the DoD specific short term conflict resolution.	proposed solutions, and conduct experimenties are to provide quick and thorough reactive	tation			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su	btotals	29.048	32.865	41.846
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy N/A E. Performance Metrics N/A					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense					Date: March 2014							
Appropriation/Budget Activity         R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)           0400 / 2         PE 0602234D8Z / Lincoln Laboratory			,	Project (Number/Name) P535 / Technical Intelligence								
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P535: Technical Intelligence	3.687	3.263	8.638	10.029	-	10.029	9.286	9.825	5.919	6.853	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

(U) The Technical Intelligence program provides global scientific and technological (S&T) awareness – an understanding of relevant fields, developments, applications, and opportunities of S&T topics – in order to assist decision-makers in the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Research and Engineering (OASD(R&E)) to prepare for an uncertain future.

(U) The Technical Intelligence program provides this support through three over-arching areas: Current Threat and Capability Estimates, Technical Watch and Horizon Scanning (TW/HS), and Technical Assessments. The current threat and capability estimate focus area coordinates with multiple U.S. government agencies to characterize today's global S&T environment – to include threats and opportunities – using intelligence-derived and open source information as well as developing proof-of-concept systems to address identified threats. The TW/HS focus area identifies nascent and disruptive technologies that will shape the future S&T landscape through novel TW/HS tools and engagement with international partners. The technical assessment focus area identifies the military relevance, research opportunities, and policy recommendations for existing and future technologies. Each of these areas is supported by a robust outreach program.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Technical Intelligence	3.263	8.638	10.029
<b>Description:</b> The Technical Intelligence program provides global scientific and technological (S&T) awareness – an understanding of relevant fields, developments, applications, and opportunities of S&T topics – in order to assist decision-makers in the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Research and Engineering (OASD(R&E)) to prepare for an uncertain future.			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>(U) In FY2013, the Technical Intelligence program focused on programs which aligned with the Office of Technical Intelligence's (OTI) focus areas: Current Threat and Capability Estimates, Technology Watch and Horizon Scanning, and Technical Assessments. Specifically:</li> <li>(U//FOUO) JASON Program: sponsored three JASON studies on national security topics: 1) Hypersonic Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR); 2) Missile Threat; and 3) Space Intelligence. The study results are classified.</li> <li>(U//FOUO) AS-US Bilateral Program: sponsored multiple DSTO studies that include Agile Manufacturing, Cold Atom Technology, Metamaterials, Future Technologies in Synthetic Biology, Attitude Toward Application of Biotechnologies to Humans, Cognitive Neuroscience and Augmentation, Efficient Heuristics for Hamiltonian Cycle Problem, and Batteries Deep Dive Study.</li> </ul>			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary C	of Defense	Date:	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0602234D8Z / Lincoln Laboratory	Project (Number) P535 / Technical I	,	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>(U//FOUO) Technical Assessment Program: sponsored efforts to support topics include: directed energy weapons, human performance modifications assessment results are at both the unclassified and higher classification level</li> </ul>	, synthetic biology, and quantum magnetometers.	The		
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> (U) In FY 2014, the Technical Intelligence program will continue to focus on Current Threat and Capability Estimates, TW/HS, and Technical Assessmer • (U//FOUO) Morning Express Program: OTI will sponsor the development infrastructure from attack. Additional information on this effort is at a higher • (U) JASON Program: OTI will sponsor the JASON group to support focuse problems. The topic areas include metamaterials, photonics, and autonomy • (U) Open-Source Capability Development: OTI will complete the developm OASD(R&E) S&T News Bulletin which showcase S&T news stories and aca • (U) Technical Assessment Program: will sponsor multiple technical assess Synthetic Biology, Printed Electronics, Quantum Magnetometry, Metamateri	nts. Specifically: of a countermeasure system(s) to protect forces a classification level. ed technical assessments on defense relevant nent of a contemporary website based on the demic publications. sment activities to include Human Performance,			
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>(U) In FY2015, the Technical Intelligence program will continue to focus on a Current Threat and Capability Estimates, TW/HS, and Technical Assessment</li> <li>(U//FOUO) OTI will identify additional areas to explore proof-of-concept condevelopment of an electronic countermeasure system(s) to protect forces are these effort are at a higher classification level.</li> <li>(U) OTI will sponsor the JASON group to support focused technical assess</li> <li>(U) OTI will sponsor efforts to continue the development of the TW/HS provide alternate TW/HS tool exploitation.</li> <li>(U) OTI will sponsor multiple efforts to support the technical assessment plists developed nationally and with international partners.</li> </ul>	nts. Specifically: bunter measure development. : OTI will sponsor the ad infrastructure from attack. Additional information essments on defense relevant problems. bogram. These efforts may include identification of	ne on on		
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subt	otals 3.263	8.638	10.029
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy N/A				

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 O	ffice of Secretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014			
opropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0602234D8Z / Lincoln Laboratory	Project (Number/Name) P535 / Technical Intelligence			
Performance Metrics					
<u></u>					
0602234D8Z: Lincoln Laboratory	UNCLASSIFIED	Valuma 2			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	)efense					Date: Mar	ch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2						am Elemen 34D8Z / Lind				<b>ject (Number/Name)</b> 36 I Testbed for Comparative Analysis			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P536: Testbed for Comparative Analysis	2.880	0.326	0.365	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wil	l be submit	ted at a late	r date.										
A. Mission Description and Bud (U) The Testbed for Comparative (OTI). The TW/HS focus area ide Testbed for Comparative Analysis scanning.	Analysis pr	rogram sup ent and dis	ports the Te ruptive tech	nologies th	at will shape	e the future	S&T landso	ape throug	h the exploi	tation of no	ovel TW/HS t	tools. The	
B. Accomplishments/Planned P	rograms (\$	in Millions	<u>s)</u>						FY	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Title: Testbed for Comparative Ar	nalysis									0.326	0.365	-	
<b>Description:</b> (U) The Testbed for efforts within the Office of Technic that will shape the future S&T land program provides OTI the ability to horizon scanning.	al Intelliger	nce (OTI). T ough the exp	he TW/HS to ploitation of	focus area novel TW/H	identified na IS tools. Th	ascent and o e Testbed f	disruptive te or Compara	echnologies ative Analys	sis				
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>(U) In FY2013, the Testbed for Co Specifically:</li> <li>(U) Tech Watch and Horizon Sca system development effort. These system and technical support from government research centers/labor</li> </ul>	anning Pilot e efforts inc n GTRI and	t System: O cluded the p NSWC in t	TI sponsore urchasing o he developr	ed two effor f SCOPUS nent of a re	ts in the cor data to sup	ntinuation of port the que formation to	f the TW/HS ery sets of t o industry, a	S automated he TW/HS icademia, a	pilot				
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>(U) In FY2014, the Testbed for Control HS. Specifically:</li> <li>(U) TW/HS Pilot System Developerating system which</li> <li>(U) TW/HS Tool Exploitation: Or patterns; specifically providing instructions</li> </ul>	pment: OT may provic TI will spon	I will contin de early ider sor efforts c	ue to spons ntification of on exploiting	or efforts to emerging TW/HS to	owards deve and develop ols to 1) ide	eloping an a bing technol entify existin	utonomous ogies. Ig and unre	TW/HS					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secre	tary Of Defense		Date: N	arch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0602234D8Z / Lincoln Laboratory			umber/Name) tbed for Comparative Analy		
3. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
understanding on how to incorporate private-sector data analysis rega disruptive developments.	rding technology development, trends, and potentially	/				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su	btotals	0.326	0.365		
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy N/A E. Performance Metrics N/A						

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense Database Databa								Date: March 2014				
				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602251D8Z <i>I Applied Research for the Advancement of S&amp;T Priorities</i>								
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	-	37.984	41.965	-	41.965	46.920	51.071	52.098	56.098	Continuing	Continuing
P227: Applied Research for the Advancement of S&T Priorities	-	-	37.984	41.965	-	41.965	46.920	51.071	52.098	56.098	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Applied Research for the Advancement of Science and Technology (S&T) Priorities program element (PE) will enable the early launch of S&T applied research projects to shape Components' investments. The PE is oriented toward the design, development, and improvement of prototypes and new processes to meet general mission area requirements, and to translate promising research into solutions for military needs. Efforts are situated within the seven DoD S&T priorities and focus areas and will include studies, feasibility evaluations, and non-system specific technology efforts. Investigations conducted in this PE will facilitate concept exploration efforts and studies of alternative concepts. Efforts are formulated and managed by teams of subject matter experts drawn from the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Military Services, and Defense Agencies. The PE will also provide necessary administrative support to the Priority Steering Councils and S&T Focus Areas.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	-	45.000	38.800	-	38.800
Current President's Budget	-	37.984	41.965	-	41.965
Total Adjustments	-	-7.016	3.165	-	3.165
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-7.000			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>FFRDC Adjustment</li> </ul>	-	-0.016	-	-	-
<ul> <li>DoD Higher Priorities</li> </ul>	-	-	3.165	-	3.165

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

Program increase is to support the higher priorities of agency operations.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense Date: March 2014												
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2					<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602251D8Z <i>I Applied Research for the</i> <i>Advancement of S&amp;T Priorities</i>				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P227 I Applied Research for the Advancement of S&T Priorities			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P227: Applied Research for the Advancement of S&T Priorities	-	-	37.984	41.965	-	41.965	46.920	51.071	52.098	56.098	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Applied Research for the Advancement of Science and Technology (S&T) Priorities program element (PE) will enable the early launch of S&T applied research projects to shape the Components' investments. The PE is oriented toward the design, development, and improvement of prototypes and new processes to meet general mission area requirements, and to translate promising research into solutions for military needs. Efforts are situated within the seven DoD S&T priorities and focus areas and will include studies, feasibility evaluations, and non-system specific technology efforts. Investigations conducted in this PE will facilitate concept exploration efforts and studies of alternative concepts. Efforts are formulated and managed by teams of subject matter experts drawn from the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Military Services, and Defense Agencies. The PE will also provide necessary administrative support to the Priority Steering Councils and S&T Focus Areas.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Applied Research for the Advancement of S&T Priorities	-	29.000	32.039
<b>Description:</b> The S&T priorities include: Electronic Warfare (EW), Human Systems, Counter Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD), Engineered Resilient Systems (ERS), Data to Decisions (D2D), Autonomy, and Cybersecurity.			
FY 2014 Plans: Conduct concept exploration efforts that focus on the seven S&T priority areas. Challenge areas within the priorities include:			
Electronic Warfare: - Spatial and spectral parameters - Integrated, network-enabled EW systems - Electronic protection measures			
Human Systems: - System interfaces - Social and cultural understanding - Personnel and training - Protection and sustainment			

Page 2 of 5

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secr	Date:	Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602251D8Z / Applied Research for the Advancement of S&T Priorities	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P227 I Applied Research for the Advancement of S&T Priorities				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<ul> <li>Counter Weapons of Mass Destruction:</li> <li>Systems integration</li> <li>Activity recognition</li> <li>Advanced signature detection and tracking</li> <li>Advanced radiation detection</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>Engineered Resilient Systems:</li> <li>Systems analysis methods and tools</li> <li>Early concept engineering techniques</li> <li>Advanced architecture and design analysis techniques</li> <li>New approaches to analysis and testing</li> <li>Methods and tools for more robust designs</li> <li>Advanced algorithms</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>Data to Decisions:</li> <li>Enhanced images</li> <li>Temporal and text analytics</li> <li>Better software architectures</li> <li>Improved algorithms for data fusion</li> <li>Improved understanding of user interactions</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>Autonomy:</li> <li>Machine reasoning and intelligence</li> <li>Human/autonomous systems interaction and collaboration</li> <li>Scalable Teaing of Autonomous systems</li> <li>Testing and Evaluation and Verification and Validation</li> </ul>						
Cyber: - Mission assurance and effectiveness - Operating securely in an insecure world - Reinventing cyber technology foundations						
FY 2015 Plans:						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secret	tary Of Defense	Date:	March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602251D8Z <i>I Applied Research for the</i> <i>Advancement of S&amp;T Priorities</i>	Project (Number/Name) P227 I Applied Research for the Advancement of S&T Priorities				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
Continue to conduct concept exploration efforts that focus on the S&T priorities include:	priority areas. In FY 2015, the challenge areas within	the				
Counter Weapons of Mass Destruction: - Systems integration - Activity recognition - Advanced signature detection and tracking - Advanced radiation detection						
Engineered Resilient Systems: - Systems analysis methods and tools - Early concept engineering techniques - Advanced architecture and design analysis techniques - New approaches to analysis and testing - Methods and tools for more robust designs - Advanced algorithms						
Data to Decisions: - Enhanced images - Temporal and text analytics - Better software architectures - Improved algorithms for data fusion - Improved understanding of user interactions						
Autonomy: - Machine reasoning and intelligence - Human/autonomous systems interaction and collaboration - Scalable Teaing of Autonomous systems - Testing and Evaluation and Verification and Validation						
Cyber: - Mission assurance and effectiveness - Operating securely in an insecure world						

PE 0602251D8Z: *Applied Research for the Advancement of S&T Priori...* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	ecretary Of Defense	Date: N	/larch 2014					
Appropriation/Budget ActivityR-1 Program Element (Number/Name)Project (Number/N0400 / 2PE 0602251D8Z / Applied Research for the Advancement of S&T PrioritiesP227 / Applied Res Advancement of S&T								
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015				
<ul> <li>Reinventing cyber technology foundations</li> </ul>								
<i>Title:</i> S&T Focus Areas		-	8.984	9.92				
<b>Description:</b> The S&T Focus Areas task facilitates cooperation an development of selected S&T efforts across the DoD enterprise. S to address gaps or opportunities. FY 2014 focus areas are: Advar Control, and Communications and Networks; Intelligence, Surveilla Devices; and Biomedical.	elect technology areas are examined and projects are initinced Materials; Energy and Power; Weapons; Command,							
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Candidate projects for S&T Focus Areas include: exceptional mate field - materials coupling; active informatics photonic materials; dev scale battery architectures; and 3-dimensional (3D) stereochemistr mining – creation of material stock for mobile manufacturing.	velopment of models and architecture for digital curation; n	ano-						
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> Candidate projects for S&T Focus Areas include: exceptional mate field - materials coupling; active informatics photonic materials; dev scale battery architectures; and 3-dimensional (3D) stereochemistr mining – creation of material stock for mobile manufacturing.	velopment of models and architecture for digital curation; n	ano-						
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals -	37.984	41.96				
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A <u>Remarks</u>								
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A								
<b><u>E. Performance Metrics</u></b> Project performance metrics specific to each effort are identified in project success will be monitored through these metrics.	the project plans established by the Priority Steering Cou	ncils and Focus Are	a leads. Indiv	idual				

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: March 2014				
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: <i>Research, Development, Test &amp; Evaluation, Defense-Wide I</i> BA 2: <i>Applied Research</i>				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602663D8Z <i>I Data to Decisions Applied Research</i>								
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	8.605	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
P266: Data to Decisions Applied Research	-	8.605	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### <u>Note</u>

Change from FY 2013 to FY 2014 reflects a realignment of the program from the Data to Decisions Applied Research program element (PE) 0602663D8Z to higher Department of Defense (DoD) priorities.

The goals of this program will be shifted to the DoD Components under the direction of the Research and Engineering (R&E) Executive Committee and will conform with the DoD Data to Decision Priority Steering Council roadmaps. Historically, the Joint Data Management program was restructured to evolve into the revised Data to Decisions Applied Research program, a FY 2012 new start, in support of the 2010 Quadrennial Defense Review mission: Succeed in counterinsurgency, stability, and counterterrorism operations. In addition, this program addresses a signed Secretary of Defense S&T priority, Data to Decisions, which reduces the cycle time and manpower requirements for analysis and use of large data sets.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The DoD response to a changing threat environment includes an expansion of the types of sensors deployed, new types of information collected, and different features used to classify these new threats. As the DoD increases the capability and capacity to generate increasing amounts of data from numerous sensors in the battlespace, the issue of handling very large data sets has become more challenging. From a technical perspective, data creation speeds have outpaced the speed and ability to transport, store, and process the data created. S&T investigation into new and novel ways to manage and exploit this data is required to more efficiently use sensor assets and effectively use information in a timely fashion.

The Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) Data to Decisions program (PEs 0602663D8Z and 0603663D8Z) uniquely addresses three specific gap areas not addressed by Component S&T: minimal dedicated Data to Decisions research to support Joint and emerging mission areas; DoD needs a mechanism to increase responsiveness of Component Data to Decisions research and lower the time-to-solution across a broad DoD-wide user base; and limited investment in multi-disciplinary research investigations of Data to Decisions issues and solutions. The OSD Data to Decisions program pulls together research efforts to address shortfalls within the context of Joint and emerging missions to ensure that the distinctive needs of these joint analysts and decision makers are addressed by DoD science and technology. As an example, irregular warfare, non-state terrorism movements, and uncertain environmental patterns that trigger major weather disasters are producing a reality for military and government leaders where traditional physics-based sensors alone are insufficient to plan current and future actions in a region of interest or need. Component Data to Decisions efforts focus on developing technology to overcome a particular challenge within a mission or to advance a particular priority area of that Component. As a result, the R&E Database has over 388 references to Decision Support programs; all of which are designed to address a specific need over the course of several years. However, there exists no other program in the DoD that focuses on technology development efforts to speed the delivery of the Component

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of	f Secretary O	f Defense		Dat	te: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity	F	R-1 Program El	ement (Number/Name)		
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I	BA 2: [	PE 0602663D8Z	I Data to Decisions App	olied Research	
Applied Research					
solutions and lessons learned to a DoD-wide user base. The OSD metrics) to integrate and evaluate the technology development and rapidly evaluate technology development and research methods w across DoD by rigorously analyzing technical performance for more specific mission effect resulting in large complex data sets. While automated data analysis with human analysts, operators, and decir workshops, and analysis have stated that solutions to data issues a Components and research disciplines to blend promising research contextual understanding will result from research combining huma fuse and assimilate multiple sources and types of information for negative.	d research me rill allow techn e immediate u necessary for sion makers in are multi-disci in new ways an sciences w	ethods to suppor hology transfer fo use. Traditional r sensor system n order to reduc iplinary. The OS in response to c	t various missions drive or mission analysis not p approaches within rese improvements, potentia e time and limit the num SD Data to Decisions pro ross-service Challenge	n by the challenge p reviously foreseen a arch seek to advance Data to Decisions s ber of people require ogram is in the uniqu Problem statements	roblems. This ability to and lower the time-to solution e machine systems for a solutions require a coupling of ed. Many research studies, ue position to reach across . For Challenge Problems,
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total

<u>. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)</u>	<u>F1 2013</u>	<u>F12014</u>	FT 2015 Dase	FT 2013 0CU	FT 2015 TOLA
Previous President's Budget	13.753	-	-	-	-
Current President's Budget	8.605	-	-	-	-
Total Adjustments	-5.148	-	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-5.000	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.696	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.012	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	0.752	-			
<ul> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> </ul>	-0.189	-			
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.003	-	-	-	-

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2					<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602663D8Z <i>I Data to Decisions Applied</i> <i>Research</i>				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P266 <i>I Data to Decisions Applied Research</i>				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P266: Data to Decisions Applied Research	-	8.605	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### Note

Change from FY 2013 to FY 2014 reflects a realignment of the program from the Data to Decisions Applied Research program element (PE) 0602663D8Z to higher Department of Defense (DoD) priorities.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The OSD Data to Decisions (D2D) program (PEs 0602663D8Z and 0603663D8Z) uniquely addresses three specific gap areas not addressed by Component Science and Technology (S&T): (1) minimal dedicated D2D research to support Joint and emerging mission areas; (2) DoD needs a mechanism to increase responsiveness of Component D2D research and lower the time-to-solution across a broad DoD-wide user base; and (3) limited investment in multi-disciplinary research investigations of D2D issues and solutions.

The D2D program establishes the demonstration and experimentation environment to conduct independent evaluations of research efforts that have the most potential of minimizing the impact of the increasing amount of information available and required to support military operational decision-making. The intent is to leverage existing research investments within defense S&T and provide proper evaluations and assessments to facilitate technology transition. The Applied Research program concentrates on the development portion of this collaborative effort, focusing on the development of improved algorithms, relative to FY 2012 state of the art, to be demonstrated and validated in the 6.3 D2D program test bed. The D2D Advanced Development (6.3) program uses a spiral development model with four-steps. Each year Operational teams will choose a series of cross-service challenge problems dominated by a specific sensing modality. Representative data for each of those problems will then be collected for testing against that problem. A Development team will design algorithms and data management architectures using high-level languages and self-test on controlled data sets to address those challenge problems. Independent assessment will occur with sequestered data sets, but each development tool will also be tested against new sensors not included in the self-testing to determine fragility and applicability. A Transition team will host the developed algorithms as services in a spiraling prototype system that will support rapid prototyping and transition.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Moving Intelligence (MOVINT) Analytics	4.302	-	-
<b>Description:</b> MOVINT analytics is concerned with developing algorithms to exploit full motion video, Ground Moving Target Indication (GMTI), Communications Intelligence (COMINT), and other forms of MOVINT. These algorithms will be implemented in software modules that can be cast as services on a Service-Oriented Architecture. Representative modules include trackers, activity based analytics, behavior detection, start-stop detectors, and others.			

<ul> <li>B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)</li> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments: <ul> <li>Conducted bottom-up analysis of a single workflow to identify functions control.</li> <li>Initiated efforts to provide management of uncertainty by simultaneously control.</li> <li>Evaluated technology implementation of common functions that occur in the work of the exploratory is to determine if they should continue as DoD Component provide as soon as practical.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Title: Text Analytics <ul> <li>Description: Text Analytics, a term used to identify a set of linguistic, statistica and structure the information content of textual sources for exploratory data and role in achieving open-source intelligence (OSINT) and human intelligence (HU situational awareness in time-constrained, uncertain, and complex environment and the proliferation of mobile communication devices, text information retrieval, lemining techniques including link and association analysis, visualization, and presented information representation methods to enable faster and more efficiently, leverage data sets.</li> <li>Researched methods to enable analysts to operate more efficiently, leverage</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	rolling sensing and processing. wide area motion imagery probler rograms, can be completed at the al, and machine learning techniqu alysis, research, and investigation JMINT) capabilities that inform tin	e end of FY 2013, es that model n, play a vital nely and accurate	<b>FY 2013</b> 4.303	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Conducted bottom-up analysis of a single workflow to identify functions control.</li> <li>Initiated efforts to provide management of uncertainty by simultaneously control.</li> <li>Evaluated technology implementation of common functions that occur in the work of the event of the even of the event of the event of the event of the event of the even</li></ul>	rolling sensing and processing. wide area motion imagery probler rograms, can be completed at the al, and machine learning techniqu alysis, research, and investigation JMINT) capabilities that inform tin	e end of FY 2013, es that model n, play a vital nely and accurate	4.303	-	
<ul> <li>Description: Text Analytics, a term used to identify a set of linguistic, statistical and structure the information content of textual sources for exploratory data and role in achieving open-source intelligence (OSINT) and human intelligence (HU situational awareness in time-constrained, uncertain, and complex environment and the proliferation of mobile communication devices, text information is availat thus represents an opportunity to engage in research for information retrieval, lemining techniques including link and association analysis, visualization, and preserve of the proliferation representation methods to enable faster and more efficiently, leverage</li> </ul>	alysis, research, and investigation JMINT) capabilities that inform tin	n, play a vital nely and accurate	4.303	-	-
and structure the information content of textual sources for exploratory data and role in achieving open-source intelligence (OSINT) and human intelligence (HU situational awareness in time-constrained, uncertain, and complex environment and the proliferation of mobile communication devices, text information is availat thus represents an opportunity to engage in research for information retrieval, lemining techniques including link and association analysis, visualization, and pre- <b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> - Researched information representation methods to enable faster and more efficiently, leverage - Researched methods to enable analysts to operate more efficiently, leverage	alysis, research, and investigation JMINT) capabilities that inform tin	n, play a vital nely and accurate			
<ul> <li>identify objects of interest</li> <li>Reviewed projects to determine if they should continue as DoD Component processor or cancelled as soon as practical.</li> </ul>	able in unprecedented amounts a lexical analysis to study word free edictive analytics. fficient detection of social network non-traditional data sources, and	ind formats and juency, and data is in complex, i more effectively			
	Accomplishments/Planned Pr	ograms Subtotals	8.605	-	
	<u>2015 FY 2015</u> <u>OCO Total FY 2016</u>	FY 2017 FY 201	18 FY 2011	<u>Cost To</u> 9 <u>Complete</u> Continuing	Total Cos
PE 0602663D8Z: Data to Decisions Applied Research UN	CLASSIFIED				olume 3 - 66

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2					r <b>ogram Eler</b> 02663D8Z / hrch	•	,	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P266 <i>I Data to Decisions Applied Research</i>			Research
C. Other Program Funding Sum	<u>mary (\$ in Milli</u>	ons <u>)</u>	FY 2015	FY 2015	FY 2015					Cost To	
<u>Line Item</u> Remarks	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	Base	<u>0C0</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY 2016</u>	<u>FY 2017</u>	<u>FY 2018</u>	<u>FY 2019</u>		Fotal Cost

Change from FY 2013 to FY 2014 reflects a realignment of the program from the Data to Decisions Advanced Development PE 0603663D8Z to higher Department of Defense (DoD) priorities. The goals of the program will be shifted to the DoD Components under the direction of the Research and Engineering Executive Committee and will conform with the DoD Data to Decision Priority Steering Council roadmaps.

#### D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

#### E. Performance Metrics

N/A

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 2: Applied Research				R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0602668D8Z / Cyber Security Research								
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	10.542	13.907	15.000	-	15.000	15.285	15.575	15.871	16.173	Continuing	Continuing
P003: Cyber Applied Research	-	10.542	13.907	15.000	-	15.000	15.285	15.575	15.871	16.173	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Our military forces require resilient, reliable networks and computer systems to conduct effective operations. However, the number and sophistication of threats in cyberspace are rapidly growing, making it urgent and critical to improve the cyber security of Department of Defense (DoD) systems to counter those threats and assure our missions. The Cyber Applied Research program focuses on innovative and sustained research in both cyber security and computer network operations to develop new concepts to harden key network and computer components, design new resilient cyber infrastructures, increase the military's ability to fight and survive during cyber attacks, disrupt nation-state level attack planning and execution, measure the state of cyber security, and explore and exploit new ideas in cyber warfare for agile cyber operations and mission assurance.

This program builds upon existing basic and applied research results. The program focuses on integrating computer network defense and computer network operations, addressing joint problems in cyber operations, and filling capability and technology gaps as identified in the 2012 Cyber Priority Steering Council Science and Technology Roadmap and assessments conducted by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (OASD(R&E)). Progress and results are reviewed by the DoD Cyber Science & Technology Community of Interest. New efforts will also be aligned with emerging U.S. Cyber Command Mission Requirements.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015 Base</u>	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	18.985	18.908	23.675	-	23.675
Current President's Budget	10.542	13.907	15.000	-	15.000
Total Adjustments	-8.443	-5.001	-8.675	-	-8.675
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-7.500	-5.000			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.948	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.015	-			
Congressional Adds	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	0.291	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.267	-			
<ul> <li>FFRDC Adjustment</li> </ul>	-	-0.001	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.004	-	-	-	-
Strategic Efficiency Savings	-	-	-8.675	-	-8.675

ry Of Defense	Date: March 2014
<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Nar</b> PE 0602668D8Z / Cyber Security Re	
d staffing. As a result, we provide a bette	er alignment of funding and provide support to a
	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Nar</b> PE 0602668D8Z / Cyber Security Re

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014			
					Project (N P003 / Cyb		,					
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P003: Cyber Applied Research	-	10.542	13.907	15.000	-	15.000	15.285	15.575	15.871	16.173	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program builds upon existing basic and applied research results. The program focuses on integrating computer network defense and computer network operations, addressing joint problems in cyber operations, and filling capability and technology gaps as identified in the 2012 Cyber Priority Steering Council Science and Technology Roadmap and assessments conducted by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (OASD(R&E)). Progress and results are reviewed by the DoD Cyber Science & Technology Community of Interest.

Beginning in FY 2013, the program expanded research in cyber command and control to provide warfighters and commanders new situational awareness, course of action analysis, cyber operational agility and cyber mission control. This research will include protection of tactical networks, weapons systems and platforms. Beginning in FY14, new efforts will also be aligned with emerging U.S. Cyber Command Mission Requirements.

The six technical thrust areas include:

FOUNDATIONS OF TRUST RESILIENT INFRASTRUCTURE AGILE OPERATIONS ASSURING EFFECTIVE MISSIONS CYBER MODELING, SIMULATION, AND EXPERIMENTATION (MSE) EMBEDDED, MOBILE, AND TACTICAL ENVIRONMENTS (EMT)

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Foundations of Trust	1.055	1.390	1.500
<b>Description:</b> Develop approaches and methods to establish known degree of assurance that devices, networks, and cyber dependent functions perform as expected, despite attack or error. This technical area encompasses all aspects of the assessment, establishment, propagation, maintenance, and composition of trust relationships between devices, networks, and people.			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: - Developed scalable reverse engineering and analysis toolset.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secret	tary Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602668D8Z / Cyber Security Research	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P003 / Cyber Applied Research				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
- Created cost-effective technology for the construction of high-assurar and satisfying appropriate safety and security properties.	nce cyber-physical systems, meaning functionally corre	ect				
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Explore and identify trust establishment, propagation, and maintenand</li> <li>Develop trustworthy architectures and trust composition tools.</li> <li>Develop interfaces to the reverse toolset and code libraries.</li> <li>Develop test tool for multiple systems architectures.</li> </ul>	ce techniques.					
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Develop a non-signature based capability to detect malicious code on</li> <li>Develop trustworthy architectures and trust composition tools.</li> <li>Detection algorithms for malicious USB firmware/hardware.</li> </ul>	n cyber systems with high accuracy.					
Title: Resilient Infrastructure		4.217	5.563	1.000		
<b>Description:</b> Entails the ability to withstand cyber attacks, and to susta has the ability to continue to perform its functions and provide its servic this area is to develop integrated architectures that are optimized for th fashion to a known secure state, even if this is at the expense of degra address novel protocols and algorithms to increase the repertoire of rearchitecture. Research is needed to develop resilience at lower levels level resiliency architectures.	ces at required levels during an attack. The objective i eir ability to absorb (cyber) shock, and recover in a tin ded performance. Resilient Algorithms and Protocols siliency mechanisms available to the infrastructure and	n nely d				
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> - Developed analytical model for routing techniques in the presence of - Understood new mechanisms for secure operation of many-core chip - Identified mechanisms to compose resilient systems from brittle comp - Monitored, protected and reconfigured a host system or peripheral co	s. ponents.					
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Develop methods for increasing resiliency of operational systems.</li> <li>Integrate sensing, detection, response, and recovery mechanisms.</li> <li>Design framework for secure modularization and virtualization of node</li> <li>Develop advanced Computer Network Defense (CND) components a</li> <li>Develop methods for increasing resiliency of large scale tactical network</li> <li>Conduct resiliency-specific modeling and simulation.</li> </ul>	nd management features for the CND framework.					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretar	y Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0602668D8Z / Cyber Security Research	Project (Number/Name) ch P003 I Cyber Applied Research				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
- Develop code-level software resiliency.						
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> - Conduct spectral analysis of Random Matrix Theory to extend beyond of - Explore learning algorithms to distinguish abnormal traffic patterns from						
Title: Agile Operations		3.162	2.086	2.000		
<b>Description:</b> Explore new methods and technologies to dynamically rest escape harm, or to manipulate the adversary. These capabilities presen Agility and Cyber Maneuver. Cyber Maneuver is a new way to manage s of emerging methods for maintaining defensive or offensive advantage in that enable goal-directed reshaping of cyber systems. Cyber maneuver or platform, reconfiguration for changing the way a system performs a tas in a logical or physical topology. Autonomic Cyber Agility covers several scale and complexity, there is an urgent need for autonomous and agile defensive and offensive cyber mechanisms.	t technology challenges in the areas of Autonomic C systems dynamically in a cyber situation. It is a set a cyber operations. It entails developing mechanism encompasses reallocation for repurposing a device sk, and repositories for altering the operating state forms of agility. As cyber infrastructures increase in	s				
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> - Researched and analyzed the security architectures of various major w - Designed distributed systems architectures and service application poly - Transitioned ARCSYNE from Internet Protocol version (IPV) 4 to IPv6.						
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> <ul> <li>Design distributed systems architectures and service application polymerication polym</li></ul>		3				
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Design distributed systems architectures and service application polyme</li> <li>Develop techniques for autonomous reprogramming, reconfiguration, an intelligence.</li> <li>Develop automated reasoning techniques for executing courses of action</li> </ul>	nd control of cyber components, and machine					
Title: Assuring Effective Missions		2.108	1.391	3.000		
<b>Description:</b> Develop the ability to assess and control the cyber situation research is often placed on individual technologies, how these technolog DoD. The objective of Assuring Effective Missions presents technology of Effects at Scale. Cyber Mission Control covers the ability to orchestrate	ies work toward an effective mission is critical for the challenges in the areas of Cyber Mission Control and	ł				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0602668D8Z / Cyber Security Research	-	Project (Number/Name) P003 / Cyber Applied Research				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
To perform dyanmic analysis of asset criticality, and course-of-action analysis a map information technology assets to missions and use modeling and simulatine Control is the ability to automatically derive and fuse information about the char manner that allows us to describe, analyze, observe, and control the operation of this research area is to have tools that enable commanders to assess and d conjunction with mission actions. Effects at Scale encompass full spectrum char full-fledged domain of warfare.	on, or other techniques. Inherent in Cyber Mis iracteristics of information technology systems of information technology components. A key lirect different information technology maneuve	sion in a ⁄ goal ers in					
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Researched trusted information flow architectures, frameworks, and mechani sharing environments.</li> <li>Developed techniques for mapping assets and describing dependencies betw</li> <li>Developed techniques for course of action development and analysis.</li> <li>Improved realism through automated mission modeling and mission situation</li> </ul>	veen mission elements and cyber infrastructure						
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Enable cyber effects assessment.</li> <li>Automate mapping of mission essential functions – cyber resources using mu</li> <li>Identify critical assets and potential rogue workflows.</li> <li>Develop metrics with which the DoD could maintain Computer Network Defer APTs and other threats.</li> </ul>		s of					
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Assess effectiveness of agility mechanisms and moving target techniques ag</li> <li>Develop metrics with which the DoD could maintain Computer Network Defer APTs and other threats.</li> <li>Design distributed systems architectures and service application polymorphis</li> </ul>	nse (CND) capabilities to thwart certain classe	s of					
<i>Title:</i> Cyber Modeling, Simulation & Experimentation (MSE)			-	1.391	3.000		
<b>Description:</b> Develop modeling and simulation capabilities that are able to suff the DoD operates and enable a more robust assessment and validation of cyber technical challenges associated with cyber modeling, simulation, and experime 2) Cyber Measurement. Cyber Modeling and Simulation seeks to develop tool and multi-scale simulation of complex cyber systems. Cyber Measurement de technology to conduct controlled, repeatable experiments, providing the ability in a quantitative fashion. This area will explore new analytical methodologies,	er technology development. There are two entation; 1) Cyber Modeling and Simulation an Is and techniques that enable analytical model velops cyber experimentation and test range to track the progress of cyber research investi	d ing ments					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Sec			larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0602668D8Z / Cyber Security Research	Project (Number/ P003 / Cyber Appl		
3. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
metrics to measure a system's state of security, apply the scientific r cyber security research can be conducted, to test hypothesis with me experimentation and assessment for new cyber technologies. These and simulation tools and techniques that can drive innovation in rese to operations to simulate the cyber environment with sufficient fidelity traditional modeling and simulation related to the kinetic domain.	easurable and repeatable results, and the quantitative e new methodologies will enable exploration of modeling earch and aid in integrated experimentation and transitior	1		
FY 2014 Plans: - Develop methods to plan and execute large-scale cyber engageme - Conduct quantitative information verification & validation of emergir				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> - Develop tools and techniques to rapidly configure cyber experimen - Demonstrate cyber technologies with operationally relevant respon				
Title: Embedded, Mobile & Tactical Environments (EMT)		-	2.086	4.5
<b>Description:</b> Increase the overall emphasis on the Department's cyland standard computing platforms. The objective in the area of emb techniques that assure the secure operation of microprocessors with n real-time systems; and establish security in disadvantaged, intermalso seeks to expand and cultivate military-grade techniques for secure devices, such as smart phones, tablets, and their associated infrastructures it is of the utmost importance to provide effectively utilized, monitored and tracked.	edded and tactical systems is to develop tools and in our weapons platforms and systems; enable security ittent, and low-bandwidth environments. This research uring and operating with enterprise-style commodity mob uctures. With the constant evolution of these devices an	ile		
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> - Develop monitoring and assessment tools to track behavior of emb - Develop approaches to detect counterfeit components in embedde				
FY 2015 Plans:				
- Develop monitoring and assessment tools to track behavior of emb			10.007	45.00
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals 10.542	13.907	15.00

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	tification: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary	Of Defense					Date: Ma	rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2						nent (Numb Cyber Secu	lumber/Name) ber Applied Research				
C. Other Program Funding Sumn	nary (\$ in Milli	<u>ons)</u>	FY 2015	FY 2015	FY 2015					Cost To	
Line Item • BA 3, PE #0603668D8Z, P113: Cyber Advanced Technology Development Remarks	<u>FY 2013</u> 11.103	<u>FY 2014</u> 9.667	Base	000	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY 2016</u> -	<u>FY 2017</u> -	<u>FY 2018</u> -	<u>FY 2019</u> -	Complete Continuing	Total Cost
<b>D. Acquisition Strategy</b> N/A											
<u>E. Performance Metrics</u> N/A											

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 2: Applied Research				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602670D8Z <i>I Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Applied Research</i>							esearch	
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	5.049	2.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
P270: Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Applied Research	-	5.049	2.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The OSD HSCB Modeling Program is a vertically integrated effort to research, develop, and transition technologies, tools, and systems to programs of record and users in need. The program exists to optimize U.S. forces' ability to perform population-centric sensing, understand behaviors driven by social and cultural variables, and select effective courses of action in the full range of military operations. Program research will enhance population-centric intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) capabilities for understanding the increasingly complex global environment to address national strategic challenges such as instability, aggression, proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, and violent extremism. In three integrated program elements (PE), the program will conduct applied research, mature and demonstrate advanced technology, and develop transitionable methods, technology, tools, and prototypes. Work under PE 0602670D8Z will focus on developing an applied science base, to include validated theory and methods, along with knowledge products and resources to support sociocultural behavior data collection, analysis and forecasting of sociocultural behavior, course of action planning, and effects analysis. Research will address needs in two areas: modeling and data. It will develop and validate theoretical constructions, generate knowledge products, and develop stand-alone computational models of sociocultural behavior; and improve methods for collecting data that will facilitate model development and enhance forecasting and analysis capabilities. The program will ensure that supported research is clearly tied to warfighters and their needs.

Human behavior based theory, knowledge products, and stand-alone models will support development of software to help users represent, understand, and forecast sociocultural behavior at strategic, operational, and tactical levels.

Improved data collection methods will help build the sociocultural science base, facilitate subsequent model development and validation, and address emerging data types and sources.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 O	Date:	Date: March 2014							
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)								
		PE 0602670D8Z I Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Applied Resea							
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total				
Previous President's Budget	6.771	-	-	-	-				
Current President's Budget	5.049	2.000	-	-	-				
Total Adjustments	-1.722	2.000	-	-	-				
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.548	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.009	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	2.000							
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-							
Reprogrammings	-0.978	-							
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.184	-							
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.003	-	-	-	-				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602670D8Z <i>I Human Social Culture</i> <i>Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Applied</i> <i>Research</i>				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P270 <i>I Human Social Culture Behavior</i> (HSCB) Modeling Applied Research				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P270: Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Applied Research	-	5.049	2.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The OSD HSCB Modeling Program is a vertically integrated effort to research, develop, and transition technologies, tools, and systems to programs of record and users in need. The Program exists to optimize U.S. forces' ability to perform population-centric sensing, understand behaviors driven by social and cultural variables, and select effective courses of action in the full range of military operations. Program research will enhance population-centric intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) capabilities for understanding the increasingly complex global environment to address national strategic challenges such as instability, aggression, proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, and violent extremism. In three integrated program elements (PEs), the Program will conduct applied research, mature and demonstrate advanced technology, and develop transitionable methods, technology, tools, and prototypes. Work under PE 0602670D8Z will focus on developing an applied science base, to include validated theory and methods, along with knowledge products and resources to support sociocultural behavior data collection, analysis and forecasting of sociocultural behavior, course of action planning, and effects analysis. Research will address needs in two areas: modeling and data. It will develop and validate theoretical constructions, generate knowledge products, and develop stand-alone computational models of sociocultural behavior; and improve methods for collecting data that will facilitate model development and enhance forecasting and analysis capabilities. The Program will ensure that supported research is clearly tied to warfighters and their needs.

Human behavior based theory, knowledge products, and stand-alone models will support development of software to help users represent, understand, and forecast sociocultural behavior at strategic, operational, and tactical levels.

Improved data collection methods will help build the sociocultural science base, facilitate subsequent model development and validation, and address emerging data types and sources.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Human Behavior Based Theory and Model Development	4.005	1.000	-
<b>Description:</b> Conduct the research necessary to develop and refine theoretical constructs and validate them using empirical data Develop knowledge products including conceptual models, decision frameworks, and ontologies that will support population-centric sensing. Apply validation techniques to quantitative models of sociocultural factors in coalition warfare and sociocultural factors of military significance for emerging conflicts. Develop stand-alone models that instantiate social science theoretical constructs to address mission-specific needs to support population centric sensing and warning.			

PE 0602670D8Z: Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Appl...

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of		Date: March 2014						
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602670D8Z <i>I Human Social Culture</i> <i>Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Applied</i> <i>Research</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P270 I Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Applied Research						
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Completed the development and demonstration of model-based tools for under impacts of adversary communications. Completed the development of several and mitigate violent extremism. Used empirical data to develop a model that trips) and communication (e.g. number of phone calls) fluxes between any two conditions. Utilized unclassified commercial satellite imagery and raw survey predicting survey responses of villages based on imagery features. Delivered levels below country/state using geographically clustered data. Built cross-na between attitudes toward Western values, access to emerging media, and top	al advanced methods for tracking narrative to r estimates mobility (for example (e.g.) commuti o regions (e.g. cities, counties, etc.) under norr data from Afghanistan to develop models for a several models to detect indicators of instabil tional comparative sets of data on the relation	nonitor ng nal ity at						
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Develop "Big Data" modeling and analysis tools that support construction of in decision makers with the ability to make better decisions faster. Social, broad be used to identify "tripwires" that indicate adversarial activity, including non-S Develop understanding of how the Master Narratives of Islamic Extremism difference.	s.							
<i>Title:</i> Data Collection Methods			1.044	1.000	-			
<b>Description:</b> Develop scientifically validated strategies to collect cultural and areas. Develop methodologies and supporting technologies capable of extract modeling to support denied, restricted, or unavailable area sociocultural data. extracted data, and processing and validating it, with a particular focus on dat	cting relevant data into databases for further Develop technologies capable of leveraging	netrate						
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> Developed and validated several new natural language processing (NLP) tech sentiment expressions from blog discussions and news sources (i.e. evoker, r direction, modality, and issue). These techniques serve as a viable alternative penetrate areas. Delivered assessment of the HSCB factors that can be infer of imagery-derived data. Developed automated methods for determining sent operations. Validated new methods for collection of data from open sources,	reactor, sentiment phrase, date, magnitude, e to surveys or polling in denied or difficult-to- red from overhead imagery and the limitations timent in social media/news to support military							
FY 2014 Plans:								
PE 0602670D8Z: Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling								

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary						Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2		PE 06	02670D8Z	<b>ment (Numb</b> Human Soc Modeling Ap	P270	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P270 I Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Applied Research						
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	grams (\$ in I	<u>Millions)</u>							FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Develop data collection tools that all		•	gregate infor	mation base	ed on model	output recon	nmendations					
("tripwires"). These tools will allow e		tion of inform	nation includ	ing people o	f internation	al interest inf	rastructure					
documentation, and data from physi	cal sensors.											
				Accor	nplishment	s/Planned P	rograms Su	btotals	5.049	2.000	-	
C. Other Program Funding Summ	ary (\$ in Milli	<u>ons)</u>										
			<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>					<u>Cost To</u>		
Line Item	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>Base</u>	000	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY 2016</u>	<u>FY 2017</u>	FY 20 <sup>-</sup>	<u>18 FY 2019</u>	<u>Complete</u>		
• PE 0603670D8Z BA 3:	6.994	2.000	-	-	-	-	-			Continuing	Continuing	
HSCB Advanced Development	4 400	0.000								Oractionsis	O and in the	
PE 0604670D8Z BA 4: HSCB     Research and Engineering	4.492	2.000	-	-	-	-	-			Continuing	Continuin	
Remarks												
<u>Neillaiks</u>												
D. Acquisition Strategy												
N/A												
E. Performance Metrics												
N/A												
PE 0602670D8Z: Human Social Cult Appl	ture Behavior	(HSCB) Mo	deling	UNCLAS								

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificat	ion: PB 20	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: March 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 2: Applied Research					<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602751D8Z / Software Engineering Institute (SEI) Applied Resear						earch	
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>						Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	-	11.106	9.156	-	9.156	9.158	9.325	9.857	10.682	Continuing	Continuing
P278: Software Engineering Institute (SEI) Applied Research	-	-	11.106	9.156	-	9.156	9.158	9.325	9.857	10.682	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### <u>Note</u>

To ensure that the Department of Defense (DoD) retains a differential advantage over potential adversaries, the Department split funding for Defense-wide software research at the Software Engineering Institute (SEI) Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) across two program elements (PEs): this new Budget Activity (BA) 2 PE 0602751D8Z and the continuing BA 3 PE 0603781D8Z. The goals are to address both longer-term challenges in software technology and engineering (PE 0602751D8Z) and to continue to benefit from the proven experience the SEI FFRDC has with developing and transitioning advanced technology (PE 0603781D8Z).

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Software is key to meeting the DoD's increasing demand for high-quality, affordable, and timely national defense systems. With growing global parity in software engineering, the DoD must maintain leadership to avoid strategic surprise. To assist the DoD in retaining a long-term differential advantage over potential adversaries, the SEI Applied Research PE will develop and evaluate the feasibility and practicality of software and computer science concepts with the potential to improve future DoD systems.

This PE represents a pivot toward more fundamental research that will enable the DoD to address longer-term challenges in software technology and engineering. The SEI Applied Research PE will fund the SEI Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) as the leading DoD center for addressing these longer term challenges. The SEI Applied Research PE will bolster the organic research at the SEI FFRDC, enable stronger collaborations between the SEI FFRDC and academia, attract top researchers to the SEI, give DoD access to top experts in information science, and generally enhance the DoD's ability to benefit from the military applications of research in software and computer science.

xhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 C	office of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-W Applied Research	<i>Vide I</i> BA 2:	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602751D8Z <i>I Software Engineering Institute (SEI) Applied Research</i>							
3. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total				
Previous President's Budget	-	11.107	11.330	-	11.330				
Current President's Budget	-	11.106	9.156	-	9.156				
Total Adjustments	-	-0.001	-2.174	-	-2.174				
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-							
Reprogrammings	-	-							
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-							
<ul> <li>FFRDC Adjustments</li> </ul>	-	-0.001	-	-	-				
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-2.174	-	-2.174				

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

The reduction is a strategic efficiency approach to reduce funding and staffing. As a result, we provide a better alignment of funding and provide support to a smaller military force.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2						<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602751D8Z / Software Engineering Institute (SEI) Applied Research				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P278 <i>I Software Engineering Institute (SEI)</i> <i>Applied Research</i>				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
P278: Software Engineering Institute (SEI) Applied Research	-	-	11.106	9.156	-	9.156	9.158	9.325	9.857	10.682	Continuing	Continuing		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Software is key to meeting the Department of Defense's (DoD's) increasing demand for high-quality, affordable, and timely national defense systems. With growing global parity in software engineering, the DoD must maintain leadership to avoid strategic surprise. To assist the DoD in retaining a long-term differential advantage over potential adversaries, the Software Engineering Institute (SEI) Applied Research Program Element (PE) seeks to establish a program of applied research that will develop and evaluate the feasibility and practicality of software and computer science concepts with the potential to improve future DoD systems.

The SEI Applied Research PE will initially have four main research thrusts: (1) measurement techniques for the effectiveness of software technologies and methods; (2) design principles and tools for evolvable, scalable ecosystems; (3) models of computational behaviors; and (4) cyber-tradecraft and analytics. These thrusts have known military applications and can be associated with active areas of basic research. The SEI Applied Research PE seeks to translate this promising basic research into solutions for broadly defined military needs. This PE will leverage the expertise of the SEI Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) in advanced technology development and technology transition to design, develop, and improve tools, prototypes, and new processes that meet general requirements for software-intensive DoD systems.

The SEI Applied Research PE will also conduct research in multicore computing, architecture-led iterative incremental development (Agile at scale); and emerging software and computer science areas that can act as catalysts for acquiring DoD systems with improved performance.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Software Engineering Institute Applied Research	-	11.106	9.156
<b>Description:</b> Research projects at the SEI FFRDC will be awarded under this PE on a competitive basis across the SEI. Funding levels in each thrust area may vary from year to year. Research will address the goal of assisting the DoD to retain a long-term differential advantage over potential adversaries in the area of software-intensive systems. The four main thrust areas are:			
1) Measurement techniques for the effectiveness of software technologies and methods. Modern tools, integrated development environments, and software engineering processes have captured large data sets about development activities. This thrust seeks to study the metrics that affect cost, schedule, quality, and performance based on real-world observation and experiment.			
2) Design principles and tools for evolvable, scalable ecosystems. The commercial world has many successful examples of software ecosystems, but the DoD has not capitalized on these to the same extent. This thrust looks beyond implementing			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of I	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0602751D8Z / Software Engineering Institute (SEI) Applied Research	Project (N P278 / So Applied R	ftware Ei	Name) ngineering Ins	stitute (SEI)
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
ecosystems in a DoD context and seeks to implement the underlying principles evolution, and scaling of ecosystems easier.	s in a way that makes automated creation,				
3) Models of Computational Behaviors. System performance depends on end- include the user, architecture, source and object code, firmware components, a emerging ideas that better model end-to-end computational behavior.	•				
4) Cyber-tradecraft and analytics. Cyberwarfare is an increasingly important a battlefield. This thrust seeks to investigate methods that will give the DoD end reverse software engineering, automated code & malware analysis, code-level variant techniques), and other techniques such as those found in the Software	uring advantages in the cyber battlespace suc software resiliency (e.g., randomizing and tim				
The SEI Applied Research PE will also conduct research in multicore computir development (Agile at scale); and emerging software and computer science ar systems with improved performance.	•	D			
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Make competitive awards within the SEI for novel research.</li> <li>Begin research on measurement techniques for the effectiveness of software applied research component to complement the measurable analysis of value-SEI PE (0603781D8Z).</li> <li>Begin research on assurance-at-scale. This effort creates an applied research the SEI PE (0603781D8Z).</li> <li>Begin research on quality-attribute analyses for high-confidence timing of mu This effort creates an applied research component to complement work started.</li> <li>Begin research on the design principles and tools for evolvable, scalable economic Advance research on measurement techniques for measuring the effectivenes.</li> <li>Develop advanced analytics techniques for identified patterns, trends, and ind and exploitation ecosystem. Explore concepts in 'counter-analytics' for building analytic techniques.</li> <li>Develop specific insider threat mitigations that form an architectural foundation technologies</li> <li>Develop approaches and techniques to discover vulnerabilities automatically</li> </ul>	driven incremental development started under ch component to complement work started under lticore software systems with greater scalability d under the SEI PE (0603781D8Z). systems. ess of software technologies and methods. dicators in the software and systems vulnerab g more understanding bias and robustness of on for next-generation DoD enterprise systems	r the der y.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justif	ication: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary	Of Defense					Date: Ma	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2				PE 06	02751D8Z /	nent (Numb Software Er lied Researd	gineering	P278/	t <b>(Number/Na</b> Software Eng I Research		titute (SEI)
B. Accomplishments/Planned Prog	rams (\$ in I	<u> ////////////////////////////////////</u>							FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Develop generalized techniques for</li> <li>Develop malware analysis technique</li> <li>Investigate the integration of archite certifications of safety-critical cyber-p</li> <li>Extend the architecture, algorithms, scalable autonomous sensor network</li> </ul>	es aimed at cture fault m hysical DoD and prototy	improving so odel framew systems. pes that supp	alability and ork with cor port rapid an	l enabling co nfidence map nalysis of soc	rrelation with s for increm ial networks	n network ar ental qualific ; rapidly-dep	cation and				
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Improve software security throughout</li> <li>Investigate and improve approaches</li> <li>Research the capabilities of various monitoring such that it is transparent</li> <li>Continue research on measurement</li> <li>Continue investigation of the integrat qualification and certifications of safet</li> <li>Develop quality-attribute analyses for functionality, and distributed coordinates</li> <li>Extend the architecture, algorithms, video data; rapidly-deployable and scand environments.</li> <li>Extend work in group-context-aware scenarios and environments.</li> <li>Extend work in cloudlets to create a Continue early lifecycle cost estimates</li> <li>Integrate architecture-level dependent technical debt in certification of safety</li> </ul>	s to the dete operating s to users, and techniques tion of the a ty-critical sof or distributed tion of the c and prototy alable autor eness to inclu- federated c ion research ency metrics	ction and qui ystems to un d low mainte for the effec rchitecture fa tware-reliant autonomou omputational oes that supp omous sens ude additional oudlet capal n for pre-Mile and rework	antification of derstand ho nance for ac tiveness of s ault model fr DoD syster is cyber-phy and physication or networks al sensors an pility. stone A dec analysis with	of cyber threa w to better in dministrators. software tech amework wit ms. vsical system ally-related a halysis of soc ; and the mo nd more sop	ats to the Do ntegrate Insi innologies an h confidenc is to ensure ispects of D ial networks bile compor histicated co	D. der Threat c d methods. e maps for ir correctness D systems. to include v ent strategy	ontrols and acremental of timing, oice, image to other sce s in support	and narios of new			
				Accon	nplishment	s/Planned P	rograms Sເ	ubtotals	-	11.106	9.156
C. Other Program Funding Summa Line Item • BA 3, PE# 0603781D8Z: Software Engineering Institute (SEI)	ry (\$ in Milli FY 2013 28.619	ons <u>)</u> FY 2014 19.006	FY 2015 Base 15.776	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>OCO</u> -	FY 2015 Total 15.776	<u>FY 2016</u> 15.778	<b>FY 2017</b> 15.799	<b>FY 2018</b> 16.292		<u>Cost To</u> <u>Complete</u> Continuing	
DE 0602751D87: Software Engineerin		DEI) Annilad	Pagas								

PE 0602751D8Z: Software Engineering Institute (SEI) Applied Resea... Office of Secretary Of Defense

Volume 3 - 87

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project J	ustification: PB 2	015 Office	of Secretary	Of Defense	)				Date: Ma	rch 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 2						<b>nent (Numb</b> Software En	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P278 I Software Engineering Institute (SE Applied Research			
C. Other Program Funding Sur	nmary (\$ in Millio	ons)		I				1		
Line Item	FY 2013	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>Base</u>	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>OCO</u>	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>Total</u>	FY 2016	<u>FY 2017</u>	<u>FY 2018</u>	<u>FY 2019</u>	Cost To Complete Total C
Together with PE 0603781D8Z, enable the DoD to address long center for addressing these long between the SEI FFRDC and ac software and computer science. D. Acquisition Strategy N/A	er-term challenges er term challenges	s in software s. The SEI	e technology Applied Res	and engine earch PE w	ering. The still bolster the	SEI Applied I e organic res	Research PE earch at the	will fund th SEI FFRDC	e SEI FFRD , enable str	OC as the leading Do onger collaborations
E. Performance Metrics • Transition of tools, methods, a • Transition of tools, methods, a • Number of citations in peer rev • Number of external research c	nd practices to the iewed journals and	Defense Ir d reports.	ndustrial Bas	e to support	t DoD techno	ology develo	pment progra		grams of re	cord.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense											
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)					<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603000D8Z / Joint Munitions Advanced Technology					,		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base								Total Cost
Total Program Element	14.590	18.253	20.012	26.688	-	26.688	26.897	26.997	27.061	27.268	Continuing	Continuing
P002: Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology	13.515	15.614	16.601	19.807	-	19.807	19.993	19.953	20.018	20.163	Continuing	Continuing
P301: Enabling Fuze Advanced Technology	1.075	2.639	3.411	6.881	-	6.881	6.904	7.044	7.043	7.105	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program addresses advanced technology development associated with improving the lethality, reliability, safety, and survivability of munitions and weapon systems. The goal is to develop and demonstrate joint enabling technologies that can be used by the Program Executive Officers as they develop their specific weapon programs. The program invests in and demonstrates technologies from a Joint Service perspective, thus maximizing efficiencies, ensuring the development of technology with the broadest applicability while avoiding duplication of efforts.

Munition Area Technology Groups (MATGs) and Fuze Area Technology Groups (FATGs) have been established for each munition and capability area and are tasked with: 1) coordinating, establishing, and maintaining 2018 and 2023 year technology development plans and roadmaps, 2) coordinating biannual meetings to review technical and programmatic details of each funded and proposed effort, 3) developing and submitting Technology Transition Agreements in coordination with appropriate Program Executive Offices (PEO) for insertion in their Insensitive Munition (IM) Strategic Plans / Fuze Technology Development Plan, and 4) interfacing with other MATGs / FATGs and IM / fuze science and technology projects as appropriate. The Joint Insensitive Munitions Technical Program (JIMTP) and Joint Fuze Technical Program (JFTP) will utilize a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) (consisting of senior DoD and DOE laboratory representatives and senior Munitions PEO representatives) to provide program oversight, policy, direction, and priorities during its annual meeting.

The Insensitive Munitions effort will demonstrate enabling technologies needed to develop weapons in compliance with Insensitive Munitions requirements established in United States Code, Title 10, Chapter 141, Section 2389 and DoDI 5000.1. This effort will take promising technologies demonstrated at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration programs utilizing generic hardware based on priority munitions identified in the PEO IM Strategic Plans. Mature and demonstrated IM technology can be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other non-compliant munitions within their portfolios.

The JIMTP investments focus on five Munition Areas: 1) High Performance Rocket Propulsion, 2) Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion, 3) Blast and Fragmentation Warheads, 4) Anti-Armor Warheads, and 5) Gun Propulsion. Munition Area Technology Groups (MATG), under tri-service leadership, have developed technology roadmaps for each Munition Area which are used to guide investments based on goals consistent with the PEO IM Strategic Plans. These IM technologies, alone or in combination, will be incorporated in hardware, simulating real-world munitions, to demonstrate their utility and feasibility as part of Technology Transition Agreements with PEOs.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: March 2014
	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603000D8Z <i>I Joint Munitions Advanced Technology</i>	,
Advanced Technology Development (ATD)		

The Enabling Fuze Advanced Technology effort will also demonstrate fuze enabling technologies needed to develop weapons that address priority capability areas identified in the Guidance for Development (GDF) of the Force, the Secretary of Defense Memorandum, DoD Policy on Cluster Munitions and Unintended Harm to Civilians, and shortfalls in current weapon systems. This effort will take promising technologies demonstrated at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration weapons and programs based on priority capabilities and technology needs identified and validated by the PEOs and the Heads of the Service Science and Technology (S&T) communities. In this way, promising multi-point initiation architectures, high reliability fuze architectures, survivable components, modular fuze packaging, and components produced based on ease of manufacturing can be integrated into munitions applications and its ability to address required capability needs will be validated. Mature fuze technologies will be transitioned to Weapon PEO's and/or Industry, thereby decreasing program costs and schedule risk while facilitating technology into potentially broader range of munitions applications.

The JFTP investments focus on four specific capability areas that have been identified by the Department strategic guidance and current shortfalls in weapon systems and as validated by the PEOs and the Service S&T communities. These capability areas are: 1) Hard Target Survivable Fuzing, 2) Tailorable Effects Weapon Fuzing, 3) High Reliability Fuzing, and 4) Enabling Fuze Technologies and Common Architecture. These Fuzing technologies will be incorporated in weapon applications to demonstrate their maturity and utility as part of Technology Transition Agreements with PEOs.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	25.612	26.646	30.040	-	30.040
Current President's Budget	18.253	20.012	26.688	-	26.688
Total Adjustments	-7.359	-6.634	-3.352	-	-3.352
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-5.600	-6.500			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-1.619	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.026	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.106	-			
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-3.352	-	-3.352
<ul> <li>FFRDC Adjustments</li> </ul>	-	-0.134	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.008	-	-	-	-

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

The reduction is a strategic efficiency approach to reduce funding and staffing. As a result, we provide a better alignment of funding and provide support to a smaller military force.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project J	xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3						<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603000D8Z / Joint Munitions Advanced Technology				Project (Number/Name) P002 I Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
P002: Insensitive Munitions Advanced Technology	13.515	15.614	16.601	19.807	-	19.807	19.993	19.953	20.018	20.163	Continuing	Continuing		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Insensitive Munitions effort addresses advanced technology development associated with improving the lethality, reliability, safety, and survivability of munitions and weapon systems. The goal is to develop and demonstrate joint enabling technologies that can be used by program managers as they develop their specific weapon programs. The program invests in and demonstrates technologies from a Joint Service perspective, thus ensuring the development of technology with the broadest applicability while avoiding duplication of efforts – providing efficiencies and cost savings for the Department.

This effort will demonstrate enabling technologies needed to develop weapons in compliance with Insensitive Munitions requirements established in United States Code, Title 10, Chapter 141, Section 2389 and DoDI 5000.1. This effort will take promising technologies demonstrated at the laboratory scale and transition them into demonstration programs utilizing generic hardware based on priority munitions identified in the PEO IM Strategic Plans. Mature demonstrated IM technology can be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other non-compliant munitions within their portfolios.

The Joint Insensitive Munitions Technology Program investments focus on five Munition Areas: 1) High Performance Rocket Propulsion, 2) Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion, 3) Blast and Fragmentation Warheads, 4) Anti-Armor Warheads, and 5) Gun Propulsion. Munition Area Technology Groups (MATG), under tri-service leadership, have developed technology roadmaps for each Munition Area which is used to guide investments based on goals consistent with the DoD IM Strategic Plan. These IM technologies, alone or in combination, will be incorporated in hardware, simulating real-world munitions, to demonstrate their utility and feasibility as part of Technology Transition Agreements with PEOs.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: High Performance Rocket Propulsion (HPP)	3.209	3.369	4.086
<b>Description:</b> High Performance Rocket Propulsion (HPP) focus on the development and demonstration of technologies to improve the IM response of HPP systems (rocket motors with Ammonium Perchlorate and with or without a metal fuel) for rockets and missiles launched from air, ground, and sea platforms. These technologies, when applied to rocket motors, improve IM response to one or more threats, while not degrading the response to other IM threats and at least maintaining munition performance. Technologies include, but are not limited to, rocket propellant ingredients (including synthesis, characterization and scale-up), reduced smoke or smokey propellants (including formulation, characterization and scale-up), rocket motor case design, materials for active and passive thermal mitigation, shock mitigation materials and techniques, passive and active coatings, active and passive venting techniques for motor cases or containers, ignition systems, sensors and thrust mitigation techniques. Operating conditions may be controlled or widely varying in both temperature and vibration. The 2018 and 2023 year goals of			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of	Secretary Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	Project (Number/N P002 I Insensitive I Technology		ranced	
3. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
the HPP MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of Cook Off for the majority of High Performance Propulsion rocket High Performance Propulsion motors.				
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Manufactured seven inch diameter rocket motor cases using r testing.</li> <li>Manufactured motor cases, demonstrated five-gallon mix procon an extinguishable rocket propellant.</li> <li>Conceptual rocket motor design and analysis completed for in Scaled a high energy propellant up to 50 gallon mix, filled thre</li> <li>Conducted IM testing on rocket motor equipped with unique sa solid propellant.</li> </ul>	ess, and performed initial aging and thermal/mechanical stud tegration of IM mitigation technologies. e uniquely manufactured cases and conduct IM testing.	lies		
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Load seven-inch diameter rocket motor cases with propellant is delivered assets and finalize motor fabrication for testing. Conduct Receive additional rocket motors, prepare and conduct baseline technologies and perform final IM testing.</li> <li>Complete bondline evaluation and demonstrate 30 gallon mix testing of 30 gallon mix properties. Procure rocket motor materia of concept.</li> <li>Conduct individual IM component testing, integrate into rocket suite of IM tests with baseline and less reactive propellants.</li> <li>Prepared, loaded, and conducted IM testing on novel small diacases.</li> </ul>	act baseline slow cook off and fragment impact IM testing. fast cook off and bullet impact IM tests. Integrate IM mitigat process for a less-reactive high performance propellant. Per als, cast motors, and conduct component testing to validate p motor case, cast rocket motors for IM testing and conduct fu	form roof		
FY 2015 Plans: - Conduct sub-scale IM demonstration tests and performance e rocket propellant. - Complete design and component testing of slow cook-off mitig - Perform component-level testing to validate component design - Demonstrate slow cook-off mitigation sensor performance and	pation device components for HPP rocket motor. Ins for sensor for surface and air-launched systems			
<i>Title:</i> Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion (MSP)		2.494	1.904	2.420

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secreta	ary Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	PE 0603000D8Z / Joint Munitions Advanced F	roject (Number/I 002 / Insensitive echnology	,	/anced
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Description:</b> Minimum Signature Rocket Propulsion (MSP) focus on the improve the IM response of MSP systems. The development and demove when applied to munition systems, will improve munition IM response to other IM threats and at least maintaining munition performance. Technoformulations, ingredients for MS propellant formulations (including synthetic design, active and passive venting techniques, rocket motor case design particular interest are technologies toward higher burning rate MS propersensitivity. The 2018 and 2023 year goals of the MSP MATG are concerned systems due to Fragment Impact, Slow Cook Off, and Shaped Charge Concerned to the technologies toward for the technologies toward for the technologies toward.	onstration of minimum signature (MS) rocket technologies one or more threats, while not degrading the response ologies include but are not limited to MS rocket propella nesis, characterization and scale-up), case and packagi n, ignition systems and thrust mitigation techniques. O ellants with state-of-the-art energy and reduced shock entrated on solving the IM response of missile propulsio	to nt ng		
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Loaded demonstrator motor with down-selected propellant formulation conduct IM tests.</li> <li>Conducted full-scale motor static tests of IM propellants.</li> <li>Prepared to demonstrate reduced sensitivity minimum signature prop</li> <li>Completed initial motor designs and hardware production in order to determine the completed venting design to include propellant fabrication, acquisition weapon, and subsequent munition scale slow cook-off and bullet impact minimum signature and operator-friendly properties.</li> </ul>	ellant IM and ballistic properties in full-scale test. conduct IM evaluations for fielded munition designs. esign. n of hardware, assembled and tested for man-portable			
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Conduct IM, structural, and ballistic testing on full-scale demonstrator</li> <li>Demonstrate reduced sensitivity minimum signature propellant ballistic Insensitive Munition Technology Transition Program and insertions into</li> <li>Design and integrate mitigation technologies to reduce response to concharacterization and sub-scale IM tests.</li> </ul>	c and IM properties in full-scale test and transition to 6. weapon systems.	1		
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Manufacture composite rocket motor cases, cast motors with new prooff tests IM prototypes.</li> <li>Test performance and environmental testing of prototype IM air to group initial designs of low-cost anti-artillery rounds (air defense) using extra <i>Title:</i> Blast and Fragmentation Warheads (BFW)</li> </ul>	und rocket motors.	ook- 6.180	6.763	7.603
me, blast and i raymentation wameaus (br w)		0.100	0.703	7.005

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603000D8Z <i>I Joint Munitions Advanced</i> <i>Technology</i>				vanced
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Description:</b> Blast and Fragmentation Warheads (BFW) focus on the developer the IM response of BFW munitions. The development and demonstration of explicit technologies that, when applied to munitions, improve IM response to one to other IM threats and at least maintaining munition performance are of particul limited to new ingredient synthesis and characterization, initial formulation development at technologies. Applications vary but include bulk demolition charges, and bulk fills for blast and/or fragmentation charges. I have widely varying environmental conditions, such as temperature and vibration reliability may be critically important depending on the intended munition applied MATG are concentrated on solving the IM response of blast fragment warhead and SCJ threats.	plosive ingredients, explosives, and warhead a or more threats, while not degrading the respon ular interest. Technologies include but are not elopment, scale-up, warhead/charge configuration ing materials and systems, shock mitigation lin high performance warhead fills, booster explos Munition operating conditions may be controlle on, and other factors such as cost, availability, ation. The 2018 and 2023 year goals of the Bl	ion, ers, ives, d or and FW			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Completed large scale testing of initiator using novel explosive. Fabricated in system level hardware to transition to IM technology transition program.</li> <li>Conducted formulation refinements and subscale IM tests. Prepared assets</li> <li>Integrated initiation designs with explosive fill candidate and conducted small</li> <li>Conducted testing to demonstrate that unique initiation system components of sympathetic detonation testing.</li> <li>Manufactured novel bomb fill for initial characterization testing and loading to</li> <li>Conducted "quick look" performance testing on prototype unique warheads to acceptable initiation and fragmentation performance has been obtained, prior to</li> <li>Conducted full-scale 500 pound bomb demonstration lethality testing to inclu subsequent analysis.</li> <li>Optimized formulation and conducted thermal initiation testing with productio explosive.</li> </ul>	for full-scale IM tests. I-scale tests as well as full Bucket Test series. can pass impact survivability requirements and determine baseline formulation. o determine baseline performance and to ensu o initiating design optimization efforts. de horizontal and vertical arena testing and	re			
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Demonstrat fault tolerant redundant initiation system capable of passing shap initiating unique explosive formulation at hot and cold temperatures.</li> <li>Conduct formulation characterization and initial performance and sensitivity t</li> <li>Conduct modeling and simulation effort on novel bomb fill to optimize formula representative articles for initiation testing and design detonation train for insen-</li> </ul>	esting using novel explosive for grenade asser ation, scale up best candidates, and fill	nbly.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: I	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603000D8Z <i>I Joint Munitions Advanced</i> <i>Technology</i>	<b>Project (Number</b> / P002 I Insensitive Technology		vanced
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Computational analysis to be applied as a design tool to substantiate the fea requirements with less sensitive explosives and other mechanical IM design fe fabricated for testing and IM mitigation designs to be tested against slow and fa and shaped charge jet threats.</li> <li>Synthesize adequate quantities of a unique munition fill material to conduct s critical diameter tests.</li> <li>Demonstrate new form of matter and efficient method of producing new form</li> </ul>	eatures in unique warheads. Hardware to be ast cook-off, fragment impact, sympathetic rea- small scale mixes, scale-up, detonation velocity			
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Produce 660kg of innovative explosive fill for general purpose bombs to comvalidate performance.</li> <li>Model and design feasible detonation train, scale up novel bomb formulation sympathetic reaction testing to validate performance.</li> <li>Conduct bullet impact, fragment impact, and slow cook-off testing with produce explosive material.</li> <li>Scale up and conduct small scale tests on novel bomb fill to optimize formulation sperformance testing of fill and initiation study.</li> <li>Scale up to produce 1000 pounds of unique munition fill material to conduct warhead assemblies. Prepare for full scale IM testing.</li> </ul>	a to 150 gallon mix quantity, fill full-scale assets action representative grenade assembly using r ation and select final formulation. Conduct			
<i>Title:</i> Anti-Armor Warheads (AAW)		2.457	3.089	3.705
<b>Description:</b> Anti-Armor Warheads (AAW) focus on the development and dem warhead and fuze technologies for improving IM of AAW munitions. The deve warhead and fuze technologies that, when applied to munitions, improve IM re the response to other IM threats and at least maintaining munition performance new ingredient synthesis and characterization, initial formulation development, techniques for both munitions and their containers, protection/packaging mater devices, techniques, and technologies. Applications vary but include high perf other technology to mitigate the violent response of Anti-Armor Warhead munit may be controlled or have widely varying environmental conditions, such as te as cost, availability, and reliability may be critically important depending on the 2023 year goals of the AAW MATG are concentrated on solving the IM respon Impact, Sympathetic Reaction and Shaped Charge Jet threats for larger munition Sympathetic Reaction / Shaped Charge Jet threats for Medium Caliber Munition	lopment of explosive ingredients, explosives, a sponse to one or more threats, while not degra e. Technologies include but are not limited to scale-up, warhead/charge configuration, venti rials and systems, shock mitigation liners, initia formance warhead fills, booster explosives, and tions to IM threats. Munition operating condition mperature and vibration, and other factors suc- intended munition application. The 2018 and use of anti-armor warheads to the Fragment ions and the Fragment Impact, Slow Cookoff, a	nd ding ig ion all ns		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	PE 0603000D8Z I Joint Munitions Advanced	roject (Number/l 1002 / Insensitive 1002 / Insensitive		/anced
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Conducted modeling and simulation down-selection of candidate technologies suitable for higher velocity munition requirements. Fabricated, loaded, inspect testing on representative articles.</li> <li>Conducted synthesis and initial production efforts of two unique energetic matrix</li> </ul>	ed, and conducted limited IM and performance			
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Finalize higher velocity munition IM designed, fabricated, loaded, and conduct</li> <li>Complete performance validation studies and initial IM testing for two unique booster.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Conduct performance and IM testing on higher velocity munition ID design.</li> <li>Conduct ballistic assessment and IM testing for two unique energetic materia</li> <li>Conduct integration and design efforts with prototype AAW technologies to d fragment impact responses.</li> </ul>	•	te		
<i>Title:</i> Gun Propulsion (GP)		1.274	1.476	1.993
<b>Description:</b> Gun Propulsion (GP) focuses on the development and demonstrations systems. The development and demonstration of gun propulsion technologies munition IM response to one or more threats, while not degrading the response munition performance. Technologies include but are not limited to gun propella formulations (including synthesis, characterization and scale-up), cartridge cast techniques, reduced sensitivity primer propellant and primer systems, and robut vary, but include both large and medium caliber munitions, as well as propelling munitions. Operating requirements vary, and other factors such as barrel life a may be critically important depending on the intended munitions to Fragmeticated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragmeticated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragmeticated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragmeticated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragmeticated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragmeticated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragmeticated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragmeticated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragmeticated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragmeticated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragmeticated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragmeticated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragmeticated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragmeticated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragmeticated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragmeticated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragmeticated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragmeticated on solving the IM response of gun propulsion munitions to Fragmeticated on solving the IM response of gun	, when applied to munition systems, will improve to other IM threats and at least maintaining ant formulations, ingredients for gun propellant e and packaging design, active and passive ver ust primers for insensitive propellants. Applicati g charges for mortars and shoulder launched and operation over varying environmental condit the 2018 and 2023 year goals of the GP MATG a	ting ns ons		
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Conducted engineering and sensitivity testing; explored three mitigating tech formulations for a shoulder fired weapon system.</li> </ul>	nologies; and scaled-up two propellant			
FY 2014 Plans:				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary	Of Defense	!				Date: M	arch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3					03000D8Z /	ment (Numb Joint Munitio		P002 / In	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P002 I Insensitive Munitions Advan Technology			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	ograms (\$ in I	<u> Millions)</u>						F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<ul> <li>Optimize the propellant formulation engineering ballistic tests on componiation</li> <li>Conduct baseline cook-off testing design selection and survivability as</li> <li>Conduct propellant formulation, cli munition item.</li> </ul>	onents for use of large calibe ssessment.	in shoulder f er ammunitic	fired weapor on item and o	n system. conduct mod	leling and si	mulation to a	issist in ventin					
FY 2015 Plans: - Conduct thermal and venting anal formulations for use in shoulder fire - Produce prototype of large caliber - Conduct component design and n propellant formulation and component	d weapon sys r ammunition i nanufacturing	tems. item with ver of large calit	nting and pre	epare for full item, and co	scale IM tes	sting. ormance testi	ng. Integrate					
							rograms Sub	ototals	15.614	16.601	19.80	
C. Other Program Funding Summ Line Item • 0602000D8Z P000: BA2 Insensitive Munitions	h <mark>ary (\$ in Milli</mark> FY 2013 13.023	<u>ons)</u> <u>FY 2014</u> 13.936	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>Base</u> 13.571	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>OCO</u> -	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>Total</u> 13.571	<u>FY 2016</u> 13.580	<u>FY 2017</u> 13.569	<b>FY 2018</b> 13.561		<u>Cost To</u> <u>Complete</u> Continuing	Total Cos	
Remarks												
<ul> <li>D. Acquisition Strategy N/A</li> <li>E. Performance Metrics</li> <li>1) Transitions of technologies deve</li> <li>2) MATG Technology Roadmaps a</li> <li>3) Chairman's Annual Assessments</li> <li>4) Projects progress toward goals a</li> <li>5) Annual technical reports and page</li> </ul>	re prepared, e s for each MA and milestones	evaluated, an TG are critica s is assessed	id analyzed ally reviewed d at each MA	by JIMTP ma d by the TAC ATG meeting	anagement a to determing.	and technica	l staff.			each project.		
6) External Peer Reviews of Projec						aatinga						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification:	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	efense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3					-	0D8Z I Joir	t (Number/ nt Munitions	,	Project (N P301 / Ena		,	Fechnology
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P301: Enabling Fuze Advanced Technology	1.075	2.639	3.411	6.881	-	6.881	6.904	7.044	7.043	7.105	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This effort will demonstrate fuze enabling technologies needed to develop weapons that address priority capability areas identified in the Guidance for Development of the Force, the Secretary of Defense Memorandum, DoD Policy on Cluster Munitions and Unintended Harm to Civilians, and shortfalls in current weapon systems. This effort will take promising technologies integrated and tested to Technical Readiness Level (TRL) five and demonstrate the technologies to a TRL-six utilizing weapon hardware based on priority capabilities and technology needs identified and validated by the Program Executive Officers (PEOs) and the Heads of the Service S&T communities. Mature demonstrated fuze technology will be transitioned, thereby decreasing their program costs and schedule risk and facilitating spin-offs to other munitions within their portfolios. Under the Joint Fuze Technology Program (JFTP), investments are focused on specific capability areas that have been identified by Department strategic guidance and current shortfalls in weapon systems and validated by the PEOs and Heads of the Service S&T communities. These four capability areas are: 1) Hard Target Survivable Fuzing, 2) Tailorable Effects (TE) Weapon Fuzing, 3) High Reliability Fuzing, and 4) Enabling Fuze Technologies and Common Architecture.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Hard Target Fuzing	0.591	0.974	1.841
<b>Description:</b> The Hard Target Fuzing challenges are grouped into three Technology Areas. First, improved modeling and simulation capabilities provide the validated computational tools necessary for hard target applications. Second, basic phenomenology & understanding of the Fuze Environment is the science-based endeavor of providing the test equipment, instrumentation, and analysis techniques for experimentation and data gathering necessary for next generation fuzing. Third, hard target survivable fuze components are developed to increase the effectiveness of facility denial munitions by improving the prediction tools and testing methodologies to evaluate the survivability and functionality of legacy and future fuzes. Development of these technologies will enable next generation boosted and hypersonic penetrators to execute missions against hardened and deeply buried targets.			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Conducted validation experiments on advanced fuze High-G modeling and simulation tools.</li> <li>Continued to develop survivable modular fuze technology for application into multi-role common miniature munitions with distributed/embedded fuzes.</li> </ul>			
<i>FY 2014 Plans:</i> - Conduct validation experiments on advanced fuze High-G modeling and simulation tools.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense	Date: N	/larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603000D8Z <i>I Joint Munitions Advanced</i> <i>Technology</i>	Project (Number/ P301 / Enabling Fi	Technology	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Continue to develop survivable modular fuze technology for application into r distributed/embedded fuzes.</li> <li>Used high fidelity modeling and simulation code &amp; test methods for Air Force Program.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Conduct high speed weapon hard target tests, to include high shock data red</li> <li>Transition survivable modular fuze technology for application into multi-role of embedded fuzes.</li> </ul>				
<i>Title:</i> Tailorable Effects Fuzing		0.662	0.741	1.610
<b>Description:</b> Develop fuzing for tailorable effects weapons that encompasses weapon (Dial-a-Yield) and/or the ability to generate selectable effects (directed multi-point technologies; electronic safe and arm based multi-point initiators for MicroElectro-Mechanical Systems (MEMS) based multi-point initiators for tunal fuzing for tailorable effects weapons. These technologies will enable weapons minimizing unintentional collateral effects.	blast, fragmentation). Develop initiation and tunable output – scalable yield warheads; ble output/scalable yield warheads; and smart	while		
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> - Conducted tests of warhead initiation architecture and control technologies in reducing collateral damage will benefit using tailorable effects technologies.	nto warheads. Specifically, weapons capable o	of		
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> - Conduct tests of warhead initiation architecture and control technologies into reducing collateral damage will benefit using tailorable effects technologies.	warheads. Specifically, weapons capable of			
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Conduct demonstration tests of warhead initiation and selectable architecture</li> <li>Continue to develop component technologies for multi-mode, multipoint seque counting algorithms and optimize detonation timing.</li> </ul>	•			
<i>Title:</i> High Reliability Fuzing		0.778	0.993	1.860
<b>Description:</b> Develop high reliability fuzing architectures, fuzing components, a features. This program's fuzing technologies are critical to enable the next ger greater than 99 percent reliability. Evolving DoD emphasis on increased weap new and novel approaches for achieving increased fuze reliability while maintain the second secon	neration of cluster munitions to achieve the req on system reliability is driving the need to cons	ider		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603000D8Z <i>I Joint Munitions Advanced</i> <i>Technology</i>		t <b>(Number/N</b> Enabling Fu		' Technology
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
higher weapon reliability expectations and harsher weapon system operational reliability than available using current technologies.	requirements are dictating the need for higher	fuze			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Refined design, along with increasing level of integration, and test high reliable maintaining safety by eliminating single-point and common-mode failures.</li> <li>Demonstrated high reliability miniature fuzes in air-gun testing, that simulate Technical Readiness Level (TRL) five.</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Refine design, along with increasing level of integration, and test high reliabil maintaining safety by eliminating single-point and common-mode failures.</li> <li>Demonstrate high reliability miniature fuzes in air-gun testing, that simulate c Readiness Level (TRL) five.</li> </ul>		nical			
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Develop and demonstrate phase two high reliability MEMS fuze technology p and arming (S&amp;A) in Guided Mortar round and bomb fuze bellows motors.</li> <li>Begin to develop fuze system communication and interface technologies for E (DPICM) to increase reliability with minimal disruption to the dispense event.</li> </ul>					
Title: Enabling Fuze Technologies			0.608	0.703	1.570
<b>Description:</b> Develop common / modular fuze architectures; innovative fuze confuze setting capability, tools and modeling; and fuzing power sources. These freeffective solutions while meeting or exceeding the performance of existing tech enable future weapon applications to be more mission adaptive and smaller all	uzing technologies will provide smaller, more c mologies. Development of these technologies	ost			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Began joint program with Industry to develop sensor technology into bomb fu</li> <li>Began transition (from 6.2) efforts of advanced, exploitation resistant proximition</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Conduct joint program with Industry to develop sensor technology into bomb</li> <li>Begin transition (from 6.2 efforts) of advanced, exploitation resistant proximit</li> </ul>					
FY 2015 Plans:					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Sect	retary Of Defense	Date: March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603000D8Z / Joint Munitions Advanced Technology	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P301 <i>I Enabling Fuze Advanced Tec</i>	hnolog
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013 FY 2014 FY	<b>(</b> 2015
<ul> <li>Conduct air-drop demonstration testing miniature retard and impact technology into bomb fuzing applications.</li> <li>Conduct testing of advanced, exploitation resistant proximity sense.</li> </ul>			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subt	otals 2.639 3.411	6.88
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)			
		Cost To           FY 2018         FY 2019         Complete         To           6.620         6.692         Continuing         Co	
<u>Remarks</u>			
<ul> <li>D. Acquisition Strategy N/A</li> <li>E. Performance Metrics <ol> <li>Transitions of technologies developed by the Program are tracked</li> <li>FATG Technology Roadmaps are prepared, evaluated, and analy</li> <li>Chairman's Annual Assessments for each FATG are critically revirelevance of each project.</li> <li>Project progress toward goals and milestones is assessed at each</li> <li>Annual technical reports and papers are tracked and documented</li> <li>Technology Transition Agreements are in place with Munition project</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	vzed by JFTP management and technical staff. iewed by the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) to dete h FATG meeting. d for the Program.	ermine progress, transition plans, and	d

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense											Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te Advanced Technology Developme		ation, Defen	se-Wide I B		-	a <b>m Elemen</b> 21D8Z / SO/	•	•	oment				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
Total Program Element	44.186	23.648	17.403	8.682	-	8.682	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	
206: Explosive Ordnance Disposal/Low-Intensity Conflict	7.520	4.081	3.374	1.509	-	1.509	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	
207: Special Reconnaisance Capabilities	20.461	10.996	6.946	4.004	-	4.004	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	
208: Information Dissemination Concepts	3.175	1.726	1.425	0.637	-	0.637	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	
209: Irregular Warfare Support (IWS)	13.030	6.845	5.658	2.532	-	2.532	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

P206, Explosive Ordnance Disposal/Low-Intensity Conflict (EOD/LIC). The EOD/LIC Program develops and delivers advanced capabilities for military Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) operators and Special Operations Forces (SOF) to meet the challenges of improvised explosive devices (IEDs), force protection, and the war on terrorism. EOD/LIC efforts focus in two areas: support to SOF to combat terrorism; and access, detection, identification, and neutralization of all types of conventional explosive ordnance and improvised explosive devices. Requirements submitted by the Joint Service EOD and Service Special Operations communities are prioritized and approved by OASD (SO/LIC). With a decreased budget, CTTSO will proceed with The Improvised Device Defeat (IDD)subgroup expanding its inclusion of joint service EOD operators in its efforts since the Department's announcement to cancel PE 0603121D8Z. IDD will absorb the appropriate joint service EOD requirements for prioritization and interagency coordination going forward. IDD will use the limited resources it possesses to provide the broadest possible capability improvement to the community.

P207, Special Reconnaissance Capabilities (SRC). The SRC Program exploits, leverages, and integrates DoD's service and agency efforts to improve surveillance and reconnaissance tools (unattended sensors, tagging and tracking devices, data infiltration/exfiltration, remote delivery, and mobility/delivery of sensors), while providing risk reduction for DoD and other agency technology and development programs. The SRC Program identifies, integrates, and operationalizes the technical tools for the collection of actionable information against a variety of targets and mission requirements, including emerging requirements, and maintains DoD's on-line catalog of tools in order to minimize crisis response time for special reconnaissance and surveillance.

P208, Information Dissemination Concepts (IDC). The IDC Program addresses technology capabilities necessary to enable sustained information dissemination campaigns in denied areas. The IDC program, working as necessary with DoD and the interagency, develops, modifies, and demonstrates concepts, mechanisms, platforms and payloads to propagate themes and messages that convince target audiences to take action favorable to the United States and its allies. The Surveillance,

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretar	y Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3:	PE 0603121D8Z / SO/LIC Advanced Development	
Advanced Technology Development (ATD)		
Collection, and Operations Support (SCOS) subgroup has managed the IDC	project for CTTSO since gaining oversight of the funding.	The language program
orchestrated by SCOS will remain, albeit with a drastic reduction in funding v	vithout PE 0603121D8Z.	

P209, Irregular Warfare Support (IWS). The IWS Program (IWSP) develops adaptive and agile capabilities and methodologies to support irregular warfare in the current and evolving strategic environments. IWSP supports joint, interagency, and other partners who conduct or counter irregular warfare through indirect and asymmetric approaches, though they may employ a full range of military and other capabilities, in order to erode an adversary's power, influence, and will. Solutions include material and non-material operational analysis, concept development, field experimentation, and delivery of capabilities, to defeat the motivations, sanctuaries, and enterprises of targeted state and non-state actors. As evidenced by every applicable Defense and National Security strategy document, (e.g., 2012 Defense Strategic Guidance (DSG), "Sustaining U.S. Global Leadership: Priorities for 21st Century Defense, Irregular Warfare capabilities are vital to U.S. security. CTTSO, in coordination with the ASD (SO/LIC), is in the process of reviewing options to continue the IWS capability that is critical to the combating terrorism community.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	26.324	19.420	9.889	-	9.889
Current President's Budget	23.648	17.403	8.682	-	8.682
Total Adjustments	-2.676	-2.017	-1.207	-	-1.207
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-2.676	-2.017			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>Srategic Efficiency Rduction</li> </ul>	-	-	-1.207	-	-1.207

#### Change Summary Explanation

FY 2015 budget reduced due to fiscal constraints and higher priorities within the Department.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification:	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	efense					Date: Mare	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3			<b>am Elemen</b> 21D8Z / SO, ent	•	,	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 206 <i>I Explosive Ordnance Disposal/Low-</i> <i>Intensity Conflict</i>						
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
206: Explosive Ordnance Disposal/Low-Intensity Conflict	7.520	4.081	3.374	1.509	-	1.509	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

P206, Explosive Ordnance Disposal/Low-Intensity Conflict (EOD/LIC). The EOD/LIC Program develops and delivers advanced capabilities for military Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) operators and Special Operations Forces (SOF) to meet the challenges of improvised explosive devices (IEDs), force protection, and the war on terrorism. EOD/LIC efforts focus in two areas: support to SOF to combat terrorism; and access, detection, identification, and neutralization of all types of conventional explosive ordnance and improvised explosive devices. Requirements submitted by the Joint Service EOD and Service Special Operations communities are prioritized and approved by OASD (SO/LIC). With a decreased budget, CTTSO will proceed with The Improvised Device Defeat (IDD)subgroup expanding its inclusion of joint service EOD operators in its efforts since the Department's announcement to cancel PE 0603121D8Z. IDD will absorb the appropriate joint service EOD requirements for prioritization and interagency coordination going forward. IDD will use the limited resources it possesses to provide the broadest possible capability improvement to the community.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Explosive Ordnance Disposal/Low-Intensity Conflict (EOD/LIC)	4.081	3.374	1.509
<b>Description:</b> P206, Explosive Ordnance Disposal/Low-Intensity Conflict (EOD/LIC). The EOD/LIC program develops and delivers advanced capabilities for military EOD operators and Special Operations Forces (SOF) to meet the challenges of improvised explosive devices (IEDs), force protection, and the war on terrorism. EOD/LIC efforts focus in two areas: support to SOF to combat terrorism; and access, detection, identification, and neutralization of all types of conventional explosive ordnance and improvised explosive devices. Requirements submitted by the Joint Service EOD and Service Special Operations communities are prioritized and approved by Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (OASD) (SO/LIC).			
<b>FY 2013</b> Accomplishments: Efforts were focused on Countering Improvised Explosive Devices (C-IED) and Electronic Countermeasures (ECM). Demonstrated a maritime, electromagnetic, non-lethal capability to disrupt outboard engines of small craft. Developed a global database of commercially manufactured electro–explosive devices, beginning with electric detonators containing characteristics to assist with identification and vulnerability assessment for future research and development efforts. Developed a method to deliver explosive charges that are deployable by mobile platforms and established protocols for effectively neutralizing defined IED threats. Evaluated a lightweight, back-packable robot for use in counter improvised explosive device operations in austere locations. Demonstrated a remote missile launch pod module that provides a precision engagement capability from an unmanned surface vehicle or other small craft. Developed a multi-purpose advanced tactical timer. Initiated development a compact, high-			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603121D8Z / SO/LIC Advanced Development	206 / Ex	: <b>(Number/N</b> xplosive Ord y Conflict	l <b>ame)</b> Inance Dispos	sal/Low-
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
power next generation x-ray generator for EOD use. Initiated development of t of achieving high order or low order disposal of insensitive high explosive (IHE) for Render Safe Procedures against underwater explosive devices.					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Efforts will focus on tools and equipment to enhance situational awareness and render safe or direct action operations. Continue development of a compact, hi EOD use. Continue development of techniques and concept render safe tool(s disposal of insensitive high explosive (IHE) munitions. Continue development of against underwater explosive devices. Demonstrate and evaluate a multi-purp explosive charge container for wide scope EOD and/or demolition applications, independent arm system for Robotic Platforms. Develop a mechanical remote readily identify metallic compounds and materials in suspect homemade explosimistures.	gh-power next generation x-ray generator for s) capable of achieving high order or low order of a suite of tools for Render Safe Procedures ose advanced tactical timer. Develop a collaps . Develop a hydraulically-actuated, platform- fuze removal system. Develop a capability to	sible			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Efforts will focus on tools and equipment to enhance situational awareness and render safe or direct action operations. Conduct Operational test and evaluation capable of achieving high order or low order disposal of insensitive high explose for Render Safe Procedures against underwater explosive devices. Demonstrate wide scope EOD and/or demolition applications. Demonstrate a mechanical rest of a hydraulically-actuated, platform-independent arm system for Robotic Platfor readily identify metallic compounds and materials in suspect homemade explose mixtures.	on of techniques and concept render safe tool( sive (IHE) munitions. Demonstrate a suite of to ate a collapsible explosive charge container fo emote fuze removal system. Continue develop orms. Continue development of a capability to	s) ols r oment			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals	4.081	3.374	1.509
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u> <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A					

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 (	Office of Secretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014		
ppropriation/Budget Activity 400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603121D8Z / SO/LIC Advanced Development	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 206 I Explosive Ordnance Disposal/Low- Intensity Conflict		
. Performance Metrics				
J/A				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	)efense					Date: Ma	rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3						am Elemen 21D8Z / SO/ ent				lumber/Na cial Reconr	<b>me)</b> naisance Ca <sub>l</sub>	pabilities
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
207: Special Reconnaisance Capabilities	20.461	10.996	6.946	4.004	-	4.004	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wi <b>A. Mission Description and Bud</b> P207, Special Reconnaissance O reconnaissance tools (unattender risk reduction for DoD and other collection of actionable information	<b>Iget Item Ju</b> Capabilities d sensors, t agency tech on against a	ustification (SRC). The agging and nology and variety of ta	SRC Prog tracking de developme argets and r	vices, data ent program mission req	infiltration/e s. The SRO uirements,	exfiltration, re C Program io	emote deliv dentifies, in	ery, and motegrates, ar	obility/deliv	ery of sens nalizes the	ors), while p technical to	roviding ols for the
in order to minimize crisis respon B. Accomplishments/Planned P		•		e and surve	illance.				F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: SPECIAL RECONNAISANG	CE CAPABI	LITIES (SR	C).							10.996	6.946	4.004
<b>Description:</b> P207, Special Reco identify technical tools for the coll Contingency Operations (OCO) ta technologies from government an surveillance operational requirem delivery, and mobility/delivery of s and existing technical surveillance	ection of ac asks. To ac d commerc ents. The c sensors into	tionable dat complish th ial ventures operational t established	a and inforr is objective and operat ools transiti d Programs	mation whic , the progra ionalizes th ion unatten of Record t	ch will assist am leverage nem to meet ded sensors throughout t	DoD in its e s emerging near term r s, tagging de he DoD. Th	execution o and existin econnaissa evices, data ne program	f Overseas g developm ance and a transfer, re evaluates r	ental			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: SRC continued to identify, develo advanced technologies and capal optical technologies; improvemen high speed data processing and t Integrated Circuit (ASIC) technolo Tracking, and Locating capabilitie sensors; and low power, high bar	bilities. High t in flexibilit ransmissior ogy; low pro s; low profil	n payoff tech y and accur h; next-gene file enhance e, advance	nnologies th acy through eration nance ed micro-op d covert ant	at have be n integration otechnology tics; next-g cennas; place	en research n of disparat //miniaturiza eneration pi	ed and trans te technolog tion; afforda recision Hos	sitioned inc lies into sin able Applica stile Forces	lude: audio gle devices ation Specifi Tagging,	and ; ultra c			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Continue to identify, develop, inte advanced technologies and capa												

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: M	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603121D8Z / SO/LIC Advanced Development		<b>ct (Number/N</b> Special Reco	,	apabilities
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
optical technologies; improvement in flexibility and accuracy through integration ultrahigh speed data processing and transmission; next-generation nanotechno Specific Integrated Circuit (ASIC) technology; low profile enhanced micro-optic Tagging, Tracking, and Locating capabilities; low profile, advanced material mi unattended ground sensors; clandestine communications architectures; advan portable DNA analysis; counter-surveillance systems: and low power, high ban	ology and miniaturization; affordable Applications; next-generation precision Hostile Forces niature antennas; placement and concealmen ced biometric data collection, including high sp	on t of			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Continue to identify, develop, integrate, and field promising persistent intelliger advanced technologies and capabilities. High payoff technologies that will be re transmission technologies; ultra high speed data processing and transmission; micro-optics; next-generation precision Hostile Forces Tagging, Tracking, and architectures; advanced biometric data collection; and low power, high bandwin	esearched and transitioned include: optical da device miniaturization; low profile enhanced Locating capabilities; clandestine communicat				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	ototals	10.996	6.946	4.004
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy N/A E. Performance Metrics N/A					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	Defense					Date: Ma	rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3					-	<b>am Elemen</b> 21D8Z / SO/ ent	•			Number/Na rmation Dis	i <b>me)</b> semination (	Concepts
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
208: Information Dissemination Concepts	3.175	1.726	1.425	0.637	-	0.637	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wi <b>A. Mission Description and Buc</b> P208, Information Dissemination campaigns in denied areas. The platforms and payloads to propag Collection, and Operations Supp orchestrated by SCOS will remai	Iget Item Ju Concepts ( IDC progra gate themes ort (SCOS) n, albeit with	ustification IDC). The I m, working and messa subgroup h n a drastic r	DC Program as necessa ages that co as managed eduction in	ry with Do[ nvince targ d the IDC p	D and the in get audience project for C	teragency, o es to take ac TTSO since	develops, m tion favora	odifies, and ble to the U	d demonstr nited State e funding.	ates conce s and its al The langu	pts, mechani lies. The Sur age program	sms, veillance,
B. Accomplishments/Planned F			s <u>)</u>						F`	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: INFORMATION DISSEMIN	ATION COI	NCEPTS								1.726	1.425	0.637
<b>Description:</b> Respond to emergine exploitation and analysis of inform cultural skills.									ł			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Enhanced language learning tool tools into a tactical site exploitation targeting, effective detainee prose language packet creation with a v outbound integration of available from broadcast, radio, offline vide	on capability ecution, and variety of me video and a	Improved theater-widedia sources udio sources	the timely of the exploitations. Delivered	collection of on of tactic d a capabili	f intelligence al intelligen ty that supp	e and evider ce. Deploye orts the auto	nce to supp ed capabiliti omated inb	ort follow-o es to enrich ound and	n ו			
FY 2014 Plans: Develop tools that assist the militation tools that are deployed in theater timely. Enhance triage capabilities technologies capable of ingesting FY 2015 Plans:	Expedite r s to store, o	methods of organize, ar	collecting and query mu	nd analyzin Iltimedia ac	ng media so cquired from	urces and e	vidence mo urces. Depl	re efficientl oy automat	y and ed			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Offi	ice of Secretary Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014						
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	PE 0603121D8Z / SO/LIC Advanced Development								
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015					
ccomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)       FY 2013         ver new languages to track topics, events and trends from various data sources (social media and tactical content in the field).       rove Speech Recognition capabilities and Machine Translation of informal content and from low-density languages. Increase precision in cross lingual searches and understanding of messages from multiple sources. Test and integrate language nologies into operational systems that support military situational awareness, targeting and analytics in both large enterprise ications and in the filed field, light and mobile platforms. Create systems that enable language learning skills and cultural reness.       Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals       1.726         ther Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)       marks         cquisition Strategy       erformance Metrics									
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals 1.726	1.425	0.63					
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A E. Performance Metrics N/A									

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	efense					Date: Mar	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3						<b>am Elemen</b> 21D8Z / SO/ ent			<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 209 <i>I Irregular Warfare Support (IWS)</i>			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
209: Irregular Warfare Support (IWS)	13.030	6.845	5.658	2.532	-	2.532	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

P209, Irregular Warfare Support (IWS). The IWS Program (IWSP) develops adaptive and agile capabilities and methodologies to support irregular warfare in the current and evolving strategic environments. IWSP supports joint, interagency, and other partners who conduct or counter irregular warfare through indirect and asymmetric approaches, though they may employ a full range of military and other capabilities, in order to erode an adversary's power, influence, and will. Solutions include material and non-material operational analysis, concept development, field experimentation, and delivery of capabilities, to defeat the motivations, sanctuaries, and enterprises of targeted state and non-state actors. As evidenced by every applicable Defense and National Security strategy document, (e.g., 2012 Defense Strategic Guidance (DSG), "Sustaining U.S. Global Leadership: Priorities for 21st Century Defense, Irregular Warfare capabilities are vital to U.S. security. CTTSO, in coordination with the ASD (SO/LIC), is in the process of reviewing options to continue the IWS capability that is critical to the combating terrorism community.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: IRREGULAR WARFARE SUPPORT (IWS)	6.845	5.658	2.532
<b>Description:</b> P209, Irregular Warfare Support (IWS). The IWS Program (IWSP) develops adaptive and agile capabilities and methodologies to support irregular warfare in the current and evolving strategic environments. IWSP supports joint, interagency, and other partners who conduct or counter irregular warfare through indirect and asymmetric approaches, though they may employ a full range of military and other capabilities, in order to erode an adversary's power, influence, and will. Solutions include material and non-material operational analysis, concept development, field experimentation, and delivery of capabilities, to defeat the motivations, sanctuaries, and enterprises of targeted state and non-state actors.			
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> Under IW Joint Operational Concept (JOC 2.0) and DODD 3000.07 on IW, the IWS Program continued the research and development path in order to conduct operational analysis, concept design, and pilot-project experimentation efforts in support of 2010 QDR and current NSS/NSCT lines of engagements. Deployed an enhanced training capability and planned for transition to a program of record a counter- "green on blue" capability for ISAF and U.S. forces. This effort assisted warfighters in detecting and mitigating insider threat attacks in partner, COIN and contingency operations, which is a serious issue that undercuts efforts at training host nation security forces, one of the pillars of Irregular Warfare. Continued to support an Advanced Situational Awareness Capability that includes instructor-led training and supporting publications for tactical unit leaders at the US Army Maneuver Center of Excellence (MCoE), Ft. Benning, GA. This capability led to trained units achieving the highest IED discovery rates in the OEF theater and saving coalition lives. This capability was ultimately transitioned to the US Army MCoE and they			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	ecretary Of Defense	Date: I	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603121D8Z / SO/LIC Advanced Development	Project (Number/ 209 / Irregular Wa	(IWS)	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
currently seek to enhance this capability with the training to counter in a hybrid training course. Continued to support Project LEGACY, counterinsurgency and military intelligence capabilities. Conducted that institutionalizes within U.S. Forces and the Interagency the ca- military investigative training to host nation security forces. Condu- specialized Security Force Assistance doctrine and operational ap Continued to elicit and refine requirements for users within rapid ar Interagency users with off the shelf, high performance technologie and analyze operational data better and faster. Continued to reses source information that allows operators and decision makers to u of operation globally and how these threat groups affect the global expand an effort that integrates and fuses heterogeneous social m and preparation of the battlefield with new end users and different analysis capability along with mentorship and the analytical tradec in open source social media. Continued to develop frameworks ar Command (COCOM) -level Communication Activities. Delivered F VOICE Program in order to assist in garnering quantifiable measur methods of determining overall return on investment (ROI) of prog designed to equip decision makers and operators with the knowled avoid common traps and risks in order to assess and appropriately Communications Activities. Conducted deep-dive research and an of adversaries, their capabilities, intentions, use of terrain, weapon operational advantages adversaries will work to offset, and what tf faces off with these types of threats. Assisted with further develop the Intelligence Driven Combat, to include international partnership PSYOP capabilities in planning, targeting and execution for suppor Researched and started development of enhanced mobile capabil to support small units conducting distributed operations in remote refueling, and helicopter landing zones). Conducted research, dev efforts intended to counter emerging and extant threats in the inter- the usage of social media by trans	, a capability that significantly improves host-nation police d and completed a spiral effort of Legacy to provide training pability developed by the Legacy Program to deliver polic octed an assessment of the relevance and applicability of proach for environments outside Operation Enduring Free ssessment framework in order to successfully pair SOF a as and novel capabilities that fulfill specific requirements to earch and develop threat group assessments based on op inderstand the threats associated within their various area a security of the US and its allies. Continued to develop a needia data for use in strategic and tactical operational plar support environments. This effort provides a real time data araft to understand and monitor critical events and sentime ind training to better understand and implement Combatar Return on Investment Analytic Framework for the COCOM rements of performance, measurements of effectiveness iram dollars. Additionally, this effort also developed trainin dge and skills necessary to properly utilize population data y interpret the population research required in support of the alysis aimed at defining today's and the near future's type as, technologies, proliferation schemes, U.S. technology a ne U.S. should be looking for to be better prepared when open and austere environments (to include resupply/drop zone relopment, operational analysis, and field experimentation resection of the digital-physical domains (such as understa n order to predict changes in support and influence; meas s media for intended effects). protected dynamic enclaves of capability for multi-agence	ng pee/ edom. nd o share pen is nd nning ta and ents at and ng a and these plogy ind it t of D/ nents. es s, of nding sures		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Secretary Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603121D8Z / SO/LIC Advanced Development	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 209 I Irregular Warfare Support (IWS)		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
collaborative areas and enhanced capabilities of data upload, sea tablets or laptops. Research and development of a non-material of currently facing the US, and how to implement effective measures for this project will fall under three broad lines of effort: 1) an analy how these capabilities may be applied to today's threat environmed environment; and 3) identification and analysis of which of the cap threats now and in the future. Initiated a research and developmed learning, adaptation, and innovation in the field over the course of initial framework to speed up and enhance organizational learning education aids.	effort intended to better understand indirect and irregular the s against them. The studies and related activities undertak ysis of indirect/irregular actions employed historically and ent; 2) an analysis of current and the evolving irregular thre pabilities the US could apply to prevail against irregular/ind ent effort to assess the degree and quality of organizationa f the deployment of specific units engaged in IW; provide a	nreats en eat irect I n		
Continue research and development of material and non-material development, delivery, and transition to support the Department of the deployment of an enhanced training capability and transition t for ISAF and U.S. forces. This effort assists warfighters in detectir and contingency operations, which is a serious issue that undercupillars of Irregular Warfare. Continue to support Project LEGACY counterinsurgency and military intelligence capabilities. Mentoring substantial drawdowns will begin starting in October 2013 with ad effort that elicited and refined requirements from users within a ra and Interagency users with off the shelf, high performance technot to share and analyze operational data better and faster. Continue assessments based on open source information that allows operative to continue to develop and expand an effort that integrates and fust factical operational planning and preparation of the battlefield with effort will continue to provide a real time data and analysis capabil understand and monitor critical events and sentiments in open so and training to better understand and implement Combatant Com training designed to equip decision makers and operators with the data and avoid common traps and risks in order to assess and ap of these Communications Activities. Developed enhanced MISO/F	of Defense and Interagency Irregular Warfare mission. Com to a program of record a counter- "green on blue" capability ing and mitigating insider threat attacks in partner, COIN uts efforts at training host nation security forces, one of the f, a capability that significantly improves host-nation police g via the Legacy program will continue through FY14, though ditional reductions occurring throughout 2014. Complete the pid assessment framework in order to successfully pair SC plogies and novel capabilities that fulfill specific requirement e to research and develop threat group and geographic ators and decision makers to understand the threats associate a groups affect the global security of the US and its allies see heterogeneous social medial data for use in strategic and new end users and different support environments. This ility along with mentorship and the analytical tradecraft to purce social media. Continue to develop and refine framewor mand (COCOM) -level Communication Activities to include e knowledge and skills necessary to properly utilize popula propriately interpret the population research required in su PSYOP capabilities in planning, targeting and execution for	nplete / gh he DF is iated ind orks e tion ipport		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	ecretary Of Defense	Date:	March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603121D8Z / SO/LIC Advanced Development		Project (Number/Name) 209 I Irregular Warfare Support (IWS)		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
surveying assault and landing zones to support small units conduc (to include resupply/drop zones, refueling, and helicopter landing z experimentation of multiple efforts intended to counter emerging ar domains (e.g. understanding the usage of social media by transnat support and influence; measuring the of effectiveness of social med- effects). Continue to develop and deliver Secure Unclassified Networks single hardware suite of servers and software that will provide prote (Law Enforcement, Interagency, Coalition, and Foreign Nationals). and enhanced capabilities of data upload, searching and sharing fr Research, develop, test and evaluate material and non-material so Operations (MISO) forces' capabilities that are essential to unconv and field capabilities that enhance Special Warfare operations and development of a non-material effort intended to better understand to implement effective measures against them. This effort will supp wargaming and experimentation, strategy assessment and recomm and development effort to understand "Lawfare" or the strategy of " means to achieve an operational objective." This effort will review of analysis and develop recommendations for use in the Lawfare real of the degree and quality of organizational learning, adaptation, an specific units engaged in IW; provide a framework to speed up and framework through instruction/education aids.	tones). Deliver research, operational analysis, and field and extant threats in the intersection of the digital-physical tional criminal organizations in order to predict changes in dia and understanding how to use this media for intended work (SUNet) which provides a unique virtualization of a ected dynamic enclaves of capability for multi-agency use This effort enables an inter-organizational collaborative a rom headquarters down to smartphones, tablets or laptop plutions that build and/or enhance Military Information Sup entional warfare missions. Research, develop, test, evalu- building the capacity of our partner nation forces. Spiral I indirect and irregular threats currently facing the US, and oort of the Army Special Operations Command and will in- nendations for future operations planning. Initiate research 'using – or misusing – law as a substitute for traditional m current literature and case studies of lawfare, conduct gap Im by the US and its allies. Complete and deliver assess d innovation in the field over the course of the deploymer	area s. opport hate d how clude h ilitary o ment t of			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Close out and completion of the LEGACY effort, to include final rep and training for an enhanced mobile capability for assessing and si conducting distributed operations in remote and austere environme landing zones). Delivery and evaluation of material and non-mater Support Operations (MISO) forces' capabilities that are essential to evaluate and field capabilities that enhance Special Warfare opera Deliver applicable lessons from literature and expert practitioners of provide recommendations for a framework outlining how the US an legal warfare.	urveying assault and landing zones to support small units ents (to include resupply/drop zones, refueling, and helico rial solutions that build and/or enhance Military Informatio o unconventional warfare missions. Research, develop, te tions and building the capacity of our partner nation force on Lawfare and other analogous policy tools. The effort w	opter n est, s. <i>r</i> ill also			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 O				arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603121D8Z / SO/LIC Advanced Development	Project (Number/Name) 209 / Irregular Warfare Support (IWS)		(IWS)	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions		Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Final completion of all Irregular Warfare Support Program					
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sul	btotals	6.845	5.658	2.53
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)					
N/A					
Remarks					
D. Acquisition Strategy					
N/A					
E. Performance Metrics					
N/A					

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificat	ion: PB 201	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Marc	h 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te Advanced Technology Developme		ation, Defen	se-Wide I E				t (Number/ mbating Teri		nology Sup	port		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	74.563	108.245	100.754	69.675	-	69.675	71.627	74.397	78.549	83.465	Continuing	Continuing
484: Combating Terrorism Technology Support (CTTS)	74.563	108.245	100.754	69.675	-	69.675	71.627	74.397	78.549	83.465	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Combating Terrorism Technical Support (CTTS) program identifies capabilities to combat terrorism and irregular adversaries and delivers these capabilities to U.S., interagency, and international users through rapid research and development, advanced studies, and technical innovation. Projects are distributed among 10 mission categories, in line with the interagency Technical Support Working Group (TSWG): Advanced Analytics and Capabilities, Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, and Explosives; Improvised Device Defeat; Investigative Support and Forensics; Personnel Protection, Physical Security; Surveillance, Collection, and Operations Support; Tactical Operations Support; Training Technology Development; and a new working group, Irregular Warfare and Evolving Threats. The CTTS program is a diverse, advanced technology development effort that capitalizes on interagency and international participation to demonstrate the utility or effectiveness of technology when applied to combating terrorism requirements. It includes technology capability development, proof-of-principle demonstrations in field applications, and coordination to transition from development to operational use. CTTS manages approximately 450 individual projects in support of Defense, federal, state, local, and international customers and partners.

The CTTS program justified in the R-2 exhibit identifies the projects fully or partially funded by Congressional appropriations for the CTTS program. However, the Combating Terrorism Technical Support Office (CTTSO) develops technology and provides support using external funds provided by other DoD and other Federal Departments and International partnerships. These projects and support activities are not necessarily reflected in this justification R-2; but the number of activities do reflect positively on the trust and competence that CTTSO has earned throughout the Department and interagency to rapidly conduct critical RDT&E and provide innovative products.

In FY 2013, CTTS focused on DoD requirements that supported military forces in demanding or hostile environments such as Afghanistan, Yemen, Africa, the Philippines, Mexico, and Colombia; by rapidly developing and delivering leading edge products such as tactical sensors and unmanned vehicles, personal and physical protection, user friendly apps for analytical tools and reference guides, and weapons, sights, and ammo modifications. Several of the highly successful products include Legacy human source information programs in Afghanistan and Mexico, the Lighthouse and PALANTIR information collection and analysis systems, the Enhanced Mortar Targeting System (EMTAS), and Insider Threat Situation Awareness Training.

For U.S. federal, state and local law enforcement and first responders, CTTS improved personal protection equipment for chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, and high explosive protection; as well as developed apps for interactive reference data to assist in identifying and neutralizing threat agents in the field and in laboratories. CTTS also hosted interagency and foreign partner information exchange seminars and capability exercises to share and enhance response techniques and procedures for first responders.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide / BA 3:	PE 0603122D8Z I Combating Terrorism Technology Sup	port
Advanced Technology Development (ATD)		

FY14 plans for CTTS will continue to address combating terrorism requirements from Defense, federal, state, local, and international customers and partners at home and abroad. As the withdrawal of U.S. forces from Afghanistan accelerates, CTTS will continue to address force protection needs for the remaining forces. Additionally, CTTS will increasingly address technology requirements requested from USSOCOM's field components as they increase their regional operations tempo in other parts of the world. Special emphasis will be for the Theater SOF in Africa and to support Theater SOF in the Pacific in support of the National Strategy to shift focus towards the Pacific. Specifically, CTTS will address personnel and physical security for small forces deployed to austere and hostile environments. In parallel, CTTS is increasing its support of the USMC as they reconstitute and improve the capacity and capabilities of the Marine Expeditionary Units. Another area of increased emphasis that has become even more concerning will be the protection of U.S. personnel, to include State Department personnel in embassy and consulate locations overseas that need increased security.

CTTS will continue to actively support the Department's Homeland Defense mission at NORTHCOM, including Defense support of civil authorities, interagency coordination, Special Operations support, and security cooperation. Consistent with that focus, this office will also work to address Department of Defense Security requirements for advanced technology and capabilities that will (1) enhance security along the U.S. Southwest Border and (2) proactively address improvised devices and other chemical, biological, nuclear and radiological threats in a domestic environment.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	77.144	77.792	79.323	-	79.323
Current President's Budget	108.245	100.754	69.675	-	69.675
Total Adjustments	31.101	22.962	-9.648	-	-9.648
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	31.101	22.962			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-	-9.648	-	-9.648

#### Change Summary Explanation

FY 2015 budget reduced due to fiscal constraints and higher priorities within the Department.

C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Advanced Analytic Capabilities (AAC)	11.316	6.546	5.644
<b>Description:</b> The Advanced Analytic Capability (AAC) Subgroup's objective is to develop and deploy integrated analytic capabilities; enabling Warfighters and Mission Partners to make better/faster decisions at the "Tactical Edge". AAC projects			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603122D8Z / Combating Terrorism Technolog	y Support		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
improve sense-making, decision-making, and data management across a range counterinsurgency, stabilization/re-construction missions and cyber-defense.	ge of mission areas: counterterrorism,			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Completed development of an enhanced integrated analytic platform that enal sources to support near real-time decision making, collaboration, and training requirements. Developed and began preparation for delivery an advanced au communications, whether the security configuration settings on field devices in Developed and delivered prototype software that enabled fusion of imagery ar of life to variables affecting quality of life. This tool may be integrated into the r developed by SOCOM and CTTSO) for MISO operators taught at the John F. Began developing a prototype entity extraction/guided clustering software that of data analyses by enabling analysts to change relationships in the data in re while automating the actual analysis. This technique should allow the analyst and represents a significant improvement of a key analytic tool. Continued de analyses using Model Predictive Controllers (MPC) to make better decisions a courses of action. Initiated the development of an enhanced Critical Thinking to be accomplished by analysts with a user-friendly platform that guides them	to support varied workflows tailored to operational dit tool to determine, over network or serial in industrial control systems are in compliance. Ind text-based data that relates changes in patterns next SAVANT update (a mission support tool Kennedy Special Warfare Center and School. t significantly improves the quality and accuracy al-time as part of a "guided clustering" capability to identify clusters of related data in large data sets evelopment and proof of concept for multi-model and establish measures of effectiveness for multiple Tool that allows complex reasoning approaches			
use (e.g. Evidence-based reasoning) but very valuable. Initiated the application agent-based analyses that also reduces dependence on subject matter experience.	on of an additional MPC model that allows regional			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Develop an enhanced integrated analytic platform that enables analysis of div real-time decision making, support new operational applications, and geograp audit tool to determine over the network or serial communications for the secu industrial control systems. Develop and deliver an initial version of prototype a based data for patterns of life analysis. Independently test and verify a proof of for rapid analysis and understanding of collections of intelligence reports and in for suspicious activity based on incoming streams of surveillance and intellige model analyses using Model Predictive Controllers that provide better decision Initiate the development of an enhanced Critical Thinking Tool that will suppor intelligence questions and capture analytic problem-solving approaches. Initia	hic locations. Develop and deliver an advanced irity configuration settings on field devices in software that enables fusion of imagery and text- of concept data and network analysis workbench real-time generation of alarms and warnings nce data. Continue development for multi- ns and establish measures of effectiveness. t the application of evidence-based reasoning to			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603122D8Z / Combating Terrorism Technology	y Support		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
commander/executive decision maker with information in both real-world and e intergovernmental, and multinational organizations (JIIM) environment.	exercise scenarios within the joint, interagency,			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Complete the development and transition of an integrated analytic platform that sources to support near real-time decision making to support new operational a commands. Continue development and deliver an independently tested and vert workbench for rapid analysis and understanding of collections of intelligence rewarnings for suspicious activity based on incoming streams of surveillance and tool using Model Predictive Controllers to make better decisions and establish Critical Thinking Tool that will support the application of evidence-based reason problem-solving approaches. Continue development on a program that will inflooth real-world and exercise scenarios within the joint, interagency, intergovern environment.	applications and geographic locations to major erified proof of concept data and network analysis eports and real-time generation of alarms and d intelligence data. Deliver a multi-model analyses measures of effectiveness. Deliver a refined ning to intelligence questions and capture analytic orm commander/executive decision making in			
Title: CHEMICAL, BIOLOGICAL, RADIOLOGICAL, NUCLEAR, AND EXPLOS	IVES (CBRNE)	13.812	15.423	12.521
<b>Description:</b> The CBRNE subgroup's objective is to improve defense capabilit this objective, the subgroup focuses on rapid research, development, test and attribution; personal protective equipment; detection of CBRNE materials at tradistances; development of information resources and decision support tools to making; and consequence management for post-event activities.	evaluation on threat characterization; materials ace and bulk levels at point, proximity and stand-off			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Developed a flexible powered air purifying respirator system for CBRN environ ensembles providing enhanced CBRN protection in tactical environments. Developed environments. Completed evaluation of noise cancelling technology that enhanced self-contained breathing apparatus in a CBRN environment. Initiated developm enhanced testing procedures for the evaluation of protective ensembles. Initiate determining proper work/rest cycles in protective clothing. Developed an enhanced tools for the decontamination of infrastructure, personnel, and equip with imbedded chemical detection and decontamination properties. Developed and penetration by chemical threats. Evaluated and tested an orthogonal syste levels of toxic industrial chemicals. Developed a dual wavelength Raman speci industrial chemicals and improvised explosives. Developed a sampling interface gas chromatograph inlet for the rapid detection and identification of target chemicals.	veloped a tactical protective mask for CBRN nees communication for a person wearing a nent of a next generation CB glove. Developed ed development of a decision support tool for need water filter for military field survival situations. ment. Initiated development of a solid material test methods for the evaluation of skin permeation em for the detection and identification of trace trometer for the evaluation of bulk levels of toxic ce for a person portable mass spectrometer with			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	/ Of Defense	Date: N	/larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603122D8Z / Combating Terrorism Technology	/ Support		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
colorimetric detection system capable of discreetly notifying the operator of a agents. Initiated development of a miniature hand-portable mass spectromet threats. Enhanced algorithms for a cosmic ray attenuation capability for the d and humans in cargo. Initiated development of RFID-based colorimetric chem assessment of prototype expeditionary wet chemistry kits for homemade expla a colorimetric chemistry based explosive detection system. Continued develo identify precursor materials of homemade explosives. Developed a portable sthreats at temporary venues. Continued development of a handheld ion mob homemade explosives threats. Developed colorimetric fabrics for the detection of a spatially offset Raman technology capable of identifying materials throug an optimized sampling media for the collection of bulk explosive materials. Continues for response personnel. Developed methods for the evaluation of C support tools to provide science-based risk analysis for emergency personnel decontamination techniques, evacuation zones and other data-driven decision deployed personnel that use ion mobility spectroscopy explosive detection equation of the cross-contamination potential of victims and first responde development of an endoscopic CB collection tool.	er for the detection of chemical and explosive etection of special nuclear materials, explosives histry for monitoring cargo containers. Continued osives detection. Miniaturized and commercialized pment of a low-cost, single-use test kit to rapidly system to quickly screen personnel for explosive ility spectroscopy system for particulate inorganic in of bulk explosive materials. Initiated development h non-metallic packaging. Initiated development of pontinued development of methods for determining reat materials, and identified key indicators and BRN contaminated evidence. Developed decision in the selection of appropriate protective equipment, ns. Developed computer-based training tools for puipment. Completed development of updated persal device (RDD) detonation. Completed an			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Certify and commercialize a flexible powered air purifying respirator system for testing a protective mask for tactical CBRN environments. Develop a next get decision support tool for determining proper work/rest cycles in protective clot the evaluation of protective ensembles. Develop personal protective equipmer a next generation CB glove. Develop a water purifier capable of producing por portable glove box suitable for working with CBRN materials in field operation imbedded chemical detection and decontamination properties. Continue develops system capable of discreetly notifying the operator of a positive detection of s system to quickly screen personnel for explosive threats at temporary venues portable mass spectrometer for the detection of chemical and explosive threat technology for monitoring cargo containers. Develop enhanced sampling materials offset Raman technology capable of identifying materials through non-metalling.	neration CB sock. Continue development of a thing. Evaluate enhanced testing procedures for ant decontamination strategies. Continue developing table water for a small military unit. Develop a us. Continue development of a solid material with elopment of an unobtrusive, colorimetric detection elect chemical warfare agents. Evaluate a portable a. Continue development of a miniature hand- ts. Continue development of explosives detection erials and high volume samplers for CBRNE threats. erials. Continue development and test a spatially			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603122D8Z / Combating Terrorism Technology	v Support		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
cost, single-use test kit to rapidly identify precursor materials of homemade ex handheld explosives particulate detector for inorganic homemade explosives to based upon pyroelectric transducer technology. Continue to evaluate potentia identify key indicators and warnings for response personnel. Develop decision medical information and recommendations, and detection/identification of unk collection device to assist in deceased victim identification in the postmortem of	threats. Initiate development of a novel bio-sensor I methods of production of threat materials, and n support tools to provide on-scene responders with nown substances. Develop an automated fingerprint			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Develop next generation systems for respiratory protection. Continue evaluate environments. Evaluate and certify a next generation CB glove. Continue to e evaluation of protective ensembles. Evaluate a next generation CB sock. Eval strategies. Develop tools for the identification of protective equipment failures. with CBRN materials in field operations. Continue development of a water pur military unit. Evaluate an optimized sampling media for the collection of bulk e fabrics for the detection of bulk explosive materials. Evaluate and commercial of identifying materials through non-metallic packaging. Develop next generation stand-off detection of explosives-based threats. Develop and evaluate enhance threats. Continue to develop a novel bio-sensor based upon pyroelectric trans methods of production of threat materials, and identify key indicators and warr analytical tools for the analysis of chemical and biological agent production met for providing medical information and recommendations in hostile environment tools to enhance risk-based decision making with scientific evidence. Continue collection device to assist in deceased victim identification in the postmortem	evaluate enhanced testing procedures for the luate personal protective equipment decontamination . Evaluate a portable glove box suitable for working rifier capable of producing potable water for a small explosive materials. Test and evaluate colorimetric ize a spatially offset Raman technology capable tion sensors for use in trace, bulk, proximity and ced sampling materials and systems for CBRNE sducer technology. Continue to evaluate potential nings for response personnel. Develop advanced ethods. Develop and evaluate decision support tools its. Continue to develop and deploy decision support e to develop and evaluate an automated fingerprint			
<i>Title:</i> IMPROVISED DEVICE DEFEAT (IDD) <i>Description:</i> The IDD Subgroup's objective is to provide rapid prototyping, catechnologies, tools, and information to improve the operational capabilities of the military Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) community to defeat and neutraling In collaboration with military, federal, state, and local agencies, the IDD Subgrif requirements through joint working groups and then actively works with vendor systems that provide more efficiency and a greater degree of safety for Bomb needed render safe or dispose of suspect devices whether emplaced, person supports the Homeland Security Presidential Directive (HSPD) 19 – Combating and the National Strategic Plan for Bomb Squads.	federal, state, and local bomb squads and the U.S. ize the full spectrum of terrorist explosive devices. roup identifies and prioritizes multi-agency user ors and end-users to deliver advanced prototype Technicians to investigate, access, evaluate, and if borne, vehicle borne or water borne. The Subgroup	4.606	4.904	4.002

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603122D8Z / Combating Terrorism Technology	Support		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>FY 2013</b> Accomplishments: Delivered and evaluated the Body Bomb Tool Kit to robotically counter Person Delivered, operationally tested and commercialized the Vehicle Borne IED (VE VBIEDs. Developed a Bomb Technician Wikipedia for sharing of bomb technic delivered the iLIVE inline video enhancement module for robot cameras allow development, delivered and commercialized the Scalable Improvised Device I capability to counter VBIEDs. Developed a Bomb Squad specific IED Instant N and IPhones that provides real time incident notification between FBI, ATF and makeup. Characterized common disruptors against homemade explosives (H collection tools for explosives and other hazardous materials. Developed a VE unknown hazards in vehicles. Developed improved end effectors for remote of a VBIED Precision X-ray Targeting Tool Kit to aid in three dimensional imaging used in render safe techniques. Delivered, and operationally evaluated the Au tool that will increase safe separation from command or detonator wires being System prototype for combat swimmers. Tested and evaluated static and dyna expeditionary mobile port security barrier. Developed forensic gathering tools provide controlled sample collection and fingerprint gathering capability using Neutralizer to remotely unscrew end caps from pipe bomb IEDs.	BIED) Tool Kit to aid in the access and defeat of cian and EOD related information. Developed and ing a clearer picture in low lighted areas. Completed Disruptor (SIDD) that provides a surgical disruption Notification System (INS) Application for Android d Civil and military bomb technicians on device IME). Developed robotically employed forensic BIED Threat Assessment System to assist in locating controlled vehicles. Delivered and commercialized g and precise targeting of internal IED components utomatic Wire Cutter (AWC); a remote wire cutting cut. Delivered an Advanced Diver Data Display amic ship immobilization systems. Delivered an that interface with the Body Bomb Tool Kit and			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Integrate the IED Instant Notification System (INS) application for both Android System (BATS). Evaluate and commercialize the iLIVE inline video enhancer submersible, remotely operated vehicle to counter water borne IEDs. Deliver Test and evaluate the forensic collection tools to gather possible DNA and fing procedures are utilized destroying evidence and intelligence on IEDs. Develop will increase safe separation from command or detonator wires being cut while remote window breaking tool to ensure breakage of improved safety glass to a mask-mounted display systems for underwater Mine Counter-Measure operate for bomb suit helmets. Develop a handheld, manual entry multi-meter to be us switches, and electromechanical switches. Develop a windshield-mounted VB disruption forces through a windshield and into the interior of a vehicle, disrupt and enhance the X-ray Tool Kit (XTK) software to provide 3D x-ray capability a testing for incorporation into the future Radiographic Program of Record. Develop container to counter IEDs on the battlefield. Evaluate and modify the Mobile E	nent module for robot cameras. Develop a and evaluate a VBIED threat assessment system. gerprints on suspect devices before other dynamic o a shock tube initiated remote wire cutting tool that e maintaining control of the procedure. Develop a access VBIEDs. Demonstrate and evaluate diver ions. Develop a heads-up display (HUD) capability sed for diagnostics on detonators, mechanical IED disruption tool to provide explosively driven ting any IED circuit components within. Develop and provide Information Assurance Approval elop a collapsible, tactical, combat ready charge			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: M	arch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603122D8Z / Combating Terrorism Technology	Support		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
the capability to remotely unscrew end caps from pipe type IEDs to include jars drug or explosive manufacturing.	s and jugs of possible precursor materials used in			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Implement the online application of the Bomb Technician Wikipedia for sharing Deliver and evaluate a submersible remotely operated vehicle to counter water the Mobile Explosive Device Neutralizer (MEDN) that provides the capability to IEDs, and removes caps from jars and jugs of possible precursor materials use a robotically deploy three dimensional scanner capability to image large vehicle assessment system. Commercialize the forensic collection tools for explosives evaluate a shock tube initiated remote wire cutting tool that will increase safe s cut while maintaining control. Evaluate a remote window breaking tool to ensu VBIED. Continue development of a heads-up display (HUD) capability for bom manual entry multi-meter to be used for diagnostics on detonators, mechanical and evaluate a collapsible tactical charge container to counter IEDs on the bat	borne IEDs. Finalize drawings and commercialize remotely unscrew end caps from pipe bomb ed in drug or explosive manufacturing. Evaluate e cargo areas. Commercialize a VBIED threat and other hazardous materials. Deliver and eparation from command or detonator wires being ure breakage of improved safety glass to access b suit helmets. Continue to develop a handheld switches, and electromechanical switches. Deliver			
<i>Title:</i> INVESTIGATIVE SUPPORT AND FORENSICS (ISF) <i>Description:</i> The IFS subgroup's objective is to advance combating terrorism IFS supports joint, interagency, and other partners who apply investigative and to forensic intelligence or investigations. To meet this objective, the subgroup f evaluation of new and advanced technology, equipment, forensic techniques, a resources and decision support tools for risk-based decision making and rapid rapid and field DNA analysis, identification of insider threat within agencies, preevidence data acquisition, sensitive site exploitation, forensic intelligence, and	forensic science methods, means, or practices ocuses on rapid research, development, test and and tools, as well as development of information exploitation of evidence. Projects emphasize e- and post-blast forensic examination, electronic	7.332	5.420	4.518
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Completed and validated a forensic technique that visualizes latent fingerprints materials present in the print. Developed an automated digital communication are potential insider threats to commit physical violence, espionage, and sabot forensic procedure that separates complex mitochondrial DNA mixtures and pr Developed and fielded a new technology that locates, extracts, and forensically ribbons. Completed development of an automated system that extracts, categ components found in damaged electronic equipment. Completed the development of an et the geographic origin of materials from homemade explosives and IEDs. Start	analysis system that determines persons who age. Completed and distributed an advanced ovides individual identification of each DNA source. y analyzes latent visual images on thermal printer orizes, and analyzes the data stored on memory nent of a catalyst based technique for visualizing xtensive forensic system and repository to establish			

Appropriation/Budget Activity         R.1 Program Element (Number/Name)           Advanced Technology Development. Test & Eveluation, Defense-Wide / BA 3:         PE 0603122D82 / Combating Terrorism Technology Support           Advanced Technology Development (ATD)         PE 0603122D82 / Combating Terrorism Technology Support           C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ In Millions)         FY 2013         FY 2013         FY 2014         FY 2015           analyzes counterfeit documents used for identity and travel and links the documents are genuine or counterfeit. Initiated the development of a comprehensive set of procedures to analyze inks to verify if documents are genuine or counterfeit. Initiated the development of a nextensive forensic system that analyzes counterfeit development of a nextensive forensic system search, development, test, and evaluation strategy and roadmap for the federal investigative and forensic science community.         FY 2014 Plans:         Continue and complete development of a forensic system that analyzes counterfeit identity and travel documents and links them to other criminal and terrorist incidents. Finalize production of a comprehensive set of procedures to analyze in combulating technology to visualize latent fingerprints based on novel human antibiodelise and nano-technology. Complete an interagency research, development, test, and evaluation         State for the federal investigative and fedesing of an escylo-toxek it to precomic any available regret to resons lacking technology to usalize latent fingerprints based on novel human antibiodelise and nano-technology. Complete an interagency research, development at a specie and development of a led-deployable prototype system for automatela rapid procesing of a system that enables witnesses and develog a	Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
analyzes counterfeit documents used for identity and travel and links the documents to other investigations. Started production of a comprehensive set of procedures to analyze inks to verify if documents are genuine or investigations. Started the development of a technology to visualize latent fingerprints based on novel human antibodies and nano-technology. Initiated establishment of an technology to visualize latent fingerprints based on novel human antibodies and nano-technology. Initiated establishment of an technology research, development, test, and evaluation strategy and roadmap for the federal investigative and forensic science community. <b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Continue and complete development of an extensive forensic system and repository to establish the origin of materials from homemade explosives and EDs. Finish the development of a forensic system that analyzes counterfeit identity and travel documents and links them to other criminal and terrorist incidents. Finalize production of a comprehensive set of procedures to analyze intex to validate that the documents are genuine. Complete the design of an easy-to-use kit for persons lacking technical training to collect post-blast residue evidence. Finish the development of a technology to visualize latent fingerprints based on novel human antibodies and nano-technology. Complete an interagency research, development, test, and evaluation strategy and roadmap for the federal investigative and forensic science community. Test and evaluate commercially available rapid DNA instruments for use in combating terrorism operations. Assess and develop an effective forensic microbial proteomic methodology for microbial supples to aid in source attribution. Produce and distribute to all US law enforcement agencies an updated, advanced version of a system that enables witnesses to identify the makes and models of automobiles involved in criminal and terrorist incidents. Initiate the development of a field-deployable prototype system for automated rapid	0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3:		y Support		
origin of heroin. Complete and validate a forensic protocol that calculates the age of a biodustain of its time of deposition at the	<ul> <li>C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)</li> <li>analyzes counterfeit documents used for identity and travel and links the docume of a comprehensive set of procedures to analyze inks to verify if documents are an easy-to-use kit to collect post-blast residue evidence by persons without text technology to visualize latent fingerprints based on novel human antibodies and interagency research, development, test, and evaluation strategy and roadmap community.</li> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Continue and complete development of an extensive forensic system and represent documents and links them to other criminal and terrorist incidents. Finalize presers to validate that the documents are genuine. Complete the dest technical training to collect post-blast residue evidence. Finish the development of analyze inks to validate that the documents are genuine. Complete ta interages and novel human antibodies and nano-technology. Complete an interage strategy and roadmap for the federal investigative and forensic science commrapid DNA instruments for use in combating terrorism operations. Assess and methodology for microbial samples to aid in source attribution. Produce and di updated, advanced version of a system that enables witnesses to identify the reminal and terrorist incidents. Initiate the development of a field-deployable of human DNA profiles using short tandem repeat loci. Initiate development of and sabotage. Start the development and validation of more productive and e persons for human intelligence collection in law enforcement and tactical envir poppy DNA methodology to determine the geographic origin of heroin. Start tha accurately the age of a bloodstain found at a terrorist incidence scene or its time of presenses to interviewing per violence, espionage, and sabotage from their visual, verbal, and behavioral cue of more productive and effective methods to determine the likelihood of per violence, espionage, and sabotage from their visual, verbal, and behavioral cue of</li></ul>	re genuine or counterfeit. Initiated the design of chnical training. Started the development of a not nano-technology. Initiated establishment of an p for the federal investigative and forensic science obsitory to establish the origin of materials from n that analyzes counterfeit identity and travel oduction of a comprehensive set of procedures ign of an easy-to-use kit for persons lacking ent of a technology to visualize latent fingerprints ency research, development, test, and evaluation unity. Test and evaluate commercially available develop an effective forensic microbial proteomic stribute to all US law enforcement agencies an makes and models of automobiles involved in prototype system for automated rapid processing advanced methods to analyze visual, verbal, er threat to commit physical violence, espionage, ffective methods of interrogating and interviewing ronments. Initiate establishment of a forensic opium he development of a forensic process to calculate ne of deposition.	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
	enforcement and tactical environments. Finalize a forensic opium poppy DNA	methodology that can determine the geographic			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603122D8Z / Combating Terrorism Technology	Support		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
scene. Initiate the development of advanced proteomic technology to provide f DNA analysis.	forensic information that cannot be established with			
<i>Title:</i> Irregular Warfare and Evolving Threats (IW/ET)		6.186	0.200	0.200
<b>Description:</b> U.S. Forces face a threat environment where irregular, state-sporadversaries armed with easy to employ precision weapons, global surveillance the operational and technical superiority of U.S. Conventional and Special Operogressively blur the boundaries between conventional and irregular warfare. through rapid, adaptive demonstration of novel operational concepts so that concapabilities before a conflict begins must be a primary goal.	e and networking will have the capability to undercut erations Forces. These evolving threats will Offering foresight about disruptions of this nature			
The IW/ET subgroup develops new concepts and capabilities for warfighters a complexity of the current operational environment, while simultaneously lookin shape and develop their forces. In accordance with the QDR's emphasis on pr wide range of contingencies, IW/ET will engage in operational assessment, co unique prototype capabilities to identify, confront and defeat evolving threats.	g outward rather than inward to appropriately size, eparation to defeat adversaries and succeed in a			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Initiated "Operate to Know" experimentation roadmap to develop an initial intel field activity based intelligence tactics, techniques and procedures, coupled wi agitation, sensing and targeting. Initiated a classified research project in respo focused open-source information and analysis. Under a project known as Nigh persona management capability with members of the Counter Terrorism Strate effort provides critical test and evaluation for spiraled operational deployment of capabilities and objectives.	th a unique "ISR-Playbook" for threat network nse to specific SOF customer needs for vetted, itingale, fielded a prototype digital content and egic Communication community of practice. This			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Demonstrate "Operate to Know" live test at Trident Spectre 2014, proving the a exploitation and dissemination to find, track and target threat organizations and in response to specific SOF customer needs for vetted, focused open-source i classified customer and mission set as a spiral from Project Nightingale. In organd with other forces, initiate a new project to develop within specific SOF com intelligence capacity and capability to their host/partner-nation counterparts tha TTPs. Initiate new efforts to map out threat ecosystem to understand mega-cit threat ecosystem in terms of its sanctuary, lines of communication, financing new project of the specific of	d individuals. Complete a classified research project nformation and analysis. Field pilot program with der to enhance SOF ability to work through, by munity members a capability to develop human at does not disclose U.S. tradecraft or intelligence ties and the phenomenon of the megalopolis as a			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603122D8Z / Combating Terrorism Technology	v Support		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	]	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
assessment of SOF and Departmental-level, next-generation influence and inf analysis, concept design and rapid draft pilot-course or seminar execution. Co UW Operating Concept. Convene Whole of Government Next Generation and specific domains, critical vulnerabilities and functional threat areas to enhance to draft novel whole of government responses.	onduct "Red Cell" activities in support of USASOC Evolving Threats working group, focused on			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Transition "Operate to Know" capability, TTPs, and activity-based intelligence a level institution. Transition enhanced capabilities proven under Project Nightin organization. Expand on the work achieved through the experimentation under developing innovative intelligence partnerships with our partners through an in incorporates intelligence collection, planning, fusion and analysis for partnered terror mission sets. Continue to test, evaluate and field efforts that developed r understand mega-cities and megalopolis as a threat ecosystem in terms of its mechanisms, and media ecosystem. Deliver a net-assessment of SOF and De information related capabilities that was developed through operational analys seminar execution. Complete "Red Cell" activities in support of USASOC UW development and refinement of the ARSOF Operating Concept. Initiate multiple next-generation information related capabilities (IRCs) and ass pursue capabilities identified in IW/ET's FY14 net-assessment of next-gen IO a	ngale and its classified spiral to end-user r the SOF-specific exportable HUMINT by further tegrated Exportable Intelligence Capability that d counter-transnational organized crime and counter- novel concepts to map out threat ecosystem to sanctuary, lines of communication, financing epartmental-level, next-generation influence and is, concept design and rapid draft pilot-course or Operating Concept. These efforts will feed in to the sociated technical means that advance concepts and			
Title: PERSONNEL PROTECTION	•	11.567	8.757	7.893
<b>Description:</b> The Personnel Protection Subgroup's objective is to develop new improve the protection of personnel. Projects focus on putting innovative tools systems, communication devices, tagging, tracking and locating devices, mobility vehicle protection equipment in the hands of personnel.	such as automated information management			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Developed systems to enhance situational awareness, intelligence collection of delivered for operational evaluation and deployment. Developed a mobile surv and streams multi-channel video and audio with associated GPS position infor situational awareness and incidence response. Enhanced flight performance of real-time situational awareness for individuals, and delivered to military and law Designed a capability that activates a vehicle tracking, tagging, and locating de multifunctional earpiece that provides in ear hearing protection as well the ability	reillance platform that captures, records, encrypts, mation to a command center for enhanced of a micro unmanned aerial system that provides w enforcement personnel for operational evaluation. evice upon detection of a blast. Developed a			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603122D8Z / Combating Terrorism Technology	Support		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
blast or blunt impact events. Designed and validated body armor materials with techniques to assess brain injury using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) an monitor neurochemical biomarkers for post traumatic stress disorder and mild deformation tool and analysis for the development of protective solutions for very distributed a training package to instruct senior officials who are not appointed and their families. Developed and delivered a portable system for vehicle prot the performance and safety capabilities of alternative fuel vehicles used in law Designed and delivered a novel vehicle armor solution to be deployed on alter of a vehicle's internal network against a range of potential threats. Designed a fragmentation effects of a behind the wall improvised explosive device. Resch development a tethered aerial platform for enhanced situational awareness an	d magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) to traumatic brain injury. Developed a whole body ehicles, ships, and buildings. Developed and a protection detail how to protect themselves ection in crowds. Delivered analysis report on enforcement and protective services operations. native fuel vehicles. Assessed the vulnerability a mobile blast mitigation barrier that mitigates the reduled as an FY14 competitive contract award, the			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Develop and deliver systems to enhance situational awareness, intelligence conserved of the systems to enhance situational awareness, intelligence conserved of the systems to enhance situational awareness, intelligence conserved of the system of the systems to enhance situational awareness. Deliver a whole body deformation tool and analyse vehicles, ships, and buildings. Deploy the mobile surveillance platform to gain man-portable assets. Design and develop a wireless tactical communications enhanced situational awareness and communication capabilities. Develop conserved a truly concealable armor system that provides rifle threat protection. Develop rifle protection. Enhance automated exploitation algorithms for light detection personnel tracking and locating system for use within structures. Analyze the vehicles to determine their feasibility for protection operations. Develop a capability is in austere environments.	ing device upon detection of a blast. Deliver a ity to collect pressure and acceleration data during sis for the development of protective solutions for situational awareness from moving platforms and headset. Develop a tethered aerial platform for unter unmanned aerial vehicle capabilities. Develop a novel lightweight armor material that provides and ranging data. Develop a three dimensional performance of armored hybrid and fuel efficient			
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> Develop and deliver systems to enhance situational awareness, intelligence conserved on the efforts. Deliver a wireless tactical communications headset. Test and evaluate awareness and communication capabilities. Test and evaluate counter unmar concealable armor system that provides rifle threat protection. Validate a nove protection. Deliver automated exploitation algorithms for light detection and rappersonnel tracking and locating system for use within structures. Analyze the	e tethered aerial platform for enhanced situational ned aerial vehicle capabilities. Validate a truly el lightweight armor material that provides rifle nging data. Test and evaluate a three dimensional			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: M	arch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603122D8Z / Combating Terrorism Technolog	y Support		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
vehicles to determine their feasibility for protection operations. Test and evalu operational use in austere environments.	late a capability for local data storage of maps for			
Title: PHYSICAL SECURITY		8.153	11.977	8.07
<ul> <li>Description: Develop capabilities to address physical security vulnerabilities civilian personnel; domestic security and first responder personnel; and U.S. rapidly transition those capabilities to the users.</li> <li>Focus technology development efforts in support of joint and interagency requat U.S. embassies and consulates, at mass transportation and commerce nor support of large scale public gatherings.</li> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> </ul>	Government facilities in the U.S. and abroad; and irements that are directed along the U.S. borders,			
Developed experimentally validated decision support tool to assist pre-event, permanent, or permanent facilities. Initiated database and Vulnerability Assess program on blast response against multi-layered systems and Forward Opera Coordinated design standards with appropriate government agencies for incre- blast models for urban environments to include novel explosives. Completed a Developed a comprehensive homemade explosives database with multiple lee for the intelligence and technology community regarding novel explosives three Improvised Explosive Devices (IED) Working Group Roadmap that coordinate collaboration and consolidation of ongoing parallel and complimentary efforts Developed the DoD/Interagency Draft Protocols on Homemade Explosives (H consolidated testing and measurement standards as the common guidelines for Continued development a first responder guide for desensitizing homemade explosed Developed a man-portable Bandolier line charge system to disrupt a path of explosive metallic/metallic buried IED threats. Developed a rapidly and easily deployable observation/surveillance system. Developed a mobile, man-portable persister monitoring a large panoramic area, automatically detecting and tracking huma swimmer detection technology based on an electro-optical sensor. Demonstr night vision capabilities to austere outposts. Initiated a modular air-droppable wire sensor and electro-optical, IR camera sensors. Globally supported site se scale events public gatherings. Completed development of a system for dete enhanced video assessment and tracking techniques. Operationally tested at	sment and Protection Option (VAPO) development ting Bases to improve protection capabilities. eased force protection. Experimentally validated six a non-ideal explosive equivalency methodology. vels of access. Developed decision support aids east. Developed an International Homemade es and aligns communities of interest to facilitate of over 40 agencies and 5 International partners. IME) Safety and Performance Testing which for interagency and International organizations. explosives using commonly carried materials. arth with the intent of exposing or disrupting non- e and recoverable self-contained security and video nt surveillance system that is capable of continuously an-size targets. Continued development of a ated and delivered a system that provides enhanced force protection kit that includes mini-radar, trip ecurity implementation and execution and large ction of rocket attacks. Completed development of			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603122D8Z / Combating Terrorism Technology	' Support		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
(SWIR) capability for use in tactical environments to detect human intrusion in integrated test facility for technology demonstrations and pre-operational testing	•			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Improve model capability by experimentally validating effects of blast wave pro- environment. Develop a fast-running, CHINOOK-based computational tool to a personnel in predictive blast analysis in an urban environment. Develop in the testing to reinforce critical infrastructure design for mitigated and unmitigated b counter measure decision aids regarding ultra-high performance concrete. Co- database. Develop decision support aids for the intelligence and technology of Develop a tool for an understanding of TNT equivalency that will provide opera personnel and infrastructure. Develop forced-entry/blast resistant doors to supp of a comprehensive homemade explosives database with multiple levels of acc IED Working Group Roadmap that communities of interest to facilitate collabor complementary efforts. Complete development of an HME desensitization gui evaluate a man-portable Bandolier line charge system to disrupt a path of earth metallic/metallic buried IED threats. Develop enhanced video assessment and an integrated test facility for technology demonstrations and pre-operational te- surveillance system for covert emplacement and enhanced tracking of suspicio a security system with a camera observation system and a sensor alarm syste installation. Globally support site security implementation and execution of larg Deliver and evaluate a system for detection of rocket attacks. Develop automat to maneuver in rough terrain, for on-the-move, standoff IED detection and for s Develop a modular air-droppable force protection kit that includes mini-radar, the sensor. Develop a temporary anti-personnel barrier system. Developed IR-ba for enhanced detection of explosive and weapon threats in operational environ designed to stop vehicles over a short distance. Commercialize an Advanced swimmers. Develop a remote control adjustable charge capable of deployment defined IED threats. Deliver a multi-purpose advanced tactical timer. Evaluate based on electro-optical sensors to provide situational awareness for	issist DoD, city planners and first responder ater culvert retrofit technology. Initiate explosive wrick tunnels. Develop protection capabilities and mplete the web-enabled Blast Information Systems ommunity regarding novel explosives threats. Intional forces necessary information for protecting port US facilities abroad. Conduct user evaluation cess. Implement an International Homemade ation and consolidation of ongoing parallel and de for first responders. Operationally test and h with the intent of exposing or disrupting non- tracking techniques. Complete construction of sting. Develop and field test a portable persistent ous activity. Complete development and transition of m coupled in an integrated package for concealable ge scale events/large scale public gatherings. atic target recognition and improved gimbal control, stand-off underground void and tunnel detection. rip wire sensor and electro-optical/IR camera used detection system with automatic focus to allow ments. Test and evaluate tactical arresting systems Diver Data Display System prototype for combat t by mobile platforms to effectively neutralize a swimmer/small vessel detection technology urity and open water operations. Test and evaluate heluding SEAL Delivery Vehicle (SDV) operations.			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	/ Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603122D8Z / Combating Terrorism Technology Support			
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
short distance. Deliver a remote control adjustable charge capable of deployr defined IED threats. Demonstrate a tool for an understanding of TNT equival information for protecting personnel and infrastructure. Develop decision aids regarding novel explosives threats. Test explosives effects in an urban environ decision aide capabilities and results required by first responders for events a experimentally validated best practice guidelines for the use of Ultra High Per protective use, and vulnerability assessments. Evaluate a swimmer/small vest sensors to provide situational awareness for port security and open water oper detect and neutralize Unmanned Aerial Vehicles. Deliver a technology protot stand-off underground void and tunnel detection and mapping. Support coord efforts.	ency that will provide operational forces necessary for the intelligence and technology community nment to include Historic Masonry to form ind military engineers for retrofit solutions. Develop formance Concrete and improve tools for design, its sel detection technology based on Electro Optical erations. Develop technologies and methods to ype for on-the-move, standoff IED detection and for			
Title: SURVEILLANCE, COLLECTION AND OPERATIONS SUPPORT		21.207	14.974	11.07
<b>Description:</b> Identify high-priority user requirements and special technology is through offensive operations. Enhance US intelligence capabilities to conduct capabilities and support available to terrorists. <b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b>	t retaliatory or preemptive operations and reduce the			
Evaluated commercially available pseudo explosive training odors to replicate dog training. Transitioned a video triage system with media extraction and co operations. Delivered an interactive software application that provides the ca study on specific skill sets, from a computer, in preparation for a specific threat existing foreign language applications, practices, and tools into a tactical site structure, and training programs to leverage information operations, sensitive Forces missions. Designed custom force tracking applications.	ellection processes to allow for rapid triage in field pability for an individual to be tested and/or self- at or operational mission. Adapted and integrated exploitation capability. Enhanced capability, force			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Expand Special Operations Forces training programs to leverage Cyber-Ward capabilities. Construct template-based lessons for language learning, area and Chinese, and Spanish to easily update students, instructors, and personnel update students.	d regional studies, and media analysis for Arabic,			

hibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)         PE 0603122D8Z / Combating Terrorism Technology Support			
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) and personal information to include social networks, public, and private databa novel communication relay nodes.	ases. Utilize Unmanned Aerial Vehicles platforms as	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Develop an enhanced capability to leverage Cyber Operational Preparation of surveillance capabilities. Export template-based lessons and activities to a va beyond the classroom. Deliver standardized canine explosive scent training k into existing fielded technologies. Integrate public and databases into a single and personal information. Continue to work with Unmanned Aerial Vehicles to communication relays. Develop and enhance research and technology to ass Develop cyber-related tools for the timely collection of intelligence and evidence prosecution, and theatre-wide exploitation of tactical intelligence.	riety of mobile devices for continuous learning its. Transition customized force tracking capabilities user interface application to protect privacy reduce payloads for effective and efficient ist analysts with biometric intelligence and reporting.			
Title: TACTICAL OPERATIONS SUPPORT		16.877	26.094	10.192
<b>Description:</b> The Tactical Operations Support subgroup mission is to identify, development projects that enhance the capabilities of DoD and Interagency sp fixing, and finishing terrorists. This includes support to state and local law enform the development focus is enabling small units of dominance by providing state Systems; Intelligence, Surveillance, Target Acquisition, and Reconnaissance S Access Systems; Survivability Systems; Unconventional Warfare /Counter-Inst	becial operations tactical teams engaged in finding, brocement agencies to combat domestic terrorism. e of the art overmatch capacities in: Communication Systems (ISTARS); Offensive Systems; Specialized			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Completed development of a specialized application for commercially available that reports and disseminates incidents to U.S. Border Patrol agents enabling and seizures via geo-rectified text messages, pictures and full motion video. It tactical mesh network system that provides a self-healing, ad hoc mesh network (voice and data) utilizing an Android platform and applications. Delivered a lig secure voice and secure high speed data services to at least 16 users simultar force commander as to the status of his deployed sniper teams, to include still organic radio links. Completed final stages of development of a system of clip imager devices that operate in both near and short wave infrared spectra. Init capable of negotiating rugged terrain and climbing complex obstacles for visuar missions and to identify and defeat improvised explosive devices. Delivered a integrated and worn in civilian clothing. Delivered a hand emplaced, remotely system that has an integrated power supply and SATCOM/Cellular data link.	rapid response and increased interdictions, arrests nitiated and began completion of a next generation rk for the transmission of real-time communications htweight organic cell phone network that provides neously. Delivered a system that will alert a ground video of shooter's visual on target, in real-time over -on small arms illumination, pointing and infrared iated and delivered a micro tactical ground robot al and acoustic surveillance and reconnaissance tactical audio video collection and recording system operated, real-time, tactical visual surveillance			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603122D8Z / Combating Terrorism Technology	/ Support		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
cooperatively with integrated guidance and situational awareness sensors for the ability to interface with multiple payloads to cover a wide range of tactical r capability for the detection of concealed weapons. Initiated development of a unmanned aerial system with a secure mobile ad-hoc network data-link that is seconds. Delivered a mobile-mesh network enabled Trojan Scout Unmanned an enhanced organic capability to identify threat networks in remote environme Delivered a fused thermal and image intensified clip-on small arms night visior miniature, highly maneuverable and rugged robotic system capable of being c a secure mobile ad-hoc network communications to improve small tactical teal lightweight, modular handheld intelligence, surveillance, target acquisition, rec sniper rifle with all components measuring less than 16.5 inches. Generated i 6.8mm x 43 round optimized for military applications, in order to determine the operations compared to 5.56mm and 7.62mm designs. Delivered a mobile mo vehicle with an integrated Fire Control System that provides rapid and accurat 81mm mortars and ammunition. Delivered an upper receiver group that provid to suppression of both sound and flash compared to the current U.S. standard and kit to SOF and select interagency tactical operations snipers to improve lo range of 1,800 meters. Initiated development of a small, weapon rail mounted to provide snipers with high resolution thermal imagery to conduct target intero distances out to 1,800 meters. Initiated and delivered social media training an of the environment, operational surety and force protection. Initiated and deliv cyber program of instruction. Delivered a comprehensive reference source to available and proven breaching methods, tools, and tactics as they apply in a and reference books on activities and motives of specific countries and threat thermal camouflage system. Delivered ballistic protective tactical eyewear cap amber, blue, and dark gray for use in dynamic lighting environments in comba	nissions. Delivered a real-time, standoff imaging single man-portable, collapsible-wing tactical micro capable of being hand-launched in under 60 Aerial system providing dismounted operators with ents with safe stand-off from potential adversaries. In weapons sight. Initiated development of a ontrolled by an Android-based controller with m ISR. Nearly completed development of a onnaissance system. Delivered a concealable internal flight and terminal ballistic data on the suitability of an intermediate caliber for combat ortar targeting system mounted on a non-standard e indirect fire solutions using legacy U.S. standard des a significant reduction in size and improvement M4 rifle. Provided program of instruction training ing range target interdiction at a maximum effective l, un-cooled long wave infrared detector system diction operations effectively and efficiently at d awareness course for tactical user preparation ered a spiral development defensive tactical level summarize the performance characteristics of maritime environment. Delivered in-depth analysis subjects of interest. Delivered a tactical visual and pable of near instantaneous transition from clear to			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Deliver a specialized application for commercially available smart phones providisseminates incidents to U.S. Border Patrol agents enabling rapid response a via geo-rectified text messages, pictures and full motion video. Deliver a next provides a self-healing, ad hoc mesh network for the transmission of real-time Android platform and applications. Initiate a hand-held ruggedized operator commaritime small tactical unmanned platforms compatible with Android and Wind	and increased interdictions, arrests and seizures generation tactical mesh network system that communications (voice and data) utilizing an ontrol unit capable of operating ground, aerial,			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603122D8Z / Combating Terrorism Technology	/ Support		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
clip-on small arms illumination, pointing and infrared imager devices that opera Deliver an upgraded micro tactical ground robot capable of negotiating rugged and acoustic surveillance and reconnaissance missions and to identify and der robotics platform which works cooperatively with integrated guidance and situa acoustic information and with the ability to interface with multiple payloads to of spiral development of an enhanced real-time, standoff imaging capability for the single man-portable, collapsible-wing tactical micro unmanned aerial system w is capable of being hand-launched in under 60 seconds. Deliver a miniature, f capable of being controlled by an Android-based controller with a secure mobi tactical team ISR. Deliver a lightweight, modular handheld intelligence, surveil Enhance and upgrade intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance payloads (Shadow UAS) programs and select tactical interagency aviation platforms. In collapsible wing small unmanned aerial system. Initiate development of a two- of detecting and tracking low-radar cross section objects such as small unman Initiate development of a rapidly-deployable tethered aerial ISR system that is redeployed from a tactical all-terrain vehicle or light duty non-standard truck. If system that increases overall effectiveness and efficiency in combat operation Nearly complete a small, weapon rail mounted, un-cooled long wave infrared of resolution thermal imagery to conduct target interdiction operations effectively Initiate development of a weapon mounted rangefinder and ballistic engine to i issued combat rifles and machine guns. Initiate development of an organic sm that consists of a sophisticated, stabilized sensor that can detect and designat guided munitions payload. Deliver social media training and awareness cours operational surety and force protection. Complete a spiral development defen Deliver advanced technologies for improved full spectrum open communication point target intelligence, surveillance, and target acquisition capabiliti	terrain and climbing complex obstacles for visual feat improvised explosive devices. Deliver a titional awareness sensors for collecting visual and over a wide range of tactical missions. Initiate e detection of concealed weapons. Deliver a tith a secure mobile ad-hoc network data-link that highly maneuverable and rugged robotic system le ad-hoc network communications to improve small lance, target acquisition, reconnaissance system. currently being used in SOF, US Army, USMC itiate development of a maritime canister launched man back-packable aerial radar system capable ned aerial systems and manned ultralight aircraft. transported, launched, operated, recovered and Develop and demonstrate intermediate caliber s as alternative to 5.56mm and 7.62mm designs. letector system to provide snipers with high and efficiently at distances out to 1,800 meters. ncrease the maximum effective range of currently hall tactical team offensive system capability e targets for an integrated, lightweight laser e for tactical user preparation of the environment, sive tactical level cyber program of instruction. ns, specialized access, close target reconnaissance, ntain small unit dominance of Special Warfare apable of near instantaneous transition from clear pat operations. Initiate development of a discrete, netical operators. Initiate development of a visibly e discrete marking of structures or mobility platforms			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	propriation/Budget Activity       R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)         00: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3:       PE 0603122D8Z I Combating Terrorism Technology Support		Date: March 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)					
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
to inspect ship hulls, piers, and surface-level maritime structures for explosive compatible with currently fielded dive masks.	e devices without the use of visible light that is				
<b>FY 2015</b> <i>Plans:</i> Deliver a hand-held ruggedized operator control unit capable of operating group latforms compatible with Android and Windows 7 operating systems. Deliver of negotiating rugged terrain and climbing complex obstacles for visual and a and to identify and defeat improvised explosive devices. Deliver a robotics product and to identify and defeat improvised explosive devices. Deliver a robotics product and to identify and defeat improvised explosive devices. Deliver a robotics product and situational awareness sensors for collecting visual and acousting multiple payloads to cover a wide range of tactical missions. Deliver an enhat the detection of concealed weapons. Deliver a single man-portable, collapsit with a secure mobile ad-hoc network data-link that is capable of being hand-l and upgraded intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance payloads curren UAS) programs and select tactical interagency aviation platforms. Deliver a runmanned aerial system. Deliver a two-man back-packable aerial radar syst cross section objects such as small unmanned aerial systems and manned u deployable tethered aerial ISR system that is transported, launched, operated terrain vehicle or light duty non-standard truck. Deliver an intermediate caliber and efficiency in combat operations as alternative to 5.56mm and 7.62mm de cooled long wave infrared detector system to provide snipers with high resolu operations effectively and efficiently at distances out to 1,800 meters. Delive to increase the maximum effective range of currently issued combat rifles and an organic small tactical team offensive system capability that consists of a s designate targets for an integrated, lightweight laser guided munitions payloa transparent material capable of being detected with night vision devices for the in operational environments. Deliver an underwater vision enhancement han piers, and surface-level maritime structures for explosive devices without the fielded dive masks. Title: TRAINING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	er an upgraded micro tactical ground robot capable coustic surveillance and reconnaissance missions latform which works cooperatively with integrated c information and with the ability to interface with unced real-time, standoff imaging capability for ole-wing tactical micro unmanned aerial system aunched in under 60 seconds. Deliver enhanced tly being used in SOF, US Army, USMC (Shadow maritime canister launched collapsible wing small em capable of detecting and tracking low-radar ltralight aircraft. Initiate development of a rapidly- d, recovered and redeployed from a tactical all- er system that increases overall effectiveness esigns. Deliver a small, weapon rail mounted, un- tion thermal imagery to conduct target interdiction r a weapon mounted rangefinder and ballistic engine d machine guns. Nearly complete development of ophisticated, stabilized sensor that can detect and d. Deliver advanced technologies for improved aissance, point target intelligence, surveillance, I Warfare tactical teams deployed globally. Deliver identifying tactical operators. Deliver a visibly ne discrete marking of structures or mobility platforms ds-free device that allows divers to inspect ship hulls,	7.189	6.459	5.55	

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secreta	ry Of Defense	Date: N	/larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603122D8Z / Combating Terrorism Technology	/ Support		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Description:</b> The TTD Subgroup's objective is to provide SOF, DoD, and the response, R&D process and SME resource for increasing readiness for tome focuses on immersive simulations; augmented reality; advanced training correnvironments; and mobile technology.	orrow's threats. To meet this objective, the subgroup			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Designed and developed a program required to implement and evaluate a trimovement and target acquisition skills. Installed and evaluated an immersiveline and military free fall emergency procedures. Design and developed a P embassy security skills. Increased the existing Minigun simulator system's f and added hardware features. Developed interagency advanced training to models and a training capability for EOD technicians and first responders that minimal risk of injury from overpressure and blast fragmentation caused by I mobile application to train features and functions of non-standard and foreign and simulation exercises on the topic of sensitive site exploitation (SSE) different approaches to SSE for collectors, analysts, and operators. Developed an in military personnel on the topic of sensitive site exploitation. Developed a 3D who received training on the Gas Chromatography - Mass Spectrometer (GC for a digital interactive visual dictionary (DIVD) and user training module to b cadre role players and students to interact with data visually to increase and Designed a decision path to assist the operational mobile learning communities benefit from a mobile learning solution. Developed a laser based dry-fire trainesetting trigger rather than the need to rack the slide or bolt between shots, Implemented and evaluated technology designed to enhance visual acuity s and evaluated a validated negotiations model to develop negotiation skills in browser-based simulation for military and emergency response personnel or in various common environments. FY 2014 Plans: Evaluate a program designed to improve a soldier's kinetic eye movement a OCONUS evaluation. Develop a browser-based simulation for military and emergency response personnel or in various common environments. In enhance visual acuity skillsets with military users. Complete enhancements validated negotiations model to develop negotiation skills in high stakes situation for military and energency aprovements	ve parachute simulation system for practicing static C-based simulation tool to train technical and tactical fidelity through enhanced graphics, improved sound, enhance the skills of undercover operators. Designed at identify safe areas/distances to perform duties with EDs and breaching charges. Initiate and design a n weapons. Developed computer-based training erentiating between the basic, tactical, and ideal structor-led, non-AOR specific training package for 0, interactive performance support app to assist users C-MS). Analyzed and designed a software solution be used in an environment that allows instructor l enforce learning, retention, and recall capabilities. ty to determine which, if any, portion of an effort would atining tool which includes shot indicating lasers and a for the M-4 rifle, M-9 Beretta Brigadier, and Glock 19. killsets with tactical law enforcement users. Applied a high stakes situations. Analyzed and designed a n the topic of chemical agent detection and response			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretar	y Of Defense	Date: M	arch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	A 3: PE 0603122D8Z / Combating Terrorism Technology Support			
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
additional immersive parachute simulation system for practicing military free and evaluation of a PC-based simulation tool to train technical and tactical er and a training capability for EOD technicians and first responders that identify risk of injury from overpressure and blast fragmentation caused by IEDs and mobile application to train features and functions of non-standard and foreign interactive visual dictionary (DIVD) and user training module to be used in an and students to interact with data visually to increase and enforce learning, re- video-based simulator training scenarios to enhance situational awareness a law enforcement personnel during and immediately following incident respon interface and additional 3D virtual target and range models to support advance risk reduction for military personnel. Design a system of systems that integra information and technology to predict and enhance human physical performance simulation training system for developing and maintaining long range shootin	nbassy security skills. Develop and validate models y safe areas/distances to perform duties with minimal breaching charges. Develop software models and a weapons. Develop a software solution for a digital environment that allows instructor cadre role players etention, and recall capabilities. Develop interactive, nd decision-making for novice and experienced se. Analyze and design an advanced game engine ced simulation training for mission readiness and thes psychological, physiological, and behavioral nce. Analyze, design, and develop a live fire targetry			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Develop and evaluate an advanced game engine interface and additional 3D simulation training for mission readiness and risk reduction for military person simulation training system for developing and maintaining long range shootin systems that integrates psychological, physiological, and behavioral informat physical performance. Design and develop a computer-based training for law awareness and decision-making during, and immediately following, incident r simulation that enables training for the tactical employment of task organized combat transnational organized crime. Develop and evaluate a course on the for civilian law enforcement. Develop a Digital Interactive Survival, Evasion, provide a digital interactive Survival training environment for Service member environment. Design and develop a technology research, integration, and desimulation technology and training methodology, integrate dissimilar separate training and simulation architecture, and demonstrate the integrated simulation architecture, and mounted on an aerial work play marksmanship training.	nnel. Implement and evaluate a live fire targetry g skill sets. Implement and evaluate a system of ion and technology to predict and enhance human v enforcement personnel to enhance situational esponse. Design and develop a system and forces to conduct operations supporting efforts to e topic of 802.11 standards and signature reduction and Recovery Manual (SERE Manual) App that will s to prepare for operations in a counter-terrorism evelopment test bed to optimize current shooting e systems to form a common, scalable, SOF on benefits to the SOF warfighter. Design, develop,			
		108.245	100.754	69.67

PE 0603122D8Z: Combating Terrorism Technology Support Office of Secretary Of Defense

Volume 3 - 137

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secreta	it R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603122D8Z / Combating Terrorism Technology St	ipport
D. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)		
<u>Remarks</u>		
E. Acquisition Strategy		
N/A		
F. Performance Metrics		
N/A		

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificat	tion: PB 20	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te Advanced Technology Developme		ation, Defen	se-Wide I E		<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603133D8Z / Foreign Comparative Testing							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	-	-	30.000	-	30.000	15.363	15.290	15.449	17.726	Continuing	Continuing
P313: Foreign Comparative Testing	-	-	-	30.000	-	30.000	15.363	15.290	15.449	17.726	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### <u>Note</u>

Rapid Fielding (RF) and Comparative Test is being recast with a focus on operational and developmental prototypes derived from evaluation of foreign equipment and products that will provide the United States Armed Services and Special Operations Command (SOCOM) capabilities to counter emerging threats. The Foreign Comparative Testing (FCT) program will increase its focus on finding and leveraging foreign technology solutions that affordably extend the life of existing military platforms/capabilities, and enhance interoperability with foreign partners and between services. FCT's broad reach across our allies and friendly foreign countries will enable finding and developing innovative, cost effective, and potentially interoperable solutions for the DoD, Multi-Service and Combatant Command (COCOM) priority requirements.

In FY 2015, Foreign Comparative Testing (FCT) funding from Program Element (PE) 0605130D8Z is being realigned to PE 0603133D8Z for Budget Activity alignment and emphasis on prototypes.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Foreign Comparative Testing (FCT) program supports the warfighter by leveraging technologies and equipment from allied nations and coalition partners to satisfy U.S. defense requirements, thereby accelerating the United Sates acquisition process and lowering development costs. The FCTs enhance interoperability, facilitate international collaboration, expand opportunities for prototyping to increase competition in innovation and enable more efficient and affordable transition of technologies into acquisition programs of record. Authorized by Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 2350a (g), the FCT program is managed by the Office of Secretary of Defense (Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (DASD) Rapid Fielding), Comparative Technology Office (CTO). The FCT projects are sponsored by the Services and SOCOM. Evaluation processes for project selection include a detailed review to confirm the proposed item addresses valid requirements and DoD priorities, a thorough market survey, and development of a viable acquisition strategy.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 O	ffice of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	Date: March 2014			
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-W Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	Vide I BA 3:	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603133D8Z / Foreign Comparative Testing						
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total			
Previous President's Budget	-	-	-	-	-			
Current President's Budget	-	-	30.000	-	30.000			
Total Adjustments	-	-	30.000	-	30.000			
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-						
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-						
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-						
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-						
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-						
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-						
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-						
<ul> <li>Transfer from PE 0605130D8Z</li> </ul>	-	-	21.285	-	21.285			
<ul> <li>DoD Priorities and Requirements</li> </ul>	-	-	8.715	-	8.715			

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

FY 2015: Increase of \$30.000 million reflects the \$21.285 million realignment from PE 0605130D8Z and the \$8.175 million provided to support DoD priorities and requirements for prototypes designed to counter current and emerging cross-domain (air, space, sea, cyber, etc.) threats that affect Joint and Coalition operations.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project J	ustification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	)efense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3					R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)					(Number/Name) Foreign Comparative Testing		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P313: Foreign Comparative Testing	-	-	-	30.000	-	30.000	15.363	15.290	15.449	17.726	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Foreign Comparative Testing (FCT) program supports the warfighter by leveraging advanced technologies and equipment from allied nations and coalition partners to satisfy U.S. defense requirements, thereby accelerating the U.S. acquisition process and lowering development costs. The FCTs enhance interoperability, facilitate international collaboration, expand opportunities for prototyping and enable more efficient and affordable transition of technologies into acquisition programs of record. Authorized by Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 2350a(g), the FCT program is managed by the Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD), Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (DASD) Rapid Fielding (RF), Comparative Technology Office (CTO). The FCT projects are sponsored by the Services and U.S. Special Operations Command (USSOCOM). Evaluation processes for project selection include a detailed review to confirm the proposed item addresses valid requirements and DoD priorities, a thorough market survey, and development of a viable acquisition strategy.

Since the program's inception in 1980, OSD has initiated 671 projects; 619 projects have been completed to date. Of the 324 evaluations that met the sponsors' requirements, 252 led to procurements worth approximately \$11.000 billion in FY 2013 constant year dollars. With an OSD investment of about \$1.170 billion, the FCT Program realized an estimated research, development, test, and evaluation (RDT&E) cost avoidance of \$7.800 billion in FY 2013 constant year dollars.

The FCT program is a catalyst for teaming and other business relationships between foreign and U.S. industries. Many successful FCT projects result in the licensed production of the qualified foreign item in the United States. Other nations recognize the long-term value of such practices for competing in the United States defense market and the resultant strengthening of the "two-way street" in Defense procurement. The result often means the creation of jobs and contributions to local economies throughout the United States. To date, companies across 33 states benefited from FCT projects.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Lightweight M3A1 Recoilless Rifle (Army)	-	-	1.700
<b>Description:</b> The current M3 Carl Gustaf Recoilless Rifle was introduced to US forces in 1991. The original version used a thin steel barrel liner containing the rifling, strengthened by a carbon fiber outer sleeve. External steel parts were eventually replaced with aluminum alloys or plastics thereby reducing the weapon weight from 36 pounds to 21 pounds. At 21 pounds the weapon is extremely heavy, especially when also carrying 84mm ammunition. This M3A1 project will eliminate 6 pounds (28 percent) from the existing system by replacing various components (bolt, trigger, venturi, and ancillary parts) without changing the firing procedures, operations or ammunition. Since the weapon without going through a costly and time consuming process to test,			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense	Date: N	larch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3		Project (Number/Name) P313 / Foreign Comparative Testing			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
qualify, and re-certify an entirely new modernized weapon system. This is cont FY 2014 in PE 0605130D8Z.	inuing project which was previously funded durin	g			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Continue hardware testing, developmental testing and operational testing. Writ determine an acquisition decision.	te an evaluation report, the closeout report, and				
Title: Mobile Gunnery Live Fire Monitoring System (MGLFMS) (Navy)		-	-	1.000	
<b>Description:</b> The project will test and evaluate a Tank and Infantry Fighting Ve transmits live audio and video feeds of weapon systems data to a mobile monit to evaluate crew functions, make instantaneous corrections, and provides recorrection data has shown that training with this system significantly increases protarget. The system also provides an essential tool for instructors to evaluate an analytical abilities that encompasses all the gunnery skills required for accurate (NATO) allies currently train with this same equipment. This is continuing proje PE 0605130D8Z.	oring station. The MGLFMS enables instructors rding capability for detailed after action reviews. obability of gunnery crews placing first round on nd make the necessary feedback to ensure the e fire. Several North Atlantic Treaty Organization				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Receive Phase I test articles during 1Q FY 2015. Initiate Phase I Technical Technical I test articles during 3Q FY 2015. Initiate Phase II Performance Testing Evaluation during 4Q FY 2015.					
Title: Minor Resource Projects:		-	-	3.150	
<b>Description:</b> Multifunctional Information Distribution System (MIDS) Joint Tacti Amplifier (RFA) (Navy); Energy Absorbing Material for Improved Blunt Impact/E Defense (CND) Advanced Persistent Threat (APT) Detection (Navy); H-1 Crash (Navy); Rapid Airfield Damage Assessment System (RADAS) (Air Force); Elect Special Operations Command (USSOCOM)); and Enhanced Optical and Trans previously funded during FY 2014 in PE 0605130D8Z.	Blunt Trauma Protection (Army); Computer Netw n-resistant, Ballistic-tolerant, Fuel Cell Qualificati tronic Underwater Navigation (United States	on			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> The following projects will finalize testing, receive articles, and complete reporti Distribution System (MIDS) Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) Radio Frequen Improved Blunt Impact/Blunt Trauma Protection; Computer Network Defense (C	ncy Amplifier (RFA); Energy Absorbing Material f	or			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secret	etary Of Defense	Dat	e: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603133D8Z <i>I Foreign Comparative</i> <i>Testing</i>	e P313 / Foreign Comparative Testing				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 201	3 FY 2014	FY 2015		
(Navy); H-1 Crash-resistant, Ballistic-tolerant, Fuel Cell Qualification; F Electronic Underwater Navigation; and Enhanced Optical and Transce						
Title: Foreign Comparative Testing (FCT) FY 2015 Focal Areas: Force	e Application			9.750		
<b>Description:</b> FCT will invest in cross-domain, innovative Force Applic international partners, including but not limited to these defense-wide and Autonomous Systems; Interoperability across Platforms and Syste	requirements: Anti-Access / Area Denial (A2/AD); Rob					
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> During FY 2015, FCT will focus on selecting projects supporting the be-Anti-Access / Area Denial (A2/AD) will provide innovative technologie improve targeting/delivery in GPS-denied environments and prevent ecapabilities). -Robotics and Autonomous Systems will remotely control assets that reincluding force protection, special operations, and detection. -Interoperability across Platforms and Systems invest into technologie information management, with a focus on command and control intero level security enabled networks. Transition of Modular Open Systems partitioned, scalable, extendable, and secure. -Countering Unmanned Systems (Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs), Surface Vehicles (USVs)) will provide technologies that detect, monito including special operations missions in surface, underwater and onsh	s that enhance position, navigation and timing accurace exploitation of systems lost in denied areas (e.g., anti-ta- reduce troop tasks and exposure for daily operations, s for mission-based on-demand routing, network, and operability with coalition capabilities through integrated a Approach (MOSA) capabilities which are portable, mo Unmanned Underwater Vehicle (UUVs), and Unmann or, and counter hostile threats with small signatures, hore environments.	mper multi- odular,				
Title: Foreign Comparative Testing (FCT) FY 2015 Focal Areas: Force	e Logistics			7.200		
<b>Description:</b> FCT will invest in cross-domain, innovative Force Logisti international partners, including but not limited to these defense-wide across Platforms and Systems.		lity				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> During FY 2015, FCT will focus on selecting projects supporting the be -Reducing soldier load reduces the weight currently sustained by the in weight reduction to individual weapons, ammunition, or portable missil -Interoperability across Platforms and Systems will invest into technolo information management, with a focus on command and control intero	ndividual dismounted soldier, including materials that e le systems. ogies for mission-based on-demand routing, network, a	ind				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of	Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603133D8Z <i>I Foreign Comparative</i> <i>Testing</i>	Project (Number/Name) P313 / Foreign Comparative Testing			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
level security enabled networks. Transition of Modular Open Syspartitioned, scalable, extendable, and secure.	stems Approach (MOSA) capabilities which are portable, mo	dular,			
Title: Foreign Comparative Testing (FCT) FY 2015 Focal Areas	: Force Support		-	-	7.200
<b>Description:</b> FCT will invest in cross-domain, innovative Force international partners, including but not limited to these defense. Solutions, and Training Systems.					
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> During FY 2015, FCT will focus on selecting projects supporting -Increasing human performance involves developing and demor cognitive load during combat operations and training. Increasing technologies to enhance training of tasks with high cognitive loa mission command, air and missile defense, or multiple intelligen -Energy solutions will include power systems and electronics de energy options that can reduce force support and logistics requi -Training Systems will demonstrate augmented reality capability environment and ability to overlay those objects within the augm	nstrating advanced technologies to assess and optimize hum g human performance will also utilize cognitive-load assess d such as in aviation operations, combined arms engagement ice sensor training. signed for extreme cold to support Arctic strategy and renew rements. That allows representation of fixed objects from a synthetic to	nent nts, rable			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	ototals	-	-	30.000
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy					
N/A					
<b>E. Performance Metrics</b> In FY 2015, generic performance metrics applicable to these RI "Maintain a strong technical foundation within the Department's of completing demonstration programs per year. Since the prog constant year dollars to initiate 671 projects; 619 projects have procurements worth over \$11.000 billion. In FY 2013, efforts in the objective of 40 percent for demonstration programs.	Science and Technology (S&T) program" and the metrics fo gram's inception in 1980, Office of Secretary of Defense has been completed to date. Of the 324 evaluations that met the	r this ot investe sponse	ojective is to t d about \$1.17 ors' requirem	ransition 40 j 70 billion in F ents, 252 led	percent Y2013 to

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item	Justificat	i <b>on:</b> PB 20 <sup>-</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Marc	h 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te Advanced Technology Developme		ition, Defen	se-Wide I B	A 3:	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	19.538	17.828	19.292	19.335	-	19.335	19.514	19.634	19.707	19.858	Continuing	Continuing
P225: Joint DOD/DOE Munitions	19.538	17.828	19.292	19.335	-	19.335	19.514	19.634	19.707	19.858	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The mission of the Department of Defense (DoD)/Department of Energy (DOE) Joint Munitions Technology Development Program (JMP) is to develop new and innovative warhead, explosive, fuzing, and lifecycle technologies and tools to enable major improvements in conventional munitions. The JMP supports the development and exploration of advanced munitions concepts and enabling technologies that precede Service-specific system engineering. A Memorandum of Understanding signed in 1985 by DoD and DOE provides the basis for the cooperative effort and for cost-sharing the long-term commitment to this effort. The JMP funds budgeted in this justification are matched dollar for dollar by DOE funds. Through this interdepartmental cooperation, DoD's relatively small investment leverages DOE's substantial investments in intellectual capital and highly specialized skills, advanced scientific equipment and facilities, and computational tools not available within DoD. Under the auspices of the JMP, the integration of DOE technologies with Joint and Individual Services' needs has provided major advances in warfighting capabilities over many years and continues to play a crucial role in the exploration, development, and transition of new technologies needed by the Services.

The JMP seeks to develop: improved modeling and simulation tools for munitions design and evaluation, including evaluation of vulnerability (for example: design of insensitive munitions (IM)); novel experimental techniques and material property databases to support modeling and simulation; higher power and safer explosives and propellants; miniaturized, lower-cost, and higher reliability fuzes, initiators, power systems, and sensors; design tools to enable development of higher performance warheads and weapons—such as penetrators—that are hardened against high impact loads; and tools to assess the health and reliability of the munitions stockpile and predict lifetimes based on these assessments.

The JMP is aligned with Department strategic plans and policies such as:

- Munitions for contingency operations, particularly for the reduction of unintended collateral effects.
- Reducing time and cost for acquisition of munitions.
- Rapidly transitioning science and technology (S&T) to support the warfighter in today's conflicts.
- Establishing future core capabilities and maintaining our national S&T capabilities through joint investment and interagency cooperation and teaming.
- Aiding in recruiting and retaining high-caliber scientists and engineers at DoD S&T organizations.
- Developing advanced munitions technologies to support the increased role of conventional weapons to deter and respond to non-nuclear attack, as described in the Nuclear Posture Review report.
- Developing safer munitions that are compliant with IM standards to meet statutory and Department policy requirements.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretar	y Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)		Munitions Technology Development
The JMP has established a successful collaborative community of DoD and Departments within a structured framework of technical reviews and schedul Defense (OSD) and reviewed annually by the Technical Advisory Committee Special Operations Command, the Defense Threat Reduction Agency, OSD, bring together the disciplines necessary to properly evaluate technical conten- projects and plans. DoD Service laboratory technical experts lead each of the The JMP also promotes more in-depth technical exchange via short-term vis	ed milestones. The JMP is administer (TAC), which is comprised of over 25 , and DOE. Projects are organized in nt, relevance, and progress. The TCG e TCG to ensure that the technologies	red and monitored by the Office of the Secretary of senior executives from the Army, Navy, Air Force, eight Technology Coordinating Groups (TCG) that conduct semi-annual technical peer reviews of JMP under development address high-priority DoD needs
The JMP has a long history of successful transitions and significant Return o	on Investment (ROI).	
<ul> <li>The JMP is the primary provider of high performance structural mechanics Modernization Program (HPCMP) Requirements Analysis Report, the DOE of mechanics simulations and for virtually all of the classified calculations run by grow for several reasons including: preference for using DOE codes because massively parallel computer systems; and the Department can obtain source</li> <li>A significant number of defense industrial contractors also use the DOE str</li> <li>CHEETAH, a standalone thermochemical computer code, is the most wide materials.</li> <li>The Army Armament Research, Development &amp; Engineering Center (ARDI</li> </ul>	computer codes are used for over 70 p y DoD on HPCMP platforms. The Dep e they are export-controlled; DOE code e codes to modify for individual Service ructural mechanics computer codes. ely used code by DoD and defense cor	bercent of all (classified and unclassified) structural bartment expects this heavy reliance on DOE codes to es are scalable, incorporate multiphysics, and run on e needs.
warheads. The use of these tools has reduced the number of validation tests savings.		
– The Army Research Laboratory has used DOE computer codes to develop – New munitions' case material and explosive fill technologies provide the wat transitioned to the Focused Lethality Munition variant of the Small Diameter I weapon that is currently under rapid development to meet a Joint Urgent Op – The Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization (JIEDDO) has (SAR) systems for counter-Improvised Explosive Device (IED) efforts; pre-de stocks and processes for homemade explosives; and use of massively paral injury and how to mitigate these injuries.	arfighter with a lethal and low collatera Bomb, which is currently fielded. The erational Need requirement for a low-o supported applications of JMP techno eployment training of military personne	I damage capability. These technologies have been technologies are also the basis for a new GBU 129 collateral Mk-82 class weapon. logies, including: compact synthetic aperture radar I by DOE explosive experts on how to recognize feed
<ul> <li>An erosive initiator technology developed under the JMP has been transitioned.</li> <li>A novel approach to controlling the sensitivity and therefore the initiability of transitioned to development projects in the Joint IM Technology and Joint Fuene Reliability analysis tools were used by Army Missile Command to assess R Tube-launched, Optically-tracked, Wire command data-linked guided Missile</li> </ul>	of explosives using microwave energy, ize Technology Programs. Rolling Airframe Missile (RAM), Advanc	as well two new, insensitive energetic materials have

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015	Office of Secretary O	f Defense		Date:	Date: March 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	e-Wide I BA 3:	PE 0603225D8Z	ement (Number/Name) I Joint DOD/DOE Muni	tions Technology Devel			
<ul> <li>Robotic demilitarization processing systems were installe Comp A5, and grenade bodies.</li> </ul>	ed at several locations	s, including a sys	tem at Hawthorne Army	y Depot to recover copp	er shape charge liners		
The JMP also works with the Defense Ordnance Technolo efficiently transition JMP technologies to defense industria for low-collateral weapons, low-temperature co-fired cerar selectable effects weapons to defense industrial suppliers	al contractors. In addit nic technology for sma	tion to the compu	iter codes mentioned ea	arlier, the JMP has trans	sitioned case technolo		
The integrated DoD and DOE efforts within the JMP are tr advanced development process. The JMP is a focal point	t for collaborative work	k by nearly 300 D	OOD and DOE scientists and between departme	and engineers. Technients. The highly challen	ical leaders from both		
Departments consider the JMP a model of cooperation, be of the approximately 35 JMP projects require multi-year ef The JMP projects are divided into five technical focus area	fforts and sustained, lo	ong-term investm			Fuzes, and Sensors;		
Departments consider the JMP a model of cooperation, be of the approximately 35 JMP projects require multi-year ef The JMP projects are divided into five technical focus area Warhead and Penetration Technology; and Munitions Life	fforts and sustained, lo as: Computational Me cycle Technologies.	ong-term investmechanics and Mat	terial Modeling; Energet	tic Materials; Initiators, I			
Departments consider the JMP a model of cooperation, bo of the approximately 35 JMP projects require multi-year ef The JMP projects are divided into five technical focus area Warhead and Penetration Technology; and Munitions Life <b>3. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)</b>	fforts and sustained, lo as: Computational Me cycle Technologies. <u>FY 2013</u>	echanics and Mat	terial Modeling; Energet FY 2015 Base		FY 2015 Total		
Departments consider the JMP a model of cooperation, bo of the approximately 35 JMP projects require multi-year ef The JMP projects are divided into five technical focus area Warhead and Penetration Technology; and Munitions Life <b>5. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)</b> Previous President's Budget	fforts and sustained, lo as: Computational Me cycle Technologies. <u>FY 2013</u> 20.032	ong-term investm echanics and Mat <u>FY 2014</u> 19.305	terial Modeling; Energet <u>FY 2015 Base</u> 20.628	tic Materials; Initiators, I	<u>FY 2015 Total</u> 20.628		
Departments consider the JMP a model of cooperation, bo of the approximately 35 JMP projects require multi-year ef The JMP projects are divided into five technical focus area Warhead and Penetration Technology; and Munitions Life <b>B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)</b> Previous President's Budget Current President's Budget	fforts and sustained, lo as: Computational Me cycle Technologies. <u>FY 2013</u> 20.032 17.828	ong-term investm echanics and Mat <u>FY 2014</u> 19.305 19.292	terial Modeling; Energet <u>FY 2015 Base</u> 20.628 19.335	tic Materials; Initiators, I	<u>FY 2015 Total</u> 20.628 19.335		
Departments consider the JMP a model of cooperation, bo of the approximately 35 JMP projects require multi-year ef The JMP projects are divided into five technical focus area Warhead and Penetration Technology; and Munitions Life <b>5. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)</b> Previous President's Budget Current President's Budget Total Adjustments	fforts and sustained, lo as: Computational Me cycle Technologies. <u>FY 2013</u> 20.032	ong-term investm echanics and Mat <u>FY 2014</u> 19.305	terial Modeling; Energet <u>FY 2015 Base</u> 20.628	tic Materials; Initiators, I	<u>FY 2015 Total</u> 20.628		
Departments consider the JMP a model of cooperation, bo of the approximately 35 JMP projects require multi-year ef The JMP projects are divided into five technical focus area Warhead and Penetration Technology; and Munitions Life <b>3. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)</b> Previous President's Budget Current President's Budget Total Adjustments • Congressional General Reductions	fforts and sustained, lo as: Computational Me cycle Technologies. <u>FY 2013</u> 20.032 17.828 -2.204	ong-term investm echanics and Mat <u>FY 2014</u> 19.305 19.292	terial Modeling; Energet <u>FY 2015 Base</u> 20.628 19.335	tic Materials; Initiators, I	<u>FY 2015 Total</u> 20.628 19.335		
Departments consider the JMP a model of cooperation, bo of the approximately 35 JMP projects require multi-year ef The JMP projects are divided into five technical focus area Warhead and Penetration Technology; and Munitions Life <b>5. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)</b> Previous President's Budget Current President's Budget Total Adjustments • Congressional General Reductions • Congressional Directed Reductions	fforts and sustained, lo as: Computational Me cycle Technologies. <u>FY 2013</u> 20.032 17.828 -2.204 -1.621	ong-term investm echanics and Mat <u>FY 2014</u> 19.305 19.292	terial Modeling; Energet <u>FY 2015 Base</u> 20.628 19.335	tic Materials; Initiators, I	<u>FY 2015 Total</u> 20.628 19.335		
Departments consider the JMP a model of cooperation, bo of the approximately 35 JMP projects require multi-year ef The JMP projects are divided into five technical focus area Warhead and Penetration Technology; and Munitions Life <b>5. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)</b> Previous President's Budget Current President's Budget Total Adjustments • Congressional General Reductions • Congressional Directed Reductions • Congressional Rescissions	fforts and sustained, lo as: Computational Me cycle Technologies. <u>FY 2013</u> 20.032 17.828 -2.204	ong-term investm echanics and Mat <u>FY 2014</u> 19.305 19.292	terial Modeling; Energet <u>FY 2015 Base</u> 20.628 19.335	tic Materials; Initiators, I	<u>FY 2015 Total</u> 20.628 19.335		
Departments consider the JMP a model of cooperation, bo of the approximately 35 JMP projects require multi-year ef The JMP projects are divided into five technical focus area Warhead and Penetration Technology; and Munitions Life <b>8. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)</b> Previous President's Budget Current President's Budget Total Adjustments • Congressional General Reductions • Congressional Directed Reductions • Congressional Rescissions • Congressional Adds	fforts and sustained, lo as: Computational Me cycle Technologies. <u>FY 2013</u> 20.032 17.828 -2.204 -1.621	ong-term investm echanics and Mat <u>FY 2014</u> 19.305 19.292	terial Modeling; Energet <u>FY 2015 Base</u> 20.628 19.335	tic Materials; Initiators, I	<u>FY 2015 Total</u> 20.628 19.335		
Departments consider the JMP a model of cooperation, bo of the approximately 35 JMP projects require multi-year ef The JMP projects are divided into five technical focus area Warhead and Penetration Technology; and Munitions Life <b>5. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)</b> Previous President's Budget Current President's Budget Total Adjustments • Congressional General Reductions • Congressional Directed Reductions • Congressional Rescissions • Congressional Adds • Congressional Directed Transfers	fforts and sustained, lo as: Computational Me cycle Technologies. <u>FY 2013</u> 20.032 17.828 -2.204 -1.621	ong-term investm echanics and Mat <u>FY 2014</u> 19.305 19.292	terial Modeling; Energet <u>FY 2015 Base</u> 20.628 19.335	tic Materials; Initiators, I	<u>FY 2015 Total</u> 20.628 19.335		
Departments consider the JMP a model of cooperation, bo of the approximately 35 JMP projects require multi-year ef The JMP projects are divided into five technical focus area Warhead and Penetration Technology; and Munitions Life <b>3. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)</b> Previous President's Budget Current President's Budget Total Adjustments • Congressional General Reductions • Congressional Directed Reductions • Congressional Rescissions • Congressional Adds	fforts and sustained, lo as: Computational Me cycle Technologies. <u>FY 2013</u> 20.032 17.828 -2.204 - - -1.621 -0.026 - -	ong-term investm echanics and Mat <u>FY 2014</u> 19.305 19.292	terial Modeling; Energet <u>FY 2015 Base</u> 20.628 19.335	tic Materials; Initiators, I	<u>FY 2015 Total</u> 20.628 19.335		
Departments consider the JMP a model of cooperation, bo of the approximately 35 JMP projects require multi-year ef The JMP projects are divided into five technical focus area Warhead and Penetration Technology; and Munitions Life <b>3. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)</b> Previous President's Budget Current President's Budget Total Adjustments • Congressional General Reductions • Congressional Directed Reductions • Congressional Rescissions • Congressional Adds • Congressional Directed Transfers • Reprogrammings	fforts and sustained, lo as: Computational Me cycle Technologies. <u>FY 2013</u> 20.032 17.828 -2.204 -1.621	ong-term investm echanics and Mat <u>FY 2014</u> 19.305 19.292	terial Modeling; Energet <u>FY 2015 Base</u> 20.628 19.335	tic Materials; Initiators, I	<u>FY 2015 Total</u> 20.628 19.335		

C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Computational Mechanics and Material Modeling	6.444	6.978	5.902

UNCLASSIFIED Page 3 of 17

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	ate: March 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603225D8Z / Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technol	ology Develo	pment		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<b>Description:</b> Projects in this technical focus area develop physics-based com and validation databases which support the design and development of weapon predict the complex phenomena across significant length (meso to continuum) will provide coupled, multi-physics and chemistry modeling capabilities that are solving very diverse problems across the weapons systems' research and dev tools are the foundation that makes possible the integration of mechanics, ma area also includes an extensive experimental component consisting of phenor model development; calibration experiments to compliment models; and experi- tion.	on systems. These capabilities are intended to ) and time (microsecond to minute) scales. The tools e scalable to massively parallel architectures for velopment and acquisition communities. Numeric terials science, physics, and chemistry. This focus menological or "discovery" experiments that drive				
<ul> <li>The specific projects in computational mechanics and material modeling are:</li> <li>CTH shock physics and SIERRA / Solid Mechanics (SM) codes &amp; model development; composite material modeling; mesoscale experiments, model development; and models for localization and failure.</li> <li>Arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian (ALE3D) code and model development.</li> <li>Composite case technology and modeling.</li> <li>Dynamic properties of materials.</li> <li>Energetic materials and polymers under dynamic and thermal loading.</li> <li>Fragment impact and response experiments.</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Mechanical Threshold Stress (MTS) constitutive model algorithm developed Modeling) codes.</li> <li>Generalized Multi-Scale Shell Theory (GMSST) formulated for shell structure.</li> <li>Developed Dilational-ViscoPlastic-Fast Fourier Transform (D-VP-FFT) mode polycrystals; supported with 3D in situ and ex situ data sets of damage evoluti.</li> <li>Characterized the mechanical and ignition properties of MinSmoke propellate.</li> <li>Implemented the ViscoSCRAM High Explosive mechanical model in the Marenet of the viscoSCRAM High Explosive Mechanical model in the Marenet of the viscoSCRAM High Explosive AFX (Air Force Explosite).</li> <li>Performed mechanical experiments for PBX (Plastic Bonded Explosive) 950 Propellant).</li> <li>Floret test was completed for 46 samples of PBX 9501 with variable density</li> </ul>	al elements. el to allow consideration of shocked, voided ion. nt (MSP). terial Point Method (MPM) code CartaBlanca. vant energetic materials. osive) 757. 1, PBX 9502, PBX N9 and HPP (High Performance				

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603225D8Z / Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Techn	ology Develo	pment	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Executed Taylor impact experiments using an oblique view to provide time-redispersal of HPP (High Performance Propellant).</li> <li>Several enhancements to ALE3D code to improve usability and enlarge appl criteria; geometry imports for Pro/Engineer models now include beams, shells, condition number (CN) mesh relaxer to optimize element shapes; addition of "r faceted surfaces; new material models for cast iron and cellular foam; void inset failure and fragmentation modeling; and, implemented crystal plasticity model v</li> <li>Developed dynamic DSD (Detonation Shock Dynamics) to calculate lighting 2</li> <li>Characterized thermal sensitivity and thermally-driven damage of composites</li> <li>Development of a framework to capture damage and response of chopped fi</li> <li>Line Velocity Interferometer System for Any Reflector (VISAR) measurement performed to support heterogeneous material modeling.</li> <li>Equation of State (EOS) characterization of IMX (Insensitive Munitions Explored SIERRA release (v4.28 in April 2013) distributed to DoD HPC (High Performation Sites).</li> <li>SIERRA explosives finite element model (XFEM) generalized and extended to the composite of the composite set of the composit</li></ul>	ication space including: improved element erosion and 3D tetrahedra overlaid on 2D meshes; new nortar" slides for improved mechanics across ertion coupled directly through Gurson D model for with porosity. times on deformed HE (High Explosive) geometries. s of interest. ber composites initiated. ts of shocked PBX 9501, PBX 9502, and PBX N-9 sive) 101 and IMX 104 explosives. ance Computing) sites.			
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Incorporate shear into two-component localization model to move toward a ge</li> <li>Develop and apply methods to incorporate 3-dimensional microstructure data</li> <li>Incorporate phase transitions in material models to increase accuracy of conspressure shocks.</li> <li>Perform impact and direct initiation experiments on off-specification PBXN-9 te</li> <li>Complete analysis of PBXN-9 data set to provide consistent parameter sets fe</li> <li>Implement rate-sensitive damage model into ALE3D or other codes validated</li> <li>Complete initial manufacturing variable study of composite materials.</li> <li>Release of ALE3D with improvements in updated high explosive lighting time progresses.</li> <li>Enhance the ALE3D/ALE3D code coupling through FEusion interface by prov.</li> <li>Complete energetics damage experiments (rubbery tear, interfacial damage, CTH Versions 11.2 and 11.3 will be released: Improve memory management communications.</li> <li>Implement robust and accurate coupling between Sierra/SM and CTH.</li> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> </ul>	into continuum calculations. stitutive models in any calculations involving high- to ascertain change in performance and safety. or DoD and the DOE codes. against experimental data. s, with detonation shock dynamics as the analysis riding a parallel implementation. friability).			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	Date: March 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development				
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<ul> <li>Implement shell structural element into ALE3D. Demonstrate on an applicate penetration of a composite structure rocket motor case, by one or more project</li> <li>Implement dynamic strength model / rate-sensitive damage model into ALE3 data.</li> <li>Complete integrated 3D damage simulation w/ mesoscale input for CartaBlar general tool for use in typical DoD weapon calculations.</li> <li>Transition Mechanically Activated Thermal Chemistry (MATCH)-ignition mod</li> <li>Design and conduct new experiments to further validate or refine the ignition</li> <li>Enhance ALE3D code capabilities through continued development of implicit</li> <li>Develop improved continuum models that couple void nucleation to shear baa</li> <li>Implement realistic EOS of failed composite material into ALE3D or other code</li> <li>Characterize shock and damping response of commonly used carbon fiber n</li> <li>Develop methods for analyzing and fitting thermodynamic data of energetic r</li> <li>Release CTH Versions 11.3 and 12.0 with exascale improvements (12.0).</li> </ul>	tiles. D or other codes and validate against experimental nca calculation. Begin transition of CartaBlanca as lel to DOE code teams. criterion. multi-physics. and failure. des. materials, and explore relevant modeling techniques.				
<i>Title:</i> Energetic Materials (EM)		4.150	4.302	5.512	
<b>Description:</b> The goals of this technical focus area are to develop new energetic materials (EMs) and supporting technologies to satisfy the competing requirements for smaller, more lethal, and safer munitions. Work is primarily focused on explosives, gun and rocket propellants, and, to a lesser extent, pyrotechnics. The projects include development of: new EMs, including new molecules in a range of particle sizes and morphologies; new EM formulations; a fundamental understanding of energetic properties and performance; and computational tools for analysis of performance and sensitivity. New materials and formulations are developed with the recognition that costs must be reasonable, chemical feed stocks reliable, and manufacturing processes suitable for scale-up to production levels.					
Both Federal statute and Department policy direct the development of safer, le sensitive while maintaining explosive or propellant performance is a difficult ch combination of new EM development, EM characterization, and more sophistic prohibitive to qualify weapons for compliance with insensitive munitions require cases, the only means to qualify these weapons is with the combination of ana few well-designed tests.	allenge. This goal is best attained through a cated modeling and simulation tools. It is cost ements through testing alone. A better, and in many				
The Department also needs munitions that provide selectable effects. To achie thoroughly understand the performance of EMs used in both the main weapon					

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	Date: March 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development				
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
systems can provide selectable effects as well as safer munitions, but such complex, small-scale systems require more complete knowledge of EM detonation physics and in some cases, new EMs designed for this application.					
The desire for smaller and lighter munitions is driven in large part by the increasing dependence on unmanned weapons platforms and to some extent by the need to reduce logistical burden, especially energy consumption. New EMs are needed to meet the munitions weight and size requirements while maintaining lethality and safety.					
The Department is working to increase the range and velocity of weapons and to develop weapons against hardened targets. These applications subject EMs to high accelerations and shock loads. To support the development of these new systems, we need to improve our ability to model EM under higher impact loads and to characterize relevant properties to determine their ability to survive in these aggressive environments. We may also need to develop new, more robust EMs that survive impact loads while maintaining lethality and initiability.					
<ul> <li>The specific projects in the energetic materials technical focus area are:</li> <li>Synthesis, properties, and scale-up of new energetic compounds.</li> <li>Insensitive munitions and surety.</li> <li>New energetic materials formulation and characterization.</li> <li>Cheetah thermochemical code development and experiments.</li> <li>Micro- and nano-energetics synthesis and initiation.</li> <li>Hazards analysis of energetic materials.</li> <li>Reaction processes of energetic materials.</li> <li>Microfluidic reactor synthesis of sensitive explosives.</li> <li>Energetics chemistry and properties.</li> <li>Microstructural and kinetic effects on energetic materials behavior.</li> <li>Microwave sensitization and initiation of energetic materials.</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Completed synthesis and characterization of insensitive energetic materials for</li> <li>Designed deflagration to detonation transition experiments for proton radiogra</li> <li>Compared simulations with pop plot behavior and onionskin experiments for r</li> <li>Released CHEETAH version seven, which will provide enhanced accuracy for</li> <li>those containing fluorine, chlorine, bromine, boron, silicon, and tungsten.</li> <li>Expanded detonation calorimetry capabilities with post-shot analysis technique</li> <li>Completed mesoscale simulations of energetic materials under stress and press</li> </ul>	aphy. nicrowave-sensitized explosives. r a wide range of energetic formulations, including ies.				

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	losives and AP propellants. vithout candidate stabilizers.	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<ul> <li>Determined low and moderate temperature reaction networks for pyrotechnic actuator materials.</li> <li>Completed initial microfluidic nitration reactor experiments.</li> <li>FY 2014 Plans: <ul> <li>Develop new tunable sensitivity formulations based on TATB/HMX/KelF or Estane/BDNPA-F mixtures using graphite as a microwave absorber.</li> <li>Investigate the complex permittivity of PBX 9502, Composition B (CompB) and IMX 101 under x-ray exposure.</li> <li>Determine the dielectric strength of selected booster explosives.</li> <li>Demonstrate sensitivity control for a 1.9" hemispherical booster.</li> <li>Perform burn rate studies on promising burn rate modifiers including tetranitrobiimidazole (N4BIM) salts.</li> <li>Perform synthesis of C,H,N,O oxidizer materials.</li> <li>Deliver thermal data on IMX 104.</li> <li>Perform pre-ignition x-ray experiments on IMX 104.</li> <li>Develop consistent ionic thermodynamics model to improve equation of state (EOS) and speciation predictions for all energetic materials, including halogenated formulations, metal-loaded explosives, propellants, etc. Test and validate model against EOS data and small scale experiments (cylinder, plate push, detonation calorimeter, etc.) using Cheetah and hydro/Cheetah calculations.</li> <li>Develop post-detonation carbon kinetics models for conventional and insensitive high explosives. Test and validate against small scale experiments (e.g. cylinder) for TNT-based explosives, TATB-based explosives, conventional explosives, NTO-based explosives, etc. Integrate reactive flow calculations and carbon kinetics modeling with ionic thermodynamics capability.</li> <li>Develop Cheetah thermochemistry for major metallic additives (AI, Si, B, Mg) and other relevant elements (W, Ti, Zr, Bi, Sb, P, Hf, Ni, K, Na, etc.) and compounds, e.g. oxides, fluorides, nitrides, carbides, etc. to enable thermochemical predictions for complex and novel formulations.</li> <li>Perform EOS and/or sound speed measurements in support of Cheetah modeling development. Ex</li></ul></li></ul>					

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603225D8Z / Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Techn	ology Develo	pment	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Perform porous and pristine minimum signature propellant (MSP) shock initial damaged material (XDT) model</li> <li>Deliver completed Disc Acceleration Experiment (DAX) design for convention</li> <li>Synthesize and scale-up new plasticizer materials.</li> <li>Synthesize 25-50 grams of LLM-196 and LLM-198 and their nitrogenous salt</li> <li>Develop preparation-structure relationship for Hexanitrostilbene (HNS). Test microstructure. Relate surface roughness to microstructure.</li> <li>Determine conditions for multiple material (e.g. co-crystal) formation and test</li> <li>Characterize the damage evolution of PBX 9502 and Ammonium Perchlorate permeability as a function of temperature history.</li> <li>Perform aging study of RDX.</li> <li>Characterize the chemical interactions that control thermal response of IMX-</li> <li>Investigate the reactive processes that control release of reactive oxygen in</li> <li>Report on interaction between two Navy Propellants.</li> </ul>	nal EMs (½ to ¾ in.). ts for evaluation by Navy partners. t effect of deposition conditions on HNS t for homogeneous or heterogeneous nucleation. e (AP) propellant, including the determination of 104.			
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Deliver the fully integrated (electromagnetic, heat transport, kinetic, mechanienergetic materials.</li> <li>Report on the overall performance results for shock and thermal initiation, intect. of down-selected energetic materials.</li> <li>Investigate the sensitivity properties of synthesized C, H, N, O oxidizers.</li> <li>Systematically evaluate and improve code predictions at low pressure/high to gun propellants by expanding library of gaseous and condensed products availingredients.</li> <li>Benchmark High Explosive Reaction to Mechanical Stimulus (HERMES) more experiments.</li> <li>Perform cook-off-induced Deflagration to Detonation Transition (DDT) experiments.</li> <li>Scale-up the syntheses of new compounds (e.g. LLM-200, LLM-196, LLM-196, reformance testing and heat of formation measurements.</li> <li>Understand effects of incorporated metal film on propagation (detonation). Of films (one metal, two configurations) into deposited explosives (one explosive)</li> <li>Publish best available models and Sandia instrumented thermal ignition (SIT generation rates of thermal decomposition of a representative MSP and Pental decomposition of a representative MSP a</li></ul>	cluding environmental effects, model predictions, emperature for specific impulse calculations and lable for such calculations, as well as available del to sub-detonative fragment impact response iments. 98, LLM-175 and LLM-201) to produce 20-30 grams Complete experiments on incorporation of metal			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	/ Of Defense	Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Techn	ology Develo	oment	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	ا	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Complete aging study of underwater explosive formulations and/or ingredie</li> <li>Investigate reactive processes that occur during shock loading of PETN and</li> </ul>				
<i>Title:</i> Initiators, Fuzes, and Sensors		3.022	3.243	3.359
<b>Description:</b> The goals of this technical focus area are to develop new mater modeling and simulation tools for fuzing systems. Initiators, fuzes, and sensor detonation, to correctly detect intended targets, and to initiate detonation whe Department's needs to miniaturize fuzing systems. Smaller systems are requirements and lighter weapons systems; trading volume in munitions for other car energy and power density power sources, or enhanced guidance systems; in more smaller initiating systems); and upgrading existing sub-munitions with site The miniaturization of fuzing systems requires new material and components and improved modeling tools for microdetonics. The Department also needs effects may be achieved with multi-point initiation systems. Such systems are characterization of initiator materials and components, as well as more sophis greater precision and to avoid unintended collateral effects when weapons ar insurgency or counter-terrorist operations, target sensors must be reliable and focus area are developing technologies to achieve this level of performance in	rs must work reliably together to prevent unintended n required. Projects in this focus area support the nired for several reasons including: compatibility with omponents such as additional explosives, higher creasing reliability through redundancy (use of two or marter and more reliable fuzing systems. , new power systems, new diagnostic techniques, weapons systems with selectable effects and these e inherently more complex and require improved sticated modeling and simulation tools. To attain e used in the complex environment of counter- d provide high-fidelity discrimination. Projects in this n compact packages.			
<ul> <li>The specific projects in the initiators, fuzes, and sensors technical focus area</li> <li>Firing systems technology: FireMod firing set code model development and development, and initiation and detonation physics on the millimeter scale.</li> <li>Safe, Arm, Fuze and Fire Technology: Initiation &amp; Detonation; Advanced Fire</li> <li>Advanced initiation systems: diagnostics development, microdetonics, ministafety.</li> <li>Thermal Battery Performance Modeling.</li> <li>MESASAR synthetic aperture radar (SAR) sensors.</li> <li>Vertical cavity surface emitting laser (VCSEL) sensors for proximity fuzing.</li> </ul>	validation, 1.6 hazard classification detonator ring System Components. ature initiation systems, and detonators for enhanced			

PE 0603225D8Z: *Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603225D8Z / Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Techr	nology Develo	opment	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Validated the mechanical model developed to describe the thermal battery s was within 10 percent of the experimental data.</li> <li>Implemented one-dimensional thermal battery electrochemical model into S</li> <li>Performed a full series of 2-D axi-symmetric small-scale gap tests to study of Performed fundamental studies in hydrocode calculations involving overdriv.</li> <li>Integrated Schlieren Inverse Analysis Software (SIAS) has been updated to code simulation towards a solution that mimics detonator output.</li> <li>Utilized photonic doppler velocimetry (PDV) diagnostic suite to characterize provide baseline performance data.</li> <li>Demonstrated ALE3D model of DoD slapper detonator.</li> <li>Assessed modified three phase equation of state for metals for predicting sl.</li> <li>Performed experiments to assess the effect of spot size on LX-10 (high expl.</li> <li>Utilized larger printhead (200 µm) to deposit a potential lead azide replacem</li> <li>Created validated tabular equation of state for the explosive HNS.</li> <li>Determined burn model parameters (reaction rates, run distance) for the expl.</li> <li>Developed a methodology to assess the safety and reliability of slapper-bas criterion.</li> <li>Developed physics-based model of exploding foil initiator (EFI) bridge burst filed non-provisional patents for barium titanate (BTO) nanoparticle synthesis.</li> <li>Released packaging Design Guide II summarizing the computational packag quasi-statics &amp; dynamics.</li> <li>Built and tested second prototype flyback transformer using new tape-cast nr Completed the fabrication and initial evaluation testing of the 2nd iteration K.</li> <li>Completed the dataide design of the Ku-Band active array antenna (AAA) ir</li> <li>Designed and tested low-divergence high-power vertical cavity surface laser</li> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Deliver data packages on DOD detonators to the respective technical POCs</li> <li>Build and release tabular equation of state (EOS) for CL-20</li> <li>Demonstrate methodology for</li></ul>	IERRA code. Jetonation across gaps for explosive materials. en high explosive systems. use ALE3D in a genetic algorithm to iterate a hydro the output of large size detonators in order to apper performance. Josive). ent. blosive HNS. 502. ed fuze systems based on initiation threshold and flyer launch. is and for Lead zirconate titanate (PLZT) ging study of a single component on a board in naterials. u-Band transmit / receive (T/R) module. hcorporating 24 T/R modules. r emitter array designs for proximity fuzing. a s the tests are completed. ra framework			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Techn	ology Develo	pment	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Validate ALEGRA-MHD (magneto hydrodynamics) simulations of flyer launc</li> <li>Increase mechanical robustness of explosives</li> <li>Optimize tape-cast BTO device using nanoparticle precursors.</li> <li>Develop platform and process for measuring the permittivity of discrete nano</li> <li>Develop process for field assisted sintering (FAST) of BTO nanoparticles.</li> <li>Perform additional iterations of build and test of flyback transformers using nanoparticles.</li> </ul>	particles in solution.			
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Measurement of temperature dependent impedance of battery separator as</li> <li>Delivery of desktop code with a Graphical User Interface (GUI) for coupled th battery geometry materials designed to start explosive decomposition via phot</li> <li>Perform experiments to assess wave divergence in charge transfer systems booster diameter for insensitive explosives.</li> <li>Develop next generation of the four-channel embedded Fiber Bragg Grating</li> <li>Determine the performance parameters (including combustion &amp; detonation, boundary conditions) of energetic materials deposited using microelectromech</li> <li>Develop tabular equations of state for explosives (e.g. TATB, PETN) and bir</li> <li>Nanoparticle coatings for tape-cast capacitors optimized to maximize dispers</li> <li>Assess performance of encapsulated components in fuze-like geometries in</li> <li>Additional iterations of build and test of flyback transformers using new tape</li> <li>Develop lower-divergence 980nm emitter arrays for VCSEL-based proximity</li> </ul>	hermal & mechanical capability for axisymmetric o-dissociation. by measuring the effect of corner turning on (FBG) for detonation wave diagnostics. deposition surface mobility and susceptibility to anical systems (MEMS) compatible techniques. der/HE combinations (e.g. RSI-007, PBX's). sion and loading while mitigating breakdown. quasi-static & dynamic environments. formulations.			
Title: Warhead and Penetration Technology		3.429	3.626	3.448
<b>Description:</b> This focus area supports the development of new warheads and processing and characterization, instrumentation, and computational codes. In in warhead performance directly attributed to our ability to understand and acc warhead designs, and to advances in increasingly sophisticated material process more precise weapon effects with minimum collateral damage is supported by warhead cases, and multiphase blast explosives (MBX). More recently, increasing are being achieved through improved warhead integration into munitions using	n recent years there have been significant increases urately model the physics and fine details of new essing. The Department's requirement to achieve work on controlled fragmentation, non-fragmenting uses in performance and reductions in vulnerability a systems-oriented approach.			
The goals for penetrator weapons are to investigate, develop, and transition are and performance assessment of the next generation of high performance, pre- national initiatives to defeat hard and deeply buried targets, which are prolifera	cision strike weapons. This effort directly supports			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	/larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603225D8Z I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Techn	ology Develo	opment	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
mass destruction. The work addresses high-velocity penetration into granular strength concrete, new penetrator materials and designs, and non-inertial onb				
The specific projects in the warhead and penetration technology focus area ar	re:			
<ul> <li>Multiphase blast munitions (MBX) technology.</li> <li>Erosive initiation technology.</li> <li>Dynamic behavior of sand.</li> <li>Integrated munitions modeling &amp; experimentation.</li> <li>Modeling of strategic structures.</li> <li>Concrete perforation and penetration modeling &amp; experiments.</li> <li>High-g MEMS sensor development.</li> <li>Structural dynamics and vibration effects.</li> <li>High-speed pressure-shear experiments on granular materials.</li> <li>Explosive/metal interactions.</li> <li>Structure, mechanical &amp; shock-loading response, &amp; modeling of materials.</li> <li>Controlled effects warhead materials.</li> </ul>	the effect on phase transition.			
<ul> <li>Completed characterization of tantalum EFP (explosively formed penetrator) at U.S. Army Armament Research, Development and Engineering Center (AR</li> <li>Completed first sweeping-wave spallation experiment on zirconium to deterr</li> </ul>	DEC).			
<ul> <li>munitions materials.</li> <li>Completed characterization of the strength and damage behavior of deplete</li> <li>Processed and characterized the first batch of W-Fe-Ni alloy powder sample Sn alloy powders.</li> </ul>	ed-uranium. es with dilute concentrations of low melting point Bi-			
<ul> <li>Processed and characterized the first batch of 4340 steel powder samples vialloy powders.</li> <li>Rigorously applied melt infiltration methods in the composite processing methods.</li> <li>Completed fragmentation calculations on 4340 steel pipe bomb experiments.</li> <li>Completed a suite of validated erosive initiation computational models include charges; ALE3D models which utilize experimentally measured force data to preso-scale models which explicitly model individual particles of the granular jet.</li> </ul>	thodology. s. ding: three ALE3D models of zirconia-lined shaped provide a computational boundary loading; and			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	1arch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603225D8Z / Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology	ology Develo	pment	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Implemented improvements to the multiphase model in ALE3D: demonstrated deployment (2-D &amp; 3-D); improved the usability of the multiphase model; corree Lagrangian particle drag model; added slider collision model for Lagrangian particle drag model; added slider collision model for Lagrangian particle and multiphase forces between particles and multiphase; added interpolation of location for more accurate calculation of forces on the particles; added the pre-multiphase flows; and improved computational efficiency of Lagrangian particle.</li> <li>Initial release of the Kraken fragment-analysis software with inputs from Sier outputting fragment mass and velocity distributions and writing a z-data file for</li> <li>Applied the Kracken fragment-analysis software to analyze results of an ARI</li> <li>Lagrangain Marker implemented, which allows for nonlinear elasticity and ad release in CTH.</li> <li>Completed validation of mesoscale simulations of granular materials (i.e. satisfies and rother to probe the physical mechanisms driving comminution in sand.</li> <li>Provided a synthetic database for use in constitutive model development of granulations will be used to "fit" the constitutive model.</li> <li>Implemented the Sandia Concrete Model (SANDCON) into a prototype versito improve the ability to model the brittle behavior observed for high strength constitution of several scale penetration experiments into generic ultion.</li> </ul>	acted numerical implementation errors; added articles to model particle-particle interaction; added phase; added energy back-coupling of work due of velocity and fluid scalar quantities to particle ssure gradient force on Lagrangian Particles from es. Tra Solid Mechanics; the software is capable of use in DoD lethality software. DEC fragmentation test. dvanced mechanics of materials, finished with beta nd) against split hopkinson pressure bar (SHPB) granular materials; the data from the mesoscale sion of CTH; the SANDCON model was developed oncrete with an unconfined compressive strength of			
FY 2014 Plans:				
<ul> <li>Complete baseline data collection on alloy steel 4340, titanium, and copper to thickness (strain rate), heat treatment / annealing states and defect density har strain and time to fragmentation.</li> <li>Implement multi-field techniques for the description of explosive / metal inter</li> <li>Complete oblique high explosive-driven shock hardening &amp; damage microstroblique HE-driven spall on U-6Nb.</li> <li>Implement tensile plasticity model (TEPLA) into CartaBlanca and compare in Lagrange code representation.</li> <li>Perform laser based shock experiments on first batch of 4340 steel powder of behavior of the composite architecture.</li> <li>Conduct a parametric study on the laser-based shock experiments using AL Particle Pack.</li> </ul>	ve on fragment size, homogeneous background ractions into validated computer codes (Pagosa+). ructural quantification on Ta, Zr, and complete initial mproved representation of plate impact response to composites to understand the phase transforming			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603225D8Z / Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Tech	nology Develo	pment	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Implement improvement to the multiphase model in ALE3D: enhanced detor meso-scale simulations and validation experiments; this will benefit the design</li> <li>Complete cylinder-expansion and perforation-test simulations using Sierra S peridynamics for this class of problems.</li> <li>Incorporate the second iteration of the multifield theory into CTH with advan</li> <li>Deliver improved constitutive sand model to the GEODYN material library.</li> <li>Perform field scale penetrator tests into sand and update model.</li> <li>Complete feasibility study of methods to measure or calculate the full project</li> <li>Complete evaluation of coupled finite element / peridynamics algorithms in S complex targets.</li> </ul>	n and optimization of multiphase munitions. Solid Mechanics and assess the capability of ced Lagrangian and Eulerian numerics. tile trajectory into complex targets.			
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Complete application of full field interferometry to dynamic defect studies at</li> <li>Investigate the jet formation melting of the eutectic Ag-Cu to probe the effect</li> <li>Complete oblique HE driven shock hardening and damage microstructural of</li> <li>Develop modeling &amp; simulation (M&amp;S) tools that will enable optimization of material fragmentation.</li> <li>Implement improvements to the multiphase model in ALE3D.</li> <li>Complete ARDEC test simulations using Sierra Solid Mechanics and assess fragmentation problems.</li> <li>Complete the incorporation of the multi-field theory into CTH; this will allow f internal boundary conditions that are inherent to the numerical techniques.</li> <li>Complete full scale simulations into sand and update model.</li> <li>Issue experimental dynamic friction database containing characterizations of interfaces.</li> <li>Evaluate CTH- (Material Point Methods (MPM)-Multi-field capabilities for model.</li> </ul>	ets of allotropic and thermal phase transitions. characterization on Zr & Cu-Pb alloy. engineering microstructures with multi-phase is the capability of peridynamics for DoD and DOE for multiple material interactions controlled through of mechanical (shear, compression and tensile)			
<i>Title:</i> Munitions Lifecycle Technologies <i>Description:</i> This focus area supports improving the Department's ability to u and reliability problems caused by materials aging and degradation in weapon typically focus on addressing materials aging and reliability problems after the future problems or failure mechanisms. The overall objective of this work is to that are able to quantitatively predict materials aging processes and ultimately systems, subassemblies, and/or components. These objectives are achieved	is systems. Current stockpile assessment methods y occur, rather than anticipating and avoiding o develop a toolset of computational models y improve the long-term reliability of weapons	0.783	1.143	1.114

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary (	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603225D8Z <i>I Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Techn</i>	ology Develo	pment	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
rates at which those aging mechanisms occur, developing predictive models, a stockpile reliability. An additional objective of this work is to develop technologi management and condition-based maintenance.				
The specific projects in the munitions lifecycle technologies focus area are:				
<ul> <li>Predictive materials aging including: solder interconnect reliability, corrosion of</li> <li>Microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) reliability.</li> <li>Military use of commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) electronics.</li> <li>Complex system health assessment.</li> </ul>	of electronics, and adhesive degradation.			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Developed methodology for integrating unit-by-unit summaries into inputs for ¬Reliability (ESCR) process.</li> <li>Tested statistical methodology for reliability predictions on 50 caliber round st</li> <li>Developed a method for measuring a packaged MEMS device seal strength.</li> <li>Developed engineered aging structures with measurement and data acquisiti corrosion in-situ in electronics.</li> <li>Determined silicon on insulator (SOI) sidewall and high temperature degradation</li> </ul>	tockpile data. on capabilities that can be used to monitor			
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Validate bondpad corrosion model with modified plastic encapsulated microelet.</li> <li>Assess the role of adhesive swelling due to water absorption on the stress state.</li> <li>Quantify initial predictive aging and reliability model with results from COTS M.</li> <li>Methodology and software to perform multiple objective assessments of resolution of weapon system usage.</li> <li>Validation of a general model to connect condition-based measures (age, environmented to system reliability.</li> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> </ul>	ate of the adhesive. IEMS device testing. urce allocation and general management strategies rironmental factors) at the component level failure			
<ul> <li>Develop a software program for general reliability resource allocation problem robustness of different choices.</li> <li>Develop a methodology to combine the multiple failure mode models at the c estimating and predicting system reliability.</li> <li>Build GUI for connector and bondpad corrosion models</li> </ul>				

PE 0603225D8Z: *Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology Development* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretar	y Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603225D8Z / Joint DOD/DOE Munitions Technology	ology Develo	pment	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	٦	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
- Assess the role of adhesive swelling due to water absorption on the stress on the stress at failure observed for the joint	state of the adhesive within the napkin-ring joint and			
<ul> <li>Compile the dormant storage data both internal and external to the Hellfire</li> </ul>	missile case.			
- Validate the most promising tin whisker mitigation methods in actual operation				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	17.828	19.292	19.33
<ol> <li>Transition of technologies developed by the Joint Munitions Technology F DoD.</li> <li>Attendance and technical interactions at the biannual meetings of the eig</li> </ol>	ht Technology Coordinating Groups (TCGs) are tracked			sitions to
<ol> <li>Laboratory Five Year Plans are prepared, evaluated, and analyzed by ma</li> <li>TCG Chairmen's Annual Assessments for each TCG are critically reviewed</li> </ol>	anagement and technical staff.			nlana ang
verify relevance of each project.	ed by the rechnical Advisory Committee to determine p	nogress, vand		i pians, and
<ol> <li>Project progress toward goals and milestones is assessed at each biannul.</li> <li>Annual technical reports and papers are tracked and documented.</li> </ol>	al TCG meeting and critically reviewed annually by the	e Technical A	dvisory Comr	nittee.

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item	n Justificat	i <b>on:</b> PB 20 <sup>-</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)					R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603288D8Z / Science and Technology (S&T) Analytic Assessments							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	-	-	12.000	-	12.000	12.000	12.000	12.000	12.000	Continuing	Continuing
P328: Science and Technology Analytic Assessments	-	-	-	12.000	-	12.000	12.000	12.000	12.000	12.000	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### <u>Note</u>

This is a new start Program/Program Element.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This PE directly supports the call for developing innovative capabilities to meet the emerging threats in the areas of Anti-Access/Area Denial (A2/AD) environments, missiles, advanced Integrated Air Defense Systems (IADS), surface warfare, warfare from under the sea, counter-terrorism, and counter-Weapons of Mass Destructions (WMD), with cross-domain challenges in areas such as cyber, electronic warfare, and Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance (ISR) defense outlined in Sustaining U.S. Global Leadership: Priorities for the 21st Century Defense. The S&T analytic assessments performed under this budget item will include the following activities:

• Threat envelope assessments beyond intelligence community products for identifying gaps in U.S. capability for critical threats (Red teaming)

• Independent assessment of critical capability and technology development (Red teaming)

Architecture development and evaluation to develop new U.S. capability (Blue teaming)

• Experimentation campaigns to demonstrate technologies enabling the Commons in preparation for rapidly transitioning the capability either directly to warfighters or to acquisition programs (Blue teaming)

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	-	-	-	-	-
Current President's Budget	-	-	12.000	-	12.000
Total Adjustments	-	-	12.000	-	12.000
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>DoD Priorities and Requirements</li> </ul>	-	-	12.000	-	12.000

Ibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense       Date:         ropriation/Budget Activity       Image: Comparison of Defense (Number/Name)       Image: Comparison of Defense (Number/Nam)       Image: Comparison of Defense (Number/Na		Date: March 2014	
		Assessments	
Congressional Add Details (\$ in Millions, and Includes General Re	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Project: P328: Science and Technology Analytic Assessments			
Congressional Add: Science and Technology Analytic Assessment	ts	-	
	Congressional Add Subtotals for Project: P3	- 28	
	Congressional Add Totals for all Proje	cts -	
<u>Change Summary Explanation</u> FY 2015: This is a new start program in FY 2015, PE 0603288D8Z, S priorities of agency operations.	Science and Technology Analytic Assessments. Program inc	rease is to suppor	t the higher

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	Defense					Date: Mar	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3					PE 060328	<b>am Elemen</b> 38D8Z / Scie lytic Assess	ence and Te				<b>ne)</b> echnology A	nalytic
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P328: Science and Technology Analytic Assessments	-	-	-	12.000	_	12.000	12.000	12.000	12.000	12.000	Continuing	Continuing
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wi	ll be submit	ted at a late	er date.							·		
This PE directly supports the call surface warfare, warfare from und defense outlined in Sustaining U. include the following activities: • Threat envelope assessments k • Independent assessment of crit • Architecture development and e • Experimentation campaigns to o acquisition programs (Blue teami	der the sea S. Global L beyond inte ical capabil evaluation to demonstrate	, counter-ter eadership: I lligence con ity and tech o develop ne	rrorism, and Priorities for nmunity pro nology deve ew U.S. cap	counter-W the 21st C ducts for id elopment (F pability (Blu	/MD, with cr century Defe entifying ga Red teaming e teaming)	oss-domain ense. The So ps in U.S. c I)	challenges &T analytic apability for	in areas so assessmer critical thre	uch as cybe hts performe eats (Red te	er, electronic ed under thi eaming)	c warfare, ar s budget ite	nd ISR m will
B. Accomplishments/Planned P	rograms (S	\$ in Million	<u>s)</u>						F	( 2013 I	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Science and Technology A	nalytic Asse	essments								-	-	12.000
FY 2015 Plans: In order to accomplish a balanced roughly 20%/40%/40%. The activities are planned for FY 2015	vities in FY operiments,	2015 deviat	e slightly fro	om this bala	ance as stud	dies comple	ted during F	Y 2014 (fu	nded			
<ul> <li>Quick Reaction Analytic efforts r for electronic warfare capability a Surveillance, and Reconnaissance</li> <li>Prototype development of an election</li> <li>Prototype development of an election</li> <li>Analytic prototype development</li> <li>Development of analytic tools ar</li> <li>Development of capability improves</li> </ul>	pplied to mi e (C4ISR), ectronic atta ectronic atta of a next ge nd analysis evement pro	issile defens and unders ack capabilit ack for a hig eneration el for electron ototype conc	se, Commar ea engager ty for a high h priority su ectronic wa ic warfare ir cept for resil	nd, Control, nents. priority bal rface nava fare capab n a complex ient ISR.	Communic listic missile l engageme ility for both c environme	ations, Corr threat. nt. air and sur ent.	iputers, Inte	illigence, kill chains.				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary O	f Defense			Date:	March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number</b> / PE 0603288D8Z / Science and Te (S&T) Analytic Assessments		<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P328 / Science and Technology Analytic Assessments					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<ul> <li>Experimental data collection applied to ISR capabilities.</li> <li>Architecture assessment and element prototyping for countering situational</li> <li>System and technology assessment for warfare from under the sea.</li> </ul>	awareness resources.							
	Accomplishments/Planned Prog	grams Sub	ototals	-	-	12.000		
		FY 2013	FY 20	)14				
Congressional Add: Science and Technology Analytic Assessments		-		-				
FY 2013 Accomplishments: N/A								
FY 2014 Plans: N/A								
	Congressional Adds Subtotals	-		-				
<ul> <li><u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A</li> <li><u>Remarks</u></li> <li><u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A</li> <li><u>E. Performance Metrics</u> <ul> <li>Critical gaps in U. S. capability are identified.</li> <li>Gaps in U. S. technology development are identified.</li> <li>New architectures and evaluation criteria for developing U. S. capability ar</li> <li>Experiments and prototypes demonstrate new technologies or new tactics</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		ing with em	nerging	threats.				

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificat	i <b>on:</b> PB 20 <sup>-</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Marc	h 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te Advanced Technology Developme		ation, Defen	se-Wide I E				t (Number/ anced Inno	<b>Name)</b> vative Analy	sis and Co	ncepts		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	-	-	60.000	-	60.000	60.000	60.000	60.000	60.000	Continuing	Continuing
P329: Advanced Innovative Analysis and Concepts	-	-	-	60.000	-	60.000	60.000	60.000	60.000	60.000	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### <u>Note</u>

FY 2015 New Start Program.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Strategic Capabilities Office (SCO) conducts analysis in support of ongoing efforts to shape and counter emerging threats with special emphasis on innovative and architecture-level concepts, cross-Service and cross-Defense/Intelligence concepts, red-teaming, and, on a case-by-case basis, research and development projects to demonstrate concept. It will identify, analyze, and accelerate the development, demonstration, and transition of selected capabilities to shape and counter emerging threats and improve U.S. security posture. In a partnership endeavor across the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD), Joint Staff, Combatant Commands (COCOMs), the Services, the Intelligence Community (IC), and other U.S. Government agencies, the SCO combines capability innovation with concepts of operation and information management to develop novel, high-leverage approaches to addressing pressing national security challenges. The SCO conducts projects on accelerated timelines, at any classification or access level.

The Advanced Innovative Analysis and Concepts Program Element supports development, studies, analysis, and demonstration of integrated concepts and prototypes, analysis in support of ongoing efforts to shape and counter emerging threats, cross-Service and cross-Defense/Intelligence concepts, and red-teaming. Projects will focus on proving component and subsystem maturity prior to integration in major systems and may involve risk reduction initiatives. Specific applications and plans are available at a higher classification level, upon request.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Offic	ce of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wic Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	de / BA 3:	-	ement (Number/Name) I Advanced Innovative		
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	-	-	-	-	-
Current President's Budget	-	-	60.000	-	60.000
Total Adjustments	-	-	60.000	-	60.000
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>Internal Realignment of funds to support DoD Priorities and Requirements</li> </ul>	-	-	60.000	-	60.000

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

FY 2015: Program increase is to support the higher priorities of agency operations.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project J	ustification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	Defense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3					PE 060328	<b>am Elemen</b> 39D8Z I Adv nd Concepts	anced Inno	,	Project (N P329 / Adv Concepts		ne) vative Analy	sis and
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P329: Advanced Innovative Analysis and Concepts	-	-	-	60.000	-	60.000	60.000	60.000	60.000	60.000	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### <u>Note</u>

This is a FY 2015 New Start Program. The PE 0603289D8Z Advanced Innovative Analysis and Concepts was created to meet the new defense strategy. Funds were realigned to meet the Department's highest priorities for accelerating capabilities to address future threats.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Strategic Capabilities Office (SCO) conducts analysis in support of ongoing efforts to shape and counter emerging threats with special emphasis on innovative and architecture-level concepts, cross-Service and cross-Defense/Intelligence concepts, red-teaming, and, on a case-by-case basis, research and development projects to demonstrate concept. It will identify, analyze, and accelerate the development, demonstration, and transition of selected capabilities to shape and counter emerging threats and improve U.S. security posture. In a partnership endeavor across the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD), Joint Staff, Combatant Commands (COCOMs), the Services, the Intelligence Community (IC), and other U.S. Government agencies, the SCO combines capability innovation with concepts of operation and information management to develop novel, high-leverage approaches to addressing pressing national security challenges. The SCO conducts projects on accelerated timelines, at any classification or access level.

SCO-developed The Advanced Innovative Analysis and Concepts Program Element supports development, studies, analysis, and demonstration of integrated concepts and prototypes, analysis in support of ongoing efforts to shape and counter emerging threats, cross-Service and cross-Defense/Intelligence concepts, and red-teaming. Projects will focus on proving component and subsystem maturity prior to integration in major systems and may involve risk reduction initiatives. Specific applications and plans are available at a higher classification level, upon request.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Advanced Innovative Analysis and Concepts	-	-	60.000
<b>Description:</b> The Disruptive Technology Demonstrations project is a technology initiative to address pre-conflict-centric capability needs and anticipatory concerns while maintaining low cost, small footprint operations. Technology demonstrations of innovative concepts and prototypes, backed by detailed performance and effects analysis, will focus on Secretary/Department Strategic Vectors, and Chairman's Gap Assessment of capability shortfalls. Concepts that provide capability improvements to Combatant Commanders will be identified for accelerated prototype demonstration and worked as joint projects with the Services to speed transition time for rapid fielding. The program objectives are to develop disruptive anticipatory products, processes and services suited for quick deployment to fulfill emerging pre-conflict requirements. Disruptive technology and process demonstrations will leverage low cost, commercial, and often low technology options that don't conform to the typical DoD acquisition business			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of	Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	/larch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603289D8Z <i>I Advanced Innovative</i> <i>Analysis and Concepts</i>	-		Name) Innovative An	\nalysis and	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
model but have the potential to disrupt and change warfighting of Demonstrations will include protection capabilities in an era of in						
FY 2015 Plans:						
Design and conduct unconventional capabilities proof-of-conce	ept and end-to-end demonstrations.					
Develop and demonstrate platforms with novel payloads.						
Explore new methodologies to characterize DoD networks while						
<ul> <li>Establish a Department-wide architecture for fusing and manage Plans (P3) into a single threat oriented Common Operating Picture</li> </ul>		n				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su	btotals	-	-	60.00	
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)						
N/A						
Remarks_						
D. Acquisition Strategy						
N/A						

#### E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics are specific to each Advanced Innovative Analysis and Concepts effort and include measures identified in the management approach, Statement of Work (SOW) and Period of Performance (POP). In addition, completions and successes are monitored against schedules and deliverables stated in the initiative's management approach.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item	n Justificat	<b>ion:</b> PB 20 <sup>-</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te Advanced Technology Developme		ation, Defen	se-Wide I B	A 3:		<b>am Elemen</b> 18D8Z <i>I Joir</i>	•	,	Technology	,		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	6.108	8.996	10.965	-	10.965	11.969	11.998	12.098	12.693	Continuing	Continuing
P619: Joint Electronic Advanced Technology	-	6.108	8.996	10.965	-	10.965	11.969	11.998	12.098	12.693	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Department of Defense must be ready to meet the widespread and growing asymmetric electronic threats that are proliferating at an alarming rate, enabled by widely available commercial electronic components and devices. These range from improvised devices constructed from commercially available electronic and industrial components to dedicated military devices that could be used in ways that diminish our technological advantage in conflicts with nation-states. The surprisingly fast appearance of these threats is accelerating and is now happening quicker than the requirements and acquisition process can respond.

The use of asymmetric devices is well understood by terrorists and nation-states alike. Using man portable air defense systems, mortars, and improvised explosive devices actuated by electronic components terrorists have attacked both air and ground forces and pose a threat in any region due to their portability. Unmanned aircraft systems, also strongly enabled by electronic components are proliferating and pose a threat both as military capability and as potential terrorist weapons delivery mechanism.

Technological surprise and speed of appearance are two asymmetries that highlight the need to rapidly develop and field Electronic Warfare, Information Operations, and Asymmetric Warfare capabilities capable of neutralizing such threats in ways that are both fiscally and temporally responsive. This program element investigates means to rapidly mitigate asymmetric threats by integrating advanced commercial and military off-the-shelf technologies in innovative ways and rapidly demonstrating new technological capabilities to augment and/or reduce risk when inserted into service programs of record. Efforts will also look for methods to employ asymmetric principles against our adversaries.

Beginning in FY 2014, the Joint Electronic Advanced Technology (JEAT) project reorganized to be in better alignment with Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering electronic warfare research priorities. Particularly, JEAT established three pillars that will support the JEAT approach to innovation: 1) experimentation/ demonstration, 2) advanced technology development/verification, and 3) innovative technology exploration. The overarching JEAT philosophy is to be adaptive and to help lead the pace of rapid electronic systems development and the evolving threat picture.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 C	office of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-N Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<i>Vide I</i> BA 3:		ement (Number/Name) I Joint Electronic Advai		
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	6.983	9.009	14.196	-	14.196
Current President's Budget	6.108	8.996	10.965	-	10.965
Total Adjustments	-0.875	-0.013	-3.231	-	-3.231
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.588	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.009	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-0.170	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.105	-			
<ul> <li>FFRDC Adjustment</li> </ul>	-	-0.013	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-3.231	-	-3.231
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.003	-	-	-	-

#### Change Summary Explanation

The reduction is a strategic efficiency approach to reduce funding and staffing. As a result, we provide a better alignment of funding and provide support to a smaller military force.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	efense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3				PE 0603618D8Z / Joint Electronic				Project (Number/Name) P619 I Joint Electronic Advanced Technology				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P619: Joint Electronic Advanced Technology	-	6.108	8.996	10.965	-	10.965	11.969	11.998	12.098	12.693	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Department of Defense (DoD) must be ready to meet the widespread and growing asymmetric electronic threats that are proliferating at an alarming rate, enabled by widely available commercial electronic components and devices. These range from improvised devices constructed from commercially available electronic and industrial components to dedicated military devices that could be used in ways that diminish our technological advantage in conflicts with nation-states. The surprisingly fast appearance of these threats is accelerating quicker than the requirements and acquisition process can respond.

The use of asymmetric devices is well understood by terrorists and nation-states alike. Using man portable air defense systems, mortars, and improvised explosive devices actuated by electronic components terrorists have attacked both air and ground forces and pose a threat in any region due to their portability. Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS), also strongly enabled by electronic components are proliferating and pose a threat both as a military capability and as a potential terrorist weapons delivery mechanism.

Technological surprise and speed of appearance are two asymmetries that highlight the need to rapidly develop and field Electronic Warfare, Information Operations, and Asymmetric Warfare capabilities capable of neutralizing such threats in ways that are both fiscally and temporally responsive. This program element investigates means to rapidly mitigate asymmetric threats by integrating advanced commercial and military off-the-shelf technologies in innovative ways; rapidly demonstrating new technological capabilities to augment and/or reduce risk when inserted into service programs of record. Efforts will also look for methods to employ asymmetric principles against our adversaries.

Beginning in FY 2014, the Joint Electronic Advanced Technology (JEAT) project reorganized to be in better alignment with Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (ASD(R&E)) electronic warfare research priorities. Particularly, JEAT established three pillars that will support the JEAT approach to innovation: 1) experimentation/demonstration, 2) advanced technology development/verification, and 3) innovative technology exploration. The overarching JEAT philosophy is to be adaptive and to help lead the pace of rapid electronic systems development and the evolving threat picture.

Experimentation/Demonstration:

Vigilant Hammer - A recurring multi-year, multi-agency, live, virtual, and constructive (LVC) venue of increasing complexity and difficulty which advances the state of the art for the detection, classification, geolocation and prosecution of electromagnetic signals of interest using DoD and national resources. The event will be modeled after the BLACK DART and Trident Spectre venues, and will include scripted and free play scenarios intended to give participants an opportunity to identify synergies and incrementally build capabilities to engage threats. Engagement (Hammer) payloads will be developed and vetted through distributed electronic effects development.

40013       PE 06036180BZ / Joint Electronic       P619 / Joint Electronic Advanced Technology         Advanced Technology Development/Verification:       Advanced Technology       Technology         Distributed electronic effects development – A laboratory and developmental construct that will assess emerging Electronic Warfare (EW) technologies, allowing more effective coordination of sensor and electronic attack capabilities to deliver multi-point, collaborative EW services to warfighters. Distributed electronic effects development will seek to identify and match technologies together that have natural synergies and produce more capability than the sum of the individual capability comproses into highly configurable EA capability. This technology in the lip counter adversary movement into advanced military purpose digital electronic attack (EA) Capability – Opportunities exist to adapt existing technology used for communication and other purposes into highly configurable EA capability. This technology in the lip counter adversary movement into advanced military purpose digital electronic systems.         Beginning in FY 2014, JEAT began to adapt software configurable communications technology to be used as part of a distributed, networked, EA capability that can breadily adapted for installation in a wide variety of host platforms.         Innovative Technology Exploration:       Adaptive/Asymmetric Technology – This effort directly supports ASD(R&E), Director EW and Countermeasures (EW&C) by performing analyses and studies of emergy asymmetric threats. Past efforts under this JEAT project include the Aircraft Survivability Equipment Joint Analysis Team and the Helicopter Survivability Task Force, both of which resulted in significant strategic technology investments by the Department.         Accomplishmen			Batori		
Distributed electronic effects development – A laboratory and developmental construct that will assess emerging Electronic Warfare (EW) technologies, allowing more effective coordination of sensor and electronic attack capabilities to deliver multi-point, collaborative EW services to warfighters. Distributed electronic effects development will seek to identify and match technologies together that have natural synergies and produce more capability than the sum of the individual capabilities. Software Programmable/Spectrum Diverse Electronic Attack (EA) Capability – Opportunities exist to adapt existing technology used for communication and other purposes into highly configurable EA capability. This technology in the plo counter adversary movement into advareed military purpose digital electronic systems. Beginning in FY 2014, JEAT began to adapt software configurable communications technology to be used as part of a distributed, networked, EA capability that can breadily adapted for installation in a wide variety of host platforms. Innovative Technology Exploration: Adaptive/Asymmetric Technology = This effort directly supports ASD(R&E), Director EW and Countermeasures (EW&C) by performing analyses and studies of emerg asymmetric threats. Past efforts under this JEAT project include the Aircraft Survivability Equipment Joint Analysis Team and the Helicopter Survivability Task Force, onto high resulted in significant strategic technology investments by the Department.  B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)  FY 2013 FY 2014 FY 201  FY 2013 FY 2014 I FY 201  FY 2013 Tetraft currently use a federated architecture of sensors and countermeasures to protect themselves against judied and uplay avoiding collisions with the ground and other obstacles. These sensors ypically provide the pilot with separate displays of radar warning and missile warning to guide the pilot in selecting automatic or manual countermeasures that include off-board systems.  FY 2013 Accomplishments:  Demonstrated free space laser com	Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	PE 0603618D8Z / Joint Electronic	P619 / Joint Electro		d
more effective coordination of sensor and electronic attack capabilities to deliver multi-point, collaborative EW services to warfighters. Distributed electronic effects development will seek to identify and match technologies together that have natural synergies and produce more capability than the sum of the individual capabilities. Software Programmable/Spectrum Diverse Electronic Attack (EA) Capability – Opportunities exist to adapt existing technology used for communication and other purposes find holding ungurable Capability. This technology will help counter adversary movement into advanced military purpose digital electronic systems. Beginning in FY 2014, JEAT began to adapt software configurable communications technology to be used as part of a distributed, networked, EA capability that can breadily adapted for installation in a wide variety of host platforms. Innovative Technology Exploration: Adaptive/Asymmetric Technology – This effort directly supports ASD(R&E), Director EW and Countermeasures (EW&C) by performing analyses and studies of emerge asymmetric threats. Past efforts under this JEAT project include the Aircraft Survivability Equipment Joint Analysis Team and the Helicopter Survivability Task Force, both of which resulted in significant strategic technology investments by the Department.  Adaptive/Asymmetric Planned Programs (S in Millions)  FY 2013 FY 2014 FY 20  Iffe: Integrated Situational Awareness and Countermeasures (FY 2013 effort)  For a fry 2014 contermeasures (FY 2013 effort)  FY 2013 integrate displays of radar warning and missile warning to guide the pilot in selecting automatic or manual countermeasures against radar, laser, or radio frequency guided furbals. These unfused sensors create a serial nformation stream which can induce an inadequate response to the threat. Federated systems consume weight, space, and owner which are at a premium in small platforms. Additionally, there currently is no coordinated effort to develop integrated distuated intuational awareness or contro	Advanced Technology Development/Verification:				
purposes into highly configurable EA capability. This technology will help counter adversary movement into advanced military purpose digital electronic systems. Beginning in FY 2014, JEAT began to adapt software configurable communications technology to be used as part of a distributed, networked, EA capability that can be readily adapted for installation in a wide variety of host platforms. Adaptive/Asymmetric Technology – This effort directly supports ASD(R&E), Director EW and Countermeasures (EW&C) by performing analyses and studies of emerge asymmetric threats. Past efforts under this JEAT project include the Aircraft Survivability Equipment Joint Analysis Team and the Helicopter Survivability Task Force, both of which resulted in significant strategic technology investments by the Department. <b>8. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)</b> FY 2013       FY 2013       FY 2014       FY 201         Description:       DoD aircraft currently use a federated architecture of sensors and countermeasures to protect themselves against paint and, laser, or radio frequency guided threats. These unfused sensors create a serial nformation stream which can induce an inadequate response to the threats. Tederated systems consume weight, space, and yower which are at a premium in small platforms. Additionally, there currently is no coordinated effort to develop integrated istuated and avareness or control countermeasures that include off-board systems. <b>FY 2013 ACCOMPLISHOMENTS FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Department (RASE) – JEAT completed its objectives during a RASE experiment       Adaptive for installation is a preserve and the magnetically actuated optics during a RASE experiment	more effective coordination of sensor and electronic attack capabilitie	es to deliver multi-point, collaborative EW services to wa	arfighters. Distribute	d electronic e	ffects
Adaptive/Asymmetric Technology – This effort directly supports ASD(R&E), Director EW and Countermeasures (EW&C) by performing analyses and studies of emerge asymmetric threats. Past efforts under this JEAT project include the Aircraft Survivability Equipment Joint Analysis Team and the Helicopter Survivability Task Force, both of which resulted in significant strategic technology investments by the Department.  3. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)  FY 2013 FY 2014 FY 20  FY 2013 CFY 2013 effort)  Description: DoD aircraft currently use a federated architecture of sensors and countermeasures to protect themselves against juided and unguided hostile threats while simultaneously avoiding collisions with the ground and other obstacles. These sensors ypically provide the pilot with separate displays of radar warning and missile warning to guide threats. These unfused sensors create a serial information stream which can induce an inadequate response to the threat. Federated systems consume weight, space, and sower which are at a premium in small platforms. Additionally, there currently us coordinated effort to develop integrated situational awareness or control countermeasures that include off-board systems.  FY 2013 Accomplishments: Demonstrated free space laser communications capability based upon magnetically actuated optics during Trident Spectre. This echnology related to the Hostile Fire Detection / countermeasures with the magnetically actuated prices. Rotorcraft Aircraft Survivability Equipment (ASE) Experiment (RASE) – JEAT completed its objectives during a RASE experiment	purposes into highly configurable EA capability. This technology will	help counter adversary movement into advanced milita	ry purpose digital el	ectronic syste	ems.
asymmetric threats. Past efforts under this JEAT project include the Aircraft Survivability Equipment Joint Analysis Team and the Helicopter Survivability Task Force, both of which resulted in significant strategic technology investments by the Department.  3. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)  FY 2013 FY 2014 FY 20  Title: Integrated Situational Awareness and Countermeasures (FY 2013 effort)  Description: DoD aircraft currently use a federated architecture of sensors and countermeasures to protect themselves against guided and unguided hostile threats while simultaneously avoiding collisions with the ground and other obstacles. These sensors ypically provide the pilot with separate displays of radar warning and missile warning to guide the pilot in selecting automatic or manual countermeasures against radar, laser, or radio frequency guided threats. These unfused sensors create a serial nformation stream which can induce an inadequate response to the threat. Federated systems consume weight, space, and bower which are at a premium in small platforms. Additionally, there currently is no coordinated effort to develop integrated distuational awareness or control countermeasures that include off-board systems.  FY 2013 Accomplishments: Demonstrated free space laser communications capability based upon magnetically actuated optics during Trident Spectre. This echnology leveraged off of technology related to the Hostile Fire Detection / countermeasures with the magnetically actuated optics during a RASE experiment (ASE) Experiment (RASE) – JEAT completed its objectives during a RASE experiment	Innovative Technology Exploration:				
Title: Integrated Situational Awareness and Countermeasures (FY 2013 effort)       1.875         Description: DoD aircraft currently use a federated architecture of sensors and countermeasures to protect themselves against guided and unguided hostile threats while simultaneously avoiding collisions with the ground and other obstacles. These sensors ypically provide the pilot with separate displays of radar warning and missile warning to guide the pilot in selecting automatic or manual countermeasures against radar, laser, or radio frequency guided threats. These unfused sensors create a serial information stream which can induce an inadequate response to the threat. Federated systems consume weight, space, and bower which are at a premium in small platforms. Additionally, there currently is no coordinated effort to develop integrated situational awareness or control countermeasures that include off-board systems.         FY 2013 Accomplishments:       Demonstrated free space laser communications capability based upon magnetically actuated optics during Trident Spectre. This echnology leveraged off of technology related to the Hostile Fire Detection / countermeasures with the magnetically actuated optics.         Rotorcraft Aircraft Survivability Equipment (ASE) Experiment (RASE) – JEAT completed its objectives during a RASE experiment	asymmetric threats. Past efforts under this JEAT project include the	Aircraft Survivability Equipment Joint Analysis Team an			
Description: DoD aircraft currently use a federated architecture of sensors and countermeasures to protect themselves against guided and unguided hostile threats while simultaneously avoiding collisions with the ground and other obstacles. These sensors ypically provide the pilot with separate displays of radar warning and missile warning to guide the pilot in selecting automatic or manual countermeasures against radar, laser, or radio frequency guided threats. These unfused sensors create a serial information stream which can induce an inadequate response to the threat. Federated systems consume weight, space, and bower which are at a premium in small platforms. Additionally, there currently is no coordinated effort to develop integrated situational awareness or control countermeasures that include off-board systems. FY 2013 Accomplishments: Demonstrated free space laser communications capability based upon magnetically actuated optics during Trident Spectre. This echnology leveraged off of technology related to the Hostile Fire Detection / countermeasures with the magnetically actuated optics. Rotorcraft Aircraft Survivability Equipment (ASE) Experiment (RASE) – JEAT completed its objectives during a RASE experiment	B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
guided and unguided hostile threats while simultaneously avoiding collisions with the ground and other obstacles. These sensors ypically provide the pilot with separate displays of radar warning and missile warning to guide the pilot in selecting automatic for manual countermeasures against radar, laser, or radio frequency guided threats. These unfused sensors create a serial information stream which can induce an inadequate response to the threat. Federated systems consume weight, space, and yower which are at a premium in small platforms. Additionally, there currently is no coordinated effort to develop integrated situational awareness or control countermeasures that include off-board systems.  FY 2013 Accomplishments: Demonstrated free space laser communications capability based upon magnetically actuated optics during Trident Spectre. This echnology leveraged off of technology related to the Hostile Fire Detection / countermeasures with the magnetically actuated optics.  Rotorcraft Aircraft Survivability Equipment (ASE) Experiment (RASE) – JEAT completed its objectives during a RASE experiment	Title: Integrated Situational Awareness and Countermeasures (FY 20	013 effort)	1.875	-	
Demonstrated free space laser communications capability based upon magnetically actuated optics during Trident Spectre. This echnology leveraged off of technology related to the Hostile Fire Detection / countermeasures with the magnetically actuated optics. Rotorcraft Aircraft Survivability Equipment (ASE) Experiment (RASE) – JEAT completed its objectives during a RASE experiment	guided and unguided hostile threats while simultaneously avoiding co typically provide the pilot with separate displays of radar warning and or manual countermeasures against radar, laser, or radio frequency g information stream which can induce an inadequate response to the t power which are at a premium in small platforms. Additionally, there	pllisions with the ground and other obstacles. These se missile warning to guide the pilot in selecting automation guided threats. These unfused sensors create a serial threat. Federated systems consume weight, space, and currently is no coordinated effort to develop integrated	nsors c		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense

Date: March 2014

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Secretary Of Defense	Date:	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603618D8Z <i>I Joint Electronic</i> <i>Advanced Technology</i>	Project (Number/ P619 / Joint Electi Technology	d	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
of a hostile projectile, networking the point of origin information of lethal countermeasures to the shooter.	f-board and exploring the technical feasibility of delivering	non-		
Title: Low Cost/Near Term Counter Asymmetric Systems (FY 207	13 effort)	0.146	-	-
Description: Low cost, near term technologies solutions to asym	metric EW threats.			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Initiated JEAT funded efforts to gather information necessary to d missile seekers threatening all military aircraft.	evelop countermeasures against an advanced category of			
Based upon the Office of the Secretary of Defense Advanced Thr possible solutions to emerging threats. JEAT evaluated techniqu fifth generation missile seekers. This effort supported signature n trials and joint collaboration.	es to rapidly develop countermeasures to advanced, fourth	n and		
Title: Disruptive Technology Defeat and Utilization (FY 2013 effort	rt)	4.087	-	-
<b>Description:</b> Emerging and disruptive technologies analysis; rapitechniques to threats in Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO and limitations against the threat and capture of baseline system Trident Spectre provides a venue for various members of Special collaborate on and evaluate technologies and techniques related environment. Trident Spectre provides an opportunity for capabil directly with tactical operators, collectors and analysts; and a proclintelligence technologies and techniques that will enhance the op is improved connectivity and more efficient collection and dissemic Central Command, Special Operations Command (SOCOM), ASI the Intelligence Community. Products include an after action reportation (R&E).	). Primary payoff is an assessment of current system capa performance against the threat set for developing technolo Forces, Conventional Forces and Intelligence Community to "Tactical Intelligence" in a technical, operational, and sa ity developers (scientists, engineers, designers) to interact cess that correctly and efficiently reviews potential Tactical erational capability of the DoD activities in OCO. Primary ination of Tactical Intelligence. Customers include United D(R&E), DoD Conventional/Special Forces, and members	abilities ogies. to fe payoff States of		
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> JEAT sponsored a portion of the Trident Spectre demonstration for FY 2014. Trident Spectre 2013 included more than 100 experime nearly immediately into the hands of warfighters and intelligence	ents and produced technical solutions that transition directl			
Title: Experimentation/Demonstration		-	2.376	4.14

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Sec	cretary Of Defense	Date:	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603618D8Z <i>I Joint Electronic</i> <i>Advanced Technology</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P619 <i>I Joint Electronic Advanced</i> <i>Technology</i>		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Description:</b> FY 2015 efforts will leverage the methodologies of pass including the BLACK DART counter Unmanned Aircraft Systems (U, Equipment (ASE) Experiment (RASE) to establish a new venue to in effects. This new venue called Vigilant Hammer will evaluate the ab collaborative, distributed set of electronic systems which can provide delivery methods. Vigilant Hammer participation will emphasize UA platforms.	AS) demonstration and the Rotorcraft Aircraft Survivabil nvestigate ways of providing distributed delivery of electro ility to provide Electronic Warfare (EW) effects using a e a robust, adaptive and effective network of electronic a	ity ronic attack		
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Distributed Electronic Effects Delivery (DEED) – Assess emerging E sensor and electronic attack capabilities to deliver multi-point, collab to government organizations for proposals to bring new EW technolouse in live, virtual, and constructive demonstrations. The goal is to i synergies and produce more capability than the sum of the individual	porative EW services to warfighters. DEED will issue a copy forward for laboratory evaluations that lead to event dentify and match technologies together that have nature	all ual		
UAV Payload Demonstration and Experimentation – Avenues to eith Electronic Attack (EA) payload experimentation and testing will be p in a series of experiments/demonstrations executed over several sh	ursued. UAVs will be used to support EA payload evalu			
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> Vigilant Hammer – Formally known as DEED, Vigilant Hammer is a constructive (LVC) venue of increasing complexity and difficulty whic classification, geolocation and prosecution of electromagnetic signal be modeled after the BLACK DART and Trident Spectre venues, an participants an opportunity to identify synergies and incrementally be payloads will be developed and vetted through a laboratory environm effort. In this first year of JEAT sponsorship of Vigilant Hammer, der tactical applications of several signal location techniques.	ch advances the state of the art for the detection, Is of interest using DoD and national resources. The ev d will include scripted and free play scenarios intended uild capabilities to engage threats. Engagement (Hamm nent under the distributed electronic effects development	to give ner) nt		
Title: Advanced Technology Development/Verification		-	5.000	4.79
<b>Description:</b> Investigate low cost, near term technologies that could provide new advanced capabilities to United States forces. Foci inc that are not covered by existing programs of record, and include, bu	lude threats, technological opportunity space and appro	aches		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary 0	Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603618D8Z <i>I Joint Electronic</i> <i>Advanced Technology</i>	PE 0603618D8Z / Joint Electronic P619 / Joint Electronic Advanced				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
systems vulnerability to degradation by electronic attack (both air and surfa assessments.	ce domain) and UAS electronic attack vulnerabil	ity				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Advanced Threat Countermeasures – Focuses on the development of innor that can be rapidly fielded to counter new classes of advanced missile seek Services (signature collections and analyses of countermeasures technique countermeasure solutions. In FY 2014, we will apply unique signature man evaluate their effectiveness with respect to reducing the effectiveness of ad Software Programmable/Spectrum Diverse Electronic Attack (EA) Capabilit used for communication and other purposes into highly configurable EA cap movement into advanced military purpose digital electronic systems. In FY communications technology for use as part of a distributed, networked, EA a wide variety of host platforms. One technology will be brought to a Prelim <b>FY 2015 Plans:</b>	<ul> <li>kers. Builds on prior collaborative work with the es) to begin the process of assessing potential nagement techniques to representative surfaces alvanced seekers.</li> <li>ky – Opportunities exist to adapt existing technological pability. This technology will help counter advers 2014 JEAT will begin to adapt software configur capability that may be readily adapted for installation.</li> </ul>	and 9gy sary able				
Advanced Technology Development/Verification – This effort will seek new will be created by combining two or more existing components to produce a warfighting value than the sum of its parts. Laboratory evaluation of these benefits of the new approaches, and will ultimately prepare products for evalue technologies of interest will be identified via a government proposal process.	a new and unique capability that provides more capabilities will seek to integrate and quantify the aluation in venues like Vigilant Hammer. Specific	9				
Title: Innovative Technology Exploration			-	1.620	2.023	
<b>Description:</b> This effort directly supports ASD(R&E), Director EW&C through threats. Past efforts under this JEAT project include the Aircraft Survivability Survivability Task Force, both of which resulted in significant strategic technology.	ty Equipment Joint Analysis Team and the Helico					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Innovative Technology Exploration efforts will focus on creating an adjunct and immediate use of Intelligence Community technology and capability in near-real time analysis of an environment full of diverse commercial and middecision quality information that allows us to use the spectrum to our advant	spectrum warfare. Of particular emphasis is the ilitary purpose emitters to quickly produce action	use of able,				

Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	arch 2014	
<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603618D8Z <i>I Joint Electronic</i> <i>Advanced Technology</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P619 <i>I Joint Electronic Advanced</i> <i>Technology</i>			d
		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
ervices and the Intelligence Community. In this first year of Community derived information and capability.	effort,			
s of interest in related development efforts. Evaluation of				
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su	btotals	6.108	8.996	10.96
	PE 0603618D8Z I Joint Electronic Advanced Technology ervices and the Intelligence Community. In this first year of Community derived information and capability. n analysis of alternative courses of action related to packa s of interest in related development efforts. Evaluation of link budget analyses, size, weight and power analysis, and	PE 0603618D8Z <i>I Joint Electronic</i> P619 Advanced Technology Technology Prvices and the Intelligence Community. In this first year of effort, Community derived information and capability.	PE 0603618D8Z I Joint Electronic       P619 I Joint Electronic         Advanced Technology       Technology         FY 2013       FY 2013         ervices and the Intelligence Community. In this first year of effort,       FY 2013         Community derived information and capability.       In this first year of effort,         n analysis of alternative courses of action related to packaging       s of interest in related development efforts. Evaluation of         link budget analyses, size, weight and power analysis, and other       Interest of the packaging of the p	PE 0603618D8Z I Joint Electronic       P619 I Joint Electronic Advanced         Advanced Technology       Technology         FY 2013       FY 2014         ervices and the Intelligence Community. In this first year of effort,       FY 2013         Community derived information and capability.       In this first year of effort,         n analysis of alternative courses of action related to packaging       s of interest in related development efforts. Evaluation of         link budget analyses, size, weight and power analysis, and other       Interest of the second s

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: Marc	ch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)					-	<b>am Elemen</b> 18D8Z <i>I Joir</i>	•		/ Demonstr	ation (JCTL	))	
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base						Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
Total Program Element	192.297	138.374	165.008	131.960	-	131.960	146.878	140.496	146.502	144.865	Continuing	Continuing
P648: Joint Capability Technology Demonstration (JCTD)	192.297	138.374	152.408	131.960	-	131.960	146.878	140.496	146.502	144.865	Continuing	Continuing
P264: Disruptive Demonstrations	0.000	-	12.600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### Note

Historically, the Joint Capability Technology Demonstration (JCTD) Program has worked primarily with the Combatant Commands (COCOMs) and Services to identify DoD priorities and accelerated the development and demonstration of technical solutions. However, with the end of current conflicts there has been a strategic shift to a more balanced approach that will continue to address COCOM needs in conjunction with initiating broader, longer-term JCTDs to address DoD's strategic initiatives to mitigate emergent threats, address affordability and interoperability of defense systems. The JCTD Program will begin to employ developmental and operational prototypes to address these longer-term DoD priorities.

The shift in the JCTD Program will also result in a shift in Program metrics. JCTDs supporting the DoD's strategic initiatives will tend to be longer and larger, with less focus on transition and partner funding. Overall, we envision initiating fewer yet more impactful JCTD projects.

In FY 2015, Disruptive Demonstrations (Project P264) funding will be transferred from Program Element (PE) 0603648D8Z (Joint Capability Technology Demonstration (JCTD)) to PE 0603289D8Z (Advanced Innovative Analysis & Concepts).

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The JCTD Program directly addresses DoD, multi-Service and COCOMs' priorities through partnering and cost sharing with solution providers and resource sponsors. The value and impact of the JCTD Program is to cost-effectively address the COCOMs' priorities and the Department's strategic initiatives to mitigate emergent threats, address affordability and interoperability of defense systems through developmental and operational prototyping. JCTDs provide key partnerships with the Department, Services, and other government agencies, select allies, and industry that allow for expedited development, deployment, and evaluation of capability solutions with the potential to close validated warfighting capability gaps. The JCTD Program typically demonstrates solutions in two - four years and has a transition rate to the warfighter greater than 80 percent. At least 57 JCTD projects supported Operation Enduring Freedom, 74 projects supported Operation Iraqi Freedom, and over 30 percent of JCTD projects involved partner nations. These JCTD partnerships also enable interdepartmental cooperation and joint capability development (e.g. Departments of Homeland Security, State, Transportation, National Aeronautics and Space Administration and Justice). In FY 2013, the JCTD Program successfully demonstrated and transitioned several key warfighter capabilities that address operational warfighting needs of the Department, providing affordable and sustainable solutions.

Key values demonstrated by the JCTD program are:

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	y Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Nar</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability Ter</i>	,
<ul> <li>The JCTD Program has a long history of providing enduring capabilities. Set</li> <li>The JCTD Program delivers capabilities rapidly and projects execute far quiprocess. The result is that 74 JCTD projects delivered capabilities used in O Freedom. Most of those capabilities would not have been delivered – or wou 1. A robust "detect and track" capability of "dark" (i.e. non-emitting) maritime is supporting the Maritime Domain Awareness (MDA) function. This capability 1. Intelligence and is now used by multiple agencies to provide a MDA capability 2. An operational, internet-based, open-access, Arctic-focused, environmenta cooperation and coordination on long-term environmental planning and near-Region.</li> <li>3. A vastly improved capability for U.S., NATO, and Coalition naval forces bo information during an international operational assessment in April 2013.</li> <li>The JCTD Program enables coalition cooperative development by leveragir some degree of coalition partner participation. As a result of successful past Canada, Australia, and the Republic of Korea.</li> <li>The JCTD Program enables development and execution of interdepartment Transportation, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.</li> <li>The JCTD Program enables rapid response to new DoD priorities before Se Access/Area-Denial, Building Partner Capacity, understanding human terrain providing initial capabilities that are transitioning to the warfighter today.</li> </ul>	icker than the traditional DoD Planning, peration Iraqi Freedom, and 57 projects ild have been significantly delayed – if no targets. This is accomplished through a was successfully transitioned into the Se y. al research and decision-support system term actions in response to climatic and harding operations, data collection, and s ing partner nation expertise and resource collaborations, the program now enjoys tal cooperation projects, such as projects ervice PPBE cycles can respond. For ex- h, and nuclear forensics. The JCTD Prog by well in excess of the DoD Strategic Obj ints of the demonstrated JCTD, going to irect support of operations in theater, or	Programming, Budgeting, and Execution (PPBE) delivered capabilities to Operation Enduring of for the JCTD program. Recent examples include utomated data fusion of an existing suite of sensor ealink Advanced Analysis system at Office of Nava that enables local, regional, and international environmental changes occurring in the Arctic sharing of time-critical boarding and biometrics es; approximately one-third of JCTD projects involv routine interactions with the United Kingdom, s with the Department of Homeland Security, State cample, the DoD has established priorities for Anti- gram quickly responded to the new priorities and is 24 months. jective 3.5.2D, Performance Measure 3.5.1-2D, a new or existing Program(s) of Record, providing

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Off	ice of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-W Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	-	ement (Number/Name) / Joint Capability Techr		JCTD)	
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	158.263	174.428	156.756	-	156.756
Current President's Budget	138.374	165.008	131.960	-	131.960
Total Adjustments	-19.889	-9.420	-24.796	-	-24.796
Congressional General Reductions	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-14.331	-9.400			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.210	-			
Congressional Adds	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-2.067	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-3.220	-			
<ul> <li>Transfer of Disruptive Demonstrations</li> </ul>	-	-	-21.000	-	-21.000
funding to PE 0603289D8Z					
<ul> <li>Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-3.796	-	-3.796
Other Program Adjustments	-0.061	-	-	-	-
FFRDC Adjustments	-	-0.020	-	-	-

#### Change Summary Explanation

FY 2015: Net decrease of \$21.000M due to transfer of Disruptive Demonstrations (P264) funding from PE 0603648D8Z to new PE 0603289D8Z Advanced Innovative Analysis and Concepts.

Net decrease of \$3.796M is the result of promoting efficient spending to support agency operations.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	ustification	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	efense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3				PE 0603648D8Z / Joint Capability P6			<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P648 I Joint Capability Technology Demonstration (JCTD)					
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P648: Joint Capability Technology Demonstration (JCTD)	192.297	138.374	152.408	131.960	-	131.960	146.878	140.496	146.502	144.865	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### <u>Note</u>

Historically, the Joint Capability Technology Demonstration (JCTD) Program has worked primarily with the Combatant Commands (COCOMs) and Services to identify DoD priorities and accelerated the development and demonstration of technical solutions. However, with the end of current conflicts there has been a strategic shift to a more balanced approach that will continue to address COCOM needs in conjunction with initiating broader, longer-term JCTDs to address DoD's strategic initiatives to mitigate emergent threats, address affordability and interoperability of defense systems. The JCTD Program will begin to employ developmental and operational prototypes to address these longer-term DoD priorities.

The shift in the JCTD Program will also result in a shift in Program metrics. JCTDs supporting the DoD's strategic initiatives will tend to be longer and larger, with less focus on transition and partner funding. Overall, we envision initiating fewer yet more impactful JCTD projects.

In FY 2015, funds will be transferred from the JCTD Program Element (PE) to establish a new PE 0603289D8Z (Advanced Innovative Analysis & Concepts).

## A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The JCTD Program directly addresses DoD, multi-Service and COCOMs' priorities through partnering and cost sharing with solution providers and resource sponsors. The value and impact of the JCTD program is to cost-effectively address the COCOMs' priorities and the Department's strategic initiatives to mitigate emergent threats, address affordability and interoperability of defense systems through developmental and operational prototyping. JCTDs provide key partnerships with the Department, Services, and other government agencies, select allies, and industry that allow for expedited development, deployment, and evaluation of capability solutions with the potential to close validated warfighting capability gaps. The JCTD Program typically demonstrates solutions in two - four years and has a transition rate to the warfighter greater than 80 percent. At least 57 JCTD projects supported Operation Enduring Freedom, 74 projects supported Operation Iraqi Freedom, and over 30 percent of JCTD projects involved partner nations. These JCTD partnerships also enable interdepartmental cooperation and joint capability development (e.g. Departments of Homeland Security, State, Transportation, National Aeronautics and Space Administration and Justice). In FY 2013, the JCTD Program successfully demonstrated and transitioned several key warfighter capabilities that address operational warfighting needs of the Department, providing affordable and sustainable solutions.

Key values demonstrated by the JCTD program are:

• The JCTD Program has a long history of providing enduring capabilities. See "Section D. Acquisition Strategy" for more details on transition.

• The JCTD Program delivers capabilities rapidly and projects execute far quicker than the traditional DoD Planning, Programming, Budgeting, and Execution (PPBE) process. The result is that 74 JCTD projects delivered capabilities used in Operation Iraqi Freedom, and 57 projects delivered capabilities to Operation Enduring Freedom. Most of those capabilities would not have been delivered – or would have been significantly delayed – if not for the JCTD program. Recent examples include:

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: March 2014		
	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	P648 / Joir	umber/Name) nt Capability Technology ttion (JCTD)

1. A robust "detect and track" capability of "dark" (i.e. non-emitting) maritime targets. This is accomplished through automated data fusion of an existing suite of sensors supporting the Maritime Domain Awareness (MDA) function. This capability was successfully transitioned into the Sealink Advanced Analysis system at Office of Naval Intelligence and is now used by multiple agencies to provide a MDA capability.

2. An operational, internet-based, open-access, Arctic-focused, environmental research and decision-support system that enables local, regional, and international cooperation and coordination on long-term environmental planning and near-term actions in response to climatic and environmental changes occurring in the Arctic Region.

3. A vastly improved capability for U.S., NATO, and Coalition naval forces boarding operations, data collection, and sharing of time-critical boarding and biometrics information during an international operational assessment in April 2013.

• The JCTD Program enables coalition cooperative development by leveraging partner nation expertise and resources; approximately one-third of JCTD projects involve some degree of coalition partner participation. As a result of successful past collaborations, the program now enjoys routine interactions with the United Kingdom, Canada, Australia, and the Republic of Korea.

• The JCTD Program enables development and execution of interdepartmental cooperation projects, such as projects with the Department of Homeland Security, State, Transportation, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

• The JCTD Program enables rapid response to new DoD priorities before Service PPBE cycles can respond. For example, the DoD has established priorities for Anti-Access/Area-Denial, Building Partner Capacity, understanding human terrain, and nuclear forensics. The JCTD Program quickly responded to the new priorities and is providing initial capabilities that are transitioning to the warfighter today.

#### MEASURABLE OUTCOMES:

Capabilities delivered and technologies transitioned have been key metrics:

1. JCTDs typically transition capability within 24 - 36 months with initial spiral products and deliverables in less than 24 months.

2. The JCTD program has been achieving transition rates of over 80 percent, well in excess of the DoD Strategic Objective 3.5.2D, Performance Measure 3.5.1-2D, goal of 40 percent. The JCTD Program defines transition as all, or components of the demonstrated JCTD, going to a new or existing Program(s) of Record, providing fieldable-prototypes (residual capabilities) sustained by non-JCTD funds in direct support of operations in theater, or commodity-type capabilities entered onto GSA schedule for procurement by DoD users. In FY 2013, 12 of 12 completed JCTDs successfully transitioned.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Mission Assurance Decision Support System (MADSS)	1.150	-	-
<b>Description:</b> MADSS provides an integrated Command, Control and Communications (C3) operational and critical infrastructure relationships understanding by correlating data from different data sources, using web-based services, and secure network and automated data transformation services. MADSS provides improved responsiveness and predictive capability, rapid event analysis, and Warfighter analysis of alternatives development for network and critical infrastructure outages. MADSS is in daily operational use at U.S. Strategic Command (STRATCOM).			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D		Date: N	arch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	) Project (Number/Name) P648 / Joint Capability Technology Demonstration (JCTD)			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Provided Extended Operational Use and transitioned MADSS to Defense Inform	mation Systems Agency. Completed the JCTD				
Title: Tactical Edge Data Solutions (TEDS)			1.955	-	-
<b>Description:</b> TEDS is the implementation of Command and Control (C2) Core level so that web-services data sharing frameworks based on Universal Core (I systems. TEDS focuses on exchanging data from Army and Marine Corps C2 Battlespace Awareness domains. The efficiencies gained will be the reduction multiple programs and the ability to seamlessly exchange data within Military S Organization (NATO) and coalition partners who adopt UCore. Transition of th translation and semantic mediation is planned for Programs of Record in the Arenable C2 systems to migrate to a Service Oriented Architecture environment. <b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Demonstrated net-enabled Coalition Data Sharing using C2 Core in Coalition V partners. Transitioned these capabilities by uploading the information exchange Repository and the NATO Metadata Registry and Repository. Transitioned We in tactical programs of record to enable mediation of data across tactical C2 systems.	UCore) can enable data sharing among dispar Authoritative Data Sources for the C2 and of redundant software being developed across revices as well as the North Atlantic Treaty e C2 Core extensions and Web services for rmy and Marine Corps. The output of TEDS w Varrior Interoperability Exercise with seven coa ge specifications to the DoD Metadata Data eb services to Army and Marine Corps for use	ate s ill lition			
and Enemy Situation reporting using U.S. message text formatting. Provided the mediation to other communities of interest such as logistics, force support, and		e			
<i>Title:</i> Command and Control Gap Filler (C2GF)			3.910	0.690	-
<b>Description:</b> C2GF will provide an information systems architecture that can s government departments. The C2GF solution will also provide data fusion service concept of operations and employment and Tactics, Techniques, and Proce coordination.	vices to users. Additionally, the C2GF will refir				
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Completed Operational Utility Assessments at an US Northern Command exer integration and integrated Air and Missile Defense sensor netting. Provided se Aviation Administration (FAA) sensors in the Air Operations Center.		deral			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Finalize JCTD Transition Documents and complete the JCTD.					
<i>Title:</i> National Technical Nuclear Forensics (NTNF)			4.083	1.600	-

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	efense	Date:	March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	) Project (Number/Name) P648 I Joint Capability Technology Demonstration (JCTD)			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<b>Description:</b> NTNF will strengthen strategic nuclear deterrence by enhancing r after release of nuclear materials (details are classified). NTNF will integrate ac technologies in both manned and unmanned platforms, and integrate DoD capa Concept of Operations for advanced air and ground sample collection with glob enhanced integrated yield estimation methods for nuclear events. The technique determine initial yields and collect nuclear debris, while enhancing safety for NT	dvanced air and ground debris sample collect abilities into the developing joint interagency al applicability. The project will also demonst ues to be employed will increase capabilities t	on			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Completed and produced operational assessment of integrated yield determinated development and training with unmanned advanced ground sampling collection system capabilities. Operationally demonstrated and exercised advanced ground line-of site communications systems. Operationally demonstrated and exercised advanced ground are arised are collection system capabilities on Department of Homeland aumanned aerial system/platform. Produced advanced ground sampling collection interim operational assessments. Conducted integration and technical testing of line-of-sight (satellite) communications. Conducted integration, ground/technication airborne collection system on manned C-130 aircraft.	a platform and particulate airborne collection nd sampling collection platform capabilities w ed particulate airborne collection system and Security Customs and Boarder MQ-9 Predato stion and particulate airborne collection system of advanced ground sampling collection with r	r 1			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Continue integration, ground/technical testing and initial flight testing of particula aircraft. Complete the JCTD.	ate airborne collection system on manned C- <sup>-</sup>	30			
Title: Dark Fusion (DF)		1.72	5 -	-	
<b>Description:</b> DF is a capability to detect and track non-emitting maritime threat capabilities which provides the ability to detect and track difficult maritime targe (details are classified).		SS			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Conducted technical demonstration and final operational demonstration. Trans Intelligence (ONI) program of record. Completed Military Utility Assessment. J		ıl			
Title: Combat Commander Direct Participation, Transition Enabling, and Specia	al Programs	17.90	3 24.150	33.150	
<b>Description:</b> This effort is comprised of three programs that support the entire projects. The three programs are (1) Unified Combatant Commander (COCOM Program Integration Office for execution of select, classified projects. (1) COCO	1) Direct Support; (2) JCTD Pre-Transition; an	d (3)			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	j <b>ect (Number/Name)</b> 8 I Joint Capability Technology nonstration (JCTD)			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
in specifying capability needs, project selection, validation, demonstration, asse Program provides direct support to COCOMs, enabling the COCOMs to provide two full-time equivalents (FTEs). (2) JCTD Pre-Transition: In some cases, Ser available for one to two years following the JCTD assessment phase due to Se where there is a clear transition and the need to sustain the capability for a sho transition funds the JCTD Pre-Transition fund may be used to meet that need. classified projects that require enhanced security measures due to need-to-kno within the Program Integration Office.	e an on-site JCTD manager, typically one to vice or Agency partner transition funding is no rvice or Agency commitments. In such cases ort time prior to availability of Service or Agency (3) Program Integration Office: A limited num	, y ber of			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> COCOM direct participation enabled COCOM staff participation in developing a warfighter input, and proper focus of JCTD projects. JCTD transition enabling f projects, sustaining the efforts for a year until committed Program of Record (P Office executed projects as approved and developed new projects that address	funds provided transition bridge funding for se OR) funds were received. The Program Integ	ration			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Continue to provide COCOM direct participation to enable COCOM staff partici ensuring direct warfighter input and proper focus of JCTD projects. Sustain sel received. Develop and execute projects as proposed by COCOMs.					
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Continue to provide COCOM direct participation to enable COCOM staff partici ensuring direct warfighter input and proper focus of JCTD projects. Sustain sel received. Develop and execute projects as proposed by COCOMs.					
<i>Title:</i> Enabling Technologies (ET)			31.511	8.050	8.050
<b>Description:</b> The ET fund is used to rapidly assess or mature emerging capab whether a JCTD project should be initiated. Emerging Technology investments may lead to JCTD proposals, depending on the COCOM assessment and determined to JCTD proposals.	s are small, short (less than one year) efforts the				
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Projects were selected based on the rapid assessment or maturing of emerging partners, and/or DoD leadership that were intended to mitigate technical risks p be initiated. Selected efforts were small, focused, and executable in less than a (prototype hardware and/or software, integrated subsystem, tech assessment r	prior to determining whether a JCTD project shone year and required a concrete deliverable				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense			Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	P648/	ject (Number/Name) 8 I Joint Capability Technology nonstration (JCTD)			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
technology maturation, leads to risk mitigation, partner contributions, an in FY 2013, ETs included funding for "Disruptive Demonstrations" to sup capabilities that addressed Secretary/Department Strategic Vectors, and	oport development/demonstration of time-sensitive					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Projects will continue to be determined based on the rapid assessment of COCOMs, interagency partners, and/or DoD leadership that are intended a JCTD project should be initiated. Selected effort will be small, focused concrete deliverable (prototype hardware and/or software, integrated su attributes include technology maturation, leads to risk mitigation, partner FY 2014 a new project code (P264) was initiated for Disruptive Demonst now reflected in project code P264.	ed to mitigate technical risks prior to determining whe d, and executable in less than one year and require absystem, tech assessment report, etc.). Desired ET r contributions, and directly responds to COCOM new	a eds. In				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Projects will continue to be determined based on the rapid assessment of COCOMs, interagency partners, and/or DoD leadership that are intended JCTD project should be initiated.		ther a				
Title: Smart Power Infrastructure Demonstration for Energy Reliability a	nd Security (SPIDERS)		0.690	2.013	0.575	
<b>Description:</b> SPIDERS will demonstrate cyber-secure "smart" micro-gri of renewable energy and storage on military installations, in partnership Department of Energy (DOE). The expected output and efficiency to be risk" of extended electric grid outages by developing the capability to "is security.	with Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and e demonstrated is a reduction in the "unacceptably hi					
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Conducted first circuit level technical and operational demonstration at a Transitioned JBPHH micro-grid ownership to Navy Facilities Engineering results. Conducted second technical demonstration at Fort Carson Arm the micro-grid including electric vehicles.	g Command, HI. Held first SPIDERS industry day to					
FY 2014 Plans:						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of		Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	P648 / J	ect (Number/Name) 3 I Joint Capability Technology onstration (JCTD)			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Perform second operational demonstration at Fort Carson, CO. Transition micro-grid to Fort Carson tenants. Conduct second SPIDERS industry day to share results. Complete micro-grid design for third phase at Camp Smith, HI Begin construction to install micro-grid technologies at Camp Smith.						
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Perform third and final technical and operational demonstration on the entire in economic opportunity to save electrical costs at the base. Transition micro-grid JCTD.	•					
Title: Computer Adaptive Network Defense-in-Depth (CANDID)			1.280	0.227	-	
<ul> <li>Description: CANDID will demonstrate the integration of Virtual Secure Enclaves (VSEs) inside existing tactical networks to enable network defense-in-depth and ensure Command and Control (C2) capabilities despite hostile attempts to hack, disrupt, and deny computer networks. CANDID will increase security of vital C2 capabilities in a cyber-contested environment; prevent infiltration from external threats, ex-filtration of protected information, and C2 denial of service; and deliver cyber surveillance and situational awareness through fusion of heterogeneous sensor data.</li> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Hardened leave behind/transition ready VSE Secret Internet Protocol Router Network (SIPRNET) C2 capability at U.S. Pacific Command, U.S. Pacific Fleet/Joint Task Force 519, and functional components. Transitioned capability to U.S. Navy.</li> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> </ul>						
Complete transition to Defense Information Systems Agency. Complete the J	CTD.					
<i>Title:</i> Collaborative Coalition Collection Environment (C3E)			3.061	-	-	
<b>Description:</b> C3E is a language independent intelligence data collection interfielding to support the Operational Control (OPCON) transformation on the Ko by guiding the user to choose a variety of options using cascading drop-down describe their requirements in general military terms, symbols, and graphics w on specialized skills, language, and process that are beyond the shared expert to gather, manage, and understand collection requirements and tasks in real t	rean Peninsula. C3E reduces data collection e menus. C3E will enable U.S./Korean personn vithin their native language. C3E reduces relia rience of coalition operators. It improves the al	errors el to nce				
FY 2013 Accomplishments:						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense Date:				arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	P648 / Joi	Project (Number/Name) P648 I Joint Capability Technology Demonstration (JCTD)		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Conducted final Technical Demonstration event. Conducted final Operational Utility Assessment. Finalized Concept of Operations (CONOPs). Provided Ut capability to support current missions. Initiated transition through Technology	nited States Pacific Command with a leave beh				
<i>Title:</i> Joint Warfighting Integrated Network Operations (NetOps) (JWIN)			1.431	-	-
<b>Description:</b> JWIN consolidates independent Situational Awareness (SA) dat framework for analysis, planning, and display. JWIN translates Service specif format. This approach enables employment of Network Operations tools to er Joint Task Force (JTF) Commander's decision making process over tactical er enhanced situational awareness to understand the impact of network events of policy collaboration and management capabilities used to communicate author Concept of Operations (CONOPS) is developed to ensure a Joint procedural of Tactics, Techniques and Procedures (JTTPs) will be identified during initial pro (USPACOM). Providing the JFC/JTF a consolidated network view affords the NETOPS supporting associated missions to implement the commander's inter <b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b>	ic network information into a common actionab hance the Joint Force Commander (JFC) or dge network resources. Key benefits include on critical operations and network distributed ritative direction over tactical network resource construct is established, and proposed Joint ototype fielding at United States Pacific Comma m the ability to monitor and influence tactical nt. ovided Joint/Military Utility Assessment. Finaliz	le s. and			
CONOPs and proposed JTTPs. Provided USPACOM with a leave behind cap <i>Title:</i> Autonomous Technologies for Unmanned Aerial Systems (ATUAS)	bability. Completed the JCTD.		4.888	_	
<ul> <li>Description: ATUAS will integrate a series of technologies and demonstrate a from a forward point of need in operationally relevant conditions. It will demonstrate a onboard enhanced autonomous navigation and contingency management soft Unmanned Aerial Systems (UAS) reducing the risks to the Warfighter and ena FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Installed Electro-Optical/Infrared (EO/IR) Camera, Beyond Line of Sight (BLOS upgraded BLOS data link onto K-MAX (an unmanned helicopter). Integrated a (Dynamic Route Planner). Conducted Operational Utility Assessment focusing</li> </ul>	nstrate increased mission level autonomy throu tware for single operator/multi-vehicle control o abling improved operational readiness. S), 3D Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) ar and tested autonomous en route re-programming g on autonomous delivery of multiple loads to	gh f two id ng			
multiple locations and retrograde operations. Transitioned the technologies to	o new Navy Autonomous Aerial Cargo Utility Sy	siem			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense				arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	P648 / Joint C	oject (Number/Name) 48 / Joint Capability Technology monstration (JCTD)		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 20	13	FY 2014	FY 2015
(AACUS) Program of Record and Joint Cargo UAS Programs of Record. Deter procedures demonstrated. Completed the JCTD.	rmined the military utility of the technologies a	nd			
<i>Title:</i> Countermeasure Expendable with Replaceable Block Elements for React (CERBERUS)	tive Unmanned Systems Multi-Mission Jamme	er 1	.339	0.225	-
<b>Description:</b> CERBERUS delivers a net-enabled modular expendable jamming Launched Decoy (MALD) that employs replaceable nosecone payloads to cour responsibility. CERBERUS reduces overall mission costs by providing reconfig	nter emerging threats in the PACOM area of				
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Completed advanced radar jamming payload assembly and data link electronic demonstration of first nose cone assembly.	attack payload assembly. Conducted technic	al			
FY 2014 Plans: Integrate final nose cone assemblies. Complete Operational Utility Assessment	t. Complete the JCTD.				
<i>Title:</i> Regional Domain Awareness (RDA)		2	.346	0.817	-
<b>Description:</b> RDA demonstrates a standards-based unclassified framework for information sharing between U.S. government agencies and international partners. RDA will install government off the shelf software to integrate air, land, and sea sensor data to create a multi-domain unclassified information sharing framework between U.S. interagency and local, tribal, and international partners. RDA will demonstrate (1) assured integration from air, maritime, and land sensors and networks; (2) user defined monitoring and alerting; (3) selective sharing of situational awareness and alerts to multiple defined users; (4) Concept of operations and Tactics, Techniques & Procedures supporting the sharing of unclassified information to non-PKI (Public Key Infrastructure) users; and (5) access to unclassified data and services.					
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> Finalized development and Information Exchange Package Documents (IEPD) Certification and Accreditation. Conducted Technical Demonstration number to U.S. Southern Command and the Joint Inter-Agency Task Force-South, U.S. Ar Finalized Management and Transition Plan and Technology Transition Agreem initiated transition deployment to the Defense Information Systems Agency Mul	vo which demonstrated data sharing between frica Command, and U.S. European Comman ent. Deployed RDA with U.S. Navy's 6th Flee				
FY 2014 Plans:					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense				arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	P648 / Jo	<b>oject (Number/Name)</b> 648 / Joint Capability Technology emonstration (JCTD)		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Conduct Operational Demonstration and Operational Utility Assessment (OUA Systems Agency and U.S. Southern Command. Complete the JCTD.	). Complete transition to Defense Information	1			
<i>Title:</i> Three Dimensional Landing Zone (3D-LZ)			5.486	2.622	-
<b>Description:</b> 3D-LZ will deliver an integrated sensor suite capable of providing rotorcraft pilots with situational awareness during degraded visual environments encountered on takeoff and landings, cable warning and obstacle avoidance cues, and general terrain awareness for safety of flight. The program will deliver an integrated turret to the Global Reach Program Office. <b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b>					
Conducted technical demonstrations of sensor package in flight tests.					
FY 2014 Plans: Complete Operational Utility Assessment. Transition to Air Force Global Reac	h Program Office. Complete the JCTD.				
Title: Anti-Jam Precision Guided Munitions (AJPGM)			5.031	2.130	-
<b>Description:</b> AJPGM will deliver precision navigation capability to severely Global Positioning System (GPS)-jammed environments. AJPGM will also deliver home-on-jam capability. Specifics related to technologies, current capability, and threats are classified.		reats			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Completed home-on-jam sensor assembly. Completed laboratory demonstration	ions. Completed Anti-jam sensor assemblies				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Integrate sensor assemblies. Conduct technical demonstrations and final oper Complete Operational Utility Assessment. Transition to Air Combat Program of	•	es.			
<i>Title:</i> Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Enterprise Terminal (JETpack fifth to fourth)			5.865	2.070	-
<b>Description:</b> JETpack fifth to fourth supports the airborne gateway needs to difighters by translating their tactical data link into Link-16 messages that can be demonstrate: (1) four flyable prototype dual-band, multi-beam antennas, (2) tw electronics.	viewed by the fourth Gen aircraft. JETpack	will			
FY 2013 Accomplishments:					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S			larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z I Joint Capability Technology Demonstration (JCTD)	P648 / Joint Capat	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P648 / Joint Capability Technolog Demonstration (JCTD)	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Conducted technical demonstrations of the Joint Enterprise Term early flight tests and a limited operational utility assessment. Cor multi-beam antenna and dual band remote electronics.				
FY 2014 Plans:				
Complete operational utility demonstration of a complete flyable J	JETpack shipset. Initiate transition to the F-15C community			
Title: Autonomous Mobility Appliqué System (AMAS)		2.185	4.594	
<b>Description:</b> AMAS will equip existing military ground vehicles w of modular kits, common interfaces, and a common architecture. safety functionality and a standard control approach that will allow seamlessly onto military tactical vehicles, and an Autonomy kit the modes of autonomy and leader/follower behaviors for convoy operation.	AMAS will be comprised of a By-Wire kit that will provide a v for current and future robotics to be implemented relative at will contain the primary sensing and intelligence for scal	active ly		
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Conducted Technical Demonstration One (TD-1) of the By-Wire a vehicles.	and Autonomy kits installed on four Army and Marine tactic	al		
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Complete final development of autonomy system. Conduct secon Demonstration (OD-1) culminating with final Military Utility Assess Corps users. AMAS JCTD technologies will spiral into existing Au proposed Route Clearance and Integration System Program of R Semi-Autonomous Convoy Operations (SACO) Program of Reco	sment. Residuals planned for transition to Army and Marin rmy Husky Mounted Detection System Program of Record ecord. AMAS also plans to transition to the proposed new	and		
Title: CELESTIAL REACH		2.317	1.996	
<b>Description:</b> CELESTIAL REACH addresses the limitations plac as a result of current Communications Satellite (COMSAT) capab rate of 256 kilo bites per second (kbps) to/from the aircraft, capac peak-period COMSAT user saturation. This JCTD provides U.S. communicate effectively using a robust C-17 portable hatch moun Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff Concept of Operations Plan and c	bility and data throughput. Presently limited to a maximum sity to maintain global communications is further impacted by Special Operations Command the capability and capacity inted satellite antenna (HMSA) during crisis in response to t	data by to		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date:	March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	P648 / Joint Cap	e <b>ct (Number/Name)</b> I Joint Capability Technology onstration (JCTD)		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Completed Technical Demonstration #1, a static over-the-air test, and the preli	minary HMSA fit-check on the C-17.				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Complete the antenna-to-hatch integration. Conduct the in-flight Operational D HMSA flight certified prototype. Deliver the JCTD Final Report. Complete the		liver			
<i>Title:</i> Deep Seaweb (DSW)		1.76	0 3.220	-	
<b>Description:</b> DSW provides a capability to persistently detect and monitor high track illicit traffickers in source and transit zones. DSW will deliver an undersea unmanned communication gateways, and an operations center server that will that cue coalition forces of trafficking threats including fully submersible vessels decision makers for near real-time action by U.S. or partner nation detection ar	a-network of fixed bottom sensor nodes, mobil provide autonomous 24/7 tripwire surveillance s. This information will be available to the tact				
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Fabricated two sensor-node-systems, one mobile gateway, and prototype syste employment and operations. Conducted a technical demonstration in deep wa and data-throughput. Evaluated procedures for deep water sensor node deplo Conducted end-to-end system tests from bottom nodes through the communical operational center. Developed Technical Demonstration Two plan to include data acoustic communications to satellite communications interface. Identified/fund- concepts of employment and operations.	ter to validate undersea communication range yment, sensor node localization, and recovery ations gateway to demonstrate connectivity to ata processing/classification, and mobile gate				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Procure remaining five bottom-nodes, one-gateway, and deployment hardware node, one-gateway) in operationally representative environment to detect, class to yield type/course/speed/etc.), pass to mobile gateway for forwarding to shore operations center workflow. Complete manufacture of seven sensor-node-syst Conduct Operational Utility Assessment, operational demonstration and JCTD Agency Task Force, South. Complete the JCTD.	sify (sensor node processing acoustic signature e facility via email to evaluate integration with tems and two mobile gateways and server.				
Title: Defense Installation Access Control (DIAC)		3.32	4 3.482	-	
<b>Description:</b> DIAC will develop an identity management enterprise service's an actionable information to support the installation access control decision-makin such as the National Crime Information Center and Terrorist Screening Databa personnel prior to entry to DoD installations worldwide.	g process based on authoritative data sources				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	ecretary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z I Joint Capability Technology Demonstration (JCTD)	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P648 I Joint Capability Technolog Demonstration (JCTD)			gy
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Identified and coordinated resolution of relevant policy and privacy Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System, DoD local popular Information Management Engine. Demonstrated the full architectu	tion database, Interoperability Layer Service, and Continu				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Conduct technical and operational demonstrations of the architectu Service Criminal Justice System databases, and non-DoD credenti at selected military installations and complete independent assess operational utility determination. Transition DIAC capabilities into F	ial revocation lists. Conduct final operational demonstration or report. U.S. Northern Command sponsor will issue fination of the second sponsor will issue fination.	on			
Title: Foliage Penetrating Airborne Light Detection and Ranging (LIDAR) for Reconnaissance Imaging (FALCON-I)				3.393	-
<b>Description:</b> FALCON-I will provide a unified foliage penetrating (F LIDAR and Ultra High Frequency (UHF) Synthetic Aperture Radar view of human activity, terrain, and lines of communication obscure analysts and Warfighters a simple to understand 3D image of foliage	(SAR) to produce a comprehensive three dimensional (3) ed by foliage. The ultimate goal of the FALCON-I is to pro	D)			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Completed FALCON-I system integration and testing. Performed F to include new algorithms for data fusion and exploitation, enhance visualization, and recovery of data. Developed Concept of Operation polarimetric LIDAR assessment.	ement of existing hardware for dissemination, storage,	tial			
FY 2014 Plans:					
Complete Operational Testing, Demonstration, and Joint Military U	tility Assessment. Complete the JCTD.				
<i>Title:</i> Information Volume & Velocity (IV2)			1.438	0.575	-
<b>Description:</b> IV2 will provide a data discovery and processing capatrends and changes in publicly available information over time and technologies and processes from successful commercial application the strategic decision-making process; real-time situational awaren. The capability will be a cloud-based system that gathers data from geo-location, and will sort, analyze, and display that data.	space to enhance decision-making purposes. It will leve ons to deliver accurate and actionable information to supp ness; and long-term proactive analytics for strategic plann	rage ort: ing.			
FY 2013 Accomplishments:					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Section 2015 Office 2015 Offi	ecretary Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	P648 / Joint Capab	Project (Number/Name) P648 I Joint Capability Technology Demonstration (JCTD)	
3. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Established a Control Board to oversee the protection of personal concerns over personally identifiable information (PII). Developed application. Conducted an operational demonstration using the Ke ntegrating with other analytical tools, and gathering user feedback documentation to support transition of the capability. Finalized the on contract.	and executed a prototype user interface and web-based enyan elections as a test case, examining multiple data ty c for improvement of the tool. Developed the architectural	pes,		
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Develop a final application for use by Combatant Commands inclua application for acceptance of multiple data types and integration. System based on operator feedback. Test for scalability and begin V2 capability to the Defense Information Systems Agency.	Test the system in multiple operational scenarios, and refi	ne the		
Title: Kestrel Eye		4.221	3.229	
<b>Description:</b> Kestrel Eye is a very small, 25 kilogram class satellit magery. Imagery tasking and delivery is controlled directly by the real-time situational awareness and decision-making in the field. T constellation for persistence, near continuous converge between 4 are: (1) Finish one Block one "proof of concept" design, launch Blo upgrade Block two design with propulsion system and improved te aunch three Block two design Kestrel Eye satellites.	Combatant Commander to ensure sufficient timelines for The cost of less than \$1.500 million enables an affordable I5 degrees North/South. The primary outputs and efficient ock one Kestrel Eye and conduct on-orbit evaluation and	near cies		
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Launched one Block one design. Completed construction of three keeping and a star tracker for increasing pointing accuracy.	e Block two design Kestrel Eyes, adding propulsion for sta	tion-		
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Depending on launch opportunities, launch three Block two design assessments. Initiate transition to the U.S. Army Program Executi		d		
Title: Kinetic/Non-kinetic Integrated Force Effects (KNIFE)		5.670	2.266	
<b>Description:</b> KNIFE will provide Combatant Commanders with fou updates to inform strategic and operation decision-making in a cor capability that models multiple effects for planner collaboration and	npressed timeframe. KNIFE provides an integrated, enter			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	e) Project (Number/Name) P648 / Joint Capability Techno Demonstration (JCTD)		gy
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
comprised of cyber, electronic warfare, kinetic and space effects. The prim management during planning and execution.	nary metric is more robust, accurate and timely ta	argeting		
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Dynamically updated and shared 4 dymentional views of effects. Provided warfare, space, and kinetic data. Produced composite effects and collection				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Publish sequenced tasks for in-line approval by decision makers. Address analysis and incorporate into KNIFE. Complete the JCTD.	Diplomatic, Informational and Economic effects			
Title: Rapid Open Geospatial User Environment (ROGUE)		2.645	1.967	
<b>Description:</b> ROGUE will deliver operational open geospatial analytic and Concept of Operations, Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures (TTPs), and v based geospatial capability linking Joint Task Force Headquarters compon- interagency components, and private sector non government Organizations platforms (Web-portal, Desktops, Smart Phones, etc.) to enable partnering Assistance/Disaster Relief support missions in support of Theater Security	work flows/processes. ROGUE will provide Web ents to the tactical edge of mixed U.S., partner n s. ROGUE will facilitate accessibility from multip with agencies and countries conducting Humani	ation, le user		
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> Developed and implemented five applications addressing differing classes to the Geospatial software platform. Developed open back-end services to geospatial updates from various sources. Developed four location based a storage and Support Service Oriented Software and Cloud implementation Template. Developed "end to end" Geographical Information System servi- assessments.	include the incorporation and managing of applications that have a direct connection to data with scalability based upon the virtual Machine			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Perform final operational utility demonstration and complete independent as across the community of interest. Complete the JCTD.	ssessor report. Transition ROGUE tools and sta	ndards		
Title: Space & Missile Defense Command (SMDC) Nanosatellite Program	(SNaP-3)	1.494	0.317	-
<b>Description:</b> SNaP-3 provides low orbit tactically integrated beyond-line-of as well as for partner nations' radios and unattended ground sensors. It provides the sensor of the sensor				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	cretary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity D400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P648 I Joint Capability Technolog Demonstration (JCTD)			gy
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
requirements. The JCTD will have three nanosatellites built and tes and Utility Assessment and provides a residual operational capabili		ration			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Completed the building and testing of three nanosatellites and asso	ciated ground hardware.				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Launch three nanosatellite. Conduct an operational demonstration	and utility assessment. Initiate transition.				
Title: Soldier-Warfighter Operationally Responsive Deployer for Spa	ace (SWORDS)		4.946	3.782	
<b>Description:</b> SWORDS provides a dedicated, low cost, rapid and p orbits. It provides the capability to satisfy Combatant Command's u communications in their area of responsibility. When in production, kilogram payloads up to a 750 kilometers circular orbit from a wide	rrgent needs for augmentation of persistent imagery or SWORDS is targeted to cost \$1.000 million per launch				
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Prime contractor incorporated changes in the propulsion engine and provided by National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA support equipment, Concept of Operations, and procured materials Completed wind tunnel testing of launch vehicle. Completed avioni Constructed and test fired first stage engine in ground test stand. If test article.	). Completed conceptual design of launch vehicle, groun for fabrication of engines and launch vehicle first stage. cs hardware design and began procurement of compon	nd ents.			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Conduct sub-orbital flight test. Conduct orbital flight test. Initiate tra Missiles & Space (PEOMS).	nsition through the US Army Program Executive Office				
Title: Unified Command and Control (UC2)			2.444	3.306	
<b>Description:</b> The UC2 JCTD provides the capability that will support with compartmented network protection. UC2 will provide network of risk to their own mission without introducing risk to the Global Inform terrestrial transport to protect core Command and Control (C2) in an access to assured C2 with Component Commanders, Joint Task Fo	enclaves to allow operational commanders to manage c nation Grid. UC2 will provide key lessons learned for as nti-access/area denial environments and will allow great	yber sured			
FY 2013 Accomplishments:					

	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	Project (Number/N P648 / Joint Capab Demonstration (JC	ility Technolo	gy		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
Installed and tested the Common Mission Network Transport (CM Component Commanders for data exchange with Defense Inform Conducted technical demonstrations						
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Install and test CMNT and AVE at three additional sites. Conduct Transition to Defense Information Systems Agency and U.S. Navy		nt.				
Title: Vector		1.648	1.060	-		
<b>Description:</b> Vector will demonstrate two cube satellites for an or (OD) and Operational Utility Assessment (OUA). The system will end-of-life. Additional details are classified.						
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Completed Information Assurance assessment; final end-to-end to Cube Satellites to Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico for launch		d two				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Launch two Cube Satellites, complete on-orbit checkout and cond Complete Final Report and finalize Joint Capabilities Integration a Complete the JCTD.						
Title: Minor Resource Projects		3.025	2.875	2.87		
Description: Provide resources for approved JCTD projects requ	iring less than one million dollars.					
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Completed and transitioned, Arctic Collaborative Environment (AC integrates disparate data focused on arctic sea ice flow and temport Completed Cooperative Security & Engagement (CSE), a regional formet for	erature to observe climate adjustments and military applica	bility. and on Kill				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of			larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P648 / Joint Capability Techno Demonstration (JCTD)		gy
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
capabilities. Completed Preferred Force Generation (PFG), a pl with greater speed and fidelity to support planning processes an		ists		
FY 2014 Plans: Continue to provide resources for approved projects requiring le	ss than one million dollars.			
FY 2015 Plans: Continue to provide resources for approved projects requiring le	ss than one million dollars.			
Title: SPICE 202 (CLASSIFIED)		2.444	0.431	-
Description: Details are Classified.				
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Details are Classified.				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Details are Classified.				
Title: Advanced Weapons Enhanced by Submarine Unmanned	Aerial System against Mobile targets (AWESUM)	0.575	1.926	2.81
<b>Description:</b> AWESUM will deliver an undersea launched Unma existing submarine three inch countermeasure launcher to perfo and the potential for limited attack capabilities. This effort will sp (A2AD) perspective and the unique challenges to US Forces. It targets to support standoff weapon engagements, provide target Damage Assessment capabilities and provide Special Operation	rm targeting, Intelligence Surveillance and Reconnaissance ecifically address requirements from an Anti-Access Area D will enhance the ability to find, fix, target, and track maritime ting for long range torpedo engagements, enhance ISR and	e (ISR), Denial e		
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Tested a redesigned Switchblade Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UA and UAS antenna. Integrated a multiple UAV control to provide demonstration event in a Tactical Development Exercise.				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Continue shipboard integration activities, increase UAV enduran upgrades.	ce, encrypt UAV communications, and increase inert lethal	ity		
FY 2015 Plans:				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense			Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P648 I Joint Capability Technology Demonstration (JCTD)			gy
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Conduct Operational Demonstration during an at-sea United States Fleet Force capabilities into a Program of Record. Complete the JCTD.	es Experimentation event. Transition AWESU	M			
Title: FY 2014 Combatant Commands' (COCOM) Priorities			-	41.812	40.250
<b>Description:</b> FY 2014 will be a transition year for the JCTD program as it shifts developing technologies that will support future threats and capability shortfalls primarily work with the Combatant Commands (COCOMs) and Services to devinitiatives that can be identified from the Chairman's Risk Assessment or multi-DoD priorities. In addition, the JCTD Program will work with the acquisition corprocess, to identify acquisition challenges facing the Department that can be ad initiation of development and operational prototypes. Operational prototypes we solid state technologies for maritime defense, advancements in counter electrol layer (precision navigation and timing, communications, battle-space awareness (Australian, Canadian, Department of Homeland security)).	As a result, the FY 2014 JCTD Program will elop JCTD projects to address Defense strate service technology challenges in response to mmunity, via the AT&L Defense Acquisition Bo ddressed by the JCTD Program through the rill focus in areas of concepts for space defens nic systems and space capability without a sp	gic oard se,			
Fund the first year of FY 2014 projects selected by Senior Department Leaders priority shortfalls.	hip or COCOM Commanders to solve COCO	M			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Fund the second year of the FY 2014 projects that are scheduled to proceed to	a second year.				
Title: FY 2015 Combatant Commands (COCOM) Priorities			-	-	30.223
<b>Description:</b> In FY 2015, the JCTD Program will seek a balance between projection priorities that support future threats and capability shortfalls, as well as tradition Commands' (COCOMs') capability gaps and their most pressing needs not bein COCOM-focused projects will be identified through the traditional candidate ident the JCTD Program will continue to develop JCTD projects to address broader the JCTD Program will continue to develop JCTD projects to address broader the JCTD anti-denial, defense support to civil authorities, and counter weapons of focus in areas of concepts for space defense, solid state technologies for marities systems and space capability without a space layer (precision navigation and the international and interagency collaboration (Australian, Canadian, Department).	nal JCTD projects that address the Combatant ng addressed by the Service programs. Thes entification and selection process. In addition, Defense strategic initiatives in areas such as a of mass destruction. Operational prototypes w ime defense, advancements in counter electro iming, communications, battle-space awarene	e Inti- ill onic			
FY 2015 Plans:					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	cretary Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	Project (Number/N P648 / Joint Capab Demonstration (JC	ility Technolog	gy
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Fund the first year of the FY 2015 projects that are selected by Sen and FY 2014. Work closely with the Joint Staff and the various Cor engagements.				
<i>Title:</i> Body Wearable Antenna (BWA)		0.100	1.610	-
<ul> <li><b>Description:</b> BWA will demonstrate a meta-material based antenna by all service radio operators. BWA offers greater performance and and survivability of the Warfighter. The prototype antenna will be in enhanced features identified and addressed. BWA also predicts gr positions versus current whip antennas, including the limiting prone totaling over five pounds, necessary to cover the same communication increasing operator maneuverability by dispersing weight around the lower radiation levels to the head compared to legacy antennas.</li> <li><b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Initiated planning and concepts of operations development.</li> <li><b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Complete subsystem development: systems requirement definition verification testing; and, operational utility assessment. Complete the subsystem development is presented and subsystem.</li> </ul>	d concealment than whip antennas, increasing the capab negrated onto the load-bearing belt with mission necessa reater performance and signal strength at several difference position. BWA will replace four distinct whip antennas, tion band. BWA weighs three lbs creating a lighter load a ne waist. Radiation patterns for BWA will demonstrate mut the s; integrate with communications systems; preliminary	ility ary it		
<i>Title:</i> Coalition Tactical Awareness and Response (CTAR)		0.100	5.003	1.908
<b>Description:</b> CTAR provides the capability to maintain adequate as operating environments by sharing information to insure maritime so wide area Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) field of view will be used resolution collection against vessels of interest.	ecurity is maintained in national systems architecture. C			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Procured a commercial 2.4 meter X-band antenna and integrated it downlink processor, universal image processor, ship detection softwahelter.				
FY 2014 Plans:				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Sec	retary Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	iation/Budget ActivityR-1 Program Element (Number/Name)ProgramPE 0603648D8Z / Joint CapabilityP64Technology Demonstration (JCTD)Demonstration (JCTD)			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Conduct functional demonstration of MGT receiving/processing SAR and Border Control (CBP) Air Maritime Operations Center (AMOC) in exercise in West Africa.				
FY 2015 Plans: Operate CTAR system at the Naval Research Laboratory to demons requirements. Conduct CTAR JCTD operational demo in AFRICOM				
Title: Dense Pack Access Retrieval and Transit (DPART)		0.100	2.632	2.313
<b>Description:</b> DPART will demonstrate a suite of remotely controlled material handling equipment (MHE) to selectively access wheeled/trathem throughout confined spaces (including ships underway, hangar shore.	acked vehicles and containers and omni-directionally m			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Integrated wheeled propulsion to the existing flat surface Container I detailed design of the Autonomous Naval Transport, Large Wheeled remote control for the system.				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Complete the wheeled propulsion integration effort to the Container I the system to transport loads up and down internal ship ramps. DPA the development of the full scale prototype of that system. Pursue cause. Complete final in-house testing of the battery system and the c One (TD-1).	ART will also conclude the design of the ANT-LWV and ertification of the required Li-ion battery system for shipl	begin board		
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Complete the development and construction of the full scale prototyp Demonstration and Operational Utility Assessment on all systems.	pe of the ANT-LWV, and conduct its Operational			
Title: Joint Biological Agent Decontamination System (JBADS)		0.100	2.956	0.57
<b>Description:</b> JBADS will provide biological decontamination by emp technique to significantly decontaminate the exterior/interior of a fully leap forward from the currently approved use of hot, soapy water wit used biological disinfectants used for rolling stock but not permitted of	/ encapsulated aircraft. The system provides a signification hout the corrosive properties inherent with commonly			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	y Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	Project (N P648 / Jo Demonstr	int Capab	ility Technolog	gу
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
designed for aircraft, however, the building block approach of the Therma infinite configurations to encapsulate contaminated equipment in the futur					
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Completed one of two Biological Thermal Units that provided the hot/hum and wrote the contract for the second Biological Thermal Unit. Began the Thermal Decontamination Containment System that will fully encapsulate	e design and construction phase of a stand-alone ri				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Complete and integrate the second Biological Thermal Unit with the Therm successfully demonstrate the capability to provide the environment needer assessment; publish Joint/Interagency Concept of Operations, Tactics, Tere recommendations. Complete the JCTD.	ed to decontaminate an aircraft. Conduct the opera	tional			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Maintain a residual operational capability for biological decontamination thaircraft sizes.	hat is also easily adaptable for rolling stock and oth	er			
Title: Joint Operational Long Term Evolution Deployable Tactical Cellular	r System (JOLTED TACTICS)		0.100	2.415	1.495
<b>Description:</b> JOLTED TACTICS will demonstrate a joint architecture for a airborne, and/or maritime communications-on-demand packages that allo Unclassified (SBU) and Suite-B for classified) wireless Long Term Evolution networks anytime, anywhere with minimal training and equipment.	ow users to quickly establish secure (Sensitive But	ile,			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Initiated the JCTD and completed the Implementation Directive. Conduct integrated SBU capability.	ted Technical Demonstration number one with an				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Conduct Operational Demonstration number one with an integrated SBU Assessment. Conduct Technical Demonstration number two and Operati Suite-B for classified capability.					
FY 2015 Plans:					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Sec	cretary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	P648	<b>ct (Number/N</b> I Joint Capab Instration (JC	ility Technolog	gу
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Complete Information Assurance Certification; complete the Operatic complete the JCTD.	ional Utility Assessment; deliver the JCTD Final Report,				
<i>Title:</i> Mobility			0.100	2.099	1.610
<b>Description:</b> Mobility allows the use of Commercial of the Shelf (CC domains using security enhanced thin-client applications and thick-combility will provide the ability for classified and unclassified access Agency commercial cryptography. Access will be provided to mobile enterprise and expeditionary environments.	client solutions in sanctuary and expeditionary environme on a single hand-held device with the use of National Se	ents. ecurity			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Completed JCTD Implementation Directive, Management Plan, and	Technical Transition Agreement.				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Integrate key technologies in unclassified networks. Obtain security Operational Demonstration number one.	approval to operate on unclassified Network. Conduct				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Integrate key technologies on classified networks. Obtain security a Operational Demonstration Conduct operational user assessment, p and conduct close-out.		utility			
Title: Multi Domain Simultaneous Access Virtual Environment (MD-	SAVE)		0.100	3.968	-
<b>Description:</b> MD-SAVE reduces overall networking infrastructure at utilizing one wire, while maintaining security separation. This solution SAVE leverages technology to enable the collapse of multi-tower we and a prototype exists. Current design will allow for the collapse of domain information flow. The result is a reduced multi-domain work and power at U.S. Central Command (USCENTCOM) Headquarters	on will reduce the total cost of ownership of the networks orkstations into one box. This approach is hardware-bas up to 16 domains, ensuring physical separation and no space that is certified and accredited saving space, weig	. MD- ed cross-			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Conducted a technical demonstration at USCENTCOM demonstrati	ng a Technology Readiness Level seven.				
FY 2014 Plans:					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secret	tary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	P648/	t (Number/N Joint Capab stration (JC	ility Technolog	gy
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Conduct a Limited Utility Assessment (LUA) with multiple MD-SAVE De certification and accreditation (Secret and Below Information and Top S demonstration to an enterprise network.					
<i>Title:</i> Signal Intelligence Derived Electromagnetic Spectrum (SDEST)			0.100	4.600	4.514
<b>Description:</b> SDEST will leverage National Security Agency (NSA) mo (EMS) Target Folders (TF) providing a comprehensive view of the envi (OM) supporting Kinetic/Non-Kinetic targeting, utilizing data from across Public Key Infrastructure (PKI), Smart Data Tagging and Cyber-Pilot te dissemination. It will deliver OMs via Electromagnetic Space Analysis Network and Joint Worldwide Intelligence Communications System with tailored to user-specified criteria.	ironment. It will compile relevant EMS Object Models as the Global Cryptologic Enterprise. SDEST uses Cl echnologies to enable timely and legal extraction and Center (E-Space) managed Secret Internet Protocol	oud, Router			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Initiated planning and concepts of operations development.					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Define information flow and data environment Identify information need display capabilities (details are classified).	ds for desired OM/TFs. Develop OM/TF delivery and				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Incorporate OM/TFs utilizing Cloud-based data processing and correla based query/subscription mechanism and thin client display/analysis to		)-			
Title: Tactical Infrastructure Enterprise Services (TIES)			0.100	2.300	1.610
<b>Description:</b> TIES provides capabilities to perform web services in the environment and the enterprise needs capabilities to pass data to the T environment by delivering reference implementations for federated serv Management)); optimize D-DIL information exchange to US Army (USA Corps (USMC) and Special Operations Command and Control (SOF C prioritization, synchronization, replication, and aggregation in the D-DIL reference implementations to the Services tactical C2 systems for the I	Tactical Edge (TE). TIES enable this information sha vices: Collaboration (chat), Security Framework (Ider A), US Air Force (USAF), US Navy (USN), US Marine 22) systems for exchanging data based compression, L environment. TIES will transition these TE secured	tity			
FY 2013 Accomplishments:					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Secretary Of Defense		Date: M	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	P648	•	umber/Name) It Capability Technology tion (JCTD)		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Completed JCTD Implementation Directive Management Plan and	d Technical Transition Agreement.				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Provide TE implementations for USA, USAF, USN, USMC C2 sys Operational Demonstration number one.	stems to exchange data in D-DIL environment. Conduct				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Provide TE secured implementations. Provide TE implementation exchange information with USN ships in the D-DIL Operational. Coperational user assessment. Complete the JCTD.		t			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su	btotals	138.374	152.408	131.96
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u>					
<ul> <li>D. Acquisition Strategy</li> <li>JCTD capabilities that demonstrate operational utility transition to</li> <li>The capabilities address a documented capability gap in an exist the capability under existing program documentation.</li> <li>The capabilities address capability gaps that naturally fit with an exist of the capabilities address capability gaps that naturally fit with an exist of the capabilities address capability gaps that naturally fit with an exist of the capabilities address capability gaps that naturally fit with an exist of the capabilities address capability gaps that naturally fit with an exist of the capability gaps the capability gaps the capability gaps that naturally fit with an exist of the capability</li></ul>	sting Program of Record, so that the existing Program can				

exist. In these cases, existing program documentation (such as the Capabilities Development Document or Capabilities Production Document) is revised to include the new capabilities from the JCTD, and the JCTD capabilities transition to the Program of Record.

- The capabilities address a current operational need without requiring Program of Record changes. In these cases, the JCTD capabilities may transition directly to operational use, with sustainment (operations and maintenance) funding arranged through the gaining command.

- The capabilities may be widely applicable commodity products, useful to many commands. In these cases, the commodity products listed on General Services Administration schedule, and made available for purchase by any commands needing the capability, using procurement funds.

### E. Performance Metrics

Strategic Goals Supported in FY 2015:

- Project Selection Focus

- Spiral Technologies to Fielded Capabilities
- Time to Final Demonstration
- 70 Percent Transition Rate

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Secretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014
ppropriation/Budget Activity 400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P648 <i>I Joint Capability Technology</i> <i>Demonstration (JCTD)</i>
Adequately Shared Funding and Visibility Independent Assessment Capability Successful Military Utility Assessment (MUA)		
The majority of funding from this program element is forwarded to Program, maintains and provides overall programmatic oversight enter on how fast relevant joint and/or transformational technolo usiness process which includes six parts: (1) selection focus; (2 dequately resourced projects with appropriate oversight; (5) cap apabilities that are actually transitioned to the warfighter.	t for the JCTD program, to include the individual JCTD pro ogies can be demonstrated and provided to the joint warfig 2) ability to spin-off spiral technologies; (3) time necessary	jects. The JCTD performance metrics hter. These metrics are driven by the overa to complete a final demonstration; (4)
IEASURABLE OUTCOMES: Metrics include: all JCTDs will de rovide an operationally-relevant prototype within 12 months and CTDs will spiral products and deliverables during the demonstra ustained residual operations, or availability for procurement from	T 75 percent will complete final demonstration within 24 mo ation. At least 75 percent of JCTD projects will transition p	onths of Implementation Directive signature.
ransition Achievement: The JCTD program has been achieving Research and Engineering) stated goal of 40 percent. The JCT		lemonstrated JCTD going to a new or existin

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: Mar	te: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity       R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)         0400 / 3       PE 0603648D8Z / Joint Capability         Technology Demonstration (JCTD)			Project (N P264 / Dis		ne) nonstrations							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P264: Disruptive Demonstrations	-	-	12.600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### <u>Note</u>

The "Disruptive Demonstrations" Program code was inserted to support development/demonstration of time-sensitive capabilities that address Secretary/ Department Strategic Vectors, and Chairman's Gap Assessment of capability shortfalls. As a result, we anticipate less partner funding for those strategic investment areas and will have to rely on greater partner funding for other JCTD projects. Overall we envision fewer JCTD projects that will be longer in duration.

In FY 2015, funds will be transferred from the JCTD Program Element to PE 0603289D8Z (Advanced Innovative Analysis & Concepts).

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The program will allocate a portion of the JCTD funding for Disruptive Demonstrations to solve priority shortfalls identified by Department Senior Leadership and the Chairman's Gap Assessment..

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Disruptive Demonstrations	-	12.600	-
<b>Description:</b> In FY 2014, the department will allocate a portion of the Joint Capability Technology Demonstration funding line to technology demonstrations specifically aligned to the Department's strategic vectors (Asian-Pacific, low cost, small footprint operations) and the Chairman's Gap Assessment for capability shortfalls. As part of The Strategic Capabilities Office development efforts, analysis and demonstration of diagnostics for Department of Defense networks; cognitive Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance tools to enhance Theater Security Cooperation Plan activities; Command and Control tools for pre- and post-conflict periods; and enhanced Operations Security procedures to protect critical acquisition and operational data will be developed to meet Combatant Command urgent operational requirements. Due to nature of this project, specific descriptions and detailed plans are available at higher classification levels.			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> As part of Strategic Capabilities Office development efforts, analysis, prototyping, and subsystem testing of game-changing uses of existing technologies will be conducted to meet a critical Combatant Commander requirement. These efforts include:			
<ul> <li>Completing Preliminary Design Reviews of four prototype designs,</li> <li>Completing approximately 100 subsystem data collections to develop high-fidelity models,</li> <li>Completing proof-of-principle demonstrations of four prototype systems to anchor high-fidelity modeling and simulation,</li> </ul>			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secreta	ary Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603648D8Z <i>I Joint Capability</i> <i>Technology Demonstration (JCTD)</i>	e) Project (Number/Name) P264 / Disruptive Demonstrations			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Completing mission-level analysis of system effectiveness in partnersh Due to nature of these efforts, specific descriptions and detailed plans a</li> </ul>					
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su	btotals	-	12.600	-
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks					
D. Acquisition Strategy The primary acquisition strategy for funding Disruptive Demonstrations MIPR will be dependent upon the development center, laboratory, contr and coordination of the agreement will be completed in coordination wit	actor or agency requirements and needs. If an Inte	er-Agency	agreement	•	
			• •		

#### E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics are specific to each Disruptive Demonstration effort and include measures identified in the management approach, Statement of Work (SOW) and Period of Performance (POP). In addition, completions and successes are monitored against schedules and deliverables stated in the initiative's management approach. Generic performance metrics applicable to the RDT&E initiatives includes attainment of DoD Strategic Objective 3.5.2D. The title of this objective is "Maintain a strong technical foundation within the Department's Science and Technology (S&T) program" and the metrics for this objective is to transition 40 percent of completing demonstration programs per year.

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense Database Databa						Date: Mar	rch 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)				A 3:	<b>R-1 Progr</b> a PE 060366				ns Capabilit	ťγ		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base						Total Cost		
Total Program Element	-	21.476	5.000	-						-	Continuing	Continuing
							Continuing	Continuing				

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### Note

Change from FY 2013 to FY 2014 reflects Departmental decision to conduct this program with a five-year "sunset" clause, which has elapsed.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Networked Communications Capability Program (NCCP) seeks to accelerate the wireless mobile networking capability of Department of Defense's (DoD) current and planned investments in response to national military strategy and ever growing needs. Today's Warfighter rely more and more on communications networks to support and enable actions from targeting and shooting weapons to video-conferencing. Though military basic infrastructure capabilities follow the mainstream commercial internet, for many reasons (security, mobility, and robustness), commercial telecommunications especially commercial wireless (tactical edge) communications are not well-matched with the requirements of today's warfighter. These trends will continue as the military data load becomes more diverse and heavy. These tactical edge technology challenges cut across all warfare domains (space, air, ground, and sea). In response to recognized technical problems today, as well as anticipated problems in the future, this research will focus on two key problems in networked technologies: the need for "Joint interoperability" and "expanded reach" (resilient and robust) where no communication infrastructure exists. The main research objectives of this program are to:

- Perform Network Communications Analysis to establish the scientific foundations for tactical mobile networking with a specific emphasis on integrating heterogeneous Networks and Integrated Network Operations (NetOps) for tactical networks.

- Complete the enhancements of joint integrated capability to predict performance of heterogeneous communication networks and expand the reach/connectivity and capacity.

- Jointly manage and operate existing and planned diverse communications networks, services and applications.

- Create mature products for transition to programs of record (POR) or directly to field.

1) Wireless mobile network design, development and operations, spectrum management, information assurance and information dissemination management software tools.

2) Joint Aerial Layer Networking (JALN), services and applications packages including hardware and software systems and integrated/joint network operations software tools and new information architectures.

This research provides the technical basis to standardize the implementation of military network communications capabilities in the areas of joint airborne network gateways and network communications analysis across the military services, Joint Staff, Office of the Secretary of Defense, and defense agencies.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 O	ffice of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-V Advanced Technology Development (ATD)		ement (Number/Name) I Networked Communic			
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	25.393	20.000	-	-	-
Current President's Budget	21.476	5.000	-	-	-
Total Adjustments	-3.917	-15.000	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-15.000			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-2.325	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.033	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-0.951	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.598	-			
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.010	-	-	-	-

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3					<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603662D8Z / Networked Communications Capability				Project (Number/Name) P663 / Network Communications Analysis			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P663: Network Communications Analysis	-	21.476	5.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Tactical Mobile Networking - As studies have suggested, for instance, the National Research Council's Network Science Report (2005) and Army Mobile Ad-hoc Network (MANET) JASON's Report (January 2006), the type of networking projected to meet military tactical requirements is not supported by network theory, network design, and analysis tools. This research will define those technical parameters important to military tactical mobile networking environments, investigate the status of network design and analysis tools, and evaluate how modeling and simulation is conducted to support tactical mobile networking environments. The role of network experimentation with respect to network modeling will be explored. Further development and analysis will be conducted to improve the awareness of the condition of tactical mobile networking technologies. Design tools, architectures, and technical approaches will be recommended to acquisition programs as a result of this research.

Network Management Tools and Analysis - Network management in the commercial world is a highly organized, synchronized activity that has excellent tools to monitor activity and repair disrupted networks as needed. These same tools are ill-matched for management in the wireless world, and specifically for military tactical mobile networking. In addition, the military tactical mobile networking environment lacks the infrastructure (connectivity) and support (helpdesk) because resources (spectrum, people, and equipment) are scarce (not in harm's way). As the complexity of networking grows and as network capabilities are introduced, improved network management is required. For military operations, assured delivery may be needed for specific information and operations. This requires management tools to be in place to ensure continued secure and robust operations, which is not achieved with commercial wireless technologies. This research will assess network management tools in place for the military tactical mobile networking environment and develop technology and tools to address shortfalls with the goal to transition technology to operational systems.

Spectrum Management Tools and Analysis - For wireless, tactical mobile networking, the management of the use of spectrum effects network operations. The demand for spectrum is increasing due to the expanded use of sensors, imagery, and voice. This demand increases the pressure on the limited shared radio frequency (RF) spectrum for military tactical networking. The current Department of Defense (DoD) frequency planning and management infrastructure will have a limited ability to cope with this demand through operational planning, Coalition Joint Spectrum Management Planning Tool (CJSMPT) Joint Capability Technology Demonstration (JCTD), and the Global Electromagnetic Spectrum Information System (GEMSIS). Advanced spectrum management concepts such as sense and adapt, spectrum sharing, and dynamic reallocation are under investigation but not yet mature support operations. This research will evaluate opportunities for more efficient and effective use of the frequency spectrum within DoD. Technology advances are expected to advance the concept of cognitive radio and cognitive antenna devices to sense and adapt operations based on spectrum policy and usage, the management of multi-band and multifunction apertures, and the use of spectrum efficient waveforms for use in military environments. This research will develop the models and tools to demonstrate capabilities for operational planning and monitoring of spectrum as these technologies are introduced.

	Date: M	Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603662D8Z / Networked Communications Capability	Project (Number/Name) P663 I Network Communications Analysis			
Integrated Network Management Capability - Network management becom Integrated network management across heterogeneous systems, especiall management assumes all functions required to share networking resources network operations tools for all aspects of network resource management a management, and information management. This research will also develor management tools, and conduct experimentation on approaches developed Tactical Networking Evolution and Expansion - Fielded and about-to-be-fiel by developing and applying new techniques (or existing techniques develop without the large expense to the DoD of developing new systems. This rese ahead approaches to Anti-Jam resistance of tactical networks, larger, more compression in radios and across the networks. This research will take ad focus on the existing legacy systems, using the successful approach we de	y wireless systems, requires definition, design, ar s and ensure proper operation for participants. The and to prioritize across operational spectrum man op test beds especially to validate models and sim d. Ided tactical networks can be vastly expanded an ped in basic research) to the existing systems, pro- search will focus on developing and applying new e fully exploited networks, and expanded capabilit vantage of new software defined radios about to b	d development. Op his research will defin agement, security m hulations used to dev d evolved from their bviding modern capa DoD specific technic les for signal/data pro be fielded by the Dep	erationally, ne ne integrated anagement, r velop and test current capat ibility to the w ques to create ocessing and	etwork network t network bilities varfighter e leap- l data	
3. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 201	
Title: Tactical Mobile Networking		5.513	0.700		
<b>Description:</b> This project is for the development of new applications and st to improve data retrieval and discovery by the tactical warfighter. In additio architectures to develop models useful for optimizing and exploiting tactical tested in a joint federated experimental emulation test bed being developed by the Navy and Air Force. Results planned for transition to programs of re	n, research is conducted into tactical communicat networks. New applications and architectures wi I within this program. Project collaboratively exec	ions Il be uted			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	ecretary Of Defense	Date: N	/larch 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3		Project (Number/Name) P663 / Network Communications Analy					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015			
<ul> <li>Performed distributed spectrum sensing Small Unmanned Aircra of compressive sensing based compression and encryption. Dem collaborative/secure networks.</li> <li>Continued extension of the system for operation in tactical environ Integrated MARTENS capability into Network Agent Technology for (AFRL)) and Joint Integrated Network Management System Exchar Development and Engineering Center (CERDEC)) systems.</li> <li>Developed location and path aware protocol tuning mechanisms transport protocols. Emulated protocol architecture to analyze per Began SATCOM planning and control software early prototypes. Objective Systems (MUOS). Developed implementation methods - Tested and matured prototype software code and standards. Ar over Internet Protocol (VOIP) systems. Evaluated and developed Networking (DTN).</li> <li>Explored opportunities to transition advances in the protocol dev coding protocols to different scenarios.</li> <li>Explored alternatives to Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) that cal applications to emerging networks across programs and services (</li> <li>Defined communication risk environment. Developed autonomo - Collected feedback on the initial prototypes from networking reservices (performed science and technology (S&amp;T) in efficient disseminati performance trade-off of reliable multicast and unicast transport m decentralized mobile service discovery mechanisms. Researched FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Complete research for Adaptive Rate Video Service (ARVIS), Na (NORP), Distributed Service Discovery (iNDI/ProtoSD), and Exten - Produce final reports and documentation.</li> </ul>	onstrated Capability Enabler Network enabling advanced onments. Developed enhanced user interface functionality. or Management (NATM) (Air Force Research Laboratory ange (JINX) (Communications-Electronics Research, s. Designed basic protocol architecture integrating multiple formance in realistic tactical environments. . Evaluated design architectures for using the Mobile User to apply Precision Polarization for Terrestrial SATCOM. nalyzed, modeled and designed prototype server-less Voice new Stochastic Routing protocols for Disruption Tolerant relopment to programs or services. Extended the network n handle the dynamics of mobile tactical networks, with pot (Warfighter Information Network-Tactical (WIN-T), JALN, et bus decision making algorithms. earch staff. Expanded visualization prototypes which hold to fisualization Toolkit. If (NPS) Tactical Network Testbed (TNT) facility. Continued ed, autonomous group-wise communication. Enhanced the ion backbones and adaptive ad hoc routing. Investigated ethods for mobile tactical edge communications. Research I serverless group messaging.	ential c.). he I					
Title: Network Management Tools and Analysis		2.816	-	-			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense	Dat	e: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603662D8Z <i>I Networked</i> <i>Communications Capability</i>		Project (Number/Name) P663 / Network Communications Analysis			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 201	3 FY 2014	FY 2015		
<b>Description:</b> This project is for the development of joint standards and tools for network management. New standards and applications will be tested in a joint developed within this program. This project is jointly executed by the Navy, Air agreements being pursued with programs of record. Research efforts include I Resource Management and Control, End-to-End Network Management (NEEM Assurance, Optimal Scheduling in Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA) Network	federated experimental emulation test bed be Force and Army, with technology transition NATM, JINX, Tiger Team Analysis, Tactical IO), Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) Information	ing ation				
Overall goals: Increased understanding of the complexity of the tactical network required for tactical network operations. Evaluation of technology to support tra						
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Developed Enhanced Anomaly Detection. Augmented system to support Dy integration with Net Design capability.</li> <li>Evaluated requirements for integrating physical layer and networking layer de a complete solution. Evaluated results of integration studies for implementing I systems into tactical networks.</li> <li>Integrated real radios and networks into emulation environment to demonstrate feasibility of configuring and monitoring real communications equipment.</li> <li>Researched requirements and develop capabilities to provide mobile tactical health, and research requirements for deployment into heterogeneous tactical for obtaining network topologies from flow-based monitoring techniques, and reanalysis and mapping of cross-domain quality of service (QoS) requirements.</li> <li>Researched solutions to address the fair negotiation human factor problem. algorithm and software. Integrated policy negotiation to Policy-based Network</li> </ul>	esigns for the multifunctional waveform to prov Mobile User Objective System (MUOS) satellit ate operation of a universal interface and verify warfighters with automated indications of network network environments. Researched methods esearch implementation of methods for dynami Researched utilizing network data analysis to Matured the Dynamic Policy Management (DF	e the vork ic				
<i>Title:</i> Spectrum Management Tools and Analysis <i>Description:</i> This project is for the development of measurement-based spectric developed and tested in a laboratory environment. Project is executed by the A Air Force through the Joint NETOPS Integrated Collaborative Working Group. Experimentation in Dynamic Operational Environments (SAEDOE), Agile Spect Spectrum Access (DSA) Spectrum Analysis Software, Cognitive Networking Ra Electronic Attack, SIGINT-assisted Spectrum Management and Control, Cognitive Networking Cognitive Networking Radiated Spectrum Management and Control, Cognitive Networking Radiated Spectrum Management Access (Spectrum Management Access)	Army and results are available to the Navy and Research efforts include Spectrum Analysis a trum and Network Testbench (ASPECT), Dyna adio Algorithmic Fusion, Integrating Comm and	l nd amic d	130 1.000	) –		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603662D8Z / Networked Communications Capability		Number/I etwork Co	Name) mmunication	s Analysis
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Aware Cognitive Radios, DSA Enhancements, Spectrum Sharing Trade Stud (DANTE - 2).	y, and Directional Ad hoc Networking Technolog	gy - 2			
Overall goal: Develop the technical basis to support changes regarding the o and among spectrum regulatory bodies.	operational use of spectrum both within the milita	ary			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments: <ul> <li>Continued airborne spectrum data collection. Implemented DSA algorithm techniques via experiments.</li> <li>Continued prototype RF control software development. Implemented three Conducted experimentation utilizing framework.</li> <li>Completed development of measurement-based DSA and policy managem emulation test bed negotiated spectrum access algorithms and evaluated its i demonstrate real time DSA algorithm. Developed spectrum sharing mechani the limitation imposed on tactical networks by the National Broadband Plan.</li> <li>Investigated generalized media access control (MAC) layer electronic attac jammer waveform. Demonstrated promising capabilities. Continued investig:</li> <li>Completed Signals Intelligence (SIGINT) -assisted Spectrum Management</li> <li>Developed a set of spectral scenarios to evaluate DSA radios, including ind increased the fidelity of the modeled environment and explore Electronic Atta Created cooperative sensing strategies for heterogeneous environment and r propagation models to Extendable Mobile Ad-hoc Network Emulator (EMANI - Developed scheduling mechanisms in wireless networks that employ multi-transmissions. Analyzed the multicast throughput and stability for a two-user delay tradeoffs in cognitive radio networks. Developed throughput maximizat network under the transparent co-existence paradigm, and continued to deve (BE)-based networking.</li> <li>Developed alternate spectrum architectures. Estimated incumbent and ent architecture. Developed test plan to validate key assumptions and results.</li> <li>Extended DANTE-2 to other frequencies. Extended network topology autor</li> </ul> </li> <li>FY 2014 Plans: <ul> <li>Integrate spectrum sensing effort, Advanced Real-Time Global Surveillance SATCOM Planning and Execution Services (SPES).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	node prototype controllable spectrum capability nent software. Developed and tested on a radio inclusion into current tactical waveforms. Teste sms with commercial providers/systems to addr k techniques. Researched joint networked com ations of joint Network comm/jamming architect and Control project. dividual and environmental radios. Expanded ar ck (EA) effectiveness against cognitive jammers real-time RF channel emulation interface RF with E). user detection (MUD) for allowing simultaneous cognitive radio system and analyzed the capacition schemes for secondary nodes in a cognitive elop a protocol framework of bandwidth exchanger mation to multiple frequencies.	/. d and ress m/ ures. nd s. h s. h h			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secret	ary Of Defense	Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603662D8Z / Networked Communications Capability	Project (Number/N P663 / Network Col		s Analysis
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Transition spectrum sharing demonstrations for with ongoing LTE Test</li> <li>Frequency Management (SRFM) effort.</li> <li>Conduct at least one additional transition demonstration at the AFRL</li> </ul>				
Title: Integrated Network Management Capability		5.073	-	-
<b>Description:</b> This project is for the development of joint integrated netw test beds for the development and evaluation of integrated tactical netw project is executed jointly by the Navy, Army and Air Force. The plan is Integrated Collaborative Working Group for the establishments of stand program. Membership includes the research community from the Navy from acquisition programs such as Warfighter Information Network-Tack Future plans call for further joint infrastructure test bed development to support of NETOPS. The results of this research will transition to future field through a joint integrated tactical NETOPS program. Research eff of the Unmanned aerial vehicle [UAV] Network Environment (CUNE)/E Tactical Edge Network Integration and Operational Environment Testber Networking Library (WNL), Network Emulation and Experimentation, ar Overall goals: Common integrating framework to support interoperabilit operations and management to include spectrum management, networ management. Reduce the cost to develop, procure, and support networ within networks.	vork management and spectrum management. The s to also establish a Joint Network Operations (NETOI dards and joint development in support of all projects in y, Marine Corps, Army and Air Force as well as develo- trical (WIN-T) and Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) include DoD PlanetLab as well as joint networking too e increments of JTRS and WIN-T, and if successful, to forts include Measurement Lab (M-Lab) Characterizat dge Network Visualization and Emulation (ENVE), ed, Joint Network Management Interoperability, Wirele and Tactical Edge Wireless Experimentation.	PS) n this pers ols in o the ion ess		
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Conducted routine administration and maintenance of the WNL. Demtechnology refresh and additional software features.</li> <li>Worked on verification and validation (V&amp;V) of waveforms and protocond operate large scale emulations. Transitioned capability to other Dore Continued Common Open Research Emulator (CORE) and EMANE (NMF) and additional wireless models. Collected and analyzed field tervisualization, and data analysis tools.</li> </ul>	cols in the scalable emulation. Improved the ability to s D programs. development. Matured Network Modeling Framework	s test,		
Title: Tactical Networking Evolution and Expansion		2.944	3.300	-

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary C	f Defense	Date: N	larch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603662D8Z / Networked Communications Capability	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P663 <i>I Network Communications Analysis</i>				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<ul> <li>Description: This project is for the development of new applications and ap networks to improve the physical and networking layers for the tactical warfig antennas, and signal and data processing or exploit waveforms to improve <i>A</i> or network packet routing, and improve these metrics at low cost and withou Joint Aerial Layer Network (JALN) Network Management/Control Concept A Network Architecture (ATHENA), Network Radio Characterization Limited O (Resilient Electronic Warfare [EW] /Communications[Comms]), and the Asyr (ABC2) Anti-Access/Area Denial (A2/AD) Demonstration.</li> <li>Overall goal: Next generation tactical networking in the fielded tactical syste cost possible to the DoD.</li> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:         <ul> <li>Tested Joint Concept process inserts. Completed Joint Concept analysis</li> <li>Began algorithmic and architectural improvements to the ATHENA physica feedback from network simulation and emulation performance experiments. algorithms and architectures as an integrated air tactical domain solution.</li> <li>Conducted a field demonstration of various application layer tools and network of various application layer tools and network or various application layer tools and network advanced routing features and Physical/Media Access features.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	ghter. It will explore new ways to build architectur anti-Jam resistance, network throughput and scal t sacrificing interoperability. Research efforts inc nalysis, Advanced Tactical High-Performance bjective Experiment (LOE), Multi-Function Wave nmetric Broadcast Command and Control Syster ms, with vastly increased capabilities, at the low documentation. al, MAC, and network layer designs, incorporating Began a hardware implementation of the ATHE work services in a heterogeneous tactical networ prm components capable of providing simultaneo ware architectures. Developed scheduling algori	le, clude Form m est g NA k. bus				
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Transition ATHENA to the Robust TDL Modernization (RTDLM) effort.</li> <li>Transition Networks Program network management and situational awarer Control at the Tactical Edge (JMC2TE) effort.</li> <li>Transfer network management testbeds and CORE/EMANE Tools to the J of JALN-Asia Pacific (JALN-AP) and JALN-NarrowBand (JALN-NB) efforts.</li> </ul>	oint Assessment Research Testbed (JART) in su		5 000			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals 21.476	5.000	-		
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u>						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603662D8Z / Networked Communications Capability	Project (Number/Name) P663 I Network Communications Analysis
<b>D. Acquisition Strategy</b> The Netted Iridium (NI) capability was transitioned directly to production a the Army for use in the U.S. Central Command Area of Responsibility. Ot		
<b> Performance Metrics</b> Strategic Goals Supported: Net-Centric Warfare/Joint Interoperable Comm	nunication. Meet current needs of tactical warfigh	iter.
Existing Baseline: Prototype relays and gateways; initial federated, labora	tory test beds; and prototype joint network manag	gement tools.
Planned Performance Improvement / Requirement Goal: Link expansion demonstration of prototypes and software tools.	in prototype relays and gateways; and continued	integration in federated test beds;
Actual Performance Improvement: Prototype and transition able relays ar	nd gateways; usage of federated test beds; and d	emonstration of prototypes and software tools
Planned Performance Metric / Methods of Measurement: Utilization of feo	derated test beds; and demonstration of prototype	es and software tools.
Actual Performance Metric / Methods of Measurement: Progress on test l	bed development; prototype software demonstrat	ed; and prototype architectures developed.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense							Date: March 2014					
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Advanced Technology Developm	Test & Evalua	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)ation, Defense-Wide I BA 3:PE 0603663D8Z I Data to Decisions Advanced Technology										
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	9.217	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
P366: Data to Decisions Advanced Technology	-	9.217	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### <u>Note</u>

Change from FY 2013 to FY 2014 reflects a realignment of the program from the Data to Decisions Advanced Development PE 0603663D8Z to higher Department of Defense (DoD) priorities.

The goals of this program will be shifted to the DoD Components under the direction of the Research and Engineering (R&E) Executive Committee and will conform with the DoD Data to Decision Priority Steering Council roadmaps. Historically, the Joint Data Management program was restructured to evolve into the revised Data to Decisions program in support of the FY 2010 Quadrennial Defense Review mission: succeed in counterinsurgency, stability, and counterterrorism operations. In addition, this program addresses a signed Secretary of Defense S&T priority, Data to Decisions, which reduces the cycle time and manpower requirements for analysis and use of large data sets.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

As the DoD increases the capability and capacity to generate increasing amounts of data from numerous sensors in the battlespace, the issue of handling very large data sets has become more challenging. This is in part due to Department of Defense response to a changing threat environment where there is an expansion of the types of sensors deployed, new types of information collected, and different features used to classify these new threats. From a technical perspective, data creation speeds have outpaced the speed and ability to transport, store and process the data created. Science and Technology (S&T) investigation into new and novel ways to manage and exploit this data is required to more efficiently use sensor assets and effectively use information in a timely fashion.

The OSD Data to Decisions program (PEs 0602663D8Z and 0603663D8Z) uniquely address three specific gap areas not addressed by Component S&T: minimal dedicated Data to Decisions research to support joint and emerging mission areas; DoD needs a mechanism to increase responsiveness of Component Data to Decisions research and lower the time-to-solution across a broad DoD-wide user base; and limited investment in multi-disciplinary research investigations of Data to Decisions issues and solutions. The OSD Data to Decisions program pulls together research efforts to address shortfalls within the context of Joint and emerging missions to ensure that the distinctive needs of these joint analysts and decision makers are addressed by DoD science and technology. As an example, irregular warfare, non-state terrorism movements, and uncertain environmental patterns that trigger major weather disasters are producing a reality for military and government leaders where traditional physics-based sensors alone are insufficient to plan current and future actions in a region on interest or need. Component. As a result the R&E Database has over 388 references to Decision Support programs, all of which are designed to address a specific need over the course of several years. However, there exists no other program in the DoD that focuses on technology development efforts to speed the delivery of the Component solutions and lessons learned to a

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015	Office of Secretary C	)f Defense		Date:	March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity		R-1 Program El	ement (Number/Name	)	
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense	-Wide / BA 3:	PE 0603663D8Z	I Data to Decisions Ad	vanced Technology	
Advanced Technology Development (ATD) DoD-wide user base. The OSD Data to Decisions program					
technology development and research methods to support and research methods will allow technology transfer for mis- technical performance for more immediate use. Traditional complex data sets. While necessary for sensor system im- analysts, operators and decision makers in order to reduce that solutions to data issues are multi-disciplinary. The OS to blend promising research in new ways in response to CH combining human sciences with computer processing tech information for new insights.	ssion analysis not pre I approaches within r provements, potentia time and limit the nu D Data to Decisions nallenge Problem sta	eviously foreseer research seek to I Data to Decisio umber of people program is in the tements. For Ch	n and lower the time-to s advance machine syste ons solutions require a c required. Many researc e unique position to reac nallenge Problems, cont	solution across DoD by ems for a specific mission oupling of automated d th studies, workshop ar ch across Components extual understanding w	rigorously analyzing on effect resulting in large ata analysis with human ad analysis have stated and research disciplines ill result from research
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	13.754	-	-	-	-
Current President's Budget	9.217	-	-	-	-
Total Adjustments	-4.537	-	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-5.000	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.709	_			
	0.700				

<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.709	-	
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.012	-	
Congressional Adds	-	-	
Congressional Directed Transfers	-	-	
Reprogrammings	1.378	-	
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.191	-	
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.003	-	-

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense							e				Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603663D8Z / Data to Decisions Advanced TechnologyProject (Number/Name) P366 / Data to Decisions Advanced 					,	ed						
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P366: Data to Decisions Advanced Technology	-	9.217	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### <u>Note</u>

Change from FY 2013 to FY 2014 reflects a realignment of the program from the Data to Decisions Advanced Development PE 0603663D8Z to higher Department of Defense (DoD) priorities.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The OSD Data to Decisions (D2D) program (PEs 0602663D8Z and 0603663D8Z) uniquely address three specific gap areas not addressed by Component Science and Technology: minimal dedicated D2D research to support Joint and emerging mission areas; DoD needs a mechanism to increase responsiveness of Component D2D research and lower the time-to-solution across a broad DoD-wide user base; and limited investment in multi-disciplinary research investigations of D2D issues and solutions.

The D2D program establishes the demonstration and experimentation environment to conduct independent evaluations of research efforts that have the most potential of minimizing the impact of the increasing amount of information available and required to support military operational decision-making. The intent is to leverage existing research investments within defense S&T and provide proper evaluations and assessments to facilitate technology transition. The Applied Research program concentrates on the Development portion of this collaborative effort, focusing on the development of improved algorithms (relative to FY 2012 state of the art) to be demonstrated and validated in the 6.3 D2D program test bed. The D2D Advanced Development (6.3) program uses a spiral four step development model. Each year Operational teams will choose a series of cross-service challenge problems dominated by a specific sensing modality. Representative data for each of those problems will then be collected for testing against that problem. A Development team will design algorithms and data management architectures using high-level languages and self-test on controlled data sets to address those challenge problems. Independent assessment will occur with sequestered data sets, but each development tool will also be tested against new sensors not included in the self-testing to determine fragility and applicability. A transition team will host the developed algorithms as services in a spiraling prototype system that will support rapid prototyping and transition.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Operational Initiative	1.843	-	-
<b>Description:</b> The OSD D2D Program develops cross-service challenge problems from joint missions as a frame within the Operational Initiative, so that the research base can investigate technical challenges while these under-represented missions realize a timely and responsive benefit from DoD-wide talent with minimal investment. Challenge problems focus multiple levels or algorithm development across the DoD to catalyze a larger technical community to work D2D issues for joint and future missions	f		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	PE 0603663D8Z / Data to Decisions	Project (Number/Name) P366 I Data to Decisions Advanced Technology			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
and also provide a basis for testing the reuse and repurposing of algorithms ar systems that match the agility of threats and missions.	nd systems for rapid repurposing of algorithms a	nd			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Used the challenge problem scenarios and data sets to perform statistical and support information fusion and decision support tests of emerging technologies more COCOM exercises.</li> <li>Completed the COCOM Decision Requirements Study by reaching out to CO elements of FY 2012 COCOMs who have expressed a need for continued stud Steering Council for inclusion into roadmaps and Component plans.</li> <li>Extended efforts to broadly understand the current state of D2D domains suc destruction, human, social, culture, and behavior modeling, health information</li> <li>Identified mature technologies being developed within the D2D program, small across DoD to fuse data, clean dirty data, triage data, and compress data metrics through the knowledge engineering process.</li> <li>Delivered MOVINT data sources for the Development team.</li> </ul>	S. Demonstrated prototype applications in one of COMs not visited in FY 2012 and by supporting dy/support. Delivered results to the D2D Priority h as space operations, counter weapons of mattechnology, and logistics. Il business innovation research (SBIR) perform	or SS Ers,			
Title: Assessment Initiative		3.226	-	-	
<b>Description:</b> The Assessment team is responsible for test and evaluation, as a primary vehicle by which algorithm developers test their data on sequestered of Developers and Operational team and guides future test vectors. This team is processing and user interface layers. To this end, the team conducts quantitate and conducts user interface experiments in human factors.	lata sets. The team provides feedback to the also responsible for architectural analysis of the				
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Completed the assessment of MOVINT modules; provided extensive feedbace further FY 2013 collections.</li> <li>Developed and delivered ground-truth data for text/imagery analysis relevant</li> <li>Transitioned the Automated Online Data Repository (AODR) to the wider development analytic studies of tools/applications.</li> <li>Adapted testbed to accommodate text workflow that supports the AFRICOM of the formation of the formation of the text of the formation of the formation of the formation of the text of the formation of the formation</li></ul>	to challenge problem. elopment community by including additional	de			
Title: Transition Initiative		4.148	-	-	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justif	ication: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary	Of Defense	?				Date: Ma	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3				PE 06		ment (Numb Data to Dec logy				ame) sions Advan	ced
3. Accomplishments/Planned Prog	rams (\$ in I	<u>Millions)</u>							FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Description:</b> This team transitions th modules. The team is also responsib testing. The final D2D system archite analysis.	le for buildir	ig the conso	rtium infrasti	ructure for st	torage, revis	ion control, c	evelopment	and			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: - Completed experiments in scalability - Developed and delivered the roadm - Transitioned the D2D system testbe transitioning the prototype algorithms - Investigated expansion of the testbe - Completed experiments in scalability	ap for algori d to the DoE ed to support	thm advance DD2D Priorit t text analytic	ements in da sy Steering C cs by DoD C	ita managen Council mem component p	nent layer. bers to conc rograms.	luct architect	ural analysis	and			
	y of algorith			-		s/Planned P	rograms Su	btotals	9.217	-	
C. Other Program Funding Summa	rv (\$ in Milli	ons)									
<u> </u>	<b>,</b> (†		<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>					Cost To	1
Line Item • BA 2, PE# 0602663D8Z, P266: Data to Decisions Applied Research Remarks	<u>FY 2013</u> 8.605	<u>FY 2014</u> -	<u>Base</u> -	<u>000</u> -	<u>Total</u> -	<u>FY 2016</u> -	<u>FY 2017</u> -	<u>FY 2018</u> -	<u>FY 2019</u> -	Complete Continuing	
Change from FY 2013 to FY 2014 ref (DoD) priorities. The goals of the pro conform with the DoD Data to Decisio	ogram will be	shifted to th	ne DoD Com	ponents und							
D. Acquisition Strategy											
N/A											
N/A <u> E. Performance Metrics</u>											

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	xhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secret							Of Defense				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)					<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603668D8Z <i>I Cyber Security Advanced Research</i>							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	11.103	9.667	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
P113: Cyber Advanced Technology Development	-	11.103	9.667	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Our military forces require resilient, reliable networks and computer systems to conduct effective operations. However, the number and sophistication of threats in cyberspace are rapidly growing, making it urgent and critical to improve the cyber security of Department of Defense (DoD) networks to counter those threats and assure our missions. This program focuses on innovative and sustained advanced development in both cyber security and computer network operations to mature new concepts to harden key network and computer components to include: designing new resilient cyber infrastructures; increasing the military's ability to fight and survive during cyber attacks; disrupting nation-state level attack planning and execution; measuring the state of cyber security for the U.S. government; increasing our understanding of cyber as a war-fighting domain; and providing modeling and simulation of cyberspace operations to explore and exploit new ideas in cyber warfare for agile cyber operations and mission assurance.

The Cyber Advanced Technology Development program element is budgeted in the advanced technology development budget activity because it focuses on the maturation of successful applied research results, and their development, into demonstrable advanced cyber security capabilities. The Cyber Advanced Technology Development program will build on the results of matured applied research from the Cyber Applied Research (0602668D8Z), and other programs, to develop technology demonstrations for potential transition into capabilities that support the full spectrum of computer network operations. These approaches will include moving from cyber defense to cyber resilience by changing the defensive terrain of our existing digital infrastructure, identifying ways to raise the risk and lower the value of an attack from an advanced persistent cyber threat, and focusing on mission assurance metrics.

This program focuses on integrating computer network defense (CND) and computer network operations (CNO), in addressing the advanced persistent threat (APT), filling DoD technology gaps as identified in the Cyber Science & Technology Priority Steering Council Roadmap, as determined by assessments conducted by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (OASD(R&E)).

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Offic	e of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity		R-1 Program Ele	ement (Number/Name)		
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wid	e / BA 3:	PE 0603668D8Z	I Cyber Security Advan	ced Research	
Advanced Technology Development (ATD)					
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	19.935	19.668	29.221	-	29.221
Current President's Budget	11.103	9.667	-	-	-
Total Adjustments	-8.832	-10.001	-29.221	-	-29.221
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-7.500	-10.000			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-1.030	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.016	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.281	-			
FFRDC Adjustment	-	-0.001	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-29.221	-	-29.221
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.005	-	-	-	-

#### Change Summary Explanation

Program decreases are a result of promoting efficient spending to support agency operations.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	hibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3						<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603668D8Z <i>I Cyber Security Advanced</i> <i>Research</i>				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P113 <i>I Cyber Advanced Technology</i> <i>Development</i>				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
P113: Cyber Advanced Technology Development	-	11.103	9.667	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Cyber Advanced Technology Development program will build on, mature, and transition the results of successful applied research results from the Cyber Applied Research Advanced Technology Development PEs is intended to create a mechanism to take existing basic research results and mature them to the point of incorporation into technology demonstrations. This program focuses on integrating computer network defense and computer network operations, addressing joint problems in cyber operations, and filling capability and technology gaps as determined by assessments in the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research & Engineering. Progress and results are reviewed by the Cyber S&T Community of Interest.

Efforts of the program will develop improved and demonstrable capabilities through the DoD science and technology (S&T) organizations within and across the following technical areas:

#### INFORMATION ASSURANCE AND COMPUTER NETWORK DEFENSE (IA/CND):

Develop technologies to harden DoD network components; evolve from network defense to mission assurance; and enable systems to operate through cyber attacks in degraded and contested environments.

#### COMPUTER NETWORK OPERATIONS (CNO):

Disrupt adversary attack planning and execution; explore game-changing ideas over the full spectrum of CNO and new concepts in cyber warfare; increase collaboration between disparate research communities within CNO; and address identified gaps in DoD CNO S&T to prepare for cyber conflict against advanced persistent threats.

Beginning in FY 2013, the program will expanded research in cyber command and control to provide warfighters and commanders new situational awareness, course of action analysis, cyber operational agility and cyber mission control. This research will include protection of tactical networks, weapons systems and platforms. The six new technical thrust areas include:

FOUNDATIONS OF TRUST RESILIENT INFRASTRUCTURE AGILE OPERATIONS ASSURING EFFECTIVE MISSIONS CYBER MODELING, SIMULATION, AND EXPERIMENTATION (MSE) EMBEDDED, MOBILE, AND TACTICAL ENVIRONMENTS (EMT)

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense	Da	te: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603668D8Z / Cyber Security Advanced Research	Project (Num P113 / Cyber Development			logy
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 20	13	FY 2014	FY 2015
<i>Title:</i> Foundations of Trust		1	.111	0.967	-
<b>Description:</b> Develop approaches and methods to establish known degree of a dependent functions perform as expected, despite attack or error. This technic assessment, establishment, propagation, maintenance, and composition of true people.	al area encompasses all aspects of the	d			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Host Integrity at Startup capability integrated into Host Based Security System Systems Agency (DISA) Change Management process.</li> <li>Conducted real world red team testing reviews using the Chimera framework.</li> <li>Demonstrated the application of trusted computing and measurement technology</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Develop scalable reverse engineering and analysis.</li> <li>Explore and identify trust establishment, propagation, and maintenance techn</li> <li>Integrate userspace integrity measurements with larger system measurement</li> </ul>	•				
Title: Resilient Infrastructure		4	.441	3.867	-
<b>Description:</b> Entails the ability to withstand cyber attacks, and to sustain or red has the ability to continue to perform its functions and provide its services to re this area is to develop integrated architectures that are optimized for their abilit fashion to a known secure state, even if this is at the expense of degraded performance and architecture. Research is needed to develop resiliency at lower levels with higher-level resiliency architectures.	quired levels during an attack. The objective in y to absorb (cyber) shock, and recover in a tim formance. Resilient Algorithms and Protocols siliency mechanisms available to the infrastruc	n ely cover			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Documented high assurance separation architecture using multi-core technolosharing environments.</li> <li>Improved computer network defense decision making through data sharing accomposition of the protection system that enhances mission assure. Augmented an evolving set of mission assurance services to specifically courroperational level.</li> </ul>	cross classification levels in a tactical environn irance.				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secr	ibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603668D8Z / Cyber Security Advanced Research	<b>Project (Number/</b> P113 / Cyber Adva Development	I Cyber Advanced Technology				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015			
Navy's Network Pump-II security appliance.		to the					
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Develop methods for increasing resiliency of operational systems.</li> <li>Identify mechanisms to compose resilient systems from brittle comp</li> <li>Integrate sensing, detection, response, and recovery mechanisms.</li> <li>Pilot host integrity for virtual platforms.</li> </ul>	ponents.						
Title: Agile Operations		1.665	1.450	-			
escape harm, or to manipulate the adversary. These capabilities pre Agility and Cyber Maneuver. Cyber Maneuver is a new way to mana of emerging methods for maintaining defensive or offensive advantage that enable goal-directed reshaping of cyber systems. Cyber maneu or platform, reconfiguration for changing the way a system performs in a logical or physical topology. Autonomic Cyber Agility covers sev	esent technology challenges in the areas of Autonomic C age systems dynamically in a cyber situation. It is a set ge in cyber operations. It entails developing mechanisms ver encompasses reallocation for repurposing a device a task, and relocation for altering the operating location veral forms of agility. As cyber infrastructures increase in	3					
<ul> <li>Developed countermeasures to mitigate hardware and firmware bas</li> <li>Demonstrated fully operational protection system that enhances mis</li> </ul>	Research           mplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)           strated tactical information sharing could be integrated into a cross-domain solution by integrating the architecture in fetwork Pump-II security appliance.           ted the tactical information sharing architecture to achieve multiple security enforceable data flows and higher data nuts. <i>Plans:</i> p methods for increasing resiliency of operational systems.           mechanisms to compose resilient systems from brittle components.           te sensing, detection, response, and recovery mechanisms.           sti integrity for virtual platforms.           ile Operations           tion:         Explore new methods and technologies to dynamically reshape cyber systems as conditions/goals change, to narm, or to manipulate the adversary. These capabilities present technology challenges in the areas of Autonomic C add Cyber Maneuver. Cyber Maneuver is a new way to manage systems dynamically in a cyber situation. It is a set ing methods for maintaining defensive or offensive advantage in cyber operations. It entails developing mechanism is oge goal-directed reshaping of cyber systems. Cyber maneuver encompasses reallocation for repurposing a device mysical topology. Autonomic Cyber Agliity covers several forms of agility. As cyber infrastructures increase i do complexity, there is an urgent need for autonomous and agile mechanisms to reconfigure, heal, optimize, and profe and offensive cyber mechanisms.           strated topology. For protection system that enhances mission assurance.           terized the advanced persistent threat against the agility/maneuver defensive technologies, enab						
- Design network composition based on graph theory, distributed coll	aboration and social network theory. n, and control of cyber components, and machine						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Date: N	larch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603668D8Z / Cyber Security Advanced Research	Project (Number/N P113 / Cyber Adva Development		'ogy
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
- Cyber command and control architecture and toolset being considered for	adoption by U.S. Cyber Command.			
Title: Assuring Effective Missions		1.111	0.967	-
<b>Description:</b> Develop the ability to assess and control the cyber situation in research is often placed on individual technologies, how these technologies DoD. The objective of Assuring Effective Missions presents technology character at Scale. Cyber Mission Control covers the ability to orchestrate cyle. There is a critical need for tools that can map information technology assets other techniques, to perform dynamic analysis of asset criticality and course. Control is the ability to automatically derive and fuse information about the manner that allows us to describe, analyze, observe, and control the operation of this research area is to have tools that enable commanders to assess an conjunction with mission actions. Effects at Scale encompass full spectrum full-fledged domain of warfare.	s work toward an effective mission is critical for the allenges in the areas of Cyber Mission Control and ber systems to achieve an overarching mission go s to missions and use modeling and simulation, or e-of-action alternatives. Inherent in Cyber Missior characteristics of information technology systems tion of information technology components. A key of direct different information technology maneuve	l pal. in a goal rs in		
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Developed trust management schemes to capture mission performance n</li> <li>Developed means for identifying and monitoring of steganography while a</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Develop techniques for mapping assets and describing dependencies bet</li> <li>Develop techniques for course of action development and analysis.</li> <li>Enable cyber effects assessment.</li> <li>Demonstrate Computer Network Operations framework scalability in a represented of the second se</li></ul>		\$).		
Title: Cyber Modeling, Simulation & Experimentation (MSE)		1.110	0.966	-
<b>Description:</b> Develop modeling and simulation capabilities that are able to the DoD operates and enable a more robust assessment and validation of technical challenges associated with cyber modeling, simulation, and exper Cyber Measurement. Cyber Modeling and Simulation seeks to develop to and multi-scale simulation of complex cyber systems. Cyber Measurement technology to conduct controlled, repeatable experiments, providing the ab in a quantitative fashion. This area will explore new analytical methodologi metrics to measure a system's state of security, apply the scientific method cyber security research can be conducted, to test hypothesis with measurement	cyber technology development. There are two imentation; Cyber Modeling and Simulation and ils and techniques that enable analytical modeling develops cyber experimentation and test range ility to track the progress of cyber research investr es, models, and experimental data sets to establis to establish the foundations of a framework in wh	nents h		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of E	Defense		Date: N	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603668D8Z / Cyber Security Advanced Research	Project (N P113 / Cyl Developm	ber Adva		ogy
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
experimentation and assessment for new cyber technologies. These new meth and simulation tools and techniques that can drive innovation in research and a to operations to simulate the cyber environment with sufficient fidelity, and to in traditional modeling and simulation related to the kinetic domain.	aid in integrated experimentation and transition				
FY 2013 Accomplishments:					
- Developed practical input/output metrics for assessment of classified technolo mission oriented capabilities.	-				
- Provided opportunities for cross-service and cross-computer multi-disciplinary Operations range.					
- Demonstrated the use of Graphical Processor Units and multicore processors parallelism available to model and simulate cyberspace effects on a country or					
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Develop approaches and tools to incorporate models of the cyber substrate ir</li> <li>Develop cyber and simulation models that incorporate mission models and cy</li> <li>Establish game and a decision-theoretic and other approaches to infer and pr</li> <li>Develop large-scale experiments to explore a variety of adversarial behaviors</li> </ul>	/ber-kinetic effects. edict adversary intentions, strategies, and tact	ics.			
<i>Title:</i> Embedded, Mobile & Tactical (EMT)			1.665	1.450	-
<b>Description:</b> Increase the overall emphasis on the Department's cyber system and standard computing platforms. The objective in the area of embedded and techniques that assure the secure operation of microprocessors within our wea in real-time systems; and establish security in disadvantaged, intermittent, and also seeks to expand and cultivate military-grade techniques for securing and of devices, such as smart phones, tablets, and their associated infrastructures. V their respective infrastructures it is of the utmost importance to provide a secur effectively utilized, monitored and tracked.	d tactical systems is to develop tools and pons platforms and systems; enable security low-bandwidth environments. This research operating with enterprise-style commodity mob Vith the constant evolution of these devices an	ile			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> - Developed new hybrid time of arrival / phased array antenna system for proto emitters. - Developed analytical model of the resiliency of routing techniques in the prese					
FY 2014 Plans:					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	tification: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary	/ Of Defense	•				Date: Ma	arch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3							er/Name) rity Advanced	P113 /		<b>(Number/Name)</b> Cyber Advanced Technology ment		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	ograms (\$ in I	<u>Millions)</u>						Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<ul> <li>Establish architectural approaches within an overarching system and d</li> <li>Identify mechanisms for trust estal</li> <li>Develop approaches to security ar</li> </ul>	evelop the see blishment and	curity capabi secure infor	ilities needed mation shar	d to make the	e composed							
				Accor	nplishment	s/Planned P	Programs Sub	ototals	11.103	9.667	-	
C. Other Program Funding Summ	ary (\$ in Milli	ons)										
<b>_</b>	<b>3</b> 1		<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>					Cost To		
Line Item • BA 2, PE # 0602668D8Z, P003: Cyber Applied Research <u>Remarks</u>	<u>FY 2013</u> 10.542	<u>FY 2014</u> 13.907	<u>Base</u> 15.000	<u>000</u> -	<u>Total</u> 15.000	<u>FY 2016</u> 15.285	<u>FY 2017</u> 15.575	<b>FY 201</b> 15.87		Complete Continuing		
<b>D. Acquisition Strategy</b> N/A												
<u>E. Performance Metrics</u> N/A												

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificat	i <b>on:</b> PB 201	15 Office of	Secretary (	Df Defense				Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3:Advanced Technology Development (ATD)					<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603670D8Z <i>I Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Advanced Development</i>							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	6.994	2.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
P370: Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Advanced Development	-	6.994	2.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The OSD HSCB Modeling Program is a vertically integrated effort to research, develop, and transition technologies, tools, and systems to programs of record and users in need. The Program exists to optimize U.S. forces' ability to perform population-centric sensing, understand behaviors driven by social and cultural variables, and select effective courses of action in the full range of military operations. Program research will enhance population-centric intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) capabilities for understanding the increasingly complex global environment to address national strategic challenges such as instability, aggression, proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, and violent extremism. In three integrated program elements (PEs), the Program will conduct applied research, mature and demonstrate advanced technology, and develop transitionable methods, technology, tools and prototypes. Work under PE 0603670D8Z is focused on developing and demonstrating general-use, cross-domain tools in two areas: computational modeling; and sociocultural behavior data collection, management, and dissemination. Research will result in more effective cultural understanding in existing intelligence, influence operations, and operations planning systems; modeling capabilities for forecasting reactions to U.S./coalition actions; demonstration of strategic decision making tools that highlight political, religious, cultural, and related factors; tools and technologies enabling more widespread and effective use of sociocultural behavior models in operations and mission rehearsal; and toolsets that can be used as strategic decision making tools to account for sociocultural factors.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	8.235	-	-	-	-
Current President's Budget	6.994	2.000	-	-	-
Total Adjustments	-1.241	2.000	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.688	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.011	-			
Congressional Adds	-	2.000			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-0.312	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.227	-			
Other Program Adjustments	-0.003	-	-	-	-

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project J	hibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3					PE 0603670D8Z I Human Social Culture P370 I					Number/Name) uman Social Culture Behavior Aodeling Advanced Development				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
P370: Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Advanced Development	-	6.994	2.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program is focused on developing and demonstrating general-use, cross-domain tools in two areas: computational modeling; and sociocultural behavior data collection, management, and dissemination. Research will result in cultural understanding technologies and overlays to support intelligence, influence operations, and operations planning systems; modeling capabilities for forecasting reactions to U.S./coalition actions; demonstration of strategic monitoring and decision making tools that account for political, religious, cultural, and related factors; tools and technologies enabling more widespread and effective use of sociocultural behavior models in operations. The Program will ensure that supported research is clearly tied to warfighters and their needs.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Modeling Capabilities	5.465	1.000	-
<b>Description:</b> Develop and demonstrate computational models to support sensing and forecasting of non-US populations, including their reactions to U.S./coalition informational, military, economic, or political actions. The Program has emphasized development of tools to support forecasting of instability. In addition to refining and extending those tools, the Program is researching and developing comparable models and tools for analysis and forecasting of other events of interest. Work in this area also includes modeling to support analysis of alternative courses of action (COA). This is a challenging objective that requires research, development and integration of constituent technologies. Integrate and demonstrate decision making support tools useful within programs of record and operational user analysis, planning and execution systems for political, religious, cultural and other factors. Support specific operational planning tasks for selected government partners via limited technical demonstration in user settings. In addition, working with operational partners HSCB Program models will be tested in realistic environments by representative users.			
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> Developed a wargaming and planning engine enabling Commanders and staff to more easily analyze the impact of sociocultural factors in determining optimal Courses of Action (COAs) for hybrid threat operation and irregular warfare. Developed a technology tool that provides Commanders with a capacity to monitor the velocity, scope, and magnitude of social change in politically fragile societies. Model-building instruments within Virtual Strategic Analysis and Forecasting (V-SAFT) tool double as situation awareness instruments for operators, featuring wiki-style windows into the human terrain of complex societies. Delivered 14			

PE 0603670D8Z: Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Adva... Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of	Secretary Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P370 <i>I Human Social Culture Behavior</i> (HSCB) Modeling Advanced Developme			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 201
country models covering a variety of Combatant Command area 1.0 of Versatile Multiscale Strategist (vmSTRAT), a decision su Incorporated social media into national level instability monitorir and tools that support model selection and verification and valid	oport tool that represents conflict interactions of nation-states. ng. Developed and implemented methods, techniques, standar	ds		
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Extend the Worldwide Integrated Crisis Early Warning System ( forecasting models for finer grained event forecast and analytic focus into news event processing (e.g., trafficking, humanitariar forecasting (e.g. coups).	s. Develop, in iTRACE/iCAST, the ability for COCOM-specific			
Title: Sociocultural Data Collection and Management		1.529	1.000	
<b>Description:</b> Develop and demonstrate tools for improved colle echelons. Develop and demonstrate tools to support ingest of u modeling for intelligence analysis, operations analysis, and dec open source data.	unstructured data and structuring of data for use in computation	nal		
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Developed enhanced dynamic analytics for networks, including in networks, assessment of group dynamics, identification of sta emergent and degrading patterns of influence and trust, and au scalable for big data. Developed a collection and decision tool crowd contributions via an extensible, computational trust asses a system for providing advance sensing of national and sub-nat analyzing HSCB-relevant data in denied areas using readily ava	able emergent and dispersing groups, identification of stable tomated workflows for dynamic data, all of which are robust and to aid an analyst or planner in rapidly evaluating and exploiting ssment framework. Incorporated non-English text data into ional scale crisis. Demonstrated methods for collecting and	d		
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Extend the W-ICEWS capability by improving op-tempo for new as opposed to weekly). Develop finer grained geo-spatial news capability to add new foreign languages support in the news even	event coding in iTRACE/iCAST (region vs country). Develop			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subto	otals 6.994	2.000	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	tification: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary							rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603670D8Z <i>I Human Social Culture</i> <i>Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Advanced</i> <i>Development</i>				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P370 I Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Advanced Development			
C. Other Program Funding Summ	ary (\$ in Milli	ons)		l							
		-	<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>					Cost To	
Line Item	FY 2013	<u>FY 2014</u>	Base	000	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY 2016</u>	FY 2017	<u>FY 2018</u>	<u>FY 2019</u>	<u>Complete</u>	Total Cos
• PE 0602670D8Z BA 2: HSCB Applied Research	5.049	2.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuir
• PE 0604670D8Z BA 4: HSCB Research & Engineering	4.492	2.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuir
Remarks											
<b>D. Acquisition Strategy</b> N/A											
<u>E. Performance Metrics</u> N/A											

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense Data Data Data Data Data Data Data Dat						Date: March 2014						
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603680D8Z <i>I Defense Wide Manufacturing Science and Technology Program</i>							am	
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	49.026	49.532	59.014	91.095	-	91.095	62.640	58.361	50.538	23.927	Continuing	Continuing
P680: Manufacturing Science and Technology Program	49.026	49.532	59.014	91.095	-	91.095	62.640	58.361	50.538	23.927	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Defense-wide Manufacturing Science and Technology (DMS&T), established within the Manufacturing Technology Program directed in Title 10 USC Section 2521, provides the Department with a comprehensive manufacturing program to achieve the strategic goals of focused technology, improved acquisition across the life cycles, and cost-effective logistics. By designing for manufacturability early in development, anticipated results will have an impact on increasing reliability and decreasing the life cycle burden of weapon systems. The mission to anticipate and close gaps in defense manufacturing capabilities and drive significant system life cycle affordability benefits makes DMS&T an increasingly important leveraging tool in the current budget environment.

DMS&T will: 1) address manufacturing enterprise game-changing initiatives that are beyond the scope of any one Military Department or Defense Agency or platform and, 2) establish and mature cross-cutting manufacturing processes required for transitioning emerging technologies which impact the time lines, affordability, and productivity of acquisition programs and shorten the deployment cycle times.

The DMS&T program is fundamental to a coordinated development process. Concurrent development of manufacturing processes with the S&T development enables the use of emerging technologies. Key technical areas for investment for DMS&T include Advanced Electronics and Optics Manufacturing, Advanced Materials Manufacturing, and Enterprise and Emerging Manufacturing. Advanced Electronics and Optics addresses advanced manufacturing technologies for a wide range of applications such as sensors, radars, power generation, switches, and optics for defense applications. Advanced Materials addresses advanced manufacturing technologies for a wide range of materials such as composites, metals, ceramics, nanomaterials, metamaterials, and low observables. Enterprise and Emerging Manufacturing technologies and enterprise business practices for defense applications. Key focus areas include the industrial information infrastructure, advanced design/qualification/cost tools, supply network integration technologies and management practices, direct digital (or additive) manufacturing, machining; robotics, assembly, and joining.

The total sequestration reduction executed as a result of the FY 2013 DoD appropriation act was -\$.4.438, of which -\$3.430 was applied to FY 2012 and -\$1.008 was applied to FY 2013. Sequestration of these amounts impacted the ability of the OSD Defense-wide ManTech program to execute DoD and Administration priorities for Advanced Manufacturing by investing in fewer manufacturing processes and improved materials, which are intended to drive in affordability for reduction of system life-cycle costs of major weapons systems.

FY 2015 Bas           41         22.53           14         91.09           73         68.55           27         -           -         -           00         -           -         -	39 - 95 -	ç	22.539 01.095 08.556
14 91.09 73 68.55 27 - -	95 -	ç	1.095
73 68.55 27 - -			
27 - -	56 -	6	8.556
-			
- - )0 - -			
- 20 - -			
20 - -			
-			
-			
_			
- 71.25	50 -	7	1.250
2.69	- 00	-	-2.690
0.00	)4 -	-	-0.004
		FY 2013	FY 2014
		28.939	25.00
Congressional Add :	Subtotals for Project: P680	28.939	25.00
Congressional	Add Totals for all Projects	28.939	25.00
	2.69 0.00 Congressional Add Congressiona	2.690 - - 0.004 - Congressional Add Subtotals for Project: P680	2.690

3) FY 2015 to FY 2019 decreases annually, with no funds programmed after FY 2019 when all IMIs are expected to be financially self-sustaining

-\$4.438 Sequestration total rescission executed as a result of the FY 2013 DoD appropriation act. Of this amount, -\$3.430 was applied to FY 2012 and -\$1.008 was applied to FY 2013. Sequestration of these amounts impacted the ability of the OSD Defense-wide ManTech program to execute DoD and Administration priorities for Advanced Manufacturing by investing in fewer manufacturing processes and improved materials, which are intended to drive in affordability for reduction of system life-cycle costs of major weapons systems.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	ustification:	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	efense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603680D8Z <i>I Defense Wide</i> <i>Manufacturing Science and Technology</i> <i>Program</i>			<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P680 <i>I Manufacturing Science and</i> <i>Technology Program</i>					
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P680: Manufacturing Science and Technology Program	49.026	49.532	59.014	91.095	-	91.095	62.640	58.361	50.538	23.927	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The DMS&T program has a two-pronged approach: 1) technology initiatives and 2) specific single projects. Technology initiatives, in collaboration with the Joint Defense Manufacturing Technology Panel (JDMTP) and industry, identify and develop investment strategies to advance the manufacturing processes needed to support the specific technology. Above-the-shop-floor investments focus on new manufacturing processes that have potential to significantly improve manufacturing efficiencies. Single specific projects address investment opportunities not associated with selected technology initiatives and enable the program to respond to urgent, compelling manufacturing needs and provide seed funding to more high risk-high payoff technologies.

Data calls are launched through two methods to identify technology initiatives and single specific issues requiring investment. One method is through the JDMTP. The JDMTP is comprised of the ManTech Directors from the Services, Defense Logistics Agency, and Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD). The call is distributed through the ManTech Directors to the four JDMTP sub panels: Metals Processing and Fabrication Subpanel, Composites Processing and Fabrication Subpanel, Electronics Processing and Fabrication Subpanel and Advanced Manufacturing Enterprise Subpanel. Potential candidates are evaluated by the JDMTP based on criteria set forth in the call and announcements and down-selected for further development prior to final selection. The other method is through Broad Agency Announcements to industry. Priority is given to investments that support affordability and producibility of critical enabling manufacturing processes including "above the shop floor" (lean and business technologies facilitating interoperable manufacturing). Final projects are selected by the OSD ManTech Director, considering input from the JDMTP and Director of Manufacturing, and as approved by Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense, Manufacturing and Industrial Base Policy (MIBP). Technology initiatives and projects are executed at the Component level.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Advanced Electronics Manufacturing - Advanced RF Packaging	1.950	-	-
<b>Description:</b> This effort applies an existing radar system already in production to satisfy a low-cost, open-architecture radar requirement for the Littoral Combat Ship (LCS) program. This program will reduce the cost of the current radar system by \$1M per ship set, and will fit into the existing TRS-3D top side and below decks available footprint. The open architecture configuration will allow upgrades for new technologies over the lifetime of the program as well as offer lower cost via the potential for open competition for the radar's building blocks. Radar manufacturing and support capability will be transferred from a foreign company to a domestic company and facility. Transmit/Receive (T/R) module packaging cost will be reduced through near-hermetic, commercial Monolithic Microwave Integrated Circuit (MMIC) packaging and automated Surface Mount Technology			

PE 0603680D8Z: Defense Wide Manufacturing Science and Technology ... Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense Date: Marc						
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603680D8Z <i>I Defense Wide</i> <i>Manufacturing Science and Technology</i> <i>Program</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P680 <i>I Manufacturing Science and</i> <i>Technology Program</i>				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
(SMT) assembly techniques, reducing touch labor costs. Model Based Enterp supportability and technology refresh via an Intelligent Technical Data Package components as a part of this program will have a direct impact on the Volume 3 \$1M/hull cost savings for the Navy. This effort will provide the Navy with the fit be able to accommodate different Monolithic Microwave Integrated Circuit (MM technologies, processor, and power supplies from multiple vendors. The syste equipment (antenna) with the below-deck equipment (signal processing and co below-deck equipment (allowing a lower center of gravity and thus improved sh	e. The commercial packaging effort for T/R m Search Radar (VSR) on CVN-79 – creating a rst truly open architecture radar solution that w IIC) technologies, Line Replaceable Unit (LRL em will use fiber optics to connect the above-de ontrol) which will allow greater flexibility in loca	odule /ill I) eck				
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Developed the S-band Open-architecture Component Knowledge and Event T interface to test equipment, Intelligent Technical Data Package (ITDP) interfac & simulator software. Completed the SOCKET Critical Design Review. Comp SOCKET string test. Wrote SOCKET test reports and the user manual. Comp and delivered the SOCKET hardware and software to the Navy.	e, data logging & LRU test scripts, and training leted SOCKET integration and testing, and a	]				
Completed gallium nitride (GaN) component supplier evaluation and selection PowerBook T/R module Preliminary Design Review (PDR), Critical Design Rev PowerBook module. Conducted System Engineering training. Completed land the sub-array string testing. Completed the String Test Verification Demonstrat Data Package (ITDP). Completed the transfer of radar system production from manufacturer. Completed the Radar Producibility Analysis and Final Project R	view (CDR). Built, tested, and qualified the d-based radar integration and testing. Initiated ion. Delivered the final Intelligent Technical in the offshore COTS manufacturer to the dome					
Title: Advanced Electronics Manufacturing - Chip Scale Atomic Clock		4.160	-	-		
<b>Description:</b> Command, Control, Communications, Computers, Intelligence, S require precise timekeeping even if the Global Positioning System (GPS) is un components of conventional atomic clocks are too high for tactical applications improved long-term frequency stability that gets integrated into long-term time Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) investments in the CS and transition beyond custom fabrication of the current CSAC. Objectives inclu- processes such as atomic cell filling, cell sealing, physics package assembly, a required for CSAC assembly and testing. Development of a network of multiple supply base is a complementary goal. Current manual assembly processes ca	available. The size, weight, power, and cost a. Chip Scale Atomic Clock (CSAC) provides accuracy. The focus of this project is leveragir SAC technology to reduce operational costs ude improving the existing batch manufacturin and sub-system testing to reduce the "touch he e vendors to foster competition and ensure a v	ng g purs"				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Secretary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	P680/	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P680 <i>I Manufacturing Science and</i> <i>Technology Program</i>			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
yield at high cost (\$8,000/unit). The DMS&T funding enables proo performance enables an environment of continued operation of cr global positioning system (GPS). The ability to rapidly reacquire G environment is an additional targeted benefit.	itical C4ISR systems, regardless of the presence or absen	ce of			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Completed demonstration of a batch manufacturing tool for atomic of physics packages in a batch mode. Demonstrated the automat Cavity Surface Emitting Laser (VCSEL) yield: VCSEL unit cost we physics package for high-volume producibility at a low unit cost. O unit cost of less than \$300. Delivered Phase I prototypes to CER Transition Agreement was signed with Product Director, Positionin to Positioning, Navigation and Timing (PNT) Family of systems we products.	tic assembly process of physics packages. Improved Verti as lowered from \$100 to less than \$20. Completed redesic Completed cost analyses independently by the vendors, ver DEC for independent government testing. The Technology ng, Navigation and Timing (PD PNT) for potential transition	cal gn of rified			
Title: Advanced Electronics Manufacturing - Large Affordable Sub	ostrates		0.520	-	
<b>Description:</b> High performance infrared (IR) focal plane arrays (F that are currently only available in relatively small wafer sizes. Thi (DoD) investments to enable a domestic source to manufacture la assured availability of CZT substrates that will enable affordable, wide area search, long range ID, and dual band multispectral aide move. Large, affordable CZT substrates from a domestic source infrared imaging systems (FLIR) Engine Engineering Manufacturit to space, strategic, and tactical systems.	is effort will leverage prior and concurrent Department of D arger CZT substrates. The results will be reduced cost and high performance ground and air IR sensor systems with ra ed target detection capability against difficult targets while c will initially transition on FPAs for the 3rd Gen forward-look	efense apid on-the- ing			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Completed installation of the furnace for boule and substrate man increasing size. Improved uniformity and reduce precipitates size the final substrate specification, such as parallelism, total thicknes Production status. Conducted a final demonstration of the product Forward Looking Infrared Radar Development and Demonstration	in boule. Evaluated critical substrate factors that are part of ss variation, chipping, scratches, etc. Initiated a Low Rate t. Obtained a TRL6/MRL7 level. Participated in a 3rd Gen	of			
Title: Advanced Electronics Manufacturing - Sensor Hardening			0.780		1

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of		Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603680D8Z <i>I Defense Wide</i> <i>Manufacturing Science and Technology</i> <i>Program</i>	P680 I Manufacturing Science and			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Description: The F-35 Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) has the requirement to minina accomplishment. Current F-35 Electro-Optical Targeting System (EOTS) and (EODAS) focal plane arrays (FPAs) suffer manufacturing yield and cost issue investments in laser protection technology to make manufacturing improvement into the FPA's Read-Out Integrated Circuits (ROICs) while concurrently reduce the total cost to F-35 to meet this requirement. The goal is to increase the Trackevel to TRL/MRL 6 (demonstrate/produce prototype system or subsystem in hardened FPAs in time for the F-35 Block 5 Upgrade. These technologies are Wavelength Infrared detector, including those on tactical and reconnaissance</li> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Concluded FPA production scale-up activities to achieve a TRL6/MRL6 level. Hardened EODAS FPA. Concluded system engineering studies on targeting a Initiated additional thermal cycle testing of dewars. Began a second version or another Manufacturing Readiness Assessment. Completed the ROIC fabricat susceptibility testing at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base. Conducted transitio Upgrade decision point in FY 2015.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Electro-Optical Distributed Aperture System</li> <li>s. This effort will leverage prior and concurrent ents that incorporate laser protection technology sing ROIC defects (improving yield) and minimiz ansition Readiness Level/Manufacturing Readir a relevant environment) and to transition laser e applicable not just for F-35, but to any Mediur sensor systems.</li> <li>Made available a Hardened EOTS FPA and a and warning systems. Continued life cycle testin f the ROIC/detector hybridization effort. Conduc- ion. Finished the FPA build. Conducted laser</li> </ul>	: DoD / zing ness - n ng. cted			
Title: Advanced Materials Manufacturing - Advanced Body Armor			1.300	-	-
<b>Description:</b> While current body armor is effective, it is too heavy for some the reduction in system weight would significantly increase warfighter acceptance leverage prior DoD investments to mature three complimentary manufacturing by 10%-15% while improving ballistic performance and flexibility. Cost will be by 10X-20X. The project will mature three manufacturing technologies for light technologies in a laboratory to a capability to produce them in an environment technologies are: 1) Dissimilar Material Assembly Technology to integrate cert organic and inorganic constituents into a unified body armor system. 2) Co-co time for the production of composite material enabling 10% lighter armor while modification of ballistic ceramics and associated processes, which will include improve ballistic integrity and manage adverse shock events due to ballistic	e, mobility, agility, and endurance. This effort w g technologies that will reduce body armor weig reduced 5%-10% and cycle time will be reduced ther weight armor from a capability to produce t t representative of a production facility. The thr ramic, polymer adhesives, composites, and oth onsolidation processing, to reduce cost and cycle e maintaining ballistic performance. 3) Multi-sca e new additive processes and metallic substrate	ill pht ed he ree er le ale			
FY 2013 Accomplishments:					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secret	etary Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	PE 0603680D8Z / Defense Wide	- ·	c <b>t (Number/Name)</b> Manufacturing Science and ology Program		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Technology down-select completed (including composite, ceramic, ac 10% lighter (5.5 pounds for size medium) Enhanced Small Arms Prote bonding and assembly. Developed evaluation parameters and compleintegration. Enabled Low Rate Initial Production process development	ective Insert side plate. Conducted interlayer materials lete ballistic and related testing. Processed down select				
Title: Advanced Materials Manufacturing - Field Assisted Sintering Te	echnology (FAST)	0.468	0.501	-	
<b>Description:</b> This effort addresses limitations of conventional sinterin days in a sintering oven, and the beneficial characteristics of nano-str FAST has the potential to dramatically reduce cycle time and manufactor of nano-structured materials. The FAST process passes a pulsed dimensional the combination of rapid heating and compressive loading results in fit that are not possible with conventional sintering processes. Many particulates for FAST, but this project will focus on ceramic body and windows, heat sinks for electromagnetic propulsion cooling, and hyper propulsion.	uctured materials are lost when the material is sintered. cturing costs while maintaining the beneficial characteris ect current through the part while it is pressed in a die, at ne grained, fully dense materials in short processing time rts that are made with a powder press and sinter process vehicle armor, tungsten kinetic energy penetrators, infrare	ics nd es are ed			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Extend Area Protection & Survivability Warhead Testing. Fabrication testing of automation, optimization of automation system, document p					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Investigate manufacturing technology improvements in FAST to enable penetrators, infrared windows, heat sinks for electromagnetic propulsion enhanced performance jet propulsion.		ду			
Title: Advanced Electronics and Optics		4.569	11.467	13.826	
<b>Description:</b> Advanced Electronics is a series of efforts addressing a applications such as sensors, radars, power generation, switches, an significant productivity and efficiency gains in the defense manufactur delivery of technical capabilities to impact current warfighting operation acquisition time and risk of our major defense acquisition programs.	d optics for defense applications. These efforts provide ring base. These manufacturing technologies accelerate				
Silicon Carbide (SiC) High Efficiency Power Switches: Another emerg Silicon Carbide High Efficiency Power Switches to enable a new class					
PE 0603680D8Z: Defense Wide Manufacturing Science and					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Second	ecretary Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603680D8Z <i>I Defense Wide</i> <i>Manufacturing Science and Technology</i> <i>Program</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P680 I Manufacturing Science and Technology Program			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
at higher voltages, higher frequencies, less volume / weight, highe and better power quality for Program Executive Office Ground Cor Power Conversion Module.					
Mini Short Wave Infrared (SWIR) Cameras and ManTech for SWII are being developed that are smaller, use less power, have a lowe improved functionality over sensors presently in use. These new S target designation lasers during day and night, to identify friend or Applications include several night vision and targeting system prog Manufacturability of Vertical Cavity Surface Emitting Lasers (VCSE development focuses on the manufacturability of VCSELs. This ef technologies by reducing their operational cost, increasing their re without substantially increasing the processing and packaging req front-end with specialized in-house process steps, allowing more f previously-invested capital. This project is expected to benefit num Anubis, Spectre-FINDER, Speckles, TigerMoth, WAAS, PAWS, IP IDNST, TLDS, Big Safari, OEF, OIF, STINGER , and ARGUS.	er cost than currently available SWIR imagers, and offer SWIR imagers will be used by warfighters including SOF to foe at long range at night, and to operate with covert laser grams with the Army, Navy, Air Force, and SOCOM. ELs): One emerging manufacturing technology undergoing fort will allow the enhanced use of high-power laser diode liability and yield, and improving their large array scalabilit uirements. Will apply a modern factory approach of a fab-I flexibility for DoD procurement cycles and leveraging instal herous programs, including: PUMA, RAVEN, TigerShark,	o see rs. y ess led,			
Future efforts will focus on advances in fuel cells, radars, conformation	al sensors, and solder free electronics.				
Organic Light Emitting Diode (OLED) Microdisplays: Many applicat contrast in order to see sensor imagery in challenging high brighted contrast, bulky and complex packaging, and high power consumpt Silicon On Insulator (SOI) allow color OLED displays to have large Direct pattern color OLED on SOI has been successfully demonster manufacturing deficiencies. This project will transition this techolo to produce an ultra-high resolution, high brightness, high contrast, full color micro	ness environments. Existing technologies are limited by low tion. Recently developed methods of direct patterning and e color gamuts and very long lifetimes at high luminances. rated; however, proliferation is limited due to high costs an egy from MRL5 to MRL8, improving the manufacturing capa	d			
Improved Focal Plane Array Production for Thermal Hyperspectra This effort will mature the use of III-V material technologies in long hyperspectral imaging in numerous tri- service applications. Impro PE 0603680D8Z: Defense Wide Manufacturing Science and	wave infrared (LWIR) focal plane arrays (FPAs) used for	s, and			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secreta	ry Of Defense		Date: N	1arch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603680D8Z <i>I Defense Wide</i> <i>Manufacturing Science and Technology</i> <i>Program</i>	P680 /		<b>lumber/Name)</b> nufacturing Science and ny Program		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
reduced logistics costs. Production readiness will be demonstrated by ir Project Manager-Airborne Reconnaissance and Exploitation Systems (P		d by				
Increased Thickness for Large Sheet EFG Sapphire Production: Develop dimensions to meet critical weapon needs. Demonstrate finished thickne task in the design of the thicker die.						
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> SiC High Efficiency Power Switches. Focused on improvements in SiC s size to 6". Reduced substrate defects, including micropipe density, to im 6" substrates.						
Mini SWIR Cameras and ManTech for SWIR Imagers: Developed robu yield. Improved backside processing costs.	st 4" wafer processes to reduce breakage and increa	ase				
Manufacturability of VCSELs: Initiated hermetic design efforts, creating h "hermetic by design" VCSEL chip process technology by processing dire the operating life and shelf-life. Began to standardize the package at the of insertion to replace edge-emitting products in use by the marketplace arrays.	ect passivation schemes directly onto the wafer to ex sub-mount and heat-sink level. This is required for e	ease				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> SiC High Efficiency Power Switches: Develop manufacturing technologie devices through enhanced material growth and high-yield device fabricate? 6" substrates.						
Mini SWIR Cameras and ManTech for SWIR Imagers: Continue efforts t reduce costs. Improve hybridization yields and costs; develop a high thr with automation of die bonding and wire bonding. Plan for sensor packa	oughput, self aligning process. Reduce packaging o					
Manufacturability of VCSELs: Continuing hermetic design and standardiz packaging alternatives for high-volume system insertion opportunities. D Pick-n-Place and Surface Mount Technology PCB-stuffing assembly line	evelop low-cost wafer level packages compatible wi	th				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603680D8Z / Defense Wide Manufacturing Science and Technology Program	<b>Project (</b> P680 / M Technolo		nd	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
to remain consistent with wafer-scale packaging. Evaluate cooling technologie manufacturable techniques.	es to determine the most cost-effective,				
Organic Light Emitting Diode Microdisplays: Identify the manufacturing process shadow mask to 0.5 um accuracy; linear source-based OLED deposition for im (backplane redesign for high dynamic range; optimize the SOI device structure substrates, packaging, and OLED manufacturing materials. Design and order of the design of the SOI backplane. Receive/install the direct pattern equipment for Identify cost drivers.	proved uniformity) and Silicon on Insulator (S for improved display uniformity). Identify the direct patterning manufacturing equipment. Ini	itiate			
Improved Focal Plane Array Production for Thermal Hyperspectral Applications one lot of format 256x256/30um pitch devices. Obtain contractor reports on FF		oduce			
Increased Thickness for Large Sheet EFG Sapphire Production: Design setups thickness to grow a 13.7" x 0.61" and 13.7" x 0.65" inch cross sections. The ner x 0.65" x 24.2" crystal. Design/redesign any components of the setup and hot-z crystals to be grown.	w setup shall be of sufficient volume to grow a	a 13.7"			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> SiC High Efficiency Power Switches: Continue work on 150 mm diameter 4HN- Continue 150 mm diameter epi-layer material demonstration task, including wa wall SiC growth reactor development.					
Mini SWIR Cameras and ManTech for SWIR Imagers: Continue wafer growth, hybridization, sensor packaging, and camera calibration efforts.	wafer scale processing, backside processing.	3			
Manufacturability of VCSELs: Wafer-level package exploration using multilayer package using same- compatible with Pick-n-place & SMT PCB-stuffing assem packaging (thinner, higher conductivity heat spreaders). Down-select lower the micro-channel coolers.	bly lines. Implement lower thermal impedance	ce			
Organic Light Emitting Diode Microdisplays: Develop the manufacturing process shadow mask to wafer; linear source process established; directly patterned R,					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603680D8Z <i>I Defense Wide</i> <i>Manufacturing Science and Technology</i> <i>Program</i>	P680 / M	Number/N lanufacturi lagy Program	ng Science ar	nd
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
(tape out completed; backplane fabricated). Demonstrate critical manufacturing demonstrated, linear source process uniformity demonstrated; SOI: high dynar demonstrated). Continue the producibility assessment and the analysis of the c manufacturing system. Qualify the SOI process at the foundry.	nic range demonstrated, display uniformity	-			
Improved Focal Plane Array Production for Thermal Hyperspectral Applications four lots, format 512x512/20um pitch. Obtain contractor reports on FPA test re Obtain independent verification testing on FPAs. Plan for integration of FPA to	sults, initial yield capability and cost for each				
Increased Thickness for Large Sheet EFG Sapphire Production: Conduct experimethod to produce the EFG crystal defined above. These experiments will invote to achieve the proper growth conditions for the crystal, such as temperature gradered actions for the crystal such as temperature gradered actions.	olve adjustments to the setup and hot zone in	order			
Title: Advanced Materials Manufacturing			5.527	7.262	2.807
<b>Description:</b> Advanced Materials Manufacturing is a series of efforts addressing range of materials such as composites, metals, ceramics, nanomaterials, metals provide significant productivity and efficiency gains in the defense manufacturing accelerate delivery of technical capabilities to impact current warfighting operations, acquisition time and risk of our major defense acquisition programs.	materials, and low observables. These efforts on base. These manufacturing technologies v	s will vill			
Advanced materials manufacturing technologies undergoing development inclu for rapid fabrication of structural components. Cold Spray Deposition: The objective for Cold Spray Deposition is to create a p manufacturer applied corrosion/wear prevention treatment for magnesium gear Inability to repair is causing significant readiness, sustainment, and safety issue Working with the original equipment manufacturer to transition the process to in and overhaul condemned gearboxes in storage.	proven repair process and original equipment rbox housings and parts on numerous platforr es (20% of the fleet is affected at any given tir	ns. ne).			
Net-Shaped Field Assisted Sintering Technology (FAST): FAST will set the proproduction of two ultra high temperature materials components that require full that are not achievable via other processes. This technology addresses near r (flute shaped) made from W (Tungsten) and TaC alloys and sharp leading edg PE 0603680D8Z: <i>Defense Wide Manufacturing Science and</i>	density materials with nano tailored microstrunet shaped, thin walled axial rocket nozzle inst	erts			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603680D8Z <i>I Defense Wide</i> <i>Manufacturing Science and Technology</i> <i>Program</i>	P680/N	(Number/I Manufacturi ogy Progra	and	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
ceramics. This effort will mature the manufacturing readiness of or delivery times.	conventional FAST while reducing costs and providing fast	er			
Fastener Fill: The F-35 Fastener Fill project will address the challe which can take as long as 2 minutes per fastener and provides no 40,000 fasteners per aircraft for F-35, this is a significant manufact skived to meet flushness requirements. The project objective is to System which is an automated combination melt, compress, and s 15 seconds per fastener. Automated and Rapid Boot Installation F boot installation procedures, which are not suitable for full-rate pro A risk assessment analysis has identified the following areas to be boot installations; (2) automation of additional trimming, bonding, quality of technician skill/training; and (4) reduction of the waste in High Precision Air Vehicle Manufacturing: The most advanced air of precision structural fabrication to meet production goals and mi reduces rework and enables improved weapon system cost and p maintenance by increasing the interchangeability of components. Dimensions from Day One: Demonstrate a methodology that accu- tooling and material factors impacting finished composite parts; th yield first article parts meeting the dimensional requirements on "o Large Scale Encapsulate Ceramics for Medium and Large Calibe- solution for passive armor protection from medium and large calib- thick ceramic tiles. Improve the dimensional controls for the finish operations for existing target panels with more efficient processes Develop a rapid low cost coating operation for the ceramic tiles to	<ul> <li>b indication of installation quality other than feel. With over churing issue. In addition, excess materials must be manually orefine the contractor's prototype Rapid Intelligent Fastener skive tool capable of installing fastener fill material in less the Process: This process will reduce the labor-intensive nature oduction and represent 40% of the cost in component finise targeted: (1) automation of the hand-cut/trimmed, multi-p and pasting activities currently performed manually; (3) im neurred in cutting/darting boots.</li> <li>r vehicles for military applications require unprecedented lession requirements. Precision fabrication simplifies assemble formance. Furthermore, they simplify aircraft sustainment of the analytic predicts and accounts for the numerous geometric, his will enable the correct upfront process and tooling decisiday one".</li> <li>r Threat Defeat: This project will mature a novel lighter weigher threats. Automate the hot press process to manufacturined ceramics. Improve the current assembly/multi-comports or a single cast approach using steel instead of titanium.</li> </ul>	ally r Fill han e of ning. iece proved evels ably, ent and ions to ght e nent			
Cast Eglin Steel: This effort will establish Cast Eglin steel chemist protection and effectiveness for Hard and Deeply Buried Target (H		single			
PE 0603680D8Z: Defense Wide Manufacturing Science and					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603680D8Z <i>I Defense Wide</i> <i>Manufacturing Science and Technology</i> <i>Program</i>	P680 / Manufacturing Science			e and	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
piece cast underbody protection system and bomb bodies. Developing cas geometric and blast requirements.	st-in pockets, slopes, and curves in order to meet					
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Cold Spray: Worked with the original equipment manufacturer to transition maintain, repair, and overhaul condemned gearboxes in storage. Processe	ed validation & repair demonstration.					
Net-shaped FAST: Completed high temperature bend strength with grain s selected for the carbide dispersoid and conducted a more detailed process unit for enough material to conduce a detailed thermal-mechanical behavior processing conditions and morphology, mechanical and thermal properties fabrication of prototype and scale-up to near net shape nozzle and segmer plan, solicited RFP's, and selected best proposals. Modified Rapid Intellige hard-to-reach areas such as inlet ducts and QC verification to ensure the find	sing study. Fabricated a large billet in the large FAS or analysis. Developed an understanding between s and Non-Destructive Evaluation results. Started nted leading edge. Fastener Fill: Developed auton ent Fastener Fill System current applications to inc	nation lude				
Automated and Rapid Boot Installation: This process reduced the labor-intervere not suitable for full-rate production and represented 40% of the cost i identified the following areas to be targeted: (1) automation of the hand-cut of additional trimming, bonding, and application activities currently perform training; and (4) reduction of the waste incurred in cutting/darting boots.	n component finishing. A risk assessment analysis t/trimmed, multi-piece boot installations; (2) autom	has ation				
Cast Eglin Steel: Established Eglin steel chemistry specifications to maxim maximum effectiveness for hard and deeply buried targets. Created a prim protection system, and bomb bodies. Employed an integrated computation casting process to mitigate potential processing problems. Developed blas M88 to GCV. Integrated PM GCV into project team.	nary casting process for the single piece cast under nal casting process model to simulate the net-shap	rbody e				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Cold Spray: Original equipment manufacturer demonstration and qualificat analysis and engineering validations are scheduled. Automated manufactu						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of I	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603680D8Z <i>I Defense Wide</i> <i>Manufacturing Science and Technology</i> <i>Program</i>	P680	Project (Number/Name) 2680 / Manufacturing Science and Technology Program		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Net-shaped FAST: Complete validation and durability testing then proceed wit demonstration. The team will document process efficiency, and then identify c to industry.		sition			
Fastener Fill: Concurrent evaluation at Northrop Grumman Palmdale F-35 cent testing of selected application tooling to develop process procedures that inclu tooling support will be decided for implementation with refinement enhancement	des first article acceptance. Preliminary desig	ns for			
Automated and Rapid Boot Installation: Identify and implement improvements for the various boot configurations. Contract awards to be made to supporting					
Dimensions from Day One: Develop overall methodology and necessary mate materials not addressed in current predictive software. Test materials for proce thermal expansion, etc.)					
Large Scale Encapsulate Ceramics for Medium and Large Caliber Threat Defe stress modeling. This will include the following Analysis of Alternatives (AOA). tiles into: (1) a large cast steel metallic structure; (2)machined steel heavy meta assembly; (4) a braided preformed structure to be infused with resin; (5) a large affordable casting parameters for large cast encapsulated tile panels with geor combat vehicles requiring protection from medium and large caliber threats.	Encapsulation of large hot pressed SiC cerar al assembly; (3) cast pocketed steel heavy me ge machined Ti metallic structure. Establish	nic etal			
Field Assisted Sintering of Armor & Anti-Armor Components: Mature FAST ma ongoing modeling and simulation (M&S) on subscale SAPI die. Redesign sub- multi cavity die for near-net-shaped penetrators; sintering trials & optimization; complete final project documentation, report, & close out. Primary metric for ar significantly reduce this (> 60%). Primary metric for advanced penetrators will I nature of FAST can reduce machining costs by 90% and hence overall item co	scale SAPI die set from M&S results; design sinter subscale SAPI and penetrator prototyp mor materials will be cycle time as FAST can be cost. It is anticipated that the near-net-shap	es;			
					1

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of	Defense		Date: M	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603680D8Z <i>I Defense Wide</i> <i>Manufacturing Science and Technology</i> <i>Program</i>	P680	<b>ct (Number/N</b> I Manufacturi ology Progra	nd	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Cast Eglin Steel: Validated cast process that ensures cast in pockets, slopes requirements that also facilitate ease of next higher level assembly. Conducte preparations for casting underbody and a full vehicle hull. Began working with	ed additional blast tests. Continued design wor	k and			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> High Precision Air Vehicle Manufacturing: Implement development of precisi generation aircraft by addressing the accumulated efforts to control the impact manufacturing and assembly.					
Fastener Fill: Establish approved procedural support for production implemer updates based on the changes implemented.	ntation. Develop First Article Acceptance delta				
Automated and Rapid Boot Installation: Decisions for production implemental supporting process documentation. Lockheed Martin and stakeholders to rev delta updates to be performed.					
Dimensions from Day One: Explore and develop predictive capability method Evaluate Methodology prediction and comparison to "as built" hardware of sir					
Large Scale Encapsulate Ceramics for Medium and Large Caliber Threat De- automated process for the SiC tiles and conduct manufacturing trials.	feat: Scale up casting process, develop more				
Cast Eglin Steel: Conduct additional blast tests. Cast and heat treat full scale working with US Navy to develop USMC ground vehicle and other application munitions.					
Title: Enterprise and Emerging Manufacturing			1.319	2.784	3.212
<b>Description:</b> Enterprise and Emerging Manufacturing is a series of efforts ac and enterprise business practices for defense applications. Key focus areas advanced manufacturing enterprise, machining, robotics, assembly, and joini enterprise business practices will accelerate delivery of technical capabilities manufacturing technologies to reduce the cost, acquisition time and risk of our	include direct digital (or additive) manufacturing ng. These manufacturing technologies and to impact current warfighting operations, and				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Sec	retary Of Defense	Date:	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603680D8Z <i>I Defense Wide</i> <i>Manufacturing Science and Technology</i> <i>Program</i>	Project (Number, P680 / Manufactu Technology Progr	and	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
With our adversaries forced to innovate rapidly to survive, it's becom own agility and flexibility. The focus is to find a solution to overcome cost, time, security, and storage space. Through the use of secure se access CAD designs for replacement parts, allowing them to repair e for shipments. It allows operators to modify a part's design based on	a burdensome acquisition cycle requiring a great amou atellite data links or a local parts database, warfighters o equipment without the need to establish supply chains o	nt of can		
Emerging manufacturing technologies undergoing development inclumachine tool applications, and methods for exchange of 3D official to government and contractors.		•		
MTConnect Challenge: Focuses on developing enterprise manufacture interoperable protocol, for use on machining platforms and manufact an open communication standard that provides the capability to pass further processing using the XML based standard.	turing enterprise communication development. MTConn	ect is		
Framework for Assessing Cost and Technology (FACT): Producibility performance, manufacturing processing techniques and cost can be solution. Current producibility analysis tools do not reuse and conner Sustainment and Maintenance will be impacted by maturing advance sustainment costs associated with spare parts acquisition and weap selection of a manufacturing process to minimize cost given the estir using FACT will be critical for performing analyses associated with ir highlight the manufacturing and lifecycle costs associated with the ne operational requirements.	simultaneously considered to achieve an optimum desi ect existing design, manufacturing and cost models. ed sustainability analyses operating within FACT to redu on system maintenance. The technology will enable co mated spare part lot sizes. Block Upgrades or Recapital integrating new requirements into an existing platform to	rect ization		
40mm M433 Warhead Producibility: This effort will improve anti-pers first shot effectiveness against personnel targets. Optimization of pre- will enable avoidance of significantly high cartridge unit costs. New re- embed discrete fragments into over molded warhead bodies, replaci Velocity 40mm M433 High Explosive Dual Purpose (HEDP) Grenade grenadier, an integral part of the squad, lacks lethality from the M433 the warhead manufacturing process and design. A new warhead des	oduction process prior to transition to Full Rate Producti manufacturing process/techniques will be established to ng deep drawn pre-formed manufacturing techniques. L es do not meet lethality requirement stated in FM 23-31. 3 HEDP grenade. The M433 HEDP lethality is restricted	ow The by		
PE 0603680D8Z: Defense Wide Manufacturing Science and Technology	UNCLASSIFIED		Y_	lumo 3 - 25

*Technology ...* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Volume 3 - 254

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	1arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603680D8Z <i>I Defense Wide</i> <i>Manufacturing Science and Technology</i> <i>Program</i>	Project (N P680 / Ma Technolog	nufacturi	ing Science a	nd
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F۱	<b>2013</b>	FY 2014	FY 2015
requirements and to increase lethality overmatch of the squad. Currently the M pre-formed fragments. The deep drawn warhead body, although cost effective and patterns which reduces warhead lethality.					
Loading ALIMX-101 into 500 LB General Purpose Bombs: A promising new Ins selected for implementation into the 500 LB General Purpose Bomb used by th process needs to be developed early in the acquisition cycle in order to avoid c system.	e Navy and Air Force so an efficient manufac	turing			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> MT Connect Challenge: Developed and designed requirements and all public r and objectives. Awarded prizes for Challenge 1 that sought ambitious yet ach manufacturing intelligence breakthroughs.	• •	ring			
Framework for Assessing Cost and Technology (FACT): Initiated development manufacturing processes and structural performance to minimize the cost for lo		en			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> MT Connect Challenge: Review submissions for accuracy, credibility, effectiver an evaluation and assessment of the competing offerings for Challenge 2 and of Conference will present finalists and attendees will vote for the 3 award winners Framework for Assessing Cost and Technology (FACT): Evaluate and model of for insertion to a PLM-to-PLM information data exchange format. It is anticipate specifications to accommodate welding and machining processes will begin for M777 spare parts project to be realized starting in the 3Q-FY15.	determine the winning entries. The 2014 MT s. urrent data to 3D annotated baseline technica d that benefits associated with updating desig	gn 🛛			
40mm M433 Warhead Improvement Fabrication & Producibility: Develop optim insertion tooling and processes. Optimize mold stages to decrease time to load stages. Potential Return on Investment (ROI) = 8.5:1; cost savings \$24.5M, wh from 2016 to 2022 (\$17.00 per round cost reduction).	d parts, over-mold parts & transition to follow				
Loading ALIMX-101 into 500 LB General Purpose Bombs: Develop and optimiz and tolerances, cooling parameters for each material, and X-ray inspection crite					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secret	tary Of Defense	Date	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	• • • •	Project (Numbe P680 / Manufact Technology Prog	uring Science a	nd
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
processing facility is typical process development for many programs ( cost effective and efficient than evaluation at a load plant.	155mm artillery, mortars, grenades, etc.) because it is	more		
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Framework for Assessing Cost and Technology (FACT): Reduce the tip production planning (such as for the Amphibious Combat Vehicle). This reducing the risk of underperformance and/or becoming too costly. 40mm M433 Warhead Improvement Fabrication & Producibility: Develo to fill mold with fragments & settle/align fragments. Develop mold clar	s will improve the integrated nature of the components, op fragment insertion methods & equipment to reduce t	ime		
cycle times.				
Loading ALIMX-101 into 500 LB General Purpose Bombs: Transition p Ammunition plant. Load study using ARDEC-developed processes.	processing parameters for loading to McAlester Army			
Title: Innovation Manufacturing Institutes (IMI) (previously Advanced M	Manufacturing Innovation Institutes)		12.000	71.250
<b>Description:</b> Technical innovation and leadership in manufacturing are prosperity to enable our military to maintain technological advantage at Manufacturing Innovation (IMI) will serve as regional hubs to accelerate concurrently develop the educational competencies and production protexecution and funding by the Departments of Defense (DoD), Energy (and Space Administration (NASA), and the National Science Foundation spur industry cost-share for manufacturing innovation and quickly deve collaboration among government, industry, and academia that will meet these institutes is highlighted in the President's Council of Advisors on Domestic Competitive Advantage in Advanced Manufacturing," publish	nd global dominance. To support these goals, Institutes e technological innovation into commercial application a pocesses via shared public-private sectors. Collaborativ (DoE), and Commerce (DoC), the National Aeronautics on (NSF) to support the establishment of the IMIs will elop a pathway for technology-focused regional hubs fo et critical government and Warfighter needs. The conce Science and Technology (PCAST) report titled "Captur	and /e r ept of		
IMI for 3D printing: The focus of the 3D printing IMI is to accelerate add sector and increase domestic manufacturing competitiveness by: 1) For exchange of additive manufacturing information and research 2) Facilit efficient and flexible additive manufacturing technologies 3) Engaging v education and training in additive manufacturing technologies to create institute with regional and national impact on additive manufacturing ca	ostering a highly collaborative infrastructure for the oper tating the development, evaluation, and deployment of with educational institutions and companies to supply a an adaptive, leading workforce, 4) Serving as a nation	1		

Manufacturing Science and Technology Technology Program	nufacturii	ng Science al m	nd
with existing public, private or not-for-profit industrial and economic development resources, and business incubators, with an emphasis on assisting small- and medium-sized enterprises and early-stage companies (start-ups). The 3D printing IMI was	2013	1	
emphasis on assisting small- and medium-sized enterprises and early-stage companies (start-ups). The 3D printing IMI was		FY 2014	FY 2015
IMI for digital manufacturing and design: Advanced design and manufacturing tools that are digitally integrated and networked with supply chains can lead to 'factories of the future,' forming an agile U.S. industrial base with significant speed to market advantage. A national institute focusing on the development of model-based design methodologies, virtual manufacturing tools, and sensor and robotics-based manufacturing networks will accelerate innovation in manufacturing, increasing U.S. competitiveness. The digital manufacturing and design IMI will provide the proving ground to link promising information technologies, tools, standards, models, sensors, controls, practices and skills, and then transition these capabilities to the industrial base for full-scale application. For example, proving and progressing intelligent electro-mechanical design and manufacturing capabilities from laboratory to prototype factory environments would improve production efficiencies and costs. The focus is the smart and comprehensive use of the 'digital thread' throughout design, production and support.			
IMI for lightweight metals: Advanced lightweight metals possess properties comparable to traditional materials while enabling much lighter components and products. A national institute will scale-up research to accelerate market expansion by applying integrated computational of materials and manufacturing approach. New structural alloys face tremendous barriers to application due to lack of design guides and certifications as well as cost and scale-up challenges. The goal is to develop an advanced lightweight-metal U.S. supplier base, and to enable DoD to realize significant fuel reduction, increased payloads, and greater speed and agility of manned, unmanned, and soldier systems as well as benefits for commercial applications and energy savings.			
Two additional IMIs will be established in FY 2015, focusing investments in the key technical areas of Advanced Electronics and Optics Manufacturing, Advanced Materials Manufacturing, and Enterprise and Emerging Manufacturing.			
Each Institute is projected to be financially self-sustaining within a five year period of performance.			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> All FY 2013 efforts for the first three IMIs were funded using Industrial Base Innovation Fund resources, addressed in the Congressional Add description below.			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> IMIs for digital manufacturing and design, and for lightweight metals: Build on positive results of the first round of Research and Development projects awarded in FY 2013 by transitioning capability to the organic and commercial industrial base. Grow the			

PE 0603680D8Z: Defense Wide Manufacturing Science and Technology ... Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secret	ary Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603680D8Z <i>I Defense Wide</i> <i>Manufacturing Science and Technology</i> <i>Program</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P680 <i>I Manufacturing Science a</i> <i>Technology Program</i>			nd
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		[	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
membership of each Institute to realize self-sustainment in five years. reputation as mature IMIs. Demonstrate the IMI network by establishin in which the DoD institutes' technologies are shown to be integrative in Manufacturing Institutes. Brief the Institutes at a number of venues to be the membership networks.	ng complementary relationships and developing proje nature with the other IMIs under the National Networ	cts k of			
Award second and third round of project contracts in the following key of IMI for digital manufacturing and design: advanced manufacturing ente IMI for lightweight metals: applications of new/novel metals and alloys, manufacturing processes, and development of products exploiting light IMI for 3D printing: There are currently no resources for this IMI in FY 2	rprise, intelligent machines, and advanced analysis; primary metal manufacturing processes, secondary weight and modern metals.				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> The 3D printing IMI will continue membership growth and membership based on an updated technology roadmap developed from the technical competitively review and award additional applied research projects tot and government shared benefit. A challenge or series of challenges/pr topics to draw in industry impact. A sustainability plan will be complete service" research for industry and government agencies and membersh workshops on a continuing basis to gather member input and continue Technology transitions and technology dissemination will continue to in workforce development activities will take place. The online portal and continued membership engagement and collaboration. Small business Factory facility, and training activities will be developed. Institute econometrics will be measured.	al strategy workshops held in 2014. The IMI will caling up to \$5-10 million of highest potential for indus rizes will be launched surrounding additive manufacture d including projected revenue streams such as "fee for hip fees. The IMI will conduct further technology strat to refine the Additive Manufacturing National Roadma istitute members and to the general public. Additional knowledge base will be further developed to allow for ses will continue to be engaged with use of the Innova	try iring or tegy ap. I r ation			
IMIs for digital manufacturing and design, and for lightweight metals: Exinter-institute cross-membership and collaboration across the IMI network and utilization of FY 2013 and FY 2014 projects. Analyze US and Globa agencies, to build upon the institute portfolio and address critical require core areas for each Institute, which are: IMI for digital manufacturing and design: advanced manufacturing enterprise	ork. Develop and expand upon the commercialization al industrial base in partnership with other governmer ements. Award fourth round of project contracts in th	nt			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense				Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	PE 0603680D8Z / Defense Wide			roject (Number/Name) 680 I Manufacturing Science and echnology Program				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
IMI for lightweight metals: applications of new/novel metals and allo manufacturing processes, and development of products exploiting light		secondary						
	Accomplishments/Planned Prog	grams Sub	totals	20.593	34.014	91.09		
		FY 2013	FY 20	014				
Congressional Add: Industrial Base Innovation Fund (IBIF)		28.939	25	.000				
<b>FY 2013</b> Accomplishments: Program investments were executed in defense industrial base shortfalls (especially those related to more use defense manufacturing sources and material shortages; a sustainable based engineering and integrated computational materials engineering developed through public-private partnerships such as the National 2 Connecting American Manufacturing, and the National Digital Engine In addition, these programs all had a clear transition path with implet undergoing acquisition targeted to be within 2-3 years of project composer executed to enable a diverse suite of advanced manufacturing - Innovation Manufacturing Institutes (IMI) (previously Advanced Maprogram descriptions addressed above): 1) IMI for 3D printing: The institute accomplished a first-year, start-u 80 industry, academic, and non-profit members, creating a balanced and government stakeholders, development of performance metrics plan, the hiring of full-time staff, and completed renovations of the here Call for Projects resulted in six applied research projects, engaging of teams. The Additive Manufacturing technology roadmap was developed and solvers with members. A Second Project Call was laured a solver solver and solver solvers with members.	rgent production requirements); diminishing le defense design team base; model- ng; or new, innovative technologies being Advanced Manufacturing Partnership, eering and Manufacturing Consortium. mentation on a current platform or one apletion. The following areas of investment production improvements nufacturing Innovation Institutes) (see p phase consisting of establishing over governance structure to engage industry , a project call process, an operating eadquarters, the Innovation Factory. A over 35 member organizations on project							

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se			L	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603680D8Z <i>I Defense Wide</i> <i>Manufacturing Science and Technology</i> <i>Program</i>		Project (Number/Name) P680 I Manufacturing Science an Technology Program	
		FY 2013	FY 2014	
interest. Awarded initial cooperative agreements. The Technology established along with operating procedures on governance, intelle Began cross-institute project teaming with the 3D printing IMI, and manufacturing communities within DoD. Executed the initial Project typically \$1M in government funds matched 1:1 or better by industic core areas for each Institute: IMI for digital manufacturing and design: advanced manufacturing advanced analysis; IMI for lightweight metals: applications of new/novel metals and all secondary manufacturing processes, and development of products Other projects executed (space limitation precludes project details Automated Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE) Analysis of Compose Manufacturing Quality Control Carbon Nanotube Cables Connecting American Manufacturing Curved Transparent Ceramics Laser Assisted Consolidation of Composites Multi-function Periscope On Tool Inspection of Automated Fiber Placement	ectual property protection, and membership. began engagement with organic (depot) et Calls and awarded first round of projects, ry. Contracts were awarded in the following enterprise, intelligent machines, and oys, primary metal manufacturing processes, s exploiting lightweight and modern metals. below, but further descriptions are available):			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Projects to be executed (space limitation preclude descriptions are available): Affordable Radar Large Affordable Substrates On Tool Inspection of Automated Fiber Placement Solid Rocket Motor Digital Factory	es project details below, but further			
	Congressional Adds Subtotals	28.939	25.000	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justif	chibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	vity			PE 06 <i>Manut</i>	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603680D8Z <i>I Defense Wide</i> <i>Manufacturing Science and Technology</i> <i>Program</i>				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P680 <i>I Manufacturing Science and</i> <i>Technology Program</i>				
C. Other Program Funding Summa	ry (\$ in Milli	ons <u>)</u>											
			<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>					Cost To			
Line Item	FY 2013	<u>FY 2014</u>	Base	000	<u>Total</u>	<u>FY 2016</u>	FY 2017	<u>FY 2018</u>	<u>FY 2019</u>	<u>Complete</u>	Total Cost		
• (BA3) 0603680F:	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Air Force ManTech													
• (BA7) 0708045A: Army ManTech	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
• (BA7) 0708011N: Navy ManTech	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
• (BA7) 0708011S: <i>DLA ManTech</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
Remarks													

#### D. Acquisition Strategy

Not applicable for this item. Outyear data for "Other Program Funding" is contained within the Service budgets.

#### E. Performance Metrics

The majority of project performance metrics are specific to each effort and include measures identified in the project plans. The metrics include items such as target dates from project work break down schedules, production measures, production goals, production numbers and demonstration goals and dates. In addition, generic performance metrics applicable to the Defense-Wide Manufacturing, Science and Technology (DMS&T) program includes attainment of previous administration goal, "Speed technology transition focused on warfighting needs". The metrics for this objective and the objective of DMS&T is to transition 30% of completing demonstrations program per year. Due to the relatively new time frame of the DMS&T program, transition rates for completed efforts for this new project are not available yet.

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: March 2014				
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603699D8Z <i>I Emerging Capabilities Technology Development</i>								
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	43.377	20.859	53.967	33.706	-	33.706	34.784	33.384	32.622	41.306	Continuing	Continuing
P795: Emerging Capabilities Technology Development	43.377	20.859	34.967	33.706	-	33.706	34.784	33.384	32.622	41.306	Continuing	Continuing
P369: Disruptive Technology Demonstrations	0.000	-	19.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### Note

The Emerging Capabilities Technology Development (ECTD) Program Element (PE) reflects a shift in focus throughout the Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Rapid Fielding (DASD RF) on producing risk-reducing prototypes and demonstrations coordinated through interagency and Service partnerships. The ECTD will support the Department's Countering Emerging Threats priority area through longer-term, mission-focused capability development. The office will execute projects in collaboration with government labs, academia, and industry that target specific mission capability gaps across the Combatant Commands.

In FY 2015, Disruptive Demonstrations (Project P369) funding will be transferred from PE 0603699D8Z (Emerging Capabilities Technology Development) to PE 0603289D8Z (Advanced Innovative Analysis & Concepts).

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This funding develops emerging capabilities and prototypes in support of near and mid-term irregular warfare and stability operations. The framework is guided by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense, Research and Engineering, the DASD RF, and the Rapid Reaction Technology Office science and technology objectives and focus areas. With an emphasis on interagency and service partnerships, initiatives are developed to pursue risk-reducing prototypes and demonstrations in order to produce capability options that anticipate and inform formal joint and interagency requirements and acquisition processes. Individual projects generally span a two to four year period, typically at a cost of less than \$4.000 million, and are demonstrated and fielded in spirals within the project timeline. During FY 2014, the ECTD Program enhanced its focus on rapid prototyping of high-payoff technologies. This program element has evolved from exclusive support of force transformation activities to the activities described above, which are more closely aligned with departmental goals.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 O	ffice of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	Date: March 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-V Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603699D8Z <i>I Emerging Capabilities Technology Development</i>						
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total		
Previous President's Budget	24.662	61.971	45.706	-	45.706		
Current President's Budget	20.859	53.967	33.706	-	33.706		
Total Adjustments	-3.803	-8.004	-12.000	-	-12.000		
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-3.239	-8.000					
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.033	-					
Congressional Adds	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-					
Reprogrammings	-	-					
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.522	-					
<ul> <li>Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-12.000	-	-12.000		
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.009	-	-	-	-		
FFRDC Adjustments	-	-0.004	-	-	-		

#### Change Summary Explanation

FY 2015: Decrease of \$12.000 million is the net of Disruptive Demonstrations (P264) funding transfer to new PE 0603289D8Z, Advanced Innovative Analysis and Concepts.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603699D8Z <i>I Emerging Capabilities</i> <i>Technology Development</i>				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P795 <i>I Emerging Capabilities Technology</i> <i>Development</i>				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P795: Emerging Capabilities Technology Development	43.377	20.859	34.967	33.706	-	33.706	34.784	33.384	32.622	41.306	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This funding develops emerging capabilities and prototypes in support of near and mid-term irregular warfare and stability operations. The framework is guided by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense, Research and Engineering (ASD(R&E)), the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Rapid Fielding, and the Rapid Reaction Technology Office science and technology objectives and focus areas. With an emphasis on interagency and service partnerships, initiatives are developed to pursue risk-reducing prototypes and demonstrations in order to produce capability options that anticipate and inform formal joint and interagency requirements and acquisition processes. Individual projects generally span a two to four year period, typically at a cost of less than \$4.000 million, and are demonstrated and fielded in spirals within the project timeline. During FY 2014, the Emerging Capabilities Technology Development Program enhanced its focus on rapid prototyping of high-payoff technologies. This program element has evolved from exclusive support of force transformation activities to the activities described above, which are more closely aligned with departmental goals.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Overwatch	4.264	6.936	3.800
<b>Description:</b> Overwatch is an overarching ground capability development effort which is leveraging technology and new concepts to develop prototypical capabilities to fill ground combat and interagency capability gaps. It contains multiple initiatives seeking to cultivate and leverage emerging technologies and concepts to counter the current and future challenges characteristic of the irregular warfare environment. Projects are oriented toward increasing warfighter effectiveness on the battlefield and/or the development/enhancement of "whole of government" irregular warfare capabilities.			
The capability development effort furthers interagency capabilities by pursuing concept experimentation/validation, interoperability enhancements, and command and control development. Ground capabilities focus on command and control, force protection, situational awareness, and networked, cooperative engagement for application in denied areas or low-cost, small footprint operations. These solutions include completed operational assessments, equipment prototypes, or validated concepts which can be used to inform and drive formal procurement processes and/or policy decisions.			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> QuickNETS was assessed for continuing requirements, while NexTech, Advanced Countermeasure Prototype, Buoyant Body Armor, Spectral Management, Intelligent Small Unit Power (ISUP), and Humanitarian Assistance/Disaster Relief (HA/DR)-Test Center continued development of prototypes. Development, testing, and safety certification of the Advanced Mortar Protection			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense			Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603699D8Z <i>I Emerging Capabilities</i> <i>Technology Development</i>	P795 I Eme	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P795 <i>I Emerging Capabilities Techno</i> <i>Development</i>			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Systems (AMPS) was completed and initial fielding began in FY 2013 in s included Multi-modal Hostile Fire Detection System (MHFDS) and Remot and Operator Cueing (RAPTOR), a semi-autonomous crew-served weap	te Weapon System (RWS) Auto Prioritization, Targ					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Fielding of AMPS with U.S. Forces-Afghanistan (USFOR-A) in support of FY 2014. Intelligent Small Unit Power (ISUP), Advanced Countermeasur HAPS), Spectral Management and Buoyant Body Armor prototypes will b transitioned. Additionally, Buoyant Body Armor, ACP-HAPS, and Spectra Papers, Multi-modal Hostile Fire Detection System (MHFDS), RAPTOR, I project developments. New projects for FY 2014 will include: Augmenter implementation to interconnect with a host device to display real-time poin of night vision goggles (NVGs); Wide Field of View Enhanced Binocular N NVG prototypes to increase the operator's field of view by 250 percent ar Zero Engagement project, which will identify more cost effective ways of new projects for FY 2014 under consideration include: Multi-Medium Iden the effectiveness of a novel detector for threat and illicit material detection environments; and Enhanced Expeditionary Engagement Capability (E30 of a precision-guided 81mm mortar. Additional projects will be developed (Research & Engineering), Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense Rapid (RRTO) objectives and focus areas.	re Prototype – Helo Active Protection System (ACP be assessed for continuing requirements and/or close al Management will test and demonstrate. Walking NexTech, and HA/DR-Test Center will continue ad Reality Clip-On (ARCO), which provides a softwar ints of interest as a heads-up display (HUD) on a su Night Vision Device (WFOV eBNVD), which develop and provide enhanced depth perception; and the Net engaging in unstable and transitioning states. Other infication System (MMIDS), which will test and eval n/identification in objects and conveyance in a varie C) which will provide warfighter input to the develop d and informed by Assistant Secretary of Defense	sed/ lite os t- er luate ety of ment				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> HA/DR-Test Center will transition to an operational entity under United St Hostile Fire Detection System, RAPTOR, NexTech, ARCO, WFOV-eBNV development of prototypes. Potential new starts for FY 2015 include Sho which will develop a new helmet liner designed to identify and classify po injuries. Additional projects will be developed and informed by ASD (R&E	/D, and the Net-Zero Engagement projects will con ock Impact and Explosive Limits Dosimetry (SHIELI stential over-pressure situations leading to traumation	D), brain				
Title: Maritime Irregular Warfare/Stiletto			4.264	6.942	4.032	
<b>Description:</b> The Maritime Irregular Warfare portfolio investigates gaps a domain, with a particular focus on prototype concepts and systems. Projestate capabilities such as semi- and fully-submersible vehicles, countering systems, and low cost littoral fire support, among other capabilities. This builds on and leverages the Stiletto dedicated maritime demonstration ve	ects explore the development of counter evolved n g unmanned swarms, maritime non-lethal weapons expanded effort to address maritime capability gap	on-				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	Project (Number/ P795 / Emerging ( Development		ne) abilities Technology			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
designed to assist in the assessment of prototypes and the rapid operations to higher Technology Readiness Levels. Stiletto, an 8 was purposefully designed to rapidly acquire, integrate, and empl technologies and concepts of operation for special and expedition with the Naval Surface Warfare Center's Combatant Craft Divisio Innovation Cell, streamlines the experimentation process and hel reduction of emerging technologies and capabilities. The demon directly with the warfighter in the maritime environment to rapidly is home-ported in Norfolk, Virginia.	38-foot long boat, is an experimental, all carbon fiber craft the loy new capabilities to explore the military utility of emergin nary forces. The Stiletto program, managed in partnership on and the Naval Air Warfare Center Aircraft Division's Warf lps facilitate the rapid demonstration, exploration, and risk istration process also encourages system developers to en-	nat g fare gage				
<b>FY 2013</b> Accomplishments: In FY 2013, Naval Underwater Threat Interrogation and Covert Accontinued with the Navy and Joint Improvised Explosive Device Into a real world, controlled environment, and testing within the Correct component of the Combatant Craft Light (CCL Mk 1) inflatable can improved hull form will increase durability, reliability and maintain speed, range, payload, and improved riding, supporting missions Technology Working Group (CMTWG) identified the lead organiz analysis of common small craft technology needs in FY 2013. CI Fuel Engine into the Navy catalog. The Maritime Irregular Warfard of emerging Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR Aerial Vehicle (UAV) launch and recovery capabilities on the board (NECC), Trident Spectre 2013, and the UK Ministry of Defence.	Defeat Organization (JIEDDO), moving from the lab environ ntinental United States. The Inflatable Catamaran project nstruction processes for the Special Forces' inflatable hull atamaran with an initial operating capability in FY 2016. The nability. The new design will provide significantly increased a such as Maritime Area Denial. The Common Maritime rations for Stiletto Capability Demonstrations and produced MTWG worked within its membership to bring an advanced re focus area supported three Stiletto Capability Demonstra (3), Command and Control, and maritime Unmanned Vehicle it in FY 2013, supporting Navy Expeditionary Combat Comr Technology Demonstration periods also occurred througho	e an Multi- ations e mand				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> NAUTICAS testing will be completed in FY 2014. The Navy and will lead to the development of an operationally deployable protot to develop and demonstrate state-of-the-art capabilities in anticip deployable ocean sensor system and advanced unmanned surfa RF, and RRTO objectives and focus areas. Projects will focus or Watercraft Systems, US Special Operations Command (USSOC) Community, and other operational users. The CMTWG and Stile	type. The Maritime Irregular Warfare portfolio will continue pation of future needs, such as the Spar Tactical Sensor Ma ice and undersea systems focused on ASD(R&E), DASD n partnerships with the US Navy, US Coast Guard, US Arm OM), US Southern Command (USSOUTHCOM), the Intellig	ist y gence				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	Date: N	/larch 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603699D8Z <i>I Emerging Capabilities</i> <i>Technology Development</i>	<b>Project (Number/I</b> P795 / Emerging C Development	,	ies Technology			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015			
maritime efforts on unmanned, autonomous capabilities and electro and Frame Development will complete testing towards its main obje Navy's Combatant Craft Light Mark 1 program. The new design will improved riding, supporting missions such as Maritime Area Denial development of the Spar Tactical Sensor Mast deployable ocean so Warfare and other interagency partners, which will increase situation focus on maritime disablement technologies and prototypes to count to be demonstrated on Stiletto during three Capability Demonstration as well as joint operational demonstrations and exercises including Capability Demonstrations will focus on demonstrating integrated s coastal and riverine operations; mobile capabilities to support USS recovery demonstrations to support stakeholders including the UK Demonstration opportunities will continue to be offered to non-tradii engagement with the warfighter in the development process.	ectives in FY 2014 and transition those capabilities to the I provide significantly increased speed, range, payload, a I. New Maritime Irregular Warfare projects for FY 2014 in ensor system with the US Navy Director of Expeditionary onal awareness in limited access areas; and an effort to nter emerging threats. Emerging capabilities will continue ons with operational commands and interagency partners of Trident Warrior and Trident Spectre. Stiletto's FY 2014 situational awareness capabilities to support expeditionary OCOM's maritime activities; and maritime UAV launch ar Ministry of Defence and Naval Special Warfare. Technol	nd clude , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> The Maritime Irregular Warfare portfolio will continue to develop an of future needs, such as the Spar buoy deployable ocean sensor sy unmanned surface and undersea systems focused on Assistant See Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Rapid Fielding (DASD R and focus areas. Projects will focus on partnerships with the US N Special Operations Command (USSOCOM), US Southern Comma operational users. Emerging capabilities will continue to be demon with operational commands and interagency partners, as well as jo Demonstration opportunities will continue to be offered to non-tradie engagement with the warfighter in the development process.	ystem, maritime disablement prototypes, and advanced ecretary of Defense for Research & Engineering (ASD(R& RF), and Rapid Reaction Technology Office (RRTO) object avy, US Coast Guard, US Army Watercraft Systems, US and (USSOUTHCOM), the Intelligence Community, and of instrated on Stiletto during three Capability Demonstrations wint operational demonstrations and exercises. Technolog	tives ther s Jy					
Title: Hybrid Airship		4.381	-	-			
<b>Description:</b> In 2008, the Department undertook an airship project Administration (NASA) Ames Research Center providing technical technology demonstrator that integrated several innovative technol vehicle. The project's goal was to mitigate long-term technical risks the potential to assist the development of future heavy-lift, airship p a nascent class of air vehicle which will reduce the energy use per	and contractual oversight. Pelican served as a non-deple ogies into a rigid aeroshell, variable buoyancy (RAVB) air s by integrating and demonstrating a suite of technologies programs. The technology may enable the development of	s with of					

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense			larch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603699D8Z <i>I Emerging Capabilities</i> <i>Technology Development</i>	Project (Number/I P795 / Emerging C Development	Name) Capabilities Technology		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
directly into and out of austere regions with little infrastructure and The key technologies demonstrated include: a buoyancy control sy lightweight-composite internal structure, a low-speed/hover control without a traditional airship's ground handling crew. This project an United States Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL) and United S <b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> In January 2013, Pelican met its project demonstration objectives w provided to produce technical data on Pelican's subsystems that ca In March 2013, a report was submitted documenting project Pelica various subsystems, the report contained a theoretical scalability a challenges. The analytically detailed information gained from Project determine the best path forward with regard to airships in general a	vstem called Control of Static Heaviness (COSH), a rigid, system and ground handling capabilities that allow opera nd its inherent technical progress were also monitored by States Transportation Command. within parameters accepted by NASA. FY 2013 funding w an be shared and used to guide future design and investm n's development. In addition to a detailed analysis of the inalysis as well as embedded lessons learned and develo ect Pelican's complete body of testing will help the DoD and RAVB technology in particular.	tions the vas nent. pment			
In September 2013, project Pelican officially concluded with the su FY 2013. No follow-on work or funding is planned by the Departme	ent.				
<i>Title:</i> Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR)/Thunde <i>Description:</i> This portfolio examines and explores emerging techn (USAF), the National Reconnaissance Office (NRO), and other inter the National Space Strategy objectives to preserve and protect the employment by the tactical user. The flagship project for this portfor demonstration for the Office of Secretary of Defense, interagency p academia, government laboratories and commercial vendors. Thu and assess the capabilities of new, prototype, emerging and transf processing, exploitation, and dissemination (PED) capabilities in m environments prior to full-scale employment. Thunderstorm demor post-demonstration assessments and data evaluation serve to info capabilities. Thunderstorm aims to identify new capabilities and/or ability to "Deter, Predict, and Interdict" threats while assessing how	nologies and prototypes to complement the US Air Force eragency initiatives in ISR. In addition, the portfolio addre e space environment with a focus on developing application olio is Thunderstorm, an enduring multi-Intelligence techn partners, Combatant Commands (COCOMs), Services, inderstorm demonstrations provide an opportunity to evalue formational ISR technologies, and related information collections inssion-related, geographically, and operationally relevant instration objectives, performance measures, lessons learn form future DoD ISR concepts of operations and remote PE r new ways to employ existing capabilities that enhance of	ns for ology uate ection, ned, ED ur	5.967	4.34	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D		Date: N	larch 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603699D8Z <i>I Emerging Capabilities</i> <i>Technology Development</i>	P795/	Project (Number/Name) P795 I Emerging Capabilities Technolog Development				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
Thunderstorm Spirals 13-1 and 13-2 characterized maritime threat behavior in littorals and the transition into the Texas land space. Both spirals capitalized or partnership with Joint Interagency Task Force South (JIATF-S), Customs and E Guard (USCG), United States Navy (USN), National Geo-Spatial Intelligence A (NRO), United States Southern Command (USSOUTHCOM), United States Not Texas Department of Public Safety, Spiral 13-1 technologies were utilized to de open water, littoral and maritime-to-land transition space. The highlight of this in near real-time among eight data nodes. Spiral 13-2 built upon lessons learner maritime-to-land transition activity and the ability to prosecute suspicious actors rural populations. This information was gathered and then shared in near real spirals demonstrated 28 emerging capabilities in operationally realistic environr users providing support.	n the lessons learned from previous spirals. In Border Protection (CPB), United States Coast Igency (NGA), National Reconnaissance Office orthern Command (USNORTHCOM) and the etect and discriminate suspicious behavior in the Spiral was the capability to share information ed from Spiral 13-1, placed emphasis on the s as they quickly meld themselves into urban of time to the data nodes. In FY 2013, Thunders	e ne or torm					
In the space arena, a classified project in partnership with the NRO successfully demonstrated the ability to use commercial- off-the-shelf (COTS) Satellite Communications (SATCOM) equipment for transferring large data files from theater to the U.S. The project used a COTS SATCOM High Data Rate Modem to improve the bandwidth throughput by 100 percent. This was a prototype demonstration and this concept of operations will be adapted to other satellites.							
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Thunderstorm Spirals 14-1, 14-2 and 14-3 planning began in late FY 2013. All lessons learned from previous spirals with special emphasis on information shar prototype technologies. Spiral 14-1 is a stand-alone threat convergence analyst technology in an effort to expose threats to our national security; specifically the 14-1 is intended to be a precursor to FY 2015 Spirals. Spiral 14-1 will be conducted to be a precursor to FY 2015 Spirals. Spiral 14-1 will be conducted to be a precursor to FY 2015 Spirals. Spiral 14-1 will be conducted to be a precursor to FY 2015 Spirals. Spiral 14-1 will be conducted to be a precursor to Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD). Spiral 14-2 Distributed Tabletop exercise 14-3 Field Demonstration will take place in the southeast United States in the Spiral the JIATF-S, USCG, Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA), CBP, Intelligence Community (IC), Office of Naval Intelligence (ONI), NRO, NGA, How Program Executive Office (JPEO) for Chemical and Biological Defense, and Spiral the space arena, projects focus on increasing satellite utility, prototypes, devitactical user and efforts to improve space situational awareness. With the high the goal is to preserve and protect these capabilities.	aring; barriers to information sharing and evalu sis designed to explore existing and nascent ose described as "Black Swan" events. Spiral ucted in March 2014. Spiral 14-2 is a Distribut d focuses on Countering Chemical and Biologi e will be conducted in February 2014. The Spi Spring/Summer 2014. Key operational partner Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), USN omeland Security Investigations (HSI), Joint pecial Operations Command (SOCOM).	ed cal ral s					

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense			Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603699D8Z <i>I Emerging Capabilities</i> <i>Technology Development</i>	P795	Project (Number/Name) P795 / Emerging Capabilities Techno Development		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
In FY 2014, a project is underway to assess emerging technologies for managi will provide a critical overview of credible technologies, prototypes, and concep space environment through space debris mitigation, commercial space situatio servicing. Other projects under consideration for FY 2014 include Flume, a sof of ISR data link communications for Global Hawk, and Multi-INT Activity Learni (MALISTP), a software system that produces indications and warnings of threa detection, and proximity analysis.	ots for understanding, observing, and managin nal awareness capabilities, and space-based ftware system that aims to enhance the speed ng and Inferencing for Space Threat Predictio	g the orbital			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Planning will continue for subsequent Thunderstorm spirals building on the exp details of Thunderstorm FY 2015 have yet to be determined but will likely reflect well as real world exigencies.		1 as			
Space projects will focus on new and emerging space technology with the goal of data quickly; and improve multi-intelligence sensing, processing, exploitation environment through space debris mitigation, space situational awareness, and	and dissemination. Efforts to manage the sp				
Title: Science and Technology Support to Information Operations (IO)			0.879	1.326	1.725
<b>Description:</b> This portfolio will apply the Rapid Reaction Technology Office (RI duration, high-impact, gap filling investments to complement DoD, the Departm Security (DHS) initiatives in the development of capabilities in the areas of Infor and Public Diplomacy. Projects of particular interest include efforts to fill gaps Counterterrorism Strategy and the Countering Violent Extremism (CVE) Abroac capabilities, measures of effectiveness, social media analysis, and counter-nar States Combatant Commands (COCOM) needs will be coordinated through the the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy, Special Operations and Low Intensi	nent of State (DoS), and Department of Homel rmation Operations, Strategic Communication in tools and capabilities that support the Nation d Framework by developing influence assesses rrative capabilities. Specific support to United e Director for Information Operations in the Off	and hal hent			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Projects funded in FY 2013 supported Information Operations and CVE needs for Strategic Counterterrorism Communications (CSCC), U.S. Agency for Interr Combatant Commands. USNORTHCOM's NETp-1 project transitioned to its n Force (CJTF) – Horn of Africa (HOA), United States Southern Command (USS) Warfare Center as transition partners. DoS's CVE Messaging Impact project c from USCENTCOM and contributions from the Countering Terrorism Technical Operations Assessment Foundation helped form the DoD framework for Inform	national Development (USAID), and multiple lext phase, incorporating Commander Joint Ta OUTHCOM), and the Joint Information Operat ontinued prototype development, with particip I Support Office (CTTSO). The Information	ions ation			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D		Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603699D8Z <i>I Emerging Capabilities</i> <i>Technology Development</i>	P795 / E	ject (Number/Name) 95 / Emerging Capabilities Technolo velopment		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
LIC and the Joint Staff to identify and adapt best practices from DoD as well as assessment. The first set of mobile applications in support of USCENTCOM w assessed.		e			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Projects will focus on developing technologies and capabilities in the areas of in effectiveness, social network analysis, advanced communications technologies with other DoD, COCOM, and interagency stakeholders to support DoD efforts and Building Partner Capacity. The Information Operations Assessment Found transition the assessment framework to the Joint Information Operations Warfa will transition in FY 2014 to the Joint Staff-J8 for use in both influence assessment in FY 2014 include an enhancement to the CVE Messaging Impact tools that w and USAID; a Digital Dashboard to assess the effectiveness of mobile application development of a Common Operating Picture to improve understanding of the in the Marine Corps Information Operations Command.	s, and other areas identified through partnersh in cyber science and technology developmen dation project will be completed in FY 2014 an re Center. The NETp-1 project in its second p ent and Theater Campaign Planning. New pr ill meet the needs of USSOCOM, DoS's CSC ions deployed by USCENTCOM; and potentia	t d ohase ojects C, I			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Projects will focus on developing technologies and capabilities in the areas of in effectiveness, social network analysis, advanced communications technologies with other DoD, COCOM, and interagency stakeholders to support DoD efforts and Building Partner Capacity. Priority will be placed on DoD, Joint Staff, and i Information Operations Strategy from Office of the Undersecretary of Defense (	and other areas identified through partnersh in Cyber science and technology developmer nteragency S&T needs identified in a forthcor	nt			
Title: Rapid Prototyping			-	13.796	19.800
<b>Description:</b> This portfolio will focus on cost-effective, limited duration projects cutting-edge land, sea, air and space systems to meet the Department's goal to and ground combat systems in a fiscally constrained environment through advate delivered to joint and Service users to evaluate operational capabilities under reformanticipated threats. Potential venues for prototype assessment include asses Program, Thunderstorm integration exercises and the Joint Experimental Rang JERC experimentation venue is supported in part by the Rapid Reaction Technand experience gained through those demonstrations will help develop new watechnical feasibility of future acquisition programs. These initial prototype effort programs and stimulate efforts beyond traditional defense industrial base activit involve partnerships with industry and academia and permit operational users to the second	o drive innovation in aviation, space, maritime anced rapid prototyping. These prototypes will ealistic conditions and against current adversa ets such as the Stiletto Maritime Demonstration in Complex (JERC) in Yuma, Arizona. (The hology Office's Rapid Reaction Fund.) Knowled infighting concepts and inform requirements and ts will help reduce the cost of future acquisition ties. Development of advanced prototypes will	aries n edge nd			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of I	Defense	Date:	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603699D8Z <i>I Emerging Capabilities</i> <i>Technology Development</i>	Project (Number P795 / Emerging Development	,	echnology
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
strategies and tactics. Advanced rapid prototyping provides a mechanism to g industrial base capabilities, impose asymmetric strategic costs on potential adv enabled military capabilities.				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Rapid prototyping will be a new focus area in FY 2014. Plans for FY 2014 incl designs that will result in ready-to-field prototype systems in one to three years Science and Technology priorities, including unmanned air, ground, and under rotorcraft capabilities; directed energy; energy efficient engine technology; elec Surveillance, Reconnaissance (ISR) systems; dismounted soldier systems; ve efficiency, sustainment and protection. New prototype efforts in FY 2014 inclu – Forward Operating Base (FOB) and Convoy Active Protection System (ACP protection system against rocket propelled grenades for ground assets—FOBs Electromagnetic Environmental Understanding, which will allow tactical units to spectrum or signals of interest. A project under consideration in this focus are will amplify electromagnetic signals of interest. Other potential new prototype a classified program addressing Anti-Access/Area Denial (A2/AD) capability ga Over-the-Horizon Radar (Arctic OTHR), which will demonstrate and mature ad and techniques to support development of a persistent, scalable, wide-area Ar maritime domains. Advanced prototype efforts will leverage joint or Service pa the evaluation of field-ready prototypes in realistic military environments. Addi leverage points to improve the state of the art for rapid prototyping. Potential e prototyping costs and/or improved cycle times for prototyping activities.	s. Candidate efforts will address the Departme rwater systems; low-cost space access; advan- ctronic warfare; global access Intelligence, hicle active protection; and installation/base ide: Advanced Countermeasure Prototype Pha Phase III: F&C APS) which will provide an acti s and vehicles; and establishment of a focus or o automatically identify and exploit electromagr a is Quantum Weak Value Amplifier (QWVA), we efforts in FY 2014 include Small Fast Intercept aps, and North American Arctic Next Generation Ivanced bi-static and multi-static OTHR concept rctic surveillance capability in both the air and artnerships and involve operational commands itional new efforts will examine and find possible	ced se III ive n netic which or, on ots in le		
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Plans for FY 2015 will build on prototyping developments started in FY 2014. of prototypes and may focus on near, mid-term, or long term strategic needs. Science and Technology priorities and specifically address the Assistant Secre on Agility and Innovation, including unmanned air, ground, and underwater sys capabilities; directed energy; energy efficient engine technology; electronic wa Reconnaissance (ISR) systems; dismounted soldier systems; vehicle active pr sustainment and protection. Five to eight advanced prototype efforts will start and involving operational commands in the evaluation of field-ready prototypes will include projects in the Electromagnetic Environmental Understanding and a	Candidate efforts will address the Department' etary of Defense (Rearch & Engineering) initiat stems; low-cost space access; advanced rotoro infare; global access Intelligence, Surveillance, rotection; and installation/base efficiency, in FY 2015 leveraging joint or Service partners s in realistic military environments. Ongoing ef	ships		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S		Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603699D8Z <i>I Emerging Capabilities</i> <i>Technology Development</i>	Project P795 Develo	echnology		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		[	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
will examine and find possible leverage points to improve the stati improved materials, reduced prototyping costs and/or improved c		lude			
Title: Disruptive Demonstrations			4.188	-	-
<b>Description:</b> The Disruptive Technology Demonstrations project needs and anticipatory concerns while maintaining low cost, sma		pability			
FY 2013 Accomplishments:					
Completed project analysis, application investigations, remote pa	yload delivery prototypes and study investigations.				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su	btotals	20.859	34.967	33.70

#### C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

<u>Remarks</u>

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

#### E. Performance Metrics

In FY 2015, generic performance metrics applicable to Emerging Capabilities includes attainment of DoD Strategic Objective 3.5.2D. The title of this objective is "Maintain a strong technical foundation within the Department's Science and Technology (S&T) program" and the metrics for this objective is to transition 40 percent of completing demonstrations program per year. In addition, project completions and success are monitored against schedules and deliverables stated in the proposals and statements of work. The metrics include items such as target dates, production measures, fielding dates, and demonstration goals and dates. In FY 2013, Emerging Capabilities Technology Development had 100 percent of its completing projects successfully transition.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3					R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) Project (N					Date: March 2014 Number/Name) isruptive Technology rations		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P369: Disruptive Technology Demonstrations	-	-	19.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wil <u>Note</u> In FY 2015, Disruptive Demonstra Concepts).				erred from	the ECTD F	Program Ele	ement to PE	0603289D	98Z (Advanc	ed Innovati	ve Analysis	&
A. Mission Description and Bud The Disruptive Technology Demo	•			nitiative to	address pre	e-conflict-ce	ntric capab	ility needs a	and anticipa	tory concer	ns while ma	intaining

The Disruptive Technology Demonstrations project is a technology initiative to address pre-conflict-centric capability needs and anticipatory concerns while maintaining low cost, small footprint operations. The program objectives are to develop disruptive anticipatory products, processes and services suited for quick deployment to fulfill emerging pre-conflict requirements. Disruptive technology and process demonstrations will leverage low cost, commercial, and often low-technology options to provide game-changing and innovative warfighting capabilities. Demonstrations will include protection capabilities in an era of increased theft of Defense-related Intellectual Property (IP).

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Disruptive Technology Demonstrations	-	19.000	-
<ul> <li>Description: The Disruptive Technology Demonstrations project is a technology initiative to address pre-conflict-centric capability needs and anticipatory concerns while maintaining low cost, small footprint operations. Prior FY accomplishments include:</li> <li>Identified alternative, game-changing capabilities leveraging existing Department of Defense Capabilities in partnership with USPACOM.</li> <li>Analyzed, demonstrated, and transitioned innovative alternative uses of existing Service programs of record.</li> <li>Built threat models at an all-source level to address an urgent Combatant Command requirement.</li> <li>Evaluated four near-term, game-changing options to address an urgent Combatant Command requirement.</li> <li>Evaluated cost-effective forward base defense architectures.</li> </ul>			
Due to nature of these efforts, specific descriptions and detailed plans are available at higher classification levels.			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Disruptive Technology Demonstrations will focus on addressing anticipatory concerns, and small footprint, low-cost operations, among others. Utilizing low cost, commercial, existing Programs of Record, or low technology options outside the typical DoD acquisition business model, this initiative will demonstrate capabilities with the potential to disrupt and change warfighting that are			

Defense	Dat	e: March 2014				
<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603699D8Z <i>I Emerging Capabilities</i> <i>Technology Development</i>	P369 / Disrupti	59 I Disruptive Technology				
	FY 201	3 FY 2014	FY 2015			
nt Combatant Command needs to support land a Joint Chiefs of Staff tasking to support land-l pond to a Combatant Command tasking to sup Powder Gun defense in partnership with the Na Powder Gun test plans to support land-based	- based port vy					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sul	ototals	- 19.000	) –			
laboratory, contractor or agency requirements coordination with the receiving activity and Fed	and needs. If an Ieral Acquisition	Inter-Agency ag Regulation 17.5	greement is			
	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)         PE 0603699D8Z / Emerging Capabilities         Technology Development         stand-alone technology, new processes, service         d on evolving iterative requirements from Joint         ognitive Intelligence, Surveillance, and         eration Plan (TSCP) activities; Command and         urity (OPSEC) procedures to protect acquisition         nt Combatant Command needs to support land-b         pond to a Combatant Command tasking to sup         Powder Gun defense in partnership with the Na         Powder Gun test plans to support land-based         ent models that can be integrated with higher-fice         Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub         rations will be through the use of Military Inter-Elaboratory, contractor or agency requirements         coordination with the receiving activity and Fed	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)       Project (Number/Name)         PE 0603699D8Z / Emerging Capabilities       Project (Number/Name)         7echnology Development       Project (Number/Name)         stand-alone technology, new processes, services, or       FY 201         stand-alone technology, new processes, services, or       d on evolving iterative requirements from Joint and         ognitive Intelligence, Surveillance, and       eration Plan (TSCP) activities; Command and         int Combatant Command needs to support land-       a Joint Chiefs of Staff tasking to support land-         a Joint Chiefs of Staff tasking to support land-       Powder Gun defense in partnership with the Navy         Powder Gun test plans to support land-based       ent models that can be integrated with higher-fidelity         Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals       ent models that can be integrated with higher-fidelity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603699D8Z / Emerging Capabilities Technology Development       Project (Number/Name) P369 / Disruptive Technology Demonstrations         stand-alone technology, new processes, services, or d on evolving iterative requirements from Joint and eration Plan (TSCP) activities; Command and urity (OPSEC) procedures to protect acquisition and nt Combatant Command needs to support land- a Joint Chiefs of Staff tasking to support land- based pond to a Combatant Command tasking to support       Page 1         Powder Gun defense in partnership with the Navy Powder Gun test plans to support land-based ant models that can be integrated with higher-fidelity       19.000         Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals       -       19.000         Pations will be through the use of Military Inter-Departmental Purchase Requests laboratory, contractor or agency requirements and needs. If an Inter-Agency age coordination with the receiving activity and Federal Acquisition Regulation 17.5			

PE 0603699D8Z: *Emerging Capabilities Technology Development* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificat	ion: PB 20 <sup>-</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)				A 3:	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603716D8Z <i>I Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program</i> ( <i>SERDP</i> )						n	
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	<u> </u>						Total Cost	
Total Program Element	64.220	58.621	62.324	57.796	-	57.796	68.287	72.572	77.490	83.590	Continuing	Continuing
P470: Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP)	64.220	58.621	62.324	57.796	-	57.796	68.287	72.572	77.490	83.590	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Congress established the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP) in 1990 (10 U.S.C. Section 2901-2904) to address Department of Defense (DoD) and Department of Energy (DOE) environmental concerns. It is conducted as a DoD program, jointly planned and executed by the DoD, DOE, and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), with strong participation by other Federal agencies, industry, and academia. SERDP's objective is to improve DoD mission readiness and environmental performance by providing new scientific knowledge and cost-effective technologies in the areas of Environmental Restoration, Munitions Response, Resource Conservation and Climate Change, and Weapons Systems and Platforms. SERDP does this by addressing high priority DoD environmental technology requirements. SERDP enhances military operations, improves military systems' effectiveness, enhances military training/readiness, sustains DoD's training and test ranges and installation infrastructure, and helps ensure the safety and welfare of military personnel and their dependents by eliminating or reducing the generation of pollution and use of hazardous materials and reducing the cost of remedial actions and compliance with environmental laws and regulations. As a secondary benefit, SERDP helps solve significant national and international environmental problems. The keys to a growing list of SERDP technological successes are the ability to respond aggressively and proactively to priority defense environmental needs; the pursuit of world-class technical excellence; and an emphasis on constant technology transfer.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	58.621	72.324	75.832	-	75.832
Current President's Budget	58.621	62.324	57.796	-	57.796
Total Adjustments	-	-10.000	-18.036	-	-18.036
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
Congressional Adds	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
Congressional General Reductions	-	-10.000	-18.036	-	-18.036

PE 0603716D8Z: Strategic Environmental Research and Development

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretar	ry Of Defense	Date: March 2014			
opropriation/Budget Activity       R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)         00: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3:       PE 0603716D8Z I Strategic Environmental Research and Development         Ivanced Technology Development (ATD)       (SERDP)					
Change Summary Explanation The revised funding levels for FY14 are due to the need to address h includes additional funding to address high priority issues including e development of munitions with fewer environmental impacts.					
E 0603716D8Z: Strategic Environmental Research and Development					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603716D8Z <i>I Strategic Environmental</i> <i>Research and Development Program</i> (SERDP)				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P470 <i>I Strategic Environmental Research</i> <i>and Development Program (SERDP)</i>				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P470: Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP)	64.220	58.621	62.324	57.796	-	57.796	68.287	72.572	77.490	83.590	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Congress established the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program (SERDP) in 1990 (10 U.S.C. Section 2901-2904) to address Department of Defense (DoD) and Department of Energy (DOE) environmental concerns. It is conducted as a DoD program, jointly planned and executed by the DoD, DOE, and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), with strong participation by other Federal agencies, industry, and academia. SERDP's objective is to improve DoD mission readiness and environmental performance by providing new scientific knowledge and cost-effective technologies in the areas of Environmental Restoration, Munitions Response, Resource Conservation and Climate Change, and Weapons Systems and Platforms. SERDP does this by addressing high-priority DoD environmental technology requirements. Technologies developed by SERDP enhance military operations, improve military systems' effectiveness, enhance military training/ readiness, sustain DoD's training and test ranges and installation infrastructure, and help ensure the safety and welfare of military personnel and their dependents by eliminating or reducing the generation of pollution and use of hazardous materials and by reducing the cost of remedial actions and compliance with environmental laws and regulations. As a secondary benefit, SERDP helps solve significant national and international environmental problems. The keys to a growing list of SERDP technological successes are the ability to respond aggressively and proactively to priority defense environmental needs; the pursuit of world-class technical excellence; and an emphasis on constant technology transfer.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Environmental Restoration	16.228	18.420	14.570
<b>Description:</b> Environmental Restoration (ER) reduces DoD's liabilities by developing technologies for the cost-effective detection, characterization, containment, and remediation of contamination in soil, sediments, and water.			
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> New research initiatives focused on in situ remediation of 1,4-dioxane-contaminated groundwater and improved remediation technologies for treatment of chlorinated solvent-contaminated groundwater. A description of all ER projects funded in FY 2013 can be found at www.serdp-estcp.org.			
FY 2014 Plans: New research initiatives will focus on the highest priority DoD requirements to reduce DoD's liabilities by developing technologies for the cost-effective detection, characterization, containment, and remediation of contamination in soil, sediments, and water. Specific Statements of Need were released and proposals are being selected that will address improved remediation operation			

PE 0603716D8Z: Strategic Environmental Research and Development

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	ecretary Of Defense	Da	te: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	P470 / Strateg	<b>roject (Number/Name)</b> 470 I Strategic Environmental Research ad Development Program (SERDP)			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 20	13 F	Y 2014	FY 2015
through fine scale delineation of contaminated subsurface environing groundwater, and improved understanding of the impact of ongoing restoration. Details are available at www.serdp-estcp.org.					
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> New research initiatives will focus on the highest priority DoD requ for the cost-effective detection, characterization, containment, and					
Title: Munitions Response (MR)		6	.903	19.580	7.65
<b>Description:</b> Munitions Response (MR) develops detection, discrime Ordnance (UXO) to address the significant DoD liability in the Militate to improve active range clearance and to reduce generation of UX	ary Munitions Response Program. Investments are also r	nade			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> New research initiatives focused on advancements in underwater I processing, supporting technologies, and protocols to support infor and remediating UXO. A description of all MR projects funded in F	med decisions and reduce the costs associated with dete				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> New research initiatives will focus on the highest priority DoD requires including wide area and detailed surveys; cost-effective recovery a environment; and protocols to reduce the costs associated with de were released and proposals are being selected to address these formations of the selected to address the sele	nd disposal; characteristics of munitions underwater and t tecting and remediating UXO underwater. Statements of	their			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> New research initiatives will focus on the highest priority DoD requ advanced sensors, signal processing, supporting technologies, and remediating UXO underwater.					
Title: Resource Conservation and Climate Change (RC)		20	.092	24.324	19.518
<b>Description:</b> Resource Conservation and Climate Change (RC) de training and testing ranges.	evelops the science and technologies required to sustain				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	cretary Of Defense	Da	te: March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P470 I Strategic Environmental Resear and Development Program (SERDP)			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 20	13 FY 2014	FY 2015
New research initiated in FY 2013 included assessing the impacts the understanding of the behavioral ecology of cetaceans; develop restore forested ecosystems on Department of Defense (DoD) land for populations of species of relevance to DoD resource managers found at www.serdp-estcp.org.	ing fundamental and applied science required to manage ls; and improving our understanding of source-sink dynam	and nics		
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> New research initiatives will focus on the highest priority DoD requisustain training and testing ranges and respond to requirements in impacts to DoD installations. Specific Statements of Need were retthese issues. Details are available at www.serdp-estcp.org.	the 2010 QDR, including the assessment of climate change	ge		
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> New research initiatives will focus on the highest priority DoD requi sustain training and testing ranges and respond to requirements in impacts to DoD installations.				
Title: Weapons Systems and Platforms (WP)		15	- 398	16.05
<b>Description:</b> Weapons Systems and Platforms (WP) develops tech associated with the manufacturing, maintenance, and use of DoD v liabilities and their associated costs and impacts.				
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> New initiatives included the development of non-isocyanate polyme environmentally advantaged submunitions, and the application of s description of all WP projects funded in FY 2013 can be found at w	ynthetic biological techniques for energetic materials. A			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> New research initiatives will focus on the highest priority DoD requi waste and emissions associated with the manufacturing, maintenau future environmental liabilities and their associated costs and impa- the development of environmentally Sustainable Gas Generators a polyimide composite materials containing methylene dianiline (MD/	nce, and use of DoD weapons systems and platforms to rects. Specific Statements of Need were released to addres nd Mono/Bi-Propellants, development of replacements for	educe s		
FY 2015 Plans:				

P... Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secret	etary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603716D8Z <i>I Strategic Environmental</i> <i>Research and Development Program</i> (SERDP)	Project (Number/Name) P470 I Strategic Environmental Rese and Development Program (SERDP)			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
New research initiatives will focus on the highest priority DoD requirer waste and emissions associated with the manufacturing, maintenance future environmental liabilities and their associated costs and impacts	e, and use of DoD weapons systems and platforms to r				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	ototals	58.621	62.324	57.796
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy					
N/A <u>E. Performance Metrics</u> Performance in this program is monitored at two levels. At the lowest			•		

financial milestones on a quarterly and annual basis. At a program-wide level, progress is measured against DoD's environmental requirements and the development of technologies that address these requirements as well as the transition of these technologies to either to demonstration and validation programs or to direct use in the field.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)						<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603727D8Z <i>I Joint Warfighting Program</i>						
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	10.276	7.335	3.425	7.405	-	7.405	7.683	8.011	8.505	9.194	Continuing	Continuing
P727: Joint Warfighting	10.276	7.335	3.425	7.405	-	7.405	7.683	8.011	8.505	9.194	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Joint Warfighting Program (JWP) is a relatively small but pivotal resource that synchronizes two Department-wide domains, military requirements and acquisition, with shared analyses and actionable assessments. The account underwrites two related activities supporting development of the Department's joint warfighting capability. These resources are a slim residual of much larger accounts supporting unique warfare capability analyses for joint customers including major combatant commander staffs and the Joint Staff. These analyses and assessments deliver independent perspectives on ways to align Service and Agency investments and potential solutions for capability gaps created by evolving threats not aligned to single Component missions. Iterative military budget reductions to joint mission support programs significantly increased demand for JWP support from joint staffs and "units in the field" assigned to joint missions.

The JWP resources are dedicated to analytic support for joint capability analysis and joint customers. JWP provides a safety net for analytic support responding to emergent joint capability requirements and capability gaps for identifying potential material solutions. Typical projects funded with JWP include translation of capability gap assessments into actionable military needs statements, identification of candidate solutions via experimentation, translation of solution concepts into field demonstrations, and remedy of joint capability gaps in partnership with Defense agents for doctrine changes and technology development. JWP resources dedicated to direct support joint commands provides analytic expertise not normally allocated via formal staffing billets. In this activity, JWP underwrites small grants to invigorate employment of experimentation and analysis, to formulate strategies to resolve joint capability gaps, and to stimulate participation in the Department enterprises for joint experimentation and joint capability development. JWP resources also support Mission Area Portfolio Assessments (MAPA), and the development of tools supporting joint analytic efforts.

The balance of JWP funds contributes resources to examination of potential remedies for joint mission capability gaps. These early assessments of potential capability gap solutions can accelerate engineering development, subsequent field experiments, and capability demonstrations in field conditions. This segment of JWP often represents the first effort to define alternative solutions across the range of Doctrine, Organization, Training, Material, Leadership and Personnel-Facilities. The resources sustain a small segment of civilian operation research analysis (currently hosted by the Institute for Defense Analysis – IDA and the Naval Postgraduate School - NPS). Administered by the Joint Operations Support (JOS) division within OSD's AT&L organization, JOS works closely and continuously with joint customers around the world. Analytic project selection is undertaken in consultation with the OSD staffs serving AT&L and Policy and with elements of the Joint Staff.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 C	Office of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense- Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	R-1 Program Ele PE 0603727D8Z				
3. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	8.403	8.431	8.643	-	8.643
Current President's Budget	7.335	3.425	7.405	-	7.405
Total Adjustments	-1.068	-5.006	-1.238	-	-1.238
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>Baseline Adjustments</li> </ul>	-1.068	-5.006	-1.238	-	-1.238

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

FY 2013 baseline adjustments due to sequestration.

FY 2014 baseline adjustments from NDAA for 2014. (Reductions not requested by DoD).

FY 2015 baseline of work is based on current level of demand from OSD Joint Staff and operational customers projected into FY15 program work. Typically JWP is capable of covering only a relatively small percentage of demand for Joint Capability Analysis.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603727D8Z / Joint Warfighting Program				Project (Number/Name) P727 / Joint Warfighting				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P727: Joint Warfighting	10.276	7.335	3.425	7.405	-	7.405	7.683	8.011	8.505	9.194	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The OSD JWP account underwrites two related activities supporting development of the Department's joint warfighting capability. These are resources dedicated to support joint capability analysis for joint customers and undertaken by Combatant Command staffs, and resources dedicated for independent analysis of joint warfare (currently conducted by the Institute for Defense Analysis – IDA and the Naval Postgraduate School - NPS). Iterative military budgets decrements leave JWP as the primary resource dedicated to analytic assessment of joint capability gaps and potential solutions. During a period of force resetting and realignment, this resource becomes even more essential to staffs and military units dedicated to evolving joint missions.

The JWP resources are dedicated to analytic support for joint capability analysis and joint customers. JWP provides a safety net for analytic support responding to emergent joint capability requirements and capability gaps for identifying potential material solutions. Typical projects funded with JWP include translation of capability gap assessments into actionable military needs statements, identification of candidate solutions via experimentation, translation of solution concepts into field demonstrations, and remedy of joint capability gaps in partnership with Defense agents for doctrine changes and technology development. JWP resources dedicated to projects in partnership with joint commands provide analytic expertise not normally allocated via formal staffing billets. In this activity, JWP underwrites small grants to invigorate employment of experimentation and analysis, to formulate strategies to resolve joint capability gaps, and to stimulate participation in the Department enterprises for joint experimentation and joint capability development. JWP resources also support Mission Area Portfolio Assessments (MAPA), and the development of tools supporting joint commander analytic efforts.

The balance of JWP funds resource the Joint Warfare Independent Analysis segment, an independent source to examine potential remedies for mission capability gaps. This segment of JWP often represents the first effort to define alternative solutions across the range of Doctrine, Organization, Training, Material, Leadership and Personnel-Facilities. The resources sustain a small segment of operation research analysis (currently hosted by the Institute for Defense Analysis – IDA). Administered by the Joint Operations Support (JOS) division within OSD/AT&L, JOS works closely and continuously with joint customers around the world. Analytic project selection is undertaken in consultation with the OSD staffs serving AT&L and Policy and with elements of the Joint Staff.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Support for Joint Capability Analysis	4.42	2.569	4.425
<b>Description:</b> JWP resources are dedicated to analytic support for joint capability analysis and joint customers. JWP sup joint capabilities by promoting analyses and assessments to address challenges specific to their theater or functional miss aims to reinvigorate joint military staff capabilities to employ rigorous analysis and experimentation methodologies in sup specific mission assignments. It support joint commander identification of capability gaps and selectively funds limited ob	port of		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of	f Defense	Date	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3		Project (Numbe P727 / Joint War		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
experiments experiment to understand a concept or technology that address resources Mission Area Portfolio Assessments (MAPA) serving the need of joint				
FY 2013 Accomplishments: -Conducted joint Mission Area Assessment of portfolios and explored capabil review of Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAPs) and evolving joint th -Supported USNORTHCOM's investigation and analysis of Over the Horizon targets against the United States. -Initiated the Joint Innovation Field Experiment with Naval Post Graduate Sch acquisition field experiment environment to define capability requirements. -Supported USPACOM's Cyber War Center development of test and experiment operations. -Supported RDA Task Force with Kill Chain Analysis, and solutions development -Supported path-finding operational energy study project to analyze planning support in Combatant Command OPLANS.	nreats. Radar (OTHR) capability against cruise missile nool to provide Combatant Commands a pre- nentation use case plans to integrate cyber nent for scenarios.			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> -Direct emphasis to Mission Area Portfolio Assessment (MAPA) of Service and addressing joint capability shortfalls projected in the future, and emphasis on joint commanders and joint clients. Provide direct analytical support respond -Develop analyses examining emergent joint capability gaps and to develop -Continue to support and develop the joint innovation field experimentation ( to support joint commander development of credible, analytically sound militar Performance Parameters (KPPs) and Key System Attributes (KSAs) for subs -Continue to provide resources to joint military staffs to enable minimal experi- solutions, and understanding of new technologies and concepts. -Empower joint military staffs and OSD elements to employ rigorous analysis their specific mission assignments, to assess military needs critically and to employ and to employ and to employ rigorous analysis their specific mission assignments, to assess military needs critically and to employ rigorous analysis	joint capability development serving the needs of ling to emergent joint military staffs requests. nental military needs for material solutions. IIFX) initiative hosted by Naval Post Graduate So ary capability requirements including desired Key sequent formal acquisition. imentation cells to explore capability gaps, poter and experimentation methodologies in support of	f hool tial		
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Continue emphasis on Mission Area Portfolio Assessment (MAPA) to provide capability development serving the needs of joint commanders and joint clien to emergent joint military staffs to identify capability gaps and military needs innovation field experimentation (JIFX) effort hosted by Naval Postgraduate S refine capability requirements. Continue to partner with joint military staffs, e	e insights for acquisition decisions focused on hts. Provide direct analytical support responding for material solutions. Continue to support joint School. Support joint commanders to develop an			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603727D8Z <i>I Joint Warfighting</i> <i>Program</i>		Number/N bint Warfig	,	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
capability gaps, explore potential solutions, and improve understanding of new missions and military threats. Empower the joint military staffs to employ rigoro					
Title: Analytic Development of Joint Military Requirements Addressing Evolving	g Threats / Missions		2.910	0.856	2.980
<b>Description:</b> This segment underwrites innovative, responsive and timely analythe needs of joint staffs and units in partnership with acquisition authorities. It protential remedies for mission capability gaps and can establish a framework for demonstrations or accelerated acquisition. Joint warfare independent analysis solutions across the range of Doctrine, Organization, Training, Material, Leader leverage a small analytic framework (currently consisting of analysts at the Inst Postgraduate School - NPS). Administered by the Joint Operations Support certain definition and technology based initiatives. Project selection is undertaken in certain policy and with elements of the Joint Staff.	provides an independent source to examine or subsequent field experiments, capability often represents the first effort to define alterr rship and Personnel-Facilities. These resourc itute for Defense Analysis – IDA, and the Nava ell within OSD/AT&L, this fund capability gap	native es al			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: -Analyzed Combatant Command Integrated Priority Lists (IPLs) for common car mitigate Department risks. -Supported Joint Staff capability portfolio analysis of potential remedies for mise accelerated acquisition and capability demonstrations (Radiant Blue ISR). -Developed a pictorial representation of Defense Department's interconnected users to examine the relationships between models and the user's area of inter campaign level models with underlying data bases and models. -Assessed the sufficiency of gaming in the Department for Adaptive Planning. -Assessed analytic tools available for joint military examination of time-sensitive	sion capability gaps and frameworks for modeling and simulation tools (PRIME) to ena rest. This tool was developed to categorize an				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Impaired by abrupt resource reduction mid-year 2014, this segment will still aim and timely capability development pathway and recommendations for rapid acc commands in partnership with acquisition authorities. It will provide an indeper suitable for joint experimentation undertaken by the joint commanders. The fin explore joint capability development via experiments and prototype demonstrat It will enable experiments in field conditions that address regional capability gap improve understanding of the potential warfare applications of mature technolog	n to provide an independent innovative, respon quisition, field experiments conducted by joint indent source for enabling capability developme dings of these investigative analyses frequent tions leading toward potential material solution ps, explore potential innovative solutions, and	ent ly s.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of \$	Secretary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	-	o <b>ject (Number/Name)</b> 27 <i>I Joint Warfighting</i>				
<b>B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)</b> rigorous analysis and experimentation methodologies in support critically and to examine viable capability gap solutions.	of their specific mission assignments, to assess their own		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> This segment will provide independent analysis of joint issues an development pathways and recommendations for rapid acquisition It will provide an independent source for enabling capability development prototype demonstrations leading toward potential material solution addresses regional capability gaps, explores potential solutions, will empower the joint staffs to employ rigorous analysis and exprassignments, to assess their own needs critically and to examine	on, field experiments conducted by joint military staffs and lopment suitable for joint experimentation undertaken by joint ty explore joint capability development via experiments an ons. It will enable COCOMs to do experiments in the field and improves understanding of new technologies. As before erimentation methodologies in support of their specific mis	units. bint d that bre, it				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su	btotale	7.335	3.425	7.405	

**Remarks** 

D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

#### E. Performance Metrics

Performance is measured through metrics including (1) objective validation of enhanced CCMD capabilities to perform joint missions in their assigned theaters and areas of responsibility, (2) documented delivery effective joint operational concepts, (3) confirmed production of refined and validated capability descriptions.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iter	xhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603781D8Z / Software Engineering Institute (SEI)								
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	28.619	19.006	15.776	-	15.776	15.778	15.799	16.292	16.792	Continuing	Continuing
P781: Software Engineering Institute (SEI)	-	18.605	11.658	15.776	-	15.776	15.778	15.799	16.292	16.792	Continuing	Continuing
P783: Software Producibility Initiative	-	10.014	7.348	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Software is key to meeting the DoD's increasing demand for high-quality, affordable, and timely national defense systems. Systemic software issues are significant contributors to poor program execution, and reliance on software-intensive mobile and net based products and systems has been increasing (e.g., Joint Tactical Radio System, DDG-1000, Joint Strike Fighter, F-22, and Army Modernization). As stated in the 2010 National Research Council of the National Academy of Sciences report entitled Critical Code, "It is dangerous to conclude that we are reaching a plateau in capability and technology for software producibility." The report notes that software is "...unconstrained by traditional physical engineering limitations..." and what we can accomplish is derived "...from [the] human intellectual capacity to conceptualize and understand systems...." With growing global parity in software engineering, the DoD must maintain leadership to avoid strategic surprise. The Software Engineering Institute (SEI) Program Element (PE) addresses the critical need to research, develop, and rapidly transition state-of-the-art software-intensive DoD systems. The SEI PE's program of work seeks to coordinate across the Department and the Services and leverages expertise in industry and academia to enable the development of joint capabilities.

Software is more pervasive than ever and computer programs are growing in size and complexity. Designing, managing, and securing integrated, complex, and largescale mission-critical systems are abilities that the DoD and Defense Industrial Base (DIB) have not yet mastered. To address this, the P781 project within this PE funds research and development within the SEI Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) and, to access particular expertise, in the Services, industry, and academia.

The SEI FFRDC is the DoD's dedicated source for software research and development. It is an institute which enables the exploitation of emerging software technology by bringing engineering, management, and security discipline to software acquisition, development, and evolution. The SEI FFRDC focuses on software technology areas judged to be of the highest payoff in meeting defense needs. To ensure that the DoD retains a differential advantage over potential adversaries, funding at the SEI FFRDC will include a new Budget Activity 2 funding line beginning in FY 2014. The reduction in P781 in this line beginning in FY 2014 is offset by the creation of the new line, the SEI Applied Research PE. The creation of this new line represents a pivot toward more fundamental research that will enable the DoD to address longer-term challenges in software technology and engineering. The SEI Applied Research PE will also increase the collaboration opportunities for the SEI FFRDC with academia and attract top research talent to the SEI.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 O	ffice of Secretary (	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity		R-1 Program El	ement (Number/Name)		
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-V Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	Vide I BA 3:	PE 0603781D8Z	I Software Engineering	Institute (SEI)	
Private sector investment has created rapid advances in info	ormation technolog	ies, but the pace	of transition to DoD app	lications is often very s	slow or the commercial
applications do not meet DoD unique needs, e.g., high assur					
emerging technologies, to evaluate their potential to fit DoD					
project within this PE funds the Software Producibility Initiation					
and transition software science and tools that address the ca		produce, assure, a	and evolve software-inte	nsive systems in a pre	dictable manner while
effectively managing risk, cost, schedule, quality, and compl	exity.				
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	30.036	19.008	19.522	-	19.522
Current President's Budget	28.619	19.006	15.776	-	15.776
Total Adjustments	-1.417	-0.002	-3.746	-	-3.746
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-2.467	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.040	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	1.892	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.790	-			
<ul> <li>FFRDC Adjustment</li> </ul>	-	-0.002	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-3.746	-	-3.746
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.012	-	-	-	-

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

The reduction is a strategic efficiency approach to reduce funding and staffing. As a result, we provide a better alignment of funding and provide support to a smaller military force.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project J	ustification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	Defense				Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603781D8Z / Software Engineering Institute (SEI)				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P781 / Software Engineering Institute (SEI)				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P781: Software Engineering Institute (SEI)	-	18.605	11.658	15.776	-	15.776	15.778	15.799	16.292	16.792	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

# A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The SEI Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) was established in 1984 as an integral part of the Department of Defense's (DoD's) initiative to identify, evaluate, and transition software engineering technologies and practices. The SEI maintains unique software research and program support capabilities in a space where the Defense Industrial Base (DIB) and academia cannot as readily address challenges. The mission of the SEI is to provide DoD with technical leadership and innovation through research and development to advance the practice of software engineering and technology. The Institute works across Government, industry, and academia to improve the state of software engineering from the technical, acquisition, and management perspectives. The SEI engages in research and development of critical software technologies and tools, and collaborates with the larger software engineering research community. It facilitates rapid transition of software engineering technologies into practice, and evaluates emerging software engineering technologies to determine their potential for improving software-intensive DoD systems. Since its inception, the SEI has helped to transform the fields of software engineering and acquisition, network security, real-time systems, software architectures, and software-engineering process management.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE (SEI) RESEARCH	18.605	11.658	15.776
<b>Description:</b> SEI research projects are awarded on a competitive basis across the SEI. The number of projects will vary from year to year based on the size and scope of proposed projects. Research projects cross-cut the FFRDC's experience base in order to advance existing SEI research initiatives and explore new technical ideas. SEI research focuses on the most significant and pervasive software challenges within the DoD such as computing for real-time and embedded-systems, multi-core programming, computing at the tactical edge, System of System architectures, discovering effective agile methods to develop DoD-scale systems, cyber-security, and measurement-driven methods to improve the efficiency of acquisition programs.			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Completed competitive awards within the SEI for novel research.</li> <li>Refined economic foundations and measurable analysis of value-driven incremental software development by focusing on the role of quality-attributes and architecture risks in architecture related costs (e.g., rework or delay) and increment planning in DoD acquisition programs.</li> <li>Developed a dependency analysis model and theoretical foundations for architecture decision making that reduces integration risks in iterative and incremental development for DoD acquisition programs.</li> <li>Analyzed software project data to determine the efficacy of incremental and iterative practices as related to project outcomes.</li> </ul>			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	hibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	1arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603781D8Z / Software Engineering Institute (SEI)	<b>Project (</b> P781 / So		Name) ngineering Ins	stitute (SEI)
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Integrated architecture fault model framework with confidence m requirements for cyber-physical system behavior from architectura</li> <li>Developed simulations and emulations to further develop and vasystems.</li> <li>Applied economic cost-benefit reasoning to develop new design in response to new operational needs.</li> <li>Developed quality-attribute analyses for high-confidence cyber-p functional correctness of real-time and distributed coordination soft extended software code analysis techniques to mobile environm faster than our adversaries can exploit them.</li> <li>Developed an improved behavior-based malware detector and a Developed a portability strategy that allows mobile computing control environments.</li> <li>Explored enhanced vulnerability discovery methods by coupling testing to facilitate the discovery of software defects.</li> <li>Explored ideas to reduce latent software defects using analytics</li> <li>Collected and analyzed relevant baseline data to further validate composition method as an architectural foundation for evolving the application by system architects in next-generation DOD systems.</li> <li>Identified technical and non-technical indicators of malicious inside identified and developed algorithms to enable flexible division of Systems.</li> <li>Identified relevant graph analytic algorithms and implemented at a fardware.</li> <li>Continued early lifecycle cost estimation research for pre-Milestor software using analytic techniques.</li> </ul>	al safety analysis. lidate theory of adaptive quality-of-service for DoD distribu- methods for common software platform architectures that hysical DoD systems for timing of multi-core software and ftware. ents to detect and rectify vulnerabilities in DoD mobile sys nalysis approach to defend DoD mobile devices. mponents to execute across a wide spectrum of computin symbolic execution, concrete execution, and black-box fuz based on vulnerability and software development process insider threat mitigation patterns and developed a rigorou e mitigation pattern language toward more systematic der threat activity from large data sets. Is to drive improvements in network security by factoring ces to reducing the occurrence and impact of disruptive ex- labor among humans and automation for Unmanned Airco selection of them targeting Graphics Processing Unit (GPU relevant to Certification and Accreditation efforts for Oper one A evaluations.	evolve tems g zz data. s ents aft J)			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603781D8Z / Software Engineering Institute (SEI)		ct (Number/I / Software Er	Engineering Institute (SEI)				
PE 0603781D8Z / Software Engineering         Institute (SEI)             complishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)         eloped a method to support rapid analysis of changes to social networks in order to provide more timely feedback to the transponders.         eloped software for a rapidly-deployable, scalable autonomous sensor network to support soldiers in activities such ish, and search-and-rescue operations.         eloped methods for extracting class definitions and relationships from object-oriented malware using automated sensis.         eloped next generation disassembly algorithms to improve the quality of automated static analysis and build confide preciness of that disassembly.         ked with standards bodies to develop and move to ballot International Organization for Standardization (ISO) and the national Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) Technical Specificaion (TS) 17961, an international technical specification re Coding Rules.         roved the automated detection and analysis of secure coding violations in the Source Code Analysis Laboratory eloped secure coding rules and analysis of secure coding violations in the Source Code Analysis Laboratory eloped secure coding rules and analysis for applications on mobile platforms.         eloped science, techniques, and tools to generate and use better synthetic data for test and evaluation of cyber-secology.         thrue of a solid-state hard drive.         eloped science, techniques, and tools to generate and use better synthetic data for test and evaluation of cyber-secology.         unaized detection model that can forecast capital requirements for software sustainment. <th>ſ</th> <th>FY 2013</th> <th>FY 2014</th> <th>FY 2015</th>		ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015			
<ul> <li>and first responders.</li> <li>Developed software for a rapidly-deployable, scalable autonomous sensor rambush, and search-and-rescue operations.</li> <li>Developed methods for extracting class definitions and relationships from oranalysis.</li> <li>Developed next generation disassembly algorithms to improve the quality of the correctness of that disassembly.</li> <li>Worked with standards bodies to develop and move to ballot International C International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) Technical Specification (TS) Secure Coding Rules.</li> <li>Demonstrated pointer ownership model, a technique to address incorrect m language.</li> <li>Improved the automated detection and analysis of secure coding violations</li> <li>Developed a functional model for prioritizing malware threats based on exect analysis, and mitigation.</li> <li>Demonstrated a proof-of-concept threat to the security of the attached host firmware of a solid-state hard drive.</li> <li>Developed science, techniques, and tools to generate and use better synthetechnology.</li> <li>Continued to formulate an investment model that can forecast capital require investigated the use of machine learning, social network measurement, and coordinated stakeholder engagement in architecture decisions and requirement.</li> <li>Galvanized several community groups (e.g., government, DoD contractors, challenges and strategies for successfully adopting agile practices in government.</li> </ul>	network to support soldiers in activities such as bject-oriented malware using automated seman f automated static analysis and build confidence Organization for Standardization (ISO) and the 17961, an international technical specification f emory management, for a subset of C program in the Source Code Analysis Laboratory forms. cution behavior allowing for faster identification system, posed by malware that resides in the etic data for test and evaluation of cyber-securit ements for software sustainment. I analysis techniques to facilitate large-scale ents elicitation. and academia) to formalize an understanding of nent acquisition programs. an be derived from a program's business goals	recon, ntic e in for C nming , ty of the s that						

Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3         R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603710502 / Software Engineering Institute (SEI)         Project (Number/Name) P781 / Software Engineering Institute (SEI)           B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)         FY 2013         FY 2013         FY 2014         FY 2015           B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)         FY 2014         FY 2015         FY 2013         FY 2014         FY 2015           B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)         FY 2015         FY 2013         FY 2014         FY 2015           B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)         FY 2015         FY 2015         FY 2015         FY 2015           - Investigate how value-driven incremenial development analysis techniques can assist with relating requirements to architecture for improved system and software integration pathemes needed to support sustained the complication and physical/y-related aspects of DoD systems.         FY 2015         FY 2015         FY 2015           - Evaluate trends in the insider threat problem based on over 15 years of Computer Emergency Response Team (CERT) case data and forecast insider threats.         Fy provide direct, artifact-focused means to support sustained the case secure DoD applications.         FY 2014         FY 2015         FY 2014         FY 2015           - Pursue assurance-at-scale; provide direct, artifact-focused means to support sustained the case secure DoD applications.         FY 2014         FY 2014         FY 2	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of	Date:	Date: March 2014				
<ul> <li>Investigate how value-driven incremental development analysis techniques can assist with relating requirements to architecture for improved system and software integration.</li> <li>Develop quality-attribute analyses for high-confidence cyber-physical systems to ensure correctness of timing, functionality, and distributed coordination of the computational and physically-related aspects of DoD systems.</li> <li>Evaluate trends in the insider threat problem based on over 15 years of Computer Emergency Response Team (CERT) case data and forecast insider threat mitigation patterns needed to support sustained protection against insider threats.</li> <li>Empirically measure the contribution of select security and resilience practices to reducing the occurrence and impact of disruptive events.</li> <li>Extend dynamic testing capabilities to encompase sexploit generation and cyber-defense testing to ensure secure DoD applications.</li> <li>Continue investigating the detection of malicious network traffic by automating the extraction of indicators and continue to improve capabilities to discover relationships between malware artifacts.</li> <li>Continue investigating disassembly algorithms to improve the quality of automated static analysis and build confidence in the correctness of that disassembly.</li> <li>Continue threus of analytic son heterogeneous hardware to include building a library for graph analytics on Graphics Processing Units (GPUs), and provide that to relevant stakeholders.</li> <li>Continue threus of analytic techniques, including research from the Mining Software Repositories (MSR) community, to build tools to assist Certification and Accreditation efforts for Open Source Software.</li> <li><b>FV2015 Plans:</b></li> <li>Expand the program<sup>6</sup> graph analytics library to target other hardware architectures relevant to the high performance computing (HPC) community and DDS takeholders.</li> <li>Develop model and metrics for resilient acquisition to measu</li></ul>							
for improved system and software integration. Develop quality-attribute analyses for high-confidence cyber-physical systems to ensure correctness of timing, functionality, and distributed coordination of the computational and physically-related aspects of DoD systems. Evaluate trends in the insider threat problem based on over 15 years of Computer Emergency Response Team (CERT) case data and forceast insider threat mitigation patters needed to support sustained protection against insider threats. E-mpircally measure the contribution of select security and resilience practices to reducing the occurrence and impact of disruptive events. Pursue assurance-at-scale; provide direct, artifact-focused means to support acceptance evaluation of software-reliant systems. E-tend dynamic testing capabilities to encompass exploit generation and cyber-defense testing to ensure secure DoD applications. Continue investigating the detection of malicious network traffic by automating the extraction of indicators and continue to improve capabilities to discover relationships between malware artifacts. Continue investigating disassembly algorithms to improve the quality of automated static analysis and build confidence in the correctness of that disassembly. Expand our work on graph analytics on heterogeneous hardware to include building a library for graph analytics on Graphics Processing Units (GPUs), and provide that to relevant stakeholders. Continue the use of analytic techniques, including research from the Mining Software Repositories (MSR) community, to build tools to assist Certification and Accreditation efforts for Open Source Software. <b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Expand the program's graph analytics library to target other hardware architectures relevant to the high performance computing (HPC) community and DOD stakeholders. Develop model and metrics for resilient acquisition to measurably improve the DoD's acquisition process resulting in significant cost-axings and operationally resilient systems. Architec	B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<ul> <li>Expand the program's graph analytics library to target other hardware architectures relevant to the high performance computing (HPC) community and DoD stakeholders.</li> <li>Design and develop models and frameworks of operational cybersecurity and resilience.</li> <li>Apply data analytics on assessment and measurement data to identify characteristics, indicators, attributes, and patterns of resilience.</li> <li>Develop model and metrics for resilient acquisition to measurably improve the DoD's acquisition process resulting in significant cost-savings and operationally resilient systems.</li> <li>Architect, design, and develop prototypes of complex, enterprise-wide insider threat systems.</li> <li>Investigate disruptive technologies with the potential to provide new operational cybersecurity capabilities for the DoD.</li> <li>Continue to add members to the collaboration group and expand the understanding of the challenges and strategies for successfully adopting agile practices in government acquisition programs.</li> <li>Explore new lines of research.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>for improved system and software integration.</li> <li>Develop quality-attribute analyses for high-confidence cyber-ph distributed coordination of the computational and physically-relat</li> <li>Evaluate trends in the insider threat problem based on over 15 data and forecast insider threat mitigation patterns needed to sup</li> <li>Empirically measure the contribution of select security and resil disruptive events.</li> <li>Pursue assurance-at-scale; provide direct, artifact-focused meas</li> <li>Extend dynamic testing capabilities to encompass exploit general applications.</li> <li>Continue investigating the detection of malicious network traffic improve capabilities to discover relationships between malware as</li> <li>Continue investigating disassembly algorithms to improve the quality.</li> <li>Expand our work on graph analytics on heterogeneous hardwa Processing Units (GPUs), and provide that to relevant stakeholde</li> <li>Continue the use of analytic techniques, including research from</li> </ul>	hysical systems to ensure correctness of timing, functionality and aspects of DoD systems. years of Computer Emergency Response Team (CERT) can port sustained protection against insider threats. lience practices to reducing the occurrence and impact of ans to support acceptance evaluation of software-reliant syst ration and cyber-defense testing to ensure secure DoD by automating the extraction of indicators and continue to artifacts. quality of automated static analysis and build confidence in th re to include building a library for graph analytics on Graphic ers. m the Mining Software Repositories (MSR) community, to bu	, and se tems. ne				
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals 18.605 11.658 15.77	Institute (SEI)  B. Accomplishments/Planed Programs (\$ in Millions)  Investigate how value-driven incremental development analysis techniques can assist with relating requirements to architecture for improved system and software integration. Develop quality-attribute analyses for high-confidence cyber-physical systems to ensure correctness of timing, functionality, at distributed coordination of the computational and physically-related aspects of DoD systems. Evaluate trends in the insider threat mitigation patterns needed to support sustained protection against insider threats. Distributed coordination of select security and resilience practices to reducing the occurrence and impact of distribute events. Urusue assurance-at-scale; provide direct, artifact-focused means to support acceptance evaluation of software-reliant syster Extend dynamic testing capabilities to encompase exploi generation and cyber-defense testing to ensure secure DoD applications. Continue investigating the detection of malicious network traffic by automating the extraction of indicators and continue to improve capabilities to discover relationships between malware artifacts. Continue investigating disassembly algorithms to improve the quality of automated static analysis and build confidence in the correctness of that disassembly. Expand our work on graph analytics on heterogeneous hardware to include building a library for graph analytics on Graphics Processing Units (GPUs), and provide that to relevant stakeholders. Continue the use of analytic techniques, including research from the Mining Software Repositories (MSR) community, to build tools to assist Certification and Accreditation efforts for Open Source Software. PY 2015 Plans: Expand the program's graph analytics library to target other hardware architectures relevant to the high performance computi (HPC) community and DoD stakeholders. Develop model and metrics for resilient acquisition to measurably improve the DoD's acquisition process resulting in significa cost-asaving						
		Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals 18.605	11.658	15.776		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	tification: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary	Of Defense					Date: Ma	rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) F					<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P781 / Software Engineering Institute (SEI)					
C. Other Program Funding Summ	nary (\$ in Milli	ons)									
			<u>FY 2015</u>	FY 2015	FY 2015					Cost To	
Line Item	<u>FY 2013</u>	FY 2014	Base	000	<u>Total</u>	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018		Complete	
• BA 2, PE # 0602751D8Z, P278: Software Engineering Institute Applied Research	-	11.106	9.156	-	9.156	9.158	9.325	9.857	10.682	Continuing	Continuir
<u>Remarks</u>											
D. Acquisition Strategy											
N/A											
E. Performance Metrics											
<ul> <li>Number of training courses and c software/system acquisition workfor</li> <li>Development of new scalable tec</li> <li>Reduced number of mission-critic system cost, time to develop, and p to articulate software requirements algorithms and abstractions; and d</li> </ul>	orce. hnical and sof cal software-re performance – ;; development	tware-enable liant acquisit this will be e	ed cyber sec tion program evidenced by es that offer	urity approad failures and /: reductions orders of ma	ches that ad cost and so in time to te ignitude imp	dress softwa hedule over st software a rovement in	are assuranc runs, as well and the amo software pro	e and improver and improver as quantitate as quantitate unt of reworker aductivity; de	ve enterpris ive improve < required; i velopment	e resiliency ments in ov mproved ab of new softw	erall ility

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project J	ustification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	Defense					Date: Mar	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3									ct (Number/Name) I Software Producibility Initiative			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P783: Software Producibility Initiative	-	10.014	7.348	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

# A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Shortcomings in software development often lead to schedule slippage, cost growth, and mission compromise. These shortcomings can frequently be traced to software development technologies which are not capable of addressing the scale and complexity of the software needed in today's systems. The Software Producibility Initiative seeks to conduct an integrated program of research from applied research through demonstration and evaluation to advance the state-of-the-art in the producibility of software for DoD systems, particularly those systems characterized by high complexity, need for robustness, information assurance, real-time performance, and physical distribution. The Initiative maintains a portfolio of work relevant to the Warfighter and DoD needs by periodically evaluating technology development efforts, retiring those that are under performing, and starting new efforts based on risk-reward priority. The Initiative demonstrates new underlying software technology and tools in various domains, e.g., Networks, Modeling and Simulation, Avionics, Signal Intelligence, where DoD can benefit and enhance the transition paths for the underlying technology.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: SOFTWARE PRODUCIBILITY INITIATIVE	10.014	7.348	-
<b>Description:</b> The Software Producibility Initiative seeks to improve the DoD's ability to design, build, test, and sustain software-intensive systems which meet mission critical requirements, exhibit predictable behavior, and enable evolution and interoperability. Technology thrust areas include specification of complex requirements; "correct-by-construction" software development; scalable composition; high-confidence software and middleware; system architectures for network-centric environments; technologies for system visualization, testing, verification, and validation; model-driven development approaches; timing techniques for real-time embedded-systems; static and run-time analysis of software; design tools and development environments; and secure and efficient coding practices. Major performers include the Space and Naval Warfare Center (SPAWAR), Naval Research Laboratory (NRL), U.S. Army Aviation and Missile Research Development and Engineering Center (AMRDEC) and the Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL), as well as academia and industry.			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Evaluated responses from the open solicitation and made new awards.</li> <li>Introduced, through open source software, high-level language-level support for exposing and managing node failure in high performance computing systems and commodity clusters.</li> <li>Established techniques and principles for design-time and run-time tools that anticipate change and exhibit resilience</li> <li>Began establishing an environment for formal verification of quasi-synchronous systems.</li> </ul>			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary O	of Defense	Date: 1	March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<ul> <li>Began establishing a modeling environment for the automated synthesis of Explored automated generation of formally verifiable requirements.</li> <li>Designed model-based, hardware-agnostic work flow specifications.</li> <li>Sped the transition of software research and development that increases th with the DoD's Better Buying Power initiative.</li> <li>Transitioned the responsibility for the software engineering collaboration er Security and Information Systems Information Analysis Center.</li> <li>Completed transition of the underlying software techniques for graphical condomain experts, with a focus on legacy systems integration.</li> <li>Engaged an industry and academia consortium to transition techniques supheterogeneous, software intensive systems.</li> <li>Explored model-based design for systems of systems to allow scaling-up to Investigated tools for constructing and analyzing timed models of cyber-phymodels, and evaluated the utility and expressiveness of the timing constructs</li> <li>Extended work to reduce software bloat and speed up execution time in C, Built a framework to objectively assess two qualitatively different technique Continued analysis of software engineering acquisition data to determine F</li> <li>Began development of a technology roadmap that identifies critical capabil</li> <li>Initiated the establishment of a tool chain supporting the design and impleminized Integrated Modular Avionics and federated architectures.</li> <li>Conducted a study of the use of genetic algorithms for learning polychrono systems.</li> <li>Completed analysis of heuristic and meta-heuristic optimization algorithms.</li> <li>Assessment of existing Engineered Resilient Systems (ERS) software proceed as analysis tools, and probability-based analysis.</li> <li><b>FY 2014 Plans:</b></li> </ul>	ne affordability of acquisition programs in accord invironment to the SEI FFRDC and the DoD's Cy imposition of scalable models developed by non- pporting model-based design of complex, to DoD-scales. ysical systems, integrated with tools for untimed s. C++, and other-languages. es for providing Adaptive Quality of Service. Return on Investment. ity thresholds to improve software producibility. nentation of aviation system architectures includ ous timing within systems of linear time invariant ducts. nd Technology (FACT) tool.	ber			
<ul> <li>Evaluate responses from the open solicitation; however, plan to initiate wor</li> <li>Analyze open-source, high-level language-level support for exposing and n systems and commodity clusters.</li> <li>Analyze techniques and principles for design-time and run-time tools that a</li> <li>Establish an environment for formal verification of quasi-synchronous system</li> </ul>	nanaging node failure in high performance companticipate change and exhibit resilience.	outing			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603781D8Z / Software Engineering Institute (SEI)		Number/N oftware Pr	lame) oducibility Init	liative
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Establish a modeling environment for the automated synthesis of safety-critic</li> <li>Automatically generate formally verifiable requirements.</li> <li>Complete a model-based, hardware-agnostic work flow specification environr</li> <li>Speed the transition of software research and development that increases the with the DoD's Better Buying Power initiative.</li> <li>Improve the efficiency of existing Department of Defense (DoD) sustainment to make correcting, upgrading, or adapting legacy code more efficient.</li> <li>Explore model-based design for systems-of-systems to allow scaling-up to Dot Assess the effectiveness of the software engineering collaboration environmet.</li> <li>Identify which techniques supporting model-based design of complex, heterogmature for transition into industrial practice, which require further research inverse.</li> <li>Continue work to reduce software bloat and speed up execution time in C, C+</li> <li>Continue analysis of software engineering acquisition data to determine Retu</li> <li>Complete development of a technology roadmap that identifies critical capabilities.</li> <li>Investigate integrating strategies from probabilistic verification and temporal learchitectures.</li> <li>Analyze static-analysis methods to detect and mitigate a large class of defect intended semantics of design models and the actual behavior of the software.</li> <li>Develop an open source bridge from Unified Modeling Language (UML) to A (ACL2).</li> <li>Successfully conclude the Software Producibility Initiative by transitioning tec remaining execution years.</li> </ul>	ment. e affordability of acquisition programs in accord activities by investing in new tools and techniq oD-scales. ent. geneous, software intensive systems are suffic estment, and which should be abandoned. ++, and other-languages. rn on Investment. ility thresholds to improve software producibility ystem architectures including mixed Integrated ogic verification for risk mitigation in distributed as that occur due to the differences between the semulticore environment. Computational Logic for Applicative Common hnologies where able and completing plans in	ues ciently /. Lisp			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	lotais	10.014	7.348	-
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u> <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603781D8Z / Software Engineering Institute (SEI)	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P783 / Software Producibility Initiative

#### E. Performance Metrics

• Number of tools developed which enable the specification of interface formalisms, the definition of component interfaces, and the checking of correct composition.

• Demonstrable reduction in the number of vulnerabilities and errors detected in software code of large software systems.

• Number of transitions of promising systems and software engineering technologies to the DoD and Defense Industrial Base (DIB), and successful adoption of technologies by early adopter partners.

• Observed improvements in cost, schedule, and performance via advances in the producibility of software for complex DoD systems and the productivity of software developers.

• Number of multiple, active collaborations achieved between Software Producibility performers and the broader software engineering research community.

Number of coordinated and Joint activities across research efforts.

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense						Date: Marc	ch 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te Advanced Technology Developme	nent, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: PE 0603826D8Z I Quick Reactions Special Projects (QRSP)					•						
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	63.029	69.946	68.524	69.319	-	69.319	91.825	99.714	109.800	109.848	Continuing	Continuing
P826: Quick Reaction Fund	15.044	18.024	22.449	21.875	-	21.875	28.603	31.356	34.178	34.194	Continuing	Continuing
P828: Rapid Reaction Fund	30.111	44.135	42.718	43.750	-	43.750	59.240	64.291	70.386	70.414	Continuing	Continuing
P830: RDT&E Architecture and Integration	16.164	4.009	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
P831: Joint Rapid Acquisition Cell Support	1.710	1.608	1.587	1.644	-	1.644	1.878	1.918	2.464	2.466	Continuing	Continuing
P833: Strategic Multi-Layered Assessment (SMA) Support	0.000	2.170	1.770	2.050	-	2.050	2.104	2.149	2.772	2.774	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### Note

The Quick Reaction Special Projects (QRSP) Program Element is being recast with a focus on producing risk-reducing prototypes designed to address Combatant Command (COCOM) threats. QRSP efforts will support the Department goal to provide a Hedge Against Technical Uncertainty by leveraging insights gained through mission-focused efforts and by fostering collaboration among government laboratories, academia, and commercial research. The QRSP portfolio will develop technology that anticipates adversaries' capabilities through short-term, innovative science and engineering initiatives.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Quick Reactions Special Projects (QRSP) Program supports five separate projects that provide rapid funding to expedite development and transition of new technologies to the warfighter. These projects are: 1) Quick Reaction Fund (QRF); 2) Rapid Reaction Fund (RRF); 3) Research, Development, Test & Evaluation (RDT&E) Architecture and Integration (RAI) 4) Joint Rapid Acquisition Cell (JRAC) support; and 5) Strategic Multi-Layered Assessment (SMA) support. QRSP provides the flexibility to respond to emergent DoD issues and address technology surprises and needs within the years of execution outside the two year budget cycle. These efforts field new capabilities at low cost in short time-frames, inform the traditional acquisition cycle, and inject innovative technology into programs of record.

The QRF Program objectives are to develop prototypes in response to emergent conventional warfare needs during the execution years that take advantage of breakthroughs in rapidly evolving technologies. The QRF is executed by the Rapid Reaction Technology Office. Examples of the types of projects that are envisioned include: force protection projects to enhance anti-access and area denial capabilities, undersea offensive capabilities and broad electronic warfare capabilities. The QRF focuses on maturing technologies critically needed for the COCOMs. QRF projects are typically 12 months in duration and produce prototypes with new capabilities for demonstration and evaluation.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	/ Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/</b> PE 0603826D8Z / Quick Reaction	•
The Rapid Reaction Fund (RRF) objectives are to leverage the DoD science a academia and the commercial sector to identify emerging capabilities and cou (RRTO). RRTO works to anticipate adversaries' exploitation of new technolo emerging threats. Additionally, RRTO works to leverage technology develope non-traditional businesses to address specific DoD needs areas as identified interagency organizations. Typical RRF programs are 6-18 months in duration transition objective of 40 percent for demonstration programs (DoD Strategic	unter evolving threats. The RRF is e gies and advanced capabilities and ed outside of the DoD in the comme by Combatant Commanders, Militar on and aim to mature a capability to	executed by the Rapid Reaction Technology Office develop cost saving prototype capabilities to counter rcial sector, academia, international arenas, and small y Service organizations, other Defense agencies and
The Architecture and Integration (RAI) program objectives are to enhance and enhance the Joint Experimentation Range Complex (JERC), Stiletto maritime exercise series. The JERC provides a venue to evaluate a wide range of new vessel that routinely hosts numerous new technologies for evaluation in a ma this budget line. Thunderstorm brings emerging ISR technologies together in in Afghanistan in FY 2014, projects in RAI are either being concluded or align	e test platform and the Thunderstorm v technologies in a dessert environn iritime environment. Thunderstorm, a common architecture for exercise	n Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) nent. RAI funding also supports Stiletto, a maritime tes an ongoing ISR exercise series, is also supported by
The Joint Rapid Acquisition Cell (JRAC) Program objectives focus on respon- Commanders and validated by the Joint Staff. In addition, the JRAC's objecti (COCOM) in a time frame acceptable to the COCOM. Efforts, in most instance DoD Directive 5000.1 and utilize contingency and other rapid acquisition auth	ives are to manage the delivery of caces, are conducted outside of the pr	apabilities as requested by the Combatant Command
The Strategic Multi-Layered Assessment (SMA) cell program objective is to s by assessing complex operational/technical challenges which require multi-ag Government, academia, and the private sector, the SMA cell develops solution senior leadership. Each SMA cell effort is initiated at the request of COCOM Operations. Products are typically produced within six months and directly co	gency and multi-disciplinary approac on options to COCOM generated cha senior leadership. Priorities for SM	ches. With input from across the United States allenging problems and informs the command's A Cell programs are set by the Joint Staff Deputy for

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Of	fice of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-W Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	-	ement (Number/Name) I Quick Reactions Spe	•		
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	107.002	78.532	80.583	-	80.583
Current President's Budget	69.946	68.524	69.319	-	69.319
Total Adjustments	-37.056	-10.008	-11.264	-	-11.264
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-25.000	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-10.109	-10.000			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.107	-			
Congressional Adds	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-1.767	-			
<ul> <li>Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-11.264	-	-11.264
Other Program Adjustments	-0.073	-	-	-	-
<ul> <li>FFRDC Adjustments</li> </ul>	-	-0.008	-	-	-

#### Change Summary Explanation

FY 2015: Program decreases are a result of promoting efficient spending to support agency operations.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: Marc	ch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z <i>I Quick Reactions Special</i> <i>Projects (QRSP)</i>			<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P826 <i>I Quick Reaction Fund</i>					
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P826: Quick Reaction Fund	15.044	18.024	22.449	21.875	-	21.875	28.603	31.356	34.178	34.194	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Quick Reaction Special Projects (QSRP) Program supports five separate projects that provide rapid funding to expedite development and transition of new technologies to the warfighter. The QSRP Program provides the flexibility to respond to emergent DoD issues and addresses technology surprises and needs that may arise outside the two year budget cycle.

The Quick Reaction Fund (QRF) Program provides the Services, Components, Combatant Commands (COCOMs), and force providers opportunities to capitalize on technologies that are at a relatively high Technology Readiness Level (TRL) and to rapidly field-test promising new developmental and operational prototypes that can have immediate impact on military operations. QRF initiatives are limited to those that will deliver a prototype application within twelve months of being funded.

The QRF Program also focuses on projects that have the potential to address conventional, disruptive, catastrophic and irregular threats. More specifically, initiatives that serve to maintain a technical advantage over potential adversaries and reduce technical risk barriers in the following interest areas: Anti-Access and Area Denial; Base Protection; Electromagnetic Bandwidth and Spectrum Enhancement; Persistent Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance; Newly Emerging National Threats; Directed Energy Capabilities; Low-Cost Precision Engagement Capabilities; Operational Field Demonstrations; Unmanned and Robotics Systems; Over the Horizon-Radar Technologies; and Counter-Electronic Warfare Technologies.

In FY 2014 and FY 2015, the QRF Program will continue to identify and fund new projects and prototypes that respond to critical operational needs and new technology development. Current and future efforts that show significant effectiveness can be leveraged by additional investments in order to accelerate transition to operational forces.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Home on Global Positioning System (GPS) Jammer	0.554	-	-
<b>Description:</b> This effort supported the design and development of GPS Jammer homing munitions. A previous effort investigated currently inventoried weapon systems to identify those most acceptable for modification into a Home on GPS Jammer capable system. This project identified the mechanical and electrical interface integration requirements for the selected platform and assessed two demonstration prototypes.			
FY 2013 Accomplishments:			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary O	Date:	March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z / Quick Reactions Special Projects (QRSP)	Project (Number P826 / Quick Rea	,	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Successful integration and test of two 3-element demonstration units with de element sensor. The technology transition to the weapon program offices is branch.				
Title: CAESAR, TREMOR, MARCY AND RAINGAUGE (CTMR)		3.18	1 -	-
<b>Description:</b> The CTMR project detects and reports radio frequency (RF) signed collection systems that detect a specific class of signals. Collection of a cost-effective, scalable solution. The data provided by the systems is struct characterization and near real-time notification. Details are classified.	of these signals was lacking and this project prov	rided		
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Successful development and demonstration of prototype systems using labo Concept of Operations (CONOPS) trade space studies. The data and technol and Intelligence Community (IC) customers in FY 2014.		COM)		
Title: Project 1319: Submarine Launched Autonomous Underwater Vehicle	(AUV)	0.99	1 -	-
<b>Description:</b> The most challenging aspect of submarine Autonomous Under recovery. Project 1319 provides the Navy with a capability to launch and rec (REMUS) AUVs from a submarine dry deck shelter (DDS).		king		
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> Successful development and operational demonstration of capabilities on a g and techniques have fed into the submarine launched version of the Navy La program. The system will deploy in 2014 to provide interim capability until th	arge Diameter Unmanned Underwater Vehicle	ду		
Title: Interruption of Wide Area Sensing (IWAS) Capability		2.60	1 -	-
<b>Description:</b> The Interruption of Wide Area Sensing project developed a rob wide area sensing capability of adversary detection, tracking, and targeting s Naval forces. A self-contained small deployable prototype was used to verify	sensors that jeopardize the free movement of US			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Successful design, development and test of functional prototype system. Th operational insertion and Concept of Operations development.	e program will transition to the Navy in FY 2014	for		
Title: Project 422		4.21	- 2	-

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Secretary Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Description:</b> Project 422 is an end-to-end collection system designed being addressed or have limited collection resources assigned du characteristics or operating regime. The effort includes a self-con Dissemination capability that demonstrates a limited operational of Intelligence Community customers. Details are classified.	e to target attributes such as complexity, location, operating tained Tasking, Collection, Processing, Exploitation and			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Hardware and software development was initiated.				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> The program will demonstrate a new, multi-mission capability for a address unique signature sets not currently being addressed.	use by deployed forces in a COCOM theater of operations to			
Title: Advanced Counter Electronic Systems Capability		3.333	1.000	
<b>Description:</b> The program develops countermeasures to electror target systems use electronic components, against which counter		The		
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Developed and delivered two prototype systems.				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> The program will assess the capability of the prototype systems a services and the Air Sea Battle office as the lead operational advo		the		
Title: Steel Tiger		2.747	4.031	
<b>Description:</b> The Steel Tiger project developed algorithms that w capability fills a Combatant Commands (COCOMs) need. Details		ting		
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Developed a prototype system that was fielded for a utility assess performance relative to required performance conditions.	ment and operational evaluation that measured radar syster	n		
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Enhancement of the prototype system and deployment to a host s	site for further operational evaluation.			
Title: Secret Internet Protocol Router (SIPR) Dark Fusion		0.405	0.983	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of I	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z / Quick Reactions Special Projects (QRSP)	-	Number/I	,	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Description:</b> Moving data between different secure networks is challenging ar creates a single integrated Maritime Domain Awareness (MDA) environment to information previously hosted only on the Secret Compartmented Information	o provide operational users access to MDA SIF				
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Funding was provided in late FY 2013; system engineering was initiated and the	he project continued into FY 2014.				
FY 2014 Plans: Two sets of hardware and software to support the capability will be delivered to	o the Office of Naval Intelligence for operations				
Title: Cyber Coalition Limited Experiments (CyCLE)			-	0.934	-
<b>Description:</b> The CyCLE project will provide cyber defense information to cyb effectiveness can be measured and their capabilities advanced.	er analysis tools under development so the too	ls'			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Demonstrate approaches to achieve a level of seamless, automated cyber ope Awareness.	erations support and share Cyber Situational				
Title: Dark Storm			-	0.900	-
<b>Description:</b> The program will deliver three prototype camera-based surveillar enhanced space situational awareness. Details are classified.	nce systems, with associated software, to prov	ide			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Develop a multi-camera system and demonstrate the ability to deliver improve	d timeliness information to the user community				
Title: Anti-Access/Area Denial Focus Area			-	1.920	4.271
<b>Description:</b> In FY 2014 and FY 2015, this Quick Reaction Fund (QRF) focus developing capabilities and countermeasures in anticipation of emerging needs areas that have been strategically denied by adversarial forces and technologi will ensure the QRF efforts are not duplicative with other work within Departmet will seek to leverage such efforts.	s to monitor and/or gain access to geographica es. The Rapid Reaction Technology Office (R	RTO)			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Anti-Access/Area Denial investment decisions during the budget year will resp and other government organization requirements and as new threats emerge of		се			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: N	larch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z <i>I Quick Reactions Special</i> <i>Projects (QRSP)</i>	Project (Number/Name) P826 I Quick Reaction Fund			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
and coordination with organizations throughout DoD, Federally Funded Resear government agencies, industry and academia will help identify areas critical to technological enhancement efforts. Anticipate funding five prototypes.		r			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> As emerging requirements and threats within the Anti-Access/Area Denial foca decisions will be resourced to respond to COCOM, Services and other government funding four prototypes.					
Title: Counter-Electronic Warfare Technologies Focus Area			-	1.873	4.022
<b>Description:</b> This focus area for FY 2014 and FY 2015, in anticipation of emer developmental and operational prototypes that advance countermeasures agai forces and infrastructure. In addition, projects may include techniques and mer attack capabilities and enhance our ability to operate in denied areas. The Ray the Quick Reaction Fund efforts are not duplicative with other Counter-Electron other such efforts.	inst electronic components and systems to pro thodologies that reduce adversarial electronic bid Reaction Technology Office (RRTO) will er	sure			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Investment decisions in Counter-Electronic Warfare Technologies during the buother government organizations' requirements and as new threats emerge or n coordination with organizations throughout DoD, FFRDCs, other government a areas critical to Counter-EW efforts. Anticipate the funding of three projects.	ew opportunities are presented. Research an	d			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> As emerging requirements, threats and opportunities within the Counter-Electror programmatic and investment decisions will be resourced to respond to COCO Anticipate the funding of three projects.		ions.			
Title: Counter-Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD) Focus Area			-	1.602	3.527
<b>Description:</b> This focus area for FY 2014 and FY 2015, in anticipation of emer advancement of prototype technologies that focus on the detection and interdic and high yield explosives (CBRNE) threats. Projects may include techniques a sensitivities, persistent intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR), dat awareness. The Rapid Reaction Technology Office (RRTO) will ensure the Que other CWMD efforts and will seek to leverage other such efforts.	ction of chemical, biological, radiological, nucle and methodologies that improve detection ta to decision tools and global situational				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of I	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z <i>I Quick Reactions Special</i> <i>Projects (QRSP)</i>	• •	Project (Number/Name) P826 I Quick Reaction Fund			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	( 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Investment decisions in CWMD during the budget years will respond to Comba government organizations' requirements and as new threats emerge or new op coordination with organizations throughout DoD, FFRDCs, other government areas critical to Counter-WMD efforts. Anticipate the funding of two projects.	oportunities are presented. Research and					
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> As emerging requirements, threats and opportunities within the Counter-Weap programmatic and investment decisions will be resourced to respond to COCC Anticipate the funding of two projects.		ions.				
Title: Operational Field Demonstrations Focus Area			-	1.467	3.280	
<b>Description:</b> In anticipation of emerging needs, this focus area for FY 2014 ar operational prototyping, field demonstrations of technologies, and fully integrat needs and emerging threats. Emphasis will be on near term demonstration of capability solutions that support conventional forces with transition within a per Reaction Technology Office (RRTO) will ensure the QRF efforts are not duplicate efforts and will seek to leverage other such efforts.	ed systems in direct response to critical operative feasibility and military utility of integrated iod of no more than 12 months. The Rapid					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Operational Field Demonstrations investment decisions during the budget year Service and other government organization requirements and as new threats e Research and coordination with organizations throughout DoD, FFRDCs, othe help identify areas critical to Operational Field Demonstrations efforts. Anticipa	emerge or new opportunities are presented. r government agencies, industry and academia					
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> As emerging requirements, threats and opportunities within the Operational Figure programmatic and investment decisions will be resourced to respond to COCC Anticipate the funding of four projects.		ons.				
Title: Persistent Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) Focus A	Area		-	1.739	3.775	
<b>Description:</b> In anticipation of emerging needs, this focus area for FY 2014 ar ground, air, sea and/or space situational awareness for decision makers. Tech for surveillance sensors to operate within denied areas and more effective ISR	nnologies may explore new or improved metho	ds				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense			Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z <i>I Quick Reactions Special</i> <i>Projects (QRSP)</i>	<b>Projec</b> P826 /			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
disseminating situational awareness intelligence. The Rapid Reaction Technol Fund (QRF) efforts are not duplicative with on-going persistent ISR work and w		tion			
<i>FY 2014 Plans:</i> Persistent ISR investment decisions during the budget year will respond to CO requirements and as new threats emerge or new opportunities are presented. throughout DoD, Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRE academia will help identify areas critical to developing future capabilities. Antic	Research and coordination with organizations DCs), other government agencies, industry and				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> As threats and opportunities within the Persistent ISR focal areas emerge, progresourced to respond to COCOM, Services, and other government organization projects.					
Title: Hardware/Software (HW/SW) Assurance and Integrity Analysis			-	6.000	3.000
<b>Description:</b> The Department of Defense (DoD) has developed a Trusted Syst assurance, comprehensive protection planning, industry standards, and advance to identify and mitigate HW/SW vulnerabilities through techniques and tools, and technology. This project provides research and development focus to advance and future programs in acquisition, operational systems, and legacy systems and	cing the state of practice and DoD capability ad creation of needed new HW/SW assurance capabilities that can be made available to cur	rent			
This Quick Reaction Fund effort directly supports the 2014 National Defense A to current Department work implementing requirements in NDAA 2013 Section capabilities to augment and federate existing HW/SW assurance expertise, cap Agencies to address existing gaps, as well as emerging threats and vulnerabilit prioritize critical mission vulnerabilities to malicious software, supply chain expl use of best practice in Hardware/Software (HW/SW) vulnerability assessment, findings and know-how.	933. It provides funding for the Department's pabilities and facilities within the Services and ties. The resulting federation will assess and oit, and related cyber vulnerabilities, prioritize	the			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> This effort will leverage and augment resources in the Services and National Services and National Services, evaluation techniques, and best practices to support HW/SW assurates recommended implementation guidance, and support capabilities will be identified plans and development activities. This effort will define a federated approach to development, acquisition, and sustainment activities. Service and agency experimentation and sustainment activities.	nce throughout the lifecycle. Available tools, ied. Gaps will be identified and addressed wit o ensure HW/SW security and support to capa				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense				Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z <i>I Quick Reactions Special</i> <i>Projects (QRSP)</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P826 <i>I Quick Reaction Fund</i>					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		[	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
overarching framework will be developed to enable cross DoD coordination a coordinated risk-based process aimed at efficient development and deplo capabilities.	nclude						
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> Continued development, assessment, recommendation, and promulgation of software test tools and techniques. Continued maturation of federated approach to ensuring Hardware/Software (HW/SW) tools, techniques, expertise and support to acquisition and sustainment programs.							
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals				22.449	21.875		
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u> <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A							
<b>E. Performance Metrics</b> In FY 2015, performance metrics applicable to the Quick Reaction Fund (O "Maintain a strong technical foundation within the Department's Science and completing demonstrations per year. Each project has a period of perform transition outcome, reporting requirements and deliverables such as test re- achieved a transition rate of approximately 75 percent.	nd Technology (S&T) program" and the metric for ance of approximately 12 months. All QRF project	this obj cts are i	ective is to tra nonitored for	ansition 40 pe schedule dev	ercent of viation,		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	efense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3					-	<b>am Elemen</b> 26D8Z I Qui QRSP)	•		<b>Project (N</b> P828 <i>I Rap</i>		,	
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P828: Rapid Reaction Fund	30.111	44.135	42.718	43.750	-	43.750	59.240	64.291	70.386	70.414	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Quick Reaction Special Projects (QSRP) Program supports five separate projects that provide rapid funding to expedite development and transition of new prototypical technologies to the warfighter. The QSRP Program provides the flexibility to mitigate emerging threats and address technology surprises and needs that may arise outside the two-year budget cycle.

The Rapid Reaction Fund (RRF) is fully executed through the Rapid Reaction Technology Office (RRTO). The RRTO was established to accelerate the transition of high-potential science and technology (S&T) projects into operationally useful prototypes in the execution years. The RRTO leverages the Department of Defense (DoD) S&T base and those of the other Federal Departments, academia, and industry; stimulates interagency coordination and cooperation; accelerates the fielding of prototypical capabilities and concepts to counter emerging threats; and, provides feedback to the S&T community to guide long term developmental strategies. With projects supporting each Combatant Command and with a global perspective, the RRTO anticipates adversaries' exploitation of technology, including available and advanced commercial capabilities. Prototypes delivered by RRTO provide cost effective capabilities to operational users faster than the typical acquisition cycle.

In prior years, RRTO has explored methods and approaches of persistent surveillance stimulation for counter-insurgency; developed alternate power sources for sensors and systems; provided low-cost capabilities for small-footprint operations; expanded human, social and cultural knowledge; increased small unit situational awareness; advanced the interface between law enforcement and military operations; developed biometrics and forensics capabilities; supported denied area operations; strategic multi-layer assessments; and, established an innovation outreach cell that is facilitating better interactions with small companies with emerging technologies that do not normally do business with the DoD.

In FY 2014 and FY 2015, RRTO will continue to explore new and emerging capabilities to support irregular warfare operations while working to support the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition Technology & Logistics), the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Research and Engineering) and the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Rapid Fielding goals. With project selection occurring during the execution year, the RRTO's potential focus areas for FY 2014 and FY 2015 projects include: capabilities to operate in denied areas, navigation in Global Positioning System denied environments, persistent Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) architecture; ISR sensors; global warming's impact on operations in the Arctic, novel power sources for unmanned vehicles, interface of law enforcement and military operations; commercial product vulnerabilities and applications; biometrics and forensics S&T; autonomous operations; data processing, exploitation and dissemination; cyber security; exploitation of new and emerging cell phone technologies; counter proliferation initiatives; strategic communications and multi-layer assessments; and, non-traditional approaches to leverage innovative businesses.

The typical length of a RRTO project falls within a 6 to 12 month range in order to more effectively respond to the Warfighter.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D		Date: N	larch 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z / Quick Reactions Special Projects (QRSP)	-	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P828 <i>I Rapid Reaction Fund</i>				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		[	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<i>Title:</i> Minor Resource Projects (Projects Less Than One Million Dollars Each)			20.881	-	-		
<b>Description:</b> Transitioned multiple minor resource projects in the areas of Unn Explosives and Weapons of Mass Destruction, Deterrence of Violent Extremise Exploitation of Communications Technologies, Small Footprint Operations, and delivered developmental and operational prototypes for evaluation or assessm							
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> FY 2013 minor resource projects include: Full Motion Video On Target, a capa geolocation measurement and geo-registration from almost any orbiting UAV u Off The Shelf Software laptop without the need for reference imagery; Extende knowledge management tool; a capability to exploit wireless communications Look Right," documentation of expertise and first-hand experience in identificat Acoustic Spectroscopy, a novel approach to detect trace chemicals of interest; satellite imagery; Maritime Event Information Sharing System, a globally access characterization, and assessment of maritime events and potential threats thro interest items and blue force, environmental, infrastructure, interagency and m Communications demonstration of significantly increased data throughput; Enr a prototype software that allows an analyst to enrich a track by combining it wit patterns of life, and social networks of the subject; a capability to exploit wirele Combustor propulsion system for Unmanned Underwater Vehicles; Three-dime from hand-launched Unmanned Aerial Vehicles; Enhanced Tactical High Frequ sky wave radios; an Aluminum-Water Fuel Cell for unmanned vehicles; an imp crowd-sourcing effort to extract and analyze relevant information from Non-Gov Contingency Communications, an effort to develop low-visibility mission comm operations and information; a novel approach to recognizing text in Open Sour	Ising the processing power of a Commercial ad XCapture, an after action report capture and technology; Operationalizing "Just Doesn't tion of suicide bombers; Remote Vapor Sensin Shiva, exploitation of multispectral commercia sible system enabler for operational validation ugh analysis of relationships between maritime ultinational considerations; High Data Rate Sa iching Tracks with Open Source Intelligence, th geo-tagged information to discover identity, ess communications technology; Aluminum ensional Exploitation of Two-dimensional Video uency Radio Exploitation of near vertical incideo roved Infrared Search and Track capability; a vernmental Organization resource collections; unication capabilities to protect clandestine	l al e tellite o ent					
technology aspects of Financial Warfare; Intelligent Power, a lightweight, ruck- Infrared Wide Area Surveillance for Maritime Domain Awareness.							
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> RRTO will execute multiple minor resource projects to develop prototype capal Vehicles, Detection of Explosives and Weapons of Mass Destruction, Exploitat Communications Technologies, Small Footprint Operations, Deterrence of Viol areas. These projects will deliver developmental and operational prototypes for interagency users. FY 2014 minor resource projects include: Future Infra-Red	ion of Off-the-Shelf Technology, Exploitation o ent Extremism, and other emerging technolog or evaluation or assessment to warfighters and	of Y					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D		Date: M	arch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z <i>I Quick Reactions Special</i> <i>Projects (QRSP)</i>	Project (Number/Name) P828 / Rapid Reaction Fund			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F۱	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
powered Special Operations Forces underwater delivery vehicle; Light Detection of vehicle's tire pressure monitoring systems; novel approaches to navigate in environment; Syria Social Media Analytical Tools; Technologies to Enhance So Operations Mission Training; and an Anti-Jam, Anti-Spoof GPS Antenna for Ur	a Global Positioning System (GPS) denied ocial Science Modeling and Simulation for Spe				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Rapid Reaction Technologyh Office will execute multiple minor resource project execution year to align with Combatant Commands needs and priorities.	cts in focus areas that will be selected during th	ıe			
Title: Tech Assessments			0.750	1.750	1.750
<b>Description:</b> In FY 2014, RRTO will sponsor six two-week evaluation periods representatives to test emerging capabilities in a realistic desert environment. improvements to the prototype system, inform the development/procurement p inform operational users of capabilities in development. Among the technology Innovation Fund's (RIF) Project Rodeo in which six systems developed to dete off distance will be measured against established objectives in a field environment translating repeater deployed to extend the communication range for standard <b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Conducted two-week evaluation periods for interested industry and government capabilities in a realistic desert environment. Used the results of these evaluates system, inform the development/procurement process for future enhanced cap of capabilities in development. Technologies assessed include, Pyros (small ta Neutralization Without Detonation, Audio-Video Leave Behind Over-The-Horizov (support desert environment)	The results of these evaluations will enable rocess for future enhanced capabilities and y assessments planned for FY 2014 is the Rap ct explosives and other chemicals from a stan- nent. Also planned is the assessment of a line military radios. At representatives to test prototypes of emergin tions to refine improvements to the prototype abilities and to apprise operational users actical munitions), Homemade Explosive	d- ar ng			
X-Ray; and Surewave (tunnel detection capability).					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> In FY 2014, RRTO will sponsor six two-week evaluation periods for interested is emerging capabilities in a realistic desert environment. The results of these evi- system, inform the development/procurement process for future enhanced cap in development. Among the technology assessments planned for FY 2014 is t six systems developed to detect explosives and other chemicals from a stand- objectives in a field environment. Also planned is the assessment of STACSAT the communication range for standard military radios. <b>FY 2015 Plans:</b>	aluations will enable improvements to the prot abilities and inform operational users of capab he RIF Innovation Fund's Project Rodeo, in wh off distance will be measured against establish	otype ilities nich ned			
F1 2013 F10113.					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: N	larch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z <i>I Quick Reactions Special</i> <i>Projects (QRSP)</i>	Project (Number/ P828 / Rapid Read		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
In FY 2015, the Rapid Reaction Technology Office (RRTO) plans to conduct size industry and government representatives to test emerging capabilities in a realist Defense (DoD) will use the results of these evaluations to inform the development capabilities and to inform operational users of capabilities in development.	istic desert environment. The Department of	ted		
<i>Title:</i> Light Ranging and Detection (LiDAR) Broad Area Announcement (BAA)		1.500	-	-
<b>Description:</b> LiDAR sensors flying on diverse aircraft in multiple theaters and of area coverage, and resolution. Demand for LiDAR products with rapid exploitat while the time available for Processing, Exploitation, and Dissemination (PED) partially automated LiDAR data exploitation tools are required to remedy the curcells. The Rapid Reaction Technology Office (RRTO) sponsored a BAA throug Office to identify and mature emerging automated feature extraction capabilities.	tion towards near real-time products is increas teams to exploit the data is decreasing. Fully irrent bottleneck in operational LiDAR exploita the Combatting Terrorism Technology Supp	ing or ion		
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> In late FY 2013, the LiDAR BAA closed with 16 proposals submitted. Eight of Subject matter experts evaluated submissions, and in early FY 2014 will select development of prototype tools.				
FY 2014 Plans: New LiDAR data exploitation tools will be demonstrated to operational user gro	ups.			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> LiDAR exploitation tools will transition to the broad user community.				
Title: Bluegrass II		1.550	-	-
<b>Description:</b> The RRTO conducted the Bluegrass data collect in FY 2007 to as persistent, wide-area surveillance concepts in a complex background. This collevaluating approaches for detecting and unraveling nefarious activity hidden in provided to more than 150 organizations to facilitate development of new Intellic capabilities. In FY 2013, RRTO collaborated with the intelligence community a execute the Bluegrass II data collect which will explore the applications of low or networks and social media to augment or replace traditional ISR sensors. The capabilities needed for future military operations in denied or austere areas. Span algorithm to track an individual across a dense urban video network, develop in social media, and developing a methodology to discover and characterize allows.	lection provided a fundamental database for realistic civilian clutter. Bluegrass data has be gence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (IS nd subject matter experts to make final plans to cost, low-access sensing such as urban video se efforts inform and enable development of IS pecific Bluegrass II objectives include develop ping a technique to discover threat communication	een R) o SR ng		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D		Date: N	larch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z / Quick Reactions Special Projects (QRSP)	•	Project (Number/Name) P828 / Rapid Reaction Fund			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> RRTO, the United States intelligence community, and international partners de planned execution of the Bluegrass II data collect, which will occur in FY 2014. be used to develop and evaluate tools to process and exploit data from low-cost	. The demonstration will result in a data set the					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> After execution of the Bluegrass II project, data from multiple sensors will be an government and commercial ISR capability development communities. Access capabilities applicable to future operations in denied or austere locations.						
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Archived Bluegrass II data will be available to the Intelligence, Surveillance, an facilitate development of new ISR capabilities.	to					
<i>Title:</i> Strategic Multi-Layered Assessment (SMA) Drivers of Conflict and Convergence Years	ergence in the Asia-Pacific Region in the Next	5-25	3.000	2.430	2.100	
<b>Description:</b> In FY 2013, the SMA group conducted an assessment on South an assessment of regional stability in SA and included identifying both direct durinternal instability that allow safe haven for violent extremist organizations and directly assisted in Combatant Commander decision making, as well as, Joint 8 both.	rivers of interstate conflict, as well as, sources exacerbate interstate tensions. This assessm	of ent				
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> United States Central Command (USCENTCOM) requested a follow-on effort to follow-on project explored issues pertaining to long-term and short-term region utilized a synchronized series of study efforts and use case scenarios which er extended time horizon, as well as, allowing an assessment of physical and Pol Information based outcomes of a major regional conflict. Results of these inter generational regional engagement strategy designed to avoid major regional complex warfighter was the delivery of a detailed, classified, multi-method assessment of combined with unclassified (government, academic, Subject Matter Expert, etc. found in US government work.	al and sub-regional stability. Project members nabled the assessment of regional stability ove litical, Military, Economic, Social, Infrastructure rrelated studies enabled the proposal of a mult onflicts and maintain stability. The payoff to th of regional conditions, risks, and vulnerabilities	r an and i- e				
FY 2014 Plans:						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D		Date: M	arch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z <i>I Quick Reactions Special</i> <i>Projects (QRSP)</i>		( <b>Number/N</b> Papid React	,	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
South Asia stability effort will assess stability in the broader region to include C variety of methodologies and disciplinary approaches, the research teams for the framework for understanding the drivers of conflict and convergence in the Asia historical comparison, expert elicitation, and quantitative modeling to identify key with particular attention to the United States-China relationship in context with a development of a systems dynamic model and decision support tool. The over effort is to inform United States Pacific Command (USPACOM) and United States In addition to the insights provided by each project stream, the projects will also support tool for Combatant Command (COCOMs). These assessments will be Subject Matter Expert, etc.) input not generally found in US government work.	his project will build a qualitative and quantitation a-Pacific. Project teams will use content analy by variables that influence conflict and cooperation other key actors. Analyses will also inform the rall objective of the suite of projects contained tes Central Command (USCENTCOM) decision or risk and engage opportunities in the Asia-Pactor of inform a systems dynamic model and decision	ve sis, tion, n this n cific.			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> The Strategic Multi-Layered Assessment cell will continue to actively work with challenging problems that are not within the traditional areas of DoD expertise. COCOMs and may include areas such as: counter terrorism; transnational crim destruction (state and non-state); counter global or regional social and cultural deterrence studies.	These problems will be in direct support of th ninal organizations, counter weapons of mass				
Title: Biometrics and Forensics Science and Technology Focus Area			6.000	5.500	5.500
<b>Description:</b> Focal area for FY 2014 and FY 2015 Biometrics and Forensics S that address the technology gaps that limit our ability to quickly and accurately physical and virtual assets, either overseas or in the Homeland. Additionally, the with interagency partners to attribute enemy activity to a specific individual; and forensics systems and technologies. The biometrics and forensics projects evolving identity operations and forensic capabilities required by commanders activities. Projects for both portfolios are selected after coordination throughout Departments and Agencies to maximize collaborative investment and prevent of throughout the biometrics and forensics communities.	identify anonymous individuals who threaten on the biometrics and forensics projects will collab d, will operationally evaluate and test biometric s will develop emerging technologies that supp and warfighters in ongoing and future military t the DoD and across other US Government	orate s			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> The biometric portfolio conducted research into matching fingerprints captured technologies; integrated a prototype fingerprint capture platen into a handheld demonstration of the ability of commercial technologies to meet biometric collect affordably. The forensic portfolio developed a prototype to enable sensitive site	biometric device; and, conducted an analysis a ction and store/match/share requirements mor	and e			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: M	arch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z <i>I Quick Reactions Special</i> <i>Projects (QRSP)</i>		oject (Number/Name) 328 / Rapid Reaction Fund			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			<b>′ 2013</b>	FY 2014	FY 2015	
deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) for kinship and familial relationships; and, develop platform.	bed a real-time synthetic cannabinoid detectior	1				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> The Biometrics and Forensics Science and Technology Focus Area will engage the Combatant Commands and Services to identify common technology gaps with these requirements, the biometric portfolio will develop improved matching dimensional, and latent fingerprints; deliver a prototype facial recognition system evaluation of emerging contactless fingerprint collection systems. In addition, the prototype to allow warfighters to remotely exploit digital platforms; further exploand, conduct a statistical analysis of identifying firearms and toolmarks using for	within the respective enterprises. In accordance algorithms between two-dimensional, three- m for vehicle check points; and, conduct an the forensic portfolio will develop a digital foren re the human genome to improve identification	sics				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> The biometric portfolio will support gaps identified by commanders and operation distance for collection, exploration of the use of emerging biometric modalities, subjects and improving the matching accuracy of non-ideal data. The forensic commanders in the areas of reducing time to collect forensic data, improving and of forensics data collected and increasing the amount of analysis that can be deenvironment. Projects for both portfolios will be selected after coordination throug Departments and Agencies to maximize collaborative investment and prevent matching accuracy is the selected after coordination through the sele	collection of biometric data from non-cooperat portfolio will support gaps identified by ccuracy of analysis of data, increasing the type one in a field environment vice a laboratory bughout DoD and across other U.S. Governme	es				
Title: Innovation Outreach Program			1.500	1.600	2.500	
<b>Description:</b> The Innovation Outreach Program supports the Department of Deleveraging technology and emerging products developed by small, innovative be will be sought to meet needs identified by Combatant Commanders, Military Se and interagency organizations. The Innovation Outreach Focus Area will support effective competition and fielding affordable capabilities by developing new sourd evelopment investments. The Innovation Outreach Focus Area will include s Data and Data Analysis, Alternative Energy, Imagery, Sensors, Social Network year.	ousinesses in the commercial sector. Solution ervice organizations, other Defense agencies ort the Department's objectives of promoting arces of innovation from commercial research a upport of emerging capabilities in Communica	and tions,				
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Innovation Outreach conducted technology engagements to support the Naval United States Census Bureau, the 724th Special Tactics Group and the United		on,				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of I	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z / Quick Reactions Special Projects (QRSP)	<b>Projec</b> P828 /			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
support of these organizations 362 new capabilities were reviewed with 62 sele Products identified in Innovation Outreach events have transitioned to operation					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> The Rapid Reaction Fund (RRF) investment decisions are made during the ex (COCOMs), Service and other government organizations' requirements and as presented. Innovation outreach will plan five engagements with DoD users to may include the Defense Prisoner of War/Missing Personnel Office, United Sta Reaction Technology Office, Department of Homeland Security, the National F Agency.	s new threats emerge or new opportunities are areas discussed above. Supported organization ates Marine Corps Systems Command, the Ra	ons pid			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> RRF investment decisions are made during the execution years in response to organizations' requirements and as new threats emerge or new opportunities a engagements with DoD users to areas discussed above.		e			
Title: Open Source Data Analysis and Applications Focus Area			-	5.296	5.146
<b>Description:</b> Open Source Data Analysis and Applications projects include the to analyze open source information. The data can be structured or unstructure of sources. Technologies developed within this focus area will reduce cost and intelligence in support of Counter-Weapons-of-Mass-Destruction and Counter-	ed and will include inputs from a broad spectru d manpower requirements to provide meaning	m			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> RRF investment decisions are made during the execution years in response to organizations' requirements and as new threats emerge or new opportunities a of open source data analysis tools and applications. Anticipate supporting four capabilities and tools to exploit open source information and to reduce manpox	are presented. The RRF will support developm r to five projects. Deliverables will include				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> RRF investment decisions are made during the execution years in response to organizations' requirements and as new threats emerge or new opportunities a of open source data analysis tools and applications. Anticipate supporting three capabilities and tools to exploit open source information and to reduce manpoor	are presented. RRF will support development ee to four projects. Deliverables will include	ts.			
Title: Autonomous Systems and Behaviors Focus Area		T	-	3.656	4.236

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z <i>I Quick Reactions Special</i> <i>Projects (QRSP)</i>		ct (Number/N I Rapid Reac		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Description:</b> Autonomous Systems and Behaviors projects include power systems unmanned systems, enhanced capabilities for multiple autonomous systems to for integration aboard unmanned platforms, improvements to data ex-filtration for areas and "red teaming" to counter emerging unmanned threats from potential establishment of common software platforms to reduce development cost, increvenicles and support rapid customization of autonomous systems' architectures.	o cooperatively interact, development of senso from unmanned sensors, operation in denied adversaries. These projects will also examine ease collaboration among disparate unmanned	the			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> The Rapid Reaction Fund (RRF) investment decisions are made during the exe (COCOMs), Service and other government organizations' requirements and as presented. RRF will support development of unmanned autonomous aerial, su supporting five to six projects.	new threats emerge or new opportunities are	nands			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> RRF investment decisions are made during the execution years in response to organizations' requirements and as new threats emerge or new opportunities a unmanned autonomous aerial, surface and subsurface systems. Anticipate su	re presented. RRF will support development of	of			
Title: Urban Characterization Focus Areas			-	3.926	3.818
<b>Description:</b> Future military operations will likely occur in a broad range of urb free access. Focal area for FY 2014 and FY 2015 Urban Characterization proj urban areas for modeling, simulation and planning purposes. These efforts wil surveillance and reconnaissance, electronic warfare, kinetic/non-kinetic and oth in a wide range of urban areas.	ects will identify, analyze, and describe typical I inform and enable development of intelligenc	e,			
<i>FY 2014 Plans:</i> RRF investment decisions are made during the execution years in response to organizations' requirements and as new threats emerge or new opportunities a analysis tools and applications. Anticipate supporting five to six projects. Deliv systems to support development of capabilities for future operations.	re presented. RRF will support development of				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> RRF investment decisions are made during the execution years in response to organizations' requirements and as new threats emerge or new opportunities a		of			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z <i>I Quick Reactions Special</i> <i>Projects (QRSP)</i>	-	ct (Number/N Rapid Reac	,	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
open source data analysis tools and applications. Anticipate supporting three t and simulations systems to support planning efforts.	to four projects. Deliverables will include mode	eling			
Title: Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) Focus Area			-	3.960	4.734
<b>Description:</b> ISR sensors span a wide range of sensing modalities and generat to analyze in a cluttered environment. Efforts in this area will develop better servisualize ISR data. New start projects include improved surveillance sensors, if methods to harvest meaningful intelligence from open and classified sources a exploitation, and dissemination capabilities to facilitate integration of new and e involve high risk and have high potential reward; and, are not being addressed technologies to improve ISR in denied areas. ISR projects will also evaluate m architectures to maximize the capability delivered to the user and to reduce the produce actionable intelligence.	ensors and tools to more effectively analyze or tools to facilitate analysis of large data sets, and establishment of more effective processing existing systems. Projects in this area general by other organizations. Projects will also expl nethods of increasing the effectiveness of ISR	, y ore			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> The Rapid Reaction Fund (RRF) investment decisions are made during the exer (COCOMs), Service and other government organizations' requirements and as presented. Research and coordination with organizations throughout DoD and areas critical to developing future ISR capabilities. Anticipate supporting four to systems and software for a variety of platforms, as well as analytical capabilities needed to process large sets of ISR data.	a new threats emerge or new opportunities are d other government agencies will help identify o five projects. Deliverables will include protot				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> RRF investment decisions are made during the execution years in response to organizations' requirements and as new threats emerge or new opportunities a organizations throughout DoD and other government agencies will help identify Anticipate supporting three to four projects. Deliverables will include prototype well as analytical capabilities developed to reduce the manpower burden need.	are presented. Research and coordination with y areas critical to developing future ISR capabi e systems and software for a variety of platform	lities.			
Title: Commercial Product Vulnerabilities and Applications Focus Area			-	5.616	5.433
<b>Description:</b> Commercial Product Vulnerabilities and Applications projects exp to address immediate operational needs. This focus area identifies and exploit which may have immediate military utility. These projects also explore the vuln adversaries. This focus area leverages investments made by the commercial s	ts technological advances made by industry nerabilities of readily available technology used				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secreta	ry Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
400 / 3       PE 0003826D82 / Quick Reactions Special Projects (QRSP)       P828 / Raj Projects (QRSP)         Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)       FY         Y 2014 Plans:       Ne Rapid Reaction Fund (RRF) investment decisions are made during the execution years in response to Combatant Commands COCOMS), Service and other government organizations throughout DoD and other government agencies help identify areas ritical to developing future capabilities to identify commercial product vulnerabilities and applications. RRF anticipates supporting ix to eight projects exploring commercial product's vulnerabilities in FY 2014.         Y 2015 Plans:       RF         RF investment decisions are made during the execution years in response to COCOMS, Service and other government rganizations throughout DoD and other government agencies will help identify areas critical to developing future capabilities to lentify commercial product's vulnerabilities are presented. Research and coordination with rganizations throughout DoD and other government agencies will help identify areas critical to developing future capabilities to lentify commercial product vulnerabilities and applications. Anticipate supporting five to six projects.         ifle: Interface of Military Operations with Law Enforcement and Border Patrol new start projects include collaboration and xercises with law enforcement organizations to identify overlap and synergies between military and law enforcement operations, xploitation of law enforcement organizations to identify overlap and synergies between military and law enforcement operations, xploitation of law enforcement organizations to identify overlap and synergies between military and law enforcement operations, xploitation of law enforcement organizations are made during the executio			ct (Number/N Rapid Reac		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
(COCOMs), Service and other government organizations' requirements a presented. Research and coordination with organizations throughout Do critical to developing future capabilities to identify commercial product vu	and as new threats emerge or new opportunities are bD and other government agencies help identify area Ilnerabilities and applications. RRF anticipates supp	is			
organizations' requirements and as new threats emerge or new opportur organizations throughout DoD and other government agencies will help i	nities are presented. Research and coordination with identify areas critical to developing future capabilities				
<i>Title:</i> Interface of Military Operations with Law Enforcement and Border	Patrol Focus Area		-	4.017	4.312
exercises with law enforcement organizations to identify overlap and syn exploitation of law enforcement data for use in an irregular warfare enviro	nergies between military and law enforcement operat onment, development of improved border protection				
(COCOMs), Service and other government organizations' requirements a presented. Research and coordination with organizations throughout De agencies will help identify areas critical to developing future capabilities of supporting six to seven projects. Deliverables will include prototype sense	and as new threats emerge or new opportunities are epartment of Defense (DoD) and other government of interest to multiple federal organizations. Anticipa sors and knowledge management systems, as well a	te			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> RRF investment decisions are made during the execution years in respo organizations' requirements and as new threats emerge or new opportur organizations throughout DoD and other government agencies will help i interest to multiple federal organizations. Anticipate supporting five to size	nities are presented. Research and coordination with identify areas critical to developing future capabilities				
Title: Red Teaming in Support of Rapid Fielding Focus Area			-	4.967	4.221

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z / Quick Reactions Special Projects (QRSP)		ct (Number/N I Rapid Reac		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Description:</b> Red Teaming projects assess the susceptibility of rapidly fielded familiar with the technology. The Rapid Reaction Technology Office will levera Research and Development Centers, government laboratories, academia and systems can be gamed against in a distributed table top environment against the will inform enhancement decisions and Concept of Operations development.	ge the innovative capabilities of Federally Fun industry to develop a construct that current or	ded future			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> The Rapid Reaction Fund (RRF) investment decisions are made during the exer (COCOMs), Service and other government organizations' requirements and as presented. Research and coordination with organizations throughout DoD and key technologies and systems to be assessed by red teams. Deliverables will employment, potential vulnerabilities, likely countermeasures taken by the three increase functionality or operational effectiveness of the system. Projects will is students of Science, Technology, Engineering and Math disciplines to explore technologies, such as the Perseus unmanned underwater vehicle demonstration projects. Anticipate supporting five to six red teaming projects in FY 2014.	s new threats emerge or new opportunities are d other government agencies will help identify include recommendations on system operation at, and potential counter-countermeasures to include Red Team efforts employing undergrad unconventional approaches to counter DoD	nal duate			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> RRF investment decisions are made during the execution years in response to organizations' requirements and as new threats emerge or new opportunities a organizations throughout DoD and other government agencies will help identify by red teams. Deliverables will include recommendations on system operation countermeasures taken by the threat and potential counter-countermeasures to of the system. Anticipate supporting four to five projects.	are presented. Research and coordination with y key technologies and systems to be assesse hal employment, potential vulnerabilities, likely	d			
Title: Disruptive Demonstrations			8.954	-	-
<b>Description:</b> The Disruptive Technology Demonstrations project is a technology needs and anticipatory concerns while maintaining low cost, small footprint operations and anticipatory concerns while maintaining low cost, small footprint operations.		ability			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Completed project analysis, design, application investigations which incorporate of innovative technologies and techniques to enhance Cyber Situational Aware					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office	e of Secretary Of Defense		Date: M	Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	•	<b>Project</b> ( P828 / <i>R</i>	l <b>ame)</b> tion Fund				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
	tics and Procedures development for a maritime domain test bed	, and					
study investigations.							

#### C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

**Remarks** 

#### D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

#### E. Performance Metrics

In FY 2015, performance metrics applicable to the Rapid Reaction Fund (RRF) includes attainment of DoD Strategic Objective 3.5.2D. The title of this objective is "Maintain a strong technical foundation within the Department's Science and Technology program" and the metric for this objective is to transition 40 percent of completing project demonstrations per year. In addition, project performance metrics are specific to each effort and include measures identified in the specific project plans. Project completions and success are monitored against schedules and deliverables stated in the proposals and statements of work. The metrics include items such as target milestone dates, specific performance measures, fielding dates, and demonstration goals and dates. For projects completed in FY 2013, the RRF achieved a transition rate of greater than 75 percent.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	: PB 2015 C	office of Sec	cretary Of D	efense					Date: Mare	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3									Number/Name) DT&E Architecture and Integration			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P830: RDT&E Architecture and Integration	16.164	4.009	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The RDT&E Architecture and Integration (RAI) program objectives are to enhance and expand rapid technology architecture and assessment capabilities in general; and, to enhance the Joint Experimentation Range Complex, Stiletto maritime test platform and the Thunderstorm Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) exercise series. The JERC provides a venue to evaluate a wide range of new technologies in a dessert environment. The funding will also support Stiletto, a maritime test vessel that routinely hosts numerous new technologies for evaluation in a maritime environment. Thunderstorm, an ongoing ISR exercise series, is also supported by this budget line. Thunderstorm brings emerging ISR technologies together in a common architecture for exercise and operational demonstration.

With the drawdown of operations in Afghanistan in FY 2014, the requirements for many of the assessments supported by this budget line have been greatly reduced. The remaining assessment requirements will be addressed by other Program Elements.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Maritime Irregular Warfare/Stiletto	1.950	-	-
<b>Description:</b> The Maritime Irregular Warfare portfolio investigates gaps and develops irregular warfare capabilities in the maritime domain, with a particular focus on prototype concepts and systems. Projects explore the development of counter evolved non-state capabilities such as semi- and fully-submersible vehicles, countering unmanned swarms, maritime non-lethal weapons systems, and low cost littoral fire support, among other capabilities. This expanded effort to address maritime capability gaps builds on and leverages the Stiletto dedicated maritime demonstration vessel. Stiletto is a maritime demonstration platform designed to assist in the assessment of prototypes and the rapid transition of emerging technologies across the range of military operations to higher Technology Readiness Levels. Stiletto, an 88-foot long boat, is an experimental, all carbon fiber craft that was purposefully designed to rapidly acquire, integrate, and employ new capabilities to explore the military utility of emerging technologies and concepts of operation for special and expeditionary forces. The Stiletto program, managed in partnership with the Naval Surface Warfare Center's Combatant Craft Division and the Naval Air Warfare Center Aircraft Division's Warfare Innovation Cell, streamlines the experimentation process and helps facilitate the rapid demonstration, exploration, and risk reduction of emerging technologies and capabilities. The demonstration process also encourages system developers to engage directly with the warfighter in the maritime environment to rapidly adapt technologies around warfighter needs. The Stiletto vessel is home-ported in Norfolk, Virginia.			
FY 2013 Accomplishments:			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D		Date: N	larch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z <i>I Quick Reactions Special</i> <i>Projects (QRSP)</i>	Project (N P830 / RD		Name) hitecture and	Integration
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
In FY 2013, Naval Underwater Threat Interrogation and Covert Assessment Sy Navy and Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization, moving from environment, and testing within the Continental United States (CONUS). The I development to improve the existing design and construction processes for the the Combatant Craft Light inflatable catamaran with an initial operating capabil increase durability, reliability and maintainability. The new design will provide s and improved riding, supporting missions such as Maritime Area Denial. The C (CMTWG) identified the lead organizations for Stiletto Capability Demonstration craft technology needs in FY 2013. CMTWG worked within its membership to catalog. The Maritime Irregular Warfare focus area supported three Stiletto Ca Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR), Command and Control, and maritime and recovery capabilities on the boat in FY 2013, supporting Navy Expeditiona 2013, and the UK Ministry of Defence. Technology Demonstration periods also partners with emerging and innovative capabilities.	a the lab environment to a real world, controlled inflatable Catamaran project continued its e Special Forces' inflatable hull component of ity in FY 2016. The improved hull form will significantly increased speed, range, payload, Common Maritime Technology Working Group ns and produced an analysis of common small bring an advanced Multi-Fuel Engine into the N apability Demonstrations of emerging Intelligen e Unmanned Vehicle Aerial Vehicle (UAV) laun ry Combat Command (NECC), Trident Spectre	l Vavy ce, ch			
Title: Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR)/Thunderstorm/Spa	ice		1.451	-	-
<b>Description:</b> This portfolio examines and explores emerging technologies and (USAF), the National Reconnaissance Office (NRO), and other interagency init the National Space Strategy objectives to preserve and protect the space envir employment by the tactical user. The flagship project for this portfolio is Thunce demonstration for the Office of Secretary of Defense, interagency partners, Co academia, government laboratories and commercial vendors. Thunderstorm d and assess the capabilities of new, prototype, emerging and transformational I processing, exploitation, and dissemination (PED) capabilities in mission-relate environments prior to full-scale employment. Thunderstorm demonstration obj post-demonstration assessments and data evaluation serve to inform future De capabilities. Thunderstorm aims to identify new capabilities and/or new ways t ability to "Deter, Predict, and Interdict" threats while assessing how to bridge ca Agencies.	tatives in ISR. In addition, the portfolio address comment with a focus on developing application derstorm, an enduring multi-Intelligence techno mbatant Commands (COCOMs), Services, lemonstrations provide an opportunity to evalue SR technologies, and related information colle- ed, geographically, and operationally relevant ectives, performance measures, lessons learn oD ISR concepts of operations and remote PEI o employ existing capabilities that enhance ou	s for logy ate ction, ed, D			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Thunderstorm Spirals 13-1 and 13-2 characterized maritime threat behavior in littorals and the transition into the Texas land space. Both spirals capitalized o partnership with Joint Interagency Task Force South, Customs and Border Pro	n the lessons learned from previous spirals. Ir				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z / Quick Reactions Special Projects (QRSP)	<b>Project (I</b> P830 / <i>RL</i>		lame) hitecture and	Integration
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Navy, National Geo-Spatial Intelligence Agency, National Reconnaissance Offi States Northern Command and the Texas Department of Public Safety, Spiral discriminate suspicious behavior in the open water, littoral and maritime-to-land the capability to share information in near real-time among eight data nodes. S 13-1, placed emphasis on the maritime-to-land transition activity and the ability meld themselves into urban or rural populations. This information was gathere nodes. In FY 2013, Thunderstorm spirals demonstrated 28 emerging capabilit broad range of potential operational users providing support. In the space arena, a classified project in partnership with the NRO successful off-the-shelf (COTS) Satellite Communications (SATCOM) equipment for trans	13-1 technologies were utilized to detect and d transition space. The highlight of this Spiral Spiral 13-2 built upon lessons learned from Spi to prosecute suspicious actors as they quickly ed and then shared in near real time to the data ies in operationally realistic environments with ly demonstrated the ability to use commercial- iferring large data files from theater to the U.S.	was ral y a a			
The project used a COTS SATCOM High Data Rate Modem to improve the ba prototype demonstration and this concept of operations will be adapted to othe		а			
<i>Title:</i> Tech Assessments			0.608	-	-
<b>Description:</b> The Joint Experimental Range Complex (JERC) is a remote test is designed to rapidly evaluate prototype technologies in an operationally relevely evaluations allow for integration and development of Intelligence, Surveillance, Operation development. Since its establishment in late FY 2003, the Rapid Reformer than 280 systems at the JERC. This funding is utilized to provide assert upgrades to capabilities to the site.	ant environment. These limited proof-of-conce , and Reconnaissance (ISR) training and Conce eaction Technology Office has sponsored evalu	ept ept of uation			
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> Conducted six two-week evaluation periods for interested industry and government emerging capabilities in a realistic desert environment. Used the results of the prototype system, inform the development/procurement process for future enha- users of capabilities in development. Technologies assessed include, Pyros (s Neutralization Without Detonation, Audio-Video Leave Behind Over-The-Horizo X-Ray); and Surewave (tunnel detection capability).	se evaluations to refine improvements to the anced capabilities and to apprise operational small tactical munitions), Homemade Explosive				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals	4.009	-	-
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A	· •	J			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	efense		Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603826D8Z <i>I Quick Reactions Special</i> <i>Projects (QRSP)</i>		umber/Name) T&E Architecture and Integration
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)			
Remarks_			
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A			
E. Performance Metrics			
In FY 2015, performance metrics applicable to the RDT&E Architecture and Int objective is "Maintain a strong technical foundation within the Department's Sci percent of completing project demonstrations per year. Project performance m proposals and statements of work, production measures, fielding dates, and de Complex, Thunderstorm and Stiletto are typically not mature enough for operat	ence and Technology (S&T) program" and the netrics are specific to each effort and include s emonstration goals and dates. Technology de	e metric for t chedules an monstrated	this objective is to transition 40 nd deliverables stated in the at the Joint Experimental Range

further development and inform operational users of emerging capabilities.

Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3					-	am Element 26D8Z / Quic QRSP)	•	,	Project (N P831 / Joir		,	Cell Support			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost			
P831: Joint Rapid Acquisition Cell Support	1.710	1.608	1.587	1.644	-	1.644	1.878	1.918	2.464	2.466	Continuing	Continuing			
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request w <u>A. Mission Description and Bu</u> This funding includes support for	dget Item Ju	ustification								(00001)					

Staff validated immediate warfighter needs. FY 2012 was the first year for a dedicated funding line for this effort. The funding in this project is under the cognizance of the JRAC and is responsible to:

(1) Coordinate review of validated Joint Urgent Operational Needs (JUON) and assign responsibility to appropriate DoD Components for timely funding and resolution.

(2) Serve as the review and approval authority for the DoD Components' strategy to fund and mitigate the identified JUON capability gap.

(3) Continually assess actions taken by the DoD Components to resolve JUONs and recommend to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics any changes determined appropriate to improve their responsiveness to JUONs.

(4) Provide periodic reports to the Secretary of Defense on new and outstanding JUONs.

(5) In coordination with Under Secretary of Defense Comptroller (USD(C)), manage the Rapid Acquisition Fund (RAF) to allocate resources to priority unfunded JUONs.

(6) In coordination with the Office of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and the USD(C), make programmatic, budget, and acquisition recommendations for JUONs and identify capability gaps to the Secretary of Defense.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Joint Rapid Acquisition Cell (JRAC) Management Support	1.608	1.587	1.644
<b>Description:</b> This funding is utilized to support the staff manning of the JRAC to enable management and tracking of COCOM identified and Joint Staff validated immediate warfighter needs. This baseline was initiated in FY 2012 to preclude ad hoc and unstable historical programmatic and financial support to the JRAC staff.			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Initiated support for the JRAC to enable management and tracking of COCOM. Warfighter needs were validated by the Joint Staff.			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Continue support for the JRAC management and tracking of COCOM initiative. Continue validation of the warfighter needs by the Joint Staff.			
FY 2015 Plans: Continue support for the JRAC management and tracking of COCOM initiative.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secre		Date: M	Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	Activity       R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)       Project (         PE 0603826D8Z / Quick Reactions Special       P831 / Jo         Projects (QRSP)       Project (					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Continue validation of the warfighter needs by the Joint Staff.	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals	1.608	1.587	1.644	

#### C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

**Remarks** 

#### D. Acquisition Strategy

NA - Capabilities acquired to fulfill Joint Urgent Operational Needs are provided by other DoD components.

#### E. Performance Metrics

Joint Rapid Acquisition Cell performance metrics are specific to each JUON and include measures identified in the management approach for each JUON. In addition, JUON completions and successes are monitored against schedules and deliverables stated in the JUON management approach. The metrics to which JRAC support correlates is to the number of full time personnel identified in the JRAC support contract with associated pay rates and shall not exceed the specified amounts or hourly rates and/or firm fixed price.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3				PE 0603826D8Z / Quick Reactions Special				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P833 <i>I Strategic Multi-Layered Assessment</i> (SMA) Support				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P833: Strategic Multi-Layered Assessment (SMA) Support	-	2.170	1.770	2.050	-	2.050	2.104	2.149	2.772	2.774	Continuing	Continuing
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wil <u>Note</u> The Strategic Multi-Layered Asse Strategic Command (USSTRATC	ssment (Sl			in FY 2013	as a result	of a net zer	o functional	transfer of	resources a	and mission	from United	l States
<b>A. Mission Description and Bud</b> The SMA Cell supports all Comba challenges which require multi-ac	atant Comr	nands (COC	OMs), Join			•		• •	•	• •		

challenges which require multi-agency and multi-disciplinary approaches. With input from across the US Government, academia and the private sector, the SMA cell develops solution options to COCOM generated challenging problems and informs the command's senior leadership. Each SMA effort is initiated at the request of senior COCOM leadership. Priorities for SMA problems are set by the Joint Staff Deputy for Operations. Products are typically produced within six months and directly contribute to the decision making process of COCOM's senior leaders. SMA is also supported by the Rapid Reaction Fund (RRF).

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Strategic Multi-Layered Assessment (SMA)	2.170	1.770	2.050
<b>Description:</b> The SMA cell develops solution options, not generally found in U.S. Government work, to COCOM generated challenging problems and informs the command's senior leadership. Each SMA effort is initiated at the request of senior COCOM leadership. Priorities for SMA problems are set by the Joint Staff Deputy for Operations. Products are typically produced within six months and directly contribute to the decision making process of COCOM's senior leaders. Funding for this project within the Quick Reaction Special Projectsprogram element was a result of a 2012 USSTRATCOM decision to reprogram approximately \$2.000 million per year from USSTRATCOM to support SMA activities.			
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> At the request of United States Pacific Command (USPACOM) the SMA cell undertook a Megacities project. This project consisted of three components. The first component was a research study into methods of conducting socio-cultural analysis including remote sensing techniques for collecting indicator variables of resilience and vulnerability within interrelated megacity and rural systems. The second component was a case analysis of the drivers of buffers to political, social, economic and environmental instability in the Dhaka, Bangladesh population center. The third component of the study was an assessment and testing of novel ways to present and visualize megacity stability data. This benefited COCOM planners with forecasting socio-cultural trends affecting state, regional, or community level stability. Also, this effort answered the need for quantitative			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense Date: March 2014								
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)       Project (Number/Name)         PE 0603826D8Z I Quick Reactions Special       P833 I Strategic Multi-Layered Assessment         Projects (QRSP)       (SMA) Support							
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015				
stability assessment approaches to address key national security considerati extremism; humanitarian crisis; reinforcement of outlier state behavior and co		ent						
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Continue Megacities effort by testing the proof of concept the countries of La of the project: 1) to provide actionable insight into the state-level stability and example of how similar analyses might be completed in other areas of the Ar evaluative tool to aid in prioritization and metric development for United State prepare a deep dive assessment of the threat and likely growth of violent ext of measuring progress/success and of assessing impact of investment, and i process and development of Theater Campaign Plans, Country Campaign P	instability dynamics in Nigeria and provide an rea of Responsibility (AOR); 2) to develop an es Africa Command engagement activities; and remism in Nigeria. The framework will be capab t will have visualization features that assist plann	3) to e						
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> The Strategic Multi-Layered Assessment cell will continue to actively work wi Joint Staff to identify challenging problems that are not within the traditional a direct support of the COCOMs and may include areas such as: counter terro weapons of mass destruction (state and non-state); counter global or regional state or national level deterrence studies.	n er							
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	otals 2.17	0 1.770	2.050				
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u> <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A								
<b>E. Performance Metrics</b> SMA performance metrics are specific to each effort and include measures i monitored against schedules and deliverables stated in the execution docum representatives from the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Joint Staff, t adaption and transition of SMA products by the COCOM and supporting enti	nents. Each project's results are reviewed by a s he COCOMs and outside subject matter experts	enior review grou	o that is compr	ised with				

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary			Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: March 2014			
ppropriation/Budget Activity 100: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: dvanced Technology Development (ATD)					am Elemen 32D8Z / DoL			tion Manage	ement Offic	e		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	29.860	37.881	34.338	3.000	-	3.000	3.520	3.950	4.591	5.142	Continuing	Continuing
P476: DoD Modeling and Simulation Management Office	29.860	31.728	30.338	3.000	-	3.000	3.520	3.950	4.591	5.142	Continuing	Continuing
P477: Effects Chain Analyses Cell	0.000	6.153	4.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Modeling and Simulation (M&S) supports the full range and scope of Department of Defense (DoD) operations. M&S is a key enabler of capabilities supporting realworld applications that underpin innovative solutions meeting national security challenges, act as force multipliers, save resources, and save lives. The DoD Modeling and Simulation Management Office (MSMO), designated by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (USD(AT&L)) to be the focal point and advocate for DoD M&S, enhances the DoD M&S Enterprise by (1) enabling cooperation and collaboration in identifying, developing and sustaining modeling and simulation solutions; and (2) promoting technology solutions including common M&S architectures, standards, and services that improve interoperability, reuse, and cost effectiveness of DoD M&S. The USD(AT&L), under the authority of DoD Directive 5134.1, provides the oversight for this Program Element (PE) with advice and assistance from a flag-officer level M&S Steering Committee. The PE is executed by MSMO in accordance with DoD Directive 5000.59, "Management of Modeling and Simulation;" DoD Instruction 5000.70, "Management of DoD Modeling and Simulation (M&S) Activities;" DoD 4120.24-M, "DoD Standardization Program (DSP) Policies and Procedures;" and DoD Instruction 3200.14, "Principles and Operational Parameters of the DoD Scientific and Technical Information Program."

MSMO is responsible for:

o Executing the DoD Strategic Vision for M&S.

o Bringing together M&S stakeholders to advise and assist on finding solutions for removing the barriers to interoperability, reuse, commonality, efficiency, and effectiveness.

o Developing, coordinating, and advocating for, with advice and assistance from the M&S Steering Committee, policy/guidance, technology, standards, best practices, and strategic planning processes that promote interoperability and reuse.

o Managing funds to support DoD M&S Enterprise activities.

MSMO also serves as DoD's:

o Lead Standardization Activity (LSA) for managing M&S standards and methodologies.

o Focal point and advocate for coordinating DoD M&S information exchanges and interactions within DoD, with other U.S. Government departments and agencies, international allies, industry and academia.

This program supports the goals of the DoD Strategic Vision for M&S, which are:

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: March 2014
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603832D8Z <i>I DoD Modeling and Simulation Manag</i>	ement Office
Goal One. Standards, architectures, networks and environments that: o Promote the sharing of tools, data, and information across the Enterprise. o Foster common formats. o Are readily accessible and can be reliably applied by users.		
Goal Two. Policies at the enterprise level that: o Promote interoperability and the use of common M&S capabilities. o Minimize duplication and encourage reuse of M&S capabilities. o Encourage research and development (R&D) to respond to emerging challer o Limit the use of models and data encumbered by proprietary restrictions. o Leverage M&S capabilities across DoD, other government agencies, Interna		
Goal Three. Management processes for models, simulations, and data that: o Enable M&S users and developers to easily discover and share M&S capabi o Facilitate the cost-effective and efficient development and use of M&S system o Include practical validation, verification, and accreditation guidelines that var	ms and capabilities.	
Goal Four. Tools in the form of models, simulations, and authoritative data that o Support the full range of DoD interests. o Provide timely and credible results. o Make capabilities, limitations, and assumptions easily visible. o Are useable across communities.	t:	
Goal Five. People that: o Are well-trained. o Employ existing models, simulation, and data to support departmental object o Advance M&S to support emerging departmental challenges.	tives.	

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Offic	ce of Secretary	Of Defense		Date: March 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity		R-1 Program Ele	ement (Number/Name)						
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wid	de / BA 3:	PE 0603832D8Z I DoD Modeling and Simulation Management Office							
Advanced Technology Development (ATD)									
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total				
Previous President's Budget	47.433	41.370	45.890	-	45.890				
Current President's Budget	37.881	34.338	3.000	-	3.000				
Total Adjustments	-9.552	-7.032	-42.890	-	-42.890				
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-3.229	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-4.000	-7.000							
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.057	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-							
Reprogrammings	-1.114	-							
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-1.152	-							
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-19.890	-	-19.890				
FFRDC Adjustments	-	-0.032	-	-	-				
<ul> <li>Realignment of Funds to Support Higher DoD Priorities and Requirements</li> </ul>	-	-	-23.000	-	-23.000				

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

FY 2015: Reduction of -19.890 million is a strategic efficiency approach to reduce funding and staffing. As a result, we provide a better alignment of funding and provide support to a smaller military force.

Reduction of -12.000 million is the result of higher S & T priorities. The funds were realigned to a new PE in FY 2015, PE 0603288D8Z, Science and Technology Analytic Assessment, a new start Program in FY 2015.

Reduction of -11.000 million is realigned from Effects Chain Analyses to a new PE in FY 2015, PE0603289D8Z, Advanced Innovative Analysis and Concepts, a new start Program in FY 2015.

Total Net Reduction in FY 2015: -42,890.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary C				retary Of D	efense					Date: Marc	Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3					R-1 Progra PE 060383 Simulation		D Modeling		Project (Number/Name) P476 I DoD Modeling and Simulation Management Office			tion		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
P476: DoD Modeling and Simulation Management Office	29.860	31.728	30.338	3.000	-	3.000	3.520	3.950	4.591	5.142	Continuing	Continuing		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Modeling and Simulation (M&S) supports the full range and scope of Department of Defense (DoD) operations. M&S is a key enabler of capabilities supporting realworld applications that underpin innovative solutions meeting national security challenges, act as force multipliers, save resources, and save lives. The DoD Modeling and Simulation Management Office (MSMO), designated by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (USD(AT&L)) to be the focal point and advocate for DoD M&S, enhances the DoD M&S Enterprise by (1) enabling cooperation and collaboration in identifying, developing and sustaining modeling and simulation solutions; and (2) promoting technology solutions including common M&S architectures, standards, and services that improve interoperability, reuse, and cost effectiveness of DoD M&S. The USD(AT&L), under the authority of DoD Directive 5134.1, provides the oversight for this Program Element (PE) with advice and assistance from a flag-officer level M&S Steering Committee. The PE is executed by MSMO in accordance with DoD Directive 5000.59, "Management of Modeling and Simulation;" DoD Instruction 5000.70, "Management of DoD Modeling and Simulation (M&S) Activities;" DoD 4120.24-M, "DoD Standardization Program (DSP) Policies and Procedures;" and DoD Instruction 3200.14, "Principles and Operational Parameters of the DoD Scientific and Technical Information Program."

MSMO is responsible for:

o Executing the DoD Strategic Vision for M&S.

o Bringing together M&S stakeholders to advise and assist on finding solutions for removing the barriers to interoperability, reuse, commonality, efficiency, and effectiveness.

o Developing, coordinating, and advocating for, with advice and assistance from the M&S Steering Committee, policy/guidance, technology, standards, best practices, and strategic planning processes that promote interoperability and reuse.

o Managing funds to support DoD M&S Enterprise activities.

#### MSMO also serves as DoD's:

o Lead Standardization Activity (LSA) for managing M&S standards and methodologies.

o Focal point and advocate for coordinating DoD M&S information exchanges and interactions within DoD, with other U.S. Government departments and agencies, international allies, industry and academia.

This program supports the goals of the DoD Strategic Vision for M&S, which are:

Goal One. Standards, architectures, networks and environments that:

o Promote the sharing of tools, data, and information across the Enterprise.

o Foster common formats.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of	Defense	Date: I	Aarch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603832D8Z <i>I DoD Modeling and</i> <i>Simulation Management Office</i>	Project (Number/ P476 / DoD Mode Management Offic	ing and Simul	lation
<ul> <li>o Are readily accessible and can be reliably applied by users.</li> <li>Goal Two. Policies at the enterprise level that:</li> <li>o Promote interoperability and the use of common M&amp;S capabilities.</li> <li>o Minimize duplication and encourage reuse of M&amp;S capabilities.</li> <li>o Encourage research and development to respond to emerging challenges.</li> <li>o Limit the use of models and data encumbered by proprietary restrictions.</li> <li>o Leverage M&amp;S capabilities across DoD, other government agencies, Intern</li> <li>Goal Three. Management processes for models, simulations, and data that:</li> <li>o Enable M&amp;S users and developers to easily discover and share M&amp;S capal</li> <li>o Facilitate the cost-effective and efficient development and use of M&amp;S syste</li> <li>o Include practical validation, verification, and accreditation guidelines that validation</li> <li>o Support the full range of DoD interests.</li> <li>o Provide timely and credible results.</li> <li>o Make capabilities, limitations, and assumptions easily visible.</li> <li>o Are useable across communities.</li> </ul>	ational partners, industry, and academia. bilities and provide incentives for their use. ems and capabilities. ary by application area. at:			
			EV 004 4	51/ 00/5
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)           Title:         DoD Modeling and Simulation Management Office		<b>FY 2013</b> 31.728	FY 2014 30.338	FY 2015 3.000
<b>Description:</b> The DoD Modeling and Simulation Management Office, as the f is responsible for maintaining and enhancing policies, standards, technology, effectiveness of the M&S that support the full range and scope of Department	and collaboration ensuring the efficiency and		30.330	3.000
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> Development Activities – MSMO:				

PE 0603832D8Z: *DoD Modeling and Simulation Management Office* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Sec	cretary Of Defense	Date:	March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603832D8Z <i>I DoD Modeling and</i> <i>Simulation Management Office</i>		ect (Number/Name) I DoD Modeling and Simulation agement Office				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015			
<ul> <li>Completed approval processes for two standards developed unde simulation events: the Institute for Electrical and Electronics Engine Standard, and Simulation Interoperability Standards Organization (</li> <li>Initiated projects in the areas of the priority objectives developed be o Conceptual Modeling Research and Development.</li> <li>M&amp;S Training and Education.</li> <li>M&amp;S Policy Review including Verification, Validation, and Accredi o Common standards and interfaces to encourage reuse.</li> <li>M&amp;S Reuse.</li> <li>M&amp;S Metadata to support resource discovery.</li> <li>Cyber M&amp;S.</li> <li>Completed M&amp;S projects or activities for the:</li> <li>Orregular Warfare High Level Task (HLT) including Datacard Trans Baseline.</li> <li>Cyber Operations Research and Network Analysis (CORONA) HL Management Center.</li> <li>Rapid Data Generation (RDG) High Level Task through Initial Ope consistent, authoritative data sources for Order of Battle informatior additional classification levels.</li> <li>Phase one of Integrated Threat Systems M&amp;S (ITSMS) as a part of Project Management Tool, a needs and solutions management to - Initiated M&amp;S Catalog platform transition to improve user access a - Continued M&amp;S projects or activities for:</li> <li>Developing Enterprise System Engineering M&amp;S Data requireme o Developing the Common Data Production Environment (CDPE) bigeospatial data discovery using the DoD M&amp;S Discovery Metadata developmental of M&amp;S logistics data, and by beginning developmer o Leading the DoD M&amp;S Community of Interest (COI) Discovery Metadata developmental of M&amp;S logistics data, and by beginning developmer o Coordinating the use of Environmental Data Cube Support System os Coordinating the use of Environmental Data Cube Support System os Coordinating the use of Environmental Data Cube Support System os Coordinating the use of Environmental Data Cube Support System os Coordinating the use of Environmental Data Cube Support System os Coordinating the use of Environmental Data Cube Support System os Coordina</li></ul>	ers (IEEE) 1730.1 Distributed Multi Architecture Overlay SISO) Federation Agreements Template Standard. by the DoD M&S Steering Committee tation. sition and development of a Joint Irregular Warfare Analy _T and transitioned the product to the Test Resource erating Capability Phase; RDG will allow simulations to u h. Follow-on phases will address additional types of data of the Integrated Threat Analysis Simulation Environmer to address integrated threat representation. bol for the DoD. and support. ents, architecture, and standards for M&S Data. by finalizing development of enhanced and correlated Specification standard, by continuing incremental ntal planning activities for M&S Command & Control data ata Specification (MSC-DMS) for M&S data and publishe data specification.	ytic use and nt					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603832D8Z <i>I DoD Modeling and</i> <i>Simulation Management Office</i>	P476 / Do	ect (Number/Name) I DoD Modeling and Simulation agement Office		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F۱	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Sustainment Activities – MSMO continued:</li> <li>Ensuring existing standards continue to meet user needs through collaborat</li> <li>Testing compliance to High Level Architecture (HLA) standard for simulation</li> <li>Refining and populating the DoD Enterprise M&amp;S catalog making authoritati and useable.</li> <li>Transitioning the authoritative DoD M&amp;S Glossary issuance to online status collaboration across the DoD M&amp;S Enterprise.</li> <li>Maintaining the Modeling and Simulation Coordination Office website for protools.</li> </ul>	ns supporting joint warfighting. ve tools and data more widely accessible for standardization of terminology and increase				
Management/Coordination Activities: - MSMO continued: o Advising and assisting the USD(AT&L) on M&S. o Managing working groups providing technical advice and assistance to the o Serving as the DoD Lead Standardization Activity (LSA) for managing M&S interoperability and reuse of M&S within the DoD, other U.S. government age o Managing the development of a core technology program to maintain and s term success of the DoD M&S Enterprise. o Serving as the DoD modeling and simulation focal point for M&S activities a including the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), the Department of En Federal Emergency management Agency (FEMA) and the National Aeronaut o Serving as the DoD modeling and simulation focal point for M&S activities a including NATO, Partnership for Peace (PfP) nations, The Technical Coopera o Serving as the DoD modeling and simulation focal point and advocate for M o Coordinating quarterly program management reviews for tasks sponsored to o Coordinating with the Simulation Interoperability Standards Organization (S M&S standards supporting interoperability. o Engaging Modeling & Simulation Community of Interest (M&S COI) activities into the DoD Wide Net Centric Data Strategy. o Managing the M&S Community of Interest (COI) and subordinate Data Man Management Group activities to address M&S data technical challenges. - Initiated the WHS-required update to DoDI 5000.70. - Transitioned capabilities formerly assigned to DTIC's Modeling and Simulatif Cyber Security and Information Systems Information Analysis Center (CSIAC	e standards and methodologies to improve the encies, and international M&S communities. Sustain M&S tools, data, and services vital to the and collaboration with non-DoD, federal agencies ergy (DOE), the Department of Justice (DOJ), tics and Space Administration (NASA). and collaboration with International agencies ation Program (TTCP), and other Allies. M&S activities and for collaboration within the Do by this PE. ISO) for governance and development / voting es for integrating M&S Enterprise Data requirem magement Working Group (DMWG) and Archited fon Information Analysis Center (MSIAC) to DTI	ents			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Sec		Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603832D8Z <i>I DoD Modeling and</i> <i>Simulation Management Office</i>	P476	<b>ct (Number/l</b> I DoD Model gement Offic	ing and Simu	lation
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
- Provided functional oversight and technical direction to M&S portio	n of CSIAC.				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> The focus for FY 2014 is on ensuring technical expertise and support enhancing the effectiveness of our M&S expenditures through developed and by enhancing reuse and interoperability of individual M&S tools.	lopment of new common capabilities in an enterprise fas				
Development Activities – MSMO is continuing: o Developing Enterprise System Engineering M&S Data requirement o Developing the Common Data Production Environment (CDPE) by geospatial data discovery using the DoD M&S Discovery Metadata S developmental of M&S logistics data, and by beginning development o Providing users with rapid discovery of classified Order of Battle d developed within and by the DoD. o Leading the DoD M&S Enabling Cyber Workshops. o Updating the M&S Community of Interest (COI) Discovery Metadat data. o Coordinating the use of the Environmental Data Cube Support Sys Cooperation Program (TTCP) experiments. o Supporting the development of new approaches to using M&S. o Facilitating the identification of mission and engagement level weat Integrated Threat Systems Modeling and Simulation (ITSMS).	y finalizing development of enhanced and correlated Specification standard, by continuing incremental ital planning activities for M&S Command & Control data ata and the most advanced and enhanced terrain data ita Specification (MSC-DMS) metadata specification for stem EDCSS in DoD exercises and The Technical				
Sustainment Activities – MSMO is continuing: o Ensuring existing standards continue to meet user needs through o Testing HLA Run-Time Interfaces for compliance with standards. o Refining and populating the DoD Enterprise M&S catalog making a useable. o Maintaining the online DoD M&S Glossary for standardization of te Enterprise. o Making M&S information publically available through the Modeling	authoritative tools and data more widely accessible and erminology and increased collaboration across the DoD				
Management/Coordination Activities – MSMO is continuing:					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	tary Of Defense Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603832D8Z <i>I DoD Modeling and</i> <i>Simulation Management Office</i>	<b>Project (N</b> P476 / Dol Manageme	Modeli	ng and Simul	ation
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>o Serving as the DoD Lead Standardization Activity (LSA) for managing M&amp;S s interoperability and reuse of M&amp;S within the DoD, other U.S. government agen o Serving as the DoD M&amp;S focal point and advocate for M&amp;S activities and for o Advising and assisting the USD(AT&amp;L) on M&amp;S. Coordinating working group the DoD M&amp;S Senior Steering Committee.</li> <li>o Performing knowledge management and dissemination of DoD modeling and enable efficient use of M&amp;S.</li> <li>o Managing the development of a technical core program to maintain and sustaterm success of the DoD M&amp;S Enterprise.</li> <li>o Providing M&amp;S functional oversight and M&amp;S technical direction to DTIC's Cy o Analysis Center (CSIAC).</li> <li>o Coordinating with the Simulation Interoperability Standards Organization (SIS M&amp;S standards supporting interoperability.</li> <li>o Collaborating with non-DoD, federal agencies including the Department of He (DOE), the Department of Justice (DOJ), and the National Aeronautics and Spio Serving as the DoD modeling and simulation focal point for M&amp;S activities and including NATO, Partnership for Peace (PfP) nations, The Technical Cooperation o Coordinating the Modeling &amp; Simulation Community of Interest (M&amp;S COI) activities to addree of the Magnetic Community of Interest (M&amp;S COI) activities to addree other the Magnetic Community of Interest (M&amp;S COI) activities to addree other the Magnetic Community of Interest (M&amp;S COI) activities to addree other the Magnetic Community of Interest (M&amp;S COI) activities to addree other the Magnetic Community of Interest (M&amp;S COI) activities to addree other to Community of Interest (M&amp;S COI) activities to addree other to Magnetic Community of Interest (M&amp;S COI) activities to addree other to Magnetic Community of Interest (M&amp;S COI) activities to addree other to Magnetic Community of Interest (M&amp;S COI) activities to addree other to Magnetic Community of Interest (M&amp;S COI) activities to addree other to Magnetic Community of Interest (Magnetic Community of Magnetic Community of Magnetic</li></ul>	cies, and international M&S communities. collaboration within the DoD. os for providing technical advice and assistanc d simulation capabilities and best practices to ain M&S tools, data, and services vital to the lo yber Security and Information Systems Informa SO) for governance and development / voting of meland Security (DHS), the Department of En ace Administration (NASA). nd collaboration with International agencies ion Program (TTCP), and other Allies. ctivities.	ong ation of			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> In FY 2015, MSMO will focus on modeling and simulation technical advocacy a MSMO provided direct R&D project funds, e.g. High Level Tasks (HLTs), to OS organizations to respond to identified challenges. Starting in FY 2015, R&D pr and Agency challenges will be funded within those community organization prowill have been completed and transitioned to these community organizations. revised budget for MSMO to (1) conduct management and technical support for needs; (2) study opportunities to leverage relevant DoD Information Technolog and Academia-developed M&S technologies; and (3) continue to advocate an maintaining strong engagement and ties with DoD and external community states MSMO will: o Continue to develop, enhance, and advocate the M&S enterprise suite of too adoption/application of M&S, including the M&S Catalog and M&SCO Website	SD, Military Department and Agency communit oject support to identified OSD, Military Depart ogram elements. In FY 2015, all prior R&D pro The requested FY 2015 budget reflects the or the Department's current and long-term M&S (IT) enterprise capabilities and DoD, Industrient enterprise approach for the future of DoD M&S keholders.	y tment jects S y,			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	ecretary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603832D8Z / DoD Modeling and Simulation Management Office	P476 I D	(Number/N oD Modelii ment Office	ing and Simulation			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<ul> <li>o Research and update AT&amp;L-promulgated M&amp;S Issuances and ter 5000.61 verification, validation, and accreditation(VV&amp;A), DoD M&amp;S o Serve as the focal point for DoD M&amp;S technical collaboration:</li> <li>Chair M&amp;S Community of Interest (COI); oversee/advise efforts of Services, Architecture, VV&amp;A.</li> <li>Exploit R&amp;D opportunities to leverage ongoing Department- and I Environment (JIE) and the National Information Exchange Model (No Serve as the Lead Standardization Activity for M&amp;S Standards &amp; o Represent AT&amp;L in Joint Enterprise Steering Committee (JESC)</li> <li>Chair M&amp;S Technical Working Group</li> <li>o Represent the U.S. interests in International M&amp;S activities:</li> <li>Chair TTCP Technical Panel 2 (M&amp;S)</li> <li>US Principal Voting Member for NATO M&amp;S Group</li> <li>o Develop M&amp;S technical direction and provide functional oversight Analysis Center (CSIAC).</li> </ul>	S Glossary, and VV&A Recommended Practices Guides, of subordinate M&S COI Working Groups (WGs): Cyber, I Federal-level IT and M&S capabilities, such as Joint Inform NIEM). Methodologies (LSA – MSSM). (IT & Intelligence Community standards) activities. erability Standards Organization (SISO) activities	Data, mation					
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	ototals	31.728	30.338	3.00		
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy N/A E. Performance Metrics Performance in this program is monitored in the following ways: - Number of instances where M&S standards, technical best practi - Number of M&S resources (tools, data, and services) made visibl according to DoD discovery metadata standards. - Number of users accessing and completing DoD-sponsored train	le or updated in the DoD M&S Enterprise Catalog for reus	e and the	completen	ess of each r	ecord		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	ustification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	Defense					Date: Mar	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3					PE 060383	am Elemen 32D8Z / Dol Manageme	D Modeling			umber/Nar ects Chain /	<b>ne)</b> Analyses Ce	ell
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P477: Effects Chain Analyses Cell	-	6.153	4.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wi	II be submit	ted at a late	r date.									
A. Mission Description and Bud	dget Item J	ustification	l									
plan, and implementing the plan. red (potential adversary) capabili specific questions such as: can US systems? This office works of In FY 2015, this project will be m	ities focused an existing closely with oved to PE	d on identify system be le the Joint Sta 0603289 er	ing the mos everaged ar aff, the Milit ntitled "Adva	t promising nd/or can a ary Departr	g technologi group of ca ments, Com	es for applic pabilities be batant Corr	cation to A2 e combined imands, and	AD probler	ns. The an ways to imp rernment en	alyses will a prove the ov tities.	address acq verall effecti	uisition veness of
B. Accomplishments/Planned F	• •	\$ in Millions	<u>s)</u>						FY		FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Effects Chain Analyses Ce	I									6.153	4.000	-
<b>Description:</b> The A2/AD effects of technical analyses supporting de focus on PACOM and include ST Secretary of Defense (AT&L).	cisions on v	veapon syst	em and ope	erational ca	pability deve	elopment.	The analyse	s will initial				
FY 2013 Accomplishments: • Initiated alternative concepts for approaches to assess capability i • Identified promising concepts for • Analyzed sensor options and co • Built threat models at all-source • Developed an end to end engage models • Developed and refined required • Developed, evaluated and refined interest	improvemer or detailed a ost effective level for lan gement mod adversary	nts. nalysis of ef architecture nd based de lel complete threat mode	fects. es for land b fense analy with high fi	ased defer vsis. delity weap	nse. oon system,	fire control	sensor, and	detailed th	nreat			

PE 0603832D8Z: *DoD Modeling and Simulation Management Office* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603832D8Z <i>I DoD Modeling and</i> <i>Simulation Management Office</i>				Cell
		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
jurations, autopilot designs, guidance, midcourse and termi rsus weapon laydown options	inal			
e for high priority threats , sensor configurations, autopilot designs, guidance, midco es including modeling and integration of a new class of thre on laydown options.	ourse			
	ototals	6.153	4.000	
	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)         PE 0603832D8Z I DoD Modeling and         Simulation Management Office    urations, autopilot designs, guidance, midcourse and term rsus weapon laydown options ployment scenarios. concepts. nplete with finer tuned detailed threat models clude a new class of threats Design, prototype and evaluate e for high priority threats , sensor configurations, autopilot designs, guidance, midcourse es including modeling and integration of a new class of threats on laydown options. est probability of kill against threats	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)       Projec         PE 0603832D8Z I DoD Modeling and       P477 I         Simulation Management Office       P477 I         purations, autopilot designs, guidance, midcourse and terminal       rsus weapon laydown options         ployment scenarios.       concepts.         nplete with finer tuned detailed threat models       clude a new class of threats Design, prototype and evaluate         e for high priority threats       , sensor configurations, autopilot designs, guidance, midcourse         es including modeling and integration of a new class of threats         on laydown options.	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603832D8Z / DoD Modeling and Simulation Management Office       Project (Number/N P477 / Effects Chainstein         urations, autopilot designs, guidance, midcourse and terminal       FY 2013         urations, autopilot designs, guidance, midcourse and terminal       FY 2013         rsus weapon laydown options       ployment scenarios.         ployment scenarios.       concepts.         nplete with finer tuned detailed threat models       clude a new class of threats Design, prototype and evaluate         e for high priority threats       sensor configurations, autopilot designs, guidance, midcourse         es including modeling and integration of a new class of threats       est probability of kill against threats	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603832D8Z I DoD Modeling and Simulation Management Office       Project (Number/Name) P477 I Effects Chain Analyses C         urations, autopilot designs, guidance, midcourse and terminal       FY 2013       FY 2014         urations, autopilot designs, guidance, midcourse and terminal       rsus weapon laydown options       FY 2013       FY 2014         ployment scenarios. concepts. nplete with finer tuned detailed threat models clude a new class of threats Design, prototype and evaluate e for high priority threats sensor configurations, autopilot designs, guidance, midcourse       sensor configurations, autopilot designs, guidance, midcourse         es including modeling and integration of a new class of threats on laydown options.       set probability of kill against threats       set probability of kill against threats

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te Advanced Technology Developme		ation, Defen	se-Wide I B	A 3:	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> 3:PE 0603941D8Z I Test and Evaluation/Science and Technology							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	96.622	84.112	83.255	81.148	-	81.148	83.117	86.327	91.156	98.832	Continuing	Continuing
1: High Speed Systems Test	23.016	12.615	18.953	21.690	-	21.690	27.070	20.978	14.889	16.370	Continuing	Continuing
2: Spectrum Efficient Technology	9.742	8.315	7.055	7.441	-	7.441	7.222	7.637	9.020	9.649	Continuing	Continuing
3: Electronic Warfare Test	19.127	18.827	15.569	8.172	-	8.172	9.971	12.573	15.105	16.564	Continuing	Continuing
4: Advanced Instrumentation Systems Technology	10.025	8.570	10.036	11.610	-	11.610	10.066	9.779	11.530	13.704	Continuing	Continuing
5: Directed Energy Test	11.235	11.284	7.252	5.786	-	5.786	4.844	6.430	7.713	8.002	Continuing	Continuing
6: Netcentric Systems Test	20.072	16.590	14.518	16.658	-	16.658	12.931	9.834	10.756	10.344	Continuing	Continuing
7: Unmanned and Autonomous System Test	3.159	5.273	5.918	5.024	-	5.024	4.621	9.155	10.636	11.252	Continuing	Continuing
8: Cyberspace Test	0.246	2.638	3.954	4.767	-	4.767	6.392	9.941	11.507	12.947	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Test and Evaluation/Science and Technology (T&E/S&T) Program seeks out and develops test technologies to stay in pace with evolving weapons technologies. This program is critical to ensure that the Department of Defense (DoD) has the ability to adequately test the advanced systems that will be fielded in the future. To meet this objective, the T&E/S&T Program performs the following activities:

• Exploits new technologies and processes to meet important test and evaluation (T&E) requirements.

• Expedites the transition of new technologies from the laboratory environment to the T&E community.

• Leverages industry advances in equipment, modeling and simulation, and networking to support T&E.

Additionally, the T&E/S&T Program examines emerging T&E requirements resulting from Joint Service initiatives to identify T&E technology needs and to develop a long-range roadmap for technology insertion. The program leverages and employs applicable applied research efforts from the highly developed technology base in DoD laboratories and test centers, other government agencies, industry, and academia to accelerate development of new test capabilities. This program provides travel funds for T&E/S&T program oversight, special studies, analyses, and strategic planning related to test capabilities and infrastructure.

The DoD established seven strategic science and technology (S&T) investment priorities: 1) Data to Decisions, 2) Engineered Resilient Systems, 3) Cyber Science and Technology, 4) Electronic Warfare/ Electronic Protection, 5) Counter Weapons of Mass Destruction, 6) Autonomy, and 7) Human Systems. The T&E/S&T Program has been aligned and prioritized to prepare the T&E community to test warfighting capabilities that emerge from these S&T priority investments.

The T&E/S&T Program is funded within the Advanced Technology Development Budget Activity because it develops and demonstrates high payoff technologies for current and future DoD test capabilities.

xhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 C	Date:	Date: March 2014					
<b>ppropriation/Budget Activity</b> 400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-N dvanced Technology Development (ATD)	<i>Vide I</i> BA 3:	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603941D8Z <i>I Test and Evaluation/Science and Technology</i>					
8. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total		
Previous President's Budget	92.602	92.508	94.264	-	94.264		
Current President's Budget	84.112	83.255	81.148	-	81.148		
Total Adjustments	-8.490	-9.253	-13.116	-	-13.116		
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-0.053					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-7.606	-9.200					
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-					
Congressional Adds	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-					
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	1.164	-					
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-2.048	-					
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Adjustment</li> </ul>	-	-	-13.116	-	-13.116		

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

• Strategic efficiency reductions in management headquarters funding and staffing for better alignment and to provide support to a smaller military force.

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	get Activity       R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)       Project (Number/Name)         PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/       1 / High Speed Systems         Science and Technology       1 / High Speed Systems											
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
1: High Speed Systems Test	23.016	12.615	18.953	21.690	-	21.690	27.070	20.978	14.889	16.370	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

High-speed/hypersonic weapons are being developed to ensure the continued military superiority and strike capability of the United States including freedom of movement and freedom of action in areas protected by anti-access/area denial defenses. Current weapon system demonstrations and technology development programs include high-speed and hypersonic air-breathing missiles, maneuvering reentry and boost-glide weapons, hypersonic gun-launched projectiles, and air-breathing space access vehicles. These systems require development of conventional and high-speed turbine, ramjet, scramjet, and combined cycle engines; high temperature materials; thermal protection systems (TPS); and thermal management systems.

The High Speed Systems Test (HSST) project addresses test technology needs including propulsion, aerodynamic and aerothermal testing, so the test community has the technology to support the required test scenarios for concepts under development in the science and technology (S&T) community. The technology developments within the HSST project align with the Department of Defense (DoD) S&T priority investments. As such, the HSST project is developing, validating and transitioning advanced test and evaluation (T&E) technologies for ground test, open-air range flight test, and advanced computational tools, along with instrumentation and diagnostics systems for use in both ground tests and flight tests of high speed systems.

The HSST project develops technologies to enable robust, accurate, and timely T&E of these future weapon systems. DoD acquisition regulations require weapon systems to undergo a thorough T&E process to detect deficiencies early and to ensure system suitability and survivability. However, the extreme environments in which these weapons operate preclude accurate determination of their performance and operability with today's T&E assets. Current national test capabilities have deficiencies in data accuracy, flight condition replication and simulation, test methods, productivity, modeling and simulation (M&S) fidelity, and range safety. The HSST mission is to address these national test capability gaps by providing test technology solutions that will enable high-speed and hypersonic weapon systems to

be successfully developed through accurate, robust, and efficient T&E.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: High Speed Systems Test	12.615	18.953	21.690
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> The HSST project continued to advance ground and flight test technologies, techniques, instrumentation and M&S capabilities required for the development of high speed air-breathing propulsion and boost/glide weapons. Important progress was made toward addressing the two most significant technology shortfalls in current hypersonic aero propulsion ground test capabilities: clean air heat addition (i.e. non-vitiated air) and variable Mach number capability. Current production ground test facilities could only create the high temperature inlet conditions necessary for scramjet engine tests by burning fuel in the airflow prior to entering the engine. As demonstrated by HSST FY 2011 tests, the resulting "vitiated air" had different gas properties than clean air and was not representative of what the vehicle would experience during flight. This significantly affected the engine's performance and operability in the test environment resulting in erroneous flight performance			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S		Date: March 2014				
propriation/Budget Activity       R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)       Project (Number/Name)         0 / 3       PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/       1 / High Speed Systems Test         Science and Technology       2 / State       2 / State						
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY	2013 I	FY 2014	FY 2015	
predictions. Variable Mach number capability is required to "fly th throughout the flight envelope. Incorporation of component techni initiated into a small-scale, clean air, true temperature, variable M facility will demonstrate component technologies have reached Te asset to the DoD, and reduce risk for construction of a full-scale fa facility development including the completed installation of the clea- instrumentation and controls required for facility operation. Design design of the air delivery system and preliminary design of a varia incorporation of advanced morphing ceramic materials into the de- a variable Mach number capability and variable inlet distortion pat- reached critical design and promises to provide a significant adva a "first-ever" realistic variable Mach flight distortion simulation test Ground test accomplishments included continued progress in dete- methodologies to evaluate and develop large-scale hypersonic pro- benchmark freejet test series utilizing an advanced hydrocarbon fr and smaller facilities will allow the optimized utilization of existing of investments needed for future large-scale scramjet vehicle devel- hypersonic community's first rigorous assessment of data uncerta Standard on measurement uncertainty, which will serve as a prec- Another task was initiated to examine the unique and extensive se so that it can be utilized in the development of state-of-the-art tect The HSST project initiated an effort to address critical arc heater to the service lives of the electrodes and improve nozzle flow quality were completed involving magnetic field and arc column interaction advanced designs and allow for time/memory efficient parallel cor The autonomous flight safety technology was matured to TRL 6 a certification onboard two Operationally Responsive Space Office f successful development and programing of advanced parameter i X-51 flight. Subsequent analysis of these optimized test manuver and control data per flight than is possible using traditional method development systems.	ologies, previously developed by the T&E/S&T program, we ach number 5-8 aero propulsion test facility. Completion of echnology Readiness Level (TRL) 6, provide an on-going a acility. Significant progress was made this year in Phase I an air regenerative storage heater, associated support system efforts for subsequent phases progressed including the ble Mach number nozzle. Another FY2013 effort examined sign of common facility nozzle and ducting hardware to are terms representative of flight-like inlet systems. This capa intage over current rigid, stationary facility hardware by proceed over current rigid, stationary facility hardware by proceed over current rigid, stationary facility hardware by proceed missile scale scramjet in a larger facility, the semi-fracility. The resulting analysis comparing tests between the facilities. In addition this effort will help define the size an elopment and reduction of flight test and acquisition risks. inty was completed on the freejet test series per the US Nedent for future high-speed propulsion system development and technologies and flow quality gaps; this development will i . Improved computational and numerical simulation mode ons with the air flow in the heater necessary to investigate nputing of the simulations. Ind prototype units were designed and delivered for servic flight tests. Another flight test technology effort completed of the simulations. Ind prototype units were designed and delivered for servic flight tests. Another flight test technology effort completed of the simulations. Ind prototype units were designed and delivered for servic flight tests. Another flight test and costs for future ability to collect substantially more s ds, thus reducing the number of flight tests and costs for future and the ability to collect substantially more s ds, thus reducing the number of flight tests and costs for future flight test instrumentation. The initial phase was completed on the flight test and costs for future flight test instrumentation.	vere of this test of the stems, critical ed the chieve bility oviding ctivity. If the eejet e larger d type The lational ent. ram esting. mprove els e				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secr	Date: March 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3		Project (Number/Name) 1 / High Speed Systems Test				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
temperature, pressure, wind speed/direction, O2 content) along a hyp feet. This initial phase demonstrated the ability to measure these cor in Mauna Loa, Hawaii at altitudes representative of hypersonic vehicle advancement over current technologies, improving the accuracy of de needed for assessing the performance and operability of air-breathing A project was initiated to develop a force balance system with high st in hypervelocity flows with test times of 1-2 milliseconds. This will suf measurements that are required to evaluate and improve models of a system to measure gas properties in high speed flows was constructed This system, which significantly lowers gas property measurement ur a DoD research laboratory. A miniaturized, temperature-compensate was constructed and transitioned. Design, fabrication and demonstra optical mass flow measurement system were completed. Testing of a stress sensor were also successfully completed. Advances were achieved in the development of a state-of-the-art valid dimensional boundary layer transition code and hypersonic nozzle CH developed and transitioned to the hypersonic community for beta test demonstrating that a fully three-dimensional flow boundary layer stab tool needed to evaluate and predict boundary layer instability and tran development by the DoD. Computed tomography methods for optical two-dimensional spatial maps of exhaust gas properties from multi-lin (TDLAS) measurements for verifying computational fluid dynamics (C efficiency for turbine and scramjet engines. This capability will greath miniature, robust TDLAS gas diagnostic sensor systems now routinel <b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> New test technology efforts will be initiated addressing: test technology	Inditions using the LIDAR system at the GroundWinds fall le flight trajectories. This capability will be a significant etermining atmospheric conditions at high altitudes g missiles and boost-glide vehicles during developmen- tiffness and frequency response to make measurement bstantially increase the accuracy of high Mach force air chemistry in design and prediction codes. An advance ed utilizing lasers operating in the mid-infrared spectrum neertainty, was transitioned to a DoD ground test cente ed wind tunnel balance for supersonic store separation ation of a non-intrusive laser hygrometer and a non-intr a fiber optic heat flux gauge and a high temperature sh idated computational M&S tools. An advanced three- haracteristics Based Grid Generation code have been ting. Recent wind tunnel and flight tests have provided bility and transition prediction code is an essential engin nsition on maneuverable boost-glide vehicles currently absorption measurements were completed; these creater the-of-sight Tunable Diode Laser Absorption Spectrosco CFD) code predictions and for determining combustion y increase the diagnostic value of measurements from ly used for engine ground and flight testing	acility t. s ced n. r and testing usive ear data eering under ate py				
propulsion system performance and operability from subscale tests; t characterization; further development of M&S codes for accurate pred transfer in high-speed flow; new and more accurate instrumentation s meet other needs such as gas turbine engines, and electromagnetic The clean-air, variable Mach number demonstration facility will contin technologies to provide uniform flow with variable pressure and temp	diction of flow fields, boundary layer transition, and hea systems; and application of advanced test technologies rail guns. nue to develop and demonstrate air delivery system	t to				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology	Projec 1 / Hig			
<b>B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)</b> to Mach 8 conditions. The project activities will include completion of the Phase regenerative storage heater technology. Scramjet ground tests in semi-free jet, and direct connect test modes will be co to quantify their respective accuracies and identify optimal test methods for large effects data will be collected to increase the high speed systems community's k Ceramic morphing components suitable for missile-scale high speed ground te maintain well-conditioned flow while varying the flight Mach number and inlet di Improved arc jet facility spin coil designs will be advanced enabling improved T vehicles.	ncluded and compared to free-jet test results ger, next generation scramjet engines. Vitiatio knowledge base. st facilities will be designed and fabricated to istortion levels. &E of maneuvering reentry and boost/glide	n	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
The ground based LIDAR atmospheric sensing system will begin conversion in programs at multiple flight ranges. Verification and improvement of CFD codes will continue, making use of the un engines tests described above. A boundary layer transition prediction tool for 2 enhanced allowing for application to complex, 3-dimensional boost-glide vehicle	ique data sets obtained from the HSST scram 2-dimensional and axisymmetric bodies will be	ijet			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> FY 2015 will see continued efforts to improve hypersonic ground and flight test programs. Efforts will include demonstration of new flight test techniques, imprivalidation and improvement of CFD codes. Progress will continue toward final integration and operation of the clean-air, valincluding completion of the variable Mach number nozzle design and preparation vary stagnation pressure, temperature and Mach number from 4.5M-7.5M. Design, manufacture, and delivery of a full scale ceramic morphing device for u completed.	ovements in instrumentation, and continued ariable Mach number aeropropulsion facility, ons to demonstrate the capability to simultane				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals	12.615	18.953	21.690
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy N/A					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secr	retary Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology	Project (Number/Name) 1 I High Speed Systems Test
E. Performance Metrics		
Percentage of T&E/S&T projects progressing satisfactorily toward tec	chnical, financial, schedule, and risk mitigation goals.	
E 0603941D8Z: <i>Test and Evaluation/Science and Technology</i> Iffice of Secretary Of Defense	UNCLASSIFIED Page 7 of 28 R-1 Line	#71 Volume 3 -

Page 7 of 28

Office of Secretary Of Defense

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense							Date: March 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3					PE 060394	<b>am Elemen</b> 1D8Z / Tes nd Technolo	t and Evalu	,	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 2 I Spectrum Efficient Technology			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
2: Spectrum Efficient Technology	9.742	8.315	7.055	7.441	-	7.441	7.222	7.637	9.020	9.649	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Weapon systems have become increasingly complex in recent years, resulting in the need for significantly more data to be passed among these systems, and between the systems and our test infrastructure. A vast amount of data must be collected, transmitted, and analyzed, which requires a large amount of radio frequency (RF) spectrum resources. However, the amount of RF spectrum designated to support test and evaluation (T&E) is decreasing, most notably due to reallocation of spectrum for commercial use. The combination of decreasing RF spectrum and increasing data requirements results in an urgent need to create test technologies that maximize the use of spectrum resources for Department of Defense (DoD) T&E operations.

The L and S frequency bands are the traditional spectrum allotted for military use. The explosive need for spectrum in the commercial sector has resulted in reallocation of portions of these bands to industry. To compensate, DoD is now authorized to use the C-Band spectrum which offers numerous benefits, including a three-fold increase in available bandwidth, but C-Band comes with technical challenges. Most notably, our current test infrastructure for telemetry is not designed to accommodate C-Band. Technologies are required to implement innovative techniques that efficiently facilitate our use of C-Band without a major overhaul to our national test infrastructure. For instance, commercial telemetry transmitters operate in C-Band but do not have the form factor (size and weight) or rugged packaging to survive airborne test applications.

Traditional telemetry applications employ streaming telemetry where data is moved one-way from the instrumented system under test to our test infrastructure. Modern network based telemetry capabilities, like those being developed by the Central Test and Evaluation Investment Program (CTEIP) integrated Network Enhanced Telemetry (iNET) effort, enable more robust, efficient bidirectional transfer of data. DoD's strategy is to create technologies for streaming telemetry capability in C-Band while opening up legacy L- and S-Bands for networked telemetry.

The Spectrum Efficient Technology (SET) project is developing test technologies that enable more efficient use of legacy telemetry bands and expansion into nontraditional areas of the RF and optical spectra at DoD test ranges. The technology development efforts within the SET project have been prioritized to align with Department of Defense guidance on science and technology priority investments. As such, the SET project is focusing on growing data requirements of warfighting systems and the limited availability of spectrum for testing. The SET project is structured to develop test technologies to advance range communications, networked telemetry capabilities, and enhanced management of spectrum at DoD test ranges.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Spectrum Efficient Technology	8.315	7.055	7.441
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> The SET project developed technologies to meet networked telemetry requirements and performed risk reduction for CTEIP telemetry improvement projects including a networked data recorder to provide risk reduction in support of the CTEIP iNET development. Technology enabling the dynamic reconfiguration of transmitted test data over a telemetry network was further matured. Technology to improve the efficiency of a telemetry network utilizing the advanced Shaped Offset Quadrature Phase			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of I	Date:	Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology	Project (Numbe 2 / Spectrum Effi	gy			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
Shift Keying (SOQPSK) modulation scheme continued. Development of a mu spectrum employing multiple advanced waveforms continued. The SET project investigated techniques to expand telemetry operations into r multipath effects in multiple range environments, specifically regarding missile continued efforts to develop the required hardware components (antenna, tran Testing of this hardware began to characterize the telemetry link performance spectrum by incorporating both the traditional L/S bands and recently permitte will reduce the technical risk associated with beam steering in the C-Band freq modifications needed to implement a C-Band telemetry capability, and provide footprint weapons, such as long range missiles. The SET project completed the development of a three dimensional channel n channels in various environments. This tool provides higher fidelity simulation environmental, and various other factors on telemetry channels. This software scheme incorporation into the iNET network link manager to address end-to-end syster completed the development of RS spectrum management syster at test ranges. This management system was tested at and transitioned to Na River to aid in test planning and the assignment of RS spectrum resources. The SET project initiated an effort to develop a non-blocking Ethernet switch c technology will serve as the network backbone which will tie all onboard instru SET initiated an effort to improve the performance of a serial streaming telemetry lim marker for the telemetry data. This technology will enable analysis of the data holes in transmitted data. SET initiated an effort to develop a non-blocking Ethernet switch c <i>LS/C-Band</i> transceiver will continue. Technologies to develop at telemetry transce will be matured. Development of a networked telemetry system. The set of the telemetry data. This technology will enable analysis of the data holes in transmitted data. SET initiated an effort to develop a telemetry transce modulation scheme based on telemetry link conditions.	non-traditional spectrum bands by characterizitest missions. Additionally, the SET project smitter) required to conduct a missile test miss of the C-Band spectrum versus the legacy S-I chnology to enable flexible scheduling of the T d C-Band frequencies. Some of these technouencies, reduce the amount of infrastructure over-the-horizon data connectivity to test large nodel tool for modeling and simulation of telem is for use in researching the effects of terrain, transitioned to the Edwards Air Force Flight ect completed the development of a scheme te was transitioned to the CTEIP iNET program n quality of service requirements. The SET p in to more efficiently manage spectrum resource val Air Warfare Center – Aircraft Division, Patra apable of operation on an airborne platform. The set of priority, select which data to transmit we the performance of telemetry data links. Sink in a multipath environment by developing a in the event of a data dropout and permit filline eiver capable of dynamically reconfiguring the forms designed to increase bandwidth efficier fivill be completed, demonstrated, and transit	ng sion. Band &E logies le- hetry to n for roject ces uxent This er. ET sync og in of data				

Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology		у У		
		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
worked telemetry system. quencies will continue to be matured to enable ng and beam steering in the C-Band. The SET port networked telemetry, increase spectrum tems, and support data transmission in both B-Band spectrum for a missile test mission will b etry antenna technology will be transitioned to N tensible, enabling its widespread use across the und as well as in airborne applications. The high ability to leverage spectrum spatial reuse technic o develop schemes to manage and provide accer locks of spectrum in the upper C-band. This po	e Vaval e h iques ess ortion			
in telemetry. Additional efforts on alternate data are successful, these technologies can provide omplete work to mature technologies in optimize	a e			
	totals	8.315	7.055	7.441
inancial schedule and risk mitigation goals				
	PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology king Ethernet switch for airborne platforms will be worked telemetry system. quencies will continue to be matured to enable ng and beam steering in the C-Band. The SET bort networked telemetry, increase spectrum tems, and support data transmission in both B-Band spectrum for a missile test mission will be etry antenna technology will be transitioned to N tensible, enabling its widespread use across the ability to leverage spectrum spatial reuse technic o develop schemes to manage and provide accord locks of spectrum in the upper C-band. This pot tellite and television uplinks in the spectrum bar liternate spectrum in the upper frequency bands in telemetry. Additional efforts on alternate data are successful, these technologies can provide constant.	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)       Project         PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/       2 / Spect         Science and Technology       2 / Spect         king Ethernet switch for airborne platforms will be worked telemetry system.       auencies will continue to be matured to enable ng and beam steering in the C-Band. The SET work networked telemetry, increase spectrum tems, and support data transmission in both         c-Band spectrum for a missile test mission will be etry antenna technology will be transitioned to Naval tensible, enabling its widespread use across the und as well as in airborne applications. The high ability to leverage spectrum spatial reuse techniques to develop schemes to manage and provide access locks of spectrum in the upper C-band. This portion tellite and television uplinks in the spectrum band.         Iternate spectrum in the upper frequency bands. in telemetry. Additional efforts on alternate data are successful, these technologies can provide complete work to mature technologies in optimization bols.         Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603941D8Z I Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology       Project (Number/N 2 I Spectrum Efficie         king Ethernet switch for airborne platforms will be worked telemetry system. quencies will continue to be matured to enable ng and beam steering in the C-Band. The SET out networked telemetry, increase spectrum tems, and support data transmission in both       FY 2013         a-Band spectrum for a missile test mission will be etry antenna technology will be transitioned to Naval tensible, enabling its widespread use across the ability to leverage spectrum spatial reuse techniques to develop schemes to manage and provide access locks of spectrum in the upper C-band. This portion tellite and television uplinks in the spectrum band.         Iternate spectrum in the upper frequency bands. in telemetry. Additional efforts on alternate data are successful, these technologies can provide omplete work to mature technologies in optimization tools.       8.315	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology       Project (Number/Name) 2 / Spectrum Efficient Technology         king Ethernet switch for airborne platforms will be worked telemetry system. quencies will continue to be matured to enable ng and beam steering in the C-Band. The SET ort networked telemetry, increase spectrum tems, and support data transmission in both       FY 2013       FY 2014         -Band spectrum for a missile test mission will be etry antenna technology will be transitioned to Naval ensible, enabling its widespread use across the ability to leverage spectrum spatial reuse techniques to develop schemes to manage and provide access locks of spectrum in the upper C-band. This portion tellite and television uplinks in the spectrum band.       Iternate spectrum in the upper frequency bands. in telemetry. Additional efforts on alternate data are successful, these technologies can provide omplete work to mature technologies in optimization tols.       8.315       7.055

PE 0603941D8Z: *Test and Evaluation/Science and Technology* Office of Secretary Of Defense

UNCLASSIFIED Page 10 of 28

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense							Date: March 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3				- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Project (Number/Name) 3 / Electronic Warfare Test				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
3: Electronic Warfare Test	19.127	18.827	15.569	8.172	-	8.172	9.971	12.573	15.105	16.564	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Readily available, infrared (IR) seeking, man-portable air defense systems (MANPADS) are difficult to detect and pose an imminent and lethal threat to military aircraft of all types. Our ability to counter such threats is essential to owning the airspace in theater. Therefore, the ability to test missile warning systems (MWS), hostile fire indicators, IR countermeasures (IRCM), and advanced threat sensors is critical to our national defense. Additionally, a new generation of enemy radio frequency (RF) missile seekers is both currently fielded and in further development, requiring a correspondingly new generation of test technologies to test the latest countermeasures. The test and evaluation (T&E) community is required to test IRCM and RF countermeasure systems in a repeatable manner with ground-truth data before and after integration into warfighting systems. Without new test technologies, the Department of Defense (DoD) will be unable to perform adequate T&E of advanced warning and countermeasure systems.

The technology development efforts within the EWT project have been prioritized to align with DoD guidance on science and technology priority investments. As such, the EWT project is focusing on the test needs in both the electro-optic (EO), including IR, and the RF threat domains. Additionally, development of core test technologies in this area can be leveraged to meet other EO and RF test requirements, such as in fire control systems, reconnaissance sensors, and missile seeker subsystems.

The EWT project develops test technologies to stimulate IRCM and RF system sensors through the high-fidelity simulation of scenes viewed by the sensors. Stimulation can be as simple as testing to see if a system under test responds to an image or as complex as simulating complex battle space phenomena to measure the response of a system under test in a more relevant, cluttered scenario. Simulations and stimulations are used at open air ranges and in installed system test facilities (ISTF), and in hardware-in-the-loop (HWIL) test beds.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Electronic Warfare Test	18.827	15.569	8.172
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> The EWT risk reduction effort for the Central Test and Evaluation Investment Program (CTEIP) Joint Distributed IRCM Ground-Test System (JDIGS) completed development and testing of a new superlattice light-emitting diode source. This technology provides two-color, high-temperature scenes with a frame rate fast enough to test new IRCM and MWS and is critical to improving DoD test capabilities for directional IR countermeasure (DIRCM) systems. EWT continued to develop a high temperature scene projector using resistive elements, including a method for tiling smaller arrays into a large array up to 2K x 2K pixels. The EWT Project completed development of a fiber optics technology for transmitting a scene to a gimbaled projector. EWT also completed testing of a laser radar scene projection technology. These provide the technology to transfer high power laser countermeasure emissions to instrumentation and target boards.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3							
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015			
The EWT project continued development of a hyperspectral imaging proje hyperspectral imaging cameras used for intelligence, surveillance and rece The EWT project continued an effort to develop a breadboard technology countermeasures (ECCM) radar signal processing techniques that employ adaptive filtering. This test technology development addresses a need, w Service Electronic Warfare Test Capabilities Study. The technology will in threats. Moreover, EWT continued efforts to develop a surrogate missile te The EWT Project initiated a new effort for tracking of multiple projectiles for EWT also initiated a new effort to develop an adaptable Digital RF Memor targets.	onnaissance. to produce high-fidelity electronic counter- y sophisticated waveforms with algorithms, such as hich is identified by the Navy-led, CTEIP-sponsored aprove testing against modern surface-to-air missile echnology for testing of missile warning sensors. In testing of hostile fire indicator sensors and systems						
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Risk reduction activities for CTEIP in testing MWS in integrated ISTF and concentrate on addressing new test technology needs identified in the upor Roadmap. Furthermore, EWT technology developments will focus on stim including realistic background clutter. Research will be conducted for testimissiles for testing of MWS and IRCM systems will continue. Efforts using To address the testing of systems operating in the mid-wave IR band, the comprehensive testing of mid-wave IR sensor and seekers by adding clutt technologies. Furthermore, efforts to develop technology to test against E continue.	late to the IRCM Test Resource Requirements nulating synthetic aperture radars with RF injection, ng wide area emitters. Efforts to develop surrogate DRFMs will continue. EWT project will develop technologies to enable er models and scene generators to real-time stimula						
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> The EWT project will invest in new technologies related to improving the e technologies will be identified by the Tri-Service EWT Working Group form in the IRCM Test Resource Requirements Roadmap, the Tri-Service Elect and Seekers Test Requirements Study.	ed in FY 2011, and further address test needs ident						
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subto	tals 18.827	15.569	8.172			
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u>							

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 (	Office of Secretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603941D8Z I Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 3 <i>I Electronic Warfare Test</i>
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A		
E. Performance Metrics	rily toward technical, financial, schedule, and risk mitigation goals.	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense							Date: March 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 4 I Advanced Instrumentation Systems Technology				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
4: Advanced Instrumentation Systems Technology	10.025	8.570	10.036	11.610	-	11.610	10.066	9.779	11.530	13.704	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Advanced Instrumentation Systems Technology (AIST) project addresses the test technology gaps resulting from emerging weapon systems that need to be tested at Department of Defense (DoD) open air ranges, undersea ranges, installed systems test facilities, hardware-in-the-loop laboratories, and measurement test facilities. Instrumentation requirements for systems under test are increasing exponentially for new weapons systems. Vehicle on-board and warfighter wearable instrumentation packages are required. This instrumentation is for sensing and collecting critical performance data; determining accurate time, space, position information (TSPI) and attitude information; interfacing with command and control data links; monitoring and reporting system-wide communications; recording human operator performance; and storing and transmitting data.

The technology development efforts within the AIST project have been prioritized to align with DoD guidance on science and technology (S&T) priority investments, particularly in support of human systems, engineered resilient systems, and counter weapons of mass destruction. The AIST project is focused on supporting technology developments for advanced TSPI instrumentation (especially with limited or no use of the Global Positioning System (GPS)), advanced sensors, advanced energy and power systems for instrumentation, non-intrusive instrumentation, mitigating range encroachment issues, and measuring warfighter cognitive performance. The AIST project addresses requirements for miniaturized, non-intrusive instrumentation suites with increased survivability in harsh environments. Such instrumentation is an urgent need because minimal space is available to add instrumentation to new or existing weapon systems subsequent to their development; furthermore, additional weight and power draw for instrumentation can adversely affect weapon system signature and performance. Instrumentation for humans-in-the-loop, such as dismounted soldiers, must not adversely affect soldier performance, induce artificiality in the test environment, nor create operational burden. New technologies can be exploited to integrate small, non-intrusive instrumentation into emerging platforms during design and development, and, in some cases, into existing platforms. This class of instrumentation will provide critical system performance data during test and continuous assessment throughout a system's lifecycle. Technology developed under AIST can also benefit training and combat missions by enabling a continual feedback loop between the developer, training staff, operators and commanders.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Advanced Instrumentation Systems Technology	8.570	10.036	11.610
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> The warfighter must conduct military operations in a diverse array of locations, to include urban, mountainous, and densely forested environments. Consequently, a continued major thrust for FY 2013 included the development of test technologies to support collection of TSPI for warfighter systems (manned or unmanned), particularly in GPS-denied or degraded environments, such as in urban canyons and tunnels. Efforts to test systems that operate in a GPS-denied environment included technology that employs a layered system of sensors leveraging collaborative navigation, existing radio frequency (RF) ranging technology, and a Doppler velocimeter to achieve more precise TSPI under GPS-impaired conditions. Preliminary testing in a realistic environment			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of I		Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology			Name) Imentation S	ystems
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
was successfully conducted in both open-air and RF-challenged environments inertial tracking system for dismounted warfighters continued in development; provide sub-meter geolocation over GPS-denied durations of greater than 2 how were conducted; design improvements are ongoing. To support testing of high-speed, high-acceleration systems, an ultra-high dyn performs significantly better than existing test instrumentation. The AIST projecvaluation GPS dependent TSPI activities, conducted analyses and mapped a application-specific integrated circuit (ASIC) design architectures for next gene In support of other instrumentation solutions, an electro-releasable attachment included investigation of new adhesive formulations that employ an electrically to non-conductive, painted exterior surfaces of aircraft and other combat vehic for a test and significantly reduce the time to restore the system under test to in To support Electromagnetic Rail Gun (EMRG) developments, AIST continued to integrate into test projectiles to measure magnetic field strength in the harsh gun testing was successfully conducted at 50,000G levels at the Army Resear support full-up testing of an EMRG shot at the Naval Surface Warfare Center-IT he AIST project developed algorithms and methods for automated detection from ocean floor range sensors (e.g., hydrophones) to improve testing at DoD successfully conducted at undersea ranges and a baseline classifier for 6 mart time, range-wide at the Atlantic Undersea Test and Evaluation Center, Pacific Ofshore Range. This test technology allows the Navy to conduct critical test a impacting marine mammal populations, and to support the Navis Integrated to develop technologies to: (1) measure position velocity, spinning projectiles at accuracies that significantly exceed the system soldier system indoor location (GPS-denied environment) at sub-meter accura provide seamless transition between outdoor and indoor environments to accuracies real efforts were initiated to develop technologies to: (1) measure p	the system employs boot-mounted sensors to burs. System integration and performance tes amics GPS receiver was developed. The rece ext gathered requirements for future test and a way forward with respect to the development eration solutions. It technology development effort continued. The releasing foil patch to allow attachment of ser eles. The goal is to provide immediate attachment to operational configuration. development of a fiber-optic instrumentation so nenvironment of an EMRG test firing. Powere ch Lab's Adelphi site. Preparations are under Dahlgren Division. and classification of marine mammal vocalizat undersea range complexes. Testing has been ine mammal species is currently running real- Range Missile Facility, and the Southern Califi and evaluation (T&E) events without adversely Comprehensive Monitoring Program (ICMP) mmal Protection Act (MMPA). and attitude (six degrees of freedom) of high- under test guidance system; (2) measure sole cices using ambient AM radio broadcast signals arately track systems under test using modified echniques; (4) use passive imaging to characted educe set-up times and data analysis costs of rements and display the warfighter body postu- ed into uniforms and equipment; and (6) asses ical activity and brain blood oxygen levels.	ting eiver of is nsors eent uite d air way to ions n ornia dier/ s; (3) I GPS erize ure,			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense       Date: March 2014								
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology	Project 4 I Adva Technol	/stems					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015			
Efforts continued to assess and leverage microsystems technology under deve Research Projects Agency (DARPA), and government laboratories. These effor modern war fighting systems.								
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Numerous warfighting systems are brought to theater by rapid acquisitions. The conditions, over long distances, for long durations, and often with very small ph adequate energy and power to instrument such systems for testing is a significat Major thrusts for FY 2014 include continuing ongoing efforts in advanced senser assessment under various workloads, and test range encroachment mitigation. technologies for non-intrusive, advanced data acquisition and transformation the development of advanced power sources for test instrumentation. The AIST pr microsystems technology and develop a roadmap for potentially leveraging micranges. The AIST project will complete: the development and testing of classifiers to ide and whales species) found at undersea ranges; the development and testing of electromagnetic rail gun test firings; an attachment technology that does not operational condition; and several efforts for collecting TSPI on dismounted wa degraded environments such as those found in urban and subterranean operational condition.	nysical footprints (i.e. microsystems). Furnishin ant technological challenge. ors, TSPI instrumentation, warfighter cognition . Additionally, AIST will continue to pursue test nat operate on reduced power along with the roject will complete its assessment of emergin crosystems technologies in instrumentation at entify specific sea mammals (e.g., various dolp f magnetic field sensors for the harsh environr require any solvents to restore test articles to urfighters and related systems in GPS-denied of	t g DoD ohins nent						
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> The AIST project will initiate efforts to develop advanced TSPI technologies for and optical, infrared, and/or acoustic techniques. TSPI technologies will be furt denied environments, TSPI on high dynamic systems such as missiles and pro- weapon systems. Advanced sensor initiatives for non-intrusive applications will include multimoda sensors. Sensing applications will include weapon system orientation, body are separation, angle of incidence measurement, and non-destructive radiographic structures. Advanced data transformation initiatives will develop technologies for adaptive compression, wireless on-board data transport and improved data storage dens advanced data management techniques; decreased size, weight, and power; a for non-intrusive applications. AIST will continue to investigate technologies for	ther developed to support: data collection in G jectiles, and TSPI on non-cooperative underse al transducers, and self-registering/self-calibra mor blunt trauma evaluation, air launched stor c defect evaluation for warheads and other wea computing, virtual/synthetic instrumentation, c sity. Other areas of investigation will include and micro-miniaturization of electronic compon	PS- ea ting es apons ata						

Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: March 2014			
	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology	) Project (Number/Name) 4 I Advanced Instrumentation Syste Technology				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 20	013 FY 2014	FY 2015		
encroachment issues. Additional efforts will include human per interaction with unmanned systems.	erformance measurement and assessment; specifically human					
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	ototals 8	3.570 10.036	11.61		
N/A <u>Remarks</u> <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A <u>E. Performance Metrics</u> Percentage of T&E/S&T projects progressing satisfactorily tow	vard technical, financial, schedule, and risk mitigation goals.					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3				- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Project (Number/Name) 5 I Directed Energy Test				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
5: Directed Energy Test	11.235	11.284	7.252	5.786	-	5.786	4.844	6.430	7.713	8.002	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Department of Defense (DoD) is exploring the military utility, safety, and suitability of directed energy weapons. A robust test capability to assess directed energy weapons is essential to understanding their effectiveness and limitations, including determining their effectiveness in performing counter improvised explosive device (C-IED) operations. Such assessments will depend upon knowledge acquired through the test and evaluation (T&E) of directed energy technologies and testing of operational concepts. Directed energy weapon technologies, primarily consisting of high energy lasers (HEL) and high powered microwaves (HPM), are outpacing available test capabilities. Traditional test techniques for evaluating conventional munitions (with flight times ranging from seconds to minutes) are not sufficient for the T&E of directed energy weapons that place energy on target instantaneously. Consequently, new test technology solutions are needed to ensure that adequate developmental, live-fire, and operational test capabilities are available when directed energy programs are ready to test.

Directed energy system and component testing requires three principal assessments: (1) energy or power on target; (2) the effects on the target; and (3) the propagation of the directed energy to the target through the atmosphere. In addition, the vulnerabilities of DoD systems to directed energy threats are required to be characterized in accordance with Military Standard (MIL-STD)-464C. Equally as important, current test capabilities do not provide the detailed data required to understand U.S. directed energy system performance and effects.

The technology development efforts within the Directed Energy Test (DET) project have been prioritized to align with DoD guidance on science and technology priority investments. As such, the DET project is developing the technologies necessary for quantitative assessment of United States HEL and HPM performance, as well as the vulnerability of DoD weapon systems to enemy directed energy threats.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Directed Energy Test	11.284	7.252	5.786
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>The DET project completed development of target board sensors to assess HEL energy on large targets. A new effort for measuring HEL energy on target for a small mortar was initiated.</li> <li>Fabrication continued on a prototype adaptive optics system designed to be readily adaptable to telescopes at various test facilities. The test technology will allow improved imaging of an HEL spot on a remote target. Regarding HEL atmospheric propagation, a multi-light detection and ranging system to measure important atmospheric profiles along a slant path adjacent to the HEL beam propagation path continued. This technology simultaneously measured profiles for three parameters: optical turbulence, water vapor content, and aerosol attenuation. Measuring these profiles will enable understanding of how atmospheric effects distort HEL beam propagation. A maritime version of this technology was initiated.</li> <li>Testing of electric field sensors continued in support of electromagnetic rail gun T&amp;E and identified a prime source for indicating rail wear, a key issue for rail gun systems. To better support HPM C-IED testing, DET completed a test technology development</li> </ul>			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D		Date: M	larch 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology						
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
to measure soil electrical properties using a brass board sensor with three inter frequencies. A demonstration of HEL sensor technologies on an unmanned aerial vehicle wo of the sensors to measure HEL energy on target while the HEL illuminated the reconnaissance systems.							
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Within the HEL area, efforts will focus on continuing technology developments effects on small targets using onboard sensing. Efforts will continue to address test safety and HEL collateral effects. This includes efforts to improve the under of HEL systems can be accomplished safely without risk to observers and sensions propagation through the atmosphere will continue in the maritime environment Initiatives to achieve very small, non-intrusive current and voltage sensors to m completed. These technologies will be transitioned to at least two locations to a small, minimally intrusive data acquisition device with a wide bandwidth to mate field sensors will be continued. A HPM test risk reduction effort will be continued to determine the best approace frequency transmitting dome that does not leak over time for a test capability the develop an HPM source for use in a chamber to address survivability of munition. A new study investigating technologically-viable, more cost effective alternative nuclear survivability testing will be continued.	s identified test technology shortfalls, including erstanding of HEL reflection hazards so that te sors. Furthermore, efforts to characterize bea to support emerging needs of the Navy. heasure HPM effects inside a target will be demonstrate the flexibility of these approache ch that of the non-intrusive electric and magne ch to construct a more durable pressurized, ra hat emulates wideband HPM threats. An effor ons in an HPM environment will be continued.	y HEL esting m s. A etic dio t to					
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Investments in HEL test technologies will be initiated to assess the changes in wavelengths near 1 micron. In the HPM area, measuring the actual cause of HPM effects on electronics will currents within the wires and chips of the electronic targets.		r					
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals	11.284	7.252	5.786		
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u>							

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 (	Office of Secretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603941D8Z <i>I Test and Evaluation/</i> <i>Science and Technology</i>	Project (Number/Name) 5 / Directed Energy Test
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A		
E. Performance Metrics	orily toward technical, financial, schedule, and risk mitigation goals.	
reicentage of Tac/SaT projects progressing satisfacto	ing toward technical, infancial, schedule, and fisk mitigation goals.	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense							Date: March 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)     Project (Number/Name)       PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/     6 / Netcentric Systems Test       Science and Technology     6 / Netcentric Systems Test				,							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
6: Netcentric Systems Test	20.072	16.590	14.518	16.658	-	16.658	12.931	9.834	10.756	10.344	Continuing	Continuin

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Net-Centric Systems Test (NST) project is pursuing test technologies to emulate multi-Service, Joint, and coalition net-centric operations in a system of systems test and evaluation (T&E) environment. Additionally, the NST project develops technologies to analyze and evaluate the increasingly massive amounts of structured and unstructured data generated by complex net-centric tests. The technology to conduct T&E on net-centric systems is challenged by sensor platforms, command and control systems and weapon platforms that support the kill chain in a Joint operation. These systems must be evaluated for their ability to provide an accurate, timely transfer of data (e.g., target tracks, weapons allocation, mission tasking and situational awareness), as the data passes among different systems of Service and coalition participants. The NST technologies advance test automation (test planning, test execution, test control, and analysis) that enable the virtual integration of Department of Defense (DoD) weapon laboratories and open air ranges. Using models and simulations along with hardware-in-the-loop laboratories, the effectiveness of Joint missions can be assessed in terms of system-of-systems interoperability and effectiveness in executing Joint mission operations, including testing of weapons and Command and Control systems accessing and providing information to the Global Information Grid. The technology development efforts within the NST project have been prioritized to align with DoD guidance on science and technology priority investments, particularly in measuring "Data to Decision" techniques and warfighting capabilities. Ultimately, the NST portfolio enables the T&E community to "test like we fight" by replicating net-enabled, Joint mission operations within a T&E environment.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Netcentric Systems Test	16.590	14.518	16.658
<b>FY 2013</b> Accomplishments: The NST project began technology development to analyze and process large amounts of heterogeneous data in real-time to facilitate decision making by test analysts. Technology development to automate the processes that analyze and evaluate multiple SoS in a joint cross-Service mission context were also initiated. Other efforts included technology development for planning a complex, multi-system, mission-level net-centric test in a distributed live-virtual-constructive (LVC) environment and controlling test execution through management of the mission scenario. The NST project developed test planning technologies to address test integration and interoperability issues. Machine reasoning capabilities were extended and integrated to automate test planning tasks. The NST project continued development of a planning and visualization technology to support joint mission thread testing to better correlate test data to the effectiveness of mission operations. The NST project advanced technologies to support the execution of distributed tests with active network control, enhanced the dynamic management of the test infrastructure, and improved the integration of Service laboratories and test ranges. These technologies were transitioned and integrated into the Central Test and Evaluation Investment Program (CTEIP) Test and Training			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: N	larch 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology	-	Project (Number/Name) 6 I Netcentric Systems Test				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
Enabling Architecture (TENA) that was used by the Joint Mission Environment facilities and training ranges. The NST project developed predictive smart dead-reckoning technology to add distributed test environment. This effort provided the necessary distributed inter (TSPI) updates in the net-centric test battlespace with a distributed LVC archited developed NST technologies to solve the test challenges of producing accurate include both unpredictable network latency and missing information. Since the on top of the policy-enabled agent, it will be able to provide fast response under Distributed Infrared Countermeasures Ground-Testing System (JDIGS) project improve the error performance for JDIGS testing over the JMETC network. The NST project also developed technologies for the next generation of TENA networks, including wireless networks, and provided native support for handhe Positioning System and accelerometer test data were successfully transmitted encrypted virtual private network. The NST project transitioned technology to the Group by successfully demonstrating TENA connectivity through wireless network instrumentation data on wireless tablet devices with real-time synchronization.	dress the challenge to adequately synchronize elligence to manage time space position inform ecture. The NST project built upon previously e TSPI predictions under all network conditions e predictive smart dead-reckoning technology is er complex test event conditions. The CTEIP J t tested and validated this NST project to further middleware that supported a broad range of Id and embedded computing platforms. Globa over commercial cellular carriers using an the Edwards Air Force Base Instrumentation	nation s, to s built loint er					
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans: The NST project will focus on efforts that enable TENA to utilize remote method to distributed users. This technology will support the DoD's remote authenticate security T&amp;E capabilities. Additionally, the NST project will continue the develop and analysis of the net-centric test environment including technologies that support and control. The NST project will also develop technologies that apply automated analysis of computing technologies to reduce the time from data to decision. This project will relevant actions based on real-time net-centric events.</li> <li>FY 2015 Plans: The NST project will continue developing technology that will automate the plan web technology. Development will continue on technologies to support the use provide a common interoperability test architecture. Modeling and simulation to the supervise of the test of tes</li></ul>	tion T&E needs and next generation multi-leve opment of technologies to support the measure opport enterprise level test execution assessme of large net-centric systems data sets using clo will investigate technologies that automate dec itively present a distributed test analyst with hi nning of test events based on advanced sema e of TENA over a broad range of networks and	I ement nt oud ision ghly ntic I to					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 C				arch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology		ct (Number/N tcentric Syste			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions		[	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
networks for conducting T&E along with simulation fidelit development that enables the rapid analysis of large uns	ty assessments in the T&E context will also be investigated. Technetrored data sets will also continue.	ology				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su	btotals	16.590	14.518	16.65	
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u>						
<u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A						
E. Performance Metrics Percentage of T&E/S&T projects progressing satisfactor	ily toward technical, financial, schedule, and risk mitigation goals.					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense         D						Date: March 2014						
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3		R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603941D8Z I Test and Evaluation/ Science and TechnologyProject (Number/Name) 7 I Unmanned and Autonomous 				System						
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
7: Unmanned and Autonomous System Test	3.159	5.273	5.918	5.024	-	5.024	4.621	9.155	10.636	11.252	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Unmanned and Autonomous Systems (UAS) support every domain of warfare. They operate in space, in air, on land, on the sea surface, undersea and in subterranean conditions to support a vast variety of missions. The emergence of unmanned systems brings a host of revolutionary capabilities that will profoundly influence warfare. The Unmanned and Autonomous Systems Test (UAST) project addresses current and emerging challenges associated with the test and evaluation (T&E) of these critical warfighting capabilities. The technology development efforts within the UAST project have been prioritized to align with Department of Defense (DoD) guidance on science and technology priority investments, particularly in assessing autonomy. As such, the UAST project is developing test technologies to simulate, stimulate, instrument, measure, and assess autonomous systems' ability to perceive its environment, process information, adapt to dynamic conditions, make decisions, and effectively act on those decisions in the context of mission execution.

The UAST project will provide the test technologies to effectively measure performance and characterize risk, thereby increasing warfighter trust in autonomous systems. Current DoD test capabilities and methodologies are insufficient to address the testing of increasingly autonomous units and teams of unmanned systems operating in unstructured, dynamic, battlespace environments. Furthermore, advancements are being made in developing collaborating system-of-autonomous-systems, working in concert as a swarm or pack and in close proximity with humans. New test technologies are needed to stress the collective set of autonomous systems under realistic conditions, predict emergent behavior of autonomous systems, emulate the complex environment, and assess mission performance of these highly coupled and intelligent systems.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Unmanned and Autonomous System Test	5.273	5.918	5.024
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> The UAST project focused on predicting and assessing the autonomy functions of unmanned and autonomous systems through the initiation of new technology developments. The complexity of operational unmanned and autonomous systems, with all possible interactions occurring between sensing, perception, reasoning, mapping, decision making and action, resulted in an almost infinite set of potential interactions and correspondingly, an almost infinite set of test conditions. An effort was initiated to employ evolutionary/genetic algorithms in a software-in-the-loop environment to accurately predict the fault conditions of a complex, long-duration autonomous system. Initially supporting testing of the Large Displacement – Unmanned Underwater Vehicle, this test technology was extended to improve the ability to predict fault conditions and focus test strategies for other types of unmanned vehicles. The UAST project effort initiated work to enhance safety of autonomous system reliability and performance			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603941D8Z <i>I Test and Evaluation/</i> <i>Science and Technology</i>	Project (Number/ 7 I Unmanned and Test		s System
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
by providing complex and interactive live, virtual, constructive (LVC) test environ performance can be observed, recorded, and compared to pre-test simulations. In the area of autonomous system performance assessment, test technology de autonomy architecture stress testing, with a focus on UAS software and the inter- source code. The approach was agnostic to the specific component interface. perspective of system performance and a previously unavailable prediction of the ground-based UAS system identified vulnerability issues at the command interf of autonomous system performance assessment, a virtual UAS proving ground external sources (to include imagery from operational areas of interest) and inju- to predict the behavior of the system in the operational environment. An initial efficient testing in operationally representative environments at Savannah Rive for safe operations at "edge of the envelope" performance parameters.	evelopment continued to enable automated erfaces of the core components without requir This technology provided the tester with a behavior. Recent stress-testing of a represent face layer of the system. Additionally, in the a I was designed that used environmental data f ected that data into simulations of a given UAS demonstration of this test technology facilitate	ing ative rea from S d		
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Efforts will focus on test technology supporting the near term challenges identif Integrated Roadmap, such as, integrating DoD unmanned systems within the N aerial systems within our national ranges. The UAST project will further explor of testing autonomy by leveraging advances made in the standardization of UA interfaces. The test technology to adapt evolutionary algorithms to predict fault conditions for multiple missions of a long duration UAS. The UAST project will deliver a ro testing autonomous systems at DoD ranges. The effort investigating the stress and the results transitioned to the test ranges. The resulting autonomy architect initially to Army Test and Evaluation Command, Aberdeen Test Center, but is c across the Major Range and Test Facility Base. Additionally, a virtual prototypic characterize UAS performance, reducing cost and time associated with open a	National Airspace and safely operating unmani- e test technologies to meet the challenges S architectures, functional components, and will be expanded to address evaluation function badmap of potential test technology needs for testing of autonomy architectures will be com- cture stressing technology will be transitioned lesigned to be extensible, enabling widespread- ing technology will be transitioned to test range	ned ons pleted d use		
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> The UAST project will deliver the technologies developed in the on-going effort will continue to develop test technology that addresses mid-term UAS test chal to explore the far term challenges of testing system intelligence. These efforts that measure the logical flow of sensing data, to perception, decisions, and action enhancing the test environment to assess unmanned threat systems. The use analysis technologies to enable UAS testing that furnishes data to support the Joint context. The UAST project will initiate efforts to enable dynamic construct.	lenges associated with autonomy and initiate will include an examination of test technologie ion. Additionally, the UAST project will focus JAST project will develop instrumentation and evaluation of overall mission performance in a	efforts es		

f Secretary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014		
<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology		<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 7 I Unmanned and Autonomous System Test			
		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
gy to seamlessly integrate constructive simulation, UAS-in-t omplementary tools to predict UAS behavior by monitoring h al changes. Simulated systems will replicate multiple platfor m/event logging. Modeling and simulation techniques will b	ihe loop low rms be				
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su	btotals	5.273	5.918	5.024	
ard technical, financial, schedule, and risk mitigation goals.					
	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)         PE 0603941D8Z I Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology         ned systems analysis. Test requirements will expand to integy to seamlessly integrate constructive simulation, UAS-in-tomplementary tools to predict UAS behavior by monitoring hal changes. Simulated systems will replicate multiple platform/event logging. Modeling and simulation techniques will be environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and estimated environmental environment	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology         Project           ned systems analysis.         Test requirements will expand to integrate gy to seamlessly integrate constructive simulation, UAS-in-the loop omplementary tools to predict UAS behavior by monitoring how al changes. Simulated systems will replicate multiple platforms m/event logging.         Modeling and simulation techniques will be environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and establish           Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals         Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)       Project (Number/Name)         PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/       7 / Unmanned and Test         Science and Technology       Test         med systems analysis. Test requirements will expand to integrate gy to seamlessly integrate constructive simulation, UAS-in-the loop omplementary tools to predict UAS behavior by monitoring how al changes. Simulated systems will replicate multiple platforms m/event logging. Modeling and simulation techniques will be environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and establish         Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals       5.273	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology       Project (Number/Name) 7 / Unmanned and Autonomous Test         ned systems analysis. Test requirements will expand to integrate gy to seamlessly integrate constructive simulation, UAS-in-the loop implementary tools to predict UAS behavior by monitoring how al changes. Simulated systems will replicate multiple platforms m/event logging. Modeling and simulation techniques will be environmental complexity in order to stress the UAS and establish       5.273         Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals       5.273	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project J	ustification:	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	efense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3					PE 060394		<b>t (Number</b> / t and Evalu gy	,	Project (N 8 / Cybersp		ne)	
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
8: Cyberspace Test	0.246	2.638	3.954	4.767	-	4.767	6.392	9.941	11.507	12.947	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Department of Defense (DoD) ability to use cyberspace for rapid communication and information sharing in support of operations is a critical enabler of DoD military missions. Advancements in utilizing cyberspace are outpacing the technologies needed for test and evaluation (T&E). The Cyberspace Test Technology (CTT) project will develop advanced technologies and methodologies to test and evaluate DoD capabilities and information networks to defend and conduct full-spectrum military operations across cyberspace. Current cyberspace T&E capabilities are insufficient to support the continual experimental, contractor, developmental, operational, and live-fire testing requirements of warfighter systems operating in cyberspace. Many of the test tools and infrastructure items required for systems in cyberspace will need advancement and maturation of various nascent test technologies. The CTT project has been aligned with DoD guidance on science and technology (S&T) priorities, specifically in the area of Cyber S&T. The CTT project will address test technology shortfalls in cyberspace testing, including planning cyberspace tests, creating representative cyberspace threats, and executing cyberspace tests.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Cyberspace Test	2.638	3.954	4.767
<b>FY 2013</b> Accomplishments: The CTT project performed threat intelligence gathering, analysis, and design to develop prototypes for threat traffic generation and automated attack vectors. The project also began development of the initial framework for automated and verified sanitization processes on commodity information technology assets such as random access memory. The technology will eliminate traces of contaminating cyber attacks between tests, an important step in the cyberspace test execution process. Development on the CTT roadmap began mapping technologies to needs that synchronize with overall Department cyberspace plans.			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> The work that began in FY 2013 will continue. The CTT project will focus on test technologies to address automated CTT planning and configuration. The CTT project will investigate the use of integrated cross-domain solutions and gateways to create realistic cyberspace tests at multiple levels of security classifications. Areas of research and development will include developing a reliable, fast, and cost-effective sanitization approach allowing the rapid repurposing of equipment between different tests to meet the expanding requirements for cyber testing. The CTT project will focus on threat cyberspace attack technologies required to assess information assurance vulnerabilities and to improve the agility of cyberspace test capabilities.			
FY 2015 Plans:			

	f Secretary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603941D8Z / Test and Evaluation/ Science and Technology	Projec 8 / Cyl			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
The CTT project will continue to focus on technologies address configuration, improved threat representation and test execution operations testing.					
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	ototals	2.638	3.954	4.76
N/A <u>Remarks</u>					
<u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A					
E. Performance Metrics Percentage of T&E/S&T projects progressing satisfactorily towa	ard technical, financial, schedule, and risk mitigation goals.				

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3: Advanced Technology Development (ATD)				Secretary	Of Defense					Date: March 2014		
				BA 3:	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604055D8Z / Operational Energy Capability Improvement							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	23.909	27.966	47.001	31.800	-	31.800	37.584	38.870	38.870	41.771	Continuing	Continuing
P455: Operational Energy Capability Improvement	20.659	27.966	32.088	31.800	-	31.800	37.584	38.870	38.870	41.771	Continuing	Continuing
P456: Hybrid Energy Storage Module (HESM)	3.250	-	14.913	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The basic mission of this program element is to fund innovation that will improve the Department's operational effectiveness via targeted operational energy science and technology investments. It contains two projects.

P455, the Operational Energy Capability Improvement Fund (OECIF), incentivizes science and technology to promote long term change in the Department's capabilities to be better aligned with the Operational Energy Strategy. It generally fosters innovation to improve operational energy performance. This mission has two key aspects. First, to develop and/or demonstrate, and rapidly transition into, use operational energy technologies or practices that will improve the Department's military capabilities and/or reduce its costs. Second, to establish within the military Services sustainable, institutional capacity to continue to research, develop and adopt operational energy innovations. OECIF funds serve as "seed money" to consolidate or start promising operational energy programs, directions or changes to be sustained by the Services; accordingly, OECIF generally emphasizes supporting or establishing programs, rather than one-off projects.

P456, the Hybrid Energy Storage Module, co-sponsored by ASD(R&E) and ASD(OEPP), develops advanced technology in energy storage to maximize performance and reliability, and enable future high power weapons and sensor systems on legacy and next generation vehicles, aircraft and ships. The goals of this program are to (1) demonstrate energy storage systems with high power and energy densities, scalable to all power levels, that reduce total logistics demand, increase platform ability to sustain operations during engagement, and (2) reduce maintenance. Once demonstration is complete, this technology will be sustained by the Services. This program is closely coordinated with the Advanced Management and Protection of Energy-storage Devices (AMPED) program of the Department of Energy's Advanced Research Projects Agency – Energy (ARPA-E). AMPED technology will be used to potentially extend the operational performance benefits and safety for these applications beyond the hybrid storage module baseline design configurations.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 O	ffice of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity		R-1 Program El	ement (Number/Name)		
)400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-W Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	/ide / BA 3:	PE 0604055D8Z	I Operational Energy C	apability Improvement	
3. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	23.909	52.001	37.120	-	37.120
Current President's Budget	27.966	47.001	31.800	-	31.800
Total Adjustments	4.057	-5.000	-5.320	-	-5.320
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>FY 2014/ 2015 Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	4.057	-5.000	-5.320	-	-5.320

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project J	ustification	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	efense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3					<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604055D8Z / Operational Energy Capability Improvement				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P455 <i>I Operational Energy Capability</i> <i>Improvement</i>			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P455: Operational Energy Capability Improvement	20.659	27.966	32.088	31.800	-	31.800	37.584	38.870	38.870	41.771	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

## A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Operational Energy Capability Improvement Fund (OECIF)

The basic mission of the Operational Energy Capability Improvement Fund (OECIF) is to fund innovation that will improve the Department's operational effectiveness via targeted science and technology investments. As Defense-Wide funding, it incentivizes science and technology to promote long term change in the Department's capabilities to be better aligned with the Department's Operational Energy Strategy. Generally, it fosters innovation to improve operational energy performance. This mission has two key aspects. First, to develop and/or demonstrate, and transition into use operational energy technologies or practices that will improve the Department's military capabilities and reduce its costs. Second, to establish within the military Services sustainable, institutional capacity to continue to research, develop and adopt operational energy innovations. OECIF funds serve as "seed money" to consolidate or start promising operational energy programs, directions or changes to be sustained by the Services; accordingly, OECIF generally emphasizes supporting or establishing programs, rather than one-off projects.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Operational Energy Capability Improvement	27.966	32.088	31.800
<b>Description:</b> The basic mission of the Operational Energy Capability Improvement Fund (OECIF) is to fund innovation that will improve the Department's operational effectiveness via targeted science and technology investments. As Defense-Wide funding, it incentivizes long term change in the science and technology portfolio of the Department to be better aligned with the Department's Operational Energy Strategy. Generally, it fosters innovation to improve operational energy performance. This mission has two key aspects. First, to develop and/or demonstrate, and rapidly transition into the force, operational energy technologies or practices that will improve the Department's military capabilities and reduce its costs. Second, to establish within the military Services sustainable institutional capacities that will continue to research, develop and adopt operational energy innovations. OECIF funds serve as "seed money" to consolidate or start promising operational energy programs or directions to be sustained by the Services; accordingly, it is the intention that OECIF emphasize supporting or establishing programs, rather than one-off projects.			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> The expeditionary outpost energy load reduction and waste-to-energy programs begun in FY 2012 were continued and four operational energy consortia programs were started.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604055D8Z / Operational Energy Capability Improvement	Project (N P455 / Op Improvem	erational	Name) Energy Capa	ability
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F١	<b>′ 2013</b>	FY 2014	FY 2015
The Army/ Navy cooling technology program awarded contracts for pursuing m commercial cooling units and components for comparison. The Navy/ARPA-E studies of two competing prototypes to efficiently remove humidity from the air based on innovative cooling technologies. The Army/Air Force soft shelters/ten configurations; conducted field demos of shelter systems in Kuwait which show advanced component technologies to be used in future designs. The super energy more program built a Phase 1 prototype SuperCLU and continued improving the desite prototype SuperCLUs at 29 Palms, CA, and existing CLU ECUs and coatin program that tests load reduction technologies in tropical environments particip gathering energy usage data. They also developed and promoted their I-Net te dozen technology assessments. The Waste-to-Energy program completed syn being tested. The program also completed rotary kiln hardware assembly and Gasifier.	cooling technology program completed feasibil before cooling. It also began three other proje at program completed design of selected shelte yed a 50 percent energy savings; and worked of ergy efficient Containerized Living Unit (Super sign. They completed testing improved CLUs at logs in Camp Lemonnier, Africa. The PACOM/D bated in operations in Thailand and Philippines echnology assessment capability and complete logas-cleaning prototype fabrication which is no	lity ects on CLU) nd OE , d 2- w			
The new programs, started in FY 2013, are broad, comprehensive efforts to imparticularly by involving non-traditional innovators and small businesses in mean mechanisms such as consortia. These four new programs focus on: developing training for more efficient planning and control of the energy resources at experimentary techniques to better manage soldier and small unit power and reductions by reducing drag through engineered surfaces and materials.	eting DoD's operational energy challenges thro g DoD standards for tactical microgrids; tools a ditionary outposts; technologies and systems	and			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> .The programs started in FY 2012 and FY 2013 will be continued, provided the Army/Navy cooling technology program will complete ECU system design; con Enabled Capability Demonstration (TeCD); continue research on integrated po Navy/ARPA-E cooling technologies program will complete developments for a Air Force soft shelter tent program will complete initial demonstrations and value Navy Containerized Living Unit program will complete testing both advanced at will continue lab and field assessment of promising technologies, probably in G for long term sustainment of the program, while nurturing relationships with exidetailed designs for new devices will be prepared and operational tests will be	struct, test and demo a prototype for Technolo wer and environmental control technologies. T transition demo at TRL 6 in FY 2015. The Arm date mathematical models and simulations. Th nd upgraded CLUs. The PACOM/DOE progra Guam, and continue to seek fee for service part sting partners. For the Waste-to-Energy progra performed.	gy he y/ e m ners			
Regarding the consortia programs begun in FY 2013: The Army led program in identifying gaps in standards, policy, and practices that pose obstacles for syst		orized			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604055D8Z / Operational Energy Capability Improvement	-	•	<b>lame)</b> Energy Capa	ability
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
into a development agenda for the project. The need for standards for small, me documented and the similarities and differences identified. The Navy led progra begin verifying models and defining dashboard requirements. The Army led sol that will formulate a next-generation Soldier/Small Combat Unit Power and Ene will also develop a return on investment tool to enable traditional defense contra to evaluate the ROI for their innovative soldier power and energy concepts. The consortium; issue RFPs, and award studies for drag reduction technologies.	am for energy efficient expeditionary outposts dier/small unit program will establish a consor ergy architecture for the future. The program actors, non-traditionals, and small businesses	will tium			
FY 2014 new starts will focus on filling operational energy technology gaps ider completed by ASD(R&E) in FY 2012. For FY 2014, the planned focus is method to embed energy considerations throughout DoD's planning processes, before will facilitate making operational energy a consideration during such processes development and acquisition planning. With better methods and tools for under by operational energy needs and how those needs affect our military effectiven make choices that are better informed by operational energy considerations.	ds and tools, including modeling and simulatio engineering particular systems or platforms. T as war-games, force planning, requirements standing the burdens and vulnerabilities impos	his sed			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> In FY 2015, the programs for expeditionary outpost load reduction technologies ARPA-E program for cooling technologies will complete testing and optimization Recovery Adsorption Chiller, and Stirling Air Conditioner prototypes, and hold T testbed plans to complete the transition to a fee-for-service model for long-term	n of the Absorption Heat Pump, Genset Heat IRL 6 transition demonstrations. The PACOM/				
Regarding the consortia programs: The Army-led program in tactical microgrid microgrids that will include (a) interconnection and islanding, (b) communication human factors. The Navy led program for planning and operating energy efficie based on testing and feedback and will implement an alpha version of the dash development. The Army-led soldier/small unit program will use the Architecture to demonstrate electrical load reduction, improved energy supply, and power m will reduce the operational, fiscal, and human burdens imposed by batteries/en- program will hold a second workshop to review progress and to choose awarde wind tunnel, and flight testing of surfaces and coating technologies will occur th FY2014 new starts will be ramping up. These programs will be aimed at develo simulation, to embed operational energy considerations throughout DoD's plan	n and controls, and (c) safety, protection and ent expeditionary outposts will refine power mo- aboard for testing and feedback for extended developed in FY 2014 to develop technologies anagement and distribution techniques. These ergy technologies. The Air Force drag reduction eves for a second round of research awards. La proughout the year as needed. In addition, the opping methods and tools, including modeling a	dels s e on b,			

Secretary Of Defense	Date	: March 2014			
<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604055D8Z <i>I Operational Energy</i> <i>Capability Improvement</i>		P455 I Operational Energy Capability			
	FY 201	3 FY 2014	FY 2015		
High Efficiency Energy Conversion and Harvesting; Energ	у				
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su	btotals 27.9	66 32.088	31.80		
3	PE 0604055D8Z I Operational Energy Capability Improvement ore of the operational energy technology gaps identified in significant gaps OEPP identifies in Service S&T funding. tim to fill some of the gaps by funding the startup of sustain High Efficiency Energy Conversion and Harvesting; Energ I Platform Design; Environmental Control Systems; Flexible	PE 0604055D8Z I Operational Energy Capability Improvement       P455 I Operatio Improvement         FY 2013         ore of the operational energy technology gaps identified in significant gaps OEPP identifies in Service S&T funding. im to fill some of the gaps by funding the startup of sustainable High Efficiency Energy Conversion and Harvesting; Energy I Platform Design; Environmental Control Systems; Flexible and	PE 0604055D8Z I Operational Energy Capability Improvement       P455 I Operational Energy Capa Improvement         FY 2013       FY 2014         bre of the operational energy technology gaps identified in significant gaps OEPP identifies in Service S&T funding. im to fill some of the gaps by funding the startup of sustainable High Efficiency Energy Conversion and Harvesting; Energy I Platform Design; Environmental Control Systems; Flexible and		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification:	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	efense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3					PE 060405		<b>t (Number/</b> erational En nt	,	Project (N P456 / Hyb (HESM)		ne) Storage Mo	dule
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P456: Hybrid Energy Storage Module (HESM)	3.250	-	14.913	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

## A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

P456, the Hybrid Energy Storage Module (HESM), co-sponsored by ASD(R&E) and ASD(OEPP), develops advanced technology in energy storage to maximize performance and reliability, and enable future high power weapons and sensor systems on legacy and next generation vehicles, aircraft and ships. The goals of this program are to (1) demonstrate energy storage systems with high power and energy densities, scalable to all power levels, that reduce total logistics demand, increase platform ability to sustain operations during engagement, and (2) reduce maintenance. Once demonstration is complete, this technology will be sustained by the Services. This program is closely coordinated with the Advanced Management and Protection of Energy-storage Devices (AMPED) program of the Department of Energy's Advanced Research Projects Agency – Energy (ARPA-E). AMPED technology will be used to potentially extend the operational performance benefits and safety for these applications beyond the hybrid storage module baseline design configurations.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Hybrid Energy Storage Module (HESM)	-	14.913	-
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> The hybrid energy storage module efforts begun in FY2012 were continued including hybrid energy storage research of application oriented model development, establishment of test-beds and device limitation characterization at the service laboratories for military specific applications, design architecture for plug-and-play capabilities, definition of safety metrics, and validation & verification of advanced complex controls. Further effort established system level metrics for HESM demonstrations and concept of operations in all demonstration areas. Efforts associated with Army and USMC battlefield generator and vehicle HESM demonstrator development were continued. Key new initiatives in FY 2013 were initiated for Air Force and Navy aircraft, and Navy ships HESM demonstrator development. Further energy storage technology demonstration effort associated with safe operation of energy storage impacting all three military application areas was initiated. The goal of this effort is to develop and demonstrate a safe energy storage structure which is capable of not only buffering against life-reducing high operating temperatures due to aggressive cycling operations but also preventing or limiting thermal runaway conditions. These efforts are executed by the Services.			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> For FY 2014, the hybrid energy storage module (HESM) efforts established in FY 2012 and 2013 will be continued including Air Force and Navy aircraft, Navy ships HESM, and safe energy storage demonstrator development. Efforts including hybrid energy storage research of application oriented model development, establishment of test-beds and device limitation characterization at the service laboratories for military specific applications, design architecture for plug-and-play capabilities, definition of			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of	Defense		Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604055D8Z <i>I Operational Energy</i> <i>Capability Improvement</i>	Project (Number/Name) P456 I Hybrid Energy Storage Module (HESM)					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
safety metrics, and validation & verification of advanced complex controls will generator and vehicle HESM unit will be demonstrated and transitioned to the demonstration, define standards and assess the operational impact for varieties insertion into current and future military platforms.	Services. Based on results of development ar	nd					
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	ototals	-	14.913	-		
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy N/A E. Performance Metrics None							

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: March 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity           0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 3:           Advanced Technology Development (ATD)					<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0303310D8Z <i>I Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD) Systems</i>							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	4.117	35.017	49.221	46.066	-	46.066	42.774	45.764	43.190	46.686	Continuing	Continuing
P*004: Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction Systems	4.117	35.017	49.221	46.066	-	46.066	42.774	45.764	43.190	46.686	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

## A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program addresses developing an integrated and interconnected CWMD capabilities-based system that defines and enables a comprehensive, global awareness and readiness for CWMD steady-state and surge postures. The diverse and complex Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD) – nuclear, biological and chemical threats – mission space requires an integrated approach towards capability development. Capability development must be based on a systems perspective that links strategic objectives with interrelated tasks and associated capabilities. The broad CWMD military strategic objectives and mission areas encompass many nontraditional capabilities for the Warfighter, and CWMD is not an isolated mission set unique to DoD – it is intertwined with counter-terrorism and homeland defense. Accordingly, developing an overall CWMD capability should and must leverage complementary capabilities through integration and synchronization. A global CWMD situational awareness capability will be established and deployed worldwide via current communications systems and common operating pictures in support of this mission. This program will incorporate portfolio management tools and comprehensive analyses to enable a balanced and integrated CWMD systems portfolio, an optimized CWMD force structure, and the integration with and utilization of existing military assets to fill intelligence, sensor and reconnaissance gaps in CWMD.

This program also responds to the strategic needs outlined in the President's initiative, stated in his April 2009 speech in Prague; the US Combatant Commands integrated priorities and requirements; the 2010 Quadrennial Defense Review; and the FY12-16 Defense Planning and Programming Guidance by providing improved timeliness and relevance through modernizing CWMD support to the Combatant Commands, Office of the Secretary of Defense, Joint Staff, Intelligence Community (IC), and other U.S. Government agencies as required. This program is designed to leverage existing DoD resources and proven approaches to achieve its goals and rapidly deliver a capability to the Warfighter. It will ensure sufficient funding is available for travel to support the requirements of this program element.

This Program Element can fund travel to support the requirements of this program.

This appropriation will finance work, including manpower, performed by a government agency or by private individuals or organizations under a contractual or grant arrangement with the government who conduct research (systematic study directed toward fuller scientific knowledge or understanding of the subject studied), development (systematic use of the knowledge and understanding gained from research, for the production of useful materials, devices, systems, or methods, including the design and development of prototypes and processes) and test and evaluation efforts.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 C	Office of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-1 Advanced Technology Development (ATD)	<i>Vide I</i> BA 3:	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0303310D8Z <i>I Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD) Systems</i>						
3. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total			
Previous President's Budget	53.946	52.053	53.760	-	53.760			
Current President's Budget	35.017	49.221	46.066	-	46.066			
Total Adjustments	-18.929	-2.832	-7.694	-	-7.694			
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-						
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-15.000	-						
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-						
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-						
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-						
Reprogrammings	-	-						
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-3.929	-						
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-2.832	-7.694	-	-7.694			

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

Requirements reduced in response to headquaters management initiatives to better align program with smaller military force.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense											Date: March 2014		
					<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0303310D8Z / Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD) Systems				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P*004 <i>I Countering Weapons of Mass</i> <i>Destruction Systems</i>				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P*004: Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction Systems	4.117	35.017	49.221	46.066	-	46.066	42.774	45.764	43.190	46.686	Continuing	Continuing	

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program addresses developing an integrated and interconnected CWMD capabilities-based system that defines and enables a comprehensive, global awareness and readiness for CWMD steady-state and surge postures. The diverse and complex Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD) – nuclear, biological and chemical threats – mission space requires an integrated approach towards capability development. Capability development must be based on a systems perspective that links strategic objectives with interrelated tasks and associated capabilities. The broad CWMD military strategic objectives and mission areas encompass many nontraditional capabilities for the Warfighter, and CWMD is not an isolated mission set unique to DoD – it is intertwined with counter-terrorism and homeland defense. Accordingly, developing an overall CWMD capability should and must leverage complementary capabilities through integration and synchronization. A global CWMD situational awareness capability will be established and deployed worldwide via current communications systems and common operating pictures in support of this mission. This program will incorporate portfolio management tools and comprehensive analyses to enable a balanced and integrated CWMD systems portfolio, an optimized CWMD force structure, and the integration with and utilization of existing military assets to fill intelligence, sensor and reconnaissance gaps in CWMD.

This program also responds to the strategic needs outlined in the President's initiative, stated in his April 2009 speech in Prague; the US Combatant Commands integrated priorities and requirements; the 2010 Quadrennial Defense Review; and the FY12-16 Defense Planning and Programming Guidance by providing improved timeliness and relevance through modernizing CWMD support to the Combatant Commands, Office of the Secretary of Defense, Joint Staff, Intelligence Community (IC), and other U.S. Government agencies as required. This program is designed to leverage existing DoD resources and proven approaches to achieve its goals and rapidly deliver a capability to the Warfighter. It will ensure sufficient funding is available for travel to support the requirements of this program element.

This Program Element can fund travel to support the requirements of this program.

This appropriation will finance work, including manpower, performed by a government agency or by private individuals or organizations under a contractual or grant arrangement with the government who conduct research (systematic study directed toward fuller scientific knowledge or understanding of the subject studied), development (systematic use of the knowledge and understanding gained from research, for the production of useful materials, devices, systems, or methods, including the design and development of prototypes and processes) and test and evaluation efforts.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD) Systems	35.017	49.221	46.066

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0303310D8Z / Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD) Systems	P*004	t (Number/I I Countering ction Systen	Weapons of	Mass
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Description: • A global CWMD situational awareness system and concept of or and framework for CWMD that will integrate C4ISR, multi-modality intelligence worldwide and address operational capability gaps.</li> <li>• A portfolio management capability based on an integrated system of systems CWMD investments.</li> <li>• Enhancements to major defense acquisition programs to address CWMD miss</li> <li>• A CWMD organizational capabilities review and update as required.</li> </ul>	, and other data to support simultaneous opera architectural framework to evaluate potential				
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> The program developed a capability that will address all WMD threats – nuclear non-state sources. It involved information on a range of drivers of proliferation and extensive contextual information. It assisted DoD in both preventing the lot the deliberate or natural spread of disease), and responded to attacks and outlinformation systems that the combatant commands and Services already used	<ul> <li>including key actors, networks, sensitive materials and technologies (an breaks when they occurred. It integrated with</li> </ul>	erials, d			
GCAS OPERATIONAL SUPPORT					
<ul> <li>Completed the GCAS Concept of Operations (CONOPS).</li> <li>Completed the analysis of organizational and structural infrastructure options personnel requirements for the centralized component of GCAS i.e. the home location for deployment of the Initial Operating Capability.</li> <li>Continued the structured assessment of DoD organizational capabilities to ac FY13 efforts included Security Cooperation and Partner Activities, Threat Reduction</li> </ul>	base for operations or analysis center. Select				
GCAS PROGRAM, SYSTEMS ENGINEERING and SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	N				
<ul> <li>Began information model and information architecture development. Complete requirements, and specifications.</li> <li>Completed technical and operational assessments for data integration and in and visualization alternatives. Analysis included demonstrated commercial and system requirements for GCAS.</li> <li>Conducted limited evaluation and downselection of integration and informatio evaluated in FY12. Completed technology readiness evaluations as required.</li> </ul>	formation processing, including data managen d government available and applicable toward				
PE 0303310D8Z: Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD)					

<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0303310D8Z <i>I Countering Weapons of</i> <i>Mass Destruction (CWMD) Systems</i>	Project (Nur P*004 / Cour Destruction S	ntering	Weapons of	Mass
		system	s	
	FY 2	013	FY 2014	FY 2015
ting technologies, data and fusion methodolog ne Haystack data fusion demonstration system gonal analytic tools to facilitate the generation data from national, international programs and ear security, nuclear treaty verification, nuclea the operational prototyping. hensive and systematic evaluation of needed f quantitative metrics for evaluation, where ind processes to improve and enhance CWME life patterns, and rule-sets/algorithms. Gener- nd algorithms. erations home base to include hardware and onal support. collaborative environment; register and publisl	fulfill ams ating ies to of the l	013	FY 2014	FY 2015
	ing technologies, data and fusion methodologine Haystack data fusion demonstration system gonal analytic tools to facilitate the generation of data from national, international programs and ear security, nuclear treaty verification, nuclear the operational prototyping. The operational prototyping. Thensive and systematic evaluation of needed for quantitative metrics for evaluation, where and processes to improve and enhance CWMD life patterns, and rule-sets/algorithms. Generational algorithms. The algorithms. The algorithms is to include hardware and publish to include hardware and publish to include hardware and publish to include the algorithms.	nensive and systematic evaluation of needed a quantitative metrics for evaluation, where and processes to improve and enhance CWMD life patterns, and rule-sets/algorithms. Generate and algorithms. erations home base to include hardware and	ing technologies, data and fusion methodologies to be Haystack data fusion demonstration system to gonal analytic tools to facilitate the generation of the data from national, international programs and ear security, nuclear treaty verification, nuclear the operational prototyping. The operational prototyping. The systematic evaluation of needed if quantitative metrics for evaluation, where and processes to improve and enhance CWMD life patterns, and rule-sets/algorithms. Generate nd algorithms. erations home base to include hardware and bonal support. collaborative environment; register and publish	ing technologies, data and fusion methodologies to ne Haystack data fusion demonstration system to gonal analytic tools to facilitate the generation of the data from national, international programs and ear security, nuclear treaty verification, nuclear the operational prototyping. The nensive and systematic evaluation of needed if quantitative metrics for evaluation, where and processes to improve and enhance CWMD life patterns, and rule-sets/algorithms. Generate nd algorithms. erations home base to include hardware and onal support. collaborative environment; register and publish

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretar	ry Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 3	PE 0303310D8Z / Countering Weapons of	P*004 / Countering	oject (Number/Name) 004 I Countering Weapons of Mass estruction Systems			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<ul> <li>be added. Where appropriate, allow integrated GCAS services and its as Commands (COCOMs) and military users.</li> <li>Scale GCAS hardware to support additional users; integrate and test ar</li> <li>Achieve network and system certifications and accreditations and identidomains and data streams; identify additional Command and Control (C2</li> <li>Continue technology and data stream gap analysis and supporting rese achieving CWMD situational awareness.</li> </ul>	nalytical engine updates. ify initial capability for classified and unclassified secu 2) integration updates required.	ırity				
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Continue with next spiral of situational awareness capability - Add new Generate new methodology and supporting situational awareness feeds</li> <li>Continue to build/upgrade/modify the required infrastructure for the GC/software for computational and processing capabilities, training, and orga</li> <li>Continue to integrate GCAS components into a service-oriented, web-b service and data capabilities; enable authorized users to subscribe to infe be added. Where appropriate, allow integrated GCAS services and its as Commands (COCOMs) and military users.</li> <li>Scale GCAS hardware to support additional users; integrate and test ar</li> <li>Achieve network and system certifications and accreditations and identifications and data streams; identify additional Command and Control (C2</li> <li>Continue technology and data stream gap analysis and supporting researchieving CWMD situational awareness.</li> </ul>	from new data and algorithms. AS operations home base to include hardware and anizational support. based collaborative environment; register and publish ormation of interest; allow accredited data sources to ssociated updated CONOPS available to Combatant halytical engine updates. ify initial capability for classified and unclassified secu 2) integration updates required.	ırity				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subt	otals 35.017	49.221	46.066		
<ul> <li>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A</li> <li>Remarks</li> <li>D. Acquisition Strategy Utilize a knowledge based approach to achieve an operational prototype capabilities with deliveries every 12-18 months utilizing agile software delivered</li> </ul>		aded CWMD situat	ional awarene	ess and		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: March 2014	
0400/3	PE 0303310D8Z / Countering Weapons of	 <b>umber/Name)</b> ountering Weapons of Mass n Systems

#### E. Performance Metrics

Success in this area is measured by compliance with various statutes and DoD directives that govern the conduct of the affairs within the Office of ASD/NCB. Maintain cost, schedule, and performance reporting, review, and adjudication. Maintain requirements traceability matrix.

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item	n Justificat	ion: PB 20 <sup>-</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: March 2014			
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)					<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603161D8Z <i>I Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Equipment RDT&amp;E</i> ADC&P								
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
Total Program Element	63.401	29.919	48.302	41.072	-	41.072	41.762	42.296	46.238	49.979	Continuing	Continuing	
P162: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security	63.401	29.919	24.243	28.586	-	28.586	29.506	30.798	33.805	34.985	Continuing	Continuing	
P041: CNT Rad/Nuc Passive Defense ADC&P	0.000	-	1.985	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	
P040: National Technical Nuclear Forensics Systems	0.000	-	22.074	12.486	-	12.486	12.256	11.498	12.433	14.994	Continuing	Continuing	

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

## A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element (PE) addresses the need to defend and deter against weapons of mass destruction (WMD) threats and to safeguard personnel; prevent unauthorized access to equipment, installations, material, and documents; and to safeguard the foregoing against espionage, sabotage, damage, and theft. This program oversees advanced engineering development throughout DoD for an integrated and systemic RDT&E approach for countering nuclear threats and nuclear and conventional physical security technology and systems. The funding has been centralized in this Defense-wide PE since the early 1990s and represents a substantial portion of all DoD physical security RDT&E funding. Priorities for this PE RDT&E efforts are driven by inputs from Quadrennial Defense Review guidance, Combatant Command and Service requirements, analysis reports such as "Protecting the Force: Lessons from Fort Hood," January 2010, the Integrated Unit, Base, and Installation Protection Cost Benefits Analysis, Multi-national Work Plans established through the Nuclear Security Summit process, and DoD Directive 5210.41, Security Policy for Protecting Nuclear Weapons-directed requirements and associated security deviation reports.

Under this integrated approach, funds are used to provide advanced component development and prototypes for the Department in seven capability areas: (1) Detection and Assessment; (2) Access Controls; (3) Installation and Transport Security; (4) Storage and Safeguards; (5) Prevention; (6) Decision Support Systems; and (7) Analytical Support. This program will evaluate integrated technologies, representative modes or prototype systems in a high fidelity and realistic operating environment. The projects under the Program Element either (a) lead to Programs of Record which can transition to Program Element 0604161D8Z for systems development and demonstration (SDD); (b) become technology insertions into existing programs; or (c) advance to being a certified Commercial/Government off-the-shelf product. The PE initiatives are coordinated by the Physical Security Enterprise and Analysis Group. This group is responsible for avoiding duplication of effort and when applicable ensure systems integration and promote interoperability and sustainability.

This PE can fund travel to support the requirements of this program.

This appropriation will finance work, including manpower, performed by a government agency or by private individuals or organizations under a contractual or grant arrangement with the government who conduct research (systematic study directed toward fuller scientific knowledge or understanding of the subject studied),

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Of	fice of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity		R-1 Program El	ement (Number/Name)						
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-W	/ide / BA 4:	PE 0603161D8Z I Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Equipment RDT&E							
Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)		ADC&P							
development (systematic use of the knowledge and understa	nding gained from	research, for the	production of useful ma	aterials, devices, syster	ns, or methods, including				
the design and development of prototypes and processes) ar	nd test and evalua	tion efforts.							
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total				
Previous President's Budget	33.234	63.641	47.932	-	47.932				
Current President's Budget	29.919	48.302	41.072	-	41.072				
Total Adjustments	-3.315	-15.339	-6.860	-	-6.860				
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-15.000							
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-							
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.504	-							
<ul> <li>FY13 Adjustment</li> </ul>	-2.811	-	-	-	-				
FFRDC Reduction	-	-0.339	-	-	-				
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-6.860	-	-6.860				

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

For FY15 the reduction is a strategic efficiency approach to reduce funding and staffing. As a result, we provide a better alignment of funding and provide support to a smaller military force.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense         I								Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4					<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603161D8Z <i>I Nuclear and</i> <i>Conventional Physical Security/Equipment</i> <i>RDT&amp;E ADC&amp;P</i>				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P162 <i>I Nuclear and Conventional Physical</i> <i>Security</i>			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P162: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security	63.401	29.919	24.243	28.586	-	28.586	29.506	30.798	33.805	34.985	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element (PE) addresses the need to defend and deter against weapons of mass destruction (WMD) threats and to safeguard personnel; prevent unauthorized access to equipment, installations, material, and documents; and to safeguard the foregoing against espionage, sabotage, damage, and theft. This program oversees advanced engineering development throughout DoD for an integrated and systemic RDT&E approach for countering nuclear threats and nuclear and conventional physical security equipment (PSE) technology and systems. The funding has been centralized in this Defense-wide PE since the early 1990s and represents a substantial portion of all DoD PSE RDT&E funding. Priorities for this PE RDT&E efforts are driven by inputs from Quadrennial Defense Review guidance, Combatant Command and Service requirements, analysis reports such as "Protecting the Force: Lessons from Fort Hood," January 2010, the Integrated Unit, Base, and Installation Protection Cost Benefits Analysis, Multi-national Work Plans established through the Nuclear Security Summit process, and DoD Directive 5210.41, Security Policy for Protecting Nuclear Weapons-directed requirements and associated security deviation reports.

Under this integrated approach, funds are used to provide PSE advanced component development and prototypes for the Department in seven capability areas: (1) Detection and Assessment; (2) Access Controls; (3) Installation and Transport Security; (4) Storage and Safeguards; (5) Prevention; (6) Decision Support Systems; and (7) Analytical Support. The projects under the Program Element either (a) lead to Programs of Record – which can transition to Program Element 0604161D8Z for systems development and demonstration (SDD); (b) become technology insertions into existing programs; or (c) advance to being a certified Commercial/Government off-the-shelf product. The PE initiatives are coordinated by the Security Policy Verification Committee and the Physical Security Equipment Action Group. These groups work together to avoid duplication of effort and when applicable ensure systems integration and promote interoperability and sustainability.

This PE can fund travel to support the requirements of this program.

This appropriation will finance work, including manpower, performed by a government agency or by private individuals or organizations under a contractual or grant arrangement with the government who conduct research (systematic study directed toward fuller scientific knowledge or understanding of the subject studied), development (systematic use of the knowledge and understanding gained from research, for the production of useful materials, devices, systems, or methods, including the design and development of prototypes and processes) and test and evaluation efforts.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Detection and Assessment	4.817	3.903	8.566

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secr	etary Of Defense	Date	: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603161D8Z <i>I Nuclear and</i> <i>Conventional Physical Security/Equipment</i> <i>RDT&amp;E ADC&amp;P</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P162 I Nuclear and Conventional Ph <u></u> Security				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<b>Description:</b> The ability to detect an adversary and assess their inter will design equipment to identify and warn of unauthorized access to to the notification and identification of explosive threats or hazards.						
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Conducted Explosive Detection Equipment testing (Sensor Fusion: X-ray technology)</li> <li>Developed wide-area, long-range, foliage, seismic and radiological of Developed waterside detection &amp; tracking capability (underwater &amp; I)</li> <li>Conducted fence sensors &amp; cold weather testing</li> </ul>	detection capability (both fixed & mobile)	n of				
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Conduct Explosive Detection Equipment testing (Sensor Fusion: Ra ray technology)</li> <li>Develop wide-area, long-range, foliage, seismic and radiological det</li> <li>Develop waterside detection &amp; tracking capability (underwater &amp; lan</li> <li>Conduct fence sensors &amp; cold weather testing</li> </ul>	tection capability (both fixed & mobile)	of X-				
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Conduct Explosive Detection Equipment testing</li> <li>Develop wide-area, long-range, foliage, seismic and radiological det</li> <li>Develop waterside detection &amp; tracking capability (underwater &amp; lan</li> <li>Develop standoff detection, assessment and defeat capability</li> </ul>						
Title: Access Controls		2.54	13 2.060	-		
<b>Description:</b> Controlling access to safeguard personnel and their fan infrastructure and materials is paramount. This capability area will for verification of individuals entering or already within a facility.		nd				
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Advanced technology and procedures to minimize an insider threat access to nuclear materials or weapons.</li> <li>Developed interruption methods to provide immediate, semi-lethal eresources without any additional specialized equipment.</li> </ul>						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of	f Defense					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603161D8Z <i>I Nuclear and</i> <i>Conventional Physical Security/Equipment</i> <i>RDT&amp;E ADC&amp;P</i>		<b>ct (Number/N</b> I Nuclear and ity		l Physical	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013 FY 2014 F					
Transitioned Defense Installation Access Control to system development an	nd demonstration activities.					
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Conduct Defense Installation Access control Joint Capability Technology Derequirements.</li> <li>Advance technology and procedures to minimize an insider threat to intention to nuclear materials or weapons.</li> </ul>		ccess				
Title: Installation and Transport Security			5.026	4.073	4.577	
<b>Description:</b> Robust installation and transport security are vital to preventing unauthorized access to key assets such as nuclear weapons and special nuc programs and equipment intended to improve the physical security profile of in-transit.	clear material. This capability area will focus on	while				
<ul><li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li><li>Determined if the radar technology can be successfully modified for operation</li></ul>	on in a cluttered environment while providing					
extended area protection against direct trajectory stand-off threats.	on in a cluttered environment while providing					
<ul> <li>Assessed the ability of electronic warfare sensor to perform off-axis defeats</li> <li>Established a semi-permanent installation or relocatable short-term and rap</li> <li>Developed proof of concept for detection options and response capabilities non-lethal to lethal tactical weapon systems, to protect personnel and assets environment.</li> </ul>	bidly installed perimeter security system. previously identified, to include the full spectrum against the terrorist threat in a waterside securit	y				
<ul> <li>Developed proof of concept for persistent surveillance, intrusion detection, or autonomous unmanned systems, chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, a</li> <li>Designed a software baseline that brings all of the Tactical Automated Secu Government configuration management and control.</li> <li>Developed a low frequency, single crystal-based, non-lethal to lethal scalab signal.</li> </ul>	and high-explosive and associated functions. urity System software versions back under					
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Develop an Integrated Waterside Security capability and conduct a concept</li> <li>Develop a near-shore unified tactical response capability.</li> </ul>	t demonstration.					
<ul><li>FY 2015 Plans:</li><li>Address technology gaps after Integrated Waterside Security concept demo</li></ul>	onstration.					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretar	y Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603161D8Z <i>I Nuclear and</i> <i>Conventional Physical Security/Equipment</i> <i>RDT&amp;E ADC&amp;P</i>			lame) Conventiona	l Physical
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Continue to develop a near-shore unified tactical response capability.					
Title: Storage and Safeguards			1.945	1.576	4.297
<b>Description:</b> Properly securing critical assets to prevent access by unau ensure access is limited to authorized persons is the foundation of physic (e.g., locks, doors, etc.) designed to delay or stop unauthorized entry / ac	cal security. This capability area will focus on equip				
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Advanced material accounting, inventory, and tracking methods using n safeguards and controls.</li> <li>Evaluated options for intercontinental ballistic missile launcher closure of Identified solutions for gaps in intercontinental ballistic missile security s detection systems, and response forces.</li> <li>Tested interior denial options for the intercontinental ballistic missile lau weapon system impact, cost and overall security performance.</li> </ul>	door/lock mechanism upgrades to improve delay fea system to include access delay features, intrusion				
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Develop specifications for Ordnance Storage and Operating Facilities th design requirements.</li> <li>Design a Semi-Hardened Prime Nuclear Air Force Secure Transport Co.</li> <li>Design a RFID Tagging for Items in Extreme Cold Storage (CONUS).</li> </ul>		y			
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Finalize design of a Semi-Hardened Prime Nuclear Air Force Secure Tr</li> <li>Design an internal delay capability for the Secure Transportable Mainte</li> <li>Design a RFID Tagging for Items in Extreme Cold Storage (OCONUS).</li> </ul>					
Title: Prevention			6.792	5.503	1.277
<b>Description:</b> The security procedures taken to discourage an adversary unauthorized access to critical assets are at the heart of prevention. This efforts which have the ability to influence multiple areas.					
<ul><li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li><li>Supported bi-lateral engagements for the successful DoD participation i</li></ul>	in Exercise Opal Tiger.				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary C	Of Defense	Date:	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603161D8Z <i>I Nuclear and</i> <i>Conventional Physical Security/Equipment</i> <i>RDT&amp;E ADC&amp;P</i>	<b>Project (Number</b> P162 <i>I Nuclear ar</i> Security	,	l Physical
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Established a Global Initiative to Combat Nuclear Terrorism Strategic Engr participation in radiation detection and forensics activities.</li> <li>Developed Inventory Management curriculum in conjunction with National</li> <li>Improved test and standard reference materials for National Technical Nuclear Lockdown efforts at Internationals Centers of Excellence.</li> <li>Investigated air assault threats and use modeling &amp; simulation to conduct combinations that offer the most cost-effective approach to counter those the</li> <li>Identified military, commercial and homemade explosives by integrating tw system.</li> <li>Provided federal physical security decision-makers the opportunity to obset force protection equipment available for procurement.</li> <li>Qualified for procurement an array of commercial off-the-shelf intrusion de capability gaps.</li> <li>Created a non-ionizing personnel scanner that can detect threats on the b</li> <li>Integrated security system components via wireless communications with</li> </ul>	Nuclear Security Administration clear Forensics simulation and exercise support. m development and support in conjunction with G effectiveness analyses to identify the weapon sys areats. vo identification technologies into one handheld ru erve and become familiar with commercial-off-the- stection and assessment equipment that addresse ody in a high throughput environment.	Global stem ugged -shelf es		
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Expand engagement opportunities with international partners in Nuclear S</li> <li>Develop nuclear threat-related scenarios &amp; use cases to frame Countering</li> </ul>		ent.		
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> • Expand engagement opportunities with international partners in Nuclear S	ecurity.			
Title: Decision Support Systems		4.996	6 4.049	5.261
<b>Description:</b> Decision support systems serve the management, operations enterprise to help to make decisions, which may be rapidly changing and no focus on command and control equipment and projects related to the creating and the establishment of common architectures / interface standards.	ot easily specified in advance. This capability area	a will		
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> • Advanced Integration of sensors, sensor systems and unmanned systems available Common Operating Pictures (COP) with in-depth security, surveill expeditionary elements.		/		

PE 0603161D8Z: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Equipme... UNCLASSIFIED Office of Secretary Of Defense Page 7 of 13

Volume 3 - 395

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of	Date: March 2014						
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4		<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P162 I Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<ul> <li>Provided DoD and industry the means to achieve Physical Security Equipm specifications.</li> <li>Designed the framework for the collection and consolidation of data from dis</li> <li>Trained and demonstrated the ability for marine mammal to perform a 24/7 localization mission.</li> </ul>	sparate small to large security systems.	ace					
FY 2014 Plans:							
<ul> <li>Develop capability to ensure threat alert and response systems are interoperaid partners in the local communities.</li> <li>Provide a backbone extending command and control and situational aware missile launch facility complex.</li> <li>Develop a risk analysis tool to help commanders' in the field make sound set.</li> <li>Develop a shared and automated content across the security domains and personnel vetting, access controls, insider threat prevention and enhanced set.</li> </ul>	eness within, between, and out to the edges of th ecurity decisions. functional areas, enabling more efficient and acc	e					
FY 2015 Plans:							
<ul> <li>Defense Security Enterprise Architecture (DSEA)</li> <li>Continue to develop a backbone extending command and control and situated edges of the missile launch facility complex.</li> <li>Continue to develop capability to ensure threat alert and response systems and mutual aid partners in the local communities.</li> </ul>	are interoperable with equipment used by the De						
<ul> <li>Finalize the development of a risk analysis tool to help commanders' in the</li> <li>Continue to develop a shared and automated content across the security do and accurate personnel vetting, access controls, insider threat prevention and</li> </ul>	ient						
Title: Analytical Support			3.800	3.079	4.608		
<b>Description:</b> This capability area will focus on studies related to physical sec related to day-to-day activities of the DoD Physical Security Equipment/Count		forts					
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Continued to conduct test and evaluation efforts for physical security equipr</li> <li>Continued to conduct live-fire and modeling tests of selected weapons, performance on findings.</li> </ul>		ased					

cretary Of Defense	D	ate: Ma	rch 2014			
0400 / 4 PE 0603161D8Z / Nuclear and P16						
	FY 20	)13	FY 2014	FY 2015		
aluation Program.	ds					
aluation Program	ds					
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subt	totals 29	9.919	24.243	28.58		
	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)         PE 0603161D8Z / Nuclear and         Conventional Physical Security/Equipment         RDT&E ADC&P         -The-Shelf (COTS) intrusion detection and assessment         Systems capability and sustainment gaps.         ility through the development of physical security standard         aluation Program.         ed RDT&E solutions and recommends priorities for the         ility through the development of physical security standard         aluation Program         ed RDT&E solutions and recommends priorities for the         Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub         gh the DoD Physical Security Enterprise and Analysis Gro	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)       Project (Num         PE 0603161D8Z / Nuclear and       Project (Num         Conventional Physical Security/Equipment       P162 / Nuclear         Security       Security         -The-Shelf (COTS) intrusion detection and assessment       Security         Systems capability and sustainment gaps.       FY 20         -The-Shelf (COTS) intrusion detection and assessment       Security         Systems capability and sustainment gaps.       illity through the development of physical security standards         aluation Program.       ed RDT&E solutions and recommends priorities for the         illity through the development of physical security standards       aluation Program         ed RDT&E solutions and recommends priorities for the       25         Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals       25         gh the DoD Physical Security Enterprise and Analysis Group (PSEAG).	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603161D8Z I Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Equipment RDT&E ADC&P       Project (Number/Na P162 I Nuclear and C Security         The-Shelf (COTS) intrusion detection and assessment Systems capability and sustainment gaps.       FY 2013         Ility through the development of physical security standards aluation Program. ed RDT&E solutions and recommends priorities for the ility through the development of physical security standards aluation Program ed RDT&E solutions and recommends priorities for the 29.919         Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals       29.919	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)       Project (Number/Name)         PE 0603161D8Z / Nuclear and       P162 / Nuclear and Conventional         Conventional Physical Security/Equipment       P162 / Nuclear and Conventional         Security       FY 2013         FY 2013       FY 2014         -The-Shelf (COTS) intrusion detection and assessment       FY 2013         Systems capability and sustainment gaps.       ility through the development of physical security standards         aluation Program.       ed RDT&E solutions and recommends priorities for the         ility through the development of physical security standards       aluation Program         ed RDT&E solutions and recommends priorities for the       ility standards		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	ustification	: PB 2015 (	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	Defense					Date: Ma	rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4					PE 060316	<b>am Elemen</b> 61D8Z / Nuc nal Physica DC&P	clear and	-		Number/Na NT Rad/Nuc	<b>me)</b> : Passive De	fense
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P041: CNT Rad/Nuc Passive Defense ADC&P	-	-	1.985	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
This project establishes a Defense requirement for CNT materiel dee Command and Navy Visit, Board required. B. Accomplishments/Planned F	velopment a l, Search, ai	and address nd Seizure,	ses the mate as well as t	eriel and su	istainment g	Japs for gen	eral purpos	e Joint Ford	ces, includi JS Special	ng the US A Operations	Army 20th Su	ipport
Title: CNT Rad/Nuc Passive Defe	• •	•							•	-	1.985	-
<b>Description:</b> Advanced Develope System and the Joint Personal D		nt Radiologi	cal and Nuc	lear passiv	ve defense s	systems (i.e.	. Radiologic	al Detection	ı			
The Radiological Detection Syste gamma radiation monitoring and						on, and Con	nputation fo	r real time				
The Joint Personal Dosimeter wil	l provide a j	joint solutio	n to increas	e capability	and reduce	e life-cycle c	osts.					
Both systems will address Opera sensitivity and common units of n		DACHI less	ons learned	for commo	on, interoper	able equipr	nent with ac	lequate				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Development of Joint Radiologica Personal Dosimeter)	al and Nucle	ear passive	defense sys	stems (i.e. I	Radiological	I Detection \$	System and	the Joint				
					Accomplis	shments/Pl	anned Prog	grams Sub	totals	-	1.985	-
<u>C. Other Program Funding Sum</u> N/A	nmary (\$ in	<u>Millions)</u>										
PE 0603161D8Z: Nuclear and Co	nventional l	Physical Se	curity/Equip	me UN	ICLASSIF	IED					Velu	mo 3 - 308

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of	Secretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603161D8Z <i>I Nuclear and</i> <i>Conventional Physical Security/Equipment</i> <i>RDT&amp;E ADC&amp;P</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P041 / CNT Rad/Nuc Passive Defense ADC&P
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)		
<u>Remarks</u>		
<u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A		
<b><u>E. Performance Metrics</u></b> The program performance metrics are established/approved three	ough the Countering Nuclear Threats Program Manager. Th	e cost, schedule and

technical progress is reviewed on a quarterly basis. Performance variances are addressed and corrective action(s) is(are) implemented as necessary.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4					<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603161D8Z <i>I Nuclear and</i> <i>Conventional Physical Security/Equipment</i> <i>RDT&amp;E ADC&amp;P</i>				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P040 I National Technical Nuclear Forensics Systems			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P040: National Technical Nuclear Forensics Systems	-	-	22.074	12.486	-	12.486	12.256	11.498	12.433	14.994	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Nuclear forensics is the thorough collection, analysis and evaluation of radiological and nuclear material in a pre-detonation state and post-detonation radiological or nuclear materials, devices and debris, as well as the immediate effects created by a nuclear detonation. The ability to identify the source of nuclear material from radioactive debris is critical to our national defense and security. Swift and accurate forensic and attribution (identification) capabilities are vital to developing an appropriate national response to a nuclear event and preventing future attacks in a timely manner.

Nuclear Terrorism is one of the most significant and pressing threats identified by national leadership. A credible nuclear forensics program is essential to preventing nuclear terrorism by deterring nations from sponsoring nuclear terrorism. During the Deputy Management Advisory Group process shortfalls and resources to close these gaps were identified and supported by the Deputy Secretary of Defense. The purpose of this program is to develop systems such as ground based Prompt Diagnostic sensors and Particulate Airborne Collection Systems to provide timely and accurate information to national leadership in the area of Nuclear Forensics.

Per DoDI 2060.04 OSD AT&L NCB is the program lead for the Department of Defense in Nuclear Forensics. NCB represents DoD interests in all areas of nuclear forensics but focuses heavily on post-detonation applications due to Presidential guidance assigning the department the lead role in develop, providing, and maintaining post detonation Nuclear Forensics capability.

This PE can fund travel to support the requirements of this program.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: National Technical Nuclear Forensics Systems	-	22.074	12.486
<b>Description:</b> Advanced development of ground based diagnostic and collection systems. This next generation technology will provide new information that increases accuracy and provides an improved timeline in support of senior leadership decision making.			
FY 2014 Plans:			

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense				Date: March 2014			
00 / 4 PE 0603161D8Z / Nuclear and P04				roject (Number/Name) 040 I National Technical Nuclear Forensio ystems			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
Development for a Particulate Airborne Collection System that allo providing samples for the forensics process. Installation, testing, a Diagnostic systems in various key metropolitan areas.							
Development of a Gaseous Airborne Collection System that provid capability to support collection requirements for treaty verification a		npling					
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Finish development of a Particulate Airborne Collection System that risk in providing samples for the forensics process. Installation, test Prompt Diagnostic systems in various key metropolitan areas.							
Continue to develop a Gaseous Airborne Collection System that pa sampling capability to support collection requirements for treaty ve							
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	ototals	-	22.074	12.48		
<ul> <li>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A</li> <li>Remarks</li> <li>D. Acquisition Strategy N/A</li> <li>E. Performance Metrics</li> <li>The program performance metrics are established/approved throu is reviewed on a quarterly basis. Performance variances are addread advanced development to meet critical needs.</li> </ul>							

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

UNCLASSIFIED

Volume 3 - 402

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iter	n Justificat	ion: PB 20	15 Office of	Secretary	Of Defense					Date: Mar	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)				A 4:	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603527D8Z / Retract Larch							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	20.431	18.889	19.139	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
P527: Retract Larch	20.431	18.889	19.139	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	0.000	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Starting in FY2015 and beyond, and streamlined oversight of pro <b>A. Mission Description and Bu</b> This program is reported in acco information, please contact the D	grammatic c dget Item Ju rdance with	ontent. <b>ustification</b> Title 10, Ur	iited States	Code, Sec	tion 119(a)(							
B. Program Change Summary	\$ in Million	s)		FY 2013	FY 201	<u>14</u> <u>F</u>	Y 2015 Ba	se	FY 2015 O	<u>co</u>	<u>FY 2015 To</u>	otal
Previous President's Bud Current President's Budg	get	- <del></del>		21.023 18.889	19.15 19.13		21.5	36 -		-	21.	536 -
Total Adjustments • Congressional ( • Congressional I • Congressional I	Directed Rec			-2.134 - -2.134 -	-0.01 -0.01	_	-21.5	36		-	-21.	536
Congressional				-		-						

Congressional Adds	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>Consolidation of Program Elements</li> </ul>	-	-	-21.536	-	-21.536

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

Starting in FY2015 and beyond, program content and funding from Program Element 0603257D8Z is moved to Program Element 0604942D8Z to effect efficiencies and streamlined oversight of programmatic content.

C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities in Each)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Retarct Larch	18.889	19.139	-

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense			Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603527D8Z <i>I Retract Larch</i>					
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions, Article Quantities	-	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
Description: Not applicable. Information Classified	Articles:	-	-	-		
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Not applicable. Information Classified						
FY 2014 Plans: Not applicable. Information Classified						
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	18.889	19.139	-		
D. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks E. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable. Classified F. Performance Metrics Not Applicable. Classified						

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificat	ion: PB 20	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Ma	rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te Advanced Component Developme				A 4:	<b>R-1 Progra</b> PE 060360		t (Number/ LKOFF	Name)				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	176.344	84.174	63.763	90.558	-	90.558	88.324	84.751	89.483	95.082	2 Continuing	Continuin
600: WALKOFF	176.344	84.174	63.763	90.558	-	90.558	88.324	84.751	89.483	95.082	2 Continuing	Continuin
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will <u>A. Mission Description and Bud</u> Classified, Special Access Progra	lget Item Ju											
B. Program Change Summary (	\$ in Million	s)		FY 2013	<u>FY 201</u>	<u>4 F</u>	Y 2015 Ba	<u>se</u>	FY 2015 O	<u>co</u>	<u>FY 2015 T</u>	<u>otal</u>
Previous President's Budg		-+		94.624	70.76	3	103.08	34		-	103.	084
Current President's Budge				84.174	63.76	3	90.5	58		-	90.	558
Total Adjustments				-10.450	-7.00	0	-12.5	26		-	-12.	526
<ul> <li>Congressional G</li> </ul>	General Red	uctions		-	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional D</li> </ul>		luctions		-8.280	-7.00	0						
<ul> <li>Congressional R</li> </ul>				-	-							
Congressional A				-	-							
Congressional D		nsfers		-	-							
Reprogramming				-	-							
SBIR/STTR Tran				-2.133 -0.037	-		-12.5	20			40	506
Departmental Action	ajustments			-0.037	-		-12.5/	20		-	-12.	520
C. Accomplishments/Planned P	<u> Programs (</u> \$	in Million	<u>s)</u>						FY	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<i>Title:</i> WALKOFF										84.174	63.763	90.558
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Classifed, Special Access Progra	m.											
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Classifed, Special Access Progra	m.											
FY 2015 Plans: Classifed, Special Access Progra	m.											
elacolica, opecial / leccor / logia												

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secreta	ary Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603600D8Z / WALKOFF	
D. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A		
<u>Remarks</u>		
<u>E. Acquisition Strategy</u> Classified, Special Access Program.		
F. Performance Metrics		
Classified, Special Access Program.		

Appropriation/Budget Activity					R-1 Progra	am Flemen	t (Number/	Name)				
0400: Research, Development, Te Advanced Component Developme		,		SA 4:	PE 060371				ions Progra	nm		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	36.298	17.407	19.190	15.518	-	15.518	15.941	16.586	17.511	18.607	Continuing	Continuing
714: Advanced Sensor Applications Program	36.298	17.407	19.190	15.518	-	15.518	15.941	16.586	17.511	18.607	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wi	ll be submitt	ed at a late	r date.									
In coordination with an internation requirements identified in Joint V Fleet ASW Integrated Prioritized	ision 2020, t	he Defense										
		s <u>)</u>		<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 201</u>	<u> 4</u> <u>F</u>	Y 2015 Ba	<u>se</u> l	FY 2015 OC	<u>00</u>	FY 2015 To	otal
Previous President's Budg	get	<u>s)</u>		16.958	17.23	30	17.6	64	FY 2015 OC	<u>- 00</u>	17.	664
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge	get	<u>s)</u>		16.958 17.407	17.23 19.19	30 90	17.6 15.5	64 18	FY 2015 OC	<u>-</u> -	17. 15.	664 518
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments	get et	-		16.958 17.407 0.449	17.23	30 90	17.6	64 18	FY 2015 OC	<u>-</u> - -	17. 15.	664
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G	get et General Redu	uctions		16.958 17.407 0.449	17.23 19.19 1.96	30 90 60	17.6 15.5	64 18	FY 2015 OC	<u>-</u> - -	17. 15.	664 518
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional C • Congressional D	get et General Red Directed Red	uctions		16.958 17.407 0.449 - -1.544	17.23 19.19 1.96 - -0.04	30 90 50 - 10	17.6 15.5	64 18	FY 2015 OC	<u>-</u> - -	17. 15.	664 518
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional C • Congressional D • Congressional R	get et General Red Directed Red Rescissions	uctions		16.958 17.407 0.449 - -1.544	17.23 19.19 1.96 - -0.04	30 30 30 50 - 40	17.6 15.5	64 18	FY 2015 OC	<u>-</u> - -	17. 15.	664 518
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional C • Congressional D • Congressional R • Congressional A	get et General Redu Directed Red Rescissions Adds	uctions uctions		16.958 17.407 0.449 - -1.544	17.23 19.19 1.96 - -0.04	30 30 30 50 - 40	17.6 15.5	64 18	FY 2015 OC	<u>-</u> - -	17. 15.	664 518
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional C • Congressional D • Congressional R	get Seneral Red Directed Red Rescissions Adds Directed Trar	uctions uctions		16.958 17.407 0.449 - -1.544	17.23 19.19 1.96 - -0.04	30 30 30 50 - 40	17.6 15.5	64 18	FY 2015 OC	<u>-</u> - -	17. 15.	664 518
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional C • Congressional R • Congressional A • Congressional D	get General Red Directed Red Rescissions Adds Directed Trar s	uctions uctions		16.958 17.407 0.449 - 1.544 - 2.000 - - -	17.23 19.19 1.96 - -0.04	30 30 30 50 - 40	17.6 15.5	64 18	FY 2015 OC	<u>-</u> - -	17. 15.	664 518
Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional D • Congressional R • Congressional A • Congressional D • Reprogramming	get General Red Directed Red Rescissions Adds Directed Trar s nsfer	uctions uctions		16.958 17.407 0.449 - -1.544	17.23 19.19 1.96 - -0.04	30 30 30 50 - 40	17.6 15.5	54 18 46	FY 2015 OC	<u>-</u> -	17. 15. -2.	664 518
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional D • Congressional R • Congressional A • Congressional D • Reprogramming • SBIR/STTR Trar • Departmenal Ad	get General Red Directed Red Rescissions Adds Directed Trar s nsfer ljustments	uctions uctions nsfers		16.958 17.407 0.449 - 1.544 - 2.000 - - -	17.23 19.19 1.96 - -0.04	30 30 30 50 - 40	17.6 15.5 -2.1	54 18 46		-	17. 15. -2.	664 518 146
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional D • Congressional R • Congressional A • Congressional D • Reprogramming • SBIR/STTR Tran • Departmenal Ad	get Seneral Red Directed Red Rescissions Adds Directed Trar s nsfer ljustments	uctions uctions nsfers		16.958 17.407 0.449 - 1.544 - 2.000 - - -	17.23 19.19 1.96 - -0.04	30 30 30 50 - 40	17.6 15.5 -2.1	54 18 46		-	17. 15. -2. -2.	664 518 146 146
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional D • Congressional R • Congressional A • Congressional D • Reprogramming • SBIR/STTR Trar	get Seneral Red Directed Red Rescissions Adds Directed Trar s nsfer ljustments <b>Programs (\$</b> ons Program	uctions uctions nsfers <u>in Millions</u>	5)	16.958 17.407 0.449 - 1.544 - 2.000 - - -	17.23 19.19 1.96 - -0.04	30 30 30 50 - 40	17.6 15.5 -2.1	54 18 46		- - - 2013	17. 15. -2. -2. FY 2014	664 518 146 146 <b>FY 2015</b>

Appropriation/Budget Activity	ary Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603714D8Z <i>I Advanced Sensor Applications P</i>	rogram		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 201
Mission Support (Details provided in Defense-Wide classified book)				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Mission Support (Details provided in Defense-Wide classified book)				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	17.407	19.190	15.5
N/A <u>Remarks</u> <u>E. Acquisition Strategy</u> Details provided in Defense-Wide classified book.				
<u>Performance Metrics</u> Numbers of operational field demonstrations; actual/in-kind resource sharir alse-positive results; and technology transfers.	ng differential among participating entities; studies produ	ced; success	ful anomalv d	etections

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificat	<b>ion:</b> PB 20 <sup>2</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Mare	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te Advanced Component Developme						<b>am Elemen</b> 51D8Z <i>I Env</i>			chnology C	ertification I	Program	
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	61.838	67.998	66.453	51.462	-	51.462	52.190	54.130	57.549	62.143	Continuing	Continuing
P514: Environmental Security Technology Certification Program	61.838	67.998	66.453	51.462	-	51.462	52.190	54.130	57.549	62.143	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

(U) ESTCP demonstrates and validates the most promising innovative environmental and energy technologies that target DoD's most urgent needs. Technologies selected are projected to provide a return on the investment through cost savings and improved efficiencies. The program responds to: (1) Congressional concern over the slow pace of remediation of environmentally polluted sites on military installations, (2) Congressional direction to conduct demonstrations specifically focused on emerging new technologies, and (3) the need to improve defense readiness by reducing the drain on the Department's operation and maintenance dollars caused by environmental restoration, waste management, and the cost of energy. Preference for demonstrations is given to technologies that have successfully completed all necessary research and development objectives, and address the highest priority DoD requirements.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015 Base</u>	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	75.941	71.453	60.414	-	60.414
Current President's Budget	67.998	66.453	51.462	-	51.462
Total Adjustments	-7.943	-5.000	-8.952	-	-8.952
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>FY 13 Program Adjustment</li> </ul>	-7.943	-	-	-	-
<ul> <li>FY 14 Program Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-5.000	-	-	-
<ul> <li>FY 15 Strategic Efficiency Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-	-8.952	-	-8.952

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

FY 13 and 14 program decreases are a result of General Congressional reductions.

FY 2015 Funding decreased to match the department's mission priorities.

Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4					PE 060385	<b>am Elemen</b> 51D8Z I Env y Certificatio	vironmental	Security	Project (N P514 / Env Certificatio	vironmental	ne) Security Tee	chnology
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P514: Environmental Security Technology Certification Program	61.838	67.998	66.453	51.462	-	51.462	52.190	54.130	57.549	62.143	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wil A. Mission Description and Bud							·			<u>.</u>		

(U) ESTCP demonstrates and validates the most promising innovative environmental and energy technologies that target DoD's most urgent needs. Technologies selected are projected to provide a return on the investment through cost savings and improved efficiencies. The program responds to: (1) Congressional concern over the slow pace of remediation of environmentally polluted sites on military installations, (2) Congressional direction to conduct demonstrations specifically focused on emerging new technologies, and (3) the need to improve defense readiness by reducing the drain on the Department's operation and maintenance dollars caused by environmental restoration, waste management, and the cost of energy. Preference for demonstrations is given to technologies that have successfully completed all necessary research and development objectives, and address the highest priority DoD requirements.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Environmental Technology Demonstration/Validation	39.444	34.453	28.415
<b>Description:</b> Funds are programmed for investments in projects that address priority DoD environmental requirements. The focus of the program is on live site UXO discrimination demonstrations, addressing emerging and recalcitrant cleanup issues, range sustainment technologies, and reducing life cycle costs of DoD weapon systems by eliminating hazardous materials. Accomplishments/plans are described for each FY below.			
<b>FY 2013</b> Accomplishments: In FY 2013 projects were funded to address priority DoD environmental requirements. Focused new investment topics for FY 2013 included: 1) Remediation of Contaminated Groundwater; 2) In Situ Management of Contaminated Sediments; 3) Characterization, Control, and Treatment of Range Contamination; 4) Watershed Management Tools for DoD Installations; 4) Alternatives to Cadmium Plating in Manufacturing and Maintenance of Weapons Systems; and 5) Military Munitions Detection, Discrimination, and Remediation. Details are provided at www.serdp-estcp.org			
<i>FY 2014 Plans:</i> Funds are planned for continued investment in projects that address priority DoD environmental requirements. Focused new investment topics for FY 2014 include: 1) Remediation of Contaminated Groundwater; 2) In Situ Management of Contaminated Sediments; 3) Airfield Natural Resources Managemen to Reduce Bird Air-Strike Hazard (BASH) Threats; 4) Wastewater Treatment at DoD Facilities; and 5) Military Munitions Detection, Discrimination, and Remediation. Funding in FY 2014 also			

PE 0603851D8Z: *Environmental Security Technology Certification Pr...* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603851D8Z <i>I Environmental Security</i> <i>Technology Certification Program</i>	P514 /	t (Number/N Environment cation Progra	tal Security To	echnology
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
supports live site UXO demonstrations. This effort will transition innovative tech response liabilities by approximately 75% with an expected cost savings of \$10 estcp.org.		ions			
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> Funds are planned for continued investment in projects that address priority Do topics for FY 2015 include: 1) Remediation of Contaminated Groundwater; 2) In 3) Characterization, Control, and Treatment of Range Contamination; and 4) M Remediation.	n Situ Management of Contaminated Sedimer	its;			
Title: Energy Technology Demonstration/Validation			28.554	32.000	23.047
<b>Description:</b> Funds are programmed for investments in projects that respond to increase energy efficiency, reduce installation energy intensity, increase the security. Emerging energy technologies offer DoD a cost effective opportunity consumption and improved energy security on its installations while reducing erections while reducing energy 2013 Accomplishments: In FY 2013 funds were invested in energy projects that constitute the Installation is validating and testing the operational cost and performance of innovative energy environment so as to reduce risk, overcome the barriers to deployment, and fair program exploits the Department's existing built infrastructure to test energy effective areas: component technologies (i.e., HVAC, lighting, distributed energy gesign, control, and management; and installation-level smart micro-grid technic evaluate energy technologies under the varied climatic conditions and building are: 1) competitive selection of new technologies, 2) systematic and consistent readiness and life cycle costs, and 3) development of guidance and design information. This process has been developed, piloted, and validated through previous Condemonstrations can be found at www.serdp-estcp.org.	use of renewable energy, and improve energy to meet these requirements for reduced energy energy and operational costs. In Energy Test Bed Initiative. The test bed pro- ergy technologies in a real-world integrated bu- cilitate wide-scale deployment. The DoD test ficiency and renewable energy technologies in generation); system approaches to building en- ologies. It is a distributed test bed designed to types DoD manages. The test beds key elem evaluation to determine performance, operation promation for future deployment across installated	y gram ilding bed ergy o ents onal			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Funds are planned to continue investments in energy projects that constitute the test bed program will validate and test the operational cost and performance of integrated building environment so as to reduce risk, overcome the barriers to the DoD test bed program exploits the Department's existing built infrastructure technologies. In Fy 2014 ESTCP solicited proposals in two areas: 1) Smart and	innovative energy technologies in a real-work deployment, and facilitate wide-scale deploym e to test energy efficiency and renewable ene	ent. rgy			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secreta	ry Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603851D8Z <i>I Environmental Security</i> <i>Technology Certification Program</i>	P514 / I	t <b>(Number/N</b> Environmen ation Progra	tal Security T	echnology
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
and 2) Advanced Building Energy Management and Control. It is a distri- under the varied climatic conditions and building types DoD manages. The of new technologies, 2) systematic and consistent evaluation to determine costs, and 3) development of guidance and design information for future developed, piloted, and validated through previous Congressional funding www.serdp-estcp.org.	he test beds key elements are: 1) competitive select ne performance, operational readiness and life cycle deployment across installations. This process has	tion been			
FY 2015 Plans: Funds are planned to continue investments in energy projects that const	itute the Installation Energy Test Bed Initiative.				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	ototals	67.998	66.453	51.462
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy					

ESTCP solicits proposals from all DoD organizations, other Federal Agencies, and the commercial sector. Projects are selected based on an annual competitive process through reviews by multi-agency panels.

#### E. Performance Metrics

Performance in this program is monitored at two levels. At the lowest level, each individual project is measured against technical and financial milestones on a quarterly and annual basis. At a program-wide level, progress is measured against DoD's environmental requirements and the demonstration and transition of technologies that address these requirements.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificat	ion: PB 20 <sup>-</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te Advanced Component Developme				A 4:			<b>t (Number/</b> manitarian D	,				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	14.540	11.741	11.688	10.194	-	10.194	9.192	9.548	10.080	10.712	Continuing	Continuing
920: Humanitarian De-mining	14.540	11.741	11.688	10.194	-	10.194	9.192	9.548	10.080	10.712	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Humanitarian Demining Research and Development (HD R&D) program element rapidly develops, demonstrates and validates new technologies for DoDsupported nations to detect and clear landmines and unexploded ordnance (UXO), and to contribute to US military countermine R&D. The HD R&D Program focuses on development of new technologies to improve the efficiency and safety of indigenous nation-conducted, post-conflict clearance of residual mines and UXO, which pose a serious threat to US forces conducting stability operations, and to the host nation's population and economy.

Evaluations of HD R&D Program-developed technologies in actual minefields are conducted by host nation demining partners (foreign military, non-governmental organizations and mine action centers) and provide valuable data for US military countermine R&D and next generation HD technology developments while directly contributing to world-wide mine and UXO clearance. Since 1995 the program has fielded technologies for 163 evaluations in 36 countries, including Iraq and Afghanistan. The program's technologies have cleared 21+ million sq meters of the world's toughest minefields; found or destroyed 101,000+ mines and UXO; and provided 306,000 mine/UXO disposal charges with 36 tons of explosive recovered from stockpiles and abandoned munitions in PACOM.

Under the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low-Intensity Conflict (OASD SO/LIC), the HD R&D Program works closely with the COCOMS and the Humanitarian Demining Training Center (HDTC) to support the Warfighter by developing and implementing mine/UXO detection and clearance technologies; speeding improvements to technologies used by U.S. forces in support of USG operations; reducing the threat to host nation population and US forces; reducing insurgent access to explosives (landmines and UXO); enhancing mine action capacity of non-governmental organizations and mine action centers in mine-affected countries; and providing engagement opportunities for DoD personnel in mine-affected countries.

Areas of emphasis are identified and validated at a biennial Requirements Workshop held by OASD SO/LIC. The Requirements Workshop involves representatives from Department of State (DoS), U.S. combatant commands (COCOMS) and mine-affected nations.

The program element's work is consistent with the Department of Defense's strategic guidance to address instability and reduce the demand for significant US force commitments to stability operations; with DODI 3000.05 to foster security, economic security and development, and build indigenous capacity; and with § 407 and CJCSI 3207.01C to reduce the social, economic and environmental impact of landmines, unexploded ordnance and small arms ammunition.

	Office of Secretary	Ot Defense			Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>ppropriation/Budget Activity</b> 400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense- dvanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)			ement (Number/Name) I Humanitarian De-mini				
. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 201	15 OCO	FY 2015 1	<u>Fotal</u>
Previous President's Budget	13.231	11.704	11.607		-	11	.607
Current President's Budget	11.741	11.688	10.194		-	10	.194
Total Adjustments	-1.490	-0.016	-1.413		-	-1	.413
Congressional General Reductions	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-1.490	-0.016					
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-					
Congressional Adds	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-					
Reprogrammings	-	-					
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-					
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-	-1.413		-	-1	.413
The FY 2015 budget was reduced due to fiscal cons . Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	traints and higher p	priorities within the	Department .	[	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
·	traints and higher p	Driorities within the	Department .		<b>FY 2013</b> 11.741	<b>FY 2014</b> 11.688	<b>FY 2015</b> 10.19
. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	the-shelf equipment ion and Electronic structures	nt, integrates matu Sensors Directora KO detection, tech	re technologies, and lev te (NVESD) Tactical Co nical survey/area reduct	untermine ion,			

#### FY 2014 Plans:

hibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: March 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603920D8Z <i>I Humanitarian De-mining</i>			
<b>C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)</b> The HD R&D Program will deploy new technology to several countries, includ Afghanistan, the Loader to Chile, the Rebel Crusher to Iraq, and the Piranha a will continue to support ongoing FY2013 operational field evaluations in 12 co and Embassy staffs by conducting site surveys or country assessments. The prototype technologies in the following areas: individual mine/UXO and minefic clearance, mine neutralization, and post-clearance quality assurance (QA).	and Bearcat to Cambodia. The program element untries and will support the combatant commands program will develop, test and evaluate new	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> The HD R&D Program will complete ongoing equipment developments/modifi from FY2014. The HD R&D will support the combatant commands and Emba country assessments. The program will develop, test and evaluate new proto field in the following areas: individual mine/UXO and minefield detection, mec neutralization, and post-clearance quality assurance (QA).	ssy staffs by conducting new site surveys or type technologies based on feedback from the			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	11.741	11.688	10.19

### D. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

<u>Remarks</u>

### E. Acquisition Strategy

Following a rapid prototyping strategy, the program emphasizes the use/modification of existing, commercially-available items and components to build functional prototype equipment suited for humanitarian demining operations. This approach is required due to the immediate need for new demining technologies in the face of ongoing U.S. forces and host nation citizen casualties in mine-affected countries. The program evaluates prototype equipment by acquiring it off-the-shelf from industry using competition to the extent possible, by leveraging ongoing countermine R&D efforts in other U.S. and foreign R&D activities, and by taking advantage of extensive in-house fabrication capabilities at the Army's Night Vision and Electronic Sensors Division (NVESD).

### F. Performance Metrics

Long Term Strategies: Obtain adequate funding to support critical shortfalls; prioritize proposals that are deemed acceptable and allocate funding accordingly; and establish outreach programs to leverage institutional knowledge and expertise.

Performance Indicator and Rating: FY 2013 Target: 90% of currently funded research technologies are completed on time and within budget Complete scheduled R&D project tasks

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secreta	ary Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603920D8Z <i>I Humanitarian De-mining</i>	
Transition field-ready technologies to host nation demining partners		
FY 2014 Target: 90% of currently funded research technologies are completed on time and v Complete scheduled R&D project tasks Transition field-ready technologies to host nation demining partners Conduct biennial Humanitarian R&D Program Requirements Workshop	within budget	
Basis of FY 2013 to Date Performance Rating: Currently the number of fund	ded research technologies is on track to be complete	ed per the target.
Verification: The Humanitarian Demining Program performs program review PACOM, SOUTHCOM, AFRICOM, EUCOM) and has oversight from OSD \$		SA/LW, DSCA, HDTC, CENTCOM,
Validation: Completed R&D products increase the capabilities of the DoD to	effectively perform demining missions.	

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense							Date: Marc	e: March 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)			A 4:		am Elemen 23D8Z / Coa	•	•						
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
Total Program Element	11.389	10.559	9.827	10.139	-	10.139	10.420	10.531	11.013	11.310	Continuing	Continuing	
P923: Coalition Warfare	11.389	10.559	9.827	10.139	-	10.139	10.420	10.531	11.013	11.310	Continuing	Continuing	

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Current U.S. military strategy and the global security environment make coalition warfare and multinational operations fundamental features of the U.S. national security strategy. Coalitions provide a broad base of technological, operational, and logistical support for military operations and ease the U.S. financial and manpower burdens associated with meeting military goals and objectives. U.S. strategic guidance confirms that coalitions and relationships with international partners are high priorities for the nation and the Department of Defense.

The Coalition Warfare Program (CWP) responds to this guidance by supporting DoD organizations that: 1) work with foreign partners to collaboratively address strategic technology gaps for current and future missions; 2) develop interoperability solutions for coalition operations; and 3) develop and strengthen defense relationships. CWP is the only Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) program with this mission. CWP supplements a U.S. Government proponent's funding for cooperative efforts, ensuring U.S. funds are sufficient to complete the engagement with the foreign partner(s). When CWP funds are used to help fund a cooperative project, that project leverages technical and financial contributions of the foreign partner(s) and speeds up the development and delivery of technical solutions to the warfighter. In its thirteen-year history, CWP has leveraged \$4 of foreign partner funding for every \$1 of U.S. Government investment, and \$3 of other U.S. Government funding for every \$1 CWP has invested in cooperative projects.

CWP funding enables DoD project teams to move a technology into the next stage of development or to complete and transition a technology to operational forces. These projects may also form the basis for future cooperation with international partners.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 O	ffice of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-W Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)		ement (Number/Name) I Coalition Warfare			
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	11.398	9.842	12.438	-	12.438
Current President's Budget	10.559	9.827	10.139	-	10.139
Total Adjustments	-0.839	-0.015	-2.299	-	-2.299
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.594	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.245	-			
<ul> <li>Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-0.860	-	-0.860
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-	-1.439	-	-1.439
• FFRDC	-	-0.015	-	-	-

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: Marc	ch 2014	)14			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4						<b>am Elemen</b> 23D8Z / Coa	•	•			nber/Name) tion Warfare		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P923: Coalition Warfare	11.389	10.559	9.827	10.139	-	10.139	10.420	10.531	11.013	11.310	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Current U.S. military strategy and the global security environment make coalition warfare and multinational operations fundamental features of the U.S. national security strategy. Coalitions provide a broad base of technological, operational, and logistical support for military operations and ease the U.S. financial and manpower burdens associated with meeting military goals and objectives. U.S. strategic guidance confirms that coalitions and relationships with international partners are high priorities for the nation and the Department of Defense.

The Coalition Warfare Program (CWP) responds to this guidance by supporting DoD organizations that: 1) work with foreign partners to collaboratively address strategic technology gaps for current and future missions; 2) develop interoperability solutions for coalition operations; and 3) develop and strengthen defense relationships. CWP is the only Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) program with this mission. CWP supplements a U.S. Government proponent's funding for cooperative efforts, ensuring U.S. funds are sufficient to complete the engagement with the foreign partner(s). When CWP funds are used to help fund a cooperative project, that project leverages technical and financial contributions of the foreign partner(s) and speeds up the development and delivery of technical solutions to the warfighter. In its thirteen-year history, CWP has leveraged \$4 of foreign partner funding for every \$1 of U.S. Government investment, and \$3 of other U.S. Government funding for every \$1 CWP has invested in cooperative projects.

CWP funding enables DoD project teams to move a technology into the next stage of development or to complete and transition a technology to operational forces. These projects may also form the basis for future cooperation with international partners.

		r	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Previous Year Continuing Projects	8.805	3.105	
Description: Program provided additional funding to projects that began in earlier selection cycles.			
<b>FY 2013</b> Accomplishments: Completion of efforts that will result in delivery of: an improved small directional battlefield antenna; a portable power system utilizing renewable energy; fused data from sensor networks to characterize the ionosphere over the African continent; and a satellite angular mapping tool to characterize coastlines for targeting and for shore assault operations technologies.			
FY 2014 Plans:			
	1	I	

Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603923D8Z / Coalition Warfare		Project (Number/Name) P923 / Coalition Warfare		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions	1		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
	fast running model for blast propagation through failing blast door le of operating at low frequency; new body armor design with enha arines.				
Title: Project Selections			-	0.650	3.599
Description: Program will conduct competitive nomination	n process to identify new projects.				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Projects selected based on COCOM, Service, Joint Staff,	OSD, and DoD Agency priorities and requirements.				
FY 2015 Plans: Projects selected based on COCOM, Service, Joint Staff,	OSD, and DoD Agency priorities and requirements.				
Title: Advanced Refractive Effects Prediction System Rad	dar Modeling Enhancement/Validation		0.040	0.230	0.52
<b>Description:</b> A project to improve radar modeling as affe improved situational awareness of the actual detection ca	cted by current meteorological and oceanographic conditions, ena pability of current radars.	bling			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Collaboration with foreign partners and project initiation.					
FY 2014 Plans: Laboratory analyses and software development.					
FY 2015 Plans: Continued software development, afloat demonstration/as					
Title: Broadband Infrared - Active Standoff Detection Sys	tem		0.260	0.490	0.75
<b>Description:</b> A project to develop a rugged all fiber-optic standoff detection of explosives, chemical warfare agents	, high power, broadly tunable long wavelength infrared prototype for, and non-traditional agents, on environmental surfaces.	or			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Initial program planning; preliminary design and fabrication	n of hardware.				
FY 2014 Plans: Continued hardware development and initial testing of co	mponents.				
FY 2015 Plans:					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015	Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603923D8Z / Coalition Warfare	Project (Number/Name) P923 / Coalition Warfare			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Million	<u>is)</u>	[	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Final development and testing of system to include testi agent simulants and explosives.	ng for stand-off detection of chemical warfare agents/chemical warfa	are			
Title: Cipher-Text Coalition Agility in Protected Environm	nent		-	0.675	0.675
<b>Description:</b> A project to enable network interoperabilit between Cipher-Text networks.	y between submarine forces by developing secure coalition interope	rability			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Project management, engineering labor, design plannin	g, development and testing.				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Project management, information assurance accreditation documentation and reports.	on, operational demonstration, engineering labor, system integratior	I,			
Title: Enhanced Urban Propagation Modeling			0.054	0.125	0.07
<b>Description:</b> A project to develop a software tool that in time communications connectivity information in dense	nproves how the Brigade level Spectrum Manager obtains accurate, urban settings.	real-			
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> Begin to enhance the current urban propagation model	and to define the coalition deployment scenario.				
<i>FY 2014 Plans:</i> Continue enhancements to enable models to run on sm deployment scenario.	all devices at ground level. Complete the definition of the coalition				
FY 2015 Plans: Define laptop devices requirements for communications Consolidate the results of spectrum management studie	capabilities via advanced spectrum management capabilities. es and provide recommendations.				
Title: H-1 Navigation Warfare for GPS Anti-jam			0.035	0.265	0.180
<b>Description:</b> A project to develop a small GPS anti-jam rotor blade environment.	solution for the H-1 Cobra helicopter that protects GPS in a fast hel	icopter			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Project management and initial coordination.					
FY 2014 Plans:					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Secretary Of Defense	Date: N	1arch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603923D8Z / Coalition Warfare		ect (Number/Name) 3 I Coalition Warfare		
<b>B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)</b> Prototype testing of multiple small GPS anti-jam systems. Evaluation	ation of CDC anti iom algorithms	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> Development of GPS anti-jam algorithms for operation in a fast robased upon previous year test results.		del			
Title: High Speed Multi Hull Vessel Optimization		0.150	0.600	0.750	
<b>Description:</b> A project to conduct parametric experiments to syst validation data for optimization algorithms and numerical tools us ships.		aval			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Begin test planning, detailed scaled-model design for construction	n, and instrumentation selection.				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Test planning, model construction, test preparation and execution of hydrodynamic performance (maneuvering).	n of scaled-physical model experiments, to obtain paramet	ric data			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Analysis of scaled-physical model experiment test data, correlation the results.	on to numerical simulations, and delivery of a technical rep	ort of			
Title: Integrated Autonomous Undersea Warfare Surveillance		0.075	0.075	0.150	
<b>Description:</b> A project to research the synergistic effects of multi integrates a miniature magnetic sensor with an acoustic line array					
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Initial hardware and software checkout and updating.					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Build and test resource interface. Research, develop and implementation of the second seco	nent algorithms.				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Pretest testing and checkout. Conduct exercise and post test ret	rieve data,				
Title: Laser Dazzle		_	0.500	0.500	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603923D8Z / Coalition Warfare		ct (Number/N Coalition Wa		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Description:</b> A project to quantify atmospheric effects on laser product in land and maritime scenarios; provide a human systems laser dazzle.					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Experimental design, protocol preparation, optical and HSI engine to develop and commence validation of the laser model.	eering, data collection and analysis, and integration/interpr	etation			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Conduct field experiments to validate the laser dazzle model. Dereports documenting all results.	velop a computational visual obscuration calculator. Com	plete			
Title: Next-Gen Nuclear Flash Blindness Optical Protection Tech	nology		0.120	0.380	0.50
<b>Description:</b> A project to develop and demonstrate battery-opera wind-dust (SWD) goggle, spectacle) that meet existing protection		sand-			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Research and development fast-switching twisted nematic optica	l elements.				
<i>FY 2014 Plans:</i> Research and development of design consideration of twisted ne	matic optical elements on curved substrates.				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Manufacture prototype spectacles and SWD goggles. Laboratory	/ and field testing.				
Title: North America Arctic Next Generation Over-the-Horizon Ra	ıdar		0.075	0.465	0.42
<b>Description:</b> A project to develop a scalable solution for persiste northern latitudes of North America.	nt wide-area, low-altitude air and maritime surveillance in t	he			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Negotiate land-lease agreements for suitable real estate for multi	-static receive site.				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Design and assemble monostatic transmit/receive system; develor measurement test in border region; construct receive site; and de					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office o	f Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603923D8Z / Coalition Warfare		ct (Number/N Coalition W		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Plan and conduct bi-static test and analyze data; plan and cond static and bi-static data collection and analysis; refine and evalu clutter reduction on detection capability and write, coordinate, a work.	uate advanced signal processing algorithms; US assessment	t of			
Title: Radar Obstructions Evaluation Model/Simulator (ROEMS	5)		-	0.150	-
<b>Description:</b> A project to further develop and test the prototype wind farms on radar system performance and air defense mission		oposed			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Develop an APG-68 radar model compatible with the ROEMS e integrate the APG-68 into an analysis scenario.	environment. Develop a 3D airborne modeling capability to				
Title: Raman Agent Monitoring System (RAMS) for Coalition Fe	orce Protection		-	0.400	0.400
<b>Description:</b> A project to further develop, dismantle and modul Detector system to provide advanced capabilities, reduce size		!			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Refurbish Joint Contaminated Surface Detector system as U.S. modularization plans, designs, and performance testing.	. test bed for modularization and laser evaluation. Develop				
FY 2015 Plans:					
Collect library spectra of an additional 50 chemical threats as w library. Refine existing algorithms to address additional chemical threat		tion			
<i>Title:</i> Surface Persistent Ocean Target TrackER) Project - Auto Video		ance	-	0.213	0.037
<b>Description:</b> A Navy project with Singapore to develop an implication targets at stand-off distances, and then use those results to help		nall			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Optimize and test RAPIER full motion video for a small target s	et. Integrate and Experiment with live sequential processing				
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> Final analysis and report.					
Title: Tactical Infrastructure Enterprise Services			-	0.500	0.500

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secr		Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0603923D8Z / Coalition Warfare		ect (Number/N I Coalition Wa		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Description:</b> A Joint Staff, J7 and Army project with France to develor an individual, organization or device, and an automated element level and NATO					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Develop data transformation software and CONOPS. Conduct techn	ical tests and demonstrations.				
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> Analyze test data. Develop identity management and access control	software. Conduct final test and draft documentation.				
Title: Coalition Warfare Program (CWP) Support			0.511	0.521	0.531
<b>Description:</b> Program funds contractors to support CWP program macconsistent with the policies and principles articulated in Department of progress toward goals and objectives as well as tracking project budgerisk to higher authorities; briefing and providing recommendations to a continuing CWP projects; supporting periodic CWP meetings to foster with foreign partners; supporting CWP proposal selection process and briefing program stakeholders on the status of CWP projects and interservice, Agency, and OSD personnel about the CWP and the opport	of Defense directives and regulations; monitoring project get execution; providing assessment of program status the Director, International Cooperation concerning new r international cooperation and improve U.S. interoper d coordinating financial activities at the OUSD(AT&L) I properability initiatives; educating Combatant Comman	ct s and v and ability evel;			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Contractor provided management support of the CWP, to include eva meetings and events, and monitoring and managing projects' technic					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Contractor will continue to provide management support of the CWP, RDT&E meetings and events, and monitoring and managing projects		nding			
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> Contractor will continue to provide management support of the CWP, RDT&E meetings and events, and monitoring and managing projects		nding			
Title: Interoperability and Collaboration Initiatives			0.434	0.483	0.548
<b>Description:</b> Program provides funds in support of new or planned a interoperability early in the requirements or technical development ph					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secret	Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0603923D8Z / Coalition Warfare	-	Project (Number/Name) 1923 I Coalition Warfare		
<b>B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)</b> foreign partners, 3) improving management of collaborative efforts. F	unds support workshops, risk reduction efforts, standa		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
development, architecture analysis, and information management init <i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> Program funded efforts aimed at improving U.S. interoperability with f processes.					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Program will fund efforts aimed at improving U.S. interoperability with processes.					
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> Program will fund efforts aimed at improving U.S. interoperability with processes.	foreign partners and improving collaborative project				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su	btotals	10.559	9.827	10.13

#### C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

#### <u>Remarks</u>

#### D. Acquisition Strategy

The Combatant Commands, Services, Defense Agencies, and the Office of the Secretary of Defense nominate candidate projects on an annual basis. CWP provides selected projects one to two years of funding. The Program selects projects that address DoD priorities and meet the needs and requirements specified by the Joint Staff and the Combatant Commanders. Projects have equitable contributions from international partners, strong potential for transition, and contribute to allied interoperability and/or meet a user need.

#### E. Performance Metrics

After successful completion of the competitive nomination process, initial project funding is dependent on receipt of project documentation, which includes financial information, project plan, description of project team, etc. Continued project funding is dependent on compliance with CWP requirements, which include: adequate progress toward each project's stated goals, timely reporting on financial status and project activities, provision of updated project plans and charts, and progress towards transition goals.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense Data					Date: March 2014							
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)			-		t (Number/ partment of	,	prrosion Pro	gram				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	34.249	30.221	20.312	2.907	-	2.907	3.055	3.133	3.326	3.596	Continuing	Continuing
P015: Corrosion Protection Projects	34.249	30.221	20.312	2.907	-	2.907	3.055	3.133	3.326	3.596	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

## A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

(U) The purpose of this program is to develop a comprehensive capability to prevent and mitigate corrosion and its effects on Department of Defense (DoD) weapon systems and infrastructure. Corrosion severely impacts system and facility reliability, readiness and safety, and consumes a disproportionate amount of material and labor hours for repair and treatment of corrosion damaged systems and facilities. The cost of corrosion across the DoD has been estimated at over 23 billion each year. The impact and cost of corrosion are so pervasive that Congress enacted Public Law 107-314 Sec: 1067 [portions codified in 10 U.S.C. 2228]: Prevention and mitigation of corrosion of military infrastructure and equipment. This legislation requires that DoD develop a long-term corrosion strategy to include establishment of a coordinated R&D program with transition plans. The legislation also requires that DoD designate a responsible official or organization to oversee a corrosion prevention and mitigation program. The responsibilities of the Director, Corrosion Policy and Oversight and the Military Department Corrosion Prevention and Control Executives were further delineated in DODI 5000.67 "Prevention and Mitigation of Corrosion on Military Equipment and Infrastructure" of 01 February 2010.

(U) The Deputy Secretary of Defense designated the Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics) (PDUSD(AT&L)) as the DoD Corrosion Executive subsequently established a Corrosion Control and Oversight office to implement the program. Subsequently, in accordance with Section 371 of the 2008 National Defense Authorization Act, the Under Secretary of Defense (USD(AT&L)) designated a Director, Corrosion Policy and Oversight to perform the duties of the DoD Corrosion Executive with responsibilities as described in the 2008 NAA legislation. A major responsibility of the Director, Corrosion Policy and Oversight is to select high payoff research and development projects that promise to prevent or mitigate corrosion and significantly reduce the total cost of corrosion along with the adverse impact of corrosion effects on weapon system and infrastructure operational capability. This office chartered a Corrosion Prevention and Control Integrated Product Team (CPCIPT) that has selected and funded Operation and Maintenance projects for each Fiscal Year (FY) commencing in FY 2005. However, the DoD CPCIPT has determined that the biggest payoff in corrosion maintenance and repair. Likewise, development of improved predictive and prognostic techniques can eliminate unseen failure and reduce unnecessary maintenance and repair costs. Thus, technology development, demonstration, and transition projects have been selected and funded since FY 2006. In addition, the University Corrosion Collaboration (TCC)) was formed as a collaboration between universities, academies and research laboratories, focused on corrosion technology research and development to provide solutions to long-term, complex corrosion prevention and control problems, including metallic-non-metallic interactions, advanced corrosion prevention and repair costs. Thus, technology research and development to provide solutions to long-term, complex corrosion prevention and control problems, including metallic-non-metallic interaction

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Date: March 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)						
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 4:	PE 0604016D8Z I Department of Defense Corrosion Program					
Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)						
In FY 2009, the Military Departments assigned corrosion executives and began submitting reports to Congress on inserting corrosion planning into the acquisition						

In FY 2009, the Military Departments assigned corrosion executives and began submitting reports to Congress on inserting corrosion planning into the acquisition process. The FY 2011 NDAA added a requirement for the DoD to report the amount of funds requested in the preceding year budget for each planned project or activity, as compared to the funding required for each project or activity. These funds provide a portion of the funds used to implement associated corrosion control projects and activities.

(U) The Corrosion Prevention Control Integrated Product Team membership consists of both the equipment and infrastructure corrosion control experts from the Services, the Joint Staff, the Coast Guard, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The Services are given technology development, demonstration, and transition project submission instructions, evaluation procedures and selection criteria. The CPC project selection board, chaired by the Director, Corrosion Policy and Oversight, reviews the projects and makes recommendations to the USD(AT&L) for final approval. Likewise, members of the TCC are notified of advanced research requirements and provided instructions for submitting white papers and subsequent project proposals to the Science and Technology Working Integrated Product Team (WIPT) for evaluation, selection and funding.

(U) The former DoD Corrosion Executive issued a policy letter that states: "Basic systems design, materials and processes selection, and intrinsic corrosion-prevention strategies establish the corrosion susceptibility of Defense material. The early stages of acquisition provide our best opportunity to make effective trade-offs among the many competing design criteria. . ." The Congress and former DoD Corrosion Executive made it clear that research and development into materials and methods to prevent or mitigate corrosion should receive high priority. Since Congress has clearly established this program as one of its highest priorities, and has reiterated its expectations regarding funding levels and methods, our budget request is designed to reflect both fiscal realities of one or more on many proposed projects over the next five to ten years.

These projects address critical corrosion issues in both Department of Defense infrastructure as well as warfighting systems. A number of low-risk, high-payoff technologies promise to vastly improve the service life and significantly reduce the maintenance costs of storage tanks and other mission support facilities essential to maintain support for the warfighter. Each of the services has identified important projects that vastly increase operational readiness and reduce operations and maintenance costs. All services are studying corrosion inhibitors that improve reliability and life of electrical and avionics equipment. Likewise, an array of highly effective, rapid cure coatings that are easy to apply and can forestall corrosion for many years on aircraft and ships are being developed. Other vital projects being considered include sealants, wash down systems, sensors and prognostic technologies that have joint service applications and potential to prevent and mitigate corrosion and its effects over a wide range of systems. The FY 2014 budget request will provide a critically needed resource to trigger even larger investment and cost avoidance.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense Date: N					: March 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-V Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604016D8Z <i>I Department of Defense Corrosion Program</i>						
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	<u>FY 2015</u>	Total	
Previous President's Budget	3.283	3.312	3.392	-		3.392	
Current President's Budget	30.221	20.312	2.907	-		2.907	
Total Adjustments	26.938	17.000	-0.485	-	-	0.485	
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-3.018	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.044	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	30.000	17.000					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-					
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-					
<ul> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> </ul>	-	-					
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-0.485	-	-	0.485	
Congressional Add Details (\$ in Millions, and Inclu	ides General Rec	luctions)		[	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Project: P015: Corrosion Protection Projects							
Congressional Add: Corrosion Control, Prevention	and Prediction th	nrough Coatings, N	Naterials and Maintenar	nce R&D	26.193	17.000	
		Cc	ngressional Add Subto	tals for Project: P015	26.193	17.000	
			Congressional Add	Totals for all Projects	26.193	17.000	

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

The reduction is a strategic efficiency approach to reduce funding and staffing. As a result, we provide a better alignment of funding and provide support to a smaller military force.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense Data								Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4				R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0604016D8Z / Department of Defense Corrosion ProgramProject (Number/Name) P015 / Corrosion Protection Proj				,	cts			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P015: Corrosion Protection Projects	34.249	30.221	20.312	2.907	-	2.907	3.055	3.133	3.326	3.596	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

U) The purpose of this program is to develop a comprehensive capability to prevent and mitigate corrosion and its effects on Department of Defense (DoD) weapon systems and infrastructure. Corrosion severely impacts system and facility reliability, readiness and safety, and consumes a disproportionate amount of material and labor hours for repair and treatment of corrosion damaged systems and facilities. The cost of corrosion across the DoD has been estimated at over 23 billion each year. The impact and cost of corrosion are so pervasive that Congress enacted Public Law 107-314 Sec: 1067 [portions codified in 10 U.S.C. 2228]: Prevention and mitigation of corrosion of military infrastructure and equipment. This legislation requires that DoD develop a long-term corrosion strategy to include establishment of a coordinated R&D program with transition plans. The legislation also requires that DoD designate a responsible official or organization to oversee a corrosion prevention and mitigation program. The responsibilities of the Director, Corrosion Policy and Oversight and the Military Department Corrosion Prevention and Control Executives were further delineated in DODI 5000.67 "Prevention and Mitigation of Corrosion on Military Equipment and Infrastructure" of 01 February 2010.

(U) The Deputy Secretary of Defense designated the Principal Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics) (PDUSD(AT&L)) as the DoD Corrosion Executive subsequently established a Corrosion Control and Oversight office to implement the program. Subsequently, in accordance with Section 371 of the 2008 National Defense Authorization Act, the Under Secretary of Defense (USD(AT&L)) designated a Director, Corrosion Policy and Oversight to perform the duties of the DoD Corrosion Executive with responsibilities as described in the 2008 NDAA legislation. A major responsibility of the Director, Corrosion Policy and Oversight is to select high payoff research and development projects that promise to prevent or mitigate corrosion and significantly reduce the total cost of corrosion along with the adverse impact of corrosion effects on weapon system and infrastructure operational capability. This office chartered a Corrosion Prevention and Control Integrated Product Team (CPCIPT) that has selected and funded Operation and Maintenance projects for each Fiscal Year (FY) commencing in FY 2005. However, the DoD CPCIPT has determined that the biggest payoff in corrosion prevention and mitigation will come from investing in up-front prevention technologies, materials, and processes to leverage downstream cost avoidance in corrosion maintenance and repair. Likewise, development, demonstration, and transition projects have been selected and funded since FY 2006. In FY 2009, the Military Departments assigned corrosion executives and began submitting reports to Congress on inserting corrosion planning into the acquisition process. The FY 2011 NDAA added a requirement for the DoD to report the amount of funds requested in the preceding year budget for each planned project or activity, as compared to the funding required for each project or activity. These funds provide a portion of the funds used to implement associated corrosion control projects and activities.

(U) The Corrosion Prevention Control Integrated Product Team membership consists of both the equipment and infrastructure corrosion control experts from the Services, the Joint Staff, the Coast Guard, and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The Services are given project submission instructions, evaluation

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: March 2014		
0400 / 4	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604016D8Z <i>I Department of Defense</i> <i>Corrosion Program</i>		umber/Name) rosion Protection Projects

procedures and selection criteria. The CPC project selection board, chaired by the Director, Corrosion Policy and Oversight, reviews the projects and makes recommendations to the USD(AT&L) for final approval.

(U) The former DoD Corrosion Executive issued a policy letter that states: "Basic systems design, materials and processes selection, and intrinsic corrosion-prevention strategies establish the corrosion susceptibility of Defense material. The early stages of acquisition provide our best opportunity to make effective trade-offs among the many competing design criteria. ..." The Congress and former DoD Corrosion Executive made it clear that research and development into materials and methods to prevent or mitigate corrosion should receive high priority. Since Congress has clearly established this program as one of its highest priorities, and has reiterated its expectations regarding funding levels and methods, our budget request is designed to reflect both fiscal realities of one or more on many proposed projects over the next five to ten years.

These projects address critical corrosion issues in both Department of Defense infrastructure as well as warfighting systems. A number of low-risk, high-payoff technologies promise to vastly improve the service life and significantly reduce the maintenance costs of storage tanks and other mission support facilities essential to maintain support for the warfighter. Each of the services has identified important projects that vastly increase operational readiness and reduce operations and maintenance costs. All services are studying corrosion inhibitors that improve reliability and life of electrical and avionics equipment. Likewise, an array of highly effective, rapid cure coatings that are easy to apply and can forestall corrosion for many years on aircraft and ships are being developed. Other vital projects being considered include sealants, wash down systems, sensors and prognostic technologies that have joint service applications and potential to prevent and mitigate corrosion and its effects over a wide range of systems. The FY 2014 budget request will provide a critically needed resource to trigger even larger investment and cost avoidance.

Title: Corrosion Prevention and Control Projects and Activities	4.028		
		3.312	2.907
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Coatings and Corrosion Prevention Compounds Diagnostics, Prognostics, Monitoring and NDI Technologies Prediction, Modeling and Supporting Technologies Maintenance and Cathodic Protection Technologies and Practices Materials Selection Processes			
FY 2014 Plans:         Coatings and Corrosion Prevention Compounds         Diagnostics, Prognostics, Monitoring and NDI Technologies         Prediction, Modeling and Supporting Technologies         Maintenance and Cathodic Protection Technologies and Practices         Materials Selection Processes         FY 2015 Plans:			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of I	Defense			Date: N	larch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number</b> PE 0604016D8Z <i>I Department of</i> <i>Corrosion Program</i>			<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P015 / Corrosion Protection Projects			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)				FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Coatings and Corrosion Prevention Compounds Diagnostics, Prognostics, Monitoring and NDI Technologies Prediction, Modeling and Supporting Technologies Maintenance and Cathodic Protection Technologies and Practices Materials Selection Processes	A		4 - 4 - 1 -	1 000	0.040	0.007	
	Accomplishments/Planned Pro	grams Sub	totais	4.028	3.312	2.907	
		FY 2013	FY 2				
<ul> <li>Congressional Add: Corrosion Control, Prevention and Prediction through Commission R&amp;D</li> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments: 1. Completed the Facilities and Infrastructure Composed plan to address findings and initiated execution in areas of expanded infrastructure personnel and rapid transition of new technologies into Unified F</li> <li>2. Funded additional corrosion prevention and control (CPC) technology insert a) Concrete Substrate Moisture Influence on Interfacial Bond Strength</li> <li>b) Silane-Based Penetrating Concrete Sealers</li> <li>c) Spot Treatment Protocol and Index for Life Extension of POL</li> <li>d) Solid State Rectifiers for Impressed Current Cathodic Protection</li> <li>e) Single-Component Polysiloxane Topside Coating</li> <li>f) Durable Green Concrete</li> <li>3. Continued performance of the Technology Corrosion Collaboration focusing impact of corrosion on DoD equipment and infrastructure.</li> <li>a) Expanded role of Services' subject matter experts in focusing research</li> <li>b) Funded USMA Cadet Individual Academic Leadership Development project</li> <li>c) Held open call for research proposals and received 56 submissions. Added and Pennsylvania State University for FY 2013.</li> <li>d) Researchers completed development of "SCC Crack" code and manual for cracking, and delivered to NAVAIR and other DoD entities. Worked in conjunce</li> <li>e) Twenty scientific journal articles or technical reports published; over sixteen given, including 1st and 3rd place winners in the 2013 NACE Conference Marco presentation competition.</li> </ul>	orrosion Evaluation Study. ed guidance for Facilities and facilities Guide Specifications. tion projects: g on technologies to reduce the North Dakota State University modeling stress corrosion ction with STTR. conference presentations	26.193	17	2.000			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of	Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/</b> PE 0604016D8Z / Department of Corrosion Program		Project (Number/Name) P015 / Corrosion Protection Projec		
		FY 2013	FY 2014	]	
4. Developed corrosion and coatings short courses for maintenance and mar	nagement personnel.				
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans: 1. Completed the Facilities and Infrastructure Corrosion Eva address findings and initiated execution in areas of expanded guidance for Fa and rapid transition of new technologies into Unified Facilities Guide Specifica 2. Funded additional corrosion prevention and control (CPC) technology inse a) Concrete Substrate Moisture Influence on Interfacial Bond Strength b) Silane-Based Penetrating Concrete Sealers</li> <li>c) Spot Treatment Protocol and Index for Life Extension of POL</li> <li>d) Solid State Rectifiers for Impressed Current Cathodic Protection</li> <li>e) Single-Component Polysiloxane Topside Coating</li> <li>f) Durable Green Concrete</li> <li>3. Continued performance of the Technology Corrosion Collaboration focusin impact of corrosion on DoD equipment and infrastructure.</li> <li>a) Expanded role of Services' subject matter experts in focusing research</li> <li>b) Funded USMA Cadet Individual Academic Leadership Development project</li> <li>c) Held open call for research proposals and received 56 submissions. Adder and Pennsylvania State University for FY 2013.</li> <li>d) Researchers completed development of "SCC Crack" code and manual for cracking, and delivered to NAVAIR and other DoD entities. Worked in conjune</li> <li>e) Twenty scientific journal articles or technical reports published; over sixteer given, including 1st and 3rd place winners in the 2013 NACE Conference Mar presentation competition.</li> <li>4. Developed corrosion and coatings short courses for maintenance and mar</li> </ul>	acilities and Infrastructure personnel tions. rtion projects: g on technologies to reduce the t d North Dakota State University modeling stress corrosion ction with STTR. n conference presentations rcel Pourbaix Category student magement personnel.				
	Congressional Adds Subtotals	26.193	17.000		

### C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

### <u>Remarks</u>

### D. Acquisition Strategy

There is an annual Corrosion Prevention and Control Integrated Project Team (CPCIPT) call for proposed project plans in April. Projects are submitted by the Services annually in June. The project plan format is contained in the DoD Corrosion Prevention and Mitigation Strategic Plan. Each project plan contains: 1. Problem statement: Description of the problem or situation, including background, history, issues, operational problems and support costs.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of \$	Secretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604016D8Z <i>I Department of Defense</i> <i>Corrosion Program</i>	Project (Number/Name) P015 / Corrosion Protection Projects
<ol> <li>Impact statement: Details regarding why project is important if</li> <li>Technical description: Definition of the corrosion prevention a associated development; expected operations and logistics performed their mission; and current acquisition status.</li> <li>Risk analysis: Description of the risk in managing/developing/could affect project development or implementation.</li> <li>Proposed phases: If project is complex and will be performed 6. Expected deliverables and results or outcomes: Description of training, etc.; and description of expected operations and/or logis 7. Program management: Description of the overall approach arapproach.</li> <li>Cost/benefit analysis: Definition of all resources necessary to documentation of mission criticality, and description of joint appli 9. Schedule: Milestone chart showing all significant events throut 10. Implementation plan: Explanation of how the project will be in ROI during the first two years of implementation.</li> </ol>	Ind control objective and description of the system affected formance improvement characteristics; brief description of the /prototyping/ testing/qualifying/manufacturing/completing the in phases, description of each phase objective. If products to be delivered such as type/number of hardware stics performance improvements. Ind tasks to be taken to accomplish the project, including org accomplish project, description of resulting benefits, compu- cability. Igh project completion.	by this project; applicable technologies and ie user community and how it will apply to e technical effort including assumptions that e, technical orders/drawings, installation, ganization, coordination and acquisition utation of Return-On-Investment (ROI),
The Corrosion Prevention and Control Integrated Project Team ( recommendations regarding project selection. Projects are also include project performance period, ratio of OSD funding to Serv corrosion problems, potential benefits, and joint service applicab for funding. In addition, evaluators consider the following in reco 1. Return on investment credibility: Degree to which there is evid 2. Technology maturity: Degree to which proposed technology f 3. Schedule confidence: Degree to which the project is likely to 4. Budget confidence: Degree to which the project is likely to be 5. Management support: Degree to which management actively The project priority ranking is finalized and sent to the CPCIPT le briefed to the Corrosion Forum. Funding is distributed between	evaluated using Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) to rank rice funding, return-on-investment (ROI), degree to which th ility. DEA efficiency scores are provided to the evaluation to mmending final priorities: lence that the project will achieve an acceptable return on in has been developed or demonstrated and will satisfy project be completed on time completed within the proposed budget supports this project and has committed program resources ead for a final decision. Upon acceptance and approval of	projects by relative efficiency. DEA factors the proposed technology addresses high-cost eam to assist in their prioritization of projects investment t objectives s to both manage and support this project the projects by the CPCIPT, the projects are
Upon selection by CPCIPT of the highest priority projects and fin appropriate funding sites that are provided by the Services. Afte projects. OSD retains oversight and direction of the Corrosion P status reports which address progress summary, performance g	r receiving the project funding, the Services are responsible revention and Control initiative through the CPCIPT. Project	e for the funding and management of the ct oversight includes the review of quarterly

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604016D8Z <i>I Department of Defense</i> <i>Corrosion Program</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P015 / Corrosion Protection Projects
<ul> <li>The quarterly project report (PR) format has been defined and requires the 1. Statement of progress</li> <li>2. Outstanding issues</li> <li>3. Performance goals and metrics</li> <li>4. Upcoming events</li> <li>5. Schedule status</li> <li>6. Current return on investment (ROI) status</li> <li>These project reports (PRs) are submitted to the CPCIPT. The CPCIPT ar (POCs) of any project problems. Projects are also required to report verba</li> <li>In addition to the project plans described above, advanced research white laboratories that constitute the TCC. The proposed efforts must include corroblems that call for ground-breaking science and technology and/or com only does the TCC produce technologies, advanced components, and prodincrease their sustainability; but it also provides participants with advanced community, its support network, and its suppliers.</li> <li>Corrosion Prevention and Control (CPC) Program direction, control and ov 1. Plan and schedule Corrosion Forums and oversee Corrosion Forum act</li> <li>Oversee project performance including review of quarterly status reports as well as reports to periodic Corrosion Forums.</li> <li>Perform Department of Defense (DDD) cost of corrosion study.</li> <li>Develop improved, standard DoD-wide specifications, standards and qu</li> <li>Develop corrosion training courses.</li> <li>Prepare and publish Corrosion Prevention and Control Planning Guideb</li> <li>Prepare and publish annual Reports to Congress.</li> <li>Update short-term and long-term metrics.</li> </ul>	e following input: nalyzes project status, progress and project statis ally at Corrosion Forums, as appropriate. papers and subsequent proposals are solicited fr ollaboration between two or more members of the plex research and development in the five areas ducts that reduce the impact of corrosion on DoD I education and skills to form the core of the future rersight include the following activities to be perfor ivities and working Integrated Product Team (IPT is which address progress summary, performance alification processes. ook spirals.	rom universities, academies and research TCC and address significant corrosion corrosion program areas cited above. Not weapons systems and infrastructure and e corrosion prevention and control technical rmed by staff and support contractors: ) meetings.
<ol> <li>Develop, implement, and update the DoD Corrosion Prevention and Mi</li> <li>Develop and maintain Roadmaps of IPT activities and accomplishment</li> <li>Assist in the annual project plan implementation and evaluation proces</li> <li>Respond to Congressional, Government Accountability Office and DoD</li> <li>Perform CPC Program communication and outreach to services, agend</li> </ol>	itigation Strategic Plan. ts. s, including the assessment of return on investme ) inquiries regarding the CPC Program. cies and other organizations.	
<ul><li>15. Develop and implement corrosion prevention and control policies appl</li><li>16. Perform reviews of major programs to ensure they are in compliance v</li></ul>	with corrosion prevention and control policy.	apons systems and infrastructure.
PE 0604016D8Z: <i>Department of Defense Corrosion Program</i> Office of Secretary Of Defense	UNCLASSIFIED Page 9 of 10 R-1 Line #	101 Volume 3 - 435

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: March 2014	
		umber/Name) rrosion Protection Projects

17. Provide oversight of the corrosion programs of the Military Departments and Chair the DoD Corrosion Board of Directors (which includes the Corrosion Control and Prevention Executives from each of the Military Departments).

18. Interact with industry, technical societies, trade associations, government personnel, and foreign allies to identify promising corrosion control technologies and assist in technology transition and insertion

### E. Performance Metrics

Not applicable.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense							Date: March 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604250D8Z <i>I Advanced Innovative Technologies</i>								
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	0.000	-	129.883	190.000	-	190.000	76.000	48.000	30.000	-	Continuing	Continuing
P250: Advanced Innovative Technologies	0.000	-	129.883	190.000	-	190.000	76.000	48.000	30.000	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Strategic Capabilities Office (SCO) identifies, analyzes, demonstrates, and transitions game-changing applications of existing and near-term technology--and other U.S. Government capabilities--to shape and counter emerging threats. Currently focused on the Asia-Pacific Rebalance, SCO combines capability innovation with concepts of operation and information management to develop novel concepts often crossing Service, Defense-Intelligence, and multi-classification divides--to solve critical national security challenges in partnership with the Services, Combatant Commands, Joint Chiefs of Staff, Intelligence Community, and the Office of the Secretary of Defense. SCO analyzes, demonstrates, and red-teams these concepts on an accelerated time frame to enable subsequent programmatic decisions on alternative capabilities that have greater mission impact and lower cost.

The Advanced Innovative Technologies Program Element contains projects that include in-depth analysis to determine technical and operational performance and risk, component- and subsystem-level prototyping and testing to reduce risk, and operational demonstrations to prove concept viability prior to subsequent programmatic decisions. Due to nature of these projects, specific applications and detailed plans are available at higher classification levels.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	-	130.000	102.000	-	102.000
Current President's Budget	-	129.883	190.000	-	190.000
Total Adjustments	-	-0.117	88.000	-	88.000
Congressional General Reductions	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
Congressional Adds	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>FFRDC Adjustments</li> </ul>	-	-0.117	-	-	-
<ul> <li>DoD Priorities and Requirements</li> </ul>	-	-	88.000	-	88.000
Change Summary Explanation					
FY 2015: Program increase is to support the higher p	riorities of agency	operations.			
PE 0604250D8Z: Advanced Innovative Technologies	UNC	CLASSIFIED			Volumo 3 - 427

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project J	ustification	: PB 2015 (	Office of Sec	retary Of D	efense					Date: Mar	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604250D8Z / Advanced Innovative Technologies				Project (Number/Name) P250 / Advanced Innovative Technologies				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P250: Advanced Innovative Technologies	-	-	129.883	190.000	-	190.000	76.000	48.000	30.000	-	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Strategic Capabilities Office (SCO) identifies, analyzes, demonstrates, and transitions game-changing applications of existing and near-term technology--and other U.S. Government capabilities--to shape and counter emerging threats. Currently focused on the Asia-Pacific Rebalance, SCO combines capability innovation with concepts of operation and information management to develop novel concepts--often crossing Service, Defense-Intelligence, and multi-classification divides--to solve critical national security challenges in partnership with the Services, Combatant Commands, Joint Chiefs of Staff, Intelligence Community, and the Office of the Secretary of Defense. SCO analyzes, demonstrates, and red-teams these concepts on an accelerated time frame to enable subsequent programmatic decisions on alternative capabilities that have greater mission impact and lower cost.

The Advanced Innovative Technologies Program Element contains projects that include in-depth analysis to determine technical and operational performance and risk, component- and subsystem-level prototyping and testing to reduce risk, and operational demonstrations to prove concept viability prior to subsequent programmatic decisions. Due to nature of these projects, specific applications and detailed plans are available at higher classification levels.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Land-Based Rail Gun (LBRG)	-	129.883	102.000
<b>Description:</b> Exisiting Navy Science and Technology (S&T) Railgun program will be leveraged into Land-based Railgun (LBRG) analysis, prototyping, and experimentation. Cost-effective land-based defense will be demonstrated by closing the fire control loop between existing sensors and prototype ground-launched Railgun projectiles. To facilitate this, LBRG will integrate the Railgun launcher, power, projectile, and sensor so that projectiles may be command guided during a series of flight tests. These tests will verify performance and lethality results from modeling and simulation. Testing will conclude by demonstrating projectile fly-out and control, sensor tracking of projectiles, communication from sensor to projectile, integrated guidance, navigation and control, culminating in an FY2015 live-fire, closed-loop, command-guided launch from a 20 mega-joule Railgun.			
<ul> <li>This is a new PE for FY 2014 that contains OSD land-based Railgun investments to accelerate fire control loop closure. In FY 2011 and FY 2012, ramp investments were provided from alternate RDT&amp;E PEs to enable the following accomplishments:</li> <li>Initiated development of high fidelity models and simulations for gun launched guided projectile engagements.</li> <li>Anchored projectile models with wind tunnel and flight test data in collaboration with the Navy's Office of Naval Research (ONR).</li> <li>Analyzed several effective sensor architectures using existing sensors to support gun launched guided projectile engagements.</li> </ul>			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of I	Defense	Dat	e: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4		<b>oject (Number/Name)</b> 50 <i>I Advanced Innovative Technologies</i>			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 201	3 FY 2014	FY 2015	
<ul> <li>Selected sensors to support FY 2014 flight tests of prototype projectile airfram</li> <li>Conducted several projectile airframe flight tests in the first quarter—a quarte</li> <li>Installed tracker hardware and successfully tracked a projectile flight with tac quarter in collaboration with ONR and the Army's Armament Research Development</li> </ul>	er ahead of schedule—in collaboration with ON tically relevant measurement accuracies in the				
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Initiate development of prototype projectiles.</li> <li>Initiate procurement of 20MJ Railgun launcher system (power and energy, la controls).</li> <li>Initiate development of close-loop-control for testing of prototype projectiles.</li> <li>Initiate launcher testing of prototype projectile.</li> <li>Initiate design and fabrication of high power prototype gun mount system.</li> <li>Initiate systems engineering for Railgun System (power, gun, projectile and set and s</li></ul>		r			
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Continue development of prototype projectiles.</li> <li>Continue development of closed loop control for testing of prototype projectile</li> <li>Continue launcher testing of prototype projectiles.</li> <li>Continue design and fabrication of high power prototype gun mount system.</li> <li>Continue integration of Railgun System (power, gun projectile, and sensor).</li> <li>Investigate alternative lethality methods.</li> </ul>	es.				
Title: Assured Tactical C2				35.000	
<b>Description:</b> Leverage existing technologies to analyze and demonstrate an a contested environments. Due to nature of this project, specific descriptions and levels.		cation			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Project will apply existing Department of Defense investments in novel way to contested environments. FY2015 efforts will include design and prototyping for					
Title: Advanced Navigation				21.300	
<b>Description:</b> Leverage existing technologies to analyze and demonstrate a procontested environments. Due to nature of this project, specific descriptions and levels.		cation			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of I	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4		<b>bject (Number/Name)</b> 50 <i>I Advanced Innovative Technologies</i>			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Projects will apply existing technologies to demonstrate advanced navigation t prototyping, data collections, and tests. Test results will be used to anchor mode develop operationally-relevant proof-of-principle demonstrations.					
Title: Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) Denial			-	-	20.000
<b>Description:</b> Leverage existing technologies to analyze and demonstrate a pr U.S. assets. Due to nature of this project, specific descriptions and detailed pla		ritical			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Project will apply existing technologies to demonstrate ISR denial techniques. field testing. Results will be used to anchor modeling and simulation performant relevant proof-of-principle demonstrations.					
Title: Enhanced Munitions			-	-	11.700
<b>Description:</b> Leverage existing technologies to analyze and prototype enhance nature of this project, specific descriptions and detailed plans are available at the second secon		)			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Projects will apply existing technology to enhance the effectiveness of current analysis, prototyping, and subsystem testing to develop operationally-relevant		le			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals	-	129.883	190.000
<ul> <li>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>Remarks</li> <li>D. Acquisition Strategy</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>E. Performance Metrics</li> <li>Performance metrics are specific to each aspect of the Land-Based Rail Gun efforts, funded under the Advanced Innovative Technologies Program Element</li> </ul>					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 O		Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604250D8Z I Advanced Innovative Technologies	Project (Number/Name) P250 I Advanced Innovative Technologie
	ion, completions and successes are monitored against schedules are available at a higher classification level, upon request.	and deliverables stated in the initiative's
0604250D8Z: Advanced Innovative Technologies	UNCLASSIFIED	

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

UNCLASSIFIED

Volume 3 - 442

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificat	ion: PB 20 <sup>-</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)												
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	24.161	11.233	8.263	3.702	-	3.702	3.150	3.519	4.030	3.884	Continuing	Continuing
P440: UAS Airspace Integration	13.591	7.347	4.703	2.600	-	2.600	2.230	2.390	2.690	2.627	Continuing	Continuing
P442: Interoperability	10.282	3.455	3.060	0.898	-	0.898	0.700	0.900	1.100	1.000	Continuing	Continuing
P443: Unmanned Systems Road Maps	0.288	0.431	0.500	0.204	-	0.204	0.220	0.229	0.240	0.257	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### Note

PE 0305220F: GLOBAL HAWK DEVELOPMENT/FIELDING contains funding for the Common-ABSAA development.

PE 0305219A: MQ-1 Sky Warrior A UAV contains additional funding for GBSAA development.

PE 0305220N: RQ-4 UAV (BAMS UAS) contains funding for an initial common RQ/MQ-4 ABSAA capability via a Pilot In The Loop (PITL) Due Regard system. The FY2014 President's Budget transferred \$83.169M (FYDP) to the above UAS programs' PEs.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Department of Defense (DOD) UAS Common Development is a joint effort to develop and demonstrate common standards, architectures, and technologies that address UAS-specific issues across all Military Services. The intent is to increase interoperability and effectiveness by promoting cooperative development of solutions that are applicable across major classes of UAS. This effort will initially focus on addressing DOD UAS integration into the National Airspace System (NAS) and demonstration of a common, interoperable ground station architecture and associated interface standards.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	12.368	8.300	4.321	-	4.321
Current President's Budget	11.233	8.263	3.702	-	3.702
Total Adjustments	-1.135	-0.037	-0.619	-	-0.619
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>Strategic efficiency Reduction</li> </ul>	-1.135	-	-0.619	-	-0.619
FY 2014 Baseline Adjustment	-	-0.037	-	-	-

xhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretar	ry Of Defense	Date: March 2014		
<b>ppropriation/Budget Activity</b> 400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 4: dvanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	R-1 Program Element (Number/Nan PE 0604400D8Z / Unmanned Aircraft			
<u>Change Summary Explanation</u> The FY2014 President's Budget transferred \$83.169M (FYDP) to the	e above UAS programs' PEs.			
0604400D8Z: Unmanned Aircraft Systems Common Development	JNCLASSIFIED Page 2 of 9 R-1	Line #103 Volume 3 - 4		

Page 2 of 9

R-1 Line #103

Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense											Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4										Number/Name) AS Airspace Integration				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
P440: UAS Airspace Integration	13.591	7.347	4.703	2.600	-	2.600	2.230	2.390	2.690	2.627	Continuing	Continuing		
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### Note

ABSAA and GBSAA technology development transitioned to UAS programs of record during FY2013.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Global Hawk (GH) and the Triton, as well as other Group 3-5 UAS, need a sense-and-avoid (SAA) capability as an alternate means of compliance to Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 91.111 and Part 91.113, requirement to see-and-avoid other aircraft. The Air Force is leading the effort to develop an Airborne Sense and Avoid (ABSAA) system that is suitable to support operations within US and foreign national airspace. The RQ-4 Global Hawk, MQ-4C Triton, MQ-1B Predator, MQ-1C Gray Eagle, and MQ-9 Reaper all have a requirement for SAA capability and will leverage the technology being developed by the Air Force. The Army is leading the development of a Ground Based Sense-and-Avoid (GBSAA) system using existing technology to provide a near-term solution for improved airspace access, both for terminal operations and for operations within the GBSAA system's coverage area (e.g., Gray Eagle at El Mirage, Shadow operations at Cherry Point).

Provides joint funding to support development of common operating concepts, standards, modeling and simulation, and technology to enable DoD UAS to routinely access the national and international airspace systems.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Unmanned Aircraft System Airspace Integration Initiatives	7.347	4.703	2.600
<b>Description:</b> Starting in FY 2010 the Department's sense-and-avoid (SAA) developmental efforts are enhanced by this defense- wide program element. This program provides joint funding to accelerate the development of SAA technology and standards to enable UAS to routinely access the national and international airspace systems. This program also develops UAS airspace integration requirements and standards, as well as supports the modeling, simulation, and operational analysis needed to validate the systems and standards. In FY2013 ABSAA and GBSAA efforts transitioned to the Services.			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> ABSAA - Development transitioned to Service Programs of Record funding with a re-planned acquisition strategy.			
Standards Development - Completed update of MIL-HDBK-516 for airworthiness criteria, standards, and methods of compliance for both fixed and rotary wing UAS, and began finalizing all Proof of Concurrence Reports for Tri-Service Technical Airworthiness Authority (TAA) signatures. Kicked off SAA systems airworthiness criteria, standards, and methods of compliance development.			

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense       Date: March 2014								
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604400D8Z <i>I Unmanned Aircraft</i> <i>Systems Common Development</i>	<b>Project (N</b> P440 / UA		Name) ce Integration	1			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	( 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015			
Conducted a detailed study of the certification of commercial-off-the-shelf (COT satisfy a high priority safety gap identified by the Sense and Avoid Science Res Risk Assessment (PRA) methodologies to support the development of a safety a potential methodology for evaluating the effectiveness of SAA system algorith Integration Concept of Operations (CONOPS) and conducted operational analy challenges.	search Panel (SARP). Leveraged Probabilistic case for integrating UAS in the NAS. Develop hms. Started updating the current UAS Airspa	ed l						
Modeling & Simulation (M&S) - Supported analysis of modeling and simulation gaps, as identified by the SARP.	requirements to address high priority research	1						
GBSAA – The GBSAA SIPT continued efforts to develop a common GBSAA sy included Common Display work, development of top-level requirements, and pa development of SAA concepts, definitions and requirements. The display work UAS operators in Huntsville, AL and at Ft Huachuca, AZ to refine the Alert & Tr tests, a Common Display Quick Study utilizing 15 Army participants – including control personnel - was conducted with a focus on intruder prioritization schem requirements and a high-level description of its approval process were submitted provide a framework for a written agreement between DoD/Army and the FAA of Ft Hood, TX. Army GBSAA is considered a "pathfinder" for SAA system certification is un begin in July 2014. Cherry Point MCAS began flying segregated transit GBSAA (COA) in June 2013. Cherry Point's COA approval provides a path for the Serv management.	articipation in the SARP workshops - leading to included Display Usability Tests conducted wi raffic Display subsystem. In addition to the usa manned pilots, unmanned operators and air to es for a common display. Army's top level GBS ed to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA on full integrated GBSAA operations, starting wation by the FAA. Army GBSAA Block 0 system inderway with integration testing scheduled to A operations under a Certificate of Authorization	he th ibility raffic SAA ) to with m						
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Standards Development - Complete and publish the update of MIL-HDBK-516 of compliance for both fixed and rotary wing UAS, and SAA systems. Leverage Decision Process (MDP) methodologies to better understand SAA system confi of a safety case for integrating UAS in the NAS. Complete and publish an update Research and facilitate a DoD-wide exemption to 14 CFR 91.113 to enable specific operational analysis to assist DoD in overcoming UAS AI challenges.	Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) and Ma flict mitigation strategies to support the develop ate to the UAS Airspace Integration CONOPS.	rkov oment						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense		Date: M	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4		(Number/N UAS Airspac	lame) ce Integration		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Modeling & Simulation (M&S) - Support analysis of modeling and simulation as identified by the SARP.	on requirements to address high priority research	gaps,			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Standards Development - Complete and publish the update of MIL-HDBK- of compliance for both fixed and rotary wing UAS, and SAA systems. Con safety case development issues in order to facilitate expanded UAS access safety gaps as identified by the SARP. Coordinate system requirements a development organizations. Conduct operational analysis to assist DoD in Modeling & Simulation (M&S) - Support analysis of modeling and simulation	nduct ongoing analysis of UAS Airspace Integratio as to the NAS. Conduct analysis to address high p nd safety guidelines within appropriate standards overcoming UAS AI challenges.	n riority			
as identified by the SARP.	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sul	ototals	7.347	4.703	2.6
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u> <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A					
<u>E. Performance Metrics</u> N/A					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	)efense					Date: Ma	rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4					PE 060440	<b>am Elemen</b> 00D8Z I Unn Common Dev	nanned Airo		Project (N P442 / Inte			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P442: Interoperability	10.282	3.455	3.060	0.898	-	0.898	0.700	0.900	1.100	1.00	0 Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
<b>A. Mission Description and Bud</b> The UAS Common Ground Static MQ-4 (Global Hawk/Triton), MQ- coalition-interoperability and to pr	on Demonst 1 (Predator/ romote com	ration proje /Gray Eagle petition thro	ct will devel ), MQ-5 (Hu bugh the imp	unter), MQ-	8 (Fire Scou	ut), MQ-9 (R	leaper), and	d future UA				
B. Accomplishments/Planned P Title: UAS Common Ground Stat	•		<u>s)</u>						FY	2013 3.455	<b>FY 2014</b> 3.060	FY 2015 0.898
<b>Description:</b> Develop and demon Hawk/TRITON), MQ-1 (Predator// to improve joint- and coalition-inte- architectures. <b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Released UAS Control Segment incorporates (tri-Service approved and deployment of the UCS Repo demonstrated in a live Army Bi-Di expedited Army BDRVT/ One Sys beyond UAS into the maritime do	Gray Eagle) eroperability (UCS) V3.0 d) common psitory. The irectional Restern Remot	), MQ-5 (Hu and to pror and v3.1, a contracting UCS Repos emote Video	nter), MQ-8 mote compe ind complet language fo sitory allows o Terminal (	ed develop or UAS grou the rapid r BDRVT) S	t), MQ-9 (R gh the imple ment of the und control : re-use of UA hadow Fligh	eaper), and ementation of Open Busin stations. OS AS "apps" ao at Test; this p	future UAS of open star mess Model D complete cross tri-Se provided ris	. The inter ndards and (OBM) which ad developr rvices. UCS k reduction	nt is open ch ment S was and			
FY 2014 Plans: Release UCS V3.2 and v3.3, inte alignment with the Joint Common Future Airborne Capability Enviro System (UMS) maritime simulatio FY 2015 Plans:	Unmanned nment (FAC	System Ar	chitecture (	JCUA), Uni	versal Syste	ems Interope	erability Pro	ofile (USIP)				
Release UCS V3.4 and v3.5 and	demonstrat	e UCS in a	live UMS m	aritime ope								
					Accomplis	shments/Pla	anned Prog	grams Sub	totals	3.455	3.060	0.898

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of	Secretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604400D8Z I Unmanned Aircraft Systems Common Development	Project (Number/Name) P442 / Interoperability
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks		
D. Acquisition Strategy n/a		
<u>E. Performance Metrics</u> n/a		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4	stification:	PB 2015 C	office of Sec	cretary Of D	<b>R-1 Progra</b> PE 060440	am Elemen 00D8Z I Unn common Dev	nanned Airo		Date: March 2014 Project (Number/Name) P443 / Unmanned Systems Road Maps			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P443: Unmanned Systems Road Maps	0.288	0.431	0.500	0.204	-	0.204	0.220	0.229	0.240	0.25	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
A. Mission Description and Bud This effort supports the Departme development, fielding and employ in today's force, and outlines a str	ent's Unmar	ned Systen manned sys	ns Roadma stems techr	iologies. T	his roadmap	o defines a c	common vis	ion, establi				
B. Accomplishments/Planned P	rograms (\$	in Millions	<u>5)</u>						FY	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Unmanned Systems Roadm	nap									0.431	0.500	0.204
Description: Develops and update	es the Dep	artment's U	nmanned S	ystems Ro	admap.							
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Updated the Department's Unmar unmanned systems.	nned Syster	ns Roadma	p and perfo	ormed relate	ed studies s	upporting th	e Departme	ent's vision	for			
FY 2014 Plans: Update the Department's Unmanr unmanned systems.	ned System	s Roadmap	and perfor	m related s	tudies supp	orting the D	epartment's	vision for				
FY 2015 Plans:	ned System	s Roadmap	and perfor	m related s	tudies supp	orting the D	epartment's	vision for				
Update the Department's Unmann unmanned systems.												
					Accomplis	shments/Pla	anned Prog	grams Sub	totals	0.431	0.500	0.204
	mary (\$ in	<u>Millions)</u>			Accomplis	shments/Pla	anned Prog	grams Sub	totals	0.431	0.500	0.204

Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: March 2014	
	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604400D8Z <i>I Unmanned Aircraft</i> <i>Systems Common Development</i>	umber/Name) nanned Systems Road Maps

#### E. Performance Metrics

Provide up-to-date Unmanned Systems Roadmap providing a DoD vision for the continuing development, fielding and employment of unmanned systems technologies.

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificat	tion: PB 20	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Mar	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te Advanced Component Developme				A 4:	R-1 Progra PE 060467 Engineerin	0D8Z I Hur			avior (HSC	B) Modeling	g Research	and
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	4.492	2.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
P670: Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Research and Engineering	-	4.492	2.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The OSD HSCB Modeling Program is a vertically integrated effort to research, develop, and transition technologies, tools, and systems to programs of record and users in need. The Program exists to optimize U.S. forces' ability to perform population-centric sensing, understand behaviors driven by social and cultural variables, and select effective courses of action in the full range of military operations. Program research will enhance population-centric intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) capabilities for understanding the increasingly complex global environment to address national strategic challenges such as instability, aggression, proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, and violent extremism. In three integrated program elements (PEs), the Program will conduct applied research, mature and demonstrate advanced technology, and develop transitionable methods, technology, tools, and prototypes. Work under PE 0604670D8Z will create transition ready software tools that will help intelligence analysts, operations analysts, operations planners, wargamers, and others represent, understand, and forecast sociocultural behavior at the strategic, operational, and tactical levels. This program focuses on maturing, hardening, and validating human, social, culture, and behavior modeling software for transition to meet the needs of the warfighter, integration into the architectures of existing programs of record, and/or maturing software via open architectures to allow broad systems integration. The Program provides a development to product transition pathway for sociocultural models, tools, and developing systems in coordination with Program Executive Offices/Program Managers, Combatant Commanders, Joint and Service organizations, warfighters in need, and other transition customers. The Program will mature sociocultural relevant data and tools to provide essential sociocultural understanding and forecasting capabilities at the strategic, operational and tactical levels.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 O	ffice of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-V Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	<i>Vide I</i> BA 4:	-	ement (Number/Name) I Human Social Culture		leling Research and
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	5.131	-	-	-	-
Current President's Budget	4.492	2.000	-	-	-
Total Adjustments	-0.639	2.000	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.435	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.007	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	2.000			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-0.059	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.136	-			
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.002	-	-	-	-

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	ustification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	Defense					Date: Mar	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4		R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)Project (PE 0604670D8Z I Human Social CultureP670 I Human Social CultureBehavior (HSCB) Modeling Research and(HSCB) Modeling Research and				P670 / Hui	Number/Name) uman Social Culture Behavior Aodeling Research and ing					
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P670: Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Research and Engineering	-	4.492	2.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program will create transition-ready software tools that will help intelligence analysts, operations analysts, operations planners, wargamers, and others represent, understand, and forecast sociocultural behavior at the strategic, operational, and tactical levels. The Program focuses on maturing, hardening, and validating human, social, culture, and behavior modeling software for transition to meet the needs of the warfighter, integration into the architectures of existing programs of record, and/ or maturing software via open architectures to allow broad systems integration. The Program provides a development to product transition pathway for sociocultural models, tools, and capabilities to rapidly meet immediate and emerging warfighter needs. The work supports the testing, validation, and transition of model-based technology into existing and developing systems in coordination with Program Executive Offices/Program Managers, Combatant Commanders, Joint and Service organizations, warfighters in need, and other transition customers. The Program will mature sociocultural relevant data and tools to provide essential forecasting capabilities at the strategic, operational, and tactical levels.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Modeling Capabilities	3.091	1.000	-
<b>Description:</b> Mature and deliver sociocultural modeling capabilities for integration into existing DoD systems. Conduct validation testing of HSCB model based applications. Complete development of sentiment analysis (iSENT) component into the Worldwide Integrated Crisis Early Warning System (W-ICEWS), increase the volume and range of data sources, and increase sensitivity of the core instability detection capability. Extend and complete sentiment analysis component to social media. Extend and complete SPECTRUM capabilities for countering violent extremism, with enhanced organization tracking, mining of social media, and coverage of additional regions. Demonstrate and complete prototype social radar in the Distributed Common Ground System-Army (DCGS-A) or comparable environment for one or more of the following use cases: counterinsurgency, counterterrorism, countering violent extremism, countering-weapons of mass destruction, countering transnational criminal organizations, or mitigating the effect of adversarial nation state influence.			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Integrated the iSENT tool into the Worldwide Integrated Crisis Early Warning System (W-ICEWS) in U.S. Strategic Command program of record. Achieved high accuracy for English and Spanish, and a new Chinese sentiment model in development.			

PE 0604670D8Z: Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling

ecretary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604670D8Z <i>I Human Social Culture</i> <i>Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Research and</i> <i>Engineering</i>	P670 I (HSCE			
	Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Integrated social radar prototypes into the Ozone Widget d Common Ground System (DCGS-A). Applied social ation Support Operations (MISO) mission to counter violen nent and situational awareness for countering weapons of	nt mass			
curacies of English and Spanish language models to meet dialect feature analysis.	80%			
		1.051	0.700	
analysis, and fusion of data from open sources at scale w of architectures and systems to enable access to structurels. Complete development of and demonstrate ability in real-time, or near real-time to support both short-term	rith red,			
13 years. iSENT ingested 25 million documents per mont and further analysis of the data, including sentiment analysis owser, and other analysis tools supporting both short-term variety of government organizations and hosted at U.S. Planning Collaborative Information Environment (GAP	h, ysis,			
	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0604670D8Z / Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Research and Engineering           ews and social media data. Supported a variety of operati Integrated social radar prototypes into the Ozone Widget d Common Ground System (DCGS-A). Applied social ation Support Operations (MISO) mission to counter violer nent and situational awareness for countering weapons of ed Strategic Planning and Analysis Network (ISPAN) prog euracies of English and Spanish language models to meet dialect feature analysis.           sociocultural behavior data, including and especially in de analysis, and fusion of data from open sources at scale w of architectures and systems to enable access to structu rels. Complete development of and demonstrate ability in real-time, or near real-time to support both short-term emonstration of transition-ready automated data collection dels.           dia data either weekly (W-ICEWS) or in near real-time (iS 13 years. iSENT ingested 25 million documents per mont and further analysis tools supporting both short-term variety of government organizations and hosted at U.S.           Planning Collaborative Information Environment (GAP	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0604670D8Z <i>I</i> Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Research and Engineering         Project P670 <i>I</i> (HSCE Engine           ews and social media data. Supported a variety of operational Integrated social radar prototypes into the Ozone Widget a Common Ground System (DCGS-A). Applied social tion Support Operations (MISO) mission to counter violent nent and situational awareness for countering weapons of mass ed Strategic Planning and Analysis Network (ISPAN) programs           suracies of English and Spanish language models to meet 80% dialect feature analysis.         sociocultural behavior data, including and especially in denied analysis, and fusion of data from open sources at scale with of architectures and systems to enable access to structured, rels. Complete development of and demonstrate ability in real-time, or near real-time to support both short-term emonstration of transition-ready automated data collection, ndels.           dia data either weekly (W-ICEWS) or in near real-time (iSENT). 13 years. iSENT ingested 25 million documents per month, and further analysis tools supporting both short-term is variety of government organizations and hosted at U.S. Planning Collaborative Information Environment (GAP ethods for collecting sociocultural behavior data from open	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0604670D8Z I Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Research and Engineering       Project (Number/N P670 I Human Soci (HSCB) Modeling R Project (Number/Number) Project (Number/Number) Project (Number/Number) Project (Number/Number) Project (Number/Number) Project (Number) Project (Number	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0604670D82 / Human Social Culture Behavior (HSCB) Modeling Research and Engineering       Project (Number/Name) P670 / Human Social Culture Be (HSCB) Modeling Research and Engineering         www.s.and.social media data.       Supported a variety of operational Integrated social radar prototypes into the Ozone Widget I Common Ground System (DCGS-A). Applied social tion Support Operations (MISO) mission to counter violent tent and situational awareness for countering weapons of mass ed Strategic Planning and Analysis Network (ISPAN) programs         wuracies of English and Spanish language models to meet 80% dialect feature analysis.       1.051       0.700         sociocultural behavior data, including and especially in denied analysis, and fusion of data from open sources at scale with of architectures and systems to enable access to structured, rels. Complete development of and demonstrate ability in real-time, or near real-time to support both short-term emonstration of transition-ready automated data collection, idels.       1.051       0.700         dia data either weekly (W-ICEWS) or in near real-time (iSENT). 13 years. iSENT ingested 25 million documents per month, and further analysis folls supporting both short-term variety of government organizations and hosted at U.S. Planning Collaborative Information Environment (GAP ethods for collecting sociocultural behavior data from open       Panning Collaborative Information Environment (GAP

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary	Of Defense	;				Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4				PE 06	04670D8Z	<b>nent (Numb</b> Human Soc Modeling Re	ial Culture	P670 /	e <b>t (Number/N</b> Human Soci 3) Modeling F eering		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	grams (\$ in N	<u>/lillions)</u>						Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Extend iSENT capability to automati disinformation based on content and configure a custom dashboard that i and regular media, and television fe	l degree of so ncludes autor	cial network	ing reach. D	evelop dash	board capal	pility that allo	ws the user				
Title: Risk Reduction									0.350	0.300	-
Description: Conduct the risk reduce address user/program of record reque Gather data necessary to populate e rapid prototypes to demonstrate tech technologies on user effectiveness a FY 2013 Accomplishments: W-ICEWS and iSENT programs bot rapid increase in the user base due community users. Both programs pa Command MISO experiment. Valida user requirements. Used social rada program-level measures of effective technologies is planned for use by g FY 2014 Plans:	uirements. Co existing Progra anology effect and efficiency. h periodically to high demar articipated in r ated social rac ar technologie ness. As evic	report rigoro nd from mult numerous of dar technolo es during rep lence of the	oply existing asures of eff ard new U.S ous statistica iple Combat perational so gies to ensu peated demo readiness to	processes for ectiveness. Governme I measures of ant Comman cenarios, incl re accuracy instrations to	or evaluating Develop, co nt challenge of precision nds, the Stat luding the U and to ensu o gather data	discrete resomplete, and s. Quantify and recall; b e Departme S. Special C re that they a necessary	search project transition effect of HSC oth experience nt, and intelling Operations addressed to populate	cts. CB ced a gence			
Conduct risk reduction activities nec						ate, and add	lress user/pro	ogram			
of record requirements. Continue to	apply existing	processes	for evaluatin			s/Planned P	rograms Su	ıbtotals	4.492	2.000	
C. Other Program Funding Summa	arv (\$ in Milli	ons)			<u>.</u>			I_	I		
			<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>					Cost To	
Line Item • PE 0602670D8Z BA 2: HSCB Applied Research	<u>FY 2013</u> 5.049	<u>FY 2014</u> 2.000	Base -	<u>000</u> -	<u>Total</u> -	<u>FY 2016</u> -	<u>FY 2017</u> -	<u>FY 201</u> -	<u>8 FY 2019</u> -	<u>Complete</u> Continuing	Total Cos Continuin
• PE 0603670D8Z BA 3: HSCB Advanced Development	6.994	2.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuir
PE 0604670D8Z: Human Social Cult	ure Behavior	(HSCB) Mod	deling	UNCLAS	SIFIED						
Rese Office of Secretary Of Defense				Page 5			R-1 Line	#105		Vo	ume 3 - 457

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project	Justification: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary	Of Defense				Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4				PE 06	rogram Eler 04670D8Z / rior (HSCB) / sering	Human Soc	ial Culture	P670 I H	Aodeling Re	a <b>me)</b> Il Culture Behavior esearch and	
C. Other Program Funding Su	<u>mmary (\$ in Milli</u>	ons <u>)</u>									
Line Item Remarks	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>Base</u>	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>OCO</u>	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>Total</u>	<u>FY 2016</u>	<u>FY 2017</u>	<u>FY 2018</u>	<u>FY 2019</u>	Cost To Complete Total Co	

#### D. Acquisition Strategy

The Program produces software prototypes configured for use in programs such as the DCGS-A. The program is executed by a Broad Agency Announcement (BAA) and a targeted Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The BAA and RFPs were issued in FY 2011. Proposals were solicited from all DoD organizations, other federal agencies, and the commercial sector. Proposals were selected using review panels.

#### E. Performance Metrics

N/A

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Appropriation/Budget Activity					R-1 Progra	am Elemen				Date: Mar		
0400: Research, Development, Te Advanced Component Developme				A 4:	PE 060477	'5D8Z / Def	ense Rapid	Innovation	Program			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	
Total Program Element	199.233	218.775	175.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuin	g Continuin
P775: Defense Rapid Innovation Program	199.233	218.775	175.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuin	g Continuin
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wil	l be submit	ted at a late	er date		1				11			
Section 4201 of the National Defe authorities and funds to facilitate t (RIF) program is to perform a soli	the rapid in	sertion of in	novative tec d award of c	hnologies ontracts that	into military at support th	systems ar ne aforemer	nd programs	s. The purp gressional	ose of the D	oD-wide F	Rapid Innov	ation Fund
		nd engagem	nent of smal	l, innovativ	e businesse	es in solving	l delense ci	lallenges.				
emphasis on rapid, responsive ac	quisition ar			l, innovativ <u>FY 2013</u>	e businesse <u>FY 201</u>	C C	TY 2015 Ba	C C	<u>FY 2015 OC</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>FY 2015 </u>	<u>lotal</u>
emphasis on rapid, responsive ac	quisition ar					<u> 4</u> <u>F</u>		C C	<u>FY 2015 OC</u>	-	<u>FY 2015 </u>	<u>Fotal</u>
emphasis on rapid, responsive ac 3. Program Change Summary ( Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge	cquisition ar <b>5 in Million</b> et			<b>FY 2013</b> 225.735 218.775	<u>FY 201</u>	1 <u>4</u> 10		C C	<u>FY 2015 OC</u>	- -	<u>FY 2015 1</u>	<u>Fotal</u> - -
emphasis on rapid, responsive ac 3. Program Change Summary ( Previous President's Budge Current President's Budge Total Adjustments	equisition ar t t	<u>s)</u>		<b>FY 2013</b> 225.735	<b>FY 201</b> 175.00	1 <u>4</u> 10		C C	<u>FY 2015 OC</u>	: <u>0</u> - -	<u>FY 2015 1</u>	<u>Fotal</u> - -
emphasis on rapid, responsive ac 3. Program Change Summary ( Previous President's Budge Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G	equisition ar t m Million et t eneral Red	<u>s)</u> luctions		FY 2013 225.735 218.775 -6.960	<b>FY 201</b> 175.00	1 <u>4</u> 10		C C	FY 2015 OC	: <u>0</u> - - -	FY 2015 1	<u>Fotal</u> - - -
emphasis on rapid, responsive ac 3. Program Change Summary ( Previous President's Budge Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G • Congressional D	equisition ar in Million et t eneral Red irected Rec	<u>s)</u> luctions		<b>FY 2013</b> 225.735 218.775	<b>FY 201</b> 175.00	1 <u>4</u> 10		C C	FY 2015 OC	: <b>0</b> - - -	FY 2015 1	<u>Fotal</u> - - -
emphasis on rapid, responsive ac 3. Program Change Summary ( Previous President's Budge Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G • Congressional D • Congressional R	equisition ar in Million et t eneral Red irected Rec escissions	<u>s)</u> luctions		FY 2013 225.735 218.775 -6.960	<b>FY 201</b> 175.00	1 <u>4</u> 10		C C	<u>FY 2015 OC</u>	2 <mark>0</mark> - - -	FY 2015 1	<u>Fotal</u> - - -
emphasis on rapid, responsive ac <b>3. Program Change Summary (S</b> Previous President's Budge Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G • Congressional D • Congressional R • Congressional A	equisition ar in Million et t eneral Red irected Rec escissions dds	<u>s)</u> luctions luctions		FY 2013 225.735 218.775 -6.960	<b>FY 201</b> 175.00	1 <u>4</u> 10		C C	<u>FY 2015 OC</u>	: <u>0</u> - -	FY 2015 1	<u>Fotal</u> - - -
emphasis on rapid, responsive ac <b>B. Program Change Summary (S</b> Previous President's Budge Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G • Congressional D • Congressional A • Congressional A • Congressional D	equisition ar in Million et t eneral Red irected Rec escissions dds irected Trai	<u>s)</u> luctions luctions		FY 2013 225.735 218.775 -6.960	<b>FY 201</b> 175.00	1 <u>4</u> 10		C C	<u>FY 2015 OC</u>	: <u>0</u> - -	<u>FY 2015 T</u>	<u>Fotal</u> - - -
emphasis on rapid, responsive ac <b>B. Program Change Summary (S</b> Previous President's Budge Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G • Congressional D • Congressional R • Congressional A	equisition ar in Million et t eneral Red irected Rec escissions dds irected Trans	<u>s)</u> luctions luctions		FY 2013 225.735 218.775 -6.960	<b>FY 201</b> 175.00	1 <u>4</u> 10		C C	<u>FY 2015 OC</u>	: <b>0</b> - - -	<u>FY 2015 T</u>	<u>Fotal</u> - -
emphasis on rapid, responsive ac <b>B. Program Change Summary (S</b> Previous President's Budge Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G • Congressional D • Congressional A • Congressional A • Congressional D • Reprogrammings	equisition ar in Million et t eneral Red irected Rec escissions dds irected Trans s isfer	s) luctions ductions		FY 2013 225.735 218.775 -6.960 - - -6.960 - - - - - - - - - -	FY 201 175.00 175.00	1 <u>4</u> 10		C C	<u>FY 2015 OC</u>	 - -	FY 2015	FY 2014
emphasis on rapid, responsive ad <b>B. Program Change Summary (S</b> Previous President's Budge Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G • Congressional R • Congressional A • Congressional A • Congressional D • Reprogrammings • SBIR/STTR Tran	equisition ar in Million et t eneral Red irected Rec escissions dds irected Trans usfer Is (\$ in Mill	s) Juctions Juctions Insfers	Includes Ge	FY 2013 225.735 218.775 -6.960 - - -6.960 - - - - - - - - - -	FY 201 175.00 175.00	1 <u>4</u> 10		C C	<u>FY 2015 OC</u>	 - -		-
emphasis on rapid, responsive ad <b>B. Program Change Summary (S</b> Previous President's Budge Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G • Congressional D • Congressional A • Congressional A • Congressional D • Reprogrammings • SBIR/STTR Tran <u>Congressional Add Detai</u>	equisition ar in Million et t eneral Red irected Red escissions dds irected Trans sfer Is (\$ in Mill apid Innova	<u>s)</u> Juctions Juctions Insfers Iions, and I	Includes Ge	FY 2013 225.735 218.775 -6.960 - - -6.960 - - - - - - - - - -	FY 201 175.00 175.00	1 <u>4</u> 10		C C	<u>FY 2015 OC</u>	- - - FY		- - - FY 2014
emphasis on rapid, responsive ad <b>B. Program Change Summary (S</b> Previous President's Budge Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G • Congressional R • Congressional A • Congressional A • Congressional D • Reprogrammings • SBIR/STTR Tran <u>Congressional Add Detai</u> Project: P775: Defense Ra	equisition ar in Million et t eneral Red irected Red escissions dds irected Trans sfer Is (\$ in Mill apid Innova	<u>s)</u> Juctions Juctions Insfers Iions, and I	Includes Ge	FY 2013 225.735 218.775 -6.960 - - -6.960 - - - - - - - - - -	FY 201 175.00 175.00	- - - - - -	<u>FY 2015 Ba</u>	5 <u>e</u> - -	FY 2015 OC	- - - FY	2013	-

Appropriation/Budget Activity       R-1 Program Element         0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 4:       R-1 Program Element         Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)       PE 0604775D82 / Defense         C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)       Congressional Add: Defense Rapid Innovation Fund         FY 2013 Accomplishments: Provided, that of the funds made available in this paragraph, \$250,000,00       Defense Rapid Innovation Program shall only be available for expenses, not otherwise provided for, to in program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to include µ of concept demonstration; engineering, testing, and validation; and transition to full-scale production; Pr further, that the Secretary of Defense may transfer funds provided herein for the Defense Rapid Innovati Program to appropriations for research, development, test and evaluation to accomplish the purpose pro herein; provided further, that this transfer authority is in addition to any other transfer authority available         Department of Defense: provided further that the Secretary of Defense shall, not fewer than 30 days primaking transfers from this appropriation, notify the congressional defense committees in writing of the d any such transfer.         FY 2014 Plans: Provided, that the Defense Rapid Innovation Program shall only be available for expenses         Program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to in proof of concept demonstration; engineering, testing, and validation; and transition to full-scale production in any such transfer.         FY 2014 Plans: Provided, that the Defense Rapid Innovation Pr	se Rapid Innovation FY 2013 218.775 o for the lude roof vided on vided o the r to tails of s, to	FY 2014
<ul> <li>Congressional Add: Defense Rapid Innovation Fund</li> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments: Provided, that of the funds made available in this paragraph, \$250,000,00 Defense Rapid Innovation Program shall only be availble for expenses, not otherwise provided for, to in program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to include p of concept demonstration; engineering, testing, and validation; and transition to full-scale production; Pr further, that the Secretary of Defense may transfer funds provided herein for the Defense Rapid Innovation Program to appropriations for research, development, test and evaluation to accomplish the purpose protering; provided further, that this transfer authority is in addition to any other transfer authority available Department of Defense: provided further that the Secretary of Defense shall, not fewer than 30 days primaking transfers.</li> <li>FY 2014 Plans: Provided, that the Defense Rapid Innovation Program shall only be available for expenses include program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to full-scale production to any such transfer.</li> <li>FY 2014 Plans: Provided, that the Defense Rapid Innovation Program shall only be available for expenses include program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to include program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to include program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to include proof of concept demonstration; engineering, testing, and validation; and transition to full-scale production for other program funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</li> </ul>	218.775 lude roof vided on vided o the r to tails of s, to	
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Provided, that of the funds made available in this paragraph, \$250,000,00 Defense Rapid Innovation Program shall only be available for expenses, not otherwise provided for, to in program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to include p of concept demonstration; engineering, testing, and validation; and transition to full-scale production; Pr further, that the Secretary of Defense may transfer funds provided herein for the Defense Rapid Innovation Program to appropriations for research, development, test and evaluation to accomplish the purpose provided further, that this transfer authority is in addition to any other transfer authority available Department of Defense: provided further that the Secretary of Defense shall, not fewer than 30 days primaking transfers from this appropriation, notify the congressional defense committees in writing of the d any such transfer. FY 2014 Plans: Provided, that the Defense Rapid Innovation Program shall only be available for expense include program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to include program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to include program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to include program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to include program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to include program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to include program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to include proof of concept demonstration; engineering, testing, and validation; and transition to full-scale production proof of concept demonstration; engineering, testing, and validation; and transition to full-scale production proof of concept demonstration; engineering, testing, and val	o for the lude roof vided on vided o the r to tails of s, to	5 175.000
Defense Rapid Innovation Program shall only be availble for expenses, not otherwise provided for, to in program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to include p of concept demonstration; engineering, testing, and validation; and transition to full-scale production; Pr further, that the Secretary of Defense may transfer funds provided herein for the Defense Rapid Innovat Program to appropriations for research, development, test and evaluation to accomplish the purpose pro herein; provided further, that this transfer authority is in addition to any other transfer authority available Department of Defense: provided further that the Secretary of Defense shall, not fewer than 30 days primaking transfers from this appropriation, notify the congressional defense committees in writing of the d any such transfer. <i>FY 2014 Plans:</i> Provided, that the Defense Rapid Innovation Program shall only be available for expenses include program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to full-scale production to include program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to include program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to include program management and oversight, to conduct research, development, test and evaluation to include <b>Congressional Adds S D. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</b>	lude roof vided on vided o the r to tails of s, to	
proof of concept demonstration; engineering, testing, and validation; and transition to full-scale production Congressional Adds S D. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)		1
D. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)		
	ubtotals 218.775	5 175.000
<u>Remarks</u>		
<u>E. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A		
<u>F. Performance Metrics</u> No performance metrics identified at this time.		

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Ite	m Justificati	on: PB 20	15 Office of	Secretary C	Of Defense					Date: Mar	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, 7 Advanced Component Developm				3A 4:		<b>am Elemen</b> 70D8Z / Sup			Information	Integration		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	0.000	-	-	12.500	-	12.500	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
001: Maritime Capability	0.000	-	-	12.500	-	12.500	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request w <b>A. Mission Description and Bu</b> This program element supports is funded under Budget Activity	<mark>dget Item Ju</mark> studies in the	<b>stification</b> areas of r	<u>I</u> networks, in	formation in	tegration, d	efense-wide	e command	and contro	l (C2), and	communica	tions. This j	orogram
B. Program Change Summary				<u>FY 2013</u>	FY 20 <sup>2</sup>	<u>14 F</u>	Y 2015 Ba	<u>se</u>	FY 2015 O	<u>CO</u>	<u>FY 2015 To</u>	otal
Previous President's Bud	•	-+		-		-		-		-		-
Current President's Budg	et			-		-	12.5	00		-	12.5	500
Total Adjustments				-		-	12.5	00		-	12.5	500
Congressional (				-		-						
Congressional I		uctions		-		-						
Congressional I				-		-						
Congressional /     Congressional I		ofore		-		-						
Reprogramming		151615		-		-						
SBIR/STTR Tra				_		-						
Program Increa				-		-	12.5	00		-	12.5	500
Change Summary Expla												

FY 2015: Department increase – Maritime Capabilites classified program 12.500 million. This demonstrated one piece of the total Department increase for Maritime Capabilities other associated funding can be found under PE 0605170D8Z, BA 6, 22.5 million, and PE 0305199D8Z, BA 7, 5 million.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	ustification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	)efense					Date: Mai	rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 4					PE 060517	am Elemen 70D8Z / Sup n Integration	port to Net			lumber/Na time Capak		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
001: Maritime Capability	-	-	-	12.500	-	12.500	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MDAP/MAIS Code: 0000												·
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wi	ll be submit	ted at a late	r date.									
A. Mission Description and Bud	laet Item J	ustification										
This program element supports s is funded under Budget Activity 4	studies in th	e areas of n	etworks, inf	formation in	itegration, d	efense-wide	e command	and contro	l (C2), and	communica	ations. This	program
<b>B. Accomplishments/Planned F</b>	Programs (	in Million	<u>s)</u>						F۱	( 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Maritime Capabilities Accor	mplishment	s and Plans								-	-	12.500
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> N/A												
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> N/A												
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Maritime Capabilities. Classified the total Department increase for million, and PE 0305199D8Z, BA	Maritime C	apabilities. (										
					Accomplis	shments/Pla	anned Prog	grams Sub	totals	-	-	12.500
<u>C. Other Program Funding Sum</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u>	nmary (\$ in	<u>Millions)</u>								<u>i</u>	i	
<u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A												
<u>E. Performance Metrics</u> Classified Program details provid	led at a higl	ner classifica	ation under	separate co	over.							

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Ite	m Justificat	i <b>on:</b> PB 201	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Mar	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, T Advanced Component Developn	Test & Evalua			3A 4:			t (Number/ nt Electroma		nnology (JE	T) Program	1	
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	3.357	2.899	3.151	2.656	-	2.656	2.449	2.818	2.922	3.087	Continuing	Continuir
192: Joint Electromagnetic Technology (JET) Program	3.357	2.899	3.151	2.656	-	2.656	2.449	2.818	2.922	3.087	Continuing	Continuir
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request w	vill be submitt	ed at a late	r date.		1	1			1	1	1	1
A. Mission Description and Bu	daat Itom lu	etification										
The JET Program supports the I	-			a narticula	r emnhasis	on the com	munication	requiremen	ts of Specia	l Forces ar	d Intelligen	~
Details of the program are class									to of opecie		iu intenigent	
		•		· ·	•							4-1
B. Program Change Summary	•	s <u>)</u>		FY 2013	<u>FY 201</u>		Y 2015 Ba		FY 2015 O	<u>.u</u>	FY 2015 To	
Previous President's Bud	•			3.158	3.16		3.0			-		023
Current President's Budg	jet			2.899	3.15		2.6			-		656
Total Adjustments				-0.259	-0.01	8	-0.3	67		-	-0.3	367
Congressional				-		-						
Congressional		uctions		-		-						
Congressional				-		-						
Congressional				-		-						
<ul> <li>Congressional</li> </ul>		nsfers		-		-						
<ul> <li>Reprogramming</li> </ul>				-		-						
SBIR/STTR Tra				-		-						
<ul> <li>Sequestration F</li> </ul>				-0.259		-		-		-		-
<ul> <li>Efficiencly Red</li> </ul>				-		-	-0.3	67		-	-0.3	367
<ul> <li>FFRDC Adjustr</li> </ul>	nent			-	-0.01	8		-		-		-
Change Summary Explan Change Summary Explan FY 2013: Sequestration FY 2014: FFRDC Reduct FY 2015: Efficiency Red	nation: Reduction -0 ction -0.018 n	nillion.	1.									

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretar	ry Of Defense	Date: M	arch 2014			
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 4: Advanced Component Development & Prototypes (ACD&P)	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0303191D8Z / Joint Electromagnetic Technology (JET) Program					
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<i>Title:</i> JET Program Initiatives		2.899	3.151	2.656		
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> Program Planning and Support						
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Program Planning and Support						
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> Program Planning and Support						
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	2.899	3.151	2.656		
Remarks E. Acquisition Strategy N/A F. Performance Metrics - Numbers of operational field demonstrations. - Numbers of false-positive results. - Successful technology transfer to service component. - Number of service requirements satisfied.						

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item	n Justificat	ion: PB 20 <sup>-</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense		1			Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity         R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)           0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5:         PE 0604161D8Z I Nuclear and Conventional Physical Secu           System Development & Demonstration (SDD)         Threats						ecurity/Cour	ntering Nucl	ear				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	20.652	6.152	8.109	7.936	-	7.936	6.204	6.286	6.658	7.059	Continuing	Continuing
P163: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security	20.652	6.152	7.061	3.952	-	3.952	6.204	6.286	6.658	7.059	Continuing	Continuing
P042: CNT Rad/Nuc Passive Defense SDD	0.000	-	1.048	3.984	-	3.984	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element (PE) addresses the need to defend and deter against weapons of mass destruction (WMD) threats and to safeguard personnel; prevent unauthorized access to equipment, installations, material, and documents; and to safeguard the foregoing against espionage, sabotage, damage, and theft. This program oversees advanced engineering development throughout DoD for an integrated and systemic RDT&E approach for countering nuclear threats and nuclear and conventional physical security technology and systems. The funding has been centralized in this Defense-wide PE since the early 1990s and represents a substantial portion of all DoD physical security RDT&E funding. Priorities for this PE RDT&E efforts are driven by inputs from Quadrennial Defense Review guidance, Combatant Command and Service requirements, analysis reports such as "Protecting the Force: Lessons from Fort Hood," January 2010, the Integrated Unit, Base, and Installation Protection Cost Benefits Analysis, Multi-national Work Plans established through the Nuclear Security Summit process, and DoD Directive 5210.41, Security Policy for Protecting Nuclear Weapons-directed requirements and associated security deviation reports.

Under this integrated approach, funds are used to provide system development and demonstration for the Department in seven capability areas: (1) Detection and Assessment; (2) Access Controls; (3) Installation and Transport Security; (4) Storage and Safeguards; (5) Prevention; (6) Decision Support Systems; and (7) Analytical Support. The program will develop systems that are producible, supportable, and affordable and to demonstrate system integration, interoperability, and utility prior to full-rate production. The projects under the PE become technology insertions into existing programs or advance to being a certified Commercial/Government off-the-shelf product. The PE initiatives are coordinated by the Physical Security Enterprise and Analysis Group. This group is responsible for avoiding duplication of effort and when applicable ensure systems integration and promote interoperability and sustainability.

This PE can fund travel to support the requirements of this program.

This appropriation will finance work, including manpower, performed by a government agency or by private individuals or organizations under a contractual or grant arrangement with the government who conduct research (systematic study directed toward fuller scientific knowledge or understanding of the subject studied), development (systematic use of the knowledge and understanding gained from research, for the production of useful materials, devices, systems, or methods, including the design and development of prototypes and processes) and test and evaluation efforts.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Of	fice of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-W System Development & Demonstration (SDD)	/ide / BA 5:		ement (Number/Name) I Nuclear and Convent		Countering Nuclear
3. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	6.817	8.155	13.596	-	13.596
Current President's Budget	6.152	8.109	7.936	-	7.936
Total Adjustments	-0.665	-0.046	-5.660	-	-5.660
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.105	-			
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-5.660	-	-5.660
FY13 Adjustment	-0.560	-	-	-	-
<ul> <li>FY14 Adjustment</li> </ul>	-	-0.046	-	-	-

#### Change Summary Explanation

The reduction is a strategic efficiency approach to reduce funding and staffing. As a result, we provide a better alignment of funding and provide support to a smaller military force.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	hibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5					<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604161D8Z <i>I Nuclear and</i> <i>Conventional Physical Security/Countering</i> <i>Nuclear Threats</i>				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P163 <i>I Nuclear and Conventional Physical</i> <i>Security</i>			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P163: Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security	20.652	6.152	7.061	3.952	-	3.952	6.204	6.286	6.658	7.059	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element (PE) addresses the need to defend and deter against weapons of mass destruction (WMD) threats and to safeguard personnel; prevent unauthorized access to equipment, installations, material, and documents; and to safeguard the foregoing against espionage, sabotage, damage, and theft. This program oversees advanced engineering development throughout DoD for an integrated and systemic RDT&E approach for countering nuclear threats and nuclear and conventional physical security technology and systems. The funding has been centralized in this Defense-wide PE since the early 1990s and represents a substantial portion of all DoD physical security RDT&E funding. Priorities for this PE RDT&E efforts are driven by inputs from Quadrennial Defense Review guidance, Combatant Command and Service requirements, analysis reports such as "Protecting the Force: Lessons from Fort Hood," January 2010, the Integrated Unit, Base, and Installation Protection Cost Benefits Analysis, Multi-national Work Plans established through the Nuclear Security Summit process, and DoD Directive 5210.41, Security Policy for Protecting Nuclear Weapons-directed requirements and associated security deviation reports.

Under this integrated approach, funds are used to provide system development and demonstration for the Department in seven capability areas: (1) Detection and Assessment; (2) Access Controls; (3) Installation and Transport Security; (4) Storage and Safeguards; (5) Prevention; (6) Decision Support Systems; and (7) Analytical Support. The program will develop systems that are producible, supportable, and affordable and to demonstrate system integration, interoperability, and utility prior to full-rate production. The projects under the PE become technology insertions into existing programs or advance to being a certified Commercial/Government off-the-shelf product. The PE initiatives are coordinated by the Physical Security Enterprise and Analysis Group. This group is responsible for avoiding duplication of effort and when applicable ensure systems integration and promote interoperability and sustainability.

This PE can fund travel to support the requirements of this program.

This appropriation will finance work, including manpower, performed by a government agency or by private individuals or organizations under a contractual or grant arrangement with the government who conduct research (systematic study directed toward fuller scientific knowledge or understanding of the subject studied), development (systematic use of the knowledge and understanding gained from research, for the production of useful materials, devices, systems, or methods, including the design and development of prototypes and processes) and test and evaluation efforts.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Detection and Assessment	2.301	2.641	1.395

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604161D8Z <i>I Nuclear and</i> <i>Conventional Physical Security/Countering</i> <i>Nuclear Threats</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P163 <i>I Nuclear and Conventional</i> g Security			l Physical
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Description:</b> The ability to detect an adversary and assess their will design equipment to identify and warn of unauthorized access to the notification and identification of explosive threats or hazard	s to a specified area or installation as well as equipment rel				
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Transitioned Long-range imaging sensor to operate with a sona environment to low-rate production.</li> <li>Transitioned optimal active sonar functionality in ultra-shallow w</li> </ul>		water			
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Develop Portable Detection System for Select Environments</li> <li>Develop US Navy Spike Weapon System, Improved Electro-opt</li> <li>Develop Ground-Based Operational Surveillance System - Experimentary</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Continue to develop Portable Detection System for Select Envir</li> <li>Continue to develop US Navy Spike Weapon System, Improved</li> <li>Continue to develop Ground-Based Operational Surveillance System</li> </ul>	l Electro-optical Seeker				
Title: Access Controls			1.926	2.210	0.75
<b>Description:</b> Controlling access to safeguard personnel and their infrastructure and materials is paramount. This capability area with verification of individuals entering or already within, a facility.		and			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> • Conducted Joint Capability Technology Demonstration for Defence pability	nse Installation Access Control project to prove operational				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> • Demonstrate the ability of existing marine mammals to intercept delay and deny access to critical resources.	t human targets and attach specially developed hardware to	D			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of	Defense	Da	ate: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604161D8Z <i>I Nuclear and</i> <i>Conventional Physical Security/Countering</i> <i>Nuclear Threats</i>		ect (Number/Name) I Nuclear and Conventional Physic rity		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 20	13	FY 2014	FY 2015
• Provide a continuous evaluation solution for DoD personnel security able to cleared personnel against the Administrative Guidelines and other security ris supplement and eventually replace periodic re-evaluations		f			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> • Provide a continuous evaluation solution for DoD personnel security able to cleared personnel against the Administrative Guidelines and other security ris supplement and eventually replace periodic re-evaluations	••	f			
Title: Installation and Transport Security		0	.166	0.191	0.889
<b>Description:</b> Robust installation and transport security are vital to preventing unauthorized access to key assets such as nuclear weapons and special nucleor programs and equipment intended to improve the physical security profile of in-transit.	lear material. This capability area will focus on	while			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Developed proof of concept for detection options and response capabilities non-lethal to lethal tactical weapon systems, to protect personnel and assets environment.</li> <li>Developed proof of concept for persistent surveillance, intrusion detection, e autonomous unmanned systems, chemical, biological, radiological, nuclear, a</li> </ul>	against the terrorist threat in a waterside securit explosive detection, entry denial, acoustic hailing	ý			
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Develop improved and common situational awareness to link shipboard sectors.</li> <li>Conduct demonstration for detection options and response capabilities previous non-lethal to lethal tactical weapon systems, to protect personnel and assets environment.</li> </ul>	viously identified, to include the full spectrum of				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> • Integrate detection options and response capabilities previously identified, to tactical weapon systems, to protect personnel and assets against the terroris					
Title: Storage and Safeguards		0	.148	0.169	-

PE 0604161D8Z: *Nuclear and Conventional Physical Security/Counter...* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Sec	retary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604161D8Z <i>I Nuclear and</i> <i>Conventional Physical Security/Countering</i> <i>Nuclear Threats</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P163 <i>I Nuclear and Conventional Pl</i> Security			l Physical
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Description:</b> Properly securing critical assets to prevent access by ensure access is limited to authorized persons is the foundation of p (e.g., locks, doors, etc.) designed to delay or stop unauthorized entry	hysical security. This capability area will focus on equipr				
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Transitioned economical magazine construction that comprehensivo operational and seismic safety standards to low-rate production.</li> <li>Transitioned a Government Services Administration-approved ship</li> </ul>					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> • Establish fragment and blast load environment, identify potential n and explosives safety requirements for Weapon Storage Containers		urity			
<i>Title:</i> Prevention			0.295	0.339	-
<b>Description:</b> The security procedures taken to discourage an adverunauthorized access to critical assets are at the heart of prevention. efforts which have the ability to influence multiple areas.					
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> • Expanded engagement opportunities with international partners in workshops.	Nuclear Security. Produces best practice guide and				
Conducted requirements gap analysis between Global Threat Red Global Nuclear Lockdown.	uction Initiative and Cooperative Threat Reduction efforts	s for			
<ul><li>FY 2014 Plans:</li><li>Develop and host Nuclear Testing, Diagnostics, Forensics and Sto</li></ul>	ckpile Stewardship (NTDFSS) Course				
Title: Decision Support Systems			0.800	0.918	0.58
<b>Description:</b> Decision support systems serve the management, oper enterprise to help to make decisions, which may be rapidly changing focus on command and control equipment and projects related to the and the establishment of common architectures / interface standards	and not easily specified in advance. This capability area e creation and enhancement of common operating pictur	a will			
FY 2013 Accomplishments:					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense	Da	te: March 20	14		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	PE 0604161D8Z / Nuclear and	•	ect (Number/Name) I Nuclear and Conventional Physical rity			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 20	I3 FY 20	14 FY 2015		
<ul> <li>Advanced integration of sensors, sensor systems and unmanned systems with available Common Operating Pictures (COP) with in-depth security, surveillance expeditionary elements.</li> <li>Provided DoD and industry the means to achieve Physical Security Equipments specifications.</li> </ul>	e, and response data for fixed and semi-fixed/	ce				
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Develop capability to ensure threat alert and response systems are interoperative aid partners in the local communities</li> </ul>	able with equipment used by the DoD and mutu	al				
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Develop a Defense Security Enterprise Architecture that would link/harmonize existing and emerging Component capabilities to better close known physical security enterprise and emerging component capabilities to be the security enterprise and emerging component capabilities to be the security enterprise and emerging component capabilities to be the security enterprise and emerging component capabilities to be the security enterprise and emerging component capabilities to be the security enterprise and emerging component capabilities to be the security enterprise and emerging component capabilities to be the security enterprise and emerging component capabilities to be the security enterprise and emerging component capabilities to be the security enterprise and emerging component capabilities to be the security enterprise and emerging component capabilities to be the security enterprise and emerging component capabilities to be the security enterprise and emerging component capabilities to be the security enterprise and emerging component capabilities to be the security enterprise and emerging component capabilities to be the security enterprise and emerging component capabilities to be the security enterprise and emerging component capabilities to be the security enterprise and emerging component capabilities to be the security enterprise and emerging component capabilities to be the security enterprise and emerging capabilities and emerging c</li></ul>		3				
Title: Analytical Support		0.	516 0	593 0.336		
<b>Description:</b> This capability area will focus on studies related to physical secu related to day-to-day activities of the DoD Physical Security Enterprise RDT&E		orts				
<ul><li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li><li>Conducted physical security test and evaluation efforts</li></ul>						
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Conduct physical security test and evaluation efforts</li> <li>Provide DOD and industry the means to achieve PSE interoperability</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Conduct physical security test and evaluation efforts</li> <li>Provide DOD and industry the means to achieve PSE interoperability</li> </ul>						
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subt	otals 6.	152 7	.061 3.952		
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u>						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: March 2014		
0400 / 5	,		umber/Name) clear and Conventional Physical

#### D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

#### E. Performance Metrics

The program performance metrics are established/approved through the DoD Physical Security Enterprise and Analysis Group (PSEAG). The cost, schedule and technical progress of each project is reviewed at quarterly PSEAG. Performance variances are addressed and corrective action is implemented as necessary.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	efense					Date: Ma	rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5									<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P042 <i>I CNT Rad/Nuc Passive Defense</i> S			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P042: CNT Rad/Nuc Passive Defense SDD	-	-	1.048	3.984	-	3.984	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wil	l be submit	tted at a late	r date.									
requirement for CNT materiel dev Command and Navy Visit, Board, required. <b>B. Accomplishments/Planned P</b>	Search, a	nd Seizure,	as well as ti						JS Special	Operations		
Title: CNT Rad/Nuc Passive Defe	ense		-							-	1.048	3.984
<b>Description:</b> Advanced Developm System and the Joint Personal Do		nt Radiologi	cal and Nuc	lear passiv	e defense s	ystems (i.e.	Radiologic	al Detectior	ı			
The Radiological Detection System gamma radiation monitoring and least						on, and Corr	putation fo	r real time				
The Joint Personal Dosimeter will	provide a j	joint solutior	n to increase	e capability	and reduce	life-cycle co	osts.					
Both systems will address Operat sensitivity and common units of m		DACHI lesso	ons learned	for commo	n, interopera	able equipm	nent with ac	lequate				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Development of Joint Radiologica Personal Dosimeter)	I and Nucle	ear passive	defense sys	tems (i.e. F	Radiological	Detection S	System and	the Joint				
FY 2015 Plans:												
									·			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secret		Date: March 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604161D8Z <i>I Nuclear and</i> <i>Conventional Physical Security/Countering</i> <i>Nuclear Threats</i>		ect (Number/Name) I CNT Rad/Nuc Passive Defense Si				
<b>B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)</b> Continue with the development of Joint Radiological and Nuclear passis and the Joint Personal Dosimeter)	ve defense systems (i.e. Radiological Detection Syste		Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals	-	1.048	3.984		

#### C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

<u>Remarks</u>

#### D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

#### E. Performance Metrics

The program performance metrics are established/approved through the Countering Nuclear Threats Program Manager. The cost, schedule and technical progress is reviewed on a quarterly basis. Performance variances are addressed and corrective action(s) is(are) implemented as necessary.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificat	ion: PB 20	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te System Development & Demonstr		,	se-Wide I B	A 5:	-	am Elemen 35D8Z / Pro	•	,	bility Devel	opment		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	649.147	176.390	65.393	70.762	-	70.762	79.348	185.649	207.527	226.342	Continuing	Continuing
P164: Hypersonic Glide Experiment and Concepts Demonstration Support	341.970	23.000	2.000	2.000	-	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	Continuing	Continuing
P166: Alternate Re-Entry System/Warhead Engineering	213.486	147.790	55.000	65.200	-	65.200	72.950	176.649	199.500	218.342	Continuing	Continuing
P167: Test Range Development	62.446	-	4.953	-	-	-	1.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	Continuing	Continuing
P168: OSD CPGS Studies	31.245	5.600	3.440	3.562	-	3.562	3.398	5.000	4.027	4.000	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The level of resourcing for the Prompt Global Strike Capability Development program reflects iterative reductions from efficiencies and budget reductions, which reduces the Department's ability to develop flexible responsive solutions to emerging war fighter needs. This Program Element (PE) was established to develop and demonstrate technologies and applications that advance conventional prompt global strike (CPGS) warfighting capabilities. The program uses a national team with coordination between the Services, Agencies and national research laboratories to pursue integrated portfolio objectives of the acquisition and operation of a CPGS system. This program funds the design, development, and experimentation of boosters, payload delivery vehicles (PDVs), non-nuclear warheads, guidance systems, and mission planning and enabling capabilities. To support these development activities, the program procures modeling and simulation capabilities, command and control interfaces, test range support, and launch system infrastructure. Additionally, expert resources address strategic policy and treaty issues. Program emphasis is on demonstrating component and subsystem technology maturity with risk reduction initiatives. Program timing will be driven by the outcome of flight test events and DoD budgets. In FY 2015, funding for the individual service initiatives will be contingent upon their abilities to execute and achieve satisfactory progress towards project goals as determined by the CPGS portfolio manager.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 C	Date:	Date: March 2014					
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-V System Development & Demonstration (SDD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604165D8Z <i>I Prompt Global Strike Capability Development</i>						
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total		
Previous President's Budget	110.383	65.440	82.590	-	82.590		
Current President's Budget	176.390	65.393	70.762	-	70.762		
Total Adjustments	66.007	-0.047	-11.828	-	-11.828		
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	90.000	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-					
Reprogrammings	-	-					
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-2.682	-					
<ul> <li>Efficiency Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-	-11.828	-	-11.828		
• FFRDC	-	-0.047	-	-	-		
<ul> <li>FY13 Sequestration Reduction</li> </ul>	-18.783	-	-	-	-		
Baseline Adjustments	-2.528	-	-	-	-		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5					PE 060416	<b>am Elemen</b> 65D8Z I Proi Developmei	mpt Global		<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P164 <i>I Hypersonic Glide Experiment and</i> <i>Concepts Demonstration Support</i>			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P164: Hypersonic Glide Experiment and Concepts Demonstration Support	341.970	23.000	2.000	2.000	-	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The level of resourcing for the Prompt Global Strike Capability Development program reflects iterative reductions from efficiencies and budget reductions, which reduces the Department's ability to develop flexible responsive solutions to emerging war fighter needs. This Program Element (PE) was established to develop and demonstrate technologies and applications that advance conventional prompt global strike (CPGS) warfighting capabilities. The program uses a national team with coordination between the Services, Agencies and national research laboratories to pursue integrated portfolio objectives of the acquisition and operation of a CPGS system. This program funds the design, development, and experimentation of boosters, payload delivery vehicles (PDVs), non-nuclear warheads, guidance systems, and mission planning and enabling capabilities. To support these development activities, the program procures modeling and simulation capabilities, command and control interfaces, test range support, and launch system infrastructure. Additionally, expert resources address strategic policy and treaty issues. Program emphasis is on demonstrating component and subsystem technology maturity with risk reduction initiatives. Program timing will be driven by the outcome of flight test events and DoD budgets. In FY 2014 and FY 2015, funding for the individual service initiatives will be contingent upon their abilities to execute and achieve satisfactory progress towards project goals as determined by the CPGS portfolio manager.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Hypersonic Glide Experiments and Concept Demonstration Development/Support	23.000	2.000	2.000
<b>Description:</b> This sub-project develops technologies and applications that could lead to a system with the following characteristics: effects on targets in a very short-period of time from execution order; non-ballistic flight over the majority of the flight path; positive control from launch to impact; adequate cross-range/ maneuverability to avoid overflight issues; controlled stage drop over Broad Ocean Area (BOA), and provides for in-flight target updates. This sub-project also develops warhead technologies to defeat time-sensitive targets for near and longer-term CPGS applications. The technologies developed will have cross-service and cross-concept applicability and will be developed through close coordination among DoD components. This activity will support both ground and flight tests, and provide all national data to the competitive acquisition program.			
The objectives of this sub-project are to: - Assess boost-glide technologies in light of ground and flight test events and associated modeling and simulation. -Analyze the military utility of multiple, 3-axis stabilized vehicles performance with respect to thermal protection materials, aerodynamics and control surfaces, navigation, guidance, control (NG&C), boosters and weapons performance.			

Capability Development       Concepts       Demonstration       Support         B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)       FY 2013       FY 2014       FY         Assess the feasibility of producing an affordable solution to fill the CPGS capability gap.       -Continue systems definition/lengineering/development of integrated weaponized payload delivery vehicles and subsystems in order to identify and reduce risks and mature technologies for a global range competitive acquisition program.       FY 2013       FY 2014       FY         FY 2013 Accomplishments:       - Led national team in risk reduction and technology maturation efforts for CPGS non-nuclear KEP, Penetrator and other warhead concepts       - Completed KEP arena and sled pre and post tests analyses, completing Sled Test#2 CDR and mono-rail dry run test       - Planned and conducted penetrator weapon design test series for the weapon case, high explosive, fuze, and instrumentation       - Combleted KEP arena and sled pre and post tests analyses or perform subsystems ground and subscale flight tests for evaluation and analysis of military utility       - Conducted system engineering studies to characterize effectiveness of updated weapons concepts, vehicles survivability against foreign systems and flight paths to optimized vehicles and boosters performance       - Completed KEP arena and delevery of wind tunnel design models for a representative 3 axes stabilized biconic delivery vehicle, egginning initial wind tunnel testing to validate biconic and related shape design models and potential for future concept use/ apperiments       - Conflucted a mission planning table-top exercise for STRATCOM to enhance early user assessment of operational employment concept and interfaces to	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	cretary Of Defense	Dat	e: March 2014				
-Assess the feasibility of producing an affordable solution to fill the CPGS capability gapContinue systems definition/engineering/development of integrated weaponized payload delivery vehicles and subsystems in order to identify and reduce risks and mature technologies for a global range competitive acquisition program. <b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> - Led national team in risk reduction and technology maturation efforts for CPGS non-nuclear KEP, Penetrator and other warhead concepts - Completed KEP arena and sled pre and post tests analyses, completing Sled Test#2 CDR and mono-rail dry run test - Planned and conducted penetrator weapon design test series for the weapon case, high explosive, fuze, and instrumentation - Collaboration with national CPGS team to plan, develop and perform subsystems ground and subscale flight tests for evaluation and analysis of military utility - Conducted system engineering studies to characterize effectiveness of updated weapons concepts, vehicles survivability against foreign systems and flight paths to optimized vehicles and boosters performance - Continuation of the modification of launch test pad for future flight tests, completing pad hard point alignment and testing, power, and communications systems engineering study of delivery vehicle shape analysis to assess best performance against a variety of range, speed, maneuver, booster configurations, basing and cost - Completed a preliminary systems engineering study of delivery vehicle shape design models and potential for future concept use/ experiments - Conducted a mission planning table-top exercise for STRATCOM to enhance early user assessment of operational employment concepts and interfaces to existing/planned STRATCOM/COCOM mission planning tool suites <b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> - Complete enhanced developmental testing in the areas of aerodynamics, aerothermodynamics, guidance, navigation, and control, instrumentation, wehicle recovery, and propulsion Conducted planning flight tests in coordination with other Services to		R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0604165D8Z / Prompt Global Strike Capability Development       Project (Number/Name) P164 / Hypersonic Glide Experiment and Concepts Demonstration Support         (\$ in Millions)       FY 2013       FY 2014       FY 2015         dable solution to fill the CPGS capability gap. evelopment of integrated weaponized payload delivery vehicles and subsystems in re technologies for a global range competitive acquisition program.       FY 2013       FY 2014       FY 2015         chnology maturation efforts for CPGS non-nuclear KEP, Penetrator and other warhead not design test series for the weapon case, high explosive, fuze, and instrumentation plan, develop and perform subsystems ground and subscale flight tests for evaluation characterize effectiveness of updated weapons concepts, vehicles survivability against develoes and boosters performance test pad for future flight tests, completing pad hard point alignment and testing, power, ering study of delivery vehicle shape analysis to assess best performance against a configurations, basing and cost d tunnel design models for a representative 3 axes stabilized biconic delivery vehicle, ate biconic and related shape design models and potential for future concept use/ xercise for STRATCOM to enhance early user assessment of operational employment I STRATCOM/COCOM mission planning tool suites g in the areas of aerodynamics, aerothermodynamics, guidance, navigation, and and propulsion.       Project (Number/Name) P104 / P2013		64 I Hypersonic Glide Experiment and				
-Continue systems definition/engineering/development of integrated weaponized payload delivery vehicles and subsystems in order to identify and reduce risks and mature technologies for a global range competitive acquisition program.  FY 2013 Accomplishments: - Led national team in risk reduction and technology maturation efforts for CPGS non-nuclear KEP, Penetrator and other warhead concepts - Completed KEP arena and sled pre and post tests analyses, completing Sled Test#2 CDR and mono-rail dry run test - Planned and conducted penetrator weapon design test series for the weapon case, high explosive, fuze, and instrumentation - Collaboration with national CPGS team to plan, develop and perform subsystems ground and subscale flight tests for evaluation and analysis of military utility - Conducted system engineering studies to characterize effectiveness of updated weapons concepts, vehicles survivability against foreign systems and flight paths to optimized vehicles and boosters performance - Continuation of the modification of launch test pad for future flight tests, completing pad hard point alignment and testing, power, and communications systems engineering study of delivery vehicle shape analysis to assess best performance against a variety of range, speed, maneuver, booster configurations, basing and cost - Completed the design and delivery of wind tunnel design models for a representative 3 axes stabilized biconic delivery vehicle, beginning initial wind tunnel testing to validate biconic and related shape design models and potential for future concept use/ experiments - Conducted a mission planning table-top exercise for STRATCOM to enhance early user assessment of operational employment concepts and interfaces to existing/planned STRATCOM/COCOM mission planning tool suites FY 2014 Plans: - Complete enhanced developmental testing in the areas of aerodynamics, aerothermodynamics, guidance, navigation, and control, instrumentation, wehicle recovery, and propulsion Conduct planning flight tests in coordina	B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 201	3 FY 2014	FY 2015			
<ul> <li>Led national team in risk reduction and technology maturation efforts for CPGS non-nuclear KEP, Penetrator and other warhead concepts</li> <li>Completed KEP arena and sled pre and post tests analyses, completing Sled Test#2 CDR and mono-rail dry run test</li> <li>Planned and conducted penetrator weapon design test series for the weapon case, high explosive, fuze, and instrumentation</li> <li>Collaboration with national CPGS team to plan, develop and perform subsystems ground and subscale flight tests for evaluation and analysis of military utility</li> <li>Conducted system engineering studies to characterize effectiveness of updated weapons concepts, vehicles survivability against foreign systems and flight paths to optimized vehicles and boosters performance</li> <li>Continuation of the modification of launch test pad for future flight tests, completing pad hard point alignment and testing, power, and communications systems engineering study of delivery vehicle shape analysis to assess best performance against a variety of range, speed, maneuver, booster configurations, basing and cost</li> <li>Completed the design and delivery of wind tunnel design models for a representative 3 axes stabilized biconic delivery vehicle, beginning initial wind tunnel testing to validate biconic and related shape design models and potential for future concept use/ experiments</li> <li>Conducted a mission planning table-top exercise for STRATCOM to enhance early user assessment of operational employment concepts and interfaces to existing/planned STRATCOM/COCOM mission planning tool suites</li> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Complete enhanced developmental testing in the areas of aerodynamics, aerothermodynamics, guidance, navigation, and control, instrumentation, vehicle recovery, and propulsion.</li> <li>Conduct planning of flight tests in coordination with other Services to validate knowledge base garnered from enhanced</li> </ul>	-Continue systems definition/engineering/development of integrated	d weaponized payload delivery vehicles and subsystems	in					
<ul> <li>developmental testing.</li> <li>Complete trade studies to evaluate system alternatives, affordability, end-to-end system concepts and industrial manufacturing readiness.</li> <li>Continue risk reduction and technology maturation efforts through ground tests to improve modeling and simulation capabilities and technology readiness to subsystems.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Led national team in risk reduction and technology maturation efforencepts</li> <li>Completed KEP arena and sled pre and post tests analyses, com</li> <li>Planned and conducted penetrator weapon design test series for</li> <li>Collaboration with national CPGS team to plan, develop and perforand analysis of military utility</li> <li>Conducted system engineering studies to characterize effectivener foreign systems and flight paths to optimized vehicles and boosters</li> <li>Continuation of the modification of launch test pad for future flight and communications systems upgrades</li> <li>Completed the design and delivery of wind tunnel design models beginning initial wind tunnel testing to validate biconic and related sexperiments</li> <li>Conducted a mission planning table-top exercise for STRATCOM concepts and interfaces to existing/planned STRATCOM/COCOM in <i>FY 2014 Plans:</i></li> <li>Complete rade studies to evaluate system alternatives, affordabil readiness.</li> <li>Complete trade studies to evaluate system alternatives, affordabil readiness.</li> </ul>	pleting Sled Test#2 CDR and mono-rail dry run test the weapon case, high explosive, fuze, and instrumentation orm subsystems ground and subscale flight tests for evalu- ess of updated weapons concepts, vehicles survivability a sperformance tests, completing pad hard point alignment and testing, p ehicle shape analysis to assess best performance against and cost for a representative 3 axes stabilized biconic delivery vehicles shape design models and potential for future concept use to enhance early user assessment of operational employ mission planning tool suites mamics, aerothermodynamics, guidance, navigation, and is to validate knowledge base garnered from enhanced lity, end-to-end system concepts and industrial manufacture	ion uation against power, t a nicle, yment					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of I	Defense		Date: M	arch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	P164	<b>ct (Number/N</b> I Hypersonic epts Demonst	Glide Experir				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) - Complete Technology Development Strategy and System Engineering docum	nentations incorporating CPGS community da	ta,	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<ul> <li>trade studies and on-going risk reduction/technology development efforts.</li> <li>FY 2015 Plans: <ul> <li>Update service concepts for intermediate and global range CPGS concepts in authority review</li> <li>Conduct trade studies to evaluate system alternatives, affordability, end-to-errintegrated system complete with system architecture, and industrial manufacture. Continue aerodynamic and weapon risk reduction and technology maturation improve modeling and simulation capabilities and technology readiness, asses component technology Development Strategy and System Engineering docuengineering and test data, trade studies and on-going risk reduction/technology Complete KEP sled test analysis and disseminate test data/analysis to CPGS</li> <li>Complete planning for low cost terminal phase delivery vehicle testing to incluaerodynamic, and materials performance to CPGS mission terminal area require</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	nd system concepts that will study a weaponiz iring readiness efforts through ground and wind tunnel tests sing readiness to conducted integrated penet imentations based on updated CPGS community development efforts community de analysis of guidance, navigation, control,	ed to rator					
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	ototals	23.000	2.000	2.000		
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy N/A E. Performance Metrics N/A							

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5					PE 060416	<b>am Elemen</b> 35D8Z I Pro Developme	mpt Global		<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P166 I Alternate Re-Entry System/Warh Engineering			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P166: Alternate Re-Entry System/Warhead Engineering	213.486	147.790	55.000	65.200	-	65.200	72.950	176.649	199.500	218.342	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Global Strike Capability Development program reflects iterative reductions from efficiencies and budget reductions, which reduces the Department's ability to develop flexible responsive solutions to emerging war fighter needs. This Program Element (PE) was established to develop and demonstrate technologies and applications that advance conventional prompt global strike (CPGS) warfighting capabilities. The program uses a national team with coordination between the Services, Agencies and national research laboratories to pursue integrated portfolio objectives of the acquisition and operation of a CPGS system. This program funds the design, development, and experimentation of boosters, payload delivery vehicles (PDVs), non-nuclear warheads, guidance systems, and mission planning and enabling capabilities. To support these development activities, the program procures modeling and simulation capabilities, command and control interfaces, test range support, and launch system infrastructure. Additionally, expert resources address strategic policy and treaty issues. Program emphasis is on demonstrating component and subsystem technology maturity with risk reduction initiatives. Program timing will be driven by the outcome of flight test events and DoD budgets. In FY 2015, funding for the individual service initiatives will be contingent upon their abilities to execute and achieve satisfactory progress towards project goals as determined by the CPGS portfolio manager.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Alternative Re-Entry System/Warhead Engineering and Delivery Vehicle Options/Development	147.790	55.000	65.200
<b>Description:</b> This sub-project will test and evaluate alternative booster and delivery vehicle options and will assess the feasibility of producing an affordable alternate solution to fill the CPGS capability gap. It will mature technologies that could lead to advanced systems with the following characteristics: effects on targets in a very short-period of time from execution order; non-ballistic flight over the majority of the flight path; positive control from launch to impact; adequate cross-range/maneuverability to avoid over flight issues; and controlled stage drop over BOA. The technologies developed will have cross-service and cross-concept applicability and will be developed through close coordination among DoD components. This activity will support both ground and flight tests, and provide all national data to a potential acquisition program.			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> - Conducted System Requirements Review for AHW Flight Test 2 and relevance for all CPGS concepts - Conducted Integrated Baseline Review and Integrated Master Schedule development for AHW Flight Test 2 - Conducted Preliminary and Critical Design Reviews in preparation for AHW Flight Test 2			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	PE 0604165D8Z / Prompt Global Strike	<b>Project (N</b> P166 <i>I Alte</i> Engineerir	ernate Re	<b>lame)</b> e-Entry Syster	em/Warhead			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015			
<ul> <li>Continued design, manufacturing, and testing of components; preliminary interunderway</li> <li>Initiated work associated with PDV items at risk, in accordance with previous</li> <li>Expanded systems engineering parameters for performance and cost assess</li> <li>Completed initial Universal Documentation System (UDS) inputs for range and</li> <li>Executed initial survey of Launch Complex in preparation for upcoming flight</li> <li>Conducted Kick-off Review for the first in the new series of demonstrations be</li> <li>Created Navigation, Guidance and Control hardware-in-the loop facility to sup</li> <li>applicability across all CPGS concepts</li> <li>Conducted design and wind tunnel testing for Intermediate Range Glide Body</li> <li>Initiated low cost thermal protection system development</li> <li>Conducted Conceptual Design Review for Navy Flight Test1</li> <li>Developed a competitive Request for Proposal for industry technical trade stu</li> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Complete manufacturing and testing of Hypersonic Glide Body and Booster to</li> <li>Conduct pre-shipment and pre-launch reviews for AHW Flight Test 2</li> <li>Deploy to range, conduct pre-launch testing and training, and execute AHW F</li> </ul>	tests ments for all CPGS concepts d flight safety activities for AHW Flight Test 2 test ing demonstrated by Navy SSP port Navy Flight Test 1 with additional broad dy and prepared for release							
<ul> <li>Begin post-Flight Test Data analysis for AHW Flight Test 2 for distribution to t</li> <li>Continue ground testing and development of advanced thermal protection ma</li> <li>Conduct System Requirements Review through collaboration with the nationa</li> <li>Conduct Preliminary Design Reviews through collaboration with the national C</li> <li>Fabricate prototype miniaturized hardware in support of Navy Flight Test 1 with</li> </ul>	he CPGS community for use across projects aterials and concepts I CPGS team for Navy Flight Test 1 CPGS team for Navy Flight Test 1							
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> - Continue AHW Flight Test 2 post-Flight test data analysis with special empha - Support development of future flight test systems for alternative CPGS conce - Conduct Critical Design Review for Navy Flight Test 1 through collaboration v - Begin integrated system-level test, evaluation, and assembly for Navy Flight	pts as required vith national CPGS team							
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subt	otals	147.790	55.000	65.200			
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u>								

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 C	Office of Secretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604165D8Z <i>I Prompt Global Strike</i> <i>Capability Development</i>	Project (Number/Name) P166 I Alternate Re-Entry System/Warhea Engineering
D. Acquisition Strategy	·	
N/A		
E. Performance Metrics		
N/A		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5					PE 060416	<b>am Elemen</b> 65D8Z I Pro Developme	mpt Global	,		umber/Name) t Range Development			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P167: Test Range Development	62.446	-	4.953	-	-	-	1.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wil <b>A. Mission Description and Bud</b> The level of resourcing for the Pro-	lget Item Ju	ustification	<u>1</u>		rogrom rofle	, sto itorativa	roductions	from officia	naioo and h		tiona whia	<u> </u>	

The level of resourcing for the Prompt Global Strike Capability Development program reflects iterative reductions from efficiencies and budget reductions, which reduces the Department's ability to develop flexible responsive solutions to emerging war fighter needs. This Program Element (PE) was established to develop and demonstrate technologies and applications that advance conventional prompt global strike (CPGS) warfighting capabilities. The program uses a national team with coordination between the Services, Agencies and national research laboratories to pursue integrated portfolio objectives of the acquisition and operation of a CPGS system. This program funds the design, development, and experimentation of boosters, payload delivery vehicles (PDVs), non-nuclear warheads, guidance systems, and mission planning and enabling capabilities. To support these development activities, the program procures modeling and simulation capabilities, command and control interfaces, test range support, and launch system infrastructure. Additionally, expert resources address strategic policy and treaty issues. Program emphasis is on demonstrating component and subsystem technology maturity with risk reduction initiatives. Program timing will be driven by the outcome of flight test events and DoD budgets. In FY 2014 and FY 2015, funding for the individual service initiatives will be contingent upon their abilities to execute and achieve satisfactory progress towards project goals as determined by the CPGS portfolio manager.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Test Range Development	-	4.953	-
<b>Description:</b> This sub-project will complete design, assembly and delivery of power/telemetry subsystems; assemble and integrate components to check command/control and verify range safety functions.			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> -Improved telemetry collection and infrastructure in prep for DOTE/IOTE testing of contractor developed system concepts. - Assisted test range infrastructure for long term use -			
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Improve telemetry collection and infrastructure in prep for DOTE/IOTE testing of contractor developed system concepts.</li> <li>Assist test range infrastructure for long term use,</li> <li>Collaboration with Missile Defense, Ballistic Missile, and Space programs for test range capability modernization.</li> </ul>			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	-	4.953	-

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Sec	cretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604165D8Z <i>I Prompt Global Strike</i> <i>Capability Development</i>	Project (Number/Name) P167 / Test Range Development				
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)						
N/A <u>Remarks</u>						
D. Acquisition Strategy						
N/A						
E. Performance Metrics						
N/A						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5									umber/Name) D CPGS Studies			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P168: OSD CPGS Studies	31.245	5.600	3.440	3.562	-	3.562	3.398	5.000	4.027	4.000	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The level of resourcing for the Prompt Global Strike Capability Development program reflects iterative reductions from efficiencies and budget reductions, which reduces the Department's ability to develop flexible responsive solutions to emerging war fighter needs. This Program Element (PE) was established to develop and demonstrate technologies and applications that advance conventional prompt global strike (CPGS) warfighting capabilities. The program uses a national team with coordination between the Services, Agencies and national research laboratories to pursue integrated portfolio objectives of the acquisition and operation of a CPGS system. This program funds the design, development, and experimentation of boosters, payload delivery vehicles (PDVs), non-nuclear warheads, guidance systems, and mission planning and enabling capabilities. To support these development activities, the program procures modeling and simulation capabilities, command and control interfaces, test range support, and launch system infrastructure. Additionally, expert resources address strategic policy and treaty issues. Program emphasis is on demonstrating component and subsystem technology maturity with risk reduction initiatives. Program timing will be driven by the outcome of flight test events and DoD budgets. In FY 2015, funding for the individual service initiatives will be contingent upon their abilities to execute and achieve satisfactory progress towards project goals as determined by the CPGS portfolio manager.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: OSD CPGS Studies	5.600	3.440	3.562
<b>Description:</b> This sub-project supports emergent CPGS study efforts. In addition, it also supports application of the Prompt Global Strike Analysis of Alternatives results, requirements development, CPGS basing alternatives, analysis and defining of mission enabling technologies, measures to avoid conventional missile launch ambiguity. Finally, it supports administrative activities associated with the management and execution of this PE.			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Initiated Command and control overlay study in parallel with planned CPGS Flight Tests</li> <li>Initiated CPGS concept assessment of alternative technologies and associated costs</li> <li>Conducted booster system integration studies</li> <li>Conducted Warhead fusing studies</li> <li>Continued thermal modeling and simulation</li> </ul>			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> -Conduct mid-term demonstrations in support of AHW Flight Test 2 to include operational overlay -Continue Command and control overlay study in parallel with planned CPGS Flight Tests			

PE 0604165D8Z: *Prompt Global Strike Capability Development* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secreta	ry Of Defense		Date: M	larch 2014		
				Project (Number/Name) P168 / OSD CPGS Studies		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
-Continue CPGS concept assessment of alternative technologies and as -Continue booster system integration studies -Continue Warhead fusing and lethality studies -Continue thermal modeling and simulation	ssociated costs					
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Conduct cost assessment studies for future system development</li> <li>Conduct booster system integration studies</li> <li>Conduct lethality and warhead fusing studies</li> <li>Continue thermal modeling and simulation</li> </ul>						
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su	ıbtotals	5.600	3.440	3.56	
N/A <u>Remarks</u> <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A						
<u>E. Performance Metrics</u> N/A						

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificat	<b>ion:</b> PB 20 <sup>-</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Mare	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)			<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604771D8Z I Joint Tactical Information Distribution System (JTIDS)									
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	16.775	18.336	17.423	17.562	-	17.562	15.667	15.908	15.851	14.945	Continuing	Continuing
771: Link-16 Tactical Data Link (TDL) Transformation	16.775	18.336	17.423	17.562	-	17.562	15.667	15.908	15.851	14.945	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Funds will be used to provide technical, systems engineering and acquisition management oversight of programs, projects and activities to maximize the Department's return on investment in information technology resources and to effect a comprehensive approach for assessing and procuring critical information systems from initial design, through development to capability delivery in support of improved weapons systems performance and military operations. Resources will be allocated for architecture design and development, portfolio management, enterprise-wide systems engineering and operational impact analyses related to C3 and non-intelligence space systems. The Common Joint Tactical Information funding line responds to the Department's requirement for joint and combined network-enabled tactical data link (TDL) capabilities and for communications which meet net-centric standards to ensure interoperability and seamless integration with joint communication systems. It will be used to assess and promote competition across TDLs DoD-wide and to provide acquisition oversight of TDL-related activities such as CDL waveforms, Joint Aerial Layer Network (JALN) narrowband TDL gateways, Multifunction Advanced Data Link (MADL) and datalink roadmaps to guide future investments. This funding line provides resources for acquisition support and management oversight of critical command, control, communication (C3) and non-intelligence space capabilities as the Department migrates to netcentric operations. They will also be used to provide expertise required for exercising technical direction over design, performance and support of relevance to net-centric guidelines, minimize performance and operational risk of developing and fielding complex major systems which rely on networks and supporting applications, ensure program dependencies are documented and included in acquisition decisions and address interoperability requirements, gaps and best value technical solutions. Typical deliverables associated with the instantiation of net

	Office of Secretary	1		Date: M	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity			ement (Number/Name)			
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-	Wide / BA 5:	PE 0604771D8Z	I Joint Tactical Informatic	on Distribution System (	(JTIDS)	
System Development & Demonstration (SDD)						
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	<u>FY 2015 T</u>	otal
Previous President's Budget	20.688	19.475	20.498	-		.498
Current President's Budget	18.336	17.423	17.562	-		.562
Total Adjustments	-2.352	-2.052	-2.936	-	-2	.936
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-				
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-				
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-				
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-				
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-				
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-				
<ul> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> </ul>	-	-				
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Reduction</li> </ul>	-2.352	-2.052	-2.936	-	-2	.936
				FY 2013	FY 2014	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) Title: Common Joint Tactical Information Initiatives EX 2013 Accomplishments:				18.336	17.423	<b>FY 201</b> 17.5
<i>Title:</i> Common Joint Tactical Information Initiatives FY 2013 Accomplishments:	nated cyberspace	acquisition activitie	as conducted quantitative	18.336		
<i>Title:</i> Common Joint Tactical Information Initiatives FY 2013 Accomplishments: - Cyber Investment Management: Synchronized and coordi	<b>,</b>		•	18.336		
<i>Title:</i> Common Joint Tactical Information Initiatives FY 2013 Accomplishments: - Cyber Investment Management: Synchronized and coordi assessments, and ensured cyberspace investments align w	ith Department prio	orities, required ca	pabilities and evolving cyl	18.336 per		
<i>Title:</i> Common Joint Tactical Information Initiatives FY 2013 Accomplishments: - Cyber Investment Management: Synchronized and coordi assessments, and ensured cyberspace investments align w hreats. Provided support of the Cyber Investment Manager	ith Department prionent Board and de	orities, required ca velop implementat	pabilities and evolving cyl ion guidance and associa	18.336 per ted		
<i>Title:</i> Common Joint Tactical Information Initiatives FY 2013 Accomplishments: - Cyber Investment Management: Synchronized and coordi assessments, and ensured cyberspace investments align w threats. Provided support of the Cyber Investment Manager direction. Planned and conducted 4 Cyber Investment Manager	ith Department prion nent Board and de agement Boards (C	prities, required ca velop implementat IMBs) chaired by	pabilities and evolving cyl ion guidance and associa USD AT&L. Captured the	18.336 per ited cyber		
<i>Title:</i> Common Joint Tactical Information Initiatives <i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> – Cyber Investment Management: Synchronized and coordi assessments, and ensured cyberspace investments align w threats. Provided support of the Cyber Investment Manager direction. Planned and conducted 4 Cyber Investment Manager investment portfolio and identified strategic cyber issues that	ith Department prion nent Board and de agement Boards (C	prities, required ca velop implementat IMBs) chaired by	pabilities and evolving cyl ion guidance and associa USD AT&L. Captured the	18.336 per ited cyber		
<i>Title:</i> Common Joint Tactical Information Initiatives <i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> – Cyber Investment Management: Synchronized and coordi assessments, and ensured cyberspace investments align w threats. Provided support of the Cyber Investment Manager direction. Planned and conducted 4 Cyber Investment Manager investment portfolio and identified strategic cyber issues that increasing Cyber demand.	ith Department prion nent Board and de agement Boards (C at will need future fo	orities, required ca velop implementat IMBs) chaired by unding enabling ac	pabilities and evolving cyl ion guidance and associa USD AT&L. Captured the equisition control on the ra	18.336 ber tted cyber pidly		
<i>Title:</i> Common Joint Tactical Information Initiatives <i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> – Cyber Investment Management: Synchronized and coordi assessments, and ensured cyberspace investments align w threats. Provided support of the Cyber Investment Manager direction. Planned and conducted 4 Cyber Investment Manager investment portfolio and identified strategic cyber issues that increasing Cyber demand. - Conducted analysis and technical assessment of FY14 \$4	ith Department prion nent Board and de agement Boards (C at will need future fo .561B DoD-wide C	orities, required ca velop implementat IMBs) chaired by unding enabling ac yber investment st	pabilities and evolving cyl ion guidance and associa USD AT&L. Captured the equisition control on the ra	18.336 ber tted cyber pidly		
<i>Title:</i> Common Joint Tactical Information Initiatives <i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> – Cyber Investment Management: Synchronized and coordi assessments, and ensured cyberspace investments align w threats. Provided support of the Cyber Investment Manager direction. Planned and conducted 4 Cyber Investment Manager investment portfolio and identified strategic cyber issues that increasing Cyber demand. - Conducted analysis and technical assessment of FY14 \$4 developed and Cyber Mission Alignment through updated C	ith Department prion nent Board and de agement Boards (C at will need future fu .561B DoD-wide C cyber resource agg	orities, required ca velop implementat IMBs) chaired by unding enabling ac yber investment st regation.	pabilities and evolving cyl ion guidance and associa USD AT&L. Captured the equisition control on the ra	18.336 ber tted cyber pidly		
<i>Title:</i> Common Joint Tactical Information Initiatives <i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> – Cyber Investment Management: Synchronized and coordi assessments, and ensured cyberspace investments align w threats. Provided support of the Cyber Investment Manager direction. Planned and conducted 4 Cyber Investment Manager investment portfolio and identified strategic cyber issues that increasing Cyber demand. - Conducted analysis and technical assessment of FY14 \$4 developed and Cyber Mission Alignment through updated C - Completed investment analysis of the DoD-wide Cyber Sp - Completed a cyber-rapid acquisition Process Pilot Plan to	ith Department prio nent Board and de agement Boards (C at will need future fu .561B DoD-wide C cyber resource agg ecial Access Progr validate and refine	orities, required ca velop implementat IMBs) chaired by unding enabling ac yber investment st regation. am (SAP) portfolic the 'Rapid' and 'D	pabilities and evolving cyl ion guidance and associa USD AT&L. Captured the equisition control on the ra tructure, capabilities being	18.336 ber ited cyber ipidly		
<i>Title:</i> Common Joint Tactical Information Initiatives <i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> – Cyber Investment Management: Synchronized and coordi assessments, and ensured cyberspace investments align w threats. Provided support of the Cyber Investment Manager direction. Planned and conducted 4 Cyber Investment Manager investment portfolio and identified strategic cyber issues that increasing Cyber demand. - Conducted analysis and technical assessment of FY14 \$4 developed and Cyber Mission Alignment through updated C - Completed investment analysis of the DoD-wide Cyber Sp - Completed a cyber-rapid acquisition Process Pilot Plan to processes stated in the Congressional Report Response to	ith Department prid nent Board and de agement Boards (C to will need future fu .561B DoD-wide C cyber resource agg ecial Access Progravalidate and refine NDAA FY11, Sect	orities, required ca velop implementat IMBs) chaired by unding enabling ac yber investment st regation. am (SAP) portfolic the 'Rapid' and 'D on 933.	pabilities and evolving cyl ion guidance and associa USD AT&L. Captured the equisition control on the ra tructure, capabilities being b. eliberate' cyber acquisitio	18.336 ber ited cyber ipidly g		
<i>Title:</i> Common Joint Tactical Information Initiatives <i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> – Cyber Investment Management: Synchronized and coordi assessments, and ensured cyberspace investments align w threats. Provided support of the Cyber Investment Manager direction. Planned and conducted 4 Cyber Investment Manager direction. Planned and identified strategic cyber issues that increasing Cyber demand. - Conducted analysis and technical assessment of FY14 \$4 developed and Cyber Mission Alignment through updated C - Completed investment analysis of the DoD-wide Cyber Sp - Completed a cyber-rapid acquisition Process Pilot Plan to processes stated in the Congressional Report Response to - Developed initial Cybersecurity Guidebook for Program Market	ith Department prior nent Board and de agement Boards (C to will need future for .561B DoD-wide C cyber resource agg ecial Access Progr validate and refine NDAA FY11, Sect anagers. Documen	orities, required ca velop implementat IMBs) chaired by unding enabling ac yber investment st regation. am (SAP) portfolic the 'Rapid' and 'D on 933. ted mapping of the	pabilities and evolving cyl ion guidance and associa USD AT&L. Captured the equisition control on the ra tructure, capabilities being b. eliberate' cyber acquisitic e Risk Management Fram	18.336 ber ited cyber pidly g on ework-		
	ith Department prior nent Board and de agement Boards (C to will need future for .561B DoD-wide C cyber resource agg ecial Access Progr validate and refine NDAA FY11, Sect anagers. Documen	orities, required ca velop implementat IMBs) chaired by unding enabling ac yber investment st regation. am (SAP) portfolic the 'Rapid' and 'D on 933. ted mapping of the	pabilities and evolving cyl ion guidance and associa USD AT&L. Captured the equisition control on the ra tructure, capabilities being b. eliberate' cyber acquisitic e Risk Management Fram	18.336 ber ited cyber pidly g on ework-		

<ul> <li>Conducted technical analysis for the Cyber Situational Awareness Evaluation of Alternatives (SA EoA) to include senior systems engineering support to EoA study leadership, and analysis of applicable technologies and potential alternatives as well as acquisition programs and costs, to include metrics, methodology, and other associated activities.</li> <li>Conducted a quantitative assessment of OCO capabilities for Phases 0/1 of military operations.</li> <li>Joint Tactical Network Center: Provided comprehensive technical assessments of waveform enhancement strategies for SRW, WNW, MUOS and TTNT. Analyzed requirements of new waveforms, achievable throughput, scalability, anti-jam, LIP/LPD and spectral efficiency performance characteristics. Evaluated software communications architectures for relevance and support for waveform portability.</li> <li>Beyond Line of Sight (BLOS) Analysis and Systems Engineering: Provided architectural guidance and technical analysis</li> </ul>	JTIDS) FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Conducted technical analysis for the Cyber Situational Awareness Evaluation of Alternatives (SA EoA) to include senior systems engineering support to EoA study leadership, and analysis of applicable technologies and potential alternatives as well as acquisition programs and costs, to include metrics, methodology, and other associated activities.</li> <li>Conducted a quantitative assessment of OCO capabilities for Phases 0/1 of military operations.</li> <li>Joint Tactical Network Center: Provided comprehensive technical assessments of waveform enhancement strategies for SRW, WNW, MUOS and TTNT. Analyzed requirements of new waveforms, achievable throughput, scalability, anti-jam, LIP/LPD and spectral efficiency performance characteristics. Evaluated software communications architectures for relevance and support for waveform portability.</li> <li>Beyond Line of Sight (BLOS) Analysis and Systems Engineering: Provided architectural guidance and technical analysis</li> </ul>	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>engineering support to EoA study leadership, and analysis of applicable technologies and potential alternatives as well as acquisition programs and costs, to include metrics, methodology, and other associated activities.</li> <li>Conducted a quantitative assessment of OCO capabilities for Phases 0/1 of military operations.</li> <li>Joint Tactical Network Center: Provided comprehensive technical assessments of waveform enhancement strategies for SRW, WNW, MUOS and TTNT. Analyzed requirements of new waveforms, achievable throughput, scalability, anti-jam, LIP/LPD and spectral efficiency performance characteristics. Evaluated software communications architectures for relevance and support for waveform portability.</li> <li>Beyond Line of Sight (BLOS) Analysis and Systems Engineering: Provided architectural guidance and technical analysis</li> </ul>		
for BLOS communications in contested and denied environments consisting of a combination of SATCOM and aerial communications. Assessed communications performance in anti-jam, anti-access area denial environments. Improved ability to predict performance of network architectures and technologies and assess performance of directional apertures Protected SATCOM AoA Technical Expertise: Provided analytic framework for assessing protected SATCOM options in support of AoAs and for use in Satellite Emulation Tools for modeling AEHF performance Aerial Networks Roadmaps and Systems Engineering: Developed technology roadmaps to guide the evolution of aerial networks so that DoD takes full advantage of 5th generation fighters and the force multiplier effects of networking aircraft. Aerial roadmaps developed for air-air high capability transport and air-air tactical domains. Evaluated Army, Navy, Air Force system architectures for alignment with aerial networks roadmaps JTRS Waveform Assessments: Assessed waveforms (WNW, SRW, SINCGARS, HNW) for implementation and provided recommendations for ground force IP routing network architectures and interoperability with coalition partners. Provided technical risk analyses and test review recommendations for lowering cost and complexity and for ensuring tactical data link and ISR networks harmonization Ground Networking Roadmaps: Developed and maintained roadmaps to guide the evolution of ground networks. Addressed LOS ship-ship, ship-air and ship-space domains. Identified essential components, enabling technologies, waveforms and networks. Addressed LOS ship-ship, ship-air and ship-space domains. Identified essential components, enabling technologies, program technology insertion opportunities and key investment decisions to achieve affordability and performance objectives Airtome Maritime Fixed (AMF) JTRS: Assessed the AMF program to include the risk of vendor selected radios. Conducted independent technical reviews and recommend program performance improvement opti		

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: Marc	ch 2014	
	ent (Number/Name) Ioint Tactical Information Distribution S	System (JT	TDS)	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY	2013 F	Y 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>MUOS System End-to-End Integration: Developed comprehensive systems engineering, test and Assessed military standard/specifications and interface control documents for configuration manage to minimize efforts required to certify new MUOS end user terminals. Analyzed MUOS follow on alt against sophisticated jamming adversaries.</li> <li>SATCOM Common Systems Roadmap: Developed and Maintained roadmaps to guide the evolut systems for a more resilient gateway infrastructure with lower operating costs and the ability to re-p minutes vice days and hours. Addressed gateway evolution and resource management domains. D teleport, STEP and service gateway RF heads.</li> <li>CDL Technology and Competition Assessment: Performed an assessment of the acquisition, star governance processes for Common Data Links (CDL) in response to Congressional and internal D lack of CDL vendor competition. Provided an assessment of the aspects of CDL standards that hav competition. Identified existing contracts to determine the as-is state of the marketplace and ide provide opportunities for improved acquisitions.</li> <li>Quantitative Capability Delivery Increments (QCDI)/FLOWNET: Developed and implemented upd models to include NxN demand and conduct analyses of future end-to-end networks residing in sur - Network Integration Exercise (NIE) Technical Assessments: Conducted analyses of the technica interoperability of products and systems undergoing evaluation in the Army's NIE. Evaluated the va DoD sources and assess whether the data produce an accurate portrayal of the product and system for the Y14-19 version of the Joint C2 Sustainment and Moderniz - Adaptive Planning and Execution (APEX): Provided management oversight of APEX acquisition data sources as the APEX technical integrator. Developed first draft of the APEX technical architect intelligence planning. Updated APEX data architecture and standards and developed technical architecture - Joint C2 Architecture: Provided the thevical acquisition into the Natio</li></ul>	ement. Engineered the system ematives in A2AD scenarios ion of SATCOM common rovision resources within reveloped a plan for integrating adardization, testing, and bD inquiries regarding the e precluded robust vendor nance, cost, competition, and ntified upcoming contracts that ates to QCDI and FlowNET face, aerial and space layers. maturity, performance and lidity of formal test data from n's capability. Recommended Services, Agencies and ation Plan. activities and authoritative ture to include logistics and systems standards for APEX e, trustable and interoperable. del (NIEM). Updated the C2 ure to guide Joint C2 capability ich recognizes that			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	1arch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604771D8Z <i>I Joint Tactical Information Distribu</i>	tion System	(JTIDS)	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	]	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Space Situational Awareness: Conducted Geo SSA architectural analysis and GEO SSA. Conducted technical analysis on emerging and existing technologic the GEO SSA strategy. Developed technology roadmaps and investment strate of DoD Use of Foreign/Non-traditional SSA Sensors in support of DoD SSA da – Space Access: Conducted technical assessment and developed DoD inputs Conducted net centric review/technical assessment of Space lift Range; Devel Strategy for capabilities through 2025. Conducted technical assessment and n (SATOPS) enterprise.</li> <li>Environmental Monitoring: Developed DoD inputs for annual Federal Plan for led METOC Data Denial Implementation team; conducted technical analysis in assessment of USG weather satellite common ground system compliance with Antarctic treaty activities at McMurdo Station, Antarctica; develop METOC data Strategy.</li> <li>Non-Intelligence Space Programs Technical Assessments: Conducted non-ir include data strategies, systems engineering, risks and mitigations. Supported JMS, and Launch Vehicle New Entrants.</li> <li>PNT Technical Assessments: Conducted technical reviews of all phases of f likelihood of a successful MGUE MS B in FY14 so that DoD is compliant with c and developed mitigation strategies for cost effective delivery of capabilities. D of PNT programs and capabilities and for implementation of GPSEM/PNT Assis.</li> <li>Space Control/Space C2: Conducted Space Protection Architectural Analysis; Impler Control Mission area through 2025. Supported IIPT, OIPT, and DAB decisions Directed increased cyber testing of JMS program.</li> <li>Space Protection: Conducted Space Protection Architectural Analysis; Impler Control Mission area through 2025, conducted analysis to support Biennial upper Control Mission area through 2025, conducted analysis to support Biennial upper Control Mission area through 2025, conducted analysis to support Biennial upper Control Mission area through 2025, conducted analysis to support Biennial upper Control Mission area through 2025,</li></ul>	es and capabilities that could be used to implement egies. Provided Technical Analysis & Assessment ita strategy. to National Rocket Propulsion Strategy (NRPS). oped Space Ranges Roadmap & Enterprise et centric review of DoD Satellite Operations r Meteorological Services and Supporting Research; n support of Defense Weather AoA; conducted n DoD Data Denial requirements; DoD lead on a strategy; develop DoD National Space Weather ntelligence space program technical reviews, to acquisition decisions for weather satellite follow-on, the GPS enterprise programs to increase the congressional mandates. Assessed high risk areas reveloped initial roadmap for better synchronization urance AoA recommendations. s; Improved Strategy and Roadmap for Space on the JSpOC Mission System (JMS) program. mented Enterprise Strategy & Roadmap for Space date to Space Protection Strategy.			
FY 2014 Plans: - Cyber Investment Management: Synchronize and coordinate cyberspace acc assessments, and ensure cyberspace investments align with Department prior threats. Provide support of the Cyber Investment Management Board and deve direction. Continue to plan and conduct CIMB/CCT meetings to refine the cyber issues the DoD will face in the future.	ities, required capabilities and evolving cyber elop implementation guidance and associated			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: N		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604771D8Z I Joint Tactical Information Distribu	tion System	(JTIDS)	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	٦	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Refine the Cyber investment portfolio results to include return on investment focusing on process improvement.</li> <li>Conduct investment analysis of the DoD-wide Cyber Special Access Program risk.</li> <li>Conduct Cyber Rapid Acquisition Process Pilots to allow insight into timelinest cyber acquisition processes.</li> <li>Utilize the results of the Process Pilots to implement the new rapid cyber acquisition processes.</li> <li>Utilize the results of the Process Pilots to implement the new rapid cyber acquisition processes.</li> <li>Utilize the results of the Process Pilots to implement the new rapid cyber acquisition processes.</li> <li>Utilize the results of the Process Pilots to implement the new rapid cyber acquisition complete development and implementation of Cybersecurity Guidebook for efforts to revise policy or guidance regarding Cybersecurity within the Acquisiti - Complete the Cyber Situational Awareness EoA (phase I) and commence wor (DTN).</li> <li>Define future Cyber Range Enterprise and the need for an Executive Agent. I range capabilities and capacity versus need. Develop DoD Cyber Range strate - Conduct technical analysis to determine tools necessary to help collect, mea in a Cyber Range Environment.</li> <li>Complete a quantitative assessment of OCO capabilities for Phases 0/1 of m 3.</li> <li>Conduct OCO Requirements and Architecture Analysis: support flow of requirequirements for OCO capabilities; develop and refine OCO architectures.</li> <li>C4ISR Acquisition: Provide technical assessments and programmatic recommaddress interoperability gaps and work early in the systems engineering and d are delivered and updated.</li> <li>CDL Principal Staff Assistant: Coordinate with CDL Executive Agent to devel improve interoperability, configuration management, and focused technology i Video Terminal (RVT) waveforms to enable competition of CDL procurements standard for tactical ISR communications.</li> <li>Protected SATCOM AoA: Conduct analysis necessary to ensure the Departmalternative for</li></ul>	n (SAP) portfolio to include return on investment and s and potential areas of improvement for new rapid juisition processes across DoD. Program Managers. Contribute to any follow on ion process. ork on phase II with a focus on Defend the Nation Conduct technical analysis and assessment of cyber egy, working with T&E and DOT&E and JS. sure, assess DCO/OCO effectiveness and suitability nilitary operations; repeat process for phases 2 and irements from Cyber Attack ICD to more detailed mendations across C4ISR functional areas to levelopment processes to minimize gaps as systems on a technology roadmap and terminal database to nvestments. Develop documentation for Remote . Develop transition strategy to converge on a DoD ment of Defense (DoD) pursues the most suitable ces. The goal of the AoA is to facilitate high caliber MDA at the next Milestone and shape/scope to Develop comprehensive systems engineering, d interface control documents for configuration MUOS end user terminals. of the FAB-T program and Presidential and National			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604771D8Z <i>I Joint Tactical Information Distribu</i>	tion System	(JTIDS)	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>prepared for staffing Acquisition Strategy, Milestone C DAB preparation, ADMs acquisition documents.</li> <li>Handheld, Manpack, and Small Form Fit (HMS) JTRS: Assess the HMS prog (Modified Non-Developmental Item). Conduct independent technical reviews at options to meet cost, schedule and performance objectives. Provide a technica for both Rifleman and Manpack radios.</li> <li>Mid-Tier Networking Vehicular Radio (MNVR) JTRS: Assess the AMNVR prog (Modified Non-Developmental Item). Conduct independent technical reviews at options to meet cost, schedule and performance objectives. Provide a technica for MNVR radios.</li> <li>Dismounted Tactical Edge Mobile Applications: Characterize current perform disadvantages intermittent low bandwidth tactical links based on measured SR - Ground/Air/Space integrated Networks Performance Assessment: Facilitate capabilities. Evaluate new waveform technologies, wireless communications w technical assessments of onboard processing on UAS systems to reduce dema accelerated methods to achieve certified test data for non-developmental produ- Aerial Networks Roadmaps and Systems Engineering: Develop roadmaps to Army, Navy, Air Force system architectures for alignment with aerial networks is technology maturation investment plans to accelerate fielding of advanced TDL - Ground Tactical Networks Advanced Capabilities: Develop narrowband capa jungle canopy, support agile division-wide task reorganization and close air sug support footprint.</li> <li>Integrated Electromagnetic Spectrum Operations (EMSO): Build technical der Warfare (EW) and radio devices to enable integrated EMSO to improve EM bachanging adversary threats and reduce costs of combined capabilities.</li> <li>Warfighter Information Network - Tactical (WIN-T) Assess complexity of Soldi (PoP) to address complexity and usability issues identified during operational tr company commander's effectiveness in using SATCOM terminals in the SNE.</li> <li>Joint C2 Portfolio Management: Support development, integration and te</li></ul>	gram to include the risk of vendor selected radios nd recommend program performance improvement il assessment of full and open competition process or and recommend program performance improvement and recommend program performance improvement and recommend program performance improvement and recommend program performance improvement assessment of full and open competition process mance (bandwidth, latency, jitter, persistence) of W and narrowband SATCOM performance. the development and analysis of waveform aveform development and management. Perform and for communications link bandwidth and identify ucts. address air-ground/air-space domain. Evaluate roadmaps. Develop detailed risk reduction and to 5th generation fighters. bility to enable dismounted troops to operate in oport while reducing network management forward velopment strategy for co-architecting Electronic ttlespace awareness, ensure agile response to er Network Extension (SNE) and Point of Presence esting. Identify applications to improve the tivities across the Services, Agencies and ment and Modernization Plan. APEX acquisition activities and authoritative data ture to better integrate operational, logistics and			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense Date: March 20		larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604771D8Z <i>I Joint Tactical Information Distribu</i>	ition System	(JTIDS)	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>C2 Data: Provide technical expertise for ensuring C2 data are visible, access Provide technical assessment and assistance for implementation of National In information exchanges across the DoD. Update the C2 Authoritative Data Sou – Joint C2 Architecture: Provide technical direction and management oversight Joint C2 capability area development activities across the Services, Agencies – C2 Analyses: Provide conceptual foundation, metrics and empirical evidence support to US participation in NATO and other international C2 research effort – Acquisition Management: Provide technical assistance in developing IT relat Series 5000 necessitated by changes in statue, regulation and management d -Space Access: conduct limited review of National Security Space Access and modernization assessment; conduct AFSCN Event-driven Net Centric Review, – Environmental Monitoring: Develop DoD inputs for annual Federal Plan for M Lead METOC Data Denial Implementation team; Develop METOC/Weather En- results of Defense Weather Analysis of Alternatives (AoA); conduct assessment compliance with DoD Data Denial requirements; DoD Lead on Antarctic treaty METOC data strategy; implement DoD National Space Weather Strategy – Space Control/Space C2/SA: Complete GEO SSA Architectural/Cost-Bene and international sources of SSA data in military operations; conduct Joint Spa (JMS) NCR/Technical Assessment; conduct CCS NCR/Technical Assessment Strategy &amp; Roadmap for Space Control Mission Area.</li> <li>Non-Intelligence Space Programs Technical Assessments: Perform cyber vulnerability assessments on space, PNT, and METOC program others. Review system design documents, control plans, remote management actions to specific space, PNT, and METOC programs to address cyber vulner non-intelligence space program technical reviews on to include data strategies Support acquisition milestone decisions for programs to address cyber vulner non-intelligence Space program technical reviews on to include data strategies Support acquisition milesto</li></ul>	nformation Exchange Model (NIEM)-based rce roadmap and update C2 data architecture. t for the update the Joint C2 Architecture to guide and Combatant Commands. e to operationalize Agile C2. Provide technical s. ed acquisition policy, including updates to DoD irection. d Space Range Roadmap; conduct SATOPS (Technical Assessment. Meteorological Services and Supporting Research; nterprise Strategy and Roadmap implementing nt of USG weather satellite common ground system activities at McMurdo Station, Antarctica; implement fit Analysis;; Develop & publish Policy for use of civil ace Operations Center (JSpOC) Mission System ;; Evaluate and update as necessary Enterprise ns, including JMS, GPS, OCX, AFSCN, MGUE and control ports and methods. Recommend corrective rabilities and to inform milestone decisions. Conduct a, systems engineering, risks and mitigations. te follow-on, Launch Vehicle New Entrants, and ses to understand all phases of the GPS enterprise ks and mitigations in support of milestone decisions. t Strategy and Roadmap, ensuring AoA			

PE 0604771D8Z: *Joint Tactical Information Distribution System (JT...* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Appropriation/Budget Activity       R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)         0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5:       PE 0604771D8Z I Joint Tactical Information Distribution Sys         System Development & Demonstration (SDD)       FY 20         C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)       FY 20         - Cyber Investment Management: Synchronize and coordinate cyberspace acquisition activities, conduct quantitative assessments, and ensure cyberspace investments align with Department priorities, required capabilities and evolving cyber threats. Provide support of the Cyber Investment Management Board and develop implementation guidance and associated direction. Continue to plan and conduct CIMB/CCT meetings to refine the cyber investment portfolio and to identify strategic cyber issues the DoD will face in the future.       - Refine the Cyber investment portfolio results, ensuring return on investment and risk ultimately leading to an optimization phase focusing on process improvement is included.       - Conduct investment analysis of the DoD-wide Cyber Special Access Program (SAP) portfolio to include return on investment and risk.         - Utilize the results of the Cyber Rapid Acquisition Process Pilots to implement the new rapid cyber acquisition processes across DoD, ensuring DoD Acquisition Policy is updated to reflect processes.       - Manage Cybersecurity Guidebook for Program Managers. Contribute to any follow on efforts to revise policy or guidance	e: March 2014	
<ul> <li>Cyber Investment Management: Synchronize and coordinate cyberspace acquisition activities, conduct quantitative assessments, and ensure cyberspace investments align with Department priorities, required capabilities and evolving cyber threats. Provide support of the Cyber Investment Management Board and develop implementation guidance and associated direction. Continue to plan and conduct CIMB/CCT meetings to refine the cyber investment portfolio and to identify strategic cyber issues the DoD will face in the future.</li> <li>Refine the Cyber investment portfolio results, ensuring return on investment and risk ultimately leading to an optimization phase focusing on process improvement is included.</li> <li>Conduct investment analysis of the DoD-wide Cyber Special Access Program (SAP) portfolio to include return on investment and risk.</li> <li>Utilize the results of the Cyber Rapid Acquisition Process Pilots to implement the new rapid cyber acquisition processes across DoD, ensuring DoD Acquisition Policy is updated to reflect processes.</li> <li>Manage Cybersecurity Guidebook for Program Managers. Contribute to any follow on efforts to revise policy or guidance</li> </ul>	em (JTIDS)	
<ul> <li>assessments, and ensure cyberspace investments align with Department priorities, required capabilities and evolving cyber threats. Provide support of the Cyber Investment Management Board and develop implementation guidance and associated direction. Continue to plan and conduct CIMB/CCT meetings to refine the cyber investment portfolio and to identify strategic cyber issues the DoD will face in the future.</li> <li>Refine the Cyber investment portfolio results, ensuring return on investment and risk ultimately leading to an optimization phase focusing on process improvement is included.</li> <li>Conduct investment analysis of the DoD-wide Cyber Special Access Program (SAP) portfolio to include return on investment and risk.</li> <li>Utilize the results of the Cyber Rapid Acquisition Process Pilots to implement the new rapid cyber acquisition processes across DoD, ensuring DoD Acquisition Policy is updated to reflect processes.</li> <li>Manage Cybersecurity Guidebook for Program Managers. Contribute to any follow on efforts to revise policy or guidance</li> </ul>	3 FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>regarding Cybersecurity within the Acquisition process.</li> <li>Oversee implementation of the Cyber Situational Awareness EoA (phase I and II) recommendations.</li> <li>Implement DoD Cyber Range strategy, working with T&amp;E and DOT&amp;E and JS.</li> <li>Conduct technical analysis to determine tools necessary to help collect, measure, assess DCO/OCO effectiveness and suitability in a Cyber Range Environment.</li> <li>Complete a quantitative assessment of OCO capabilities for Phases 0/1 of military operations; repeat process for phases 2/3.</li> <li>Conduct OCO Requirements and Architecture Analysis: support flow of requirements from Cyber Attack ICD to more detailed requirements for OCO capabilities; develop and refine OCO architectures.</li> <li>C4ISR Acquisition: Provide technical assessments and programmatic recommendations across C4ISR functional areas to address interoperability gaps and work early in the systems engineering</li> <li>QCDI/FLOWNET: Conduct an analysis in an approved A2AD scenario to understand investments in communications capabilities and ensure synchronization of the space, aerial, surface and terminal segments in order to provide communications in degraded communications environments. Conduct detailed analysis on Army TBCT tactical networks as well as extensions into airborne network structures to validate quantitatively the performance and projected benefits of different waveforms and networks.</li> <li>Acquisition Management: Provide technical assistance in developing IT related acquisition policy, including updates to DoD Series 5000 necessitated by changes in statue, regulation and management direction.</li> <li>MUOS AoA Support: Conduct MUOS follow-on study to determine potential courses of action for replacing the MUOS system in 2025. Develop study plan, architectural alternatives, detailed blue force demand profiles, threat laydowns and cost models as well as desired requirements for future narrowband access</li> <li>Handheld, Manpack, and Small Form Fit (HMS) JTRS: Assess the</li></ul>		

options to meet cost, schedule and performance objectives. Provide a technical assessment of full and open competition process for both Rifleman and Manpack radios. - Mid-Tier Networking Vehicular Radio (MNVR) JTRS: Assess the AMNVR program to include the risk of vendor selected radios (Modified Non-Developmental Item). Conduct independent technical reviews and recommend program performance improvement options to meet cost, schedule and performance objectives. Provide a technical assessment of full and open competition process for MNVR radios. - All JTRS(HMS, MNVR, AMF, JTN)Programs - Provide assessments of program compliance with IT related acquisition policy, in accordance with DoD Series 5000 and applicable senior management direction. Assess readiness for major acquisition program milestone reviews, to include adequate documentation of compliance with statute/regulation/policy associated with acquisition program oversight. Provide programmatic recommendations regarding cost/schedule/performance tradeoffs. - Ground Tactical Networks Advanced Capabilities: Mature narrowband dismounted communications capability with radio hardware prototype, robust modeling and simulation, and reusable software code. Form industry engagement to promote transition into non-developmental item radios. - Integrated Electromagnetic Spectrum Operations (EMSO): Track implementation of iEMSO strategy in radio and EW device development plans. Assess and down-select technical interoperability and architectural approaches. Ensure adequate funding and testing to assess maturity of solutions. - Tactical Data Link Modernization: Lay in Department governance structure to collectively guide modernization of key TDLs challenges in alignment with AT&L Aerial Networking communications technology roadmap to address A2AD and advanced threats. Build joint system engineering organization structure to support deep technical analysis of performance and cost trades and promote more rapid adoption of TDL improvements across Components. - Warfighter Info	Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	March 2014	
options to meet cost, schedule and performance objectives. Provide a technical assessment of full and open competition process for both Rifleman and Manpack radios. - Mid-Tier Networking Vehicular Radio (MNVR) JTRS: Assess the AMNVR program to include the risk of vendor selected radios (Modified Non-Developmental Item). Conduct independent technical reviews and recommend program performance improvement options to meet cost, schedule and performance objectives. Provide a technical assessment of full and open competition process for MNVR radios. - All JTRS(HMS, MNVR, AMF, JTN)Programs - Provide assessments of program compliance with IT related acquisition policy, in accordance with DoD Series 5000 and applicable senior management direction. Assess readiness for major acquisition program milestone reviews, to include adequate documentation of compliance with statute/regulation/policy associated with acquisition program oversight. Provide programmatic recommendations regarding cost/schedule/performance tradeoffs. - Ground Tactical Networks Advanced Capabilities: Mature narrowband dismounted communications capability with radio hardware prototype, robust modeling and simulation, and reusable software code. Form industry engagement to promote transition into non-developmental item radios. - Integrated Electromagnetic Spectrum Operations (EMSO): Track implementation of iEMSO strategy in radio and EW device development plans. Assess and down-select technical interoperability and architectural approaches. Ensure adequate funding and testing to assess maturity of solutions. - Tactical Data Link Modernization: Lay in Department governance structure to collectively guide modernization of key TDLs challenges in alignment with AT&L Aerial Networking communications technology roadmap to address A2AD and advanced threats. Build joint system engineering organization structure to support deep technical analysis of performance and cost trades and promote more rapid adoption of TDL improvements across Components. - Warfighter Info	0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5:		ition System	(JTIDS)	
for both Rifleman and Manpack radios. - Mid-Tier Networking Vehicular Radio (MNVR) JTRS: Assess the AMNVR program to include the risk of vendor selected radios (Modified Non-Developmental Item). Conduct independent technical reviews and recommend program performance improvement options to meet cost, schedule and performance objectives. Provide a technical assessment of full and open competition process for MNVR radios. - All JTRS(HMS, MNVR, AMF, JTN)Programs - Provide assessments of program compliance with IT related acquisition policy, in accordance with DoD Series 5000 and applicable senior management direction. Assess readiness for major acquisition program milestone reviews, to include adequate documentation of compliance with statule/regulation/policy associated with acquisition program oversight. Provide programmatic recommendations regarding cost/schedule/performance tradeoffs. - Ground Tactical Networks Advanced Capabilities: Mature narrowband dismounted communications capability with radio hardware prototype, robust modeling and simulation, and reusable software code. Form industry engagement to promote transition into non-developmental item radios. - Integrated Electromagnetic Spectrum Operations (EMSO): Track implementation of iEMSO strategy in radio and EW device development plans. Assess and down-select technical interoperability and architectural approaches. Ensure adequate funding and testing to assess maturity of solutions. - Tactical Data Link Modernization: Lay in Department governance structure to collectively guide modernization of key TDLs challenges in alignment with AT&L Aerial Networking communications technology roadmap to address A2AD and advanced threats. Build joint system engineering organization structure to support deep technical analysis of performance and cost trades and promote more ragi adoption of TDL improvements across Components. - Warfighter Information Network – Tactical (WIN-T): Provide analysis of WIN-T voice, video and data performance during final	C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Intelligence Information Enterprise efforts, develop initial C2 CDI roadmap and update the C2 CDI roadmap with linkages to ISR programs for intelligence-operations information sharing. - Joint C2 Portfolio Management: Support development, integration and test activities across the Services, Agencies and Combatant Commands and deliver the FY16-21 version of the Joint C2 Sustainment and Modernization Plan.	<ul> <li>C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)</li> <li>options to meet cost, schedule and performance objectives. Provide a technical for both Rifleman and Manpack radios.</li> <li>Mid-Tier Networking Vehicular Radio (MNVR) JTRS: Assess the AMNVR provide a technical reviews a options to meet cost, schedule and performance objectives. Provide a technical for MNVR radios.</li> <li>All JTRS(HMS, MNVR, AMF, JTN)Programs - Provide assessments of progra accordance with DoD Series 5000 and applicable senior management direction milestone reviews, to include adequate documentation of compliance with stat program oversight. Provide programmatic recommendations regarding cost/s - Ground Tactical Networks Advanced Capabilities: Mature narrowband dismonardware prototype, robust modeling and simulation, and reusable software contrasition into non-developmental item radios.</li> <li>Integrated Electromagnetic Spectrum Operations (EMSO): Track implementat development plans. Assess and down-select technical interoperability and arc and testing to assess maturity of solutions.</li> <li>Tactical Data Link Modernization: Lay in Department governance structure to challenges in alignment with AT&amp;L Aerial Networking communications technol threats. Build joint system engineering organization structure to support deep and promote more rapid adoption of TDL improvements across Components.</li> <li>Warfighter Information Network – Tactical (WIN-T): Provide analysis of WIN-operational testing and evaluation. Ensure system meets requirements thresh internal components that significantly affect packet completion ratios, throughpt - C2 Technical Analysis: Provide technical analysis for the development of C2 evolution of joint and Service C2 programs and functional requirements. Synch Intelligence Information Enterprise efforts, develop mitial C2 CDI roadmap and programs for intelligence-operations information sharing.</li> <li>Joint C2 Portfolio Management: Support development, integration and</li></ul>	ogram to include the risk of vendor selected radios and recommend program performance improvement al assessment of full and open competition process am compliance with IT related acquisition policy, in n. Assess readiness for major acquisition program ute/regulation/policy associated with acquisition schedule/performance tradeoffs. bunted communications capability with radio ode. Form industry engagement to promote ation of iEMSO strategy in radio and EW device chitectural approaches. Ensure adequate funding to collectively guide modernization of key TDLs ogy roadmap to address A2AD and advanced technical analysis of performance and cost trades T voice, video and data performance during final holds and determine any external conditions or but, latency and jitter. Capability Delivery Increments to guide the pronize C2 development efforts with Defense I update the C2 CDI roadmap with linkages to ISR activities across the Services, Agencies and	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Date: March 2014			
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604771D8Z <i>I Joint Tactical Information Distribu</i>	ution System	(JTIDS)	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>C2 Data: Provide technical expertise for ensuring C2 data are visible, access Provide technical assessment and assistance for implementation of National information exchanges across the DoD. Update the C2 Authoritative Data So</li> <li>Joint C2 Architecture: Provide technical direction and management oversigh Joint C2 capability area development activities across the Services, Agencies - C2 Analyses: Provide conceptual foundation, metrics and empirical evidence support to US participation in NATO and other international C2 research effor</li> <li>Friendly Force Tracking/ Combat Identification: Provide technical assessme Mode 5 IFF IOC and FOC. Provide technical support to NATO C3B Capability Standardized Agreement (STANAG) 4193 incorporate changes necessary for technical standards.</li> <li>Acquisition Management: Provide technical assistance in developing IT rela Series 5000 necessitated by changes in statue, regulation and management - Space Access: Oversee implementation of for National Security Space Acces Modernization technical assessments; provide technical Oversight/AFSCN M</li> <li>Space Access: Develop EELV New Entrant Strategy/Technical Assessment follow-on; develop implementation plan for National Security Space Access &amp; Modernization technical assessments; provide technical Oversight/AFSCN M</li> <li>Driven Net Centric Review/Technical Assessment.</li> <li>Environmental Monitoring: Develop DoD inputs for annual Federal Plan for Lead METOC Data Denial Implement METOC data strategy; implement Di- space Control/Space C2/SSA: Complete GEO SSA Architectural/Cost-Bend Enterprise Strategy &amp; Roadmap for Space Control Mission Area.</li> <li>Non-Intelligence Space Programs Technical Assessments:</li> <li>Perform cyber vulnerability assessments on space, PNT, and METOC progra and others. Review system design documents, control plans, remote manage corrective actions to specific space, PNT, and METOC programs to addresso decisions. Conduct non-intelligence space pro</li></ul>	Information Exchange Model (NIEM)-based urce roadmap and update C2 data architecture. In the update the Joint C2 Architecture to guide and Combatant Commands. We to operationalize Agile C2. Provide technical ts. Int, assistance and recommendations for achieving y Panel on Combat Identification. Ensure that NATO r compatibility / interoperability with DoD Mode 5 ated acquisition policy, including updates to DoD direction. Ass & Space Range Roadmap; conduct SATOPS odernization Implementation. A Cost Benefit Analysis/Potential AoA for EELV Space Range Roadmap; conduct SATOPS odernization Implementation; conduct AFSCN Event Meteorological Services and Supporting Research; Weather Enterprise Strategy and Roadmap and as (AoA); conduct assessment of USG weather ents; DoD Lead on Antarctic treaty activities at bD National Space Weather Strategy efit Analysis; Evaluate and update as necessary arms, including EELV, SBSS Follow on, Space Fence, ement control ports and methods. Recommend cyber vulnerabilities and to inform milestone include data strategies, systems engineering, risks			

	y Of Defense	Date: M	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604771D8Z <i>I Joint Tactical Information Distribu</i>	ition System (	(JTIDS)	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	]	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>- PNT Programs Technical Assessments: Conduct deep dive technical analy programs. Review PNT programs for data strategies, systems engineering, ri</li> <li>- PNT Portfolio Management: Implement GPSEM/PNT Assurance Investment recommendations are addressed. Implement NAVWAR Investment Strategy program milestones and internal OSD reviews.</li> <li>- PNT NATO and Allied Interoperability: Ensure PNT capabilities are interoper commercial, civil and military Allied systems.</li> <li>- PNT Strategy: Develop enterprise level acquisition strategies &amp; policies in r compliance of the GPS Security Policy.</li> </ul>	isks and mitigations in support of milestone decisions. Int Strategy and Roadmap, ensuring AoA and Roadmap as well as material in support of major erable and supportable with other relevant			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	18.336	17.423	17.56
<b>E. Acquisition Strategy</b> In executing JTDL tasking, existing fixed-price and cost-plus contracts will be -Program reviews in support of the JCIDS, acquisition and PPBE processes.				
<ul> <li><u>F. Performance Metrics</u></li> <li>Enterprise-Wide Alignment: Accelerate DoD information age transformation in missions.</li> <li>Measures:         <ul> <li>Timely development and issuance of policy and guidance</li> <li>Instantiation of enterprise-wide system engineering for the Global Information</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		ghting, intellig	gence and bu	siness

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014			
					R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605022D8Z / Defense Exportability Program							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	1.915	1.655	3.750	3.244	-	3.244	3.295	3.391	3.267	3.143	Continuing	Continuing
P013: Defense Exportability Features (DEF) Program	1.915	1.655	3.750	3.244	-	3.244	3.295	3.391	3.267	3.143	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Defense Exportability Features (DEF) Program is a result of a USD(AT&L) sponsored legislative proposal for authorities to better prepare warfighting systems for non-US use. The program funds will be replenished through non-recurring cost recoupment in future Foreign Military Sales (FMS) cases, Cooperative Program MOUs, or direct commercial sales contracts for sale/transfer of DoD systems benefiting from exportability investments. This program funds activities to support identification of major defense acquisition programs for possible export, and the planning for design and incorporation of exportability features during research and development of these programs. Features include, but are not limited to, technology and engineering design activity such as capability differentials, anti-tamper, system assurance, and software assurance. Activities include the development of program protection strategies for the program; the design and incorporation of exportability features into the system; implementation of exportability requirements onto contracts; and research, development, test, and evaluation activities.

Defense exportability features plays a critically important role in United States Government/DoD efforts to build partnership capacity. Funds support building joint and coalition environments by enabling the export of DoD systems to a wide range of partner nations, resulting in improved security and interoperability. In addition to the operational benefits, by providing these resources up front, then collecting 'fair share' non-recurring cost recoupment, the United States and partner nations will save significant resources by more efficiently designing and producing exportable U.S. systems.

Funding is increased in FY14 to expand the number of systems included in the Defense Exportability Pilot Program that are used to define and implement DEF 'best practice' program management, system engineering, and program protection measures in the DoD acquisition process. Failure to consider export variant designs early in the acquisition process results in increased costs, delayed delivery, and higher risk of sensitive technology compromise due to ad-hoc sales late in production. Early development of export variants including systems design approaches to integrate adequate domestic and exportable anti-tamper (AT) protection and differential capability (DC) requirements to lower production costs, increase quality and timely deliveries to allies and friends, and enhance US industry share of the global marketplace.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office	e of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity		R-1 Program Ele	ement (Number/Name)						
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide	e / BA 5:	PE 0605022D8Z I Defense Exportability Program							
System Development & Demonstration (SDD)									
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total				
Previous President's Budget	1.859	3.763	3.786	-	3.786				
Current President's Budget	1.655	3.750	3.244	-	3.244				
Total Adjustments	-0.204	-0.013	-0.542	-	-0.542				
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-							
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-							
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-	-0.542	-	-0.542				
• FFRDC	-	-0.013	-	-	-				
Other Reductions	-0.204	-	-	-	-				

#### Change Summary Explanation

FY 2013 from the previous President's Budget submission is predominately due to general Congressional and sequestration reductions and the Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) transfer.

FY 2015 decrease is a result of efficiencies identified to incorporate exportability features during research and development of programs.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	Budget Activity       R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)       Project (Number/Name)         PE 0605022D8Z / Defense Exportability       P013 / Defense Exportability         Program       (DEF) Program						PE 0605022D8Z / Defense Exportability P013						
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P013: Defense Exportability Features (DEF) Program	1.915	1.655	3.750	3.244	-	3.244	3.295	3.391	3.267	3.143	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Defense Exportability Features (DEF) Program is a result of a USD(AT&L) sponsored legislative proposal for authorities to better prepare warfighting systems for non-US use. The program funds will be replenished through non-recurring cost recoupment in future Foreign Military Sales (FMS) cases, Cooperative Program MOUs, or direct commercial sales contracts for sale/transfer of DoD systems benefiting from exportability investments. This program funds activities to support identification of major defense acquisition programs for possible export, and the planning for design and incorporation of exportability features during research and development of these programs. Features include, but are not limited to, technology and engineering design activity such as capability differentials, anti-tamper, system assurance, and software assurance. Activities include the development of program protection strategies for the program; the design and incorporation of exportability features into the system; implementation of exportability requirements onto contracts; and research, development, test, and evaluation activities.

Defense exportability features plays a critically important role in United States Government/DoD efforts to build partnership capacity. Funds support building joint and coalition environments by enabling the export of DoD systems to a wide range of partner nations, resulting in improved security and interoperability. In addition to the operational benefits, by providing these resources up front, then collecting 'fair share' non-recurring cost recoupment, the United States and partner nations will save significant resources by more efficiently designing and producing exportable U.S. systems. Incorporation of defense exportability features in initial designs can help control costs throughout the product life cycle.

Funding is increased in FY15 to expand the number of systems included in the Defense Exportability Pilot Program that are used to define and implement DEF 'best practice' program management, system engineering, and program protection measures in the DoD acquisition process. Failure to consider export variant designs early in the acquisition process results in increased costs, delayed delivery, and higher risk of sensitive technology compromise due to ad-hoc sales late in production. Early development of export variants including systems design approaches to integrate adequate domestic and exportable anti-tamper (AT) protection and differential capability (DC) requirements to lower production costs, increase quality and timely deliveries to allies and friends, and enhance US industry share of the global marketplace.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Defense Exportability Features (DEF) Program	1.655	3.750	3.244
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> Designate the following eight systems as DEF pilot programs: - Armed Aerial Scout Helicopter (US Army)			

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense Date: March 2014								
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605022D8Z <i>I Defense Exportability</i> <i>Program</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P013 / Defense Exportability Features (DEF) Program						
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015			
<ul> <li>Small Diameter Bomb II (US Air Force)</li> <li>MQ-9 Reaper Unmanned Aircraft System (US Air Force)</li> <li>Joint Air-to-Surface Standoff Missile (US Air Force)</li> <li>Next Generation Jammer (US Navy)</li> <li>Air and Missile Defense Radar (US Navy)</li> <li>P-8A Poseidon Multi-Mission Maritime Aircraft (US Navy)</li> <li>E-2D Advanced Hawkeye (US Navy)</li> </ul>								
Initiate and/or continue DEF feasibility studies for the following seven system - MQ-4C Triton formerly Broad Area Maritime Surveillance (US Navy) - Army Integrated Air and Missile Defense (US Army) - Three-Dimensional Expeditionary Long-Range Radar (US Air Force) - Common Joint Proximity Height of Burst Fusing (US Army) - Common Infrared Countermeasures (US Army) - Small Diameter Bomb II (US Air Force) - Next Generation Jammer (US Navy)	IS:							
<ul> <li>Review major defense acquisition programs for exportability as part of the r Generation Jammer, Three Dimensional Expeditionary Long Range Radar, a exportability requirements are included in development contracts.</li> <li>Draft a legislative proposal that authorizes DOD to recoup the DEF investm military sales.</li> <li>Manage and track the completion of the contractor feasibility studies for exp - Draft and submit the annual report to Congress on the program.</li> </ul>	and Common Infrared Countermeasures and enternet in program protection through future foreig	nsure						
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> - Funding is increased in FY14 to expand the number of systems included in to define and implement DEF 'best practice' program management, system of DoD acquisition process.								
Initiate or continue contracts for DEF feasibility studies on the following fiftee - Air and Missile Defense Radar (US Navy) - Armed Aerial Scout Helicopter (US Army) - Ground Combat Vehicle (US Army)	en systems:							

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense Date: March 2014							
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	PE 0605022D8Z I Defense Exportability P013 I Defense Ex Program (DEF) Program						
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015			
<ul> <li>Indirect Fires Protection Capability (US Army)</li> <li>Integrated Air and Missile Defense (US Army)</li> <li>P-8A Poseidon Multi-Mission Maritime Aircraft (US Navy)</li> <li>E-2D Advanced Hawkeye(US Navy)</li> <li>Joint Air-to-Surface Standoff Missile (US Air Force)</li> <li>Small Diameter Bomb II (US Air Force)</li> <li>MQ-9 Reaper Unmanned Aircraft System (US Air Force)</li> <li>MQ-4C Triton formerly Broad Area Maritime Surveillance (US Navy)</li> <li>Next Generation Jammer (US Navy)</li> <li>Three-Dimensional Expeditionary Long-Range Radar (US Air Force)</li> <li>Common Joint Proximity Height of Burst Fusing (US Army)</li> <li>Review of major defense acquisition programs for exportability as part of the</li> </ul>	ne major milestone review process.						
<ul> <li>Identify new pilot candidates.</li> <li>Identify Service leads and subject matter experts, to provide support to pro exportability features.</li> <li>Implement DOD procedures for the recoupment of the DEF investment in p sales.</li> </ul>	ograms, prior to Milestone B, to develop plans fo						
<ul> <li>Manage and track the completion of the contractor feasibility studies for ex</li> <li>Draft and submit the annual report to Congress on the program.</li> <li>Draft a legislative amendment adjusting the government/contractor cost-shaped</li> </ul>		are."					
The focus for FY 2014 for the DEF pilot program will be to execute feasibility to receive DEF funding, and to review the next phases on FY 2012-13 DEF 2014 feasibility studies will define the required actions for implementing DEF OUSD (AT&L) will engage with program offices through the Military Departments, and other Technology Security and Foreig For pre-MS A systems, the DEF feasibility studies will be addressed in the T Protection Plan (PPP). For the systems that are in the pre-MS B development in the Acquisition Strategy and the PPP. For Post-MS B platforms, when the work with the program managers and contracting officers to implement the r the feasibility studies are executed. Depending on the maturity of each program feasibility studies may be addressed in the Requests for Proposals (RFPs).	pilot programs. As with the FY 2013 programs, and assess the potential costs of those actions nents, and serve as a liaison among the program in Disclosure offices to facilitate the feasibility stu- rechnology Development Strategy and the Program ent stage, the DEF feasibility studies will be add ere is already a contract in place, OUSD (AT&L) necessary contractual modifications to ensure th	FY s. n udies. ram ressed o will at					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense Date: March 2014									
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605022D8Z <i>I Defense Exportability</i> <i>Program</i>			lame) portability Fea	atures				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015				
<ul> <li>B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)</li> <li>OUSD (AT&amp;L) will conduct a follow-up industry round-table meeting to discuss industry perspectives, and to identify further corresponding government and imparticipants will also identify mutual risks and challenges in implementing defer regulatory, or policy change proposals. This will take place in the spring of 201</li> <li>OUSD (AT&amp;L) will continue to develop recommended procedures and guidance incorporating DE business case analyses into contract and acquisition strategies implementation with industry and recoupment of these costs though foreign sal processes to identify additional DoD funding sources for the next phases of DE for the initial and follow-on research and development costs of DEF pilot prografor FY 2012.</li> <li>FY 2015 Plans: <ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Funding was increased in FY15 to expand the number of systems included in used to define and implement DEF 'best practice' program management, system in the DoD acquisition process.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Initiate or continue contracts for DEF feasibility studies on the following fifteen and Missile Defense Radar (US Navy)</li> <li>Armed Aerial Scout Helicopter (US Army)</li> <li>Indirect Fires Protection Capability (US Army)</li> <li>Integrated Air and Missile Defense (US Army)</li> <li>P-8A Poseidon Multi-Mission Maritime Aircraft (US Navy)</li> <li>E-2D Advanced Hawkeye(US Navy)</li> </ul>	dustry strategic choices. Government and ind nse exportability features, and identify legislat 14. The to be incorporated in the DAG regarding es, as well as procedures to cost share DEF les. OUSD (AT&L) will also develop policies EF activities, as well as cost-sharing with cont ams, in accordance with Section 252 of the N in the Defense Exportability Pilot Program that em engineering, and program protection meas	ustry ive, and ractors DAA are	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015				
<ul> <li>Joint Air-to-Surface Standoff Missile (US Air Force)</li> <li>Small Diameter Bomb II (US Air Force)</li> <li>MQ-9 Reaper Unmanned Aircraft System (US Air Force)</li> <li>MQ-4C Triton formerly Broad Area Maritime Surveillance (US Navy)</li> <li>Next Generation Jammer (US Navy)</li> <li>Three-Dimensional Expeditionary Long-Range Radar (US Air Force)</li> <li>Common Joint Proximity Height of Burst Fusing (US Army)</li> <li>Common Infrared Countermeasures (US Army)</li> </ul>									

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se		Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605022D8Z / Defense Exportability Program				atures
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Review of major defense acquisition programs for exportability as</li> <li>Identify new pilot candidates.</li> <li>Identify Service leads and subject matter experts, to provide supperportability features.</li> <li>Implement DOD procedures for the recoupment of the DEF invest sales.</li> <li>Manage and track the completion of the contractor feasibility stude.</li> </ul>					
- Draft and submit the annual report to Congress on the program.	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su		1.655	3.750	3.24
		Diotaio	1.000	0.700	0.24
<u>Remarks</u> <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A <u>E. Performance Metrics</u> TBD					

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014		
					<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605027D8Z / OUSD(C) IT Development Initiative							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	14.501	6.267	6.788	6.500	-	6.500	6.000	3.000	-	-	-	-
927: Next Generation Resource Management System	14.501	6.267	6.788	6.500	-	6.500	6.000	3.000	-	-	-	-

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

As the Department of Defense strategic, operational and tactical plans and objectives transforms the war fighter with new capabilities and doctrine, the budgeting and accountability of funds used to pursue the Department objectives will become more complicated and detailed for senior leader to make decisions with supporting rationale for the taxpayer. Incorporating information technology toward current and emerging business processes manifesting into a state-of-the art system of systems will result in increasing efficiencies, timely diagnostics, and reducing lifecycle costs to maintain, sustain and repair.

This initiative exploits emerging technology, processes, trends, capabilities, and techniques to incorporate state-of-the-art information technology enabling the ability, agility, and level of fidelity to collect, process, administrate and report resource management data and to automate business processes within a more robust analytical environment within the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) OUSD(C).

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	7.010	6.788	6.500	-	6.500
Current President's Budget	6.267	6.788	6.500	-	6.500
Total Adjustments	-0.743	-	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.571	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.169	-			
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.003	-	-	-	-

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

FY2013 adjustment for Sequestration (-\$.571), SBIR/STTR Transfer (-\$.169), and other program adjustments (-\$.003).

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605027D8Z / OUSD(C) IT Development Initiative				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 927 I Next Generation Resource Management System				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
927: Next Generation Resource Management System	14.501	6.267	6.788	6.500	-	6.500	6.000	3.000	-	-	-	-
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Department's budget focuses on institutionalizing and financing our capabilities to fight the wars we are in today and the scenarios we are most likely to face in the years ahead, while at the same time mitigating risk and providing for contingency operations. It also includes a fundamental overhaul of the DoD's approach to procurement, acquisition, and contracting. As such, the complex details of budgeting and tracking of funds become increasingly critical to senior leader decision making and to provide accountability to the taxpayer. Incorporating information technology toward current and emerging business processes manifesting into a state-of-the art system of systems will result in increasing efficiencies, timely diagnostics, and reducing lifecycle costs to maintain, sustain and repair.

Today, the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense Comptroller OUSD(C) and the Cost Analysis and Program Evaluation (CAPE) uses various distinct automated systems (Comptroller Information System (CIS), PBD Wizard, Program Resource Collection Process (PRCP), Supplemental Resource Collection Process (SRCP), Budget Exhibits Generator and Standard Data Collection System (SDCS) to formulate, justify, and execute DoD budgets. These six or more systems interact with at least several computer-based systems controlled by external organizations and agencies. These systems manage very similar financial information, yet each uses its own scheme for representing information. Much of the information managed by these systems is redundant. Cross-system data representations and redundancies make it difficult to exchange and to reconcile information. The capabilities provided by Comptroller systems, in some cases, fail to deliver services needed by its users, or fail to operate in ways that complement current and emerging business practices. They fail to give executives information in a comprehensible form, making it difficult to draw conclusions. Data disparities and functional redundancy make these systems more costly to keep than they need to be.

There is a critical need for the development of a state-of-the-art information technology system to modernize and replace multiple, antiquated legacy systems and processes used to formulate, justify, present and defend the entire Department of Defense Budget in the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) (OUSD(C)) to meet Title 10 and Title 31 mission and reporting requirements. The Comptroller's plan for mitigating the deficiencies and capability gaps associated with current systems is development of the Next Generation Resource Management System.

This initiative exploits emerging technology, processes, trends, capabilities, and techniques to incorporate state-of-the-art information technology enabling the ability, agility, and level of fidelity to collect, process, administer and report resource management data and to automate business processes within a more robust analytical environment within the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) OUSD(C). Funded efforts will improve the timeliness of resource management reviews and decisions for senior leaders and Congress.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Da	Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	PE 0605027D8Z / OUSD(C) IT	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 927 I Next Generation Resource Management System				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 20	)13 F	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Title: Next Generation Resource Management System		6	6.267	6.788	6.50	
<b>Description:</b> Plan, develop, test and evaluate the system composecurity, enterprise service bus, applications, services) and supp programming execution and reporting capabilities for the Departr preparation of all documentation required for Clinger-Cohen Comproposals, and oversight and management of contracts and delivered to the contract of	ortability requirements in modernizing the budget formulation nent of Defense. Activities will include, but not be limited to, to apliance and acquisition regulations, developing requests for					
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Program Management Office Performance 1QFY 2013-4Q FY20 Acquisition documentation development and finalization 1Q FY 2 MDD 2QFY2013 Conduct market research to assess optimal means to exploit emo to incorporate state-of-the art capabilities in the information techr BPR 4Qfy2013 Solicitation documentation development and approval 4QFY2013	013-2Q FY 2013. erging technology, processes, trends, capabilities and technic nology industry 2QFY2013	ques				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Continue Program Management Office 1QFY 2014-4Q FY2014. Milestone A 1QFY2014 RFP Release 1QFY2014. Solicitation Phase 1QFY2014 Evaluation Phase 1QFY2014 - 2QFY2014 Contract Award 4QFY2014 Post Award Activities 4QFY2014						
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Continue Program Management Office 1QFY 2015-4Q FY2015. MSB 1QFY2015 Increment 1.0 Developmnet,review and acceptance 1QFY2015-1	QFY2016					
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subt	otals 6	6.267	6.788	6.50	
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605027D8Z / OUSD(C) /T Development Initiative	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 927 I Next Generation Resource Management System	
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)			
Remarks			
D. Acquisition Strategy			
Analysis of the Alternatives (AoA) Revisions 1Q FY 2013 MDD 2QFY2013			
Business Process Reengineering 4QFY2013			
Conduct Market Investigation 2QFY 2013			
Finalize market investigation 2QFY2013			
MSA 1QFY2014			
RFP Release 1QFY2014			
Contract Award 4QFY2014			
MSB 1QFY2015			
Increment 1 development and acceptance 1QFY2015-1QFY2016 Increment 2 development and acceptance 3QFY2016 - 3QFY017			
Increment 3 development and acceptance 3QFY2017 - 3QFY2018			
Once infrastructure in place, competitive contracts in the out years for ind	lividual services/applications.		
E. Performance Metrics			
N/A			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary ( Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)					Of Defense					Date: March 2014			
					R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605075D8Z I DCMO Policy and Integration								
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
Total Program Element	27.594	22.429	19.969	19.351	-	19.351	16.227	16.499	16.258	16.158	Continuing	Continuing	
075: DCMO Policy and Integration	27.594	22.429	19.969	19.351	-	19.351	16.227	16.499	16.258	16.158	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
DCMO (ODCMO) was created to	J IIIIEYIALE DI		000000 4114	••••=,.••		,		matchy wid	, your to ut	- q e ,e .		oporato.	
Following FY 2012 disestablishm Architecture (BEA), develops or a along with data standards develo efficiencies.	nent of the B acquires too	usiness Tra ls, and pilot	insformation s activities	n Agency (E for technolo	BTA), the Ol ogy, policies	DCMO conc and proces	ducts resear sses for the	ch and dev Departmen	elopment o t's Busines	f the Busine s Mission A	ess Enterpri rea (BMA).	se The BEA,	
Following FY 2012 disestablishm Architecture (BEA), develops or along with data standards develo	nent of the B acquires too opment and v	usiness Tra ls, and pilot war fighter s	insformation s activities support, pro	n Agency (E for technolo	BTA), the Ol ogy, policies	DCMO cond and proces or several D	ducts resear sses for the	ch and dev Departmen I priorities to	elopment o t's Busines	f the Busine s Mission A nancial Aud	ess Enterpri rea (BMA).	se The BEA, directed	
Following FY 2012 disestablishm Architecture (BEA), develops or along with data standards develo efficiencies. <b>B. Program Change Summary (</b> Previous President's Budg	nent of the B acquires too opment and v ( <u>\$ in Millions</u> get	usiness Tra ls, and pilot war fighter s	insformation s activities support, pro	Agency (E for technolo wides the for <u>FY 2013</u> 25.269	BTA), the Ol ogy, policies oundation fo <u>FY 201</u> 22.29	DCMO cond and proces or several D 4 <u>F</u>	ducts resea sses for the epartmenta TY 2015 Ba 25.1	ch and dev Departmen I priorities to <u>se</u>	elopment o t's Busines o include Fi	f the Busine s Mission A nancial Aud	ess Enterpri rea (BMA). litability and <u>FY 2015 To</u> 25.	se The BEA, directed <u>otal</u> 135	
Following FY 2012 disestablishm Architecture (BEA), develops or a along with data standards develo efficiencies. <b>B. Program Change Summary (</b>	nent of the B acquires too opment and v ( <u>\$ in Millions</u> get	usiness Tra ls, and pilot war fighter s	insformation s activities support, pro	Agency (E for technolo vides the for FY 2013 25.269 22.429	BTA), the Ol ogy, policies oundation fo <u>FY 201</u>	DCMO cond and proces or several D 4 <u>F</u>	ducts resear sses for the epartmenta	ch and dev Departmen I priorities to <u>se</u>	elopment o t's Busines o include Fi	f the Busine s Mission A nancial Aud	ess Enterpri rea (BMA). litability and <u>FY 2015 To</u>	se The BEA, directed <u>otal</u> 135	
Following FY 2012 disestablishm Architecture (BEA), develops or along with data standards develo efficiencies. <b>B. Program Change Summary (</b> Previous President's Budge Current President's Budge Total Adjustments	nent of the B acquires too opment and v ( <u>\$ in Million</u> s get et	usiness Tra Is, and pilot war fighter s <u>s)</u>	insformation s activities support, pro	Agency (E for technolo wides the for <u>FY 2013</u> 25.269	BTA), the Ol ogy, policies oundation fo <u>FY 201</u> 22.29	DCMO cond and proces or several D 4 <u><u></u> 4 <u><u></u> 59</u></u>	ducts resea sses for the epartmenta TY 2015 Ba 25.1	ch and dev Departmen I priorities to <u>se</u> 35	elopment o t's Busines o include Fi	f the Busine s Mission A nancial Aud	ess Enterpri rea (BMA). litability and <u>FY 2015 To</u> 25. 19.3	se The BEA, directed <u>otal</u> 135	
Following FY 2012 disestablishm Architecture (BEA), develops or a along with data standards develo efficiencies. <b>B. Program Change Summary (</b> Previous President's Budge Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional C	nent of the B acquires too opment and v ( <b>\$ in Million</b> get et General Red	usiness Tra ls, and pilot war fighter s <u>s)</u> uctions	insformation s activities support, pro	Agency (E for technolo wides the for <u>FY 2013</u> 25.269 22.429 -2.840 -	3TA), the Ol ogy, policies oundation fo <u>FY 201</u> 22.29 19.96 -2.32	DCMO cond and proces or several D (4 <u>F</u> )7 )9 28	ducts resea sses for the epartmenta FY 2015 Ba 25.1 19.3	ch and dev Departmen I priorities to <u>se</u> 35	elopment o t's Busines o include Fi	f the Busine s Mission A nancial Aud	ess Enterpri rea (BMA). litability and <u>FY 2015 To</u> 25. 19.3	se The BEA, directed <u>otal</u> 135 351	
Following FY 2012 disestablishm Architecture (BEA), develops or a along with data standards develo efficiencies. <b>B. Program Change Summary (</b> Previous President's Budge Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional C • Congressional D	nent of the B acquires too opment and v ( <b>\$ in Million</b> s get et General Red Directed Red	usiness Tra ls, and pilot war fighter s <u>s)</u> uctions	insformation s activities support, pro	Agency (E for technolo vides the for FY 2013 25.269 22.429	BTA), the Ol ogy, policies bundation fo <u>FY 201</u> 22.29 19.96	DCMO cond and proces or several D (4 <u>F</u> )7 )9 28	ducts resea sses for the epartmenta FY 2015 Ba 25.1 19.3	ch and dev Departmen I priorities to <u>se</u> 35	elopment o t's Busines o include Fi	f the Busine s Mission A nancial Aud	ess Enterpri rea (BMA). litability and <u>FY 2015 To</u> 25. 19.3	se The BEA, directed <u>otal</u> 135 351	
Following FY 2012 disestablishm Architecture (BEA), develops or a along with data standards develo efficiencies. <b>B. Program Change Summary (</b> Previous President's Budg Current President's Budg Total Adjustments • Congressional C • Congressional F	nent of the B acquires too opment and v ( <b>\$ in Millions</b> get et General Red Directed Red Rescissions	usiness Tra ls, and pilot war fighter s <u>s)</u> uctions	insformation s activities support, pro	Agency (E for technolo wides the for <u>FY 2013</u> 25.269 22.429 -2.840 -	3TA), the Ol ogy, policies oundation fo <u>FY 201</u> 22.29 19.96 -2.32	DCMO cond and proces or several D (4 <u>F</u> )7 )9 28	ducts resea sses for the epartmenta FY 2015 Ba 25.1 19.3	ch and dev Departmen I priorities to <u>se</u> 35	elopment o t's Busines o include Fi	f the Busine s Mission A nancial Aud	ess Enterpri rea (BMA). litability and <u>FY 2015 To</u> 25. 19.3	se The BEA, directed <u>otal</u> 135 351	
Following FY 2012 disestablishm Architecture (BEA), develops or a along with data standards develo efficiencies. <b>B. Program Change Summary (</b> Previous President's Budg Current President's Budg Total Adjustments • Congressional C • Congressional F • Congressional F • Congressional A	nent of the B acquires too opment and v ( <b>\$ in Millions</b> get et General Red Directed Red Rescissions Adds	usiness Tra ls, and pilot war fighter s <b>s)</b> uctions uctions	insformation s activities support, pro	Agency (E for technolo wides the for <u>FY 2013</u> 25.269 22.429 -2.840 -	3TA), the Ol ogy, policies oundation fo <u>FY 201</u> 22.29 19.96 -2.32	DCMO cond and proces or several D (4 <u>F</u> )7 )9 28	ducts resea sses for the epartmenta FY 2015 Ba 25.1 19.3	ch and dev Departmen I priorities to <u>se</u> 35	elopment o t's Busines o include Fi	f the Busine s Mission A nancial Aud	ess Enterpri rea (BMA). litability and <u>FY 2015 To</u> 25. 19.3	se The BEA, directed <u>otal</u> 135 351	
Following FY 2012 disestablishm Architecture (BEA), develops or a along with data standards develo efficiencies. <b>B. Program Change Summary (</b> Previous President's Budge Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional C • Congressional F • Congressional F • Congressional F • Congressional F	hent of the B acquires too opment and v ( <b>\$ in Millions</b> get et General Red Directed Red Rescissions Adds Directed Trar	usiness Tra ls, and pilot war fighter s <b>s)</b> uctions uctions	insformation s activities support, pro	Agency (E for technolo wides the for <u>FY 2013</u> 25.269 22.429 -2.840 -	3TA), the Ol ogy, policies oundation fo <u>FY 201</u> 22.29 19.96 -2.32	DCMO cond and proces or several D (4 <u>F</u> )7 )9 28	ducts resea sses for the epartmenta FY 2015 Ba 25.1 19.3	ch and dev Departmen I priorities to <u>se</u> 35	elopment o t's Busines o include Fi	f the Busine s Mission A nancial Aud	ess Enterpri rea (BMA). litability and <u>FY 2015 To</u> 25. 19.3	se The BEA, directed <u>otal</u> 135 351	
Following FY 2012 disestablishm Architecture (BEA), develops or a along with data standards develo efficiencies. <b>B. Program Change Summary (</b> Previous President's Budge Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional C • Congressional F • Congressional F • Congressional F • Congressional F • Congressional F	hent of the B acquires too opment and v ( <b>\$ in Millions</b> get et General Red Directed Red Rescissions Adds Directed Trar gs	usiness Tra ls, and pilot war fighter s <b>s)</b> uctions uctions	insformation s activities support, pro	Agency (E for technolo vides the for 25.269 22.429 -2.840 - - -2.124 - - -	3TA), the Ol ogy, policies oundation fo <u>FY 201</u> 22.29 19.96 -2.32	DCMO cond and proces or several D (4 <u>F</u> )7 )9 28	ducts resea sses for the epartmenta FY 2015 Ba 25.1 19.3	ch and dev Departmen I priorities to <u>se</u> 35	elopment o t's Busines o include Fi	f the Busine s Mission A nancial Aud	ess Enterpri rea (BMA). litability and <u>FY 2015 To</u> 25. 19.3	se The BEA, directed <u>otal</u> 135 351	
Following FY 2012 disestablishm Architecture (BEA), develops or a along with data standards develo efficiencies. <b>B. Program Change Summary (</b> Previous President's Budge Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional C • Congressional F • Congressional F • Congressional F • Congressional F • Congressional F • Reprogramming • SBIR/STTR Tra	nent of the B acquires too opment and v ( <b>\$ in Millions</b> get et General Red Directed Red Rescissions Adds Directed Trar gs nsfer	usiness Tra ls, and pilot war fighter s <b>s)</b> uctions uctions	insformation s activities support, pro	Agency (E for technolo wides the for <u>FY 2013</u> 25.269 22.429 -2.840 -	3TA), the Ol ogy, policies oundation fo <u>FY 201</u> 22.29 19.96 -2.32	DCMO cond and proces or several D (4 <u>F</u> )7 )9 28	ducts resea sses for the epartmenta 25.1 19.3 -5.7	ch and dev Departmen I priorities to <u>se</u> 35 51 34	elopment o t's Busines o include Fi	f the Busine s Mission A nancial Aud	ess Enterpri rea (BMA). litability and <u>FY 2015 To</u> 25. 19.3 -5.	se The BEA, directed 135 351 784	
Following FY 2012 disestablishm Architecture (BEA), develops or a along with data standards develo efficiencies. <b>B. Program Change Summary (</b> Previous President's Budge Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional C • Congressional F • Congressional F • Congressional F • Congressional F • Congressional F • Congressional F • SBIR/STTR Tra • Efficiencies savi	nent of the B acquires too opment and v ( <b>\$ in Millions</b> get et General Red Directed Red Rescissions Adds Directed Trar gs nsfer	usiness Tra ls, and pilot war fighter s <b>s)</b> uctions uctions	insformation s activities support, pro	Agency (E for technolo vides the for 25.269 22.429 -2.840 - - -2.124 - - -	3TA), the Ol ogy, policies bundation for 22.29 19.96 -2.32 -2.20	DCMO cond and proces or several D 4 <u>F</u> 97 59 28 - - - - - - - -	ducts resea sses for the epartmenta FY 2015 Ba 25.1 19.3	ch and dev Departmen I priorities to <u>se</u> 35 51 34	elopment o t's Busines o include Fi	f the Busine s Mission A nancial Aud	ess Enterpri rea (BMA). litability and <u>FY 2015 To</u> 25. 19.3 -5.	se The BEA, directed <u>otal</u> 135 351	
Following FY 2012 disestablishm Architecture (BEA), develops or a along with data standards develo efficiencies. <b>B. Program Change Summary (</b> Previous President's Budge Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional C • Congressional F • Congressional F • Congressional F • Congressional F • Congressional F • Reprogramming • SBIR/STTR Tra	nent of the B acquires too opment and v ( <b>\$ in Millions</b> get et General Red Directed Red Rescissions Adds Directed Trar gs nsfer	usiness Tra ls, and pilot war fighter s <b>s)</b> uctions uctions	insformation s activities support, pro	Agency (E for technolo vides the for 25.269 22.429 -2.840 - - -2.124 - - -	3TA), the Ol ogy, policies oundation fo <u>FY 201</u> 22.29 19.96 -2.32	DCMO cond and proces or several D 4 <u>F</u> 97 59 28 - - - - - - - -	ducts resea sses for the epartmenta 25.1 19.3 -5.7	ch and dev Departmen I priorities to <u>se</u> 35 51 34	elopment o t's Busines o include Fi	f the Busine s Mission A nancial Aud	ess Enterpri rea (BMA). litability and <u>FY 2015 To</u> 25. 19.3 -5.	se The BEA, directed 135 351 784	

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605075D8Z <i>I DCMO Policy and Integration</i>			
Congressional Mark -2.200 and FFRDC128 Note: FY 2015 Efficiencies savings of -\$5.784M				
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: DCMO Policy and Integration		22.429	19.969	19.351
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments: Developed and employed Integrated Business Enterprise Architecture (BEA)</li> <li>Continued evolution of the BEA to meet the 2012 NDAA direction to effective interoperable defense business system solutions.</li> <li>Evaluated adherence to the Defense Business Systems Investment Manage BEA, as well as the development and testing of tools and methods to build, an Mission Area.</li> </ul>	ment Process and oversee the development of the			
<ul> <li>End to End (E2E Process)</li> <li>Completed mapping Procure-to-Pay (P2P) process mapping; continue Hire-t Budget-to-Report (B2R) as directed by the Defense Business Systems Manage</li> <li>Provided evaluation and test of tools to support management of core business team in the construction of End to End processes.</li> <li>Deployed and baseline the latest version of the BEA.</li> </ul>	ement Committee (DBSMC).			
<ul> <li>Enterprise Information Webs (EIWs)</li> <li>Utilized the Initial Operational Capabilities (IOC) of Human Resources (HR) If releases and transformed it into a Business Intelligence capability using commbe as known Business Intelligence.</li> <li>Via the BEA, continued to manage Enterprise Data standards to include exist Procurement Data Standard (PDS), the Standard Line of Accounting (SLOA),</li> </ul>	nercially available software. In future years this will ting standards and emerging standards such as the			
<ul> <li>Tools Development</li> <li>Established a robust program for "Equipping the Workforce" to enable the im across the Department. This includes the training, tools and services to ensure.</li> <li>Developed and deployed services and support for automated BEA and archienabling compliance.</li> <li>Expanded the role of the BEA to validate and apply viable semantic capabilit Federal reporting requirements.</li> </ul>	e success. tecture compliance using federation technologies for			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Date: N	larch 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605075D8Z <i>I DCMO Policy and Integration</i>			
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Developed, coordinated and promulgated policies in support of DoD business and consistency.</li> <li>Used the BEA to guide and constrain investment in Information Technology ( systems, and to develop new capabilities that translate these results to an exe</li> <li>Coordinated coupling between BEA and ETP business systems' development</li> <li>Provided resources and tools to update milestones, measure guidance, relate ETP and reports to Congress.</li> <li>Developed and deployed tools to further the support of DCMO and Business capabilities.</li> </ul>	IT) business systems, to maintain fidelity of existing cutable Enterprise Transition Plan (ETP). It and deployment milestones. Red templates and workbooks to be included in the			
<ul> <li>BEA Standards</li> <li>Enabled innovation through utilization of technology to support more and bett Innovations will support the full spectrum of operations to include people, proce</li> <li>Served as the technology strategic thought leadership for the DCMO. These metrics and outreach to business stakeholders, civilian and commercial though</li> </ul>	esses and technology. efforts include the articulation of business strategy,			
<ul> <li>Collaborated with DoD Chief Information Officer (CIO) for DoD Architecture F standards, IT consolidation and required DoD IT infrastructure to support busir</li> <li>Provided input to analyze progress against business system milestones and Defense Business Operations.</li> </ul>	ness operations.			
<ul> <li>Encouraged the evolution of architecture and data standards in support of Dowith international Standards bodies such as World Wide Web Consortium (W3</li> <li>Enabled deployment of Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) tools consistent</li> <li>Assessed and respond to DoD Component CIO Evaluation Scorecard.</li> <li>Provided input to support Acquisition Oversight requirements of Major Autom</li> <li>Collaborated with the Federal Chief Technology Officer (CTO) and Federal Construction</li> </ul>	C) and Object Management Group (OMG). with evolving BEA direction and guidance. ated Information Systems (MAIS).			
<ul> <li>Performance Initiatives.</li> <li>Supported IT Business Acquisition Oversight by providing technical standards Boards (IRBs).</li> </ul>	s and real time support to Investment Review			
Acquisition Accountability Office for Afghanistan (AAOA) • Focus areas for AAOA and Adaptive Logistics Network (ALN) was a continual supporting the institutionalization of process improvements. Key activities inclu				

xhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: March 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605075D8Z <i>I DCMO Policy and Integration</i>			
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
supporting Joint Staff, Services and OSD offices in developing new processes, Training, Materiel, Leadership, Personnel and Facilities (DOTMLPF) issues.	policies and other pertinent Doctrine, Organization,			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> BEA Compliance, Standards, and DCMO Tools Implementations				
<ul> <li>Design, develop and deploy a restructured BEA application and information reffective execution of DCMO Section 2222 of Title 10, U.S.C. responsibilities for Enable innovation through utilization of technology to support more and better Department. Innovations will support the strategic E2E BEA view of operations</li> <li>Further develop and implement the technology strategy to improve the articul performance metrics and strengthen outreach to DoD and Federal business stratement and compliance methods and information sharing standards for</li> <li>Provide input to analyze progress against business system milestones and dependent of ERP tools consistent with evolving BEA direction and generations.</li> <li>Enable deployment of ERP tools consistent with evolving BEA direction and generation oversight requirements of MAIS.</li> <li>Collaborate with the Federal CTO and Federal CIO in support of Federal Reprovide input to IRBs.</li> <li>Design, develop and deploy tools for management of business system proble (COCOMS) in support of their business systems, pilots to support process and oversight tools for DCMO.</li> </ul>	br the Defense BEA. r alignment of business operations for the s to include resources, processes and technologies. ation of business strategy, requirements and akeholders, decision makers and civilian and akeholders, decision makers and civilian and and Joint Information Environment (JIE) alignment, r the BMA. bocument analysis in the Congressional Report on D requirements and processes for implementing guidance. borting and Performance Initiatives. and real time BEA compliance reporting and em statements, support to Combatant Commanders			
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> BEA Compliance, Standards, and DCMO Tools Implementations				
<ul> <li>Continue with design and deploy a restructured BEA application and informa and effective execution of DCMO Title 10 Section 2222 responsibilities for Defe</li> <li>Continue with innovative utilization of technology to support more and better a Department. Innovations will support the strategic E2E BEA view of operations</li> </ul>	ense Business Enterprise Architecture. alignment of business operations for the			

1 Program Element (Number/Name)         2 0605075D8Z / DCMO Policy and Integration         F         business strategy, requirements and         Iders, decision makers and civilian and         IIE alignment, implementation and compliance         ment analysis in the Congressional Report on         ecessary for oversight of the BMA.         quirements and processes for implementing         dance.         te tool development for DCMO's role in	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
business strategy, requirements and Iders, decision makers and civilian and IIE alignment, implementation and compliance ment analysis in the Congressional Report on ecessary for oversight of the BMA. quirements and processes for implementing dance.	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Iders, decision makers and civilian and IIE alignment, implementation and compliance ment analysis in the Congressional Report on ecessary for oversight of the BMA. quirements and processes for implementing dance.			
Performance Initiatives. real time BEA compliance reporting and rsight of the BMA with continued emphasis on ate and deploy pilot activities and tools in the			
complishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	22.429	19.969	19.35 <sup>2</sup>
re rs ate c	al time BEA compliance reporting and ight of the BMA with continued emphasis on a and deploy pilot activities and tools in the omplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals nvestment Review Board (IRB) be established. As ies, which specify required business outcomes for t ture (BEA), under the oversight and direction of the	al time BEA compliance reporting and         ight of the BMA with continued emphasis on         and deploy pilot activities and tools in the         omplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals       22.429         nvestment Review Board (IRB) be established. As part of the         ies, which specify required business outcomes for the departm         ture (BEA), under the oversight and direction of the Defense E	al time BEA compliance reporting and ight of the BMA with continued emphasis on and deploy pilot activities and tools in the

<b>thibit R-2</b> , <b>RDT&amp;E Budget Item Justification:</b> PB 2015 Office of Secreta		Date: March 2014
opropriation/Budget Activity 00: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5: rstem Development & Demonstration (SDD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605075D8Z <i>I DCMO Policy and Integration</i>	
corporated into the BEA. FY 2014 Goal: 80% of PSA Functional Strategy trategy based business outcomes incorporated into the BEA.	/ based business outcomes incorporated into the BEA.	FY2015 Goal: 90% of PSA Functiona

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense							Date: March 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)				A 5:	-	a <b>m Elemen</b> 10D8Z <i>I Def</i>	•		Procuremen	t Capabilitie	es	
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	14.408	26.580	6.184	9.546	-	9.546	9.459	8.664	9.245	9.986	Continuing	Continuing
P*021: Defense-Wide Electronic Procurement Capabilities- Contingency	9.761	26.580	6.184	9.546	-	9.546	9.459	8.664	9.245	9.986	Continuing	Continuing
P*022: SPOT -ES Contingency	4.647	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Defense-wide Electronic Procurement Capabilities is designed to provide an avenue for the development of increased ebusiness capabilities critical to meet the enterprise-wide needs of the procurement community. The requirement for increased ebusiness capabilities may result from statute, regulation or internal control requirements. This program provides opportunities for the introduction of innovative, time-saving, and cost-saving technologies into procurement processes across the Department. This RDT&E PE provides resources to conduct software development and testing on new or modified ebusiness applications to ensure mature system development, integration and demonstration of production representative systems and capabilities.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	10.238	6.184	11.178	-	11.178
Current President's Budget	26.580	6.184	9.546	-	9.546
Total Adjustments	16.342	-	-1.632	-	-1.632
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>FY 13 CMS Program Congressional Add</li> </ul>	16.342	-	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Reduction Adjustments</li> </ul>	-	-	-1.632	-	-1.632

### **Change Summary Explanation**

Ref \$16.342M adjustment- In FY13 the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act of 2013, signed by the President on March 26, 2013, included a FY13 RDT&E plus up for the Contract Management Services Program this was a one time congressional add for an Ability One Contract Closeout effort that was initiated as a pilot in FY11. The CMS Program increase is to expand the pilot program to close out services for cost-type contracts, and a portion is to be used

PE 0605210D8Z: *Defense-Wide Electronic Procurement Capabilities* Office of Secretary Of Defense

hibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretar		Date: March 2014
p <b>ropriation/Budget Activity</b> 00: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5: stem Development & Demonstration (SDD)	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Na</b> PE 0605210D8Z <i>I Defense-Wide Ele</i>	ectronic Procurement Capabilities
to sustain existing AbilityOne closeout support teams. This funding pl placed here because AT&L/DPAP does not own another RDT&E PE.		tronic Procurement dollars however the funds were

	stification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	1					Date: Mai		
0400 / 5 PE 0605210D8Z / Defense-Wide Electronic P*02						fense-Wid	<b>me)</b> e Electronic ities- Contin					
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years         FY 2013         FY 2014         FY 2015         FY 2015         FY 2015         FY 2016         FY 2017         F					FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost			
P*021: Defense-Wide Electronic Procurement Capabilities- Contingency	9.761	26.580	6.184	9.546	-	9.546	9.459	8.664	9.245	9.986	Continuing	g Continuin
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
processes across the Departmen ensure mature system developme									or modified	d ebusines	s applicatior	ns to
			nonstration	or producti	on represer	itative syste	ms and cap	abilities.				
B. Accomplishments/Planned P	rograms (\$			or producti	on represer	itative syste	ms and cap	abilities.	FY	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<i>Title:</i> Defense-Wide Electronic Pr		in Million	<u>s)</u>	·	on represer	itative syste	ms and cap	abilities.	FY	2013 26.580	<b>FY 2014</b> 6.184	
	develop an or warranty logical and chase card pols for the	Capabilities capabilities end to end information physical ac s in DoD. 1	s) - Continger   paperless r ; ensure tha :cess to DoE Fhese funds	econciliation t contract s o systems; will also su	on process f systems are and to fully upport devel	or Governm modified to implement a opment of c	nent Furnish send data a fraud and contingency	ed Propert o personne misuse dat contracting	y el and a y and			<b>FY 2015</b> 9.54

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Sec	cretary Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	0400 / 5 PE 0605210D8Z / Defense-Wide Electronic P*02 Procurement Capabilities Procu					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
were taken at 2% per year for PB14 along with additional PB14 adju Budget.	ustments to a total of 3.012M from the original President's					
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> To mitigate fiscal reductions funding will be focused on the continue process for Government Furnished Property (GFP) and to complete capability for purchase cards in DoD. These funds will also be used to combat counterfeiting and cyber intrusion. Moderate risk will be t contingency contracting and financial management business tools for improving tools currently in immature development stages, and implied on developing enterprise mapping capabilities to streamline existing data standards and auditability in partnership with the Comptroller.	e implementation of a fraud and misuse data mining detect d to strengthen existing vendor identification systems in D taken in reducing efforts to support continued development or the warfighter that do not exist, rather funds will focus of lement those initiatives/tools in theater. Funds will also for	oD nt of on ocus				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals	26.580	6.184	9.546	
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u> <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u>						
N/A						
<u>E. Performance Metrics</u> NA						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: Mar	ch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5				PE 06052	<b>am Elemer</b> 10D8Z / Dei ent Capabili	fense-Wide	,			umber/Name) 20T -ES Contingency			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P*022: SPOT -ES Contingency	4.647	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request with A. Mission Description and Bud The Synchronized Pre-Deployme tracking and visibility of contracted assistance and disaster relief efformation	<b>Iget Item J</b> eent and Ope	ustification rational Tra	<u>ı</u> acker - Ente actors autho										
SPOT-ES assists the Combatant support in contingency, humanita for up-to-date status and reportin personnel as directed by Public L	rian or pea g on conting	cekeeping o gency contr	operations, actor perso	or military e nnel; provic	exercises de des by-name	esignated by e accountat	the CCDR	. As such, -funded co	SPOT- ES: ntingency co	Serves as to ontractor pe	the central re ersonnel and	epository other	

designated military exercises; contains contract information necessary to establish and maintain accountability and visibility of contractors and contract capabilities for operational contract support.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: SPOT-ES Contingency	-	-	-
FY 2013 Accomplishments:			
The SPOT program was transferred from OSD to DHRA/DMDC beginning in FY 2013.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subto	tals -	-	-

### C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

<u>Remarks</u>

## D. Acquisition Strategy

The SPOT Program Management Office plans to award A competitive contract in FY 2012. The Execution Approach is comprised of product development efforts including biometrics implementation; software update release; focus on user interface and integration with contract/identity systems; hosting SPOT for (NIPR and SIPR). Program costs include Program Management Government labor; Program Management technical and acquisition support; and test & evaluation support.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: March 2014		
	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605210D8Z <i>I Defense-Wide Electronic</i> <i>Procurement Capabilities</i>	(	umber/Name) POT -ES Contingency

#### E. Performance Metrics

There are a several metrics in-place to monitor the performance of the SPOT-ES system. A comparison between JAMMS scans by individuals and those same individuals registered in SPOT provides a compliance metric. Feedback surveys are used to determine customer satisfaction and user interface issues. Helpdesk metrics are used to determine and usability issues.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secreta				Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 5: System Development & Demonstration (SDD)				A 5:	-	<b>am Elemen</b> 04D8Z / <i>DoL</i>	•	,	ormation M	anagement	(EEIM)	
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	<u> </u>						Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	0.000	3.176	3.302	3.660	-	3.660	3.510	3.659	3.823	4.102	Continuing	Continuing
304: Enterprise Energy Information Management	0.000	1.641	1.956	1.955	-	1.955	1.953	1.955	1.955	1.955	Continuing	Continuing
305: Real Property Accountability	0.000	1.535	1.346	1.705	-	1.705	1.557	1.704	1.868	2.147	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

A key part of DoD's strategy to meet its energy goals is to develop an energy information management environment that will enable the Services and OSD to track energy production and usage across the real property portfolio. Information on energy usage is critical for day-to-day management and accountability, troubleshooting building systems, and planning for capital investments. These funds will support the development and procurement of an enterprise-wide energy data warehouse that will be integrated with existing and future real property systems. AT&L has already conducted a comprehensive requirements analysis for this prospective warehouse using funds provided through the now-disestablished Business Transformation Agency. We have defined a standard set of energy information management requirements and are now assessing and planning which information management technologies (future and current) will best support them. Funding is required to keep this project on track and ensure that the DoD-wide energy management data environment becomes a reality.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	3.556	3.302	3.104	-	3.104
Current President's Budget	3.176	3.302	3.660	-	3.660
Total Adjustments	-0.380	-	0.556	-	0.556
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Increase</li> </ul>	-0.380	-	0.556	-	0.556

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

The revised funding levels for FY15 are due to the need to support DoD's plans to achieve and maintain real property accountability from both audit readiness and program management perspectives.

PE 0305304D8Z: *DoD Enterprise Energy Information Management* (*EEIM...* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	Defense					Date: Ma	rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5					PE 03053	a <b>m Elemen</b> 04D8Z I Dol n Managem	D Enterprise		<b>Project (N</b> 304 / Ente Managem	rprise Ene	m <b>e)</b> rgy Informati	ion
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
304: Enterprise Energy Information Management	-	1.641	1.956	1.955	-	1.955	1.953	1.955	1.955	1.95	5 Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
building systems, and planning for that will be integrated with existin warehouse using funds provided requirements and are now assess keep this project on track and ense <b>B. Accomplishments/Planned P</b>	g and future through the sing and pla sure that the	e real prope now-dises anning whic e DoD-wide	erty systems tablished Bu h informatic energy ma	AT&L has usiness Tra on manager	s already co insformatior ment techno	onducted a on Agency. V plogies (futu	comprehens Ve have def re and curre	sive require fined a stan ent) will bes	ments anal dard set of t support th	ysis for this energy info em. AT&L	s prospective ormation ma	e nagement
Title: Enterprise Energy Informati	on Manage	ment								1.641	1.956	1.955
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Funds supported the developmen existing and future real property s	•	irement of a	in enterprise	e-wide ener	rgy data wa	rehouse tha	t will be inte	egrated with				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Funds will support the continued of integrated with existing and future				an enterpris	se-wide ene	rgy data wa	rehouse tha	at will be				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Funds will support the continued of integrated with existing and future				an enterpris	se-wide ene	rgy data wa	rehouse tha	at will be				
					Accomplis	shments/Pl	anned Prog	grams Sub	totals	1.641	1.956	1.955
<u>C. Other Program Funding Sum</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u>	mary (\$ in	<u>Millions)</u>										
PE 0305304D8Z: DoD Enterprise	Energy Info	ormation Ma	nagement									
(EEIM Office of Secretary Of Defense					CLASSIF			R-1 Line #1	32		Volu	ume 3 - 524

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 C		Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0305304D8Z I DoD Enterprise Energy Information Management (EEIM)	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 304 <i>I Enterprise Energy Information</i> <i>Management</i>
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A		
E. Performance Metrics		
N/A		
E 0305304D8Z: DoD Enterprise Energy Information Mai		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	t R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of I									Date: March 2014				
ppropriation/Budget Activity 400 / 5										t (Number/Name) Real Property Accountability				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
305: Real Property Accountability	-	1.535	1.346	1.705	-	1.705	1.557	1.704	1.868	2.147	Continuing	Continuing		
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wi A. Mission Description and Buc The Real Property inventory fulfil readiness and program manager used to determine requirements integrated with existing and future implement inventory requirement not allow for total DOD accountal	<b>dget Item J</b> Is requirem nent perspe for the Depa e energy ar ts, including	ustification ents of Exec ectives. Nev artment's Re id real prope	cuitve Order v policies ar eal Property erty systems	re in place, inventory r s. This war	but busines ecords as v ehouse will	ss systems r well as to de collect, mai	nust be mo velop and p ntain, and r	dified to su procure an report on th	pport data r enterprise v e inventory	equirement vide data wa and assist f	s. This fund arehouse th he Compor	ding is at will be nents to		
B. Accomplishments/Planned P	Programs (S	in Millions	<u>s)</u>						FY	2013 F	Y 2014	FY 2015		

Title: Real Property Accountability			
nue. Real Property Accountability	1.535	1.346	1.705
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> This funding was used to determine requirements for the Department's Real Property inventory records as well as to develop and procure an enterprise wide data warehouse that will be integrated with existing and future energy and real property systems.			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> This funding will be used to continue to determine requirements for the Department's Real Property inventory records as well as to develop and procure an enterprise wide data warehouse that will be integrated with existing and future energy and real propert systems.	У		
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> This funding is used to continue to determine requirements for the Department's Real Property inventory records as well as to continue to develop and procure an enterprise wide data warehouse that will be integrated with existing and future energy and real property systems.	al		
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotal	<b>s</b> 1.535	1.346	1.705

C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

PE 0305304D8Z: *DoD Enterprise Energy Information Management* (*EEIM...* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office o	of Secretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 5	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0305304D8Z / DoD Enterprise Energy Information Management (EEIM)	Project (Number/Name) 305 / Real Property Accountability
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)		
<u>Remarks</u>		
D. Acquisition Strategy		
N/A		
E. Performance Metrics		
N/A		
E 0305304D8Z: DoD Enterprise Energy Information Managem	nent UNCLASSIFIED	

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary				Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, 7 RDT&E Management Support	ēst & Evalua	ation, Defen	se-Wide I B				t (Number/ fense Readi	,	ting Systerr	n (DRRS)		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	6.598	5.815	6.356	5.616	-	5.616	5.619	5.764	6.085	6.466	Continuing	Continuing
774: Defense Readiness Reporting System (DRRS)	6.598	5.815	6.356	5.616	-	5.616	5.619	5.764	6.085	6.466	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

## A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This funding supports Defense Planning Guidance (DPG) directing the Department of Defense (DoD) components to develop guidelines and procedures for a comprehensive readiness reporting system that evaluates readiness on the basis of the actual missions and capabilities assigned to the forces. The Defense Readiness Reporting System (DRRS) establishes a capabilities-based, adaptive, near real-time readiness information system for the DoD. This system is being designed to measure the readiness of military forces and supporting infrastructure to meet missions and goals assigned by the Secretary of Defense. DRRS hosts information and applications used to support the Geographic and Functional Combatant Commanders.

The transformation of readiness reporting into a new, more comprehensive system presents a number of significant challenges. First, there are thousands of new potential reporting entities to include in DRRS, such as Combatant Commands, Joint Task Forces, Services, Active and Reserve component units, installations, depots, ports, and major elements of the industrial base. These entities must not only define and implement reporting based on specific readiness metrics, but they must make their readiness status continuously available in near real time to DRRS. Second, the shift from resource centric readiness reporting to a mission/ capabilities based reporting system oriented towards the National Military Strategy (NMS) makes substantially more complex demands on readiness reporting. DRRS allows the Department to assess readiness globally based on our integrated ability to project and sustain a mix of constructed forces in simultaneous engagements. Finally, the challenges associated with sourcing and evaluating the readiness of our forces engaged in on-going real operations mean that force managers need applications that will query the entire Department for suitable, available organizations to meet current needs. The need for these applications and the underlying data are a top priority for the DRRS project.

The realization of DRRS requires integrating a host of key technologies in order to achieve an information system that supports distributed, collaborative, and dynamic readiness reporting in addition to continuous tool-based assessment. The primary technical goal is the creation of a highly reliable and securely integrated readiness data environment to leverage and extend current readiness information systems. This system is based on intelligent agents, dynamic databases, semantic middleware, and publish/subscribe concepts; providing a logically uniform view into the multiple databases and information sources that feed DRRS. Through this type of advanced information environment, the DRRS dramatically expands the range of readiness information available to manage the force. This environment supports a suite of analysis tools that allow users to explore the consequences of readiness deficiencies in terms of the ability to generate forces and assess transportation feasibility as it pertains to specific scenarios. These tools and tool suites harness the power of the information environment to make possible the kind of quick-turnaround, excursion-driven readiness assessment that is at the heart of DRRS.

xhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 O	ffice of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014
<b>ppropriation/Budget Activity</b> 400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-V DT&E Management Support	<i>Vide I</i> BA 6:		ement (Number/Name) / Defense Readiness F		'S)
. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	6.383	6.393	6.393	-	6.393
Current President's Budget	5.815	6.356	5.616	-	5.616
Total Adjustments	-0.568	-0.037	-0.777	-	-0.777
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.554	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.014	-			
<ul> <li>Efficiencies Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-	-0.777	-	-0.777
<ul> <li>FFRDC Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-0.037	-	-	-

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	ustification	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	)efense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6					PE 060477	<b>am Elemen</b> 74D8Z I Def System (DF	ense Readi	,	Project (N 774 I Defei (DRRS)		ne) ess Reportir	ng System
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
774: Defense Readiness Reporting System (DRRS)	6.598	5.815	6.356	5.616	-	5.616	5.619	5.764	6.085	6.466	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

## A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This funding supports Defense Planning Guidance (DPG) directing the Department of Defense (DoD) components to develop guidelines and procedures for a comprehensive readiness reporting system that evaluates readiness on the basis of the actual missions and capabilities assigned to the forces. The Defense Readiness Reporting System (DRRS) establishes a capabilities-based, adaptive, near real-time readiness information system for the DoD. This system is being designed to measure the readiness of military forces and supporting infrastructure to meet missions and goals assigned by the Secretary of Defense. DRRS hosts information and applications used to support the Geographic and Functional Combatant Commanders.

The transformation of readiness reporting into a new, more comprehensive system presents a number of significant challenges. First, there are thousands of new potential reporting entities to include in DRRS, such as Combatant Commands, Joint Task Forces, Services, Active and Reserve component units, installations, depots, ports, and major elements of the industrial base. These entities must not only define and implement reporting based on specific readiness metrics, but they must make their readiness status continuously available in near real time to DRRS. Second, the shift from resource centric readiness reporting to a mission/ capabilities based reporting system oriented towards the National Military Strategy (NMS) makes substantially more complex demands on readiness reporting. DRRS allows the Department to assess readiness globally based on our integrated ability to project and sustain a mix of constructed forces in simultaneous engagements. Finally, the challenges associated with sourcing and evaluating the readiness of our forces engaged in on-going real operations mean that force managers need applications that will query the entire Department for suitable, available organizations to meet current needs. The need for these applications and the underlying data are a top priority for the DRRS project.

The realization of DRRS requires integrating a host of key technologies in order to achieve an information system that supports distributed, collaborative, and dynamic readiness reporting in addition to continuous tool-based assessment. The primary technical goal is the creation of a highly reliable and securely integrated readiness data environment to leverage and extend current readiness information systems. This system is based on intelligent agents, dynamic databases, semantic middleware, and publish/subscribe concepts; providing a logically uniform view into the multiple databases and information sources that feed DRRS. Through this type of advanced information environment, the DRRS dramatically expands the range of readiness information available to manage the force. This environment supports a suite of analysis tools that allow users to explore the consequences of readiness deficiencies in terms of the ability to generate forces and assess transportation feasibility as it pertains to specific scenarios. These tools and tool suites harness the power of the information environment to make possible the kind of quick-turnaround, excursion-driven readiness assessment that is at the heart of DRRS.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604774D8Z <i>I Defense Readiness</i> <i>Reporting System (DRRS)</i>		<b>ct (Number/N</b> Defense Read S)		ting System
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: 774 Defense Readiness Reporting System			5.815	6.356	5.616
<b>Description:</b> DRRS is the primary means by which Defense components (Consubordinate elements and units) report their readiness. The system measures execute the full range of missions assigned by the Secretary of Defense.		neir			
The Defense Readiness Reporting System (DRRS) establishes a capabilities-the information system for DoD. DRRS measures the readiness of military forces and goals assigned by the Secretary of Defense. The realization of DRRS requeries achieve an information system that supports distributed, collaborative, and dyn tool-based assessment. The primary technical goal was the creation of a highlenvironment to leverage and extend current readiness information systems. D data for forces and support organizations.	and supporting infrastructure to meet missions uired integrating a host of key technologies to namic readiness reporting in addition to continu ly reliable and securely integrated readiness d	ous ata			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Continued Software lifecycle support and system improvements</li> <li>Continued to assist the Services using DRRS to support their Component Co</li> <li>Continued refinement of data architecture</li> <li>Data quality improvements</li> <li>Data latency improvement with the use of Dashboards</li> <li>Continue development and integration with Interagency readiness and prepare</li> <li>Conducted formal third party testing of the system.</li> <li>Complete the development and fielding of version 4.6 to facilitate the retirement</li> </ul>	redness systems outside DoD.				
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Achieve Full Operational Capability (FOC)</li> <li>Continue Software lifecycle support</li> <li>Continue to assist the Services using DRRS to support their Component Com</li> <li>Continue refinement of data architecture and integration of GFM DI within DR</li> <li>Data quality improvement</li> <li>Data latency improvement with the use of Dashboards</li> <li>Implement PKI authentication within the DRRS application</li> <li>Continue implementing functionality to facilitate the retirement of legacy system process.</li> </ul>	RS	orting			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Secretary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604774D8Z <i>I Defense Readiness</i> <i>Reporting System (DRRS)</i>	PE 0604774D8Z / Defense Readiness 774 / L			
<ul> <li>B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)</li> <li>Continue necessary system testing by outside agencies</li> </ul>			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Continue Software lifecycle support</li> <li>Continue to assist the Services, CCDRs and Combat Support A</li> <li>Continue refinement of data architecture</li> <li>Continue full integration of GFM DI within DRRS</li> <li>Support the integration of JPES and integration with APEX</li> <li>Data quality improvement</li> <li>Data latency improvement with the use of Dashboards</li> <li>Continue development and integration with Interagency readine</li> </ul>	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0604774D8Z I Defense Readiness Reporting System (DRRS)       Project (Number/Name) 774 I Defense Readiness Repo (DRRS)         Programs (\$ in Millions)       FY 2013       FY 2014         sting by outside agencies       FY 2013       FY 2014         pport s, CCDRs and Combat Support Agencies fully integrating DRRS rchitecture       FY 2013       FY 2014         M DI within DRRS S and integration with APEX in the use of Dashboards egration with Interagency readiness and preparedness systems outside DoD.       FX       FX         Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals       5.815       6.356				
Complete Joint Interoperability Testing through the Joint Interop		ubtotals	5.815	6.356	5.61
<ul> <li>Capability Readiness Reporting and Assessment - Operational</li> <li>DRRS Operational Performance - Single integrated Readiness</li> </ul>	commonality of mission based capability readiness report system capability for the Department	C		th emeraina	

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014			
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604875D8Z <i>I Joint Systems Architecture Developme</i>					ent			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	4.545	3.227	2.471	3.092	-	3.092	3.704	4.317	4.930	5.542	Continuing	Continuing
P876: Portfolio Systems Acquisition (PSA)	4.545	3.227	2.471	3.092	-	3.092	3.704	4.317	4.930	5.542	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

## A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) and acquisition reform initiatives call for top down, national security strategy-driven capabilities-based planning. Department of Defense (DoD) Instruction 5000.02 and Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Instruction 3170.01 promulgate capabilities-based requirements and acquisition processes. The JSAD program enables collaborative efforts to achieve these goals with a focus on Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAPs). These efforts include warfighting capability-based analyses; assessments of joint capability areas and joint integrating concepts; development of system-related data; integrated roadmaps to support acquisition investment decisions; and assessments of MDAPs and Major Automated Information Systems (MAIS) in a capability area context. Activities in the JSAD project are divided into three areas: (1) capability-based analysis; (2) roadmaps; and (3) support tools and guidance. Capability-based analysis provides analysis of the different technology, functionality, and integration impacts of systems on warfighting capability. Acquisition roadmaps guide systems development and associated investment plans. JSAD support tools and guidance initiatives develop systems data, and tools, exploit modeling and simulation and architecture efforts to improve DoDs overall assessment capability. These efforts guide the development and improve the testing and fielding of integrated systems of systems in order to achieve Joint mission capabilities. The QDR also lays out the need for an institutional reorientation or shift in emphasis from organization-specific to enterprise-wide approaches. This means: (1) horizontal integration within the Department and unity of effort through greater interagency collaboration; (2) engaging in a coordinated and portfoliobased approach to planning, programming, budgeting and execution; and (3) significant reforms at the governance, management and execution levels. To accomplish this direction, there needs to be a focused goal and concerted emphasis on shifting from systems acquisition to capabilities-based portfolio management (or portfolio systems acquisition). This program enables collaborative efforts to implement the QDR direction outlined above in order to achieve portfolio systems acquisition goals. The program is broken up into two focus areas (Portfolio Management and Reform Initiatives) and consolidates work previously performed under various other Program Elements.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 O	Date:	Date: March 2014					
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-V RDT&E Management Support	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604875D8Z <i>I Joint Systems Architecture Development</i>						
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total		
Previous President's Budget	3.845	2.479	5.217	-	5.217		
Current President's Budget	3.227	2.471	3.092	-	3.092		
Total Adjustments	-0.618	-0.008	-2.125	-	-2.125		
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-					
Reprogrammings	-	-					
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-					
<ul> <li>Efficiency Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-	-2.125	-	-2.125		
• FFRDC	-	-0.008	-	-	-		
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.618	-	-	-	-		

### Change Summary Explanation

FY 2015 Funding was reduced based on the Department's priorities and other program requirements. Program adjustments for FY 2013 includes SBIR/STTR and Sequestration reductions.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6							umber/Name) tfolio Systems Acquisition (PSA)					
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P876: Portfolio Systems Acquisition (PSA)	4.545	3.227	2.471	3.092	-	3.092	3.704	4.317	4.930	5.542	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Departments 2005 Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) laid out the need for an institutional reorientation or shift in emphasis from organization-specific to enterprise-wide approaches. This meant: (1) horizontal integration within the Department and unity of effort through greater interagency collaboration; (2) engaging in a coordinated and portfolio-based approach to planning, programming, budgeting and execution; and (3) significant reforms at the governance, management and execution levels. The Department's 2010 QDR report further addressed reforming how we buy, noting that the conventional acquisition process is too long and too cumbersome to fit the needs of the many systems that require continuous changes and upgrades—a challenge that will become only more pressing over time. The Department will improve how it matches requirements with mature technologies, maintains disciplined systems engineering approaches. To accomplish this direction, there needed to be a focused goal and concerted emphasis on shifting from acquisition of individual systems to portfolio management (or portfolio systems acquisition). This program enables collaborative efforts to implement the QDR direction outlined above and to achieve portfolio systems acquisition goals and to develop and implement acquisition reform initiatives. The program is broken up into two focus areas (Portfolio Management and Reform Initiatives) and consolidates work previously performed under various other Program Elements.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Portfolio Systems Acquisition Initiatives	3.227	2.471	3.092
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>-Conducted assessments of Capability Portfolios and warfare areas to reduce duplication and identify opportunities for cost savings.</li> <li>-Conducted analyses and support implementation of acquisition efficiencies, including Better Buying Power.</li> <li>-Provided technical expertise in support of warfare area portfolios.</li> <li>-Assessed progress of program management initiatives and supported Acquisition Qualification Standards initiative.</li> <li>-Expanded "reliability by design" analyses. Conducted review of Littoral Combat Ship, Reaper, Gator, JLTV, 3DELRR.</li> <li>-Articulated DoD courses of action and views on homeland defense implementation and compliance issues in multiple bilateral and multilateral fora.</li> <li>-Provided analytical support to the Homeland Defense Coordinator function within OUSD(AT&amp;L).</li> <li>-Prepared IAMD roadmap to guide investments in a critical area and provided analytical support for the IAMD portfolio.</li> </ul>			
FY 2014 Plans:			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Sec	cretary Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604875D8Z <i>I Joint Systems</i> <i>Architecture Development</i>	Project (Number/I P876 / Portfolio Sy		quisition (PSA)		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<ul> <li>Support Mission Area Portfolio Assessments and warfare areas to and identify opportunities for cost savings.</li> <li>Conduct additional analyses and support implementation of Better</li> <li>Provide technical expertise in support of warfare area portfolios.</li> <li>Assess progress of program management initiatives and continue s activities.</li> <li>Continue "reliability by design" analyses and support to programs.</li> <li>Develop DoD courses of action and views on homeland defense in multilateral fora.</li> <li>Provide analytical support to the Homeland Defense Coordinator fu- Update roadmaps to guide investments in critical areas (e.g., future -Continue analytical support for the IAMD portfolio.</li> </ul>	Buying Power initiatives. support to a variety of certification and qualification stand nplementation and compliance issues in multiple bilateral unction within OUSD(AT&L).	ards				
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>-Continue to support Mission Area Portfolio Assessments and warfa duplication, and identify opportunities for cost savings.</li> <li>-Conduct additional analyses and support implementation of Better</li> <li>-Provide technical expertise in support of warfare area portfolios.</li> <li>-Assess progress of program management initiatives and continue activities.</li> <li>-Continue "reliability by design" analyses and support to programs.</li> <li>-Develop DoD courses of action and views on homeland defense immultilateral fora.</li> <li>-Provide analytical support to the Homeland Defense Coordinator fu-Update roadmaps to guide investments in critical areas (e.g., future -Continue analytical support for the IAMD portfolio.</li> </ul>	Buying Power initiatives. support to a variety of certification and qualification stand nplementation and compliance issues in multiple bilateral unction within OUSD(AT&L).	ards				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	ototals 3.227	2.471	3.09		
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy Not Applicable						

PE 0604875D8Z: *Joint Systems Architecture Development* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 (	Office of Secretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604875D8Z <i>I Joint Systems</i> <i>Architecture Development</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P876 <i>I Portfolio Systems Acquisition (PSA</i>
E. Performance Metrics		
Not Applicable		

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014			
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: <i>Research, Development, Test &amp; Evaluation, Defense-Wide I</i> BA 6: <i>RDT&amp;E Management Support</i>					<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604940D8Z <i>I Central Test and Evaluation Investment Program (CTEIP)</i>							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	156.249	177.520	179.607	254.503	-	254.503	245.197	205.496	168.547	177.323	Continuing	Continuing
940: Central Test and Evaluation Investment Program (CTEIP)	156.249	177.520	179.607	254.503	-	254.503	245.197	205.496	168.547	177.323	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Since its inception in FY 1990, this program element has been used to fund the development of critically needed, high priority Test and Evaluation (T&E) capabilities for joint/multi-Service requirements. The Central Test and Evaluation Investment Program (CTEIP) uses a corporate investment approach to combine Service, Defense, and other government agencies T&E needs, maximize opportunities for joint efforts, and avoid unwarranted duplication of test capabilities. CTEIP focuses investments on projects that will have high productivity returns on investment. Projects under the CTEIP Program Element (PE) support two basic tasks: investments to improve the test capabilities base (Joint Improvement and Modernization (JIM) projects) and development of near-term solutions to test capability shortfalls in support of ongoing operational test programs (Resource Enhancement Project (REP)).

The JIM funds critically needed T&E investments in the major functional areas of: air combat; armament and munitions; Command, Control Communication, Computer and Intelligence (C4I) and networks; common range instrumentation; electronic combat; land combat; sea combat; space combat; target systems; and test environments. Examples of project subject matter include: highly accurate time-space-position information, network enhanced telemetry, miniaturized flight safety systems, realistic urban test environments, ground testing for hypersonic systems and satellites, and end-to-end testing of infrared countermeasure systems. CTEIP continues as the focal point for fostering common architectures throughout the test and training communities to enhance the sharing of resources and links between test and training ranges.

CTEIP has provided special focus to institutionalize the use of modeling and simulation (M&S) as a practical test tool; to link ranges through internetting to enhance interrange and inter-Service cooperation and resource sharing; and, to ensure development and acquisition of common instrumentation necessary for a more efficient test infrastructure.

Analyses of alternative solutions are conducted for each investment project to validate T&E requirements, to define integrated support systems, and to determine overall cost effectiveness of the proposed test investments. The use of Department of Defense (DoD)-wide criteria for requirement validation, prioritization, and risk assessment ensures an effective test resource investment program.

The REP funds development of near-term solutions for critical ongoing operational tests supporting decisions on major, high priority defense acquisition programs. These unanticipated operational test (OT) capability requirements arise from several sources such as a new threat system identified during OT planning, acquisition of foreign military assets that are critical in determining weapon system operational effectiveness, short timelines between system design maturity and scheduled OT, and emerging technologies and test requirements resulting from operational concept changes mandated by Congress or Director, Operational Test & Evaluation (DOT&E),

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6:	PE 0604940D8Z I Central Test and Evaluation Investment Program (CTEIP)			
RDT&E Management Support				
RDT&E Management Support				

or system-of-systems testing. Funding these activities under the CTEIP provides the opportunity to coordinate and integrate these near-term test requirements with the total DoD test and evaluation investment planning, and ensures their availability and legacy for other programs that may have similar testing requirements.

This Research Category 6.4 PE includes special studies, analyses, and strategic planning related to test capabilities and infrastructure, and supports the development and application of proven technologies to provide major test and evaluation capabilities required to meet DoD component weapon system test requirements.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	144.109	240.213	256.141	-	256.141
Current President's Budget	177.520	179.607	254.503	-	254.503
Total Adjustments	33.411	-60.606	-1.638	-	-1.638
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-0.106			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-12.774	-60.500			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	12.000	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	36.939	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-2.754	-			
FY 2015 Adjustment	-	-	-1.638	-	-1.638

#### Change Summary Explanation

• Strategic efficiency reductions in management headquarters funding and staffing for better alignment and to provide support to a smaller military force.

C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Central Test and Evaluation Investment Program	177.520	179.607	254.503
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments: JIM Projects:</li> <li>Completed systems development of the Joint C4ISR Interoperability Test and Evaluation Capability project to develop a capability to test increasingly complex multi-discipline data fusion concepts.</li> <li>Completed systems development of the Advanced Radar Environment Simulator, under the Joint Installed Systems Test Facility Product Improvements project, to provide improved installed systems capabilities needed to support next generation aircraft testing.</li> <li>Completed system development for the Space Threat Assessment Testbed project to provide a capability to conduct subsystem and system level combined natural and man-made space environmental effects ground testing of critical space assets.</li> </ul>			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Date: N	/larch 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604940D8Z / Central Test and Evaluation Inves	stment Progr	am (CTEIP)	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Completed requirements development and planning and initiated concept de Level Secure (MLS) Joint/Coalition Network Environment project to develop a domain data management T&amp;E network architecture.</li> <li>Completed concept development and preliminary design and initiated system Warfare Environment Generator Build B project to provide electronic warfare s Attack and Electronic Support Measures systems.</li> <li>Completed requirements development and planning and initiated concept de Range Tracking and Imaging System project to provide an integrated next gen increase performance, reduce costs, and effectively deliver secure reliable opt - Continued concept development and preliminary design for the Integrated N capability to develop a network-enhanced aeronautical telemetry capability for - Continued concept development of the Joint Urmanned Aircraft Systems (U capabilities.</li> <li>Continued systems development for the Next Generation Electronic Warfare I multiple jammer beam characterization system for dynamic stimulation and me - Continued system development for the Objective Helicopter Icing Spray Systerform in-flight icing and rain testing for low-speed air vehicles.</li> <li>Continued system development for the Common Range Integrated Instruminstrumentation system for dynamic stimulation and me - Continued system development for the Missile Warning System and Flares s Countermeasures (IRCM) Ground Test System project to provide an end-to-er IRCM systems.</li> <li>Continued the Next Generation Range Control and Data Distribution project distribution systems at the Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF).</li> <li>Continued concept development and preliminary design for the Subminiature subminiature, low-cost flight termination systems project to provide a contro C4ISR systems.</li> </ul>	standardized, DoD multi-level secure and cross- ins development for the Next Generation Electronic simulation capabilities for testing future Electronic evelopment and preliminary design for the Advanced heration suite of optical tracking mounts needed to ical throughput. etwork Enhanced Telemetry project Block I T&E ranges and facilities. Test Capability project to provide urban environment UAS) Mission Environment project to develop a Environment Generator Build A project to provide a easurement of multiple jamming and radar signals. tem project to provide an enhanced capability to entation System project to develop a common range n, reduce potential duplication, and ensure that port testing. segment of the Joint Distributed Infrared and ground test system enabling complete testing of to enhance and modernize range control and data e Flight Safety System project to provide a mation and data link capabilities.			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Date: N	/larch 2014			
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604940D8Z <i>I Central Test and Evaluation Investment Program (CTEIP)</i>				
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
- Initiated the Electronic Warfare Test Resource Enhancement Project to deve for fielding at Installed Systems Test Facilities, threat simulation facilities, and developmental and operational testing of F-35 and other high performance air	open air test ranges to address critical shortfalls in				
<ul> <li>Resource Enhancement Project:</li> <li>Completed development of Precision Target Signatures-Reflective Performance cross section representative, movable targets.</li> <li>Completed delivery of the MILSATCOM Atmospheric Scintillation Simulator</li> <li>Completed delivery of the J-31 Radar Missile Gun System project.</li> <li>Continued development of the Multispectral Sea and Land Target Simulator</li> <li>Continued development of Hostile Fire Indicator Site (HFIS) to enhance exist upgrades to fully facilitate HFI testing of warning systems.</li> <li>Continued development of C2 and Urban Background Environment Simulator advances for Installed System Test Facility communications jamming purpose</li> <li>Continued development of the Ground Mounted Seeker Simulator project to the Mountain facility.</li> <li>Continued development of Mobile Flight Mission Simulator (mFMS) Advance electronic attack capabilities into PATRIOT Flight Mission Simulators.</li> <li>Initiated and completed development of Direct Injection Plate System (DIPS RF injection plates for F-35 variants.</li> <li>Initiated development of Torpedo Operational Testing Using Modeling and Supgrading an hardware in the loop (HITL) simulator and environment simulator (VSI environment of Boosted Zombie Target (BZT) to develop multi-stage GFE booster to blue "Zombie" maneuvering target.</li> <li>Initiated development of the Joint Standard Instrumentation Suite (JSIS) to rinformation (TSPI), and related data of threat missile and hostile fire munitions (RPG)) firings to support evaluation of the Joint Allied Threat Awareness Syster</li> <li>Initiated the Automated Test Case Generator Web Service (ATC-GEN WS) (JITC) with the capability to develop Ballistic Missile Defense System (BMDS) cases and an automated test tool on a test network.</li> </ul>	project. al Test and Evaluation project. (MSALTS) project. sting Hostile Fire Indicator (HFI) test site with key or (CUBES) to incorporate modern signal processer is. provide additional missile seekers to the Missile on ed Electronic Attack (AEA) to provide realistic ) to provide Installed System Test Facility with direct tegrated Air Defense (IADS) weapons control M). Simulation (TOTUMS) to enhance torpedo OT&E by r for high-fidelity, OT-ready realism. ge, economical targets for PAC-3 by integrating a measure and collect signature, time-space-position s (e.g., small arms and rocket-propelled grenade em. to provide Joint Interoperability Test Command				

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	1arch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604940D8Z <i>I Central Test and Evaluation Investment Program (CTEIP)</i>			
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	]	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
- Terminated development of Force on Force Real Time Casualty Assessment Test Instrumentation II (FOF-TI II), intended to provide force-on-force evaluations of the Lightweight Armored Vehicle Anti-Tank Modernization program.				
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans: JIM Projects:</li> <li>Complete system development for the Next Generation Electronic Warfare E multiple jammer beam characterization system for dynamic stimulation and me</li> <li>Complete system development for the Missile Warning System and Flares see Countermeasures (IRCM) Ground Test System project to provide an end-to-en IRCM systems.</li> <li>Complete concept development and preliminary design and initiate system d provide urban environment test capabilities.</li> <li>Continue concept development and preliminary design for the Advanced Rar an integrated next generation suite of optical tracking mounts needed to increat deliver secure reliable optical throughput.</li> <li>Continue concept development and preliminary design for the Multi-Level Se project to develop a standardized, DoD multi-level secure and cross-domain da</li> <li>Continue systems development for the Joint Unmanned Aircraft Systems (U/capability for testing UAS in simulated system of systems environments.</li> <li>Continue systems development and preliminary design and initiate systems of System project to provide a subminiature, low-cost flight termination system wit capabilities.</li> <li>Complete concept development and preliminary design and initiate systems of System project Block I capability to develop a network-enhanced aeronautic.</li> <li>Continue systems development for the Objective Helicopter Icing Spray Syste perform in-flight icing and rain testing for low-speed air vehicles.</li> <li>Continue system development for the Common Range Integrated Instrumer instrumentation system simulator development for the Systems are available to support.</li> <li>Continue threat system simulator development efforts to improve integration, accurate, cost-effective representations of threat systems are available to support.</li> </ul>	asurement of multiple jamming and radar signals. agment of the Joint Distributed Infrared d ground test system enabling complete testing of evelopment for the Joint Urban Test Capability to nge Tracking and Imaging System project to provide se performance, reduce costs, and effectively cure (MLS) Joint/Coalition Network Environment ata management T&E network architecture. AS) Mission Environment project to develop a Environment Generator Build B project to provide d Electronic Support Measures systems. development for the Subminiature Flight Safety th time-space-position information and data link development for the Integrated Network Enhanced al telemetry capability for T&E ranges and facilities. m project to provide an enhanced capability to ntation System project to develop a common range reduce potential duplication, and ensure that ort testing.			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity       R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)         0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6:       PE 0604940D8Z I Central Test and Evaluation Inv         RDT&E Management Support       PE 0604940D8Z I Central Test and Evaluation Inv	estment Progr	am (CTEIP)		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<ul> <li>Continue the Next Generation Range Control and Data Distribution project to enhance and modernize range control and data distribution systems at the Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF).</li> <li>Continue the Electronic Warfare Test Resource Enhancement Project to develop improved electronic warfare test capabilities for fielding at Installed Systems Test Facilities, threat simulation facilities, and open air test ranges to address critical shortfalls in developmental and operational testing of F-35 and other high performance aircraft against advanced threats.</li> <li>Initiate the Vertical Electromagnetic Pulse (EMP) and High Power Microwave (HPM) Test Sources project to provide vertical high-altitude EMP and HPM external electromagnetic environments for testing in accordance with applicable Military Standards.</li> <li>Initiate the Network Centric Weapon (NCW) T&amp;E Environment project to provide an enhanced capability to test and evaluate NCW in a distributed simulation environment.</li> <li>Initiate the Cyber Test Analysis and Simulation Environment project to enhance current Information Assurance / Cyber testing and analysis capabilities and modeling and simulations tools for testing against increasingly robust Cyber threats.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Resource Enhancement Project:</li> <li>Complete development of Hostile Fire Indicator Site (HFIS) to enhance existing Hostile Fire Indicator test site with key upgrades to fully facilitate HFI testing of warning systems.</li> <li>Complete development of Mobile Flight Mission Simulator (mFMS) Advanced Electronic Attack (AEA) to provide realistic electronic attack capabilities into PATRIOT Flight Mission Simulators.</li> <li>Complete delivery of the J-31 Radar Missile Gun System project.</li> <li>Complete development of DIADS Weapons Control (DWC) to develop new Integrated Air Defense (IADS) weapons control algorithms in the Digital IADS (DIADS) used in the F-35 Virtual Simulator (VSIM).</li> <li>Complete development of Torpedo Operational Testing Using Modeling and Simulation (TOTUMS) to enhance torpedo OT&amp;E by upgrading an HITL simulator and environment simulator for high-fidelity, OT-ready realism.</li> <li>Continue development of Boosted Zombie Target (BZT) to develop multi-stage, economical targets for PAC-3 by integrating a GFE booster to blue "Zombie" maneuvering target.</li> <li>Continue development of Joint Standard Instrumentation Suite (JSIS) to measure and collect signature, TSPI, and related data of threat missile and hostile fire munitions (e.g., small arms and RPG) firings to support evaluation of the Joint Allied Threat Awareness System.</li> <li>Continue the Automated Test Case Generator Web Service (ATC-GEN WS) to provide JITC with the capability to develop BMDS and Mode 5 IFF MIL-STD-6016E compliance test cases and an automated test tool on a test network.</li> <li>Initiate the DIADS Sensor Reactivity Upgrade (SRU) to upgrade DIADS radars with enhanced electronic countermeasures (ECM) response features in support of JSF and F-22 operational testing.</li> </ul>				

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: March 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604940D8Z / Central Test and Evaluation Investment Program (CTEIP)			
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Initiate the Wideband Configurable Control Jammer (WCCJ) Enhancement to Measures (ESM) subsystem into WCCJ, thus improving its ability to monitor an Exercise events.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>JIM Projects: <ul> <li>Complete concept development and preliminary design and initiate systems Imaging System project to provide an integrated next generation suite of optical reduce costs, and effectively deliver secure reliable optical throughput.</li> <li>Complete concept development and preliminary design and initiate system d</li> <li>Coalition Network Environment project to develop a standardized, DoD multi-let T&amp;E network architecture.</li> <li>Complete systems development for the Joint Unmanned Aircraft Systems (U capability for testing UAS in simulated system of systems environments.</li> <li>Complete systems development for Spiral 1 of the Integrated Network Enhar a network-enhanced aeronautical telemetry capability for T&amp;E ranges and facil</li> <li>Complete the Next Generation Range Control and Data Distribution project to distribution systems at the Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF).</li> <li>Complete systems development for the Common Range Integrated Instrume instrumentation system to address next generation range data requirements.</li> <li>Continue system development for the Next Generation Electronic Warfare Electronic warfare simulation capabilities for testing future Electronic Attack and</li> <li>Continue system development for the Objective Helicopter Icing Spray System protermination system with time-space-position information and data link capabiliti</li> <li>Continue system development for the Objective Helicopter Icing Spray System perform in-flight icing and rain testing for low-speed air vehicles.</li> <li>Continue threat system simulator development efforts to improve integration, accurate, cost-effective representations of threat systems are available to supp.</li> <li>Continue threat system simulator development efforts to improve integration, accurate, cost-effective representations of threat systems are available to supp.</li> <li>Continue the Synthetic Battlefield Emitter Systems project to provide a controc CAISR systems.</li> </ul> </li> &lt;</ul>	Il tracking mounts needed to increase performance, evelopment for the Multi-Level Secure (MLS) Joint/ evel secure and cross-domain data management AS) Mission Environment project to develop a need Telemetry project Block I capability to develop ities. In enhance and modernize range control and data intation System project to develop a common range urban environment test capabilities. Environment Generator Build B project to provide d Electronic Support Measures systems. Dject to provide a subminiature, low-cost flight es. Im project to provide an enhanced capability to reduce potential duplication, and ensure that port testing. Diled density open air environment for testing of ave (HPM) Test Sources project to provide vertical			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	Date: March 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: <i>Research, Development, Test &amp; Evaluation, Defense-Wide I</i> BA 6: <i>RDT&amp;E Management Support</i>	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604940D8Z I Central Test and Evaluation Investment Program (CTEIP)				
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<ul> <li>Continue the Network Centric Weapon (NCW) T&amp;E Environment project to p NCW in a distributed simulation environment.</li> <li>Continue the Cyber Test Analysis and Simulation Environment project to end and analysis capabilities and modeling and simulations tools for testing agains.</li> <li>Continue the Electronic Warfare Test Resource Enhancement Project to dev for fielding at Installed Systems Test Facilities, threat simulation facilities, and developmental and operational testing of F-35 and other high performance aird - Initiate system development for the Directional Infrared Countermeasures (ICOuntermeasures (IRCM) Ground Test System project to provide an end-to-er IRCM systems.</li> <li>Initiate the Aircraft Based Telemetry Instrumentation System project to provid support for aircraft and missile defense testing in inter-range and broad ocean</li> <li>Resource Enhancement Project:</li> <li>Complete development of C2 and Urban Background Environment Simulato advances for Installed System Test Facility communications jamming purpose:</li> <li>Complete development of Boosted Zombie Target (BZT) to develop multi-sta GFE booster to blue "Zombie" maneuvering target.</li> <li>Complete the DIADS Sensor Reactivity Upgrade (SRU) to upgrade DIADS resupport of JSF and F-22 operational testing.</li> <li>Complete the Wideband Configurable Control Jammer (WCCJ) Enhancement Measures (ESM) subsystem into WCCJ, thus improving its ability to monitor at Exercise events.</li> <li>Complete the Automated Test Case Generator Web Service (ATC-GEN WS BMDS and Mode 5 IFF MIL-STD-6016E compliance test cases and an automation systems in a realistic urban environment.</li> <li>Initiate development of hardware simulators to test missile warning systems a dynamic environment.</li> </ul>	hance current Information Assurance / Cyber testing t increasingly robust Cyber threats. relop improved electronic warfare test capabilities open air test ranges to address critical shortfalls in craft against advanced threats. IRCM) segment of the Joint Distributed Infrared and ground test system enabling complete testing of the expanded capability and capacity telemetry area test scenarios. r (CUBES) to incorporate modern signal processer age, economical targets for PAC-3 by integrating a adars with enhanced ECM response features in that to develop and integrate an Electronic Support and prioritize signals during Network Integrated asure and collect signature, TSPI, and related ags to support evaluation of the Joint Allied Threat to provide JITC with the capability to develop ated test tool on a test network. In of sensors, weapons, platforms, and C4ISR				

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary				
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604940D8Z / Central Test and Evaluation Inves	stment Progra	nm (CTEIP)	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Initiate the development of non-intrusive instrumentation to address near te sensor system performance in harsh environments.</li> </ul>	rm OT capability shortfalls to evaluate advanced			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	177.520	179.607	254.50
N/A <u>Remarks</u> <u>E. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A <u>F. Performance Metrics</u> A portion of CTEIP projects that were developed and delivered to the DoD te	st community over the past five years.			

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6:RDT&E Management Support				R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0604942D8Z / Assessments & Evaluations								
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	2.574	2.145	2.115	21.661	-	21.661	22.341	23.340	24.407	25.920	Continuing	Continuing
P805: Assessments & Evaluations	2.574	2.145	2.115	21.661	-	21.661	22.341	23.340	24.407	25.920	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### Note

Starting in FY2015 and beyond, program content and funding from Program Elements 0603527D8Z and 0604943D8Z were moved to this Program Element to effect efficiencies and streamlined oversight of programmatic content.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program is reported in accordance with Title 10, United States Code, Section 119(a)(1) in the Special Access Program Annual Report to Congress. For further information, please contact the Director of Special Programs, OUSD(AT&L)/DSP at (703) 697-1282.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	2.419	2.127	2.173	-	2.173
Current President's Budget	2.145	2.115	21.661	-	21.661
Total Adjustments	-0.274	-0.012	19.488	-	19.488
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.274	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
Congressional Adds	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>Program Element Consolidation</li> </ul>	-	-	19.488	-	19.488
FFRDC	-	-0.012	-	-	-

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

Starting in FY2015 and beyond, program content and funding from Program Elements 0603257D8Z and 0604943D8Z were moved to this Program Element to effect efficiencies and streamlined oversight of programmatic content.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretar	ry Of Defense	Date: M	arch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: <i>Research, Development, Test &amp; Evaluation, Defense-Wide I</i> BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0604942D8Z / Assessments & Evaluations			
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	]	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Assessments & Evaluations		2.145	2.115	21.66
Description: Classified Program				
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Not applicable, Information is Classified.				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Not applicable, Information is Classified.				
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> Not applicable. Information is Classified. Program content and funding was and increase oversight.	moved to this Program Element to effect efficiencies			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	2.145	2.115	21.66
<ul> <li><u>D. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u></li> <li>N/A</li> <li><u>Remarks</u></li> <li><u>E. Acquisition Strategy</u></li> <li>This is a RDT&amp;E Management and Support effort and does acquire any pro-</li> </ul>	ducts.			
<u>F. Performance Metrics</u> N/A.				

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense							Date: March 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, To RDT&E Management Support	rch, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6:				R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0604943D8Z I Thermal Vicar							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	7.658	7.438	8.255	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
P943: Thermal Vicar	7.658	7.438	8.255	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### Note

Starting in FY2015 and beyond, program content and funding from Program Element 0604943D8Z were moved to Program Element 0604942D8Z to effect efficiencies and streamlined oversight of programmatic content.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program is reported in accordance with Title 10, United States Code, Section 119(a)(1) in the Special Access Program Annual Report to Congress. For further information, please contact the Director of Special Programs, OUSD(AT&L)/DSP at (703) 697-1282.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	8.214	8.287	8.465	-	8.465
Current President's Budget	7.438	8.255	-	-	-
Total Adjustments	-0.776	-0.032	-8.465	-	-8.465
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.776	-0.032			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>Consolidation of Program Elements</li> </ul>	-	-	-8.465	-	-8.465

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

Starting in FY2015 and beyond, program content and funding from Program Element 0604943D8Z were moved to Program Element 0604942D8Z to effect efficiencies and streamlined oversight of programmatic content.

C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Thermal Vicar	7.438	8.255	-

ibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense			Date: March 2014			
p <b>propriation/Budget Activity</b> 400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: 2DT&E Management Support	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0604943D8Z I Thermal Vicar					
. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
Description: Not applicable. Information is Classified.						
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> lot applicable. Information is Classified.						
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> lot applicable. Information is Classified.						
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	7.438	8.255			
Acquisition Strategy Not applicable. Performance Metrics Not applicable.						

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014			
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Te RDT&E Management Support	Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6:				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605100D8Z / Joint Mission Environment Test Capability (JMETC)							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	10.215	21.055	27.878	27.162	-	27.162	27.253	28.263	29.902	32.418	Continuing	Continuing
100: Joint Mission Environment Test Capability (JMETC)	10.215	21.055	27.878	27.162	-	27.162	27.253	28.263	29.902	32.418	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Joint Mission Environment Test Capability (JMETC) program was established for the purpose of implementing the Department's strategy to move to an enterprisecentric, distributed test capability that results in acquisition systems fielded with enhanced joint capabilities, reduced program costs, and improved acquisition timelines. The JMETC program implements the infrastructure capabilities defined in the Department of Defense's "Testing in a Joint Environment Roadmap" to provide acquisition program managers a robust nation-wide capability to "test like we fight." JMETC provides a persistent, distributed test and evaluation (T&E) capability; supporting system development, interoperability testing, and cyber testing; that otherwise would not be readily available to Service/Component acquisition programs. Under Secretary Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (USD(AT&L)) directed Test Resource Management Center (TRMC) to take responsibility for operations and resources of the National Cyber Range (NCR) beginning October 1, 2012. The Director of TRMC assigned NCR to JMETC. The JMETC program is funded within the RDT&E Management Support Budget Activity because it is intended to provide test capability in support of RDT&E programs.

JMETC creates a common corporate capability to link live systems with virtual and constructive representations in order to generate a realistic joint mission test environment for the system(s) being tested. JMETC is a widely applicable, persistent, service provider for the Department's acquisition and net-centric programs. Key JMETC products include readily available connectivity over existing networks, standardized data transport solutions, tools and utilities for planning and conducting distributed integrations, Department of Defense (DoD) corporate distributed testing expertise, and a reuse repository. This common integration capability, through the use of the Test and Training Enabling Architecture (TENA), provides compatibility between JMETC and the Joint National Training Capability (JNTC), streamlining reuse of technical resources across the test and training communities. In turn, this integration capability enables combined test and training exercises.

By linking distributed facilities, JMETC allows acquisition programs to efficiently evaluate their warfighting capability in a realistic joint mission environment. This enables a customer-defined joint mission test environment for systems engineering and testing, extensible to training and experimentation, in a timely and cost effective manner.

JMETC's institutional funding builds, maintains, and operates the JMETC infrastructure and pays for persistent availability of national connectivity for testing; data communications middleware; identification and development of interface standards; common software tools and components; and a reuse repository. Additionally, in FY 2013 funding was added to the JMETC program to provide improved cyber test capability. Also, in FY 2013, responsibility for the National Cyber Range (NCR) was given to the Test Resource Management Center (TRMC) and subsequently put under the JMETC management team. The NCR was funded in FY 2013 through funds provided by Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), Director, Operational Test & Evaluation (DOT&E), Assistant Secretary of Defense (Research & Engineering)(ASD(R&E)) reprogramming, and the TRMC investment programs. JMETC program funding also provides JMETC program management, facilities, equipment, operating costs, and special studies and analysis related to distributed test capabilities and infrastructure. Key attributes of the JMETC include: persistency;

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 C	office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: March 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-N RDT&E Management Support	<i>Vide I</i> BA 6:	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605100D8Z <i>I Joint Mission Environment Test Capability (JMETC)</i>						
interoperability; reuse; various combinations of distributed c linkage; Live-Virtual-Constructive (LVC) test resource integr experimentation all benefit from a corporate JMETC develop The Test Resource Management Center (TRMC) is the Dep	ation; and distribution of the second s	ed test support to	satisfy both Service and	d Joint needs. System	engineering, training, a			
operations. 3. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015 Base</u>	FY 2015 OCO	<u>FY 2015 Total</u>			
Previous President's Budget	19.380	31.000	31.557	-	31.557			
Current President's Budget	21.055	27.878	27.162	-	27.162			
Total Adjustments	1.675	-3.122	-4.395	-	-4.395			
		0.000						
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-0.022						
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-1.589	-0.022 -3.100						
•	-1.589 -							
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-1.589 - -							
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-1.589 - - -							
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-1.589 - - - 3.492							
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> <li>Congressional Adds</li> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	- - -							

#### Change Summary Explanation

• Strategic efficiency reductions in management headquarters funding and staffing for better alignment and to provide support to a smaller military force.

C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Joint Mission Environment Test Capability	21.055	27.878	27.162
FY 2013 Accomplishments: - Joint Mission Environment Test Capability (JMETC)			
- Continued to expand the JMETC persistent infrastructure to 72 sites with an additional 15 planned. Increased our network connectivity to industry and academia with the addition of peering points to MITRE Corporation, Georgia Tech Research Institute (GTRI) and Lockheed Martin Corporation.			
- Supported 48 distinct customer distributed live-virtual-constructive (LVC) test activities to Department of Defense (DoD) acquisition programs and events as follows: MQ-4C Triton (formerly referred to as Broad Area Maritime Surveillance [BAMS]) Environment Integration; Air Force Systems Interoperability Tests (AFSIT)(five test events); Aegis Accelerated Mid-Term			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605100D8Z <i>I Joint Mission Environment Test C</i>	apability (JM	IETC)	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Interoperability Improvement Program (AMIIP) (later to be called Aegis Perform Test Bed (MST); Joint Integrated Air and Missile Defense Organization's (JIAM (C/DIT); JIAMDO Joint Tactical Air Picture Mission Environment – 12A (JTAP – Joint Interoperability Tests (four actual test events); Air Ground Integrated Laye Joint Track Manager Capability /Composite Track Management (JTMC-D/CTM (DCGS-A); Air Intercept Missile (AIM-9X); Joint Distributed Infrared Counterme Naval Air Systems Command (NAVAIR) Integrated Warfare Capability (IWC); N (VRPL); Interoperability Test and Evaluation Capability (InterTEC) System Inter Verification (PAV), and the Integrated Cyber Event (ICE).	DO) Correlation/De-correlation Interoperability Test ME); Joint Interoperability Test Command (JITC) er Exploration (AGILE) Fire VII and VIII; E-2D tests; ); Distributed Common Ground System – Army asures (IRCM) Ground Test System (JDIGS); US Marine Corps Virtual Rapid Prototyping Laboratory			
- Continued to provide general distributed test planning and execution support Tactical Networking Center (JTNC) formerly the Program Executive Office (JPE Bed (MST) (formerly AMIIP), AFSIT, NAVAIR IWC events, InterTEC development activities.	EO), JTMC-D/CTM, Aegis PAV and Multi-site Test			
- Continued planning support to new and on-going acquisition program custom P-8A Poseidon (Increment 3), Unmanned Carrier Launched Airborne Surveillar Diameter Bob II, Air Intercept Missile (AIM-9X), Integrated Defensive Electronic Integration Event/Brigade Modernization (NIE), JTNC Joint Reference Impleme Command and Control System (CAC2S), Joint Operational Test Approach (JO (DDR), Electromagnetic Rail Gun, Three-Dimensional Expeditionary Long Ran Improvised Explosive Device (IED) Electronic Warfare (CREW), and Advanced	nce and Strike (UNCLASS), F-35, F-22, Small c Countermeasures (IDECM), Army Network entation Laboratory (JRILs), Common Aviation TA-2) Mode V IFF, Dismounted Detection Radar ge Radar (3DELRR), Counter Remote Controlled			
- Enhanced the User Interface and content of the web-based JMETC Reuse Releases learned, and test metadata making all available to the DoD test commu				
- Supported JIAMDO in the successful renegotiation of the International Agreer Kingdom for C/DIT.	ment between the United States and United			
- Collaborated and supported the JTNC program in the development of a Radio and extend an RF signal over the JMETC network, enabling remote radio play and development for this project has been completed and initial, early testing o this project will achieve it designed goals. This capability will result in a signific dollars) to the JTNC program.	in geographically separated networks. The design f the device with various waveforms has shown that			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary 0	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605100D8Z <i>I Joint Mission Environment Test C</i>	Capability (JM	ETC)	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
- Assisted and supported customers with distributed test tools and expertise for	planning and executing their distributed events.			
- National Cyber Range:				
<ul> <li>Received responsibility for the National Cyber Range in Orlando, FL, a cyber Secure Compartmentalized Information Facility (SCIF). The facility is comprise devices and an integrated tool suite. During the year, the NCR was accredited the Top Secret, Sensitive Compartmented Intelligence level of security, making classification levels. The NCR provides the ability to rapidly design, deploy and environments in which extremely malicious threats can be unleashed on operate to assess the impact on the network, networked weapon systems, and the association advancements were incorporated into the integrated tool suite which is highligh ability to support up to four concurrent events, executed in completely isolated - The NCR supported users in FY 2013 included Office of the Director, Operating US Cyber Command, other Defense Agencies, and DoD development program for vulnerabilities, scalability, malware propagation, and effective defenses. Events scheduled into FY 2014, and is planning additional tests to be executed normally consists of a week for integration and set-up, a week or two of testing.</li> </ul>	ed of an array of servers, networking, storage by the Defense Intelligence Agency to operate at the NCR fully test capable through the range of d sanitize large scale, high fidelity test and training tionally, representative systems and networks ociated mission. Considerable technological ted by the significant level of automation and the testbeds, at different levels of classification. Onal Test and Evaluation, US Pacific Command, is. Their objectives have included testing en though the primary focus has been on events through August of FY 2013, has another ed after the end of the calendar year. An event			
- Cyber Test and Evaluation				
- Initiated the Cyber Range Interoperability Standards (CRIS) effort, developing cyber test process, and beginning the effort to prioritize needed standards whic interoperability and scalability. This effort was executed through participation b community.	h will result in efficiencies through improved			
- Worked with the Deputy Assistant Secretary Defense Developmental Test & B Management Office, and the Air Force 46th Test Squadron to conduct an Integ proved that the infrastructure is adequate to test a command and control syster for improvements in areas such as red and blue environments, cyber test instru	rated Cyber Event (ICE). This cyber test event m. However, it also pointed out the significant need			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	1arch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605100D8Z / Joint Mission Environment Test C	apability (JM	IETC)	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
- Deployed the first of several production Regional Service Delivery Points (RS System Management Office (TSMO) and will provide enterprise resources for the development of the second Regional Service Delivery Point (RSDP) which and training. The RSDPs will also promote efficiencies by providing enterprise visualization tools, instrumentation, and other tools.	computing, storage, and common services. Funded will provide increased capacity for cyber test			
- Developed Cyber T&E Use Cases, a set of operational and system architectu threat vectors and test concepts needed to identify Cyber T&E infrastructure re Combat Systems, Weapon Systems, and Business IT Systems.				
- Initiated planning for significant distributed test infrastructure enhancements t to and including TS//SCI with a focus on leveraging the RSDP capabilities and address growing interoperability and cyber T&E requirements.				
FY 2014 Plans: - Joint Mission Environment Test Capability (JMETC):				
- Continue to provide distributed test support for 15-20 major customer events test, Joint Tactical Networking Center (JTNC) Joint Reference Implementation Air and Missile Defense (IAMD), JIAMDO projects, Joint Interoperability Tests Capability (IWC), Marine Corps Virtual Rapid Prototyping Laboratory (VRPL), a continuous interconnectivity between distributed test resources for day-to-day	Laboratory (JRIL), MQ-4C Triton , Army Integrated (JITS), AGILE Fire, NAVAIR Integrated Warfare and numerous smaller test activities, as well as,			
- Continue planning support to new and on-going acquisition programs includin Event (NIE)/Brigade Modernization, Counter Remote Controlled Improvised Ex (CREW), JTNC JRIL, F-35, Small Diameter Bomb (SDB)II, MQ-4C Triton, CVN Guided Missile (AARGM), Integrated Defensive Electronic Countermeasures ( Surveillance & Strike (UCLASS), Common Aviation Command and Control Sys (JSpOC) Mission Space (JMS), Marine Corps Combat Operations Center (Co	kplosive Device (IED) Electronic Warfare N-78, P-8A Poseidon, Advanced Anti-Radiation IDECM), Unmanned Carrier Launched Airborne stem (CAC2S),Joint Space Operations Center			
- Continue planning and begin implementation of distributed test infrastructure classification up to and including TS//SCI with a focus on leveraging the RSDP kinetic assets to address growing interoperability and cyber T&E requirements	capabilities and incorporating both kinetic and non-			

hibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: March 2014			
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605100D8Z <i>I Joint Mission Environment Test</i>	Capability (JN	IETC)		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2015	
- Continue collaboration with the Training community by providing distributed Staff J6 Command, Control, and Interoperability (C2I), and to other custome					
- Continue strategic planning efforts to engage new acquisition programs that Performance Parameter (NR-KPP) and Cybersecurity requirements.	at must demonstrate compliance with Net-Ready Key				
- Continue coordination efforts to integrate DoD/Service/Industry/Academia of JMETC infrastructure.	distributed test and evaluation infrastructure to the				
- Continue to enhance the User Interface and content of the web-based JME utilities, lessons learned, and test metadata making all available to the DoD					
- Continue to assist customers with the use of distributed test tools and trouk remote and on-site support for the planning and execution of distributed even					
- National Cyber Range:					
- Continue to sustain the National Cyber Range's (NCR) capabilities to meet support test planning and execution for TRITON, P-8A, Army Intelligence an Defense Information Systems Agency, National Assessment Group, Director acquisition programs.	d Information Warfare Directorate, Naval Intelligence,				
- Complete the NCR tools study to evaluate NCR tools for expansion for enter Points (RSDPs).	erprise use with a focus on Regional Service Delivery				
- Develop a plan of action and milestone (POA&M) to implement a wireless of	cyber test capability at the NCR.				
- Cyber Test and Evaluation:					
- Continue the planning, alignment, and coordination to establish and improvassessments by leveraging other TRMC investments (i.e., Central Test & Eveluation/Science and Test (T&E/S&T)) and capabilities of existing cyber restricts and the set (T&E/S&T) and capabilities of existing cyber restricts and the set (T&E/S&T) and capabilities of existing cyber restricts and the set (T&E/S&T) and capabilities of existing cyber restricts and the set (T&E/S&T) and capabilities of existing cyber restricts and the set (T&E/S&T) and capabilities of existing cyber restricts and the set (T&E/S&T) and capabilities of existing cyber restricts and the set (T&E/S&T) are set (T&E/S&T) and the set (T&E/S&T) are s	aluation Investment Program (CTEIP) and Test and				
- Continue to identify, assess, and develop cyber test tools as enterprise solution	utions to capability gaps.				

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: <i>Research, Development, Test &amp; Evaluation, Defense-Wide I</i> BA 6: <i>RDT&amp;E Management Support</i>	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605100D8Z / Joint Mission Environment Test C	Capability (JN	IETC)	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
- Deploy the 2nd RSDP which will provide enterprise compute, storage, and c	ommon services resources.			
- Continue to lead the Cyber Range Interoperability Standards (CRIS) working and adoption of standards across cyber ranges will result in efficiencies and ir				
- Complete the Cyber T&E Roadmap and begin the time-phased process of in interest (COI) – testing, experimentation, training, and mission rehearsal – in s				
FY 2015 Plans: - Joint Mission Environment Test Capability (JMETC)				
- Continue to provide distributed test support for major customer events such a Joint Reference Implementation Laboratory (JRIL), MQ-4C Triton, Army Integrated Interoperability Tests (JITs), AGILE Fire, NAVAIR Integrated Warfare Capability well as, continuous interconnectivity between distributed test resources for data	rated Air and Missile Defense (AIAMD), Joint ity (IWC), and numerous smaller test activities, as			
- Continue planning support to new and on-going acquisition programs includi Surveillance, and Sensor Systems (PEO IEW&S) (multiple programs), JTNC Triton,P-8A Poseidon, Advanced Anti-Radiation Guided Missile (AARGM), Inte (IDECM), Unmanned Carrier Launched Airborne Surveillance & Strike (UCLA System (CAC2S, Joint Space Operations Center (JSpOC) Mission Space (JM Tactical Operations Center (CoC)	JRIL, F-35, Small Diameter Bomb (SDB) II, MQ-4C egrated Defensive Electronic Countermeasures SS), Common Aviation Command and Control			
- Continue implementation of distributed test infrastructure enhancements that to and including TS//SCI with a focus on leveraging the RSDP capabilities and address growing interoperability and cyber T&E requirements.				
- Continue strategic planning efforts to engage new acquisition programs that Performance Parameter (NR-KPP) and Cybersecurity requirements.	must demonstrate compliance with Net-Ready Key			
- Continue coordination efforts to integrate DoD/Service/Industry/Academia dis JMETC infrastructure.	stributed test and evaluation infrastructure to the			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secreta	ary Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605100D8Z / Joint Mission Environment Test	Capability (JM	ETC)	
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Continue to assist customers with the use of distributed test tools and trou</li> <li>Continue providing remote and on-site support for the planning and execution</li> </ul>				
- National Cyber Range:				
<ul> <li>Continue to sustain the NCR capabilities and processes to support custom encryption, and increase capacity to support increased demand.</li> </ul>	ner demand. Assess improvements needed in			
- Cyber Test and Evaluation:				
- Continue the planning, alignment, and coordination to establish and impro- assessments by leveraging other TRMC investments (i.e., CTEIP and T&E/ Services/Industry/Academia).				
<ul> <li>Continue to implement distributed test infrastructure enhancements that w and including TS//SCI with a focus on leveraging the RSDP capabilities and address growing interoperability and cyber T&amp;E requirements.</li> </ul>				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	21.055	27.878	27.16
<u>D. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u> <u>E. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A				
<ul> <li>F. Performance Metrics         <ul> <li>Expansion of initial capability to support acquisition program test requirem capability.</li> <li>Successful use of integration software compatible with the JNTC and Join</li> <li>Number of test sites/locations that are reused to support distributed tests of the state of the stat</li></ul></li></ul>	t Training infrastructure.	d demonstratii	ng required jo	int
PE 0605100D8Z: <i>Joint Mission Environment Test Capability (JMETC)</i>	UNCLASSIFIED Page 8 of 8 R-1 Line #138		Vol	ume 3 - 562

Page 8 of 8

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: Marc	ate: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity       R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)         0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6:       PE 0605104D8Z I Technical Studies Support and Analysis         RDT&E Management Support       Support												
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	33.001	30.951	21.930	24.501	-	24.501	25.104	26.108	25.818	25.303	Continuing	Continuing
P421: Technical Studies	33.001	30.951	21.930	24.501	-	24.501	25.104	26.108	25.818	25.303	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program is a key source of funding for the Office of the Secretary of Defense and the Joint Staff to manage studies, analysis, management, and technical support efforts strategically to improve and support policy development, decision making, management and administration of DoD programs and activities. Studies and analysis will examine current and alternative policies, plans, operations, strategies and budgets, and are essential for managing and responding to the ever-changing complex international, political, technological, economic, military, and acquisition environments in which national security planning decisions are made. The need for independent analysis has become particularly acute with the evolution of requirements for planning the strategic redeployment of forces in the face of technological challenges and resource constraints, and there is a strong need to incorporate the effects of operational analysis in force planning assessments. With the persistently complex security, threat, and economic environment, the need for objective analysis and forward looking planning for the mid and long-term is vital.

In FY 2014 the budget request for the Global Theater Security Cooperation Management Information Systems (TSCMIS) program will be transferred to the Defense Security Cooperation Agency. TSCMIS is an existing program that will be executed by the Joint Staff separately from the Technical Studies, Support, and Analysis program. The Global Theater Security Cooperation Management Information Systems program responds to OSD's Guidance for Employment of the Force so that Combatant Commanders, Military Department Chiefs, CSA Directors, and applicable Defense Agency and Field Activity Directors are able to use a tracking mechanism to account for their steady-state activities that is accessible to other DoD components. Together these tracking mechanisms will provide a global view of all steady-state activities conducted by DoD components. The intent of this program is to encourage further development of tracking mechanisms in order to achieve full visibility of Defense Department activities.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Offi	Date:	Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity			ement (Number/Name)		
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wi	<i>de I</i> BA 6:	PE 0605104D8Z	I Technical Studies Su	oport and Analysis	
RDT&E Management Support					
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	32.266	24.379	24.589	-	24.589
Current President's Budget	30.951	21.930	24.501	-	24.501
Total Adjustments	-1.315	-2.449	-0.088	-	-0.088
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-2.949	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
Congressional Adds	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	2.000	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.366	-			
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-0.088	-	-0.088
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-	-2.400	-	-	-
• FFRDC	-	-0.049	-	-	-

#### Change Summary Explanation

The reduction is a strategic efficiency approach to reduce funding and staffing. As a result, we provide a better alignment of funding and provide support to a smaller military force.

In FY 2014 and beyond Global Theater Security Cooperation Management Information Systems was transferred to Defense Security Cooperation Agency.

As part of the Department of Defense reform agenda, the budget estimate trend reflects a reduction in the number and cost of reports and studies below the aggregate level reported in previous budget submissions.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6				PE 060510		t (Number/ chnical Stud	,		Number/Name) echnical Studies			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P421: Technical Studies	33.001	30.951	21.930	24.501	-	24.501	25.104	26.108	25.818	25.303	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program is a key source of funding for the Office of the Secretary of Defense and the Joint Staff for studies, analysis, management, and technical support efforts to improve and support policy development, decision making, management and administration of DoD programs and activities. Studies and analysis will examine current and alternative policies, plans, operations, strategies and budgets, and are essential for managing and responding to the ever-changing complex international, political, technological, economic, military, and acquisition environments in which national security planning decisions are made. The need for independent analysis has become particularly acute with the evolution of requirements for planning the strategic redeployment of forces in the face of technological challenges and resource constraints, and there is a strong need to incorporate the effects of operational analysis in force planning assessments. With the persistently complex security, threat, and economic environment, the need for objective analysis and forward looking planning for the mid and long-term is vital.

From FY 2010 through FY 2013 this program element included funding for the Global Theater Security Cooperation Management Information Systems (TSCMIS) Program, which is a separate program from the OSD Technical Studies, Support & Analysis program. TSCMIS is an existing program which provides a global view of all steady-state activities conducted by DoD components and enables that information to be accessible by other DoD components. Proposed enhancements to TSCMIS will enable all of the Services and Combatant Commands to access information in this system and will allow the incorporation of data provided by other interagency partners. The budget request for the TSCMIS program was transferred to the Defense Security Cooperation Agency beginning in FY 2014.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Technical Studies and Analyses Support for the Office of the Secretary of Defense	23.942	21.930	24.501
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> Technical Support for the USD(Acquisition, Technology & Logistics): Studies and analyses of:			
Strengthening peacekeeping and counter-insurgency capabilities of allied states, strategic command and control, aircraft engine sustainment, air and missile defense capabilities integration, future vertical lift requirements, cybersecurity operational requirements, overhead infrared technology, space launch capabilities sustainment, Global Positioning System service capabilities, anti-counterfeiting strategy in the supply chain, foreign acquisitions in defense-related firms, commercial imaging industrial capabilities, strengthening allied cooperative efforts in weapons systems research and development, policy implications of changes in allied defense capabilities, anti-tampering technology safeguards, operational logistics requirements, strategic basing requirements, improving resource efficiency in DoD installations, energy requirements in contingency operations and			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	/ Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605104D8Z <i>I Technical Studies</i> <i>Support and Analysis</i>		(Number/I Technical S		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
conventional conflicts, logistics infrastructure requirements, NATO policy p of acquisition programs and preventing cost growth in investment program various evolving technological and policy issues, small business investme Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) program, and DoD contractin	ns, support to Defense Science Board task forces o ent and acquisition strategy, the effectiveness of the	n			
Technical Support for the Director, Cost Assessment and Program Evalua Studies and analyses regarding the following areas:	ation:				
Assessments of active and reserve force structure and weapons systems infrastructure planning, technical studies and analyses to support indepen analyses, electronic warfare capabilities, military disability claims processi capabilities, weapons system survivability, munitions industrial base capal investment programs, comparative analyses of alternative strategic and co levels, unmanned aerial vehicle options, and continuation of development long-term trends, strength and affordability of the defense program	Ident cost estimates and economic research, scena ing requirements, space tracking and surveillance bilities, special operations force planning, executior onventional weapons systems configurations and fo	rio n of prce			
Technical Support for the USD(Policy): Studies, analyses, and activities in the following areas:					
Weapons of mass destruction defense, anti-access threat planning, Asia- assurance, developing deterrence strategies, airpower force structure, U.S countering infiltration, resiliency of logistics capabilities, sub-Saharan Afric operations requirements, information operations force structure, and strate and executive branch decision-makers	S Russia defense policy engagement, cyber strat ca counterterrorism requirements, joint stability	egy,			
Technical Support for the USD(Personnel & Readiness): Studies and analyses in the following areas:					
Military manpower requirements and compensation policy, cyberspace we personnel planning, military sexual harassment and violence prevention, r improving military applicant screening, retaining key officer and senior enl	retirement benefit policy, equal opportunity policy,				
Technical Support for the USD(Intelligence): Studies and analyses of:					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense	Date	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605104D8Z <i>I Technical Studies</i> <i>Support and Analysis</i>	Project (Numbe P421 / Technica		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Strategic nuclear balance, cyber situational awareness, improving cyber suppo strategies	rt to intelligence operations, and cyber investr	nent		
Technical Support for the Joint Staff conducting joint research with OSD:				
Studies and analyses with OSD supporting global operational energy infrastruc logistics planning, weapons of mass destruction consequence management, ge contingency basing requirements	•	nt		
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Technical Support for the USD(Acquisition, Technology & Logistics): Studies and analyses of:				
Personal protection equipment from weapons of mass destruction, satellite group Allied technology and warfighting capability planning, strategic command and comission requirements, conventional munitions, network interoperability in the active base capabilities assessments, cyber infrastructure planning, technical requirements technology requirements in defense manufacturing, foreign investment in the detechnology from small manufacturers, impacts of regulations on industry, the shof service life extension on support costs, measuring system reliability, modeling process, maintenance technology investment planning, identifying acquisities Board task forces on various evolving technological and policy issues, small but effectiveness of the Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) program, and	ontrol recapitalization requirements, Arctic equisition process, space and missile industria ments for arms control treaty compliance, futu efense industry, improving the use of commer nipbuilding supplier industrial base, the effect g supply chain performance in the acquisition ements, DoD installation sustainability, DoD er on program risk, support to Defense Science isiness investment and acquisition strategy, the	ıl re cial nergy e		
Technical Support for the Director, Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation: Studies and analyses regarding the following areas:				
Contingency operations planning, aircraft and vertical lift system evaluation, for force models, assessments in support of scenario analyses, cyber requirement development effectiveness in counterinsurgency operations, technical studies a and economic research, comparative analyses of alternative strategic and conv	s, military healthcare cost growth, economic and analyses to support independent cost esti	mates		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary O		Date: N	larch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605104D8Z / Technical Studies Support and Analysis	-	Project (Number/Name) P421 / Technical Studies			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		[	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
force levels, and continuation of development of critical management instrun affordability of the defense program	nents for measuring the long-term trends, strengt	h and				
Technical Support for the USD(Policy): Studies, analyses, and activities in the following areas:						
Regional and strategic defense posture, maintaining regional deterrence and peacekeeping operations, NATO policy engagement, international defense t planning, missing personnel recovery capabilities, cyber consequence mana sensing capabilities, homeland defense and civil/reserve support requirement strategic-level simulations of areas of interest for legislative and executive br	rade relationships, European crisis coordination agement, space strategic guidance planning and hts, border security and evolving threats, and					
Technical Support for the USD(Personnel & Readiness): Studies and analyses in the following areas:						
Recruiting and retention issues, strengthening veteran support programs and healthcare and manpower costs, forecasting the impacts and the development force drawdowns, reserve component readiness and sustainability, military of improving outcomes in DoD education activities, sexual assault prevention, a Force	ent of mitigation strategies for impacts of potentia compensation policy, civilan workforce sustainabi	lity,				
Technical Support for the USD(Intelligence): Studies and analyses of:						
Counterintelligence capabilities, military intelligence language specialties, ter surveillance interoperability, operational security effectiveness and counterm security enterprise						
Technical Support for the Joint Staff conducting joint research with OSD:						
Studies and analyses with OSD addressing force projection capabilities, sup capabilities, joint command and control, and joint maintenance capabilities ir <i>FY 2015 Plans:</i>		tack				
		I				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605104D8Z <i>I Technical Studies</i> <i>Support and Analysis</i>	-	ct (Number/N I Technical S	,	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Technical Support for the USD(Acquisition, Technology & Logistics): Studies and analyses of:					
Joint warfighting capability and technology planning, strategic and conventional capabilities, space portfolio architectures, industrial base capabilities assessme manufacturing technology, acquisition policy effectiveness, global defense indu requirements, allied defense capabilities, strategic basing requirements, DoD ir energy requirements, NATO policy planning, treaty compliance requirements, is to Defense Science Board task forces on various evolving technological and policy acquisition strategy, the effectiveness of the Small Business Innovation Resear toward small businesses	ents, cyber operational requirements, defense istry trends, technolgies for evolving mission istallations planning, logistics supply chain an dentifying acquisition program risk, support plicy issues, small business investment and				
Technical Support for the Director, Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation: Studies and analyses regarding the following areas:					
Strategic tradeoffs and risk management, maintaining force readiness, personn scenario analyses, military health programs, technical studies and analyses to research, comparative analyses of alternative strategic and conventional weap continuation of development of critical management instruments for measuring the defense program	support independent cost estimates and econo ons systems configurations and force levels, a	ind			
Technical Support for the USD(Policy): Studies, analyses, and activities in the following areas:					
Regional and strategic defense posture, international defense policy planning, international defense trade and industrial relationships, NATO requirements pla strategic requirements, space and cyber strategic guidance planning, continger terrorist development, and strategic-level simulations of areas of interest for leg	anning, technological and external effects on ncy humanitarian operations, countering nasce	ent			
Technical Support for the USD(Personnel & Readiness): Studies and analyses in the following areas:					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	/ Of Defense	Date:	Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605104D8Z <i>I Technical Studies</i> <i>Support and Analysis</i>		roject (Number/Name) 421 / Technical Studies				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015			
Active and reserve recruiting and retention issues, compensation and lega of mitigation strategies for impacts of potential force drawdowns, reserve issues, sexual assault prevention, and new strategies for managing the Te	component readiness and sustainability, military fa						
Technical Support for the USD(Intelligence): Studies and analyses of:							
Surveillance technologies and capabilities, risk management, and military	intelligence capabilities						
Technical Support for the Joint Staff conducting joint research with OSD:							
Studies and analyses with OSD addressing mobility capabilities, supply cl force programming planning, and joint contingency basing requirements	hain requirements, countering anti-access environ	ments,					
Title: Global Theater Security Cooperation Management information Syst	ems (TSCMIS) Program	7.00	9 -				
<b>Description:</b> Global Theater Security Cooperation Management Informative requirement from the Technical Studies, Support, and Analysis program. Staff apart from the Technical Studies, Support, and Analysis program.							
Organizations implementing TSCMIS include all of the Geographic Comb change will facilitate the inclusion of all of the Combatant Commands, all will result in the integration of other security cooperation databases, include interagency partner databases into the TSCMIS portal.	of the military services, DTRA, and DSCA. Future						
FY 2013 Accomplishments:							
Program management (\$1,026K); requirements management (\$282K); sc (\$1,760); testing (\$450K); logistics management (\$1,151K)	ftware development (\$2,340K); systems engineer	ng					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> The budget request for the Global Theater Security Cooperation Manager submitted by the Defense Security Cooperation Agency in FY 2014 and fu		be					
FY 2015 Plans:							
Program was transferred to the Defense Security Cooperation Agency in	FY 2014.						
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su	btotals 30.95	1 21.930	24.50			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 C	Office of Secretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605104D8Z / Technical Studies Support and Analysis	Project (Number/Name) P421 / Technical Studies		
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u>				
<u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A				
E. Performance Metrics FY 2015 BA: \$21.001 FY 2015 BA Assoc w/Metrics: \$	21.001M Percent FY 2015 BA Assoc w/Metrics: 100%			
encourage a collaborative research approach among the closely integrated with the strategic goals of the Departn strengthening and leveraging alliances, human resource to operational doctrine, and many other issues of emerg organizational leaders to plan and guide their research to management objectives, the Quadrennial Defense Revie In following the program efficiencies guidance of the Sec	ons per fiscal year to support a wide variety of national security goa e components of OSD and the Joint Staff. The research and study nent of Defense. The focus of studies varies across a wide spectru e and military personnel management, examination of innovative te ing importance. Most of the actions are long to intermediate-range oward meeting their highest-priority goals and other high-level guid ew, and the National Security Strategy of the United States of Ame cretary of Defense, the scope and detail of studies and analyses w efense while continuing to make every effort to support requirement	y projects supported by this program are um including weapons systems cost analysis, echnologies, application of technology e in outlook, and the program allows dance such as executive branch performance erica.		

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Ite	m Justificat	tion: PB 20	15 Office of	Secretary	y Of Defense					Date: March 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605110D8Z / USD (A&T) Critical Technology Support								
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	0.669	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
P110: USD (A&T) Critical Technology Support	-	0.669	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### <u>Note</u>

This program was realigned under program element (PE) 0605798D8Z, Defense Technology Analysis, in the Critical Technology Assessments (P579) project beginning in FY 2014.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

#### (1) Export Control Program:

The Militarily Critical Technologies Program (MCTP) provides the technical reference guidance in support of development and implementation of Department of Defense (DoD) technology security policies on international transfers of defense related goods, services, and technologies. The export control program provides an ongoing assessment and analysis of global goods and technologies. Determines significant advances in the development, production, and use of military capabilities by potential adversaries. Determines goods and technologies being developed worldwide with potential to significantly enhance or degrade U.S. military capabilities in the future. Identified in the Export Administration Act of 1979 and extended by Presidential Executive Order to review militarily critical goods and technologies and to consider worldwide technology capabilities. The Militarily Critical Technologies List (MCTL) is a congressionally mandated source document for identification of leading edge and current technologies monitored worldwide for national security, nonproliferation control of weapons of mass destruction, and advanced conventional weapons.

Specific activities include:

- Develop and publish in electronic form (including Internet version) restricted editions of the MCTL document that describe the military and proliferation significance of various technologies.

- Monitor and assess dual-use and military technologies worldwide.
- Assist in the development of proposals for negotiation in various multilateral export control regimes.
- Limited worldwide technology capability assessments for the MCTL and other U.S. international critical technologies efforts.
- Identification and determination of technical parameters for proposals for international control of weapons of mass destruction.
- Identification of foreign technologies of interest to the DoD and opportunities for international cooperative research and development.

(2) The DoD Damage Assessment Management Office (DAMO) Program:

The Defense Industrial Base (DIB) secures critical DoD programs and technology by protecting DoD unclassified information resident on and transiting DIB unclassified networks. This project further establishes the DoD DAMO to coordinate the conduct of assessments involving the loss of DoD information requiring controls resulting from the unauthorized access and/or exfiltration of technical data maintained on unclassified DIB networks. The DAMO identifies and categorizes the impact of the

xhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 C	Office of Secretary O	f Defense		Date:	March 2014					
ppropriation/Budget Activity		-	ement (Number/Name)	•						
400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-	Wide / BA 6:	PE 0605110D8Z I USD (A&T) Critical Technology Support								
RDT&E Management Support										
oss of acquisition information contained on the affected sys and establishes a process to appropriately share collected in assessments applicable to all DoD components and in conc procedures pertaining to contracts with the DIB.	nformation with all a	ffected parties.	The DAMO establishes	policy and procedures	for conducting damag					
Specific activities include:										
• Provide technical expertise and analyses in assessing the	impact of data lost a	as a result of the	unauthorized access a	nd/or exfiltration						
• Develop a damage assessment ontology and data reposite	•				defense program					
nformation.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	5	, 0	0 1	1 0					
<u> 8. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)</u>	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total					
Previous President's Budget	0.840	-	-	-	-					
Current President's Budget	0.669	-	-	-	-					
Total Adjustments	-0.171	-	-	-	-					
Congressional General Reductions	-	-								
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.080	-								
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.001	-								
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-								
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-								
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-0.067	-								
<ul> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> </ul>	-0.023	-								

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	hibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense												
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6						<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605110D8Z / USD (A&T) Critical Technology Support				Project (Number/Name) P110 I USD (A&T) Critical Technology Support			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P110: USD (A&T) Critical Technology Support	-	0.669	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### <u>Note</u>

This program was realigned under program element (PE) 0605798D8Z, Defense Technology Analysis, in the Critical Technology Assessments (P579) project beginning in FY 2014.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

#### (1) Export Control Program:

The Militarily Critical Technologies Program (MCTP) provides the technical reference guidance in support of development and implementation of DoD technology security policies on international transfers of defense related goods, services, and technologies. The export control program provides an ongoing assessment and analysis of global goods and technologies. Determines significant advances in the development, production, and use of military capabilities by potential adversaries. Determines goods and technologies being developed worldwide with potential to significantly enhance or degrade U.S. military capabilities in the future. Identified in the Export Administration Act of 1979 and extended by Presidential Executive Order to review militarily critical goods and technologies and to consider worldwide technology capabilities. The Militarily Critical Technologies List (MCTL) is a congressionally mandated source document for identification of leading edge and current technologies monitored worldwide for national security, nonproliferation control of weapons of mass destruction, and advanced conventional weapons.

#### Specific activities include:

- Develop and publish in electronic form (including Internet version) restricted editions of the MCTL document that describe the military and proliferation significance of various technologies.

- Monitor and assess dual-use and military technologies worldwide.
- Assist in the development of proposals for negotiation in various multilateral export control regimes.
- Limited worldwide technology capability assessments for the MCTL and other U.S. international critical technologies efforts.
- Identification and determination of technical parameters for proposals for international control of weapons of mass destruction.
- Identification of foreign technologies of interest to the DoD and opportunities for international cooperative research and development.

#### (2) The DoD Damage Assessment Management Office (DAMO) Program:

The Defense Industrial Base (DIB) secures critical DoD programs and technology by protecting DoD unclassified information resident on and transiting DIB unclassified networks. This project further establishes the DoD DAMO to coordinate the conduct of assessments involving the loss of DoD information requiring controls resulting from the unauthorized access and/or exfiltration of technical data maintained on unclassified DIB networks. The DAMO identifies and categorizes the impact of the

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justi	fication: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary	Of Defense					Date: Ma	arch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6				PE 06		nent (Numb USD (A&T) ort		P110	Project (Number/Name) P110 / USD (A&T) Critical Technology Support				
loss of acquisition information contai and establishes a process to approp assessments applicable to all DoD c procedures pertaining to contracts w	riately share omponents a	collected inf	ormation wit	th all affected	d parties. Tl	ne DAMO est	tablishes pol	licy and p	procedures for	conducting	damage		
Specific activities include: - Provide technical expertise and and - Develop a damage assessment on information.										efense progi	am		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Prog	grams (\$ in N	<u>/lillions)</u>							FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
Title: USD (A&T) Critical Technology	/ Support								0.669	-	-		
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Maintained technical interface to ex</li> <li>Established and maintained interface</li> </ul>	•	•••••••	•	hnology ass	essments.				0.000				
				Accon	npiisnment	s/Planned P	rograms Su	IDtotals	0.669	-	-		
C. Other Program Funding Summa	ry (\$ in Milli	<u>ons)</u>											
Line Item			<u>FY 2015</u>	FY 2015	FY 2015		EV 2047			Cost To	-		
• PE 0605798D8Z, P579: Critical Technology Assessments	<u>FY 2013</u> -	<u>FY 2014</u> 0.940	<u>Base</u> 0.604	<u>000</u> -	<u>Total</u> 0.604	<u>FY 2016</u> 1.120	<u>FY 2017</u> 1.320	<b>FY 20</b> ′ 1.44		Complete Continuing			
<u>Remarks</u>													
This program was realigned under P	E 0605798D	8Z, Defense	Technology	/ Analysis, in	the Critical	Technology	Assessment	ts (P579)	project begini	ning in FY 2	014.		
D. Acquisition Strategy													
N/A													
E. Performance Metrics													
N/A													

Appropriation/Budget Activity	n Justificati				1	am Flomon	nt (Number/	Namo)	_			
0400: Research, Development, Te	st & Evalua	tion. Defen	se-Wide I B	A 6:			reign Materi		on and Expl	oitation		
RDT&E Management Support							olgi maton	or requirering		ondion		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	159.154	51.366	48.911	-	-	-	-	-	-		- Continuing	Continuing
411: Foreign Materiel Acquisition and Exploitation	159.154	51.366	48.911	-	-	-	-	-	-		- Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will	l be submitt	ed at a late	r date		1	1	1		1	1		
<u>Note</u>												
Funding transfers to Air Force beg	ginning in F	Y 2015.										
A. Mission Description and Bud	aet Item Ju	ustification										
This program manages the acquis	-			eapons sv	stems. milita	arv equipme	ent. and mili	tarv/dual-us	se technolo	aies for th	e militarv ser	vices and
defense agencies.					,	<b>J</b> = 1 = 1	,	<b>,</b>	,	0	<b>,</b>	
P. Drearen Change Summers /	t in Million	-)		FY 2013	FY 201	14 F	FY 2015 Ba	50	FY 2015 O	0.0	EY 2015 T	otal
B. Program Change Summary (		<u>s)</u>		FY 2013	<u>FY 201</u> 54 31		FY 2015 Ba	<u>se</u>	FY 2015 O	<u>CO</u>	<u>FY 2015 To</u>	otal
Previous President's Budg	et	<u>s)</u>		56.012	54.31	  1	<u>FY 2015 Ba</u>	<u>se</u> - -	<u>FY 2015 O</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>FY 2015 To</u>	otal -
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge	et	<u>s)</u>		56.012 51.366	54.31 48.91	  1  1	<u>FY 2015 Ba</u>	<u>se</u> - -	<u>FY 2015 O</u>	<u>CO</u> - - -	<u>FY 2015 To</u>	<u>otal</u> - - -
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments	et t			56.012	54.31	  1  1	<u>FY 2015 Ba</u>	<u>se</u> - -	<u>FY 2015 O</u>	<u>CO</u> - - -	<u>FY 2015 To</u>	<u>otal</u> - - -
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge	et t eneral Redi	uctions		56.012 51.366	54.31 48.91	1  1 )0 -	<u>FY 2015 Ba</u>	<u>se</u> - -	<u>FY 2015 O</u>	<u>CO</u> - - -	<u>FY 2015 T</u>	<u>otal</u> - - -
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G	et t eneral Red irected Red	uctions		56.012 51.366 -4.646 -	54.31 48.91 -5.40	1  1 )0 -	<u>-Y 2015 Ba</u>	<u>se</u> - -	<u>FY 2015 O</u>	<u>CO</u> - - -	<u>FY 2015 T</u>	<u>otal</u> - - -
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G • Congressional D	et t eneral Redu irected Red escissions	uctions		56.012 51.366 -4.646 -	54.31 48.91 -5.40	1  1 )0 -	<u>FY 2015 Ba</u>	<u>se</u> - - -	<u>FY 2015 O</u>	<u>-</u> - -	<u>FY 2015 T</u>	<u>otal</u> - - -
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G • Congressional D • Congressional R	et t eneral Redu irected Red escissions dds	uctions luctions		56.012 51.366 -4.646	54.31 48.91 -5.40	1  1 )0 -	<u>FY 2015 Ba</u>	<u>se</u> - -	<u>FY 2015 O</u>	<u>-</u> - -	<u>FY 2015 T</u>	<u>otal</u> - - -
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G • Congressional D • Congressional A • Congressional D • Congressional D • Reprogrammings	et t eneral Red irected Red escissions dds irected Trar s	uctions luctions		56.012 51.366 -4.646	54.31 48.91 -5.40	1  1 )0 -	<u>FY 2015 Ba</u>	<u>se</u> - - -	<u>FY 2015 O</u>	<u>-</u> - -	<u>FY 2015 T</u>	<u>otal</u> - - -
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G • Congressional D • Congressional A • Congressional D • Reprogrammings • SBIR/STTR Tran	et eneral Redu irected Red escissions dds irected Trar s isfer	uctions luctions		56.012 51.366 -4.646 - -4.624 - - - -	54.31 48.91 -5.40	1  1 )0 -	<u>FY 2015 Ba</u>	<u>se</u> - -	<u>FY 2015 O</u>	<u>-</u> - -	<u>FY 2015 T</u>	<u>otal</u> - -
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G • Congressional D • Congressional A • Congressional D • Congressional D • Reprogrammings	et eneral Redu irected Red escissions dds irected Trar s isfer	uctions luctions		56.012 51.366 -4.646	54.31 48.91 -5.40	1  1 )0 -	<u>FY 2015 Ba</u>	<u>se</u> - - -	<u>FY 2015 O</u>	<u>-</u> -	FY 2015 T	<u>otal</u> - -
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G • Congressional D • Congressional A • Congressional D • Reprogrammings • SBIR/STTR Tran	et eneral Redu irected Red escissions dds irected Trar s isfer ljustments	uctions luctions		56.012 51.366 -4.646 - -4.624 - - - -	54.31 48.91 -5.40	1  1 )0 -	<u>FY 2015 Ba</u>	<u>se</u> - - -		<u>CO</u> - - - 2 2013	FY 2015 T	<u>otal</u> - - - FY 2015
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G • Congressional D • Congressional A • Congressional D • Reprogrammings • SBIR/STTR Tran • Departmental Ad	et t irected Red escissions dds irected Trar s isfer ljustments <b>rograms (\$</b>	uctions luctions hsfers		56.012 51.366 -4.646 - -4.624 - - - -	54.31 48.91 -5.40	1  1 )0 -	<u>-Y 2015 Ba</u>	<u>se</u> - - -		-		-
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments • Congressional G • Congressional R • Congressional R • Congressional A • Congressional D • Reprogrammings • SBIR/STTR Tran • Departmental Ad	et t irected Red escissions dds irected Trar s isfer ljustments <b>rograms (\$</b> and Exploi	uctions luctions hsfers <u>in Millions</u> tation	<u>ə)</u>	56.012 51.366 -4.646 - - -4.624 - - - - - - -0.022	54.31 48.91 -5.40	1  1 )0 -	<u>FY 2015 Ba</u>	<u>se</u> - - -		- - - 2013	FY 2014	-
Previous President's Budg Current President's Budge Total Adjustments Congressional G Congressional D Congressional A Congressional A Congressional D Reprogrammings SBIR/STTR Tran Departmental Ad C. Accomplishments/Planned P Title: Foreign Materiel Acquisition FY 2013 Accomplishments:	et t irected Red escissions dds irected Trar s isfer ljustments <b>rograms (\$</b> and Exploi	uctions luctions hsfers <u>in Millions</u> tation	<u>ə)</u>	56.012 51.366 -4.646 - - -4.624 - - - - - - -0.022	54.31 48.91 -5.40	1  1 )0 -	<u>FY 2015 Ba</u>	<u>se</u> - - -		- - - 2013	FY 2014	-

PE 0605117D8Z: *Foreign Materiel Acquisition and Exploitation* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secreta	ary Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605117D8Z <i>I Foreign Materiel Acquisition and</i>	Exploitation		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Mission Support (Details provided in Defense-Wide classified book)				
FY 2015 Plans:				
Funding transfers to Air Force beginning in FY 2015.				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	51.366	48.911	
D. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)				
N/A				
<u>Remarks</u>				
E. Acquisition Strategy				
N/A				
F. Performance Metrics				
Details provided in Defense-Wide classified book.				

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Ite		<b>UII.</b> PD 20		Secretary	1			<b>A</b> I \		Date: Mar	611 20 14	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, T RDT&E Management Support	Test & Evalua	tion, Defen	se-Wide I B	A 6:	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605128D8Z / Classified Program							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	97.603	89.695	100.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuin
128: Classified Program	97.603	89.695	100.000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuin
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	_		
A. Mission Description and Bu Classified	dget Item Ju	istification										
B. Program Change Summary	(\$ in Million	<u>s)</u>		<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 201</u>	<u>4</u>	FY 2015 Ba	se	FY 2015 OC	0	FY 2015 Te	<u>otal</u>
Previous President's Buc	lget			89.733	-			-		-		-
Current President's Budg	jet			89.695	100.00			-		-		-
Total Adjustments				-0.038	100.00	0		-		-		-
Congressional				-	-							
Congressional		uctions		-	-							
Congressional				-	-	0						
Congressional		oforo		-	100.00	0						
<ul> <li>Congressional</li> <li>Reprogramming</li> </ul>		Islers		-	-							
• SBIR/STTR Tra				-	-							
Other Program				-0.038	-			-		-		-
Congressional Add Deta	-		ncludes Ge	eneral Red	uctions)					FY	2013	FY 2014
Project: 128: Classified F	Program				-							
Congressional Add: C	-										89.695	100.000
						Congr	essional Ad	d Subtotals	s for Project:	128	89.695	100.000
						C	ongressiona	al Add Total	ls for all Proje	ects	89.695	100.000
<u>Change Summary Expl</u> N/A	anation											

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secreta	ary Of Defense			Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/I</b> PE 0605128D8Z / Classified Prog			
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	]
Congressional Add: Classified		89.695	100.000	
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Classified Program				
FY 2014 Plans: Classified Program				
	Congressional Adds Subtotals	89.695	100.000	-
D. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)				
N/A				
Remarks				
E. Acquisition Strategy				
N/A				
F. Performance Metrics				
None				

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Ite	m Justificat	i <b>on:</b> PB 20 <sup>-</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary (	ry Of Defense					Date: March 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: <i>Research, Development, Test &amp; Evaluation, Defense-Wide I</i> BA 6: <i>RDT&amp;E Management Support</i>				A 6:	-		t (Number/ eign Compa	ing				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	18.616	15.352	12.125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
P130: Foreign Comparative Testing	18.616	15.352	12.125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### <u>Note</u>

Rapid Fielding (RF) and Comparative Test is being recast with a focus on operational and developmental prototypes derived from evaluation of foreign equipment and products that will provide the U.S. Armed Services and Special Operations Command (SOCOM) capabilities to counter emerging threats. The Foreign Comparative Testing (FCT) program will increase its focus on finding and leveraging foreign technology solutions that affordably extend the life of existing military platforms/ capabilities. FCT's broad reach across our allies and friendly foreign countries will enable finding and developing innovative, cost effective, and potentially interoperable solutions for the DoD, Multi-Service and Combatant Command (COCOM) priority requirements.

In FY 2015, Foreign Comparative Testing funding in Program Element 0605130D8Z is being transferred to PE 0603133D8Z to emphasize operational and developmental prototypes and Budget Activity alignment.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Foreign Comparative Testing (FCT) program supports the warfighter by leveraging technologies and equipment from allied nations and coalition partners to satisfy U.S. defense requirements, thereby accelerating the U.S. acquisition process and lowering development costs. The FCTs enhance interoperability, facilitate international collaboration, expand opportunities for prototyping to increase competition in innovation and enable more efficient and affordable transition of technologies into acquisition programs of record. Authorized by Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 2350a (g), the FCT program is managed by the Office of Secretary of Defense (Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (DASD) Rapid Fielding), Comparative Technology Office (CTO). The FCT projects are sponsored by the Services and SOCOM. Evaluation processes for project selection include a detailed review to confirm the proposed item addresses valid requirements, a thorough market survey, and development of a viable acquisition strategy.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office	Date:	Date: March 2014				
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide RDT&E Management Support	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605130D8Z / Foreign Comparative Testing					
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total	
Previous President's Budget	18.174	12.134	21.285	-	21.285	
Current President's Budget	15.352	12.125	-	-	-	
Total Adjustments	-2.822	-0.009	-21.285	-	-21.285	
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-				
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-1.842	-				
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.024	-				
Congressional Adds	-	-				
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-				
Reprogrammings	-0.700	-				
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.249	-				
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.007	-	-	-	-	
<ul> <li>Realignment of funding to PE 0603133D8Z</li> </ul>	-	-	-21.285	-	-21.285	
FFRDC Adjustments	-	-0.009	-	-	-	

#### Change Summary Explanation

FY 2015: Funding in Program Element 0605130D8Z is realigned to PE 0603133D8Z to reflect DoD priorities and Budget Activity alignment.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605130D8Z <i>I Foreign Comparative</i> <i>Testing</i>				Project (Number/Name) P130 / Foreign Comparative Testing				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P130: Foreign Comparative Testing	18.616	15.352	12.125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Foreign Comparative Testing (FCT) program supports the warfighter by leveraging advanced technologies and equipment from allied nations and coalition partners to satisfy U.S. defense requirements, thereby accelerating the U.S. acquisition process and lowering development costs. The FCTs enhance interoperability, facilitate international collaboration, expand opportunities for prototyping and enable more efficient and affordable transition of technologies into acquisition programs of record. Authorized by Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 2350a(g), the FCT program is managed by the Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD), Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (DASD) Rapid Fielding (RF), Comparative Technology Office (CTO). The FCT projects are sponsored by the Services and U.S. Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) each year. Evaluation processes for project selection include a detailed review to confirm the proposed item addresses valid requirements, a thorough market survey, and development of a viable acquisition strategy.

Since the program's inception in 1980, OSD has initiated 671 projects; 619 projects have been completed to date. Of the 324 evaluations that met the sponsors' requirements, 252 led to procurements worth approximately \$11.000 billion in FY 2013 constant year dollars. With an OSD investment of about \$1.170 billion, the FCT Program realized an estimated research, deve lopment, test, and evaluation (RDT&E) cost avoidance of \$7.800 billion in FY 2013 constant year dollars.

The FCT program is a catalyst for teaming and other business relationships between foreign and U.S. industries. Many successful FCT projects result in the licensed production of the qualified foreign item in the U.S. Other nations recognize the long-term value of such practices for competing in the U.S. defense market and the resultant strengthening of the "two-way street" in Defense procurement. The result often means the creation of jobs and contributions to local economies throughout the United States. To date, companies across 33 states benefited from FCT projects.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Armor Processing (Army)	1.150	0.880	-
<b>Description:</b> The Army is looking to evaluate personal body armor, small arm protective inserts (SAPI), fabricated by new isostatic and high pressure processing technique. This prototype process has had very promising results and has the potential to reduce the weight and improve the ballistic performance of personal body armor at a lower cost. The current SAPI plates are made by conventional processing techniques which use low pressure autoclave to bond ceramic tiles and high performance fiber composites together. The fiber composite materials are processed separately using hot press prior to the final bonding process. This production method cannot provide uniform high pressure throughout the entire processing and therefore, the ballistic performance of current SAPI plates is not optimized. The proposed technology has demonstrated the capability to provide			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense Date: March 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605130D8Z / Foreign Comparative Testing	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P130 / Foreign Comparative Testing			sting
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
absolute uniform and high pressure for the entire process and also to combine into a one-step process. This technology will maximize the bonding strength b composite and the ceramic which will significantly improve the ballistic perform processing technology will also dramatically reduce the performance variation reduction. The technology developed provides a new manufacturing technique sources.	between fiber composite layers and between nance of SAPI plates. Uniform and high pressu which will provide more trade space for weight	ire			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Flat panel polyethylene test coupons have been manufactured using varying p are being mechanically tested (bend testing) to measure elastic stiffness. The laminates consolidated via XTclave <sup>™</sup> and traditional axial pressing. Samples comparison.	testing will identify physical differences between	en			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Perform modeling and simulation, subcomponent test article production, subcomprototype production, prototype testing and evaluation, write final test report ar		armor			
Title: Clandestine Tactical Audio/Video and Sensor Devices (United States Sp	pecial Operations Command (USSOCOM))		1.000	-	-
<b>Description:</b> The Clandestine Tactical Audio/Video and Sensor Devices will tekind, concealable systems that are instrumental in identifying potential adversa providing response teams with near-real-time actionable intelligence informatic replace legacy and compromised technology while also avoiding RDT&E, man costs worth \$10.750 million.	arial activities, then tracking those activities, an on. This project will qualify new systems that w	d /ill			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Analyzed vendor data, contracted for test articles, and began testing preparation Test Directorate, Fort Huachuca New Mexico. Conducted technical and safety of systems. Completed independent operational test and evaluations, operation reports.	/ testing to include verification and acceptance				
Title: Dual Purpose 25mm (millimeter) Ammunition for the Joint Strike Fighter	(Navy)		1.200	0.815	-
<b>Description:</b> Dual Purpose 25mm Ammunition for the Joint Strike Fighter will pre-production Norwegian Armor Piercing Explosive (APEX) 25mm rounds for application. The APEX projectile is a dual-use round for aircraft gun systems, delay detonation and provides dual purpose lethality.	US Navy, Marine Corps and Air Force aircraft				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of I	Date: M	larch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605130D8Z / Foreign Comparative Testing				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Briefed item qualification plan which received approval from the Weapons Syst 3Q – 4Q FY 2013. Obtained drawings of final configuration, and drafted gun q		RB) in			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Finalize gun (GAU-22/A Missionized Gun System) "Delta" qualification plan in results to WSESRB during 2Q FY 2014. Procure and receive gun qualification test reports from qualification tests in 1Q – 2Q FY 2014. Execute gun qualification analysis and qualification test report in 4Q FY 2014.	test assets in 1Q FY 2014. Obtain foreign da	ita/			
Title: Rapid DNA Profiler (United States Special Operations Command (USSC	DCOM))		1.000	-	-
<b>Description:</b> Evaluate a new system that provides actionable intelligence by p with a person of interest, using DNA samples, with results in seventy-five minu connections between detained persons of interest and gathered evidence with manufacturing, production, and Operations and Support costs worth \$25.560 r	tes. This new system will quickly confirm in seventy-five minutes while also avoiding RE				
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Analyzed vendor data, received test articles, and conducted technical and safe the systems. Completed operational assessment of systems with representati		ce of			
Title: Secondary Propulsion Thrusters (Navy)			1.300	0.050	-
<b>Description:</b> Test and qualify pump-jet propulsion technology for the first-time submarine Secondary Propulsion System (SPS). These pump-jet Secondary I ship control, operational performance and greatly reduce Total Ownership Cos and directional thrust to allow the ship's driver to maneuver the submarine in w mooring and underway evolutions. The primary outputs and efficiencies produ submarine; 2) an RDT&E cost avoidance of \$532.000 million; and 3) an Opera million. Following successful at-sea operational testing, the technology will be Block V baseline. The same pump jet technology will also be considered on the submarine in the submarine in the same pump jet technology will also be considered on the submarine.	Propulsion Thrusters have the potential to imp st. The new SPS will provide variable speed co vaters where currents are very volatile and dur uced by this project are 1) improved control of tions and Support cost avoidance of \$181.000 considered for insertion into the Virginia Class	ontrol ing the )			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Provided engineering and management support for the jet pump procurement <b>FY 2014 Plans:</b>	throughout 3Q-4Q FY 2013.				

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense		Date: M	Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity D400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605130D8Z <i>I Foreign Comparative</i> <i>Testing</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P130 <i>I Foreign Comparative Testing</i>				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
Perform factory testing on jet pump in 2Q FY 2014. Finalize land-l FY 2014. Integrate test article system and start land-based testing		ng 3Q				
<i>Title:</i> Seismic Detection System (Navy)		1.090	0.550			
<b>Description:</b> This project will test and evaluate a state-of-the-art F human activity (walking, digging) associated with improvised explo unauthorized individuals and provide alerts when that activity occu <b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Initiated test planning documentation, and received first delivery of	sive device (IED) emplacement, perimeter/border intrusions within a user defined geographical boundary.	n of				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Complete testing of first delivery of test articles in 1Q FY 2014. Co Submit final Test Report, and complete and Close-Out report in 4Q	omplete testing of second delivery of test articles in 3Q FY	<sup>′</sup> 2014.				
Title: Stabilized Small Arms Mount (Navy)		1.000	0.500			
<b>Description:</b> Tests an innovative, highly reliable, two-man portable maritime and operational environments in which the warfighters of system should be able to consistently and accurately deliver first h increasing any of the craft's signatures. The system will replace cr off the weather deck. The primary outputs and efficiencies produc and warfighter safety; 2) avoidance of RDT&E costs worth \$4.200 worth \$49.400 million.	small-to-medium size craft operates. The gyro stabilized it capability of small arms fire to intended targets without rew-served weapon stations, allowing the operator to mov ed by this project are 1) increased weapon capability, relia	e in ability,				
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Conducted technical evaluation and contract modifications through systems in 3Q FY 2013. Developed contract and evaluated impro- 2013.		FY				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Test and evaluate delivered items during 1Q-2Q FY 2014. Identify capabilities in 1Q FY 2014. Finalize the technical data package ar Weapon System Explosives Safety Review Board concurrence an submit final close-out report in 4Q FY 2014.	nd contract for follow-on systems in 2Q FY 2014. Obtain					
Title: Web Based Weather Portal (Air Force)		1.000	0.058			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D		Date: M	arch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	-	<b>ct (Number/Name)</b> I Foreign Comparative Testing			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<b>Description:</b> The Web Based Weather Portal tests and qualifies 'Visual Weath capability to ingest, decode, and display weather observations and forecast pro- Air Force Weather processing needs across the enterprise in a net centric mod and cost savings over current approaches. In particular, it can be used for met contain interactive forecasting tools, enhance forecast production and workflow the Open Geospatial Consortium's (OGC) standards for Web Mapping Services Feature Services (WFS). In addition, Visual Weather is compatible with the Jo Broker Language (JMBL), which is Air Force Weather's current Web service ap meteorological and oceanographic data providers and user applications. The us forecaster spends on product generation and allows more time for quality contr of data across the Air Force Weather Enterprise will also provide a level of Cor- connection to the Air Force Weather Agency is lost.	oducts. The portal will expand its use to distribute providing efficiencies, expanded capabilities everological data processing and visualization, where management, and develop adherence to s (WMS), Web Coverage Services, and Web int Meteorological and Oceanographic (METO opproach to the exchange of information between use of Visual Weather reduces the time the were of of the resultant weather product. The distribute of the distribute of the section of the distribute of the d	C) en eather oution			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Finalized project planning and solicited and contracted for the software test lice software and test equipment, conducted Web Based Weather Portal analysis, a		ther			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Conduct initial technical testing and prepare technical test report. Conduct ope prepare the operational/user test reports. Focus testing on the performance in packet and complete the final test report.					
<i>Title:</i> 40mm Counter Defilade Grenade and Fire Control System (Army)			1.150	0.842	-
<b>Description:</b> Tests and qualifies a new prototype 40mm round capable of prov personnel targets in defilade. This ammunition achieves this objective by air-bu- increasing the probability of incapacitation. Currently, U.S military does not hav Grenades. The current U.S. inventory of 40mm LV Grenade ammunition has b any improvements in functionality, capability or lethality. This ammunition must velocity rifle mounted or stand-alone Grenade launcher.	ursting the 40mm munitions over the target the ve this capability in 40mm Low-Velocity (LV) been in use for at least the past 50 years witho	ereby ut			
FY 2013 Accomplishments:					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: M	arch 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6							
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015			
Defined acquisition strategy, and sought out a non-standard ammunition group required for the Blanket Purchase Agreements (BPA) with foreign companies. contemplation letters, and awarded contract. Structured and developed procur	Completed BPA procurement package, release	sed					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Procure test articles, conduct engineering analysis/study, analyze vendor data, assessment test, write test reports, prepare decision packet and close out reported to the statement of the state		ser					
<i>Title:</i> Rapid Airfield Damage Assessment System (RADAS) (Air Force)			-	1.500	-		
<b>Description:</b> Test and evaluate a Foreign Object Damage (FOD) Detection Sy Airfield Damage Assessment System (RADAS). This system will locate, meas minutes after an attack to achieve critical repair times to launch or recover aircl Repair (ADR) Modernization Program. This system utilizes continuous scannir to provide 24/7, all weather, FOD detection, with the ability to auto-notify multip devices). The system will be evaluated for its performance against the ADR m as the ability to integrate with Geospatial Expeditionary Planning Tool (GeoExF Multiple Unidentified Explosive Ordnance (UXO) Removal System (MURS). R which has an identified and validated requirement for an automated damage as <b>FY 2014 Plans:</b>	ure, and classify airfield damage within thirty raft sorties as identified in the Airfield Damage ng tower-mounted, low-light television sensors ble agencies simultaneously (to include to mob easurement and classification requirements as PT), ground robotic vehicles, and components ADAS supports the ADR modernization progra	ile s well of the					
Procure test articles in 3Q FY 2014 for the technical evaluation phase. Install a Contingency Training Site, Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida. Develop and obtai evaluation test plans in 4Q FY 2014.							
<i>Title:</i> Minor Resource Projects			5.462	6.930	-		
<b>Description:</b> Enhanced Sniper Detection and Locating (SOCOM), Moving Targ Array Handler System Technology Insertion (Navy), Multi-Diver Heating and Ce Hot Mix Asphalt Plant (Air Force), Mine Resistant Combat Boot (Army), Multifu Joint Tactical Radio System (JTRS) Radio Frequency Amplifier (RFA) (Navy), Impact/Blunt Trauma Protection (D3) (Army), Lightweight M3A1 Recoilless Rifl Advanced Persistent Threat (APT) Detection (Navy), H-1 Crash-resistant, Ballis Gunnery Live Fire Monitoring System (MGLFMS) (Navy), Electronic Underwate Command (USSOCOM)), and Enhanced Optical and Transceiver Capability (U (USSOCOM)).	ooling System for Wet Submersibles ,Air Porta nctional Information Distribution System (MIDS Energy Absorbing Material for Improved Blunt e (Army), Computer Network Defense (CND) stic-tolerant, Fuel Cell Qualification (Navy), Mo er Navigation (United States Special Operation	ible S) : obile					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	ecretary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605130D8Z <i>I Foreign Comparative</i> <i>Testing</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P130 <i>I Foreign Comparative Testing</i>				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		[	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> The following projects finalized testing, received test articles, and co Detection and Locating, Moving Target Indication System, Thin Line Multi-Diver Heating and Cooling System for Wet Submersibles.						
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> The following projects will continue to test, receive test articles, and Asphalt Plant, Mine Resistant Combat Boot, Multifunctional Informa (JTRS) Radio Frequency Amplifier (RFA), Energy Absorbing Materi Lightweight M3A1 Recoilless Rifle, Computer Network Defense (CN Crash-resistant, Ballistic-tolerant, Fuel Cell Qualification, Mobile Gu Underwater Navigation, and Enhanced Optical and Transceiver Ca	ation Distribution System (MIDS) Joint Tactical Radio Sys ial for Improved Blunt Impact/Blunt Trauma Protection (D ND) Advanced Persistent Threat (APT) Detection (Navy) unnery Live Fire Monitoring System (MGLFMS), Electron	stem )3), , H-1				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su	btotals	15.352	12.125	-	
<ul> <li>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>Remarks</li> <li>D. Acquisition Strategy</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>E. Performance Metrics</li> <li>Since the program's inception in 1980, Office of Secretary of Defen projects have been completed to date. Of the 324 evaluations that FCT had a transition rate of 84 percent for completed projects, exc</li> </ul>	t met the sponsors' requirements, 252 led to procuremen	its worth				

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense								Date: Marc	ate: March 2014			
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support					-	a <b>m Elemen</b> 2D8Z / Sys	•	,				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	39.118	38.882	39.606	44.246	-	44.246	44.256	44.265	44.274	44.283	Continuing	Continuing
P142: Systems Engineering	34.554	34.326	30.324	34.715	-	34.715	34.364	34.345	34.292	34.080	Continuing	Continuing
P143: Program Protection	4.564	4.556	4.300	4.531	-	4.531	4.892	4.920	4.982	5.203	Continuing	Continuing
P241: Systems Engineering Research Center	0.000	-	4.982	5.000	-	5.000	5.000	5.000	5.000	5.000	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element (PE) establishes the dedicated funding line to carry out the duties as described in Title 10 US Code, Section 139, the Weapons Systems Acquisition Reform Act of 2009. The Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Systems Engineering (DASD(SE)) is the principal advisor to the Secretary of Defense, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (USD(AT&L)) and the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (ASD(R&E)) on systems engineering, development planning, and related technical fields in the Department of Defense. The DASD(SE) develops policies and guidance for (1) the use of systems engineering principles and best practices; (2) the use of systems and software engineering planning and contracting approaches to enhance reliability, availability, and maintainability on major defense acquisition programs (MDAPs); (3) the systems engineering plans (SEPs) for MDAPs including software, and systems engineering considerations in support of lifecycle management and sustainability; and (4) the inclusion of provisions relating to systems engineering and reliability in requests for proposals. The DASD(SE) reviews and approves the SEP for each MDAP and monitors and reviews the systems engineering and development planning activities of MDAPs and other defense acquisition programs as directed by the Secretary of Defense and the USD(AT&L). Based on the DASD(SE)'s continuous program engagement, the DASD(SE) advises and makes recommendations to the Secretary of Defense and the USD(AT&L). regarding systems engineering, development planning and the execution of these activities. As a member of the Defense Acquisition Board, the DASD(SE) provides independent assessments of defense acquisition program's systems engineering, technical execution, and risk. The DASD(SE) also provides input on the inclusion of systems engineering requirements as part of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council's process for joint military requirements, to include developing specific in

The DASD(SE) issues guidance to, and consults with, the Services and Agencies with respect to systems engineering in the Department, providing advocacy, oversight, and guidance to elements of the acquisition workforce responsible for systems engineering, development planning, and lifecycle management and sustainability functions and developing policies and guidance for the integration of specialty engineering functions.

The DASD(SE) periodically reviews the organizations and capabilities of the military departments with respect to systems engineering, development planning, and lifecycle management and sustainability, and identifies needed changes or improvements to such organizations and capabilities. The DASD(SE) prepares and submits an annual report to Congress on systems engineering activities and effectiveness.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 O		Date:	March 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity		R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)						
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-V	Vide I BA 6:	PE 0605142D8Z / Systems Engineering						
RDT&E Management Support								
This PE includes efforts by the office of the DASD(SE) in imp								
the critical sub discipline of systems engineering - system se								
based approach to protection of critical technology, compone								
SSE discipline fundamentals such as engineering methods,		ctices. These act	ivities will be promulgate	ed in defense acquisitic	on as a fundamental			
element of DASD(SE) systems engineering and technical re	views.							
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total			
Previous President's Budget	43.195	44.237	52.067	-	52.067			
Current President's Budget	38.882	39.606	44.246	-	44.246			
Total Adjustments	-4.313	-4.631	-7.821	-	-7.821			
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-						
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-3.023	-4.400						
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.116	-						
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-						
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-						
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-						
<ul> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> </ul>	-1.174	-						
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-7.821	-	-7.821			
• FFRDC	-	-0.231	-	-	-			

#### Change Summary Explanation

The reduction is a strategic efficiency approach to reduce funding and staffing. As a result, we provide a better alignment of funding and provide support to a smaller military force.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6					-	<b>am Elemen</b> 42D8Z / Sys	•	,	Project (Number/Name) P142 / Systems Engineering			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P142: Systems Engineering	34.554	34.326	30.324	34.715	-	34.715	34.364	34.345	34.292	34.080	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This project (142) supports the execution of the missions of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Systems Engineering (DASD(SE)) to: (1) provide flexible engineering policy, guidance, and workforce development requirements for the Department of Defense (DoD) acquisition workforce; (2) foster an acquisition environment of collaboration, teamwork, and joint ownership of program success through a proactive program oversight process, ensuring appropriate levels of systems engineering discipline are applied through all phases of the acquisition excellence. The outcome of this effort is to ensure systems engineering principles and disciplines are fully accepted and assimilated into the DoD acquisition workforce positioning the DoD for acquisition excellence and leading to a stronger national defense.

Activities include the following functions:

Program Support

• Work with program managers to prepare systems engineering plans (SEPs) to document the technical management approach.

• Conduct periodic program engagements in support of technical reviews to confirm programs are executed in accordance with the SEP.

• Review all aspects of the systems engineering process for major defense acquisition programs (MDAPs) to ensure they are adequate to support fielding and the achievement of cost and performance goals including reliability, sustainment, and other considerations.

• Participate in Systems Engineering Integrated Project Teams (IPTs), Systems Engineering Working Integrated Project Teams (WIPTs), and Systems Engineering technical reviews, especially Preliminary Design Reviews and Critical Design Reviews.

• Work with DoD Service program managers, their staffs, and other organizations, technical authorities, and oversight organizations to develop and implement technical management programs for MDAPs.

• Conceive plans and lead program support reviews and assessments of MDAP weapons systems and other programs (e.g., Major Automated Information Systems (MAIS)) to shape technical planning and management to ensure program success.

• Conduct other technical reviews as requested, e.g., Nunn-McCurdy certification reviews, Non-Advocate Reviews, focused technical assessments, and software readiness reviews to identify and mitigate program risk.

#### **Mission Assurance**

• Establish engineering policy, guidance, and workforce development to drive the development of fully capable and supportable weapons systems.

• Oversee Component implementation of engineering initiatives and conduct independent assessments.

	ecretary Of Defense	Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605142D8Z / Systems Engineering	Project (Number/N P142 / Systems Eng	gineering	
<ul> <li>Develop education and training materials for instructing, maintair to enhance Systems Planning, Research, Development and Engir progression; and (2) monitoring, and facilitating Defense Acquisition ensure the curriculum represents the education and training require</li> <li>Drive an overall improvement in weapon system reliability throug development contracting, execution and sustainment.</li> <li>Prepare and submit annual reports to Congress on the Department</li> </ul>	neering (SPRDE) and Production Quality and Manufacturin on University (DAU) updates to the systems engineering, o rements necessary to be a viable team member in the acq gh improved reliability engineering, reliability growth manage	ng (PQM) acquisition quality and software e uisition process. gement, and reliability	career plann ngineering c monitoring i	ing and ourses, to
<ul> <li>System Analysis</li> <li>Foster program protection planning methodology, system securit assessment and vulnerability mitigation.</li> <li>Guide Service and other component organizations in the develop of risk.</li> <li>Resolve long-term major systems engineering challenges such a systems engineering based technical trade off analysis and pre-pr</li> <li>Provide necessary modeling and simulation policy and guidance simulation community to identify and promulgate required capability</li> </ul>	pment planning process to ensure proposed MDAP progra as systems of systems (SoS) systems engineering, system rogram formulation stages. a, clarify the application of distributed simulation standards	ms are executable wi s engineering Compl and work with the Do	ithin accepta exity Analysi D modeling a	ble levels s, and
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		[		
		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
		<b>FY 2013</b> 34.326	<b>FY 2014</b> 30.324	<b>FY 2015</b> 34.71
<i>Title:</i> Systems Engineering Initiatives <i>Description:</i> The DASD(SE) provides objective assessments of p DoD leaders regarding DoD MDAPs and MAISs.	rogram risk to support knowledge-based decision making	34.326		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of	f Secretary Of Defense	Date:	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605142D8Z / Systems Engineering			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Strategic Thrust: Systems Engineering Capabilities Assessme</li> <li>Conducted analysis of Military Departments systems enginee</li> <li>Authored annual systems engineering Report to Congress.</li> <li>Developed and strengthened component SE organization and</li> </ul>	ring self-assessments; conducted analysis of DoD's SE capa	ability.		
Strategic Thrust: Engineering and Policy • Developed and updated core SE policy, guidance and standa Acquisition Guidebook on Systems Engineering; reviewed all a • Workforce development: Functional Lead for SPRDE, PQM a	cquisition policy for SE implications.	ense		
Strategic Thrust: Early Systems Engineering and Development • Developed policy and guidance for development planning and Services.				
• Performed early acquisition risk assessment, including pre-Mi Oversight Council processes.	lestone A (pre-MS A) engagement with Joint Requirements			
<ul> <li>Supported Services and COCOMs in pre-MS A formulation.</li> <li>Supported requirements analyses and analysis of alternatives</li> <li>Supported initial capabilities document definition and develop</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Led systems engineering research, systems of systems research improvement; developed and established best practices.</li> </ul>				
• Oversaw the Systems Engineering Research Universit Affiliat research into SE processes and techniques.	ed Research Center (UARC) and conducted University-base	d		
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Strategic Thrust: Program Support				
Continue to: • Conduct deep-dive systems engineering reviews of major def	ense acquisition programs (MDAPs) and special interest pro	grams.		
<ul> <li>Expand conduct of SE and execution risk assessments.</li> <li>Expand systems integration and development planning risk as</li> <li>Continue monitoring of programs, provide SE oversight to incl</li> </ul>		19)		
<ul> <li>and special interest programs.</li> <li>Conduct systemic analysis and process management.</li> </ul>		,		
<ul> <li>Expand root cause analysis conducted during and after Progr</li> <li>Expand detailed performance measurements and analysis.</li> </ul>	am Support Reviews (PSRs).			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary 0	Date	: March 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605142D8Z / Systems Engineering		c <b>t (Number/Name)</b> / Systems Engineering				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015			
<ul> <li>Provide decision-quality information and recommendations to Defense Ac Acquisition Boards and Information Technology Advisory Boards.</li> <li>Review MDAP Request for Proposals for critical engineering requirements</li> </ul>		Space					
<ul> <li>Strategic Thrust: Specialty Engineering</li> <li>Continue implementation of engineering policies for the integration of spectresponsibility in the acquisition process including, but not limited to, cyber st Reference (gc); software; reliability, availability, and maintainability; modeling management; and risk management.</li> <li>Conduct studies and analyses of methods, processes and tools to identify promulgate best practices and guidance for applying SE to rapid developmet.</li> <li>Assess challenges and impact and develop new guidance, best practices, implement SE for Systems of Systems.</li> </ul>	ecurity; program protection in accordance with ng and simulation; configuration management; d challenges and opportunities and develop and ent and acquisition.						
<ul> <li>Strategic Thrust: Work Force Development</li> <li>Workforce development: Functional Lead for Systems Planning, Research Quality Management (PQM), all Department non-construction engineering a</li> <li>Build an Enduring high performance engineering culture across the Depart</li> <li>Outline a Department plan for engineering workforce career development, teaching OSD acquisition Policy.</li> <li>Outline a Department plan for engineering workforce rewards and recognition of the value of systems engineering contributions acquisition systems.</li> <li>Perform outreach to services and OSD to focus Department's attention ar</li> <li>Manage DoD sponsorship of the MITRE Federally Funded Research and</li> </ul>	and assist software engineering. rtment in Systems Engineering. , focused on delivering critical Engineering conte ition. to "design and manufacturing quality" in DoD nd behavior on promoting an engineering culture	ent vs.					
<ul> <li>Strategic Thrust: Engineering and Policy</li> <li>Support Service and component implementation of updated core SE polic for SE implications.</li> <li>Provide advice and make recommendations to the Secretary of Defense a and development planning and the execution of these activities within and a to and consult with the Heads of the DoD Components with respect to systed Department of Defense.</li> <li>Provide guidance to Defense acquisition programs for developing and door management approach in the SEP throughout the program's lifecycle.</li> </ul>	and the USD(AT&L) regarding systems engineer across Defense acquisition programs. Issue gui ems engineering and development planning in th	ing dance ne					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of E	Date:	/larch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605142D8Z / Systems Engineering	Project (Number/ P142 / Systems E		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Strategic Thrust: Systems Engineering Capabilities Assessment</li> <li>Conduct analysis of Military Departments' annual systems engineering self-as capability.</li> <li>Author DoD Annual Systems Engineering Report to Congress.</li> <li>Work jointly with DT&amp;E to develop and track new measurable performance or Develop and strengthen component SE organization and capabilities.</li> <li>Periodically review the organizations and capabilities of the Military Departmetering, development planning, and lifecycle management and sustainabilito such organizations and capabilities.</li> <li>Store and analyze Performance Criteria in SEPs and Test and Evaluation Mathematics to aid SE assessments and program execution.</li> <li>Strategic Thrust: Early Systems Engineering and Development Planning</li> <li>Develop policy and guidance for development planning and early SE; oversear Perform early acquisition risk assessment including pre-MS A engagement w processes.</li> <li>Support Services and COCOMs in pre-MS A formulation.</li> <li>Support initial capabilities document definition and development.</li> </ul>	iteria. ents and Defense Agencies with respect to sys ity, and identify needed changes or improvem ester Plans (TEMPs) for MDAPs; Develop Prog e its implementation within Services.	ents		
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Strategic Thrust: Program Support</li> <li>Continue to: <ul> <li>Conduct deep-dive systems engineering reviews of major defense acquisition</li> <li>Conduct SE and execution risk assessments.</li> <li>Perform systems integration and development planning risk assessments.</li> <li>Monitor programs, providing SE oversight to include all MDAPs, Major Autom interest programs.</li> <li>Conduct systemic analysis and process management.</li> <li>Expand root cause analysis conducted during and after Program Support Reve</li> <li>Expand use of detailed performance measurements and analysis.</li> <li>Provide decision-quality information and recommendations to Defense Acquis Acquisition Boards and Information Technology Advisory Boards.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	ated Information Systems (MAIS), and specia views (PSRs).			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secret		Date: N	/larch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605142D8Z / Systems Engineering	Project (N P142 / Sy			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Strategic Thrust: Specialty Engineering</li> <li>Develop engineering and policies for the integration of specialty engine acquisition process including, but not limited to, cyber security; program reliability, availability, and maintainability; modeling and simulation; commanagement.</li> <li>Conduct studies and analyses of methods, processes and tools to ide promulgate best practices and guidance for applying SE to rapid develor.</li> <li>Assess challenges and impact and develop new guidance, best practimplement SE for Systems of Systems.</li> </ul>	n protection in accordance with Reference (gc); softwork nfiguration management; data management; and risk entify challenges and opportunities and develop and opment and acquisition.	/are;			
<ul> <li>Strategic Thrust: Work Force Development</li> <li>Workforce development: Functional Lead for Systems Planning, Rese Quality Management (PQM), all Department non-construction engineer</li> <li>Build an Enduring high performance engineering culture across the D</li> <li>Outline a Department plan for engineering workforce career development</li> <li>teaching OSD acquisition Policy.</li> <li>Outline a Department plan for engineering workforce rewards and rece</li> <li>Outline a strategy to show the value of systems engineering contribut acquisition systems.</li> <li>Perform outreach to services and OSD to focus the Department's attee</li> <li>Manage DoD sponsorship of the MITRE Federally Funded Research</li> </ul>	ring and assist software engineering. Department in Systems Engineering. nent, focused on delivering critical Engineering conte cognition. cions to "design and manufacturing quality" in DoD ention and behavior on promoting an engineering cult	nt vs.			
<ul> <li>Strategic Thrust: Engineering and Policy</li> <li>Develop and update core SE policy, guidance and standards; review</li> <li>Provide advice and make recommendations to the Secretary of Defer and development planning and the execution of these activities within a to and consult with the Heads of the DoD Components with respect to a Department of Defense.</li> <li>Provide guidance to Defense acquisition programs for developing and management approach in the SEP throughout the program's lifecycle.</li> </ul>	dance e				
<ul> <li>Strategic Thrust: Systems Engineering Capabilities Assessment</li> <li>Conduct analysis of Military Departments' systems engineering self-a</li> <li>Author DoD Annual Systems Engineering Report to Congress.</li> </ul>	ssessments; conduct analysis of DoD's SE capability	<i>ı</i> .			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office o	f Secretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0605142D8Z / Systems Engineering					
040078	PE 0605142D621 Systems Engineering	P 142 I Systems En	gineering			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
Work jointly with DT&E to develop and track new measurable						
Develop and strengthen component SE organization and capa		1				
<ul> <li>Periodically review the organizations and capabilities of the M engineering, development planning, and lifecycle management</li> </ul>						
to such organizations and capabilities.	and sustainability, and identify needed changes of improvem	ents				
<ul> <li>Store and analyze Performance Criteria in SEPs and Test and</li> </ul>	d Evaluation Master Plans (TEMPs) for MDAPs <sup>.</sup> Develop Proc	nram				
Metrics to aid SE assessments and program execution.		grann				
Strategic Thrust: Early Systems Engineering and Development						
Develop policy and guidance for development planning and experiment planning and experiment including are MS A						
<ul> <li>Perform early acquisition risk assessment including pre-MS A processes.</li> </ul>	engagement with Joint Requirements Oversight Council					
• Support Services and COCOMs in pre-MS A formulation.						
• Support requirements analyses and analysis of alternatives.						
Support initial capabilities document definition and development	ent.					
	ent. Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals 34.326	30.324	34.71		
Support initial capabilities document definition and development		totals 34.326	30.324	34.71		
Support initial capabilities document definition and developme C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)		ototals 34.326	30.324	34.71		
Support initial capabilities document definition and development     C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)     N/A		ototals 34.326	30.324	34.71		
Support initial capabilities document definition and development <u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A		ototals 34.326	30.324	34.71		
Support initial capabilities document definition and developme <u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u>		ototals 34.326	30.324	34.71		
Support initial capabilities document definition and developme C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks		ototals 34.326	30.324	34.71		
<ul> <li>Support initial capabilities document definition and development</li> <li>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>Remarks</li> <li>D. Acquisition Strategy</li> <li>N/A</li> </ul>		ototals 34.326	30.324	34.71		
Support initial capabilities document definition and developme <u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u> <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A <u>E. Performance Metrics</u>	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub					
Support initial capabilities document definition and developme C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub					
<ul> <li>Support initial capabilities document definition and development</li> <li>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A</li> <li>Remarks</li> <li>D. Acquisition Strategy N/A</li> <li>E. Performance Metrics</li> <li>Improve the Systems Engineering effectiveness of the Departm program performance through:</li> <li>Systems engineering plans (SEPs) reviewed and approved to</li> </ul>	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub nent's acquisition enterprise and provide Department leadersh o document each program's technical management approach.	nip with technical ins	ights into acq	uisition		
<ul> <li>Support initial capabilities document definition and development C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks</li> <li>D. Acquisition Strategy N/A</li> <li>E. Performance Metrics Improve the Systems Engineering effectiveness of the Departm program performance through:         <ul> <li>Systems engineering plans (SEPs) reviewed and approved to Program support reviews (PSRs) and periodic program engage</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub nent's acquisition enterprise and provide Department leadersh o document each program's technical management approach.	nip with technical ins	ights into acq	uisition		
<ul> <li>Support initial capabilities document definition and development C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy N/A E. Performance Metrics Improve the Systems Engineering effectiveness of the Departm program performance through: Systems engineering plans (SEPs) reviewed and approved to Program support reviews (PSRs) and periodic program engage accordance with the SEP. Technical reviews conducted as requested, e.g., Nunn-McCu         </li> </ul>	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub nent's acquisition enterprise and provide Department leadersh o document each program's technical management approach. gements conducted and program technical reviews supported	hip with technical ins	ights into acq s are execute	uisition d in		
<ul> <li>Support initial capabilities document definition and development C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy N/A E. Performance Metrics Improve the Systems Engineering effectiveness of the Department program performance through: Systems engineering plans (SEPs) reviewed and approved to Program support reviews (PSRs) and periodic program engage accordance with the SEP.         </li> </ul>	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub nent's acquisition enterprise and provide Department leadersh o document each program's technical management approach. gements conducted and program technical reviews supported rdy certification reviews, Non-Advocate Reviews, and focused	hip with technical ins I to confirm programs	ights into acq s are execute ents to identif	uisition d in		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense       Date: March 2014						
Appropriation/Budget Activity	Project (N	umber/Name)				
0400 / 6	PE 0605142D8Z / Systems Engineering	P142 / Sys	stems Engineering			
		1				

• A systems engineering workforce staffed, trained and certified with capable and experienced personnel.

• Improved reliability engineering, reliability growth management, and reliability monitoring in program development contracting, execution and sustainment.

• Annual reports to Congress prepared and submitted on the Department's capabilities and effectiveness in systems engineering and development planning.

• Service and other component organizations engaged and supported in the development planning process through effective policy, guidance, document reviews and program engagement to ensure proposed MDAP programs are executable within acceptable levels of risk.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense       Date: Marc										ch 2014		
				-				lumber/Name) ogram Protection				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	<u> </u>				FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P143: Program Protection	4.564	4.556	4.300	4.531	-	4.531	4.892	4.920	4.982	5.203	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Department of Defense (DoD) must address cyber security and supply chain risks to DoD networks, weapons systems and information stored and processed on both DoD and Defense Industrial Base (DIB) unclassified networks that support DoD programs. Increased reliance on the internet as a vehicle for sharing information, globalization of the supply chain, and advanced persistent threats (APTs) that can evade commercially available security tools and defeat generic security best practices, drive the need for better and smarter program protection planning and execution. Comprehensive Program Protection Planning links high level policies and practical expertise to specific acquisition practices, systems engineering activities, and risk reduction activities. Through this initiative the Department will pilot activities with the DIB to reduce risks in sharing and storing critical program information, better understand and mitigate supply chain risks, improve program protection planning, and improve and streamline program protection engineering. Activities carried out support implementation of DoD Directive 5200.44 Trusted Systems and Networks with the use of proven mitigation techniques and tools, the ongoing refinement of risk management processes, and creation of needed technology.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Program Protection	4.556	4.300	4.53 <sup>2</sup>
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Provided support to Acquisition Category (ACAT) I programs to conduct broad program protection planning.</li> <li>Conducted criticality analyses.</li> <li>Developed Program Protection Plans, and tracked progress to verify protection of critical program capabilities.</li> <li>Reviewed ACAT I Program Protection Plans and provided recommendations for their approval to Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics.</li> <li>Conducted outreach to further the implementation and understanding of system security engineering requirements and practices (courseware, guidance dissemination, mentoring of Service teams, training, and outreach).</li> <li>Collaborated in developing Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) or Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) language to implement information security on DoD contracts for protection of defense program information. Developed and implemented process for adjudicating public comments. Provided acquisition support to DIB Cyber Security program.</li> </ul>	4.550	4.300	4.00

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date	March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6		Project (Number/Name) P143 / Program Protection			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<ul> <li>Oversaw and managed the acquisition security database and tracked implem horizontal protection adjudication process. Evolved the Horizontal Protection p</li> </ul>					
<i>FY 2014 Plans:</i> Continue to:					
<ul> <li>Provide support to Acquisition Category (ACAT) I programs to conduct broad</li> <li>Conduct criticality analyses to determine system vulnerabilities.</li> <li>Develop Program Protection Plans, and track progress to verify protection of a Review ACAT I Program Protection Plans and provide recommendations for t Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics.</li> </ul>	critical program capabilities.	ır			
<ul> <li>Advance the state of the practice of systems security engineering.</li> <li>Continue development of methodology to identify and mitigate security risk.</li> <li>Courseware, guidance dissemination, mentoring of Service teams, training, and the security risk.</li> </ul>	nd outreach.				
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> Continue to:					
<ul> <li>Provide support to Acquisition Category (ACAT) I programs to conduct broad</li> <li>Conduct criticality analyses to determine system vulnerabilities.</li> <li>Develop Program Protection Plans, and track progress to verify protection of a</li> <li>Review ACAT I Program Protection Plans and provide recommendations for t Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics.</li> </ul>	critical program capabilities.	r			
<ul> <li>Advance the state of the practice of systems security engineering.</li> <li>Continue development of methodology to identify and mitigate security risk.</li> <li>Courseware, guidance dissemination, mentoring of Service teams, training, and the security risk.</li> </ul>	nd outreach.				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subt	otals 4.55	6 4.300	4.531	
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u>					

	UNGLASSIFIED			
Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Offic	e of Secretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity D400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605142D8Z / Systems Engineering	Project (Number/Name) P143 / Program Protection		
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A				
. Performance Metrics The program protection project supports activities focused c	on: (1) reducing risks in sharing and storing critical program info	ormation, (2) better understanding and		
	ion planning, and (4) improving and streamlining program prote			
	ed upon number of major acquisition programs supported with fo g acquisition policy initiatives related to program protection.	ormal assessments, program protection plans		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6				retary Or D	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)PrPE 0605142D8Z / Systems EngineeringP2					Date: March 2014 Project (Number/Name) P241 / Systems Engineering Research Center			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P241: Systems Engineering Research Center	-	-	4.982	5.000	-	5.000	5.000	5.000	5.000	5.000	Continuing	Continuin	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
budgets and a shrinking workforce talent in the nation to bear on Dol In prior years, DASD/SE has reso The additional funding, beginning DoD. This new project code, establishe for the SERC research agenda; a	D's system: purced the s in FY 2014 d within the	s engineerir SERC at \$1 4, will increa e Systems E	g research .000 million se the Depa Engineering	problems. per year fro artment's er	om P142. ngagement				research on				
			RC to take f										
effectively.	rograms (S								m to addres	s DoD nee			
	•	in Million							m to addres	s DoD nee	ds much m	ore	
effectively. B. Accomplishments/Planned Pl	arch Cente	<b>in Million</b> r earch Cente	<b>5)</b> er (SERC) is	a DoD Uni	ge of the un	iversity colla	aborators, e	nabling the	m to addres	s DoD nee	ds much m	ore FY 2015	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D		Date: N	larch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605142D8Z <i>I Systems Engineering</i>		<b>ct (Number/Name)</b> I Systems Engineering Research er			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
(1) Systems Engineering Transformation: transform systems engineering withir engineering and estimation methods to address complexity in modern systems systems responsive to changing threats and missions;		)				
(2) Enterprises and Systems of Systems: build and transform enterprises and methods with fewer unintended consequences and unforeseen risks;	systems of systems using new systems engine	eering				
(3) Trusted Systems: secure defense systems from cyber and other threats the complement incomplete current perimeter/network defense methods; and	rough systemic security approaches that					
(4) Human Capital Development: speed the professional development of stron Department and the Defense Industrial Base.	ng systems engineers and technical leaders in	the				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Continue to enhance engineering methods, processes and tools (MPTs) to imp	prove in the following areas:					
(1) Systems Engineering Transformation: transform systems engineering withir engineering and estimation methods to address complexity in modern systems systems responsive to changing threats and missions;		)				
(2) Enterprises and Systems of Systems: build and transform enterprises and methods with fewer unintended consequences and unforeseen risks;	systems of systems using new systems engine	eering				
(3) Trusted Systems: secure defense systems from cyber and other threats the complement incomplete current perimeter/network defense methods; and	rough systemic security approaches that					
(4) Human Capital Development: speed the professional development of stron Department and the Defense Industrial Base.	ng systems engineers and technical leaders in	the				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals	-	4.982	5.000	
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u>						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense Date: March 2014						
	,	•	umber/Name) tems Engineering Research			

#### D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

#### E. Performance Metrics

Develop and extend fundamental knowledge, advanced methods, processes and tools and cutting edge techniques for systems engineering of complex designs of relevance to the DoD mission.

• Generation and execution of relevant and appropriate SERC Research tasks.

• Promulgation of advanced SE approaches through research publications, presentations and monographs.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support				-	<b>am Elemen</b> 51D8Z / Stu	•		ort - OSD				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	0.000	5.901	5.837	2.665	-	2.665	3.035	3.370	3.080	2.491	Continuing	Continuing
001: Joint Service Training & Readiness System Development Program	0.000	5.901	5.837	2.665	-	2.665	3.035	3.370	3.080	2.491	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Joint Service programs were established by the Secretary of Defense to improve the training and readiness of the Active and Reserve Components. This project expedites the prototype development of new training and readiness technologies and Joint Service training and readiness systems, which improve the training and readiness effectiveness and enhance the performance of the military forces. It facilitates the sharing of training and readiness information, while allowing for the transfer of emerging and innovative technologies among the Services and private sector. In addition, this project supports OSD (P&R) and DoD training managers (OSD, Joint Staff, Unified Commands, and the Services) in promoting more efficient and effective use of training resources, increasing the effectiveness of military training, and enhancing the readiness and performance of the military forces. Projects analyze the contributions to readiness of various training techniques and programs and use the results to expedite new training concepts and procedures that increase unit effectiveness or decrease costs. Emphasis is placed on developing analytical tools and systematic methodologies to improve training resource allocations.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	6.457	5.871	6.327	-	6.327
Current President's Budget	5.901	5.837	2.665	-	2.665
Total Adjustments	-0.556	-0.034	-3.662	-	-3.662
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.556	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>Efficiency Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-	-3.662	-	-3.662
FFRDC Reduction	-	-0.034	-	-	-

bit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense			larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	earch, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: PE 0605151D8Z I Studies and Analysis Support -			
Change Summary Explanation Supports OSD (P&R) and DoD training managers (OSD, Joint Staff, I training resources, increasing the effectiveness of military training, ar contributions to readiness of various training techniques and program effectiveness or decrease costs. Emphasis is placed on developing a	nd enhancing the readiness and performance of the mines and use the results to expedite new training concept	litary forces.	Projects analy ures that incre	ze the ease unit
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Joint Service Training & Readiness System Development		5.901	5.837	2.665
<b>Description:</b> The Joint Service programs were established by the Secretary of the Active and Reserve Components. This project expedites the prototype technologies and Joint Service training and readiness systems, which improve enhance the performance of the military forces. It facilitates the sharing of the transfer of emerging and innovative technologies among the Services and OSD (P&R) and DoD training managers (OSD, Joint Staff, Unified Command effective use of training resources, increasing the effectiveness of military training the military forces. Projects analyze the contributions to readiness of variation on developing analytical tools and systematic methodologies to improve training.	e development of new training and readiness ve the training and readiness effectiveness and aining and readiness information, while allowing for d private sector. In addition, this project supports ds, and the Services) in promoting more efficient and ining, and enhancing the readiness and performance bus training techniques and programs and use the effectiveness or decrease costs. Emphasis is placed			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Continue to develop VW technology to support DoD training; a VW Framewarchitecture encompassing a number of VW applications, as well as a VW RVWF with potential to drastically reduce the Department's \$9.1B modeling are Continue to monitor and develop strategies to relieve stress on the force interaction of the analyze training requirement to support the new DoD Strategy</li> <li>Continue to identify and analyze the specific benefits of early and effective acquisition programs, particularly those with significant human systems interaction provide options for reducing force structure that will conform to be Continue to develop alternative approaches to Force Generation and Manaexpansion to meet changing world situations;</li> <li>Continue to develop and test multiple COAs to provide OASD (RA) leaders how best to engage with Services to generate future operational force training</li> </ul>	oadmap and Governance process to implement the nd simulation bill; creasing overall health of the force; for Operating in Cyberspace; incorporation of system training details into face requirements; udgetary limitations without creating a "hollow force;" gement that will include a reasonable capability for from concept to operational capability; hip with the means to make an informed decision on g and facility cost efficiencies and effectiveness;			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity       R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)         0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6:       PE 0605151D8Z I Studies and Analysis Support - OSD         RDT&E Management Support       Support						
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<ul> <li>Assess lessons learned from this period of extended hostilities to include chaprograms, etc.;</li> <li>Continue to investigate the opportunities for a continuum of service; and</li> <li>Continue the evaluation and optimization of training for sexual assault prevention.</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Continue to develop VW technology to support DoD training; a VWF which in number of VW applications, as well as a VW Roadmap and Governance proces</li> <li>Assess lessons learned on managing the force in a dynamic environment inco</li> <li>Continue to assess workforce skills and analyze training requirement to supp</li> <li>Continue to identify and analyze the specific benefits of early and effective in acquisition programs, particularly those with significant human systems interfar</li> <li>Evaluate effectiveness of SECDEF options provided for reducing force struct</li> <li>Continue to review current programs and provide options to lower or stop sui</li> <li>Implement policy changes from drug demand reduction program;</li> <li>Continue collaborative efforts to validate the performance of the commercial so fuse of these drugs in Service member samples, develop appropriate screened develop confirmation procedures;</li> <li>Update alternative approaches to Force Generation and Management;</li> <li>Continue to plan and assess training requirements for non-standard force reduction to investigate the opportunities for a continuum of service;</li> <li>Modify the Request for Forces(RFF) system and process to meet the needs of Develop a model that calculates the cost and discounted present value of alter and</li> <li>Continue to assess workforce skills and analyze training requirement to supp forminue to assess workforce skills and analyze training requirement to supp D. Continue to plan and assess training requirements for non-standard force reduced the performance of the commercient of the continue to investigate the opportunities for a continuum of service;</li> <li>Modify the Request for Forces(RFF) system and process to meet the needs of Develop a model that calculates the cost and discounted present value of alter and</li> <li>Continue to assess workforce skills and analyze training requirement to supp for the uses sworkforce skills and analyze training requirement to supp for the</li></ul>	ess to implement the VWF; Isot the DoD Strategy for Operating in Cyberspace; corporation of system training details into ce requirements; ure; cide rates; screening technology, determine the prevalence ing and confirmation cutoff concentrations, and quirements; of the COCOMs; ernative military career management paradigms; ments in the area of cultural competency training. ort DoD's expanded use of unmanned systems; ort the DoD Strategy for Operating in Cyberspace; quirements;					

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	/ Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605151D8Z <i>I Studies and Analysis Support -</i> C	DSD		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Evaluate effectiveness and impacts of options for reducing force structure;</li> <li>Assess effectiveness of studied programs to lower or stop suicide rates;</li> <li>Assess effectiveness of policy changes in the drug demand reduction progra</li> <li>Continue to investigate the opportunities for a continuum of service in a dow</li> <li>Assess effectiveness of alternative approaches for enhancing and managing</li> <li>Continue efforts to streamline credentialing and licensing of technical training civilian life; and</li> <li>Continue to investigate modeling and simulation technologies to increase training</li> </ul>	nsizing military; regionally prepared forces and organizations; g of service members to help ease transition to			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	5.901	5.837	2.665
D. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A <u>Remarks</u> <u>E. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A				
<b><u>F. Performance Metrics</u></b> Each project contained within this program contains specific metrics to determ analysis provided by the performer. The completion date for that analysis vari				

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: Marc	ch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide / BA 6:R-1 Program Element (Number/Nat PE 0605161D8Z / Nuclear Matters- F RDT&E Management Support						,	Security					
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	3.824	4.362	4.999	4.366	-	4.366	4.402	4.601	4.459	4.316	Continuing	Continuing
P161: Nuclear Matters	3.824	4.362	4.999	4.366	-	4.366	4.402	4.601	4.459	4.316	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The purpose of the Nuclear Matters program is to sustain the U.S. nuclear deterrent posture, counter nuclear threats, and to develop nuclear and conventional physical security equipment. The funds for this program are used to support research, development, test and evaluation efforts as well as studies and analyses for nuclear weapons security; use control; nuclear weapons stockpile safety, survivability and performance; countering nuclear threats and office management. Funds are also used to develop and implement plans for stockpile transformation; infrastructure analyses and assessments; DoD-NNSA Nuclear Weapons Council activities, as mandated by Title 10 USC, section 179; radiological and nuclear emergency response efforts; and management of international programs of nuclear cooperation, particularly with respect to enhancing international nuclear safety and security and office management. Nuclear Matters is also responsible for policy development and implementation for personnel reliability; nuclear weapons, nuclear command and control, and special nuclear materials security; use control; nuclear weapons transportation; physical security equipment; countering nuclear threats; and nuclear and radiological incident response.

This Program Element can fund travel to support the requirements of this program.

This appropriation will finance work, including manpower, performed by a government agency or by private individuals or organizations under a contractual or grant arrangement with the government who conduct research (systematic study directed toward fuller scientific knowledge or understanding of the subject studied), development (systematic use of the knowledge and understanding gained from research, for the production of useful materials, devices, systems, or methods, including the design and development of prototypes and processes) and test and evaluation efforts.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Of	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-W RDT&E Management Support	<i>/ide I</i> BA 6:		ement (Number/Name) / Nuclear Matters- Phys		
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	4.901	5.028	5.095	-	5.095
Current President's Budget	4.362	4.999	4.366	-	4.366
Total Adjustments	-0.539	-0.029	-0.729	-	-0.729
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-0.729	-	-0.729
• FFRDC	-	-0.029	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Program Reduction</li> </ul>	-0.539	-	-	-	-

#### Change Summary Explanation

FY 15 reduction is a strategic efficiency approach to reduce funding and staffing. As a result, we provide a better alignment of funding and provide support to a smaller military force.

FY 2013 decrease is a result of general Congressional and sequestration reductions and the Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) transfer.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity       R-1 Program         0400 / 6       PE 06051611         Security       Security						•		Project (N P161 / Nuc		,		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P161: Nuclear Matters	3.824	4.362	4.999	4.366	-	4.366	4.402	4.601	4.459	4.316	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The purpose of the Nuclear Matters program is to sustain the U.S. nuclear deterrent posture, counter nuclear threats, and to develop nuclear and conventional physical security equipment. The funds for this program are used to support research, development, test and evaluation efforts as well as studies and analyses for nuclear weapons security; use control; nuclear weapons stockpile safety, survivability and performance; countering nuclear threats and office management. Funds are also used to develop and implement plans for stockpile transformation; infrastructure analyses and assessments; DoD-NNSA Nuclear Weapons Council activities, as mandated by Title 10 USC, section 179; radiological and nuclear emergency response efforts; and management of international programs of nuclear cooperation, particularly with respect to enhancing international nuclear safety and security and office management. Nuclear Matters is also responsible for policy development and implementation for personnel reliability; nuclear weapons, nuclear command and control, and special nuclear materials security; use control; nuclear weapons transportation; physical security equipment; countering nuclear threats; and nuclear and radiological incident response.

This Program Element can fund travel to support the requirements of this program.

This appropriation will finance work, including manpower, performed by a government agency or by private individuals or organizations under a contractual or grant arrangement with the government who conduct research (systematic study directed toward fuller scientific knowledge or understanding of the subject studied), development (systematic use of the knowledge and understanding gained from research, for the production of useful materials, devices, systems, or methods, including the design and development of prototypes and processes) and test and evaluation efforts.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Nuclear Weapons Council (NWC) and Committee of Principals (CoP)	0.645	0.754	0.629
<ul> <li>Description: The Nuclear Weapons Council (NWC) is a joint Department of Defense (DoD) and Department of Energy (DOE)/ National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) organization established by Congress to facilitate cooperation and coordination between the two Departments as they fulfill their dual agency responsibilities for U.S. nuclear weapons stockpile management.</li> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:         <ul> <li>Oversaw the activities on the Congressionally mandated Joint DoD-DOE Nuclear Weapons Council and its support committees to include the Nuclear Weapons Council Standing and Safety Committee, the Compartmented Advisory Committee and the Action</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
Officer group			
FY 2014 Plans:			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Secretary Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605161D8Z <i>I Nuclear Matters- Physical</i> <i>Security</i>	Project (Number/N P161 / Nuclear Ma		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Oversee the activities on the Congressionally mandated Joint De to include the Nuclear Weapons Council Standing and Safety Cor Officer group</li> </ul>				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> - Oversee the activities on the Congressionally mandated Joint De to include the Nuclear Weapons Council Standing and Safety Cor Officer group				
Title: International Programs		0.363	0.502	0.449
<b>Description:</b> The United States also participates in several internation with foreign governments and regional defense organizations that In general, these agreements are designed to promote safety and counter-proliferation efforts. <b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b>	t involve unclassified and classified information exchanges. I security, advance stockpile stewardship and collaborate in	5		
<ul> <li>Executed confidence building programs of cooperation with inter</li> <li>Sponsored international partners at national-level nuclear weaport</li> </ul>				
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> - Execute confidence building programs of cooperation with interr - Sponsor international partners at national-level nuclear weapons				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> - Execute confidence building programs of cooperation with interr - Sponsor international partners at national-level nuclear weapons				
Title: Nuclear Surety		0.386	0.783	0.650
<b>Description:</b> Because of their political and military importance, de or unauthorized act, nuclear weapons and nuclear weapon system risks and threats inherent in their peacetime and wartime environe by Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Nuclear Matters (D/	ms require special consideration and must be protected aga ments. Oversight of the DoD nuclear surety program is prov	inst		
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> - Conducted OSD oversight and provide direction for actions take DoDD S-5210.81, "United States Nuclear Weapons Command an				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	ecretary Of Defense	Date:	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6				
<b>B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)</b> the Use of Nuclear Weapons";; DoDD 5210.42 and 5210.42-R, "Th S-5210.41-M, "Physical Security of Nuclear Weapons." - Supported activities that support nuclear surety policy and provide		FY 2013 1 and	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> - Conduct OSD oversight and provide direction for actions taken un DoDD S-5210.81, "United States Nuclear Weapons Command and the Use of Nuclear Weapons";; DoDD 5210.42 and 5210.42-R, "Th S-5210.41-M, "Physical Security of Nuclear Weapons." - Support activities that support nuclear surety policy and provide C	Control, Safety, and Security"; DoDD S-3150.7, "Controlline DoD Personnel Reliability Program'; and DoDD 52104			
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> - Conduct OSD oversight and provide direction for actions taken un DoDD S-5210.81, "United States Nuclear Weapons Command and the Use of Nuclear Weapons";; DoDD 5210.42 and 5210.42-R, "Th S-5210.41-M, "Physical Security of Nuclear Weapons." - Support activities that support nuclear surety policy and provide C	Control, Safety, and Security"; DoDD S-3150.7, "Controlline DoD Personnel Reliability Program'; and DoDD 52104			
<i>Title:</i> Stockpile Transformation <i>Description:</i> To meets its security needs and those of its allies, the for the foreseeable future. There's increased risk, absent nuclear t aging stockpile—the legacy warheads left over from the Cold War. "responsive" to technical problems in the stockpile, or to potential e weapons stockpile and supporting infrastructure, meets long-term r	esting, in assuring long-term safety and reliability of today' Today's nuclear weapons complex is not sufficiently emerging threats. The task is to ensure the U.S. nuclear		1.215	1.083
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> - Conducted life cycle activities in support of the nuclear weapons and DODI 5030.55, "DoD Procedures for Joint DoD-DOE Nuclear A - Managed DoD RDT&E activities for nuclear warheads to include a - Supported studies for warhead replacement.	Weapons Life Cycle Activities.	le"		
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> - Conduct life cycle activities in support of the nuclear weapons sto DODI 5030.55, "DoD Procedures for Joint DoD-DOE Nuclear Wea - Manage DoD RDT&E activities for nuclear warheads to include B	pons Life Cycle Activities.	and		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense						
	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015			
ities.	and					
	0.918	0.916	0.839			
nissions is to prevent proliferation and						
n DoD. al Nuclear Defense Initiative.	ummit					
oD. I Nuclear Defense Initiative.	mit					
e and Working Group.						
	5161D8Z / Nuclear Matters- Physical (150.1, "Nuclear Weapons Life Cycle" ities. (0,1), B83, W87, W88 Weapons. The Department to rebalance its policy, missions is to prevent proliferation and se strategy. mittee and Working Group. In DoD. al Nuclear Defense Initiative. ons as part of the Nuclear Security St boD. I Nuclear Defense Initiative.	Project (Number/Name)       Project (Number/I         5161D8Z I Nuclear Matters- Physical       P161 I Nuclear Mat         /       FY 2013         1150.1, "Nuclear Weapons Life Cycle" and ities.       FY 2013         (0,1), B83, W87, W88 Weapons.       0.918         ne Department to rebalance its policy, missions is to prevent proliferation and se strategy.       0.918         mittee and Working Group.       0.910         al Nuclear Defense Initiative.       ons as part of the Nuclear Security Summit         ee and Working Group.       Nuclear Defense Initiative.         NoD.       Nuclear Defense Initiative.         NoD.       Nuclear Defense Initiative.         Sa s part of the Nuclear Security Summit	5161D8Z / Nuclear Matters- Physical       P161 / Nuclear Matters         Y       FY 2013       FY 2014         S150.1, "Nuclear Weapons Life Cycle" and ities.       0.913       0.916         No.0,1), B83, W87, W88 Weapons.       0.918       0.916         Ne Department to rebalance its policy, missions is to prevent proliferation and se strategy.       0.918       0.916         Mittee and Working Group.       0.00.       0.918       0.916         Nuclear Defense Initiative.       ons as part of the Nuclear Security Summit       0.918       0.916         See and Working Group.       0.00.       0.918       0.916       0.916         See and Working Group.       0.00.       0.918       0.916       0.916         See and Working Group.       0.00.       0.00.       0.00.       0.00.       0.00.         I Nuclear Defense Initiative.       s as part of the Nuclear Security Summit       0.00.       0.00.       0.00.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605161D8Z / Nuclear Matters- Physical Security			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Develop OSD-wide approach to overseeing Global Nuclear Defe</li> </ul>	ense missions within DoD.			
Title: Nuclear Matters Support Program		0.832	0.829	0.716
<b>Description:</b> The Nuclear Matters support program conducts stud and provides funding for analytical support functions.	dies / analyses; DoD-NNSA Nuclear Weapons Council activi	ties;		
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> - Submitted annual reports to the President and the Congress. - Continued to oversee DoD/DOE relationship regarding the survi- - Continued as DoD Sigma 15 Approval Authority (Interface with E - Continued to address Freedom of Information Act and Mandator	DOE/NNSA).			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> - Submit annual reports to the President and the Congress. - Continue to oversee DoD/DOE relationship regarding the surviva - Continue as DoD Sigma 15 Approval Authority (Interface with Do - Continue to address Freedom of Information Act and Mandatory	OE/NNSA).			
FY 2015 Plans: - Submit annual reports to the President and the Congress. - Continue to oversee DoD/DOE relationship regarding the surviva - Continue as DoD Sigma 15 Approval Authority (Interface with Do - Continue to address Freedom of Information Act and Mandatory	OE/NNSA).			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subt	otals 4.362	4.999	4.366
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy N/A		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: March 2014	
	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)Project (IPE 0605161D8Z / Nuclear Matters- PhysicalP161 / NuSecurityP161 / Nu	Number/Name) Iclear Matters

#### E. Performance Metrics

Success in this area is measured by compliance with various statutes and DoD directives that govern the conduct of the affairs within the Office of DASD(Nuclear Matters). Success is also measured by the currency of information and usability of the website, timeliness and responsiveness of reports due to Congress, performance in various response exercises, and feedback from a number of senior-level government organizations that DASD(Nuclear Matters) supports.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense							Date: March 2014					
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: <i>Research, Development, Test &amp; Evaluation, Defense-Wide I</i> BA 6: <i>RDT&amp;E Management Support</i>			<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605170D8Z I Support to Networks and Information Integration									
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	8.033	5.632	6.277	27.901	-	27.901	5.243	5.350	5.543	5.879	Continuing	Continuing
002: Defense Architecture Repository	1.215	0.856	1.083	0.948	-	0.948	0.922	0.940	0.974	1.033	Continuing	Continuing
003: Integrated Planning and Management	1.933	1.638	1.781	24.027	-	24.027	1.481	1.510	1.567	1.662	Continuing	Continuing
004: PNT Navigation	4.885	3.138	3.413	2.926	-	2.926	2.840	2.900	3.002	3.184	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program element supports studies and analysis in the areas of networks, information integration, defense-wide command and control (C2), and communications. This program is funded under Budget Activity 6, RDT&E Management Support because it includes studies and analysis in support of RDT&E efforts.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	6.307	6.301	6.148	-	6.148
Current President's Budget	5.632	6.277	27.901	-	27.901
Total Adjustments	-0.675	-0.024	21.753	-	21.753
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
Congressional Adds	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>Sequestration Reduction</li> </ul>	-0.513	-	-	-	-
<ul> <li>SBIR/STTR Reduction</li> </ul>	-0.160	-	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Program Adjustment</li> </ul>	-0.002	-	-	-	-
FFRDC Reduction	-	-0.024	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Efficiency Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-	-0.747	-	-0.747
Department Increase	-	-	22.500	-	22.500

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

FY 2013: Sequestration Reduction -0.513 million, SBIR/STTR Reduction -0.160 million, Program Adjustment -0.002 million.

hibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secreta	ry Of Defense	Date: March 2014
propriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Nar	
00: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6:	PE 0605170D8Z I Support to Network	ks and Information Integration
T&E Management Support		-
FY 2014: FFRDC Reduction -0.024 million.		
FY 2015: Efficiency Reduction -0.747 million, Department increase of	classified program 22 500 million, this der	partment increase is one piece of the Departmen
increase other associated funding can be found under PE 0605170D	087 BA 4 12 5 million and PE0305199D87	' BA 7 5 million

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	chibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6				PE 060517	<b>am Elemen</b> 70D8Z / Sup n Integratior	port to Net	,	Project (N 002 / Defei		ne) cture Repos	ository		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
002: Defense Architecture Repository	1.215	0.856	1.083	0.948	-	0.948	0.922	0.940	0.974	1.033	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

DARS is the Department's enterprise registry, catalog and navigation map for enterprise architecture. It serves as the Department's primary catalog of architecture data holdings and provides users the ability to register holdings metadata and search, retrieve, and use DoD architecture data in federated architecture data repositories across DoD. DARS provides a key component of the Department's net-centric data management capability by federating enterprise architecture data across the Department. It enables alignment of program architecture components with the Federal Enterprise Architecture Business Reference Model - consistent with OMB directives for exhibit 300s - via the DoD Business Reference Model. DARS implements a federated search capability and metadata catalog that will interoperate with the Department's Net-Centric Enterprise Discovery Service and enterprise content metadata catalog. Architecture metadata is searchable using the DARS federated discovery web service. The discovery search results provide links to architecture data that is retrievable based on user roles and access permissions. Implementations are accessible on both the NIPRNET (unclassified) and SIPRNET (Collateral Classified). Key features of the DARS program focus on: (1) Making architecture data visible, accessible, trusted, understandable, and interoperable (2) enabling reuse of validated architecture data to build "composite" integrated architectures; (3) enabling architecture analysis; and, (4) integrating architecture data into the DoD mainstream decision-making processes. The Department of the Air Force, Army, and Navy CIO's are collaborating in the development of DARS federation web services via the Federated Joint Architecture Working Group under the auspices of the DoD Enterprise Architecture Summit to ensure DoD-wide access to and usability of all components of the composite DoD enterprise architecture model.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: DARS Accomplishments and Plans	0.856	1.083	0.948
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Completed the development and published DARS Requirements Document</li> <li>Continued to provide policy and guidance for the reengineering of DARS by integrating it with the Enterprise Discovery Service to provide all search, discovery, and understandability of shared architectures</li> <li>Continued enterprise-level operational support for the DoD Architecture Registry System.</li> <li>Continued to work with DoD Component to refine requirements and processes to effectively expose existing architectures for reuse.</li> <li>Continued to expand and refine DARS to accommodate registration /federation requirements.</li> <li>Integration of DARS data services into the "Core Enterprise Services".</li> </ul>			
FY 2014 Plans:			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of I	Defense	Date: March 2014						
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6		pject (Number/l 2 / Defense Arcl		lame) itecture Repository				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015				
<ul> <li>Expand and refine DARS to accommodate Registration /Federation requireme</li> <li>Integration of DARS data services into the "Core Enterprise Services".</li> <li>Support to Operational Command Agency servicing DARS.</li> <li>Develop and publish common taxonomy in support of JIE Architectures Labe</li> <li>Transition the operation, service, and maintenance of DARS to a Military Se</li> </ul>	eling and Versioning.							
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Provide policy and technical guidance for the development of DARS Web Serves Segment reporting - Develop and deliver DARS Scorecard management capability for DoD Archi	<b>c</b>	r						
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtota	ls 0.856	1.083	0.948				
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u> D. Acquisition Strategy								

N/A

#### E. Performance Metrics

DARS Performance Metrics:

- Timely development and issuance of policy, guidance, processes, and technologies to build, populate, govern, operate, and protect the Network.

- Policies developed and issued for GIG design, architecture content management, implementation, and operations.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6				<b>R-1 Progr</b> PE 060517	am Elemen 70D8Z / Sup n Integration	port to Net			umber/Nar	Date: March 2014 mber/Name) Ited Planning and Management		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
003: Integrated Planning and Management	1.933	1.638	1.781	24.027	-	24.027	1.481	1.510	1.567	1.662	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wil A. Mission Description and Bud	get Item Ju	ustification										

The Integrated Planning and Management Project encompasses the National Leadership Command Capability (NLCC) Management Office's (NMO) responsibilities for establishing overall DoD policy and oversight with respect to the capability development, interoperability, standards, and architecture for National and Nuclear Command Capabilities for our National Leadership. The NMO serves as the single point of contact within the Department for policy, long-range plans, programs and budget, integrated mission advocacy, and management of decision-maker capabilities. NMO's objective is to ensure capabilities are in place to provide complete and timely situational awareness and decision tools for senior decision-makers. Additionally, the NMO assists the DoD CIO as the Executive Agent and primary OSD advocate for the White House Military Office with oversight of a wide range of DoD command and control and communications (C3) assets and oversees the efforts of the Services and Agencies in the design, integration, and deployment of critical and sensitive C3 capabilities. Three overall areas of focus include: 1) National Senior Leader C3 Systems, Emergency Preparedness, DoD support to Civil Authorities; Continuity of Government (COG); 2) Nuclear C2, Integrated Missile Defense, Tactical Warning, Global Strike; and 3) Cyber Mission Indications and Warnings.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Integrated Planning and Management	1.638	1.781	24.027
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Continued Architecture, Testing Analysis and Systems Engineering support for more robust and capable leadership command information services and applications. Investigated concepts and initiated limited prototyping efforts for testing and developing robust, secure, mobile C3 and computing devices and services for senior leadership, for use across various scenarios and security environments.</li> <li>Specific activities for FY13 included:</li> <li>Continued Phantom Signal testing exercises in order to improve National and Nuclear voice conferencing and decision making.</li> <li>Provided oversight on Senior Leader Secure Communications Modernization efforts across the Presidential and Tier I/II Senior Leader support organizations.</li> <li>Continued to conduct legacy system root cause analysis in order to mitigate communication shortfalls in senior leader environments as well as the independent verification and validation of modernization approaches and solution sets within the NLCC SeCAN Testbed environment.</li> <li>Continued to provide oversight and engineering and integration support to the NSA Fishbowl project in order to deliver secure commercial mobile devices and solutions for senior leadership.</li> </ul>			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of	Defense	Date:	March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605170D8Z <i>I Support to Networks and</i> <i>Information Integration</i>	Project (Numbe 003 / Integrated I	,	anagement		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<ul> <li>Continued to investigate and provide oversight on the implementation of ser</li> <li>Continued to work with and provided oversight to the Navy and other organi and modernization.</li> <li>Further build-out the Defense Red Switch Network Reduction IPT and provide technologies; worked with NLCC community in modernizing the NLCC archite ensure no loss of capabilities.</li> </ul>	zations on Maritime Information Systems advised advised to a set the set of t					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Continue Architecture, Testing Analysis and Systems Engineering to ensure of validated and provide assured communications in support of senior leadership - Continue to investigate concepts and initiate limited prototyping efforts for ter computing devices and services for senior leadership, for use across various se - Continue to carry-out Phantom Signal testing and validation activities as well Senior Leader Secure Communications Modernization Implementation Stratege - Funding plans include support to maintaining a flexible and dynamic testbed infrastructure advancement validation. Other plans include finalizing NLCC m implementations, where appropriate) for IP-based end-to-end solutions.	). Isting and developing robust, secure, mobile C3 scenarios and security environments. Il as provide oversight and adjustments on the gy.	and				
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> \$22.500 Maritime Capabilities- Classified program details provided at a higher piece of this classified program additional funding can be found under PE 060 million. \$1.527 million: Continue to enhance Architecture, Testing Analysis and Systems Engineering	5170D8Z BA 4, 12.5 million and PE 0305199Da to enable leadership command information ser	3Z, 5				
<ul> <li>(LCIS) and applications are validated to provide assured communications in signal continue to instantiate the efforts for fielding robust, secure, mobile (smart pleadership, for use initially in the National Capital Region.</li> <li>Enhance the scope of Phantom Signal testing and validation activities as we Communications Modernization Implementation Strategy.</li> <li>Funding plans include support of a flexible and dynamic testbed (SeCAN) eninfrastructure advancement validation. Future plans include NLCC modernization implementations, where appropriate) for IP-based NC3.</li> </ul>	whone and tablet) devices and services for senior ell as provide oversight on the Senior Leader Se invironment for senior leader solutions and					
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	otals 1.63	8 1.781	24.027		
		I				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Sec	retary Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605170D8Z <i>I Support to Networks and</i> <i>Information Integration</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 003 I Integrated Planning and Managemen
2. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A	'	
Remarks		
0. Acquisition Strategy N/A		
<ul> <li>Performance Metrics</li> <li>Integrated Planning &amp; Management Performance Metrics:</li> <li>Continue development of the required infrastructure to support Se</li> <li>Continue development of the overarching planning for the NLCC I</li> <li>Continue policy development (DoDI) for the management of DoD I</li> </ul>	nitial Capabilities Document.	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	Defense					Date: Mar	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6					PE 060517	<b>am Elemen</b> 70D8Z / Sup n Integration	port to Net	,	<b>Project (N</b> 004 / PNT			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
004: PNT Navigation	4.885	3.138	3.413	2.926	-	2.926	2.840	2.900	3.002	3.184	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wil A. Mission Description and Bud Funding supports Global Position of Global Positioning System (GP interagency activities under the N	<b>get Item Ju</b> ing System 'S) manage	ustification (GPS) Use ment and p	r Equipmer lanning acti	vities requi	red for mee	ting JCIDs r	equirement					
B. Accomplishments/Planned P	rograms (\$	in Millions	<u>s)</u>						FY	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: PNT Navigation										3.138	3.413	2.926
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Global Positioning System (GPS) conduct DoD CIO oversight of Glo requirements. Funding also suppor Navigation, and Timing Executive - Implemented and managed the - Implemented and managed the - Implemented and managed the - Implemented the GPS Protectio Operations Plans (OPLANS) and - Implemented and managed PN Contingency Plans (CONPLANS) - Continued developing NextGen Continued developing NextGen Continued studies and program - Provided staff support, performe National Executive Committee for - Performed annual update of Na - Began drafting the 2014 Federa - Applied Navigation Warfare Cont the Joint Navigation Warfare Cent	bal Position orts the Dol Committee Internation GPS Secur on Profile ma Contingence T Navigatio in coordina interfaces Key Sundo matic analy ed research Space-Bas tional Five- al Radionavi neept of Op	ning System D's inputs in e. Funding s al Supplement Assurance rity Policy atrix from N cy Plans (CC n Warfare A tion with U with the GP own Policy ysis of active and condu sed PNT and year Plan for igation Plan erations (co	n (GPS) ma to interager supported: ent to GPS //COMSEC avigation W DNPLANS) xnnexes to I S STRATC S Wing, Joi ities involvir cted studies d for DoD C or Space-Ba (FRP) untained in I	nagement a ncy activitie Security Po Supplemer arfare Con in coordina DoDD 4650 OM nt Program ng OCX, Me s as directe IO in her ro sed Positic DODI 4650.	and plannin s under the olicy nt to GPS So accept of Ope ation with U 0.05 and all Developme GUE, and G d by DEPSI ole as co-ch oning, Navig dd undergo	g activities r National Sp ecurity Polic erations in co S STRATCO the Operatio ent Office (J GPS III contr ECDEF in hi lair of the Ex lation and The ing SD-106	equired for bace-Based onjunction v DM ons Plans (0 PDO), and a act activities is role as co cecutive Ste iming (PNT) approval pr	meeting JC Positioning vith Warfigh DPLANS) a Air Force. s o-chair of th ering Grou occess) via	g, nting nd p			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Sec	retary Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605170D8Z I Support to Networks and Information Integration	<b>Project (</b> 004 / PN	<b>Number/I</b> T Navigati	,	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Training, Equipment Validation and Material Solutions to Navigation Commanders in the scenarios defined in the CONPLANS and OPLA - Drafted DODI 4650.xx for user equipment certification in DoD	• •	ant			
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Global Positioning System (GPS) User Equipment Synchronization woversight of Global Positioning System (GPS) management and plan supporting the National Space-Based Positioning, Navigation and Tit - Manage the International Supplement to GPS Security Policy</li> <li>Manage the Information Assurance/COMSEC Supplement to GPS - Manage the GPS Security Policy</li> <li>Continue implementation of the GPS Protection Profile matrix from with Warfighting Operations Plans (OPLANS) and Contingency Plan - Manage PNT Navigation Warfare Instruction and Annexes to all the (CONPLANS) in coordination with US STRATCOM</li> <li>Continue developing NextGen interfaces with the GPS Wing, Joint Continue implementation of Red Key Sundown Policy</li> <li>Provide staff support, perform research and conduct studies as dir Executive Committee for Space-Based PNT and for DoD CIO in her</li> <li>Perform annual update of National Five-year Plan for Space-Based</li> <li>Complete drafting of the 2014 Federal Radionavigation Plan (FRP)</li> <li>Apply Navigation Warfare Concept of Operations via the Joint Nav develop Doctrine, Tactics, Techniques and Procedures, Training, Eq Warfare challenges to the Military Services and Combatant Commar OPLANS.</li> <li>Manage and implement the DoD PNT investment strategy using th solutions are developed in a synchronized fashion in JCIDs, DAS, ar</li> <li>Develop additional Instructions for public affairs, receiver certificati DoDM and expand scope to include all source PNT</li> <li>Conduct an inventory of DoD GPS receivers.</li> <li>Analyze and promote alternative PNT delivery means for inclusion</li> <li>Establish PNT Integration Working Group (PING)</li> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> </ul>	Aning activities required for meeting JCIDs requirements ming Executive Committee. Funding will support: Security Policy Navigation Warfare Concept of Operations in conjuncti (CONPLANS) in coordination with US STRATCOM e Operations Plans (OPLANS) and Contingency Plans Program Development Office (JPDO), and Air Force. ected by DEPSECDEF in his role as co-chair of the National role as co-chair of the Executive Steering Group d Positioning, Navigation and Timing (PNT) ; finalize FRP igation Warfare Center (JNWC) and US STRATCOM to uipment Validation and Material Solutions to Navigation aders in the scenarios defined in the CONPLANS and the NetCentric Operations CPM portfolio to insure PNT mand PPBE on, and security policy. Develop GPS Security Policy as	and on onal			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense	Date:	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity       R-1 Program Element         0400 / 6       PE 0605170D8Z / Superstand         Information Integration       Information Integration	pport to Networks and 004 I PNT Naviga	,	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Global Positioning System (GPS) User Equipment Synchronization with GPS space and control segmoversight of Global Positioning System (GPS) management and planning activities required for meetir supporting the National Space-Based Positioning, Navigation and Timing Executive Committee. Fund</li> <li>Manage the International Supplement to GPS Security Policy as all source PNT DoDM</li> <li>Manage the Information Assurance/COMSEC Supplement to GPS Security Policy as all source PNI</li> <li>Manage the GPS Security Policy as all source DoDM</li> <li>Continue implementation of the GPS Protection Profile matrix from Navigation Warfare Concept of with Warfighting Operations Plans (OPLANS) and Contingency Plans (CONPLANS) in coordination v</li> <li>Manage PNT Navigation Warfare Instruction and Annexes to all the Operations Plans (OPLANS) ar (CONPLANS) in coordination with US STRATCOM</li> <li>Manage NextGen interfaces with the GPS Wing, Joint Program Development Office (JPDO), and Ai implementation of Red Key Sundown Policy</li> <li>Provide staff support, perform research and conduct studies as directed by DEPSECDEF in his role Executive Committee for Space-Based PNT and for DoD CIO in her role as co-chair of the Executive Committee of National Five-year Plan for Space-Based Positioning, Navigation and Tim Begin drafting FY16 FRP</li> <li>Apply Navigation Warfare Concept of Operations via the Joint Navigation Warfare Center (JNWC) a develop Doctrine, Tactics, Techniques and Procedures, Training, Equipment Validation and Material SWarfare challenges to the Military Services and Combatant Commanders in the scenarios defined in t OPLANS.</li> <li>Manage and implement the DoD PNT investment strategy using the NetCentric Operations CPM por solutions are developed in a synchronized fashion in JCIDs, DAS, and PPBE</li> <li>Implement additional Instructions (DoDIs) for public affairs and receiver certification, and DoDM for</li> <li>Manage and promote alternative PNT delivery means for inclusion in the force structure</li></ul>	ng JCIDs requirements and ding will support: T DoDM Operations in conjunction with US STRATCOM nd Contingency Plans ir Force. Continue e as co-chair of the National Steering Group ning (PNT) and US STRATCOM to Solutions to Navigation the CONPLANS and ortfolio to insure PNT material security policy.		
Accomplishments/P	lanned Programs Subtotals 3.138	3.413	2.926
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy N/A			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: March 2014	
	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605170D8Z <i>I Support to Networks and</i> <i>Information Integration</i>	 <b>umber/Name)</b> Navigation

#### **E. Performance Metrics**

Implement and successfully manage PNT Navigation Warfare Instructions and Manuals subordinate to DoDD 4650.05 and Annexes to applicable Operations Plans (OPLANS) and Contingency Plans (CONPLANS) in coordination with the appropriate Unified Combatant Command

- Implement the recommendations of the Analysis of Alternatives for the CIO and DCIO C4IIC Global Positioning System (GPS) portfolio of Position, Navigation, and Timing (PNT) programs and activities

- Provide staff support, perform research and conduct studies as directed by the CIO and DCIO C4IIC relating to the Global Positioning System (GPS) portfolio of Position, Navigation, and Timing (PNT) programs and activities

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificat	ion: PB 20 <sup>-</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Marc	ch 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support					-	am Elemen 00D8Z / Ger	•	,	(1)				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
Total Program Element	15.014	14.172	6.466	2.855	-	2.855	2.931	3.110	3.283	3.489	Continuing	Continuing	
001: Developmental Activities	8.175	2.843	3.292	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	
002: Operations Integration	6.439	2.826	2.862	2.578	-	2.578	2.656	2.708	2.858	3.037	Continuing	Continuing	
003: Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel System	0.400	0.310	0.312	0.277	-	0.277	0.275	0.402	0.425	0.452	Continuing	Continuing	
004: Irregular Warfare	0.000	8.193	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

001: Developmental Activities provides innovative approaches to address intelligence, intelligence related capabilities, and intelligence sharing. Funding transfers to Air Force starting in FY 2015.

002: Operations Integration focuses on technologies and their applications on activities of the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence (OUSD(I)).

003: Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel System (DCIPS) provides updates to the Performance Appraisal Application (PAA) Defense Civilian Personnel Data System (DCPDS) used by Military Service Intelligence Components, Defense Security Service (DSS) and the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence to evaluate the performance of their DCIPS employees.

004: The Irregular Warfare Intelligence Support project demonstrates new concepts in support of the Theater Special Operations Commands (TSOC). Regional Special Operations Forces (SOF) deployed in small teams in distributed operations working through partners and coalitions that require new technologies, methodologies, and processes to increase the delivery and sharing of actionable intelligence.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 C	office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date	ate: March 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-V RDT&E Management Support	<i>Vide I</i> BA 6:	<b>R-1 Program El</b> PE 0605200D8Z				
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 201	5 Total
Previous President's Budget	6.601	6.504	6.625	-		6.625
Current President's Budget	14.172	6.466	2.855	-		2.855
Total Adjustments	7.571	-0.038	-3.770	-		-3.770
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-				
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-1.423	-0.038				
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-				
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	9.000	-				
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-				
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-				
<ul> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> </ul>	-	-				
<ul> <li>Departmental Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.006	-	-3.770	-		-3.770
Congressional Add Details (\$ in Millions, and Inclu	udes General Red	uctions)		ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014
Project: 004: Irregular Warfare						
Congressional Add: Irregular Warfare				-	8.193	
		C	Congressional Add Subt	otals for Project: 004	8.193	
			Congressional Add	Totals for all Projects	8.193	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification:	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	Defense					Date: Ma	rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6										c <b>t (Number/Name)</b> Developmental Activities		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
001: Developmental Activities	8.175	2.843	3.292	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will A. Mission Description and Bud This program focuses on develop intelligence, intelligence related c Eugling transfers to Air Earse bo	l <b>get Item Ju</b> omental tech apabilities,	ustification nologies, n and intellige	nethodologi			hese activiti	es provide	unique and	innovative	approache	s to address	3
Funding transfers to Air Force be B. Accomplishments/Planned P			2)						E	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<i>Title:</i> Developmental Activities	rograms (¢		21						F	2.843	3.292	FT 2015
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Leveraged technologies, assesse Enterprise.	d innovative	e capabilitie	s, and deve	loped meth	nodologies t	o support th	ie Defense	Intelligence	•			
<i>FY 2014 Plans:</i> Leverage technologies, assess in	novative ca	pabilities, a	nd develop	methodolog	gies to supp	oort the Defe	ense Intellig	ence Enter	prise.			
FY 2015 Plans: Funding transfers to Air Force beg	ginning in F`	Y 2015.										
					Accomplis	shments/Pl	anned Prog	grams Sub	totals	2.843	3.292	-
<u>C. Other Program Funding Sum</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u> <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A <u>E. Performance Metrics</u> N/A	<u>mary (\$ in</u>	<u>Millions)</u>										

	lustification:	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	)efense					Date: Mar	rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6			am Element 00D8Z / Ger			ect (Number/Name) I Operations Integration						
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
002: Operations Integration	6.439	2.826	2.862	2.578	-	2.578	2.656	2.708	2.858	3.037	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
A. Mission Description and Bu Operations Integration focuses of feasibility studies related to intel	on technolog	es for the a	pplication o								elopment, an	d
B. Accomplishments/Planned	Programs (\$	in Millions	<u>s)</u>						FY	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<i>Title:</i> Operations Integration	• ·									2.826	2.862	2.578
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> (U) Developed technology and e	evaluated con	cepts for a	oplications i	n support o	of OUSD(I).							
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> (U) Continue technology develop	oment and co	ncept evalu	uation for ap	plications i	n support of	f OUSD(I).						
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> (U) Continue technology develop	oment and co	ncept evalu	uation for ap	plications i	n support of	f OUSD(I).						
					Accomplis	hments/Pla	anned Prog	grams Sub	totals	2.826	2.862	2.578
		<u>Millions)</u>										

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense											ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6										<b>umber/Name)</b> nse Civilian Intelligence System		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
003: Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel System	0.400	0.310	0.312	0.277	-	0.277	0.275	0.402	0.425	0.452	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel System (DCIPS) was authorized by Public Law 104-201, effective 01 October 1996 and codified in 10 USC 1601-1614. It provides the Defense Intelligence Enterprise with independent civilian personnel authorities necessary to hire, develop, reward, and retain the diverse, versatile and highly qualified workforce necessary to perform the Defense intelligence mission and brings for the first time, the entire Defense Intelligence Enterprise under one personnel framework.

These funds are used to develop modifications to the Performance Appraisal Application (PAA) in the Defense Civilian Personnel Data System and to the classified Global Force Management (GFM) Defense Intelligence Organizational Server. PAA is a performance management tool used by the Military Services Intelligence Components, Defense Security Service and the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence. GFM tracks both civilian and military positions; associated grades and skill levels; and hierarchial organizational relationships.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel System (DCIPS)	0.310	0.312	0.277
<b>Description:</b> The Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel System (DCIPS) was authorized by Public Law 104-201, effective 01 October 1996 and codified in 10 USC 1601-1614. It provides the Defense Intelligence Enterprise with independent civilian personnel authorities necessary to hire, develop, reward, and retain the diverse, versatile and highly qualified workforce necessary to perform the Defense intelligence mission and brings for the first time, the entire Defense Intelligence Enterprise under one personnel framework.			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Developed modifications to the Global Force Management (GFM) Defense Intelligence Organizational Server (DIOS). These modifications included initial development for Common Access Point (CAP) Phase 1 that consists of planning documents. Also completed conversion to Electronic Messaging Version 4.			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Continue refining design enhancements to improve the effectiveness of the existing DCIPS performance management software and the Global Force Management (GFM) Defense Intelligence Organizational Server (DIOS). For GFM DIOS, will complete development for Common Access Point (CAP) Phase 1 with implementation in March 2014; will begin development of CAP			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justi	fication: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary	Of Defense					Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity       R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)       Project (Number/         0400 / 6       PE 0605200D8Z / General Support to       003 / Defense Civil         0USD(I)       Personnel System											ce
<b>B. Accomplishments/Planned Prog</b> Phase 2; and convert to the Informat thus reducing costs. Navy and Army	ion Exchange	e Data Mode	· /				•	andard	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Continue design enhancements to im Global Force Management (GFM) De as requirements are identified by the	efense Intellig	gence Orgar		•		•					
				Accon	nplishment	s/Planned P	Programs Su	ubtotals	0.310	0.312	0.27
C. Other Program Funding Summa Line Item • 0305192D8Z: Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel System	ry (\$ in Milli FY 2013 2.079	<u>ons)</u> <u>FY 2014</u> 2.000	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>Base</u> 1.903	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>OCO</u>	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>Total</u> 1.903	<u>FY 2016</u> 1.795	<b>FY 2017</b> 1.815	<b>FY 2018</b> 1.850	<b>FY 201</b> 1.79	<u>Cost To</u> 9 <u>Complete</u> 2 Continuing	Total Cos

#### Remarks

Funding will be used to develop policy, oversee implementation, assess and continuously improve the effectiveness of Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel (DCIPS) human capital programs across the Defense Intelligence Enterprise. Funding ensures the effectiveness of strategic human capital and workforce planning, and ongoing workforce management, in accordance with both good business practices and to support the effective and efficient conduct of the Defense and National Intelligence missions.

#### D. Acquisition Strategy

N/A

## E. Performance Metrics

N/A

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	ustification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	Defense					Date: Ma	rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6						am Elemen 00D8Z / Ge			ect (Number/Name) I Irregular Warfare			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
004: Irregular Warfare	-	8.193	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
<ul> <li><sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request with A. Mission Description and Budge - This program element encomparation and new concepts and doctrine in - Irregular Warfare is part of the operations, and coalition partners - The objective is the rapid experimentary of the operation of the operation</li></ul>	<b>Iget Item J</b> asses those n support of overall Depa s. Joint war	ustification activities po TSOCs. artment effo fighter need	<u>e</u> rtaining to rt to implem ds are drivin	nent best pr ig the integ	ractices and ration of the	doctrinal pi capabilities	rocesses wł s.	nich require	close sync	hronizatior	n among inte	ligence,
B. Accomplishments/Planned F				isting techni	lologies (Ilai	iuware, son	ware, analy		-	( 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<i>Title:</i> Irregular Warfare			<u>51</u>							- 2013	-	FT 2015
<b>Description:</b> The Irregular Warfa SOF deployed in small teams in o methodologies, and processes to <b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> - Developed irregular warfare inte their respective areas of operatio	distributed c increase th elligence ca	perations w le delivery a	vorking throu and sharing	ugh partnei of actionat	rs and coalit	tions that re-	quire new te	echnologies	5,			
- Demonstrated new concepts an	d their valu	e in asymm	etric operati	ons.								
					Accomplis	shments/Pl	anned Pro	grams Sub	ototals	-	-	-
								FY 2013	FY 2014	]		
Congressional Add: Irregular W	arfare							8.193	-			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> - D the TSOCs to execute phase zero						apacities in s	support of					

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Date: March 20		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 400 / 6	R-1 Program Element (Number/ PE 0605200D8Z / General Suppo OUSD(I)	Project (Number/Name) 004 / Irregular Warfare		
		FY 2013	FY 2014	
Demonstrated new concepts and their value in asymmetric operations.				
	Congressional Adds Subtotals	8.193	-	
. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)				
V/A				
Remarks				
9. Acquisition Strategy N/A				
. Performance Metrics				
N/A				

Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, T RDT&E Management Support		Inry Of Defense       Date: March 2014         R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)       PE 0605502D8Z I Small Business Innovation Research/Small Business Technology         Transfer (SBIR/STTR)       Transfer (SBIR/STTR)										
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	54.815	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
P502: SBIR	-	48.525	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
P500: STTR	-	6.290	-	_	-	_	_	_	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
The goals of the Office of the Se to stimulate technological innova federally funded R&D, and foster	ation, increas	se private se	ector comm	ercializatior	n of federal	research an	d developn					
3. Program Change Summary	(\$ in Million	<u>s)</u>		<u>FY 2013</u>	FY 201	<u> 4</u> F	Y 2015 Ba	se	<u>FY 2015 O</u>	<u>co</u>	<u>FY 2015 To</u>	tal
Previous President's Bud	get			-		-		-		-		-
Current President's Budg	et			54.815		-		-		-		-
<b>T</b> ( ) <b>A</b> () ( )				54.815		-		-		-		-
Total Adjustments												
Congressional (				-		-						
Congressional (     Congressional I	Directed Rec			-		-						
<ul> <li>Congressional (</li> <li>Congressional I</li> <li>Congressional F</li> </ul>	Directed Rec Rescissions			- -	•	- - -						
Congressional (     Congressional I     Congressional F     Congressional F     Congressional A	Directed Rec Rescissions Adds	luctions		- - -		- - -						
Congressional (     Congressional I     Congressional F     Congressional F     Congressional F     Congressional I	Directed Red Rescissions Adds Directed Tra	luctions		- - - -		- - - -						
Congressional (     Congressional I     Congressional F     Congressional F     Congressional A	Directed Rec Rescissions Adds Directed Tra gs	luctions		- - - - - 54.815		- - - - -						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project J	ustification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	Defense					Date: Ma	rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6		R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)ProjecPE 0605502D8Z I Small BusinessP502 IInnovation Research/Small BusinessTechnology Transfer (SBIR/STTR)					lumber/Na IR	me)				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P502: SBIR	-	48.525	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
<b>A. Mission Description and Bu</b> The goals of the Office of the Se to stimulate technological innova federally funded R&D, and foste <b>B. Accomplishments/Planned I</b>	ecretary of D ation, increas r participatio	efense (OS se private se n by minorit	D) Small Bu ector comm ay and disac	ercialization	n of federal	research ar	nd developn		, increase s	mall busine		
<i>Title:</i> SBIR	riogranis (		<u>&gt;</u>							48.525	- 1 2014	FT 2015
<b>Description:</b> A set-aside program <b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Represents 2.7% of the extramu The FY 2013 OSD SBIR technol Integrated Computational Materia materials and systems; (b) provid assets, and (c) developing a dura systems and component/materia Operational Energy & Power – (a Efficiency Propulsion; (d) Environ Autonomous Systems – (a) Hum Autonomous Systems; and (c) M Unmanned Aerial Propulsion Technology PE 0605502D8Z: Small Business	ral Research ogy investma als – (a) Acc ding predictiv able and dar al damage ch a) High Effici nmental Con an/Autonom lachine Reas chnology – Ir	n, Developm ent areas in relerating dis ve tools for mage tolera haracterizati ency Energ htrol System hous System soning, Pero ncreasing U	nent, Test a cluded: scovery, de more afford nce equival on y Conversio s; and (e) F us Interactic ception, and AV propuls	nd Evaluati velopment, able and ef ent for poly on; (b) Ener lexible & Ar n and Colla d Intelligenc	on (RDT&E performanc ficient struc mer-matrix gy Integrate daptive Pow aboration; (b	) budget for ce predictior tural health composites ed Design & ver Distribut	OSD. and certific manageme and similar Simulation ion Teaming of	cation of nt of militar nonmetalli ; (c) High				

0400 / 6       PE 0605502D8Z I Small Business Innovation Research/Small Business Technology Transfer (SBIR/STTR)       P502 / SBIR         B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)       FY 2013       FY 2014       FY 2015         Data to Decisions – Advanced visualization and novel interaction methods for discovery, characterization, analysis, and use of data to decisions       FY 2013       FY 2014       FY 2015         Cyber Security – Information Assurance in the Cyber Domain Technology       Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals       48.525       -         C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A       N/A       FY 2013       FY 2014       FY 2015         D. Acquisition Strategy N/A       N/A       Strategy       N/A       Strategy       N/A	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014		
Data to Decisions - Advanced visualization and novel interaction methods for discovery, characterization, analysis, and use of data to decisions       Image: Cyber Security - Information Assurance in the Cyber Domain Technology         Cyber Security - Information Assurance in the Cyber Domain Technology       48.525       -         C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)       XAccomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals       48.525       -         N/A       Remarks       D.Acquisition Strategy       N/A         E. Performance Metrics       N/A	Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6						
data to decisions	B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		[	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals 48.525 C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy N/A E. Performance Metrics N/A	Data to Decisions – Advanced visualization and novel interaction data to decisions	methods for discovery, characterization, analysis, and use	e of				
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy N/A E. Performance Metrics N/A	Cyber Security – Information Assurance in the Cyber Domain Teo	chnology					
N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy N/A E. Performance Metrics N/A		Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sul	btotals	48.525	-	-	
PE 0605502D8Z: Small Business Innovation Research/Small	N/A <u>Remarks</u> <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A <u>E. Performance Metrics</u>						
	PF 0605502D87: Small Business Innovation Research/Small						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project J	ustification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Se	cretary Of I	Defense					Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	0400 / 6						R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)ProjectPE 0605502D8Z I Small BusinessP500 IInnovation Research/Small BusinessTechnology Transfer (SBIR/STTR)					
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 201	Cost To 9 Complete	Total Cost
P500: STTR	-	6.290	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		- Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
<b>A. Mission Description and Bu</b> The goals of the Office of the Se to stimulate technological innova federally funded R&D, and foste	ecretary of D ation, increas r participatic	efense (OS se private se on by minori	D) Small Bu ector comm ty and disad	ercializatio	n of federal	research ar	nd developn					
B. Accomplishments/Planned I Title: STTR	Programs (	\$ in Million	<u>s)</u>						F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Description:</b> The STTR program institutions, such as universities, <b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Represents 0.35% of the extram The FY 2013 OSD STTR techno Materials Technology – Critical m or processing to increase recove products and recycling Information Assurance in the Cyl that missions for which the DoD incursions and even successful of	to move res ural RDT&E logy investn naterials res ry and reduc ber Domain relies on info	budget for hent areas in earch areas ce environm – Developm ormation teo	e marketpla OSD. ncluded: , including nental impact nent of technology ca	ce. substitution ct, and adva niques for e n be condu	n or reductio anced or mo ensuring true	n concepts, pre efficient st, resiliency ssfully despi	advanced of means of re	pre dressing ecovery from v, and to ass	l n sure	6.290		
					Accomplis	shments/Pl	anned Prog	grams Subt	totals	6.290	-	-
<b>C. Other Program Funding Sun</b> N/A PE 0605502D8Z: <i>Small Business</i>		·	mall									

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Off	fice of Secretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605502D8Z I Small Business Innovation Research/Small Business Technology Transfer (SBIR/STTR)	Project (Number/Name) P500 / STTR
. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)		
emarks		
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A		
. Performance Metrics N/A		
E 0605502D8Z: Small Business Innovation Research/Sm		

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Marc	ch 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te RDT&E Management Support	ch, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: agement Support PE 0605790D8Z I Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR)/Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR)											
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	1.911	1.344	1.857	1.634	-	1.634	2.183	2.276	2.416	2.611	Continuing	Continuing
P518: SBIR/Challenge Admin	1.911	1.344	1.857	1.634	-	1.634	2.183	2.276	2.416	2.611	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

(U) This Program Element (PE) provides funding for the administration of the Department of Defense (DoD) Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) Program and the Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR) Program. The SBIR/STTR Program funds over one billion dollars annually in mission oriented research and development projects via small technology companies. The purpose of the program is to stimulate technological innovation, increase private sector commercialization of Federal R&D, increase small business participation in Federally funded R&D, foster participation by minority and disadvantaged firms in technological innovation, and foster cooperative research & technology transfer between small business and research institutions. The SBIR/STTR Program is codified in 15 USC 638. The SBIR/ STTR Program competitively funds scientific and technical innovation to specifically address the needs of participating DoD components.

(U) DoD components participating in the SBIR Program include the: Army, Navy, Air Force, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), Missile Defense Agency (MDA), Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA), U.S. Special Operations Command (SOCOM), Joint Science & Technology Office for Chemical & Biological Defense (CBD), National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA), the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA), the Defense Microelectronics Activity (DMEA), the Defense Health Program (DHP) and the Office of Secretary of Defense (OSD) through the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research & Engineering. DoD components participating in the STTR Program include the: Army, Navy, Air Force, DARPA, MDA, and OSD.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	1.857	1.868	1.907	-	1.907
Current President's Budget	1.344	1.857	1.634	-	1.634
Total Adjustments	-0.513	-0.011	-0.273	-	-0.273
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>Program Adjustment</li> </ul>	-0.513	-	-	-	-
• FFRDC	-	-0.011	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Efficiency Reduction Adjustments</li> </ul>	-	-	-0.273	-	-0.273

PE 0605790D8Z: Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR)/Small Bu...

Volume 3 - 645

	stification	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	efense					Date: Mar	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6									Project (Number/Name) P518 / SBIR/Challenge Admin			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P518: SBIR/Challenge Admin	1.911	1.344	1.857	1.634	-	1.634	2.183	2.276	2.416	2.611	Continuing	Continuin
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wil	l be submitt	ed at a late	r date.									
with SBIR/STTR funds. Under Ph STTR government sources to dev B. Accomplishments/Planned P	velop the pr	ototype into	a viable pr						te sector ma		and/or non	-SBIR/
D. Accomplianmenta/i lanneu i	iograms (4		<u> </u>							2012 6	V 2014	EV 2015
<i>Title:</i> SBIR/Challenge Admin									FY	<b>2013 F</b> 1.344	<b>Y 2014</b> 1.857	<b>FY 2015</b> 1.63
-	IR/STTR Pr guidance, or istrative act sessential e dministrative R&D solicit d processe are required each progra	ograms. The versight and ivities. In ad- elements of e portions of actions and s across the for the mean m including	e DoD Offic d implement ddition to fur the SBIR/S f the DoD S receipt of pr e entire SBI asurement, the execut	ce of Small tation and t nding costs TTR Progra BIR/STTR roposal res R/STTR life evaluation, ion of two N	Business P herefore rec for program am that are i Programs in ponses; ecycle incluc and effectiv National con	rograms is f quires progra- n administra required by ncluding the ding the dev ve managen ferences ar	asked with am element tion, coordi law includir developme elopment a nent of the ad outreach	providing t (PE) nation and ng: ent of techn nd mainten Departmen to small	ical ance			

(4) Coordinate oversight, collect results, track execution and provide reporting of Phase II technology transition in the DoD SBIR Commercialization Pilot and Commercialization Readiness Program (CPP/CRP); and

(5) Prepare all reports mandated by law and policy.

PE 0605790D8Z: Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR)/Small Bu... Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Sec	retary Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605790D8Z I Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR)/Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR)		ct (Number/I I SBIR/Challe		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>(U) FY 2013 plan included program administration, coordination and managed the execution of the FY 2013 DoD SBIR/STTR budget bets (1) Coordinated and executed the administrative portions of the DoD topics, preparation of SBIR/STTR R&amp;D solicitations, and receipt of p (2) Revised the SBIR/STTR Topic Review process which enabled th (3) Maintained and modified automated processes across the entire maintenance of information systems and software required for the m Departments' SBIR/STTR Programs;</li> <li>(4) Developed a comprehensive DoD SBIR/STTR Programs Outreach goals and the objectives set forth by the Department and th (5) Reduced outreach costs and expanded target audience by leveration outreach to small businesses;</li> <li>(6) Established the DoD SBIR Commercialization Working Group (C) requirements and optimizing the standard processes for transitioning government or commercial markets;</li> <li>(7) Coordinated oversight, collected results, tracked execution and p Commercialization Readiness Program (CRP); and</li> <li>(8) Prepared all reports mandated by law and policy.</li> </ul>	ween 13 DoD Components to include: SBIR/STTR Programs including the development of tec roposal responses; Military Services to directly approve their respective to SBIR/STTR lifecycle including the development and easurement, evaluation, and effective management of the ch Guide that identifies strategies and tactics to achieve the SBIR/STTR Reauthorization Act of 2011; aging social media platforms and webinars to conduct WG) to promote best practices for meeting legislative of SBIR/STTR developed technologies within the Federal	hnical pics; ie			
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>(U) FY 2014 plan includes program administration, coordination and manage the execution of the FY 2014 DoD SBIR/STTR budget betw (1) Coordinate and execute the administrative portions of the DoD S topics, preparation of SBIR/STTR R&amp;D solicitations and receipt of pr (2) Maintain and modify automated processes across the entire SBIR of information systems and software required for the measurement, a SBIR/STTR Programs;</li> <li>(3) Improving and implementing an outreach program including the e outreach to small technology companies, potential investors in such others, to facilitate participation in the SBIR/STTR Programs</li> <li>(4) Build on the success of the DoD SBIR Commercialization Workin legislative requirements and optimizing the standard processes for S</li> </ul>	een 13 DoD Components to include: BIR/STTR Programs including the development of techn oposal responses; R/STTR lifecycle including the development and mainten- evaluation, and effective management of the Department execution of two national level conferences and increased companies, SDBs, WOSBs, institutions of higher learning g Group (CWG) to promote best practices for meeting BIR/STTR outreach activities through the development	ical ance t's d			

PE 0605790D8Z: Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR)/Small

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of	Defense	Date:	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605790D8Z <i>I Small Business</i> <i>Innovation Research (SBIR)/Small Business</i> <i>Technology Transfer (STTR)</i>	Project (Number/ P518 / SBIR/Chal		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
of an DoD SBIR/STTR Program Outreach Working Group (OWG) to increase organizations, acquisition personnel, and prime contractors; (5) Coordinate oversight, collect results, track execution and provide reporting Commercialization Readiness Program (CRP); and (6) Prepare all reports mandated by law and policy.				
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>(U) FY 2015 plan includes program administration, coordination, and execution policy guidance and oversight regarding execution of the FY 2015 DoD SBIR</li> <li>(1) Coordinate and execute the administrative portions of the DoD SBIR/STT topics, preparation of SBIR/STTR R&amp;D solicitations, and receipt of proposal results of information systems and software required for the measurement, evaluation SBIR/STTR Programs;</li> <li>(3) Improve and implement an outreach program to increase interest and facily potential investors in such companies, research organizations, acquisition personance (4) Leverage DoD SBIR/STTR Commercialization and Outreach Working Grading equirements and optimizing standard processes for improving SBIR/STTR to (4) Coordinate oversight, collect results, track execution and provide reporting Commercialization Readiness Program (CRP); and</li> <li>(5) Prepare all reports mandated by law and policy.</li> </ul>	/STTR budget between 13 Components to inclu R Programs including the development of technic esponses; ifecycle including the development and mainten in, and effective management of the Department litate participation of small technology companie rsonnel, prime contractors and others in the SBI pups to promote best practices for meeting legisle echnology transition and outreach activities;	de: ical ance ts' es, IR/		
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals 1.344	1.857	1.634
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy Not applicable for this item. E. Performance Metrics (U) Performance is in support of the administration of the program and comp PE 0605790D8Z: Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR)/Small	liance with statutory requirements.			

*Bu...* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Volume 3 - 648

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)	Project (N	lumber/Name)
0400 / 6	PE 0605790D8Z / Small Business	P518 / SB	IR/Challenge Admin
	Innovation Research (SBIR)/Small Business		
	Technology Transfer (STTR)		

(U) For PE 0605790D8Z, management and administration of the DoD SBIR/STTR Programs, the following measures have been established to meet requirements as mandated by law: 1) Coordinate and execute the administrative portions of the DoD SBIR/STTR Programs, especially the creation of the five solicitions; 2) Maintain and modify automated processes across the entire SBIR/STTR lifecycle; 3) Develop and conduct an aggressive outreach program, especially the planning and execution of one government training workshop and one small business conference; 4) Coordinate oversight, collect results, track execution and provide reporting of Phase III technology transition management and support of the DoD SBIR Commercialization Readiness Program; and 5) Prepare all reports required of the SBIR/STTR Programs as mandated by law and policy.

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificat	i <b>on:</b> PB 20 <sup>-</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te RDT&E Management Support	est & Evalua	ation, Defen	se-Wide I B	BA 6:		am Element 98D8Z / Defe			rsis			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	-	10.940	8.332	12.105	-	12.105	15.389	19.699	22.041	22.988	Continuing	Continuing
P796: Laboratory Resource Management	-	3.998	2.380	2.346	-	2.346	2.851	3.650	3.969	4.057	Continuing	Continuing
P797: Defense Technology Analysis	-	5.803	2.624	4.893	-	4.893	4.941	4.979	5.547	5.910	Continuing	Continuing
P798: Defense Support Teams	-	1.139	2.391	1.822	-	1.822	2.057	2.323	2.497	2.522	Continuing	Continuing
P579: Critical Technology Assessments	-	-	0.937	0.604	-	0.604	1.120	1.320	1.442	1.499	Continuing	Continuing
P102: Data Vulnerability Tiger Team	-	-	-	2.440	-	2.440	4.420	7.427	8.586	9.000	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (ASD(R&E)) is the principal staff advisor to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology & Logistics (USD(AT&L)) and the Secretary and Deputy Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (R&E) matters. In this capacity, the ASD(R&E) has the responsibility to conduct analyses and studies; develop policies; provide technical leadership, oversight and advice; make recommendations; and issue guidance for DoD R&E programs. Additionally, the ASD(R&E) provides technical support to the USD(AT&L) on R&E aspects of programs subject to review by the Defense Acquisition Board, to include assessments of technology maturity consistent with DoD acquisition policy. The mission of the DoD R&E program is to create, demonstrate, prototype, and apply technology that enables affordable and decisive military superiority. Pursuing the R&E mission requires attention to: (1) identification and development of new technological opportunities; (2) insertion of new technologies into warfighting systems and operations; and (3) management and evaluation of the effectiveness of technology programs. This program element (PE) provides mission support to the Office of the ASD(R&E) (OASD(R&E)) covering a wide range of studies and analysis in support of the R&E program and it impacts the Department's decision to fund Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) efforts.

The PE provides funding for the Defense Laboratory Office within the OASD(R&E)). The Defense Laboratory Office advocates and invests in the DoD laboratory system in three areas: (1) facilities and infrastructure; (2) quality of workforce; and (3) global insight of critical or strategic technologies important to the Department and the Nation.

The PE provides engineering, scientific, and analytical support to the Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research in its responsibility for direction, overall quality, and content of the science and technology (S&T) program and ensures that the technology being developed is affordable and minimizes system development risk. The Defense Technology Analysis program conducts assessments and analysis to ensure maximum utilization of research and development funds

R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense

Previous President's Budget12.056Current President's Budget10.940Total Adjustments-1.116• Congressional General Reductions-• Congressional Directed Reductions-0.849• Congressional Rescissions-0.016• Congressional Adds-• Congressional Directed Transfers-• Reprogrammings0.095• SBIR/STTR Transfer-0.341• FFRDC Adjustment-• Data Vulnerability Tiger Team-• Other Program Adjustments-0.005		efense Technology A		
connections to technology experts in various fields throughout academia, industry, and responsibilities by building teams of technology experts to conduct program technical adjustments in the development and test plan; alternate technical approaches; or new unbiased reviews and gather advice from the Nation's leading technical experts.The PE provides funding for Critical Technology Assessments within OASD(R&E). Cr of development and implementation of DoD technology security policies on internation provides an ongoing assessment and analysis of global goods and technologies; dete capabilities by potential adversaries; and determines goods and technologies being de capabilities in the future.This PE also provides funding for the Data Vulnerability Tiger Team to establish a join information losses, engaging acquisition and intelligence sources to determine consect <b>B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)FY 2013</b> <b>FY</b> <b>Congressional General Reductions</b> - 1.116 Congressional Directed Transfers Congressional Directed Transfers Reprogrammings 0.095-0.005	nical, analytica	l and management s	support, equipment and	supplies, travel, and
of development and implementation of DoD technology security policies on internation provides an ongoing assessment and analysis of global goods and technologies; detecapabilities by potential adversaries; and determines goods and technologies being de capabilities in the future. This PE also provides funding for the Data Vulnerability Tiger Team to establish a join information losses, engaging acquisition and intelligence sources to determine consect <b>B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)</b> Previous President's Budget Current President's Budget 10.940 Total Adjustments Congressional General Reductions Congressional Directed Reductions Congressional Directed Transfers Congressional Directed Transfers Reprogrammings SBIR/STTR Transfer Data Vulnerability Tiger Team Strategic Efficiency Savings Other Program Adjustments Other Program Adjustments Other Program Adjustments Other Program Adjustments Other Program Adjustments Other Program Adjustments Other Program Adjustments	d government. assessments.	The Defense Supp The teams analyze	ort Teams project support the key engineering pro	orts the directed oblem areas and offer
Information losses, engaging acquisition and intelligence sources to determine consectB. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)FY 2013FYPrevious President's Budget12.056Current President's Budget10.940Total Adjustments-1.116• Congressional General Reductions-• Congressional Directed Reductions-0.849• Congressional Rescissions-0.016• Congressional Adds-• Congressional Directed Transfers-• Reprogrammings0.095• SBIR/STTR Transfer-0.341• FFRDC Adjustment-• Data Vulnerability Tiger Team-• Other Program Adjustments-0.005	al transfers of rmines signific	defense related goo ant advances in the	ds, services, and techn development, productio	ologies. The program on, and use of military
Previous President's Budget12.056Current President's Budget10.940Total Adjustments-1.116• Congressional General Reductions-• Congressional Directed Reductions-0.849• Congressional Rescissions-0.016• Congressional Directed Transfers-• Reprogrammings0.095• SBIR/STTR Transfer-0.341• FFRDC Adjustment-• Data Vulnerability Tiger Team-• Other Program Adjustments-0.005	luences and a	ppropriate preventat	ive/mitigation actions.	
Current President's Budget10.940Total Adjustments-1.116• Congressional General Reductions-• Congressional Directed Reductions-0.849• Congressional Rescissions-0.016• Congressional Adds-• Congressional Directed Transfers-• Reprogrammings0.095• SBIR/STTR Transfer-0.341• FFRDC Adjustment-• Data Vulnerability Tiger Team-• Strategic Efficiency Savings-• Other Program Adjustments-0.005		FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Total Adjustments-1.116• Congressional General Reductions-• Congressional Directed Reductions-0.849• Congressional Rescissions-0.016• Congressional Adds-• Congressional Directed Transfers-• Reprogrammings0.095• SBIR/STTR Transfer-0.341• FFRDC Adjustment-• Data Vulnerability Tiger Team-• Strategic Efficiency Savings-• Other Program Adjustments-0.005	8.362	17.380	-	17.380
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> <li>Congressional Adds</li> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> <li>Reprogrammings</li> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> <li>Data Vulnerability Tiger Team</li> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> <li>O.005</li> </ul>	8.332	12.105	-	12.105
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> <li>Congressional Adds</li> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> <li>Reprogrammings</li> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> <li>O.095</li> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> <li>Data Vulnerability Tiger Team</li> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> <li>-0.005</li> </ul>	-0.030	-5.275	-	-5.275
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> <li>Congressional Adds</li> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> <li>Reprogrammings</li> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> <li>O.095</li> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> <li>O.341</li> <li>FFRDC Adjustment</li> <li>Data Vulnerability Tiger Team</li> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> <li>-0.005</li> </ul>	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> <li>Reprogrammings</li> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> <li>-0.341</li> <li>FFRDC Adjustment</li> <li>Data Vulnerability Tiger Team</li> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> <li>-0.005</li> </ul>	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> <li>Reprogrammings</li> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> <li>O.095</li> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> <li>O.341</li> <li>FFRDC Adjustment</li> <li>Data Vulnerability Tiger Team</li> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> <li>-0.005</li> </ul>	-			
• Reprogrammings0.095• SBIR/STTR Transfer-0.341• FFRDC Adjustment-• Data Vulnerability Tiger Team-• Strategic Efficiency Savings-• Other Program Adjustments-0.005	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer -0.341     FFRDC Adjustment -     Data Vulnerability Tiger Team -     Strategic Efficiency Savings -     Other Program Adjustments -0.005	-			
FFRDC Adjustment     Data Vulnerability Tiger Team     Strategic Efficiency Savings     Other Program Adjustments     -0.005	-			
Data Vulnerability Tiger Team     Strategic Efficiency Savings     Other Program Adjustments     -0.005	-			
Strategic Efficiency Savings     Other Program Adjustments     -0.005	-0.030	-	-	-
Other Program Adjustments -0.005	-	2.440	-	2.440
	-	-7.715	-	-7.715
	-	-	-	-
Change Summary Explanation				
The Data Vulnerability Tiger Team is a new project within the DTA PE beginnin	ig in FY 2015.			

Appropriation/Budget Activity

Date: March 2014

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Date: March 2014	
	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605798D8Z <i>I Defense Technology Analysis</i>	

The reduction is a strategic efficiency approach to reduce funding and staffing. As a result, we provide a better alignment of funding and provide support to a smaller military force.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	efense					Date: Ma	rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6							t (Number/ Tense Techn		<b>Project (N</b> P796 / Lab		<b>me)</b> esource Man	agement
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P796: Laboratory Resource Management	-	3.998	2.380	2.346	-	2.346	2.851	3.650	3.969	4.05	7 Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
A. Mission Description and Buc The Defense Laboratory Office p laboratories with approximately 6 strategies for laboratory infrastrue	rovides adv 5,000 empl	ocacy, strat	tegic plannir an annual bi	udget of mo	ore than \$20	0.000 billion						
B. Accomplishments/Planned P	rograms (S	in Million	<u>s)</u>						FY	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Defense Laboratory Office										3.998	2.380	2.346
FY 2013 Accomplishments: The ASD(R&E)/Research Director responsibilities. Areas of progress Identification and validation of D Analyzed Service and laboratory Determined alignment of Service Completed Phase I of the Unifie on the in-house defense laborator Quantified DoD laboratory infrast Completed DoD laboratory dem Initiated execution of DoD Techn Established Technology Transfe Drafted new DoD Laboratory Po	s include: epartment-v y performan e laboratory d Research ries; data is structure. ographics re nology Tran er Center of vlicy.	wide laboration ice within C investment and Engine being used eport. sfer Strateg Excellence	tory in-hous TCs. ts in their CT eering datab to assess la jic Plan.	e core tech ГCs and mi base, which aboratory to	inical compe ssion requir provides bu echnical hea	etencies (C <sup>-</sup> rements. udgetary an alth and per	TCs). Id programm formance.		ation			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of a	Secretary Of Defense		Date: M	arch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	-	•	(Number/Name) _aboratory Resource Management			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<ul> <li>Initiate execution of new Technology Transfer Center of Excelle</li> <li>Support congressional reporting requirements for laboratory Mi others.</li> </ul>		ies and				
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Collect and analyze DoD lab metrics as defined in FY 2014 ass corrective actions as needed.</li> <li>Expand the function of the Technology Transfer Center of Exce</li> </ul>		S.				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sul	btotals	3.998	2.380	2.346	
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u> <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A						
<b><u>E. Performance Metrics</u></b> The performance of the Laboratory Resource Management projective dependence of policy, plans, guidance, and process		egic plar	ning objective	es. Measure	s include	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of I Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6					R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) Proje				Date: March 2014 Dject (Number/Name) D7 I Defense Technology Analysis			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years FY 20	3 FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P797: Defense Technology Analysis	- 5.8	03 2.624	4.893	-	4.893	4.941	4.979	5.547	5.910	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
The Defense Technology Analysi Research in its responsibility for or affordable and minimizes system funds to accomplish the overall o	direction, overall qu development risk.	lity, and conte The DTA prog	ent of the so ram conduc	tience and te	echnology ( ents and ar	S&T) progra	am and ensi nsure maxir	ures that th num utilizat	e technolog ion of rese	gy being dev arch and de	veloped is velopment	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)							FY	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
Title: DoD Technology Analysis									5.803	2.624	4.893	
FY 2013 Accomplishments: Provided engineering, scientific, a Research (ODASD(R)) in: • Developing strategies, plans, an • Conducting technology analyses • Reviewing acquisition programs • Overseeing S&T issues and initi • Seeking opportunities for interder coordination to achieve goals as r	nd policies to develo s, making recomme and making recom iatives and respond epartmental and inte	and exploit to dations, and nendations to od to Congress	echnology; developing optimize ef sional speci	guidance fo fectiveness al interests;	r S&T plans of the DoD and	and progra	ıms; s;					
Provided engineering, scientific, a Research (ODASD(R)) in: • Developing strategies, plans, an • Conducting technology analyses • Reviewing acquisition programs • Overseeing S&T issues and initi • Seeking opportunities for interde	ad policies to develo s, making recomme and making recom iatives and respond epartmental and inter- necessary. malytical, and manage of policies to develo s, making recomme and making recom tiatives and respond epartmental and inter-	erial support to and exploit to dations, and nendations to d to Congress rnational coop erial support to and exploit to dations, and nendations to ng to Congress	echnology; developing optimize ef sional speci beration in h o the ODAS echnology; developing optimize ef ssional speci	guidance fo fectiveness al interests; igh priority \$ SD(R) in: guidance fo fectiveness cial interests	r S&T plans of the DoD and S&T. Cond r S&T plans of the DoD s; and	and progra investments ucted intrad and progra investments	ums; s; lepartmenta ums; s;					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	ecretary Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605798D8Z <i>I Defense Technology</i> <i>Analysis</i>		ect (Number/Name) I Defense Technology Analysis		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Provide engineering, scientific, analytical, and managerial support • Developing strategies, plans, and policies to develop and exploit • Conducting technology analyses, making recommendations, and • Reviewing acquisition programs and making recommendations to • Oversight of S&T issues and initiatives and responding to Congre • Seeking opportunities for interdepartmental and international coop	technology; developing guidance for S&T plans and programs; o optimize effectiveness of the DoD investments; essional special interests; and				
coordination to achieve goals as necessary.	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sul	btotals	5.803	2.624	4.89
<u>Remarks</u> <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A					
<b>E. Performance Metrics</b> Several indicators allow the Department to measure the success or OASD(R&E) influence on S&T program decisions serve as valuable to guide investment decisions serve as additional metrics.					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	istincation.	1 0 2013 0			R-1 Progra	a <b>m Elemen</b> 98D8Z I Def				Date: Ma lumber/Na fense Supp	me)	
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P798: Defense Support Teams	-	1.139	2.391	1.822	-	1.822	2.057	2.323	2.497	2.52	2 Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
The Department's key expertise f Research and Engineering (OAS academia, industry, and governm program technical health check-u	D(R&E)). T nent. The Do ups. The tea	he OASD(F efense Sup ams analyze	R&E) staff a port Teams e the key en	ugments th project sup ngineering p	eir responsi pports the d problem area	bilities throu irected resp as and offer	ugh connect onsibilities adjustmen	tions to tech by building ts in the de	nnology exp teams of te velopment	perts in var chnology e and test pla	ous fields th experts to co ans; alternate	roughout nduct e technical
approaches; or new technologies	that could e	enable succ	cessful deve	elopment.	The teams p	orovide unbi	ased review	s and gath	er advice fr	om the Na	ion s leading	y technicai
				elopment.	The teams p	rovide unbi	ased review	s and gath			FY 2014	FY 2015
approaches; or new technologies experts.				elopment.	The teams p	provide unbi	ased review	is and gath				-
approaches; or new technologies experts. B. Accomplishments/Planned P Title: Defense Support Teams FY 2013 Accomplishments: Established support teams and co acquisition programs and efforts, program managers. Assessed the	Programs (\$ onducted teo reviewed in	<u>in Millions</u> chnology ar technical d	<u>s)</u> nalyses to si letail the res	upport R&E	E program in ogram issues	vestment d	ecisions. F	or selected	F	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
approaches; or new technologies experts. <b>B. Accomplishments/Planned P</b> <i>Title:</i> Defense Support Teams <i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> Established support teams and co	Programs (\$ onducted teo reviewed in e maturity o duct technolo review in teo	<u>in Millions</u> chnology ar technical d f technolog ogy analyse chnical deta	<u>s)</u> halyses to si letail the res ies as candi es to suppor ail the respe	upport R&E pective pro idates for tr rt R&E prog ctive progra	E program in ogram issues ransition to a gram investr am issues a	vestment d s and offere acquisition p nent decision nd offer tec	ecisions. F ed technical programs. pns. For se hnical solut	or selected solutions to	<b>F1</b>	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
approaches; or new technologies experts. <b>B. Accomplishments/Planned P</b> <i>Title:</i> Defense Support Teams <i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> Established support teams and co acquisition programs and efforts, program managers. Assessed the <i>FY 2014 Plans:</i> Establish support teams and conc acquisition programs and efforts,	Programs (\$ onducted teo reviewed in e maturity o duct technologi f technologi duct technologi duct technologi	chnology ar technical d f technolog ogy analyse chnical deta es that are ogy analyse chnical deta	s) halyses to si letail the res ies as candi es to suppor ail the respe candidates es to suppor ail the respe	upport R&E spective pro- idates for tr rt R&E prog ctive progra for transition rt R&E prog ctive progra	e program in ogram issues ransition to a gram investr am issues a on to acquisi gram investr am issues a	vestment d s and offere acquisition p ment decision nd offer tec tion program ment decision nd offer tec	ecisions. F ed technical programs. ons. For se hnical solut ms. ons. For se hnical solut	or selected solutions to lected ions to prog	gram	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	bit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense							
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605798D8Z <i>I Defense Technology</i> <i>Analysis</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P798 <i>I Defense Support Teams</i>						
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)								
<u>Remarks</u>								
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A								
E. Performance Metrics								
Several indicators allow the Department to measure the success of introspections as evidenced by completed support teams and OAS The establishment and outputs of Defense Support Teams are ad technology (S&T) program to guide investment decisions serve as	SD(R&E) influence on acquisition decisions serve as valu ditional indicators of program metrics. Feedback into the	able indicators of the program's effectiveness.						

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	ustification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	)efense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6							Element (Number/Name)Project (Number/Name)8Z I Defense TechnologyP579 I Critical Technology Assessment				sments	
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	Total Cost		
P579: Critical Technology Assessments	-	-	0.937	0.604	-	0.604	1.120	1.320	1.442	1.499	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### Note

This effort was realigned from PE 0605110D8Z USD(A&T) Critical Technology Support to PE 0605798D8Z Defense Technology Analysis, P579 Critical Technology Assessments beginning in FY 2014.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Critical Technology Assessments provide the technical reference guidance in support of development and implementation of DoD technology security policies on international transfers of defense related goods, services, and technologies. The export control program provides an ongoing assessment and analysis of global goods and technologies. Determines significant advances in the development, production, and use of military capabilities by potential adversaries. Determines goods and technologies being developed worldwide with potential to significantly enhance or degrade U.S. military capabilities in the future. Identified in the Export Administration Act of 1979 and extended by Presidential Executive Order to review militarily critical goods and technologies and to consider worldwide technology capabilities. The Militarily Critical Technologies List (MCTL) is a congressionally mandated source document for identification of leading edge and current technologies monitored worldwide for national security, nonproliferation control of weapons of mass destruction, and advanced conventional weapons.

#### Specific activities include:

- Monitor and assess dual-use and military technologies worldwide.
- Assist in the development of proposals for negotiation in various multilateral export control regimes.
- Provide limited worldwide technology capability assessments for the MCTL and other U.S. international critical technologies efforts.
- Identify and determine technical parameters for proposals for international control of weapons of mass destruction.
- Identify foreign technologies of interest to the DoD and opportunities for international cooperative research and development.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Critical Technology Assessments	-	0.937	0.604
<b>Description:</b> Critical Technology Assessments provide the technical reference guidance in support of development and implementation of DoD technology security policies on international transfers of defense related goods, services, and technologies. The export control program provides an ongoing assessment and analysis of global goods and technologies. Determines significant advances in the development, production, and use of military capabilities by potential adversaries. Determines goods and technologies being developed worldwide with potential to significantly enhance or degrade U.S. military			

	ication: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary	Of Defense					Date: Ma	arch 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6					05798D8Z /	nent (Numb Defense Tec	,		Project (Number/Name) P579 / Critical Technology Assessi					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Prog	rams (\$ in I	<u>/lillions)</u>						F	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015			
capabilities in the future. Identified in to review militarily critical goods and to Technologies List (MCTL) is a congre- technologies monitored worldwide for conventional weapons. The Office of a study to investigate the desirability to a broader purpose that would supp rigorous examination of information s	echnologies ssionally ma national sec the Assistar of changing ort the broad	and to cons andated sour curity, nonpro- nt Secretary the export-co der OASD(R	ider worldwi rce documer oliferation co of Defense to ontrol-based &E) mission	de technolog at for identific ontrol of wea for Research purpose of . The study	gy capabilitie cation of lead pons of mass and Engine the critical te has reporte	es. The Milita ding edge an s destruction eering (OASE echnology as d out, recomi	arily Critical d current a, and advan 9(R&E)) spor sessment pr mending a m	nsored ogram						
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> - Maintain technical interface to export - Maintain interface with user commu					5.									
FY 2015 Plans: - Maintain technical interface to expon- - Maintain interface with user commu-					5.									
				Accon	nplishment	s/Planned P	rograms Su	btotals	-	0.937	0.604			
C. Other Program Funding Summa	ry (\$ in Milli	ons <u>)</u>												
Line Item	FY 2013	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>Base</u>	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>OCO</u>	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>Total</u>	<u>FY 2016</u>	<u>FY 2017</u>	<u>FY 2018</u>	<u>FY 2019</u>	•	Total Cos			
• PE 0605110D8Z, P110: USD(A&T) Critical Technology Support <u>Remarks</u>	0.669 05110D8Z U	- ISD(A&T) Cr	- ritical Techno	- ology Suppo	- rt to PE 060	- 5798D8Z De	- fense Techn	- lology Anal	- ysis, P579 (		g Continuing nology			
This effort was realigned from PE 06 Assessments beginning in FY 2014.														
This effort was realigned from PE 06 Assessments beginning in FY 2014. <b>D. Acquisition Strategy</b> N/A														

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	ustification	: PB 2015 (	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	)efense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
							Project (N P102 / Dat		ne) lity Tiger Te	am		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P102: Data Vulnerability Tiger Team	-	-	-	2.440	-	2.440	4.420	7.427	8.586	9.000	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

To date, most of the DOD technical information resides on unclassified networks that must take into account the risk of being targeted for cyber espionage campaigns. Protecting DoD unclassified controlled technical information is a high priority for the Department and is critical to preserving intellectual property and competitive capabilities of our national industrial base. To maintain full confidence in our systems we must also assess the effect the loss of this information has on our warfighting capabilities. DoD contractors who produce or access controlled technical information must incorporate security standards on their networks, and report cyber-intrusion incidents that result in the loss of this information. These requirements are important, but insufficient in the face of a determined adversary. We must take steps to understand the loss and rethink how we safeguard our capabilities. This information, while unclassified, includes data and intellectual property concerning defense systems requirements, concepts of operations, technologies, designs, engineering, systems production and component manufacturing.

This project supports protection of unclassified controlled technical information and analysis of losses to determine consequences and appropriate requirements, acquisition, programmatic, and strategic courses of action.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Data Vulnerability Tiger Team	-	-	2.440
<b>Description:</b> The Data Vulnerability Assessment and Analysis project will establish a joint analysis capability to conduct comprehensive assessments of unclassified information losses, engaging acquisition and intelligence sources to determine consequences and appropriate preventative/mitigation actions.			
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> Establish an initial joint analysis capability, and provide support for 1-3 net loss assessment cases. Each case will consist of an integrated blue and red assessment of compromised unclassified controlled technical information with an end product that contains a comprehensive net assessment of technical data losses for each case. The net assessment will also determine the consequences of losses and implications to directly inform requirements, acquisition, programmatic, and strategic courses of action. Additional protection mechanisms will also be provided to inform program protection planning activities for capabilities affected by this loss of information.			
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	-	-	2.440

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Sec	cretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605798D8Z <i>I Defense Technology</i> <i>Analysis</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P102 <i>I Data Vulnerability Tiger Team</i>
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A		
Remarks		
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A		
<u>E. Performance Metrics</u> The DVTT metric is the number of completed cases.		
= 0605798D87: Defense Technology Analysis	UNCLASSIFIED	

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificati	i <b>on:</b> PB 20 <sup>2</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te RDT&E Management Support	est & Evalua	tion, Defen	se-Wide I E	3A 6:		am Element 04D8Z / Dev	•	,	ation			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	18.389	19.116	19.394	15.187	-	15.187	14.924	14.660	14.397	14.133	Continuing	Continuing
P804: Development Test & Evaluation	18.389	17.716	19.394	15.187	-	15.187	14.924	14.660	14.397	14.133	Continuing	Continuing
P806: Energy	0.000	1.400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element (PE) establishes the dedicated funding line to carry out the duties as described in Title 10 US Code, Section 139, the Weapons Systems Acquisition Reform Act of 2009. The Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Development Test and Evaluation (DASD(DT&E)) is the principal advisor to the Secretary of Defense, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (USD(AT&L)) and the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (ASD(R&E)) on Development Test and Evaluation (DT&E) in the Department of Defense (DoD).

The Development Test and Evaluation (DT&E) program element is budgeted in the Research Development Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) budget activity to support and improve the DT&E efforts of Major Defense Acquisition Program (MDAP), Major Automated Information System (MAIS), and other Special Interest (SI) acquisition programs designated by Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (USD(AT&L)); as they progress through the acquisition/development lifecycle; assess the DT&E capabilities of the Military Departments and Department of Defense (DoD) Components; oversee the Test and Evaluation (T&E) career field of the defense acquisition workforce; develop policy and guidance for the conduct of DT&E within the DoD; and prepare the annual DT&E report to Congress.

The Department of Operational Energy Plans and Programs (DOEPP) Project 806 is funded within this program element for technical analysis and policy guidance for the DoD operational energy programs and initiatives, including institutionalizing energy in DoD's business processes (e.g., Fully Burdened Cost of Fuel and the Energy Efficiency Key Performance Parameters (KPPs)).

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Of	fice of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-W RDT&E Management Support	/ide / BA 6:		ement (Number/Name) I Development Test & I		
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	15.110	15.451	16.091	-	16.091
Current President's Budget	19.116	19.394	15.187	-	15.187
Total Adjustments	4.006	3.943	-0.904	-	-0.904
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-1.612	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.026	-			
Congressional Adds	5.000	4.000			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	1.200	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.547	-			
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Savings</li> </ul>	-	-	-0.904	-	-0.904
FFRDC Adjustments	-	-0.057	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.009	-	-	-	-

#### Change Summary Explanation

The reduction is a strategic efficiency approach to reduce funding and staffing. As a result, we provide a better alignment of funding and provide support to a smaller military force.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	ustification	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	efense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6						am Elemen 04D8Z / Dev	•	,	Project (N P804 / Dev	ation		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P804: Development Test & Evaluation	18.389	17.716	19.394	15.187	-	15.187	14.924	14.660	14.397	14.133	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This project provides for the assessment of the Developmental Test and Evaluation (DT&E) efforts of each Major Defense Acquisition Program (MDAP), Major Automated Information System (MAIS), and Special Interest (SI) acquisition programs as designated by USD(AT&L). It also provides for the assessment of DT&E capabilities of the Military Departments and Department of Defense (DoD) Components, oversees the Test & Evaluation (T&E) career field of the defense acquisition workforce, develops policy and guidance for the conduct of DT&E within DoD, and produces the annual DT&E report to Congress. Specific activities include the following:

- Work with MDAP/MAIS/SI Program Managers to develop a comprehensive DT&E strategy that supports acquisition decision milestones. Ensure that the test strategy beginning at Milestone A, is documented in the Test and Evaluation Master Plans (TEMPs). The Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (DASD) DT&E also approves or disapproves the developmental test and evaluation plans in the TEMPs.

- Coordinate with the Director of Systems Engineering (SE) to ensure that the DT&E activities of the DoD are fully integrated into, and consistent with, the systems engineering and development planning processes of the Department.

- Provide formal DT&E Assessments prior to major milestone decisions to inform the acquisition decision-makers on the readiness of programs to release the Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) Request For Proposal (RFP) (pre MS B) and begin production (MS C) with the goal of reducing discovery of performance issues later in the acquisition cycle.

- Develop policy and guidance to ensure efficient and effective DT&E across DoD, including policy and guidance for developmental testing of interoperability and information assurance in coordination with the Joint Staff and DoD Chief Information Officer (CIO).

- Provide DT&E assessments in support of Nunn-McCurdy certification review teams, and the Director, Performance Assessment and Root Cause Analysis (PARCA).

- Review the organizations and capabilities of the military departments with respect to developmental test and evaluation and identify needed changes or improvements to such organizations and capabilities, and provide input regarding needed changes or improvements for the test and evaluation strategic plan developed by Test Resource Management Center (TRMC).

-As the T&E Functional Leader, establish, oversee, and maintain the education, training and experience requirements including competencies and certification standards to enhance T&E acquisition workforce. Monitor and facilitate Defense Acquisition University (DAU) updates of T&E courses to ensure the curriculum supports the certification standards and provides the appropriate education and training.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605804D8Z <i>I Development Test</i> & <i>Evaluation</i>	Project (Number/N P804 / Developmer	,	luation
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<i>Title:</i> Developmental Test and Evaluation		17.716	19.394	15.187
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>-Worked with Major Defense Acquisition Program (MDAP), Major Automated In (SI) Program Managers to develop comprehensive Development Test &amp; Evaluated development and acquisition. Reviewed and approved all Test and Evaluation acquisition reviews.</li> <li>-Developed the DT&amp;E portion of the Joint Annual Report to Congress that provassesses the Test &amp; Evaluation (T&amp;E) workforce.</li> <li>-Refined DT&amp;E policies and methodologies addressing DT&amp;E across all MDAP</li> <li>-Published formal DT&amp;E Assessments in support of Milestone B, Milestone C a</li> <li>-Provided data-based assessments of system performance in support of all sch</li> <li>-Sustained the Scientific Test &amp; Analysis Techniques Center of Excellence (STA)</li> <li>-Promoted the application of sound DT&amp;E and related technical disciplines acroprograms.</li> </ul>	ation (DT&E) strategies to support capability Master Plans (TEMPs) submitted to support ides an assessment of MDAP DT&E progress , MAIS and SI programs. Ind Operational Test decision processes. neduled Defense Acquisition Board decisions AT COE).	major s and		
<ul> <li>-Work with MDAP/MAIS/SI Program Managers, Chief Developmental Testers, a planning through the development of disciplined Evaluation Framework Matrixes Techniques (STAT).</li> <li>-Implement the DASD(DT&amp;E) 'Shift Left' philosophy that focuses on ensuring T releasing EMD RFPs and increasing the amount of data available to support pr CyberSecurity and interoperability.</li> <li>-Work with MDAP/MAIS Program Managers to develop comprehensive DT&amp;E s acquisition.</li> <li>-Review and approve all TEMPs submitted to support major acquisition reviews</li> <li>-Develop the DT&amp;E Annual Report to Congress that provides an assessment o workforce.</li> <li>-Refine DT&amp;E policies and methodologies addressing DT&amp;E across all MDAP, Publish data-based DT&amp;E assessments of system performance for all MDAP a Defense Acquisition Board (DAB) decisions for each major milestone.</li> <li>-Review and approve all TEMPs submitted to support major acquisition reviews</li> </ul>	es and the use of Scientific Test and Analysis &E strategies are developed in advance of roduction decisions with specific focus on strategies to support capability development a s for MDAPs. f MDAP DT&E progress and assesses the T& MAIS and SI programs. and MAIS programs in support of scheduled	and		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secret	ary Of Defense		Date: M	larch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605804D8Z <i>I Development Test &amp;</i> <i>Evaluation</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P804 <i>I Development Test &amp; Evaluation</i>				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
-Promote the application of sound DT&E and related technical disciplin programs.	es across the Department's acquisition community and	t				
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>-Work with MDAP/MAIS/SI Program Managers, Chief Developmental T planning through the development of disciplined Evaluation Framework Techniques (STAT).</li> <li>-Implement the DASD(DT&amp;E) 'Shift Left' philosophy that focuses on enereleasing EMD RFPs and increasing the amount of data available to su CyberSecurity and interoperability.</li> <li>-Work with MDAP/MAIS Program Managers to develop comprehensive acquisition.</li> <li>-Review and approve all TEMPs submitted to support major acquisition -Develop the DT&amp;E Annual Report to Congress that provides an asses workforce.</li> <li>-Refine DT&amp;E policies and methodologies addressing DT&amp;E across all -Publish data-based DT&amp;E assessments of system performance for all Defense Acquisition Board (DAB) decisions for each major milestone.</li> <li>-Review and approve all TEMPs submitted to support major acquisition.</li> </ul>	Matrixes and the use of Scientific Test and Analysis suring T&E strategies are developed in advance of apport production decisions with specific focus on T&E strategies to support capability development an a reviews for MDAPs. sment of MDAP DT&E progress and assesses the T& MDAP, MAIS and SI programs. MDAP and MAIS programs in support of scheduled a reviews for MDAPs.	nd				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals	17.716	19.394	15.187	
<ul> <li>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A</li> <li>Remarks</li> <li>D. Acquisition Strategy N/A</li> <li>E. Performance Metrics <ul> <li>Engaged and conducted oversight on all AT&amp;L-designated MDAP, M.</li> <li>Advised at Defense Acquisition Board (DABs), Overarching Integrate</li> <li>Reviewed and approved Test and Evaluation Master Plans (TEMPs)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	d Product Teams (OIPTs), and Nunn-McCurdy Review		ams.			
PE 0605804D87: Development Test & Evaluation	UNCLASSIFIED					

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of	of Secretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605804D8Z <i>I Development Test &amp;</i> <i>Evaluation</i>	Project (Number/Name) P804 / Development Test & Evaluation
<ul> <li>Prepared formal DT&amp;E assessments to inform Acquisition de</li> </ul>	cision makers of readiness for production.	, ,
<ul> <li>Implemented the education requirements for the T&amp;E career</li> </ul>	field to require a hard science degree to support the T&E of	increasingly more complex systems.
<ul> <li>Participated in the development of a major revision to the Do</li> </ul>	DI 5000.02.	
<ul> <li>Supported OSD led Peer Reviews.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Refined a DT&amp;E cybersecurity strategy composed of four are (enclosed and distributed ranges).</li> </ul>	eas: process (policy and guidance), methodology (best test p	ractices), workforce training, and infrastructure
Sustained Scientific Test & Analysis Techniques Center of E	xcellence (STAT COE) through Fiscal Year 2014.	
Planned and executed pilot events to focus on cybersecurity	test infrastructure gaps and to examine different test method	dologies.
		-

Appropriation/Budget Activity					1								
0400 / 6					PE 0605804D8Z / Development Test & P80 Evaluation					roject (Number/Name) 806 / Energy			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
P806: Energy	-	1.400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuin	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wi	ill be submit	ted at a late	r date.										
<u>Vote</u> The Hybrid Energy Storage Mod A. Mission Description and Bud This project, co-sponsored by AS capability to enhance fuel efficier Army and USMC battlefield gene	<b>dget Item Ju</b> SD(R&E) and ncy, maximiz	u <b>stification</b> d ASD(OEF ze performa	PP), address ince and rel	ses advanc liability, and	ed technolo I enable futu	gy developr ıre high pov	ver weapon	s and sense	or systems	on legacy a	and next gen		
of these areas energy storage sy of fuel and material, increases pl naintenance events. Once dem with the Advanced Management Projects Agency – Energy (ARPA Deyond the hybrid storage modu	latform and volution is and Protect Ambulant Amb	vehicle abili s complete, tion of Energ D technolog	ty to sustair this technol gy-storage	n operations logy will be Devices (Al	s during eng further sust MPED) prog	agement, a ained by the	ind reduce i e Services.	non missior In collabor	a capable ar ation, this p Advanced	nd rogram is c			
seyona me nyona storage modu	ile baseline o	design confi			itially extend			mance ben	efits and sa	fety for the	se applicatio	ons	
		C	gurations.		itially extend			mance ben		-	se applicatio	ons FY 2015	
B. Accomplishments/Planned F Title: Hybrid Energy Storage Mod Description: This project, co-spo	<b>Programs (\$</b> dule Program	<b>in Millions</b> m	gurations. <u>s)</u>			d the operat	ional perfor		FY	-			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense

Date: March 2014

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0605804D8Z / Development Test & Evaluation	-	<b>ct (Number/I</b> I Energy	Name)	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> -Tactical Track: Efforts ongoing by Acumentrics Inc to develop the Hybrid Ener demonstrations showed successful operation coordinating multiple power sour -Aircraft Track: Source selection for the Aircraft demonstration unit was comple demonstration unit will improve three key characteristics of the MEA: electrical system performance for all flight conditions, including possible weight and volu examined for system integration. -Large Power Track: Source selection for the Large Power demonstrators was efforts will develop and demonstrate energy storage system technologies capa integrated power sources for large platforms such as ships. ARPA-e AMPED to -HESM System Analysis: Modeling and HESM system operational analysis is o and quantifying benefits.	ces. eted and contracting effort was initiated. The power quality, component lifespan, and overa me savings. ARPA-e AMPED technology is be completed and contracting effort was initiated ible of supporting continuous transient loads w echnology is being examined for system integr	eing . The <i>v</i> ith ration.			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	totals	1.400	-	-
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy N/A. E. Performance Metrics					
Transition of HESM demonstration unit.					

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iter	xhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense					Date: March 2014						
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support			A 6:	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0606100D8Z <i>I Budget and Program Assessments</i>								
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>						Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	10.351	4.221	4.068	4.100	-	4.100	4.150	4.200	4.250	4.300	Continuing	Continuing
101: Budget and Program Assessments	10.351	4.221	4.068	4.100	-	4.100	4.150	4.200	4.250	4.300	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program supports the Office of the Director, Cost Assessment & Program Evaluation (CAPE). It funds assessments that help to resolve budget and programmatic issues across the full range of the Department's activities. Projects that support this effort help to inform the leadership on program alternatives, capability concept development, design and cost, the appropriate balance of capabilities across the force, and also to identify how well the Department's expenditures are meeting its goals, and how well the force can implement the Defense strategy.

This program provides for analytical research across a spectrum of issues and concerns. The research agenda is focused on near to long-term problems identified by the Secretary of Defense, and addresses difficult and complex questions linked to program alternatives for current and future capabilities and forces in order to enhance the senior leadership's deliberations and decision-making.

This program provides the scientific and technical engineering services needed for research studies in the development of models and simulations and the evaluation of current analytical tools and scientific methods used to evaluate and assess weapons systems and warfighting capabilities for warfighting environments and scenarios, and related force structure. Deliverables from this program will include reports, briefings, and analyses designed to illuminate critical issues facing the Department. Outcomes include recommendations for new modeling techniques, programmatic alternatives, and scenario development.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	4.454	4.083	4.763	-	4.763
Current President's Budget	4.221	4.068	4.100	-	4.100
Total Adjustments	-0.233	-0.015	-0.663	-	-0.663
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.319	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.005	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.063	-			
<ul> <li>Realignment for higher priority</li> </ul>	-	-	-0.663	-	-0.663

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 20	hibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense						
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defer	R-1 Program Elemen PE 0606100D8Z / Buc	t (Number/Name) Iget and Program Asses	ssments				
RDT&E Management Support							
FFRDC	-	-0.015	-	-	-		
Reprogramming	0.156	-	-	-	-		
Other Adjustment	-0.002	-	-	-	-		

#### Change Summary Explanation

To ensure minimal impact to the Secretary while meeting requirements of the Strategic Choices Management Review and OSD Review, such as realignment of Management Headquarters to Combat Capabilities, CAPE is reducing other investment accounts by as much as 50% to cover required budget reductions.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	bit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense							Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6								Iumber/Name) get and Program Assessments				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
101: Budget and Program Assessments	10.351	4.221	4.068	4.100	-	4.100	4.150	4.200	4.250	4.300	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program supports the Office of the Director, Cost Assessment & Program Evaluation (CAPE). It funds assessments that help to resolve budget and programmatic issues across the full range of the Department's activities. Projects that support this effort help to inform the leadership on program alternatives, capability concept development, design and cost, the appropriate balance of capabilities across the force, and also to identify how well the Department's expenditures are meeting its goals, and how well the force can implement the Defense strategy.

This program provides for analytical research across a spectrum of issues and concerns. The research agenda is focused on near to long-term problems identified by the Secretary of Defense, and addresses difficult and complex questions linked to program alternatives for current and future capabilities and forces in order to enhance DoD senior leadership's deliberations and decision-making.

This program provides the scientific and technical engineering services needed for research studies in the development of models and simulations and the evaluation of current analytical tools and scientific methods used to evaluate and assess weapons systems and warfighting capabilities for warfighting environments and scenarios, and related force structure. Deliverables from this program will include reports, briefings, and analyses designed to illuminate critical issues facing the Department. Outcomes include recommendations for new modeling techniques, programmatic alternatives, and scenario development.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: OSD Support for Programming Budget	4.221	4.068	4.100
<b>Description:</b> This program provides for analytical research across a spectrum of issues and concerns. The research agenda is focused on near to long-term problems identified by the Secretary of Defense, and addresses difficult and complex questions linked to program alternatives for current and future capabilities and forces in order to enhance the senior leadership's deliberations and decision-making.			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Continued to expand mission and regional breadth of ISR-support studies, using a data intensive approach that quantitatively linked ISR inputs to operational outcomes.</li> </ul>			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0606100D8Z <i>I Budget and Program</i> <i>Assessments</i>	-	c <b>t (Number/N</b> Budget and F	<b>Name)</b> Program Asse	essments
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		[	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Improved the accuracy of combat adjudication models and other simulation to from irregular warfare to large, full scale force-on-force combat. The effort explo for dependencies and the constraints imposed by spatial and temporal (space a Assessed capacity needed within DoD, as well as the role of agencies and all Construct of homeland defense, Force Readiness, irregular warfare/war on terr and surge environments.</li> <li>Assessed current capacity within DoD to quantitatively identify and assess alt Determined the contribution of DoD forces as part of a local, state, and federa homeland defense consequence management scenarios.</li> <li>Continued assessments for technologies and strategies for space and cybers</li> <li>In support of the Secretary's commitment to eradicate sexual assault in the m from Service Military Criminal Investigative Organizations to assess the extent potential development or expansion of programs to eliminate the problem.</li> </ul>	ored and developed techniques to explicitly ac and time) separations distinguishing combatar lies in a range of scenarios against Force Plan ror, and conventional conflict across steady sta rernatives to direct appropriations. al interagency response to current and future pace security. illitary, initiated a study to collect and analyze o	count nts. ining ate data			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Evaluate and upgrade Strategic C4 and ISR programs to inform program, budg Analyze war-fighting and joint operations to support major defense reviews, inco systems requirements, and AoAs to support major acquisition decisions; land for sustaining, and fighting these forces with special emphasis on the resources ne Analyze mobility requirements and modernization decisions for airlift aircraft, se strategy; force structure and investment decisions for pre-positioning ashore ar postures. Evaluate scenarios for medium and long-term planning; including evaluation of demographic, and technological trends and developments to determine impact Analyze irregular warfare scenarios to support transformation initiatives and ma Evaluate medical cost growth to reliably forecast costs for budgeting. Develop structures and policies on future costs. Develop alternative cyber defense strategies to improve the cyber security and by support of training objectives and scenarios and advocating for and assisting Continue to analyze sexual assault investigations from Service Military Crimina that can be developed or expanded to eliminate the problem.	cluding transformation initiatives, force and weat orces, including the manning, equipping, traini- eeded to accomplish these activities. ealift vessels, and tankers in support of the defined afloat and the impact of forward presence threat databases and forecasts for economic, on national security resources. ajor acquisition decisions. a tool to evaluate the impact of alternative ber mission assurance of the Department of Defe g in the development of a data driven analysis	ng, fense nefit nse,			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Studies, analyses, and assessments will be focused on: - Strategic C4 and ISR programs to inform program, budget, and Defense Acqu	uisition Board reviews				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secreta	ry Of Defense		Date: M	larch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0606100D8Z <i>I Budget and Program</i> <i>Assessments</i>						
<ul> <li>B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)</li> <li>In support of WSARA, independently assessing, analyzing, and, where escalation rates used in preparation of the President's budget for major a Developing and enhancing databases that provide cost data for major a Improving estimates produced by the Defense Employment and Purcha are used in decision briefs to the President, Congress, Secretary of Defe accounts for the distribution of Defense spending among the industries p- Modeling and analysis of aircraft survivability against various threat det environments. Assessing the ability of aircraft and weapons to operate i - Scenarios and modeling for mobile intelligence targets.</li> <li>Analyzing and identifying frameworks for Force Structure planning</li> <li>In support of the Defense Strategic Guidance, analyzing rotary wing prexamining the cost of all mission alternatives and acquisition strategies.</li> </ul>	acquisition programs. weapon systems ases Projection System and Defense Translator, whense, and Deputy Secretary of Defense. The translator producing the goods and services that support DoD. tection approaches and in various operational in anti-access/area denial regions.	and nich ator	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su	ototale	4.221	4.068	4.10		

#### C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)

N/A

#### <u>Remarks</u>

#### D. Acquisition Strategy

A mix of competitive contracts with commercial firms and research provided by university affiliated research centers (UARCs), and Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs).

#### E. Performance Metrics

The products or expected outcomes of this program are studies and analyses to support resource allocation decisions, major defense acquisition decisions, and issues of high interest to the Secretary of Defense. Performance is measured by the quality of the analyses and is monitored through the review of the organizational assessment process. The primary goal is to ensure that study and analytical products are timely, clear, complete, accurate, responsive, balanced, and objective.

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificat	<b>ion:</b> PB 20 <sup>-</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te RDT&E Management Support	est & Evalua	ation, Defen	se-Wide I B	A 6:	U U	am Elemen 15D8Z / Def	•	,	ity Initiative			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	1.720	2.355	5.288	1.956	-	1.956	2.404	2.712	3.054	3.477	Continuing	Continuing
345: Defense Operations Security Initiative	1.720	2.355	5.288	1.956	-	1.956	2.404	2.712	3.054	3.477	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Defense Operations Security (OPSEC) Initiative (DOSI) is an effort to reorient DoD OPSEC capabilities and capacities across the Department. The overall program mission is to enable defense components ability to effectively plan, integrate, execute and assess OPSEC in concert with other information-related capabilities used against adversaries or potential adversaries. Funds support the development and integration of capabilities and next generation technologies for department OPSEC activities.

The objectives of the overall DOSI program are:

1. Establish governance structures, processes and procedures for development and oversight of infrastructure, policy, authorities, and warfighter advocacy across the defense components and for OPSEC intelligence integration that will focus on the incorporation of special intelligence requirements; intelligence and threat repository support; Open Source Intelligence, Human Intelligence, Counterintelligence (CI) and Signals Intelligence support; and intelligence support to Deception in Support of OPSEC (DISO).

2. Develop a concept for integrating OPSEC into critical plans, operations and activities that will clearly articulate OPSEC requirements and the means for fulfilling them.

3. Develop an integrated OPSEC education, training and exercise program that can be incorporated with MILDEC and other information-related capabilities and focus on exercise support and formal education curricula review and development.

4. Develop a technology and capability research, development, testing, and evaluation program to identify emerging physical, technical, and administrative means and capabilities.

5. Enhance the integration and synchronization of OPSEC with MILDEC to increase effectiveness and efficiency of defense component activities.

6. Increase the integration of OPSEC with other information-related capabilities such as Electronic Warfare (EW), Computer Network Operations (CNO), Intelligence, CI, Security, and Special Technical Operations (STO) to form an enhanced integrated whole.

7. Establish assessment programs to assess friendly and adversary measures and countermeasures based on observable actions, indicators, or information that can provide a basis for identifying such control measures as Action Controls, Countermeasures, and Counter Analysis and for assessing revised policy, doctrine, force structure, training and governance processes to identify corrective actions.

	fice of Secretary	Of Defense			Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-W RDT&E Management Support	<i>lide I</i> BA 6:		ement (Number/Name) I Defense Operations S		tive		
3. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015	000	FY 2015 T	<b>fotal</b>
Previous President's Budget	2.637	5.306	7.121		-	7	.121
Current President's Budget	2.355	5.288	1.956		-	1	.956
Total Adjustments	-0.282	-0.018	-5.165		-	-5	.165
Congressional General Reductions	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.222	-0.018					
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-					
Congressional Adds	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-					
Reprogrammings	-	-					
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.059	-					
<ul> <li>Departmental Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.001	-	-5.165		-	-5	.165
. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)					FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<b>Description:</b> The Defense Operations Security (OPSEC) Initicoparties across the Department. The overall program missi	on is to enable de	efense component	ts with the ability to effe	ctively	2.355	5.288	1.95
<ul> <li>Description: The Defense Operations Security (OPSEC) Initial capacities across the Department. The overall program missional, integrate, execute and assess OPSEC in conjunction with the used against adversaries or potential adversaries. Funds the echnologies for department OPSEC capabilities.</li> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Identified a number of measures and countermeasures requirequirements; advocated for the acquisition of several emergine Developed a program to assess friendly and adversary measor information that provides a basis for identifying such control Analysis.</li> <li>Completed evaluation of revised reporting methodology on Castructures for COCOMs, services and defense agencies to satisfor the security of t</li></ul>	on is to enable de th information-rela support emerging iring further resea ng physical, techr sures and counte I measures as Ac DPSEC force stru- tisfy the Departm	efense component ated capabilities, a physical and tech arch, testing, and a nical, and adminis rmeasures based tion Controls, Cou ctures and drafted ent's OPSEC cap	ts with the ability to effe and intelligence activitie nnical and next generati development for OPSEC trative technologies and on observable actions, untermeasures, and Cou d/coordinated objective f ability and capacity requ	ctively s to on C tools. indicators, unter force uirements.	2.355	5.288	1.9
<ul> <li><i>Title:</i> Defense Operations Security Initiative (DOSI)</li> <li><i>Description:</i> The Defense Operations Security (OPSEC) Initiation capacities across the Department. The overall program mission of a program is a program and assess OPSEC in conjunction with the used against adversaries or potential adversaries. Funds a technologies for department OPSEC capabilities.</li> <li><i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> <ul> <li>Identified a number of measures and countermeasures requirements; advocated for the acquisition of several emergies. Developed a program to assess friendly and adversary measor information that provides a basis for identifying such control Analysis.</li> <li>Completed evaluation of revised reporting methodology on Castructures for COCOMs, services and defense agencies to sate. Worked with the Joint Staff, COCOMs, Services and Combat Development and Experimentation (JCD&amp;E) initiative and add challenges to the Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JRO FY 2014 Plans:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	on is to enable de th information-rela support emerging iring further resea ng physical, techr sures and counte I measures as Ac DPSEC force stru- tisfy the Departm t Support Agencie Iress joint force ca C).	efense component ated capabilities, a physical and tech arch, testing, and o nical, and adminis rmeasures based tion Controls, Cou ctures and drafted ent's OPSEC cap es to complete the apability gaps and	ts with the ability to effe and intelligence activitie nnical and next generati development for OPSEC trative technologies and on observable actions, untermeasures, and Con d/coordinated objective f ability and capacity requ e OPSEC Joint Concept I current/future security	ctively s to on C tools. indicators, unter force uirements.	2.355	5.288	1.9

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item J	ustification:	PB 2015 Of	fice of Secre	tary Of Defe	ense				Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test RDT&E Management Support	& Evaluation,	Defense-W	<i>lide I</i> BA 6:			<b>ment (Numb</b> Defense Op		urity Initi	iative		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Prog	grams (\$ in N	<u>lillions)</u>						ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Examine and invest research and c and countermeasure OPSEC require technologies and tools.</li> <li>Execute program to research and a indicators, or information that can pro Counter Analysis.</li> </ul>	ements; advoo	cate for acquered of a cquere	uisition and s sary measur	sustainment es and coun	of physical, termeasure	technical, an	d administra	tive ctions,			
FY 2015 Plans:											
<ul> <li>Continue to examine and invest res OPSEC requirements; advocate for a</li> </ul>											
						s/Planned P	•		2.355	5.288	1.95
D. Other Program Funding Summa	m, (¢ in Milli				•			ļ			
D. Other Program Funding Summa	<u>ry (\$ in winne</u>	<u>5115)</u>	FY 2015	FY 2015	FY 2015					Cost To	)
Line Item • 0203345D8Z O&M DW: Defense Operations Security Initiative Remarks	<u>FY 2013</u> -	<u>FY 2014</u> -	<u>Base</u> 4.300	<u>000</u> -	<u>Total</u> 4.300	<u>FY 2016</u> 5.200	<u>FY 2017</u> 5.200	<u>FY 201</u> 5.30		9 <u>Complete</u> 0 Continuing	
E. Acquisition Strategy N/A											
<ul> <li>F. Performance Metrics</li> <li>Performance metrics are measured responsibilities. Performance metrics</li> <li>Functionally relevant and timely an</li> <li>Authorities through policy to plan, r</li> <li>Functionally relevant and available</li> <li>Program, plans and, resources OP</li> </ul>	s are based o alyses in sup esource, and training, edu	n the asses port of OPS execute OF cation, and o	sment of wh EC activities PSEC exercises to	ether the De	partment of Department	Defense pos	ssesses the f	ollowing		of Defense's	s assigned

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iter	n Justificat	ion: PB 20 <sup>-</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Marc	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te RDT&E Management Support	ch, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: PE 0305193D8Z I Cyber Intelligence											
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	35.984	14.645	7.586	6.748	-	6.748	6.596	6.770	7.049	7.490	Continuing	Continuing
001: Cyber and Intelligence Operations Integration	35.984	14.645	7.586	6.748	-	6.748	6.596	6.770	7.049	7.490	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

- The program element encompasses those activities pertaining to operations support and intelligence integration, cyber technology innovation, intelligence and related activities in Cyberspace, and strategic assessments.

- This program is a part of the overall Department effort to implement best practices and DoD doctrinal processes which require shared responsibility and close synchronization among intelligence, operations and associated planning elements. Joint Warfighter requirements are driving the need for the integration of capabilities across intelligence disciplines and seamlessly connecting them to operational capabilities/capacities.

- The objective of this program is the rapid experimentation and development of existing technologies (hardware, software, databases, analytics, etc.) to create new cyber intelligence capabilities and demonstrate their value in support of operations.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	16.041	7.624	7.681	-	7.681
Current President's Budget	14.645	7.586	6.748	-	6.748
Total Adjustments	-1.396	-0.038	-0.933	-	-0.933
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-1.390	-0.038			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>Departmental Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.006	-	-0.933	-	-0.933

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	istification:	PB 2015 C	office of Sec	cretary Of D	R-1 Progra	<b>am Elemen</b> 93D8Z / Cyt						rations
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
001: Cyber and Intelligence Operations Integration	35.984	14.645	7.586	6.748	-	6.748	6.596	6.770	7.049	7.490	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
The Cyber and Intelligence Opera conventional and asymmetric mili intelligence from the Defense Inte	itary operati elligence En	ons. Furthe terprise to t	er, they will the Warfight	provide nev					to increase	e the delive	ry of actiona	able
B. Accomplishments/Planned P Title: Cyber and Intelligence Ope			<u>s)</u>						FY	2013   14.645	FY 2014 7.586	<b>FY 2015</b> 6.748
FY 2013 Accomplishments: - Developed cyber and Intelligence execute cyber and asymmetric op - Supported development of critica FY 2014 Plans: - Develop cyber and IOI capabilitie activities to include critical and en-	perations ac al and emer es and capa	tivities. ging cyber, acity to supp	cyber intell	igence, and As and Ser	d IOI techno vices to exe	logies that secute cyber	support war and asymm	fighter need etric operat	ls.			
FY 2015 Plans: - Continue to develop cyber and le operations activities to include crit												
					Accomplis	shments/Pl	anned Prog	grams Subf	totals	14.645	7.586	6.748
C. Other Program Funding Sum N/A <u>Remarks</u>	mary (\$ in	<u>Millions)</u>										
<b>D. Acquisition Strategy</b> The Cyber and IOI acquisition, m and FAR supplement policies and												

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense       Date: March 2014							
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0305193D8Z / Cyber Intelligence	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 001 <i>I Cyber and Intelligence Operations</i> <i>Integration</i>					

#### E. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics are measured through internal management controls and external assessments. Performance metrics include, but are not limited to time, money, realism, and fidelity as defined below:

• Time – Enable the warfighter to speed up processes faster than current capabilities allow.

• Money – Enable the warfighter to reduce duplication of effort and to prepare and execute events at a more effective and efficient cost than current capabilities allow.

• Realism – Enable the warfighter to create an environment that is closer to the real world environment than current capabilities allow.

• Fidelity – Ensure unity of efforts throughout the cyber and IOI Communities.

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item	Of Defense					Date: Marc	ch 2014					
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support						am Elemen 37D8Z / CO	•	,	ment and T	raining Tran	sformation	(CE2T2)
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	74.789	56.325	38.909	44.005	-	44.005	43.084	43.917	44.904	44.904	Continuing	Continuing
758: Joint National Training Capability (JNTC)	39.166	24.381	19.290	28.003	-	28.003	29.656	32.822	33.514	33.514	Continuing	Continuing
761: Joint Simulations Systems (JSS)	7.208	3.017	3.098	2.193	-	2.193	2.333	-	-	-	-	17.849
769: Joint Knowledge Development & Distribution Capability (JKDDC)	4.375	4.656	3.986	4.000	-	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.092	4.092	Continuing	Continuing
770: U.S. Forces Korea Training and Exercise Support	17.553	6.497	6.121	4.483	-	4.483	1.378	1.378	1.410	1.410	Continuing	Continuing
754: Immersive Simulation	0.000	11.750	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.750
701: Air Force JNTC	2.955	2.041	2.234	2.716	-	2.716	2.794	2.794	2.858	2.858	Continuing	Continuing
772: Navy JNTC	3.532	3.983	4.180	2.610	-	2.610	2.923	2.923	3.030	3.030	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

These programs support readiness of the joint force by creating a Joint Training Environment to replicate the complex, and ever changing operational environment. These investments directly support the new defense strategy and enhance joint warfighting readiness by building training capabilities that support the operational readiness of the force. The elements associated with this coordinated effort consist of:

- Joint National Training Capability (JNTC)

- Joint Simulation System (JSS)

- Joint Knowledge Development & Distribution Capability (JKDDC)

- U.S. Forces Korea Training & Exercise Support (USFK)

- Air Force JNTC

- Navy JNTC

JNTC: Investment in the Joint National Training Capability (JNTC) program will enable Service and Combatant Commands (CCMD) to train as they operate. This investment will develop a cloud-enabled joint training environment, building on previous development of Scenario Management Tools for planning and executing joint training. In 2016, this investment will enable access at the point of need (Service and CCMD trainers) for planning and executing joint training. This investment will

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretar	y Of Defense	Date: March 2014
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: RDT&E Management Support		OM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2)
increase the relevance and realism of training by providing training capabilition enables the Department of Defense (DOD) to be responsive to the warfighte		
JSS: The Joint Simulation System (JSS) will decompose, harvest, and reuse reaching Initial Operating Capability in FY16. JSS will enhance existing Join meet CCMDs' training requirements. JSS will provide design and developme	It Conflict and Tactical Simu	lation (JCATS) and Joint Theater Level Simulation (JTLS) to
JKDDC: Joint Knowledge Development & Distribution Capability (JKDDC) J training. JKDDC JKO is tasked to develop a Joint Individual Training Toolkit services are developed in response to OSD(P&R) CE2T2 Program Goals & and JKDDC JKO Stakeholder (CCMDs, Services, and Combat Support Ager continuum, joint professional military education, and tailored common trainin capable, and interoperable joint forces. JKO research and development will - Small Group Scenario Trainer (SGST) desk top modeling and simulation b personnel deploying to CCMD theaters of operation prior to serving in their a adequately trained, as individuals and the staffs collectively, based on SGST - JKO mobile "pilot" courseware training device development: This facilitate - JKO Learning Management System (LCMS): JKO LCMS development is r - Develop the future virtual worlds learning environment. It will provide train immersive virtual gaming environment.	of web-enabled individual a Objectives guidance, CJCS ncies) prioritized training rec g standards to Service men improve: pased training: These capab assigned Combined/Joint Ta development and impleme the global distribution of w equired to deliver JKO court	and small group training products and services. Products and High Interest Training Items, Joint Staff J7 training priorities, quirements. JKDDC JKO supports a career-long joint learning abers for tasks that are jointly executed, resulting in trained, ilities train and prepare tens of thousands of military and civilian ask Force (C/JTF) billets. Specifically, C/JTF 'battle staffs' will be intation throughout the joint training enterprise. <i>ve</i> b-based joint training content on portable, hand-held platforms ses and track/report students' completions more efficiently.
USFK: The U.S. Forces Korea (USFK) Training & Exercise Support program the Korean Theater of Operations. Interoperability with the Republic of Kore RDT&E program. This solution will be capable of interoperating in a commo audiences, tactical to strategic, in Korean theater exercises. While supportin other combatant commander training programs that use the aging Joint, Live	a-developed Korean Simula n battle space that realistica ng USFK's specific requirem	ation System is a critical and unique requirement of this USFK ally represents the operating environment to all levels of training ients, this solution will contain enhancements that will benefit
Air Force JNTC: The Air Force JNTC funding is providing a focused upgrade environment. The Air Force is also supporting development of cross domain significantly extend the breadth of the training audiences to additional joint a	solutions allowing for the li	
Navy JNTC: These funds enable Navy to develop unique maritime capabiliti activities include conducting research, development, test and evaluation, and architectures for US and Coalition Forces as well as ensuring sister service r	d cross-service architecture	certification on joint-capable systems, developing cross-domain

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Off	ice of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-W RDT&E Management Support	ide / BA 6:		ement (Number/Name) I COCOM Exercise En		Transformation (CE2T2)
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	77.475	43.247	44.008	-	44.008
Current President's Budget	56.325	38.909	44.005	-	44.005
Total Adjustments	-21.150	-4.338	-0.003	-	-0.003
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-4.300			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-15.000	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.082	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.932	-			
Sequestration	-5.136	-	-	-	-
FFRDC Reduction	-	-0.038	-	-	-
Travel Efficiencies	-	-	-0.003	-	-0.003

#### Change Summary Explanation

Immersive Simulation terminated as part of Secretary of Defense ten percent efficiency reduction and also reflects a reduction in the CE2T2 fiscal guidance topline.

Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2)         (JNTC)           COST (\$ in Millions)         Prior Years         FY 2013         FY 2014         FX 2015         FY 2015         FY 2016         FY 2017         FY 2018         FY 2019         Continuing         Continuing         Continuing         Continuing         FY 2017         FY 2018         FY 2017         FY 2018         FY 2017         FY 2018         FY 2017         Fy 2018         FY 2019         Continuing         Continuing         Contin	Bit Digit All Strategy of the second seco	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project J	ustification	: PB 2015 (	Uttice of Sec	cretary Of D	1		• /NI, una la a	Nome	Drois of (N	Date: Mar		
COST (\$ in Millions)         Years         FY 2013         FY 2014         Base         OCO #         Total         FY 2016         FY 2018         FY 2019         Complete Complete           758: Joint National Training         39.166         24.381         19.290         28.003         -         28.003         29.656         32.822         33.514         33.514         Continuing           Capability (JNTC)         Quantity of RDT&E Articles         -         <	COST (\$ in Millions)       Years       FY 2013       FY 2014       Base       OCO *       Total       FY 2016       FY 2018       FY 2018       Complete       Compl						PE 080476 Engageme	67D8Z / CO	COM Exerc	ise	758 I Joint			ability
758: Joint National Training       39.166       24.381       19.290       28.003       29.656       32.822       33.514       33.514       Continuing in Capability (JNTC)         Quantity of RDT&E Articles       - <th>58: Joint National Training       39.166       24.381       19.290       28.003       -       28.003       29.656       32.822       33.514       33.514       Continuing       Continuing         apability (JNTC)       unity of RDT&amp;E Articles       -</th> <th>COST (\$ in Millions)</th> <th></th> <th>FY 2013</th> <th>FY 2014</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>FY 2016</th> <th>FY 2017</th> <th>FY 2018</th> <th>FY 2019</th> <th></th> <th>Total Cost</th>	58: Joint National Training       39.166       24.381       19.290       28.003       -       28.003       29.656       32.822       33.514       33.514       Continuing       Continuing         apability (JNTC)       unity of RDT&E Articles       -	COST (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014				FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019		Total Cost
** The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.         A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification         Investment in the Joint National Training Capability (JNTC) program will enable Service and Combatant Commands (CCMD) to train as they operate. This investwent will develop a cloud-enabled joint training environment, building on previous development of Scenario Management Tools for planning and executing joint training training capabilities which replicate the contemporary and future operating environment. This program also enable Department of Defense (DOD) to be responsive to the warfighters' pace of changing operational concepts, threat environments, and best practices.         B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)       FY 2013       FY 2014       F         Title: Joint National Training environment. JNTC continues to develop and integrate advanced training technologies into a seamless joint training environment through an integrated network of training sites and nodes. JNTC provides the common standards, architecture, and development processes required to link joint training preventing the integration of joint training objectives into Service training revents, while capturing the objective data necessary to provide a complete and accurate after action review. This program develops and enhances current and future operations of these capabilities. This furthers the integration of joint training objectives into Service training environment.       FY 2013 Accomplishments:         * 0 continued development and conceptual design working with the Services, CCMDs, coalition partners, agencies, and the DOD modeling and simulation semulation community to build a relevant post Operation Enduring Freedom joint training environment.         * 0 Conducted JLV	The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date. <b>Mission Description and Budget Item Justification</b> rvestment in the Joint National Training Capability (JNTC) program will enable Service and Combatant Commands (CCMD) to train as they operate. This investment is investment will enable access at the point of need (Service and CCMD trainers) for planning and executing joint training. This investment will increase the elevance and realism of training by providing training capabilities which replicate the contemporary and future operating environment. This program also enables the elevance and realism of training to the responsive to the warfighters' pace of changing operational concepts, threat environments, and best practices. <b>Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) FY 2013 FY 2014 FY 201 escription:</b> Initially established in 2003, JNTC continues to develop and integrate advanced training technologies into a samless joint training environment. JNTC establishes the overarching joint fraining rograms. By leveraging existing aining programs or initiating specific actions, JNTC is developing credible opposing force capabilities and expanded access are capabilities. This furthers the integration of joint training objectives into Service training events, while capturing the objective alto necessary to provide a complete and accurate after action review. This program develops and enhances current and future interprise capabilities. <b>Y 2013 FY 2014 FY 201 FY 2014 FY 201 FY 2014 FY 201 EX 5 million stables optimum stables be access FY 2013 FY 2014 FY 201 EX 5 million stables stables devel</b>	•	39.166	24.381	19.290	28.003		28.003	29.656	32.822	33.514	33.514	-	
A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification Investment in the Joint National Training Capability (JNTC) program will enable Service and Combatant Commands (CCMD) to train as they operate. This invest- will develop a cloud-enabled joint training environment, building on previous development of Scenario Management Tools for planning and executing joint training. Dis investment will enable access at the point of need (Service and CCMD trainers) for planning and executing joint training. This investment will increase relevance and realism of training by providing training capabilities which replicate the contemporary and future operating environment. This program also enable Department of Defense (DOD) to be responsive to the warfighters' pace of changing operational concepts, threat environment. This program also enable Department of Defense (DOD) to be responsive to the warfighters' pace of changing operational concepts, threat environment. This program also enable Department of Defense (DOD) to be responsive to the warfighters' pace of changing operational concepts, threat environment. This program also enable Department of Defense (DOD) to be responsive to the warfighters' pace of changing operational concepts, threat environment. This program also enable Department of Defense (DOD) to be responsive to the warfighters' pace of changing operational concepts, threat environment. This program also enable Department of Defense (DOD) to be responsive to the warfighters' pace of changing operational concepts, threat environment. This Try 2013 <b>FY 2013 FY 2013 FY 2013 FY 2014 FY 2013 Continuement. This content training environment. This continues to develop and integrate advanced training technologies into a seamless joint training environment through an integrate detwork of training programs. By leveraging existing training programs or initiating specific actions, JNTC is developing credible opposing force capabilities and expanded access to assets typically unavailable to the training adjuctiv</b>	Mission Description and Budget Item Justification         Investment in the Joint National Training Capability (JNTC) program will enable Service and Combatant Commands (CCMD) to train as they operate. This investment in 10 evelop a cloud-enabled joint training environment, building on previous development of Scenario Management Tools for planning and executing joint training. This investment will increase the elevance and realism of training by providing training capabilities which replicate the contemporary and future operating environment. This program also enables the elevance and realism of training Chapabilities which replicate the contemporary and future operating environment. This program also enables the elevance and realism of training Detere (JNTC) <b>Accomplishments/Planned Programs (§ in Millions)</b> FY 2013       FY 2014       FY 201 <i>itte</i> : Joint National Training Center (JNTC)       24.381       19.290       28.         escription: Initially established in 2003. JNTC continues to develop and integrate advanced training technologies into a eamless joint training environment through an integrated network of training sites and nodes. JNTC provides the ommon standards, architecture, and development throcesess required to link joint training programs. By leveraging existing aining programs or initiating specific actions. JNTC is developing and integrate gevens, while capturing the objective at a coccess by developing and integrate gevens, while capturing the objective at a coccess of a sasets typically unavailable to the training objectives into Service training environment. Conducte add access to asset typically unavailable to the training objectives into Service training environment. Conducte add access to provide a complete and accurate after action review. This program develops and enhances cu	Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Title:Joint National Training Center (JNTC)24.38119.290Description:Initially established in 2003, JNTC continues to develop and integrate advanced training technologies into a seamless joint training environment.JNTC establishes the overarching joint framework and context necessary for CCMDs and Services to achieve a joint training environment through an integrated network of training sites and nodes.JNTC provides the common standards, architecture, and development processes required to link joint training programs. By leveraging existing training programs or initiating specific actions, JNTC is developing credible opposing force capabilities and expanded access to assets typically unavailable to the training audience by developing and integrating modeled and simulated representations of these capabilities. This furthers the integration of joint training objectives into Service training events, while capturing the objective data necessary to provide a complete and accurate after action review. This program develops and enhances current and future joint training enterprise capabilities.FY 2013 Accomplishments: • Continued development and refinement of the Joint, Live, Virtual, and Constructive (JLVC) 2020 modeling and simulation strategy, roadmap, and conceptual design working with the Services, CCMDs, coalition partners, agencies, and the DOD modeling and simulation community to build a relevant post Operation Enduring Freedom joint training environment.• Conducted JLVC 2020 Integration Event #1.• Documented the "as-is" Joint Training Enterprise Architecture (JTEA) in DOD Architecture Framework (DODAF) artifacts.	ittle: Joint National Training Center (JNTC)       24.381       19.290       28.         escription: Initially established in 2003, JNTC continues to develop and integrate advanced training technologies into a eamless joint training environment. JNTC establishes the overarching joint framework and context necessary for CCMDs and ervices to achieve a joint training environment through an integrated network of training sites and nodes. JNTC provides the form on standards, architecture, and development processes required to link joint training programs. By leveraging existing aning programs or initiating specific actions, JNTC is developing credible opposing force capabilities and expanded access or assets typically unavailable to the training audience by developing and integrating modeled and simulated representations of iese capabilities.       29       201       201         Y 2013 Accomplishments:       Continued development of the Joint, Live, Virtual, and Constructive (JLVC) 2020 modeling and simulation rategy, roadmap, and conceptual design working with the Services, CCMDs, coalition partners, agencies, and the DOD todeling and simulation community to build a relevant post Operation Enduring Freedom joint training environment.       Double Counce of the Joint training environment.         Conducted JLVC 2020 Integration Event #1.       Documented the "as-is" Joint Training Enterprise Architecture (JTEA) in DOD Architecture Framework (DODAF) artifacts.       20304767D82: COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training	2016, this investment will enable relevance and realism of training	e access at th by providing	ne point of i g training c	need (Servio apabilities w	ce and CCN hich replica	AD trainers) ate the cont	for plannin emporary a	g and execund future op	uting joint tra perating env	aining. This ironment.	investmen This progra	t will increa m also enal	se the
<ul> <li>Description: Initially established in 2003, JNTC continues to develop and integrate advanced training technologies into a seamless joint training environment. JNTC establishes the overarching joint framework and context necessary for CCMDs and Services to achieve a joint training environment through an integrated network of training sites and nodes. JNTC provides the common standards, architecture, and development processes required to link joint training programs. By leveraging existing training programs or initiating specific actions, JNTC is developing credible opposing force capabilities and expanded access to assets typically unavailable to the training audience by developing and integrating modeled and simulated representations of these capabilities. This furthers the integration of joint training objectives into Service training events, while capturing the objective data necessary to provide a complete and accurate after action review. This program develops and enhances current and future joint training enterprise capabilities.</li> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:         <ul> <li>Continued development and refinement of the Joint, Live, Virtual, and Constructive (JLVC) 2020 modeling and simulation strategy, roadmap, and conceptual design working with the Services, CCMDs, coalition partners, agencies, and the DOD modeling and simulation community to build a relevant post Operation Enduring Freedom joint training environment.</li> <li>Conducted JLVC 2020 Integration Event #1.</li> <li>Documented the "as-is" Joint Training Enterprise Architecture (JTEA) in DOD Architecture Framework (DODAF) artifacts.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>This is the integration of point training environment. JNTC establishes the overarching joint framework and context necessary for CCMDs and ervices to achieve a joint training environment through an integrated network of training sites and nodes. JNTC provides the ommon standards, architecture, and development processes required to link joint training programs. By leveraging existing aining programs or initiating specific actions, JNTC is developing credible opposing force capabilities and expanded access or assets typically unavailable to the training audience by developing and integrating modeled and simulated representations of ises capabilities. This furthers the integration of joint training objectives into Service training events, while capturing the objective at a necessary to provide a complete and accurate after action review. This program develops and enhances current and future int training enterprise capabilities.</li> <li>Y 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Continued development and refinement of the Joint, Live, Virtual, and Constructive (JLVC) 2020 modeling and simulation rategy, roadmap, and conceptual design working with the Services, CCMDs, coalition partners, agencies, and the DOD is obeling and simulation community to build a relevant post Operation Enduring Freedom joint training environment. Conducted JLVC 2020 Integration Event #1.</li> <li>Documented the "as-is" Joint Training Enterprise Architecture (JTEA) in DOD Architecture Framework (DODAF) artifacts.</li> <li>E 0804767D82: COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training</li> </ul>	B. Accomplishments/Planned F	Programs (\$	in Million	<u>s)</u>						FY	2013 F	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>seamless joint training environment. JNTC establishes the overarching joint framework and context necessary for CCMDs and Services to achieve a joint training environment through an integrated network of training sites and nodes. JNTC provides the common standards, architecture, and development processes required to link joint training programs. By leveraging existing training programs or initiating specific actions, JNTC is developing credible opposing force capabilities and expanded access to assets typically unavailable to the training audience by developing and integrating modeled and simulated representations of these capabilities. This furthers the integration of joint training objectives into Service training events, while capturing the objective data necessary to provide a complete and accurate after action review. This program develops and enhances current and future joint training enterprise capabilities.</li> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:         <ul> <li>Continued development and refinement of the Joint, Live, Virtual, and Constructive (JLVC) 2020 modeling and simulation strategy, roadmap, and conceptual design working with the Services, CCMDs, coalition partners, agencies, and the DOD modeling and simulation community to build a relevant post Operation Enduring Freedom joint training environment.</li> <li>Conducted JLVC 2020 Integration Event #1.</li> <li>Documented the "as-is" Joint Training Enterprise Architecture (JTEA) in DOD Architecture Framework (DODAF) artifacts.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	eamless joint training environment. JNTC establishes the overarching joint framework and context necessary for CCMDs and ervices to achieve a joint training environment through an integrated network of training sites and nodes. JNTC provides the pormon standards, architecture, and development processes required to link joint training programs. By leveraging existing aining programs or initiating specific actions, JNTC is developing and integrating modeled and simulated representations of assets typically unavailable to the training audience by developing and integrating modeled and simulated representations of these capabilities. This furthers the integration of joint training objectives into Service training events, while capturing the objective at a necessary to provide a complete and accurate after action review. This program develops and enhances current and future int training enterprise capabilities. Y 2013 Accomplishments: Continued development and refinement of the Joint, Live, Virtual, and Constructive (JLVC) 2020 modeling and simulation trategy, roadmap, and conceptual design working with the Services, CCMDs, coalition partners, agencies, and the DOD todeling and simulation community to build a relevant post Operation Enduring Freedom joint training environment. Conducted JLVC 2020 Integration Event #1. Documented the "as-is" Joint Training Enterprise Architecture (JTEA) in DOD Architecture Framework (DODAF) artifacts. e0804767D82: COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training	Title: Joint National Training Cer	nter (JNTC)									24.381	19.290	28.00
<ul> <li>Continued development and refinement of the Joint, Live, Virtual, and Constructive (JLVC) 2020 modeling and simulation strategy, roadmap, and conceptual design working with the Services, CCMDs, coalition partners, agencies, and the DOD modeling and simulation community to build a relevant post Operation Enduring Freedom joint training environment.</li> <li>Conducted JLVC 2020 Integration Event #1.</li> <li>Documented the "as-is" Joint Training Enterprise Architecture (JTEA) in DOD Architecture Framework (DODAF) artifacts.</li> </ul>	Continued development and refinement of the Joint, Live, Virtual, and Constructive (JLVC) 2020 modeling and simulation trategy, roadmap, and conceptual design working with the Services, CCMDs, coalition partners, agencies, and the DOD nodeling and simulation community to build a relevant post Operation Enduring Freedom joint training environment. Conducted JLVC 2020 Integration Event #1. Documented the "as-is" Joint Training Enterprise Architecture (JTEA) in DOD Architecture Framework (DODAF) artifacts. oordinated future joint training environment to-be framework with Enterprise stakeholders.	seamless joint training environme Services to achieve a joint trainin common standards, architecture, training programs or initiating spe to assets typically unavailable to these capabilities. This furthers to data necessary to provide a com	ent. JNTC end environment and developecific actions the training at the integration plete and actions	stablishes t ent through pment proc , JNTC is c audience b on of joint tr	the overarch an integrate esses requi leveloping c y developing raining object	ning joint fra ed network red to link j redible opp g and integ ctives into S	amework an of training s oint training posing force rating mode Service train	id context n sites and no programs. capabilities eled and sim ing events,	ecessary fo des. JNTC By leveragi and expan ulated repro while captu	r CCMDs an provides th ing existing ded access esentations ring the obj	e of ective			
Soordinated future joint training environment to be framework with Enterprise stakeholders.		<ul> <li>Continued development and ref strategy, roadmap, and conceptu modeling and simulation communi</li> <li>Conducted JLVC 2020 Integrati</li> <li>Documented the "as-is" Joint Tr</li> </ul>	al design wo nity to build a ion Event #1 raining Enter	orking with a relevant p prise Archi	the Services bost Operation tecture (JTE	s, CCMDs, on Enduring A) in DOD	coalition pa g Freedom j Architectur	rtners, ager joint training e Framewoi	icies, and the second sec	ne DOD nt.				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0804767D8Z / COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2)		<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 758 I Joint National Training Capability (JNTC)						
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			2013	FY 2014	FY 2015				
<ul> <li>Researched and defined the future joint training enterprise communications Training Enterprise Architecture and developed a systems engineering plan su This aligned the joint training enterprise with the mandated DOD Joint Informa guidance and provide agile and adaptive joint training capabilities supporting a operating and sustainment costs.</li> <li>Continued planning, research, and development of a prototype cloud compu Joint Training Enterprise Architecture.</li> <li>In coordination with the Services and Combatant Commands, began developed concept of operations document to describe how the future Joint Training Env 2020.</li> <li>Developed modular mix and match integration of simulation activity and mass manpower through automation within the JLVC modeling and simulation feder</li> <li>Continued to enhance joint logistics modeling within the JLVC modeling and planning and execution in training by providing simulated in transit visibility of</li> <li>Virtual Collective Training Environment completed Phase 2, Proof of Concept refine the requirements established in Phase 1, develop and deliver additional project's systems engineering and software development, and conduct a proo investigated Virtual World Framework capabilities, assessed these capabilities a comparative analysis. The fundamental questions answered were how well training requirements; how these technologies can be quickly adapted to mee cost is to employ these technologies compared to current practices within coll.</li> <li>Continued Joint Training Enterprise Network. The test bed significantly mitt simultaneous test and evaluation without impact to exercise events, and perm waiting for windows of availability on the production network.</li> <li>Expanded the visibility, accessibility, and reuse of modeling and simulation of provides consumers the ability to search for and download order of battle data</li> <li>Evaluated the potential of standard web-based services combined with Virtu- using emulated c</li></ul>	upporting the architecture development effort. tion Enterprise project to comply with Departm warfighter requirements while reducing overall ting and virtualization environment supporting to ment of a Joint Training Enterprise Architecture ironment will support Joint Force Development ter scenario event list events to simplify and re- ration. simulation federation to increase realism of log- logistics. t. The primary objectives of this phase were to architectural products, perform the bulk of the f of concept demonstration. This demonstrations is against mission requirements, and conducted virtual world technologies satisfy collective joint t new training requirements, and what the overa- ective joint training. product evaluation, network problem replication gated risk to the operational network, permitted itted fielding capabilities at a much quicker rate lata by developing an initial operating capability a from different sources. al World type technologies to support joint train training and experimentation including comman	ent he in duce jistics o n t all t e than v that ing nd							

*Transformat...* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense			Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0804767D8Z / COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2)		<b>ct (Number/Name)</b> Joint National Training Capability C)		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)</li> <li>Developed JLVC 2020 Capability Release 0.3 system architecture drawings.</li> <li>Continued developing the cloud-capable computing environment for JLVC 2020.</li> <li>Continued Special Operations (MOBILE UNIT) with procurement of hardware and software for two Air Force Synthetic Environment for Reconnaissance and Surveillance (AFSERS) ISR stations, provided Engineering, Software, and Subject Matter Experts (SME).</li> <li>Supported multiple training events by providing AFSERS/INUti-User Simulation Environment engineering to comply with Combat Air Forces Distributed Missions Operations (DMO) Standards for AFSERS integration with the DMO Network &amp; Air Reserve Component Network.</li> <li>Provided engineering services and software modifications to Air Base Simulator, integration, and test to address LVC support to logistic community systems integration into JNTC exercises.</li> <li>Developed a connection to Joint Information Operations Range (JIOR) to facilitate low-cost TS/SCI links; integration into the Air and Space Collaborative Environment Information Operations Suite and Space System Generator.</li> <li>Continued to support the development and enhancements to Joint Simulation Bus (JBUS), a common, cost effective, and extensible LVC interface solution for command and control, communication, computers, collaboration and integration (CSI), and legacy simulation interfaces used in the LVC federation, and Service training environments. Researched and developed, and integrated diftional JBUS capabilities to disparate training systems developed and fielded by Services, joint, agency, and partner nations into the joint training environment using common solutions and joint standards.</li> <li>Prototyped a tech solution to integrate constructive A2/AD and IO/ISR capabilities that support CCMD exercise and Service training environment.</li> <li>Continued developed an Tital envilation attributes ability to train</li></ul>		ombat ort to e Air and d artner e n, IO/ tion of orded/ test			

PE 0804767D8Z: COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformat... Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Sec	R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense Date: March 2014						
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0804767D8Z / COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2)	-		Name) Il Training Ca	pability		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<ul> <li>Continue development and refinement of the JLVC 2020 strategy, the CCMDs, coalition partners, agencies, and DOD modeling and simular reliant on cloud-enabled modular services with an initial capability in 2019. This environment will be aligned with DOD plans for the impler proof of concept for the Joint Force Development special purpose preserved of the Joint Training Enterprise Architecture decomptechnology applications into a cloud-enabled modular service support begin engineering and technical management support to facilitate at the "to be" joint training environment.</li> <li>Virtual Collective Training Environment will complete development and delivery of a prototype Virtual Worlds Framework (VWF) capabilities to the command and Control Systems in Virtual Environment to create an adaptive virtue Commanders, staffs, units, and personnel. Command and Control S modular simulation services that will provide joint warfighters the abic capabilities to meet specific joint requirements, and then deliver that</li> <li>Based on discovery identified with the initial cloud capabilities reserved previous in support of delivering wargaming, environment, pevelopment, and fielding strategy.</li> <li>Based on discovery identified with the sability to search for expand development into geospatial services.</li> <li>Based on discovery identified with system of systems interoperability persond development into geospatial services.</li> <li>Based on discovery identified with system of systems interoperability persond development into geospatial services.</li> <li>Based on discovery identified with system of systems interoperability persond explores and virtual training interface homepage.</li> <li>Based on discovery identified with system of systems interoperability persond explores and virtual training interface homepage.</li> </ul>	ation community to deliver a future joint training environmediation community to deliver a future joint training environmediated year 2016, and an operational capability in fiscal year 2015, amentation of the Joint Information Environment, to inclusive occessing node. itial limited operational capability in fiscal year 2015. bosing modeling and simulation, networking and informating CCMD and Service joint training requirements. agreement among Enterprise stakeholders on way ahear of the prototype system: Phase 3 will focus on developing and simulation Coordination Office I Environments will integrate the VWF into the emerging wall environment that enables joint force development for Systems in Virtual Environments will employ cloud-enable lity to rapidly access and compose training applications capability how, when, and where required. arch, continue development on next phase of cloud-enable of modeling and simulation data by developing and initiate and down-load order of battle data from different source and down-load order of battle data from different source and down-load order of battle data from different source and down-load order of battle data from different source and down-load order of battle data from different source and down-load order of battle data from different source and down-load order of battle data from different source and down-load order of battle data from different source and down-load order of battle data from different source and down-load order of battle data from different source and down-load order of battle data from different source and down-load order of battle data from different source and down-load order of battle data from different source and down-load order of battle data from different source and down-load order of battle data from different source and down-load order of battle data from different source and down-load order of battle data from different source and down-load order of battle data from different source and down-load order of battle data from different so	nent year ide the ition id for ment ed and bled ce esign, al es, raining refront c and					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of S	Secretary Of Defense		Date: N	/larch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0804767D8Z / COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2)		<b>ct (Number/Name)</b> Joint National Training Capability C)				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<ul> <li>Continue developing virtual frameworks, advanced web gaming services interfacing technologies to create a globally integrated JI based modular services to support home based training.</li> <li>Develop a prototype JLVC 2020 virtual environment that will red architecture solution that reduces or eliminates waste and redund Prototype system to shadow a fiscal year 2015 exercise/event for</li> <li>Conduct research and develop a standard that allows the seaml information and data between sensors, robotics, command and c will enable meaningful data exchange between sensors, robotics, and migration of legacy systems into a cloud-based "service-orier</li> <li>Conduct research and validate use of web technologies to achie syntactic levels.</li> <li>Perform engineering analysis of industry and government producenvironment. Development of an implementation plan by industry 1.0 and 2.0 concepts to include cloud, widgets, and web-based services in the DOD "cloud" computing environment that targets a</li> <li>Continue to develop the JLVC 2020 technical infrastructure that Information Environment type infrastructure and software necessates services in the DOD "cloud" computing environment that targets a</li> <li>Continue to develop a prototype of the JLVC 2020 cloud-enable simulation training solution on the Defense Information Systems A gency Defense Enterprise Computing Centromation Systems Agency Defense Enterprise Computing Centromation Systems Agency Defense Enterprise Computing Centromation Systems Agency Defense Collaborative Environment In realm.</li> <li>Modify existing USAF logistic simulations to integrate with GEO making across the full mission spectrum. This capability will provicapabilities for efficient decision-making across the full mission spectrum.</li> </ul>	LVC 2020 virtual environment that can be stimulated by clo luce exercise planning in a cost-effective, integrated enterp lancy in existing training architectures and mitigates risk. a return on investment evaluation. less exchange of JLVC 2020 System Interoperability Service ontrol, and joint training systems. System Interoperability S , command and control, and modeling and simulation system need' environment. eve interoperability at the data-dynamic-pragmatic-semantic exts that can be used to enable joint training in a cloud y, academia, and practitioners for JLVC 2020 Capability Re ervices. will provide the Joint Training Enterprise Architecture/Joint ary to host the JLVC 2020 simulation and related web-base a full operational capability enabled in 2019. d technical infrastructure and cloud-enabled joint modeling Agency's Defense Enterprise Computing Center Rapid Acce vices for customer access and leverage existing Defense ter and target the Joint Information Environment-cloud (FY R) to facilitate low-cost TS/SCI links; integrate Air and Spac ce System Generator. This capability expands the current formation Operations Suite to include interactions in the TS BASE C2 to provide geospatial capabilities for efficient deci ide logistics simulation integration that provides geospatial	ud- rise Service ms, 2- lease d and ess 16) for ce space s/SCI					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	1arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0804767D8Z / COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2)	Project (I 758 / Join (JNTC)		Name) I Training Cap	pability
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Add fidelity to GPS Environment Generator modeling capabilities to include comproviding location, health, and operational status of each satellite in the GPS. Teplicating jamming, forcing exercise participants to develop procedures to wor</li> <li>Continue development of the prototype solution to include operation and man cloud services capability demonstrated during the JS J7, USN, and USAF pilot Enterprise Architecture (JTEA) in FY18. This effort will include day-to-day oper implementation, Defense Information Assurance Security Accreditation Workin Group representation until successfully transitioned to the JTEA.</li> <li>Continue development and enhancements to JBUS, a common, cost-effective legacy simulation interfaces used in the LVC federation and Service training er and implement common technical solutions to integrate joint, service, agency, a interoperability of these devices in a robust and extensible integrating architect</li> <li>Integrate constructive A2/AD and IO/ISR capabilities that support CCMD exert improved ability to train realistically and efficiently, as well as development of a cyber, and IO at the operational and tactical level, and integration into the joint</li> <li>Develop a common database GUI for the MTWS in order to access the command Service (Title 10) training events within the JLVC 2020, populate common data and custom-built USMC terrain. This innovative leverages an established automated ingestion process for modeling and simulation correlated terrain dat</li> <li>Develop (JAAR-RL), Version 3.X Capability - Provides an After Action Review of Enterprise Services supporting the JTEA.</li> </ul>	This provides the ability to degrade GPS signations in this degraded environment. lagement of the pilot joint CDIS Enterprise project, until transitioning to the Joint Training ration, software updates, rule set coordination g Group representation, and Joint CDIS Work e, and extensible LVC interface solution for CS wironments. The goal of this effort is to identiand partner training systems in order to achieve ure that meets the war-fighters training objection for training environment. This provides the variable training requirements, provide the training architecture for high-end A2/A training environment. The goal of this definition of the training environment that meets the war-fighters training objection for training environment. The database repository, support joint, coalition database repository with GeoFidelis Infrastruct DOD process of working towards an integrate tabases and 3-D modeling for training program.	and ing il and fy ve full ves. de vb, de vb, n, cture ed ns.			
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Continue development and refinement of the JLVC 2020 modeling and simula working with the Services, CCMDs, coalition partners, agencies, and the DOD future modeling and simulation training environment reliant on cloud-enabled m year 2016, and an operational capability in fiscal year 2019.</li> <li>Conduct JLVC 2020 Integration Events #2 and #3 to prepare for initial limited</li> <li>Continue to build the Joint Training Enterprise Architecture decomposing mod technology applications into a cloud-enabled modular service supporting Comb requirements.</li> <li>Consolidate joint force development information technology systems into an in the joint training enterprise.</li> </ul>	modeling and simulation community to deliver nodular services with an initial capability in fisc operational capability release in fiscal year 20 deling and simulation, networking and informat patant Command and Service joint training	r a :al 015. tion			

PE 0804767D8Z: COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformat... Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justi	fication: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary	/ Of Defense	9				Date: Ma	rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6				PE 08	804767D8Z I gement and	ment (Numb COCOM Ex Training Trar	ercise		(Number/Na int National		ability
B. Accomplishments/Planned Prog	<u> grams (\$ in I</u>	<u> Millions)</u>							FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Alignment of the joint training environment of the joint force developeration of the prototype VWF cape. Continue development on next phaservices supporting Joint Force Developing range modeling and simulation of Based on discovery identified with the operating capability that provides correspand development into geospatial.</li> <li>Based on discovery identified with the using emulated command and controls. Continue research and prototyping and robotic to simulation systems.</li> <li>Continue enhancement of classified Environment Information Operations of a Cross Domain Information Shari Continue Marine Air-Ground Task Force Development of JAAR-RL</li> </ul>	onment with pment specia ability (Capa se of cloud-e elopment and development he initial data nsumers the services. research on vo ol systems, e to establish continue to ng (CDIS) En ement to the Force Tactica at, coalition, a	the DOD ma al purpose p plete develo bility Release mabled mod d JLVC 2020 and training a strategy or ability to sea web-based s xpand resea a standard the nd expansio upgrade exi nterprise Ne JBUS used al Warfare Si and Service	rocessing no pment of the ie 1). Jular services modeling a strategy. In reuse of m arch for and services usin arch to suppo hat promotes n of space re sting Simula twork Archite in the LVC f imulation (M training ever	ode based of prototype s is in support of nd simulation odeling and download or og Virtual Wo port additional s interoperation tion/ C2Tech ecture includ federation ar TWS) Graph nts.	n lessons lea system: Phase of delivering n capability. simulation d der of battle orld type tech l joint training oility between n in the Air a nnology Infra ling cloud se nd Service training incal User Infra	arned from the se 3 will focu modeling an This effort v ata by devel- data from di anologies to s g use cases. n command a and space Co astructureCon- ervices capat aining enviro terface (GUI)	he proof of co s on develop d simulation vill contribute oping an initi fferent source support joint and control, s ollaborative ntinue develo- ilities. nments. for commor	oncept. ment e to the al es, training sensor, opment			
				Accor	mplishment	s/Planned P	rograms Su	ıbtotals	24.381	19.290	28.003
C. Other Program Funding Summa Line Item • 0804767D8Z: JNTC O&M Funding • 0804767D8Z-: JNTC Procurement Funding <u>Remarks</u>	ry (\$ in Milli FY 2013 24.756 2.322	ions) FY 2014 26.028 -	FY 2015 Base 25.732 -	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>OCO</u> - -	FY 2015 Total 25.732	<b>FY 2016</b> 26.541 -	FY 2017 26.080 -	FY 2018 26.065 -		Cost To Complete Continuing Continuing	
PE 0804767D8Z: COCOM Exercise E Transformat Office of Secretary Of Defense	Engagement	and Training	9	UNCLAS Page 10			R-1 Line	#174		Vol	ume 3 - 696

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of	f Defense	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0804767D8Z / COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2)	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 758 / Joint National Training Capability (JNTC)
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A		
<ul> <li>E. Performance Metrics</li> <li>RDT&amp;E development efforts are evaluated based on performance metrics. The warfighter requirements. Performance metrics include, but are not limited to a second the second second</li></ul>	; time, money, realism, and fidelity as defined be e training more timely than current capabilities a training at a more effective and efficient cost th environment that is closer to the real world envir	elow: llow? an current capabilities allow? ronment than current capabilities allow?
Measures: • Achieve a ten percent increase per year in Joint Training Data Scenario pro • Reduction in joint training environment Operation & Sustainment costs achi • Provide enhanced cyber capabilities meeting 45% of CCMD exercises cybe • Joint training enterprise event preparation time is reduced by 15%.	oduction builds / downloads from FY 14 through leving a threshold goal of 30% reduction by FY	FY 16.
PE 0804767D8Z: COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training		

Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6										ect (Number/Name) Joint Simulations Systems (JSS)			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
761: Joint Simulations Systems (JSS)	7.208	3.017	3.098	2.193	-	2.193	2.333	-	-	-	-	17.84	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
The Joint Simulation System (JS reaching Initial Operating Capabi meet CCMDs' training requireme <b>B. Accomplishments/Planned P</b>	ility in FY16. ents. JSS wi	JSS will e Il provide d	nhance exis esign and d	sting Joint (	Conflict and	Tactical Sin	nulation (JC	ATS) and	Joint Theat in CEMS e	er Level Sin nvironment	mulation (JT	LS) to	
<b>Title:</b> Joint Simulation System (JS			<u>5)</u>						F	3.017	FY 2014 3.098	FY 2015 2.19	
<b>Description:</b> This effort provides Services, CCMDs, agencies and training capabilities resident in the systems" that are interoperable a provided by JSS are critical enable	coalition par e Joint Force nd acceptab	tners. The Trainer To le for usage	se joint sim oolkit (JFTT) e within the	ulations and ). The JFT joint trainin	d tools are p T is a set of g environm	part of an ov training ena ent. The joi	erall JLVC ablers, and nt simulatio	baseline of "certified ons and too					
FY 2013 Accomplishments: • Continued the integration, verific Federation version 6.0 to support • Developed civilian infrastructure • Developed modeling and simulat • Continued JLVC 2020 prototyping simulation involving decoupling simulation involving decoupling simulation Enterprise Architecture to decrease meet future Warfighting training references.	current CCl e network mo ation web-se ng of cloud-e imulation pro se operating	MD and Se odels and s rvices, clou enabled mo ocesses that and susta	rvice joint tra imulations to id computing odular servic at can be sh inment costs	aining requ o increase g, and virtu ces. Prototy ared by mu s and produ	irements. realism to th alization to yped future iltiple simula uce agile an	ne training a comply with architecture ations within d adaptable	udience. DOD guida for joint mo the Joint T training ca	ance. odeling and raining pabilities th	nat				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justi	fication: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary	Of Defense					Date: Ma	arch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6				PE 08	04767D8Z / gement and	<b>nent (Numb</b> COCOM Ex Training Tran	ercise	-	Project (Number/Name) 761 / Joint Simulations Systems (JSS)			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Prog	grams (\$ in I	<u>Millions)</u>						ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Developed enhancements in the JL Denial (A2/AD) defense training capa								a				
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Federate A2/AD and hybrid threats</li> <li>Develop hybrid threat effects on civ</li> <li>Continue JLVC 2020 prototyping of modeling and simulation involving de Joint Training Enterprise Architecture capabilities that meet future Warfight</li> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Federate A2/AD and hybrid threats</li> <li>Develop hybrid threat effects on civ</li> <li>Continue JLVC 2020 prototyping of modeling and simulation involving de Joint Training Enterprise Architecture de Joint Training Enterprise Architecture</li> </ul>	rilian populati cloud-enable coupling sime to decrease ting training r modeling an rilian populati cloud-enable coupling sim	on and A2/A ed modular s julation proc operating a equirements d simulation on and A2/A ed modular s julation proc	D modeling services. Co esses that ca and sustainm capabilities. D modeling services. Co esses that ca	to comply w ontinue proto an be shared ent costs an to comply w ontinue proto an be shared	typing of a fi d by multiple id produce a ith CJCS tra typing of a fi d by multiple	uture archite simulations gile and ada ining prioritie uture archite simulations	cture for join within the ptable trainir es. cture for join within the	ng t				
capabilities that meet future Warfight						-		-				
				Accon	nplishment	s/Planned P	rograms Su	btotals	3.017	3.098	2.193	
C. Other Program Funding Summa Line Item • 0804767D8Z: JSS O&M Funding Remarks	nry (\$ in Milli FY 2013 1.007	<u>ons)</u> <u>FY 2014</u> 0.957	FY 2015 Base 0.953	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>OCO</u> -	FY 2015 Total 0.953	<u>FY 2016</u> 0.943	<u>FY 2017</u> 0.944	FY 201	1 <u>8 FY 2019</u> 	Cost To Complete Continuing		
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A E. Performance Metrics												
RDT&E development efforts are eva warfighter requirements. Performan PE 0804767D8Z: COCOM Exercise E	ce metrics in	clude, but a	re not limited						pment effort s	ynchronizes	with	

*Transformat...* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Volume 3 - 699

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: March 2014				
0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0804767D8Z / COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2)	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 761 <i>I Joint Simulations Systems (J</i>	SS)		

• Time – Will the effort enable the Joint Force Trainer to prepare and execute training more timely than current capabilities allow?

• Cost – Will the effort enable the Joint Force Trainer to prepare and execute training at a more effective and efficient cost than current capabilities allow?

• Realism – Will the effort enable the Joint Force Trainer to create a training environment that is closer to the real world environment than current capabilities allow?

• Fidelity – Will the effort enable the Joint Force Trainer to create more detailed capabilities in the training environment than current capabilities allow?

Measures

• Provide the JLVC Federation version 6.0 to enable Services, CCMDS, agencies and coalition partners to deploy trained, capable, and interoperable joint forces.

• JLVC version 6.0 is delivered on time with less than ten priority one and two problem trouble reports.

• JLVC version 6.0 has an exercise availability rating of 95%.

• Enhance joint model and simulation capabilities to meet 65% of CCMD training requirements in hybrid threats and Anti-Access/Area-Denial functional areas.

• One major software release to implement emerging technologies supporting enterprise architecture development.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification:	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	efense					Date: Marc	ch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6						PE 0804767D8Z / COCOM Exercise 769				oject (Number/Name) 9 I Joint Knowledge Development & stribution Capability (JKDDC)			
COST (\$ in Millions)	OST (\$ in Millions) Prior FY 2013 Years FY 2013 FY 2014 Base							FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
769: Joint Knowledge Development & Distribution Capability (JKDDC)	4.375	4.656	3.986	4.000	-	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.092	4.092	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Joint Knowledge Development & Distribution Capability (JKDDC) Joint Knowledge Online (JKO) is the DOD unique and authoritative source for online joint training. JKDDC JKO is tasked to develop a Joint Individual Training Toolkit of web-enabled individual and small group training products and services. Products and services are developed in response to OSD(P&R) CE2T2 Program Goals & Objectives guidance, CJCS High Interest Training Items, Joint Staff J7 training priorities, and JKDDC JKO Stakeholder (CCMDs, Services, and Combat Support Agencies) prioritized training requirements. JKDDC JKO supports a career-long joint learning continuum, joint professional military education, and tailored common training standards to Service members for tasks that are jointly executed, resulting in trained, capable, and interoperable joint forces. JKO research and development will improve:

- Small Group Scenario Trainer (SGST) desk top modeling and simulation based training: These capabilities train and prepare tens of thousands of military and civilian personnel deploying to CCMD theaters of operation prior to serving in their assigned Combined/Joint Task Force (C/JTF) billets. Specifically, C/JTF 'battle staffs' will be adequately trained, as individuals and the staffs collectively, based on SGST development and implementation throughout the joint training enterprise.

- JKO mobile "pilot" courseware training device development: This facilitates the global distribution of web-based joint training content on portable, hand-held platforms.

- JKO Learning Management System (LCMS): JKO LCMS development is required to deliver JKO courses and track/report students' completions more efficiently.

- Develop the future virtual worlds learning environment. It will provide training and learning to promote adaptability and agility in the workforce through an interactive, immersive virtual gaming environment.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Joint Knowledge Development & Distribution Capability (JKDDC)	4.656	3.986	4.000
<b>Description:</b> JKDDC JKO technology initiatives principally include Small Group Scenario Trainer (SGST) desk top modeling and simulation based training, mobile "pilot" courseware training devices, JKO Learning Content Management System (LCMS), and OSD requested virtual worlds prototype. These capabilities facilitate the training and preparation of tens of thousands of military and civilian personnel deploying to CCMD theaters of operation prior to serving in their assigned C/JTF billets. Specifically, C/JTF "battle staffs" will be adequately trained, as individuals and the staffs collectively, based on SGST development and implementation throughout the joint training enterprise. JKO mobile "pilot" courseware training device development facilitates the global distribution of web-based joint training content on portable, hand-held platforms for joint warriors. The JKO LCMS development is required to deliver JKO courses and track/report students' completions more efficiently. The future virtual worlds			

PE 0804767D8Z: COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformat... Office of Secretary Of Defense

Volume 3 - 701

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense		Date: N	1arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0804767D8Z / COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2)		Knowled	<b>Name)</b> dge Developn ility (JKDDC)	nent &
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	<b>2013</b>	FY 2014	FY 2015
learning environment will provide training and learning to promote adaptable tailor and adapt instructional material to fit the learner's strengths and weak		to			
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Crafted and began implementing a comprehensive plan to develop mobile entire Joint Individual Training Toolkit. Plan components included existing devices, emerging training courseware requirements interoperable with por DOD agencies, interagency, and multinational training courseware ported to Developed and deployed 32 mobile "pilot" training products on JKO mana</li> <li>Developed and delivered four JKO Learning Content Management System efficient online training management application that is interoperable with D</li> <li>Developed a future virtual worlds learning prototype that provided training consistent with the Virtual Worlds Framework (VWF). The Combating Traff" pilot" mobile technology demonstrated how online training could be delived <i>FY 2014 Plans:</i></li> <li>Assess, refine, and continue implementing comprehensive plan to develoe JKO's entire Joint Individual Training Toolkit. Plan components include existing of other DOD agencies, interagency, and multinational training courseware include eBook, Podcast, job aids, and video capabilities in addition to curree on Develop and deliver two JKO Learning Content Management System (LC online training management application that is interoperable with DOD personal deliver two JKO Learning Content Management System (LC online training management application that is interoperable with DOD personal deliver two JKO Learning Content Management System (LC online training management application that is interoperable with DOD personal deliver two JKO Learning Content Management System (LC online training management application that is interoperable with DOD personal deliver two JKO Learning Content Management System (LC online training management application that is interoperable with DOD personal deliver two JKO Learning Content Management System (LC online training management application that is interoperable with DOD personal deliver two JKO Learning Content Management System (LC online training management application that</li></ul>	JKO courseware conversion to portable, hand-he rtable, hand-held devices, and the leveraging of o to mobile training devices. aged mobile content delivery/tracking platform. m (LCMS) releases resulting in a more effective a DOD personnel management systems. g and learning environments (software agents) that ficking in Persons prototype course available via a red via the VWF.	ld ther nd it are JKO d on nd- y vill			
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Assess, refine, and continue implementing comprehensive plan to develo JKO's entire Joint Individual Training Toolkit. Plan components include exit held devices, emerging training courseware requirements interoperable with of other DOD agencies, interagency, and multinational training courseware include eBook, Podcast, job aids, and video capabilities in addition to current Develop and deliver two JKO Learning Content Management System (LC online training management application that is interoperable with DOD personal</li> </ul>	isting JKO courseware conversion to portable, had th portable, hand-held devices, and the leveraging ported to mobile training devices. Refined plan v ent courseware capabilities. CMS) releases resulting in a more effective and eff	nd- ) vill			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Jus	stification: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary							larch 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6										<b>lame)</b> Ige Developr lity (JKDDC)	velopment & (DDC)	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pre		•						ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Requirements are derived from C	CMD user feed	dback and e	merging DOI	D training pr	orities.							
				Accon	nplishment	s/Planned P	rograms Sub	ototals	4.656	3.986	4.00	
C. Other Program Funding Summ	nary (\$ in Milli	ons <u>)</u>										
Line Item • 0804767D8Z: JKDDC O&M Funding	<b>FY 2013</b> 6.348	<u>FY 2014</u> 6.036	FY 2015 Base 6.031	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>OCO</u> -	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>Total</u> 6.031	<u>FY 2016</u> 6.038	<u>FY 2017</u> 5.928	<u>FY 20</u> 6.03		<u>Cost To</u> 9 <u>Complete</u> 4 Continuing	Total Cos	
<u>Remarks</u>												
<ul> <li>D. Acquisition Strategy N/A</li> <li>E. Performance Metrics Joint Staff prescribed performance</li> <li>Time – Will the effort enable the J</li> <li>Cost – Will the effort enable the J</li> <li>Realism – Will the effort enable the</li> </ul>	Joint Force Tra loint Force Trai he Joint Force	iner to prepa iner to prepa Trainer to cr	are and exec are and exec eate a trainir	ute training ute training a ng environm	more timely at a more ef ent that is cl	than current fective and e loser to the re	capabilities a fficient cost the al world envir	llow? an curr ronmen	t than current	capabilities	allow?	
Measures: • Augment the ability to provide cul mission areas per year. • Provide small group training focus training for three exercise response • Add context sensitive remediation • Provide a systematic, steady-stat Phase I of the initiative, resulting in • Provide cost model for evaluating Mission Essential Task training sol be in place by year five of the initial	Itural context tr sed on Joint E: e cells per yea n to five existin te process for i n improved train g level of effort, lutions for the c ative.	raining for Co xercise Life o r. g Joint Distri ntegrating co ning and rea additional c Joint Training	CMD's Joint Cycle specifi ibuted Learn ultural contex diness for th onditions an g System Ph	Mission Ess ed mission a ing courses kt, small grou e warfighter d standards	ential Task areas for pre per year. up training, for cultural	functional are e-requisite in and intelliger context, sma	eas by one ge exercise augr nt remediation Il group trainir	ograph nentatio require ng, and	ic area of respon, or post ex ements into th intelligent ren	ponsibility, ar ercise remed e Joint Traini nediation to J	liation ng System loint	
PE 0804767D8Z: COCOM Exercise	e Engagement	and Training	1	UNCLAS								
Transformat				UNULAS			D 4 1 · · · · //			Vo	lume 3 - 703	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification:	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	efense					Date: Mar	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6					PE 0804767D8Z / COCOM Exercise 770 /					e <b>ct (Number/Name)</b> I U.S. Forces Korea Training and cise Support		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
770: U.S. Forces Korea Training and Exercise Support	17.553	6.497	6.121	4.483	-	4.483	1.378	1.378	1.410	1.410	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Korean Theater of Operations. Ir RDT&E program. This solution w audiences, tactical to strategic, in other combatant commander train <b>B. Accomplishments/Planned P</b>	vill be capab Korean the ning program	le of interopeter exercises that use	perating in a ses. While the aging J	a common l supporting	oattle space USFK's spe	that realisti cific require	ically represements, this	ents the op solution wi	berating env Il contain er nd the eme	vironment to nhancemer rging JLVC	o all levels o ts that will b	f training benefit
<i>Title:</i> USFK Training & Exercise	<u> </u>		-+							6.497	6.121	4.483
<b>Description:</b> This program provid command under PACOM. This pr and simulations which are capable which is interoperable with the Re specific training requirements, this Cloud-Enabled Modular Services the operating environment to all le CCMDs, Services, and coalition P	rogram deve e of satisfyin public of Ko s solution al which will p evels of trair	elops a join ng all joint e orea develo so is inextri rovide a sir	tly accredite exercise trai ped Korean cably linked nulated con	ed, supporten ning requirent Simulation to the JLV nmon, interent	ed, and func ements in th System. V C 2020 mod operable ba	led federation le Korean Th Vhile suppor deling and s ttlespace wi	on of constr heater of O rting U.S. Fo simulation ca hich realistio	uctive mod perations, a orces Korea apability via cally repres	and a a			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Researched, developed, tested an			Denuklia									

PE 0804767D8Z: COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformat... Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretar		Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0804767D8Z / COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2)	ercise 770 I U.S. Forces Korea Training a				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<ul> <li>Completed Joint Exercise Control Suite Cross Federation testing tool.</li> <li>Completed initial development of refugee and civilian traffic modeling an federation.</li> <li>Completed initial development of U.S. Forces Korea civilian infrastructurand simulation federation.</li> <li>Completed initial development of targeting networks and visualization modeling and simulation federation to enable visualization of intended targeting effects.</li> <li>Continued Air Force Modeling and Simulation Training Toolkit database</li> <li>Completed Joint Conflict and Tactical Simulation Low Overhead Driver Model migration.</li> <li>Continued Joint Land Component Constructive Training Capability data</li> <li>Completed initial Modular Federation Object Model design.</li> <li>Initial Marine Air-Ground Task Force Tactical Warfare Simulation Module</li> <li>Completed coalition-releasable Joint Semi-Automated Forces baseline.</li> <li>Continued Korean Battle Simulation Center Terrain support.</li> <li>Completed initial Defense Training Network Guard for JLVC 6.x modeling</li> <li>Continued enterprise architecture subject matter expertise research and training capability.</li> <li>Started development of the Joint Terrain Data Services specific dataset requirements.</li> </ul>	are modeling and simulations into the JLVC 6.x modeling modeling and simulations into the JLVC 6.x modeling e support. High Level Architecture 1516 Modular Federated C abase support. Fration Object Model and Dynamic Data Model lar Federation Object Model migration completed. Ing and simulation federation. d analysis to facilitate delivery of state of the art US	deling g and Dbject				
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Enhance Army, Air Force, Navy, and Marine Corps Live, Virtual, and Corps JLVC 2020 modeling and simulation capability to meet USFK theater spee.</li> <li>Achieve full interoperability of joint service and ROK modeling and simulation high-intensity combat scenarios by 2016.</li> <li>Document the future "to be" Joint Training Environment in the DOD Archeve FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Enhance Army, Air Force, Navy, and Marine Corps Live, Virtual, and Corthe JLVC 2020 modeling and simulation capability to meet USFK theater requirements.</li> </ul>	ecific, CCMD, Service, and coalition training require lations, capable of supporting large (e.g. 1M entitie hitecture Framework (DODAF) artifacts. onstructive capabilities and fully integrate these into	ements. es),				

PE 0804767D8Z: COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformat... Office of Secretary Of Defense

chibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	PE 08	04767D8Z l gement and	ment (Numb COCOM Ex Training Trar	ercise	770/	oject (Number/Name) 10 I U.S. Forces Korea Training and cercise Support					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	grams (\$ in I	<u>Millions)</u>						ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Achieve full interoperability of joint high-intensity combat scenarios by 2		ROK modelir	ng and simula	ations, capa	ble of suppo	orting large (e	e.g. 1M entiti	es),			
				Accor	nplishment	ts/Planned P	Programs Su	ubtotals	6.497	6.121	4.48
C. Other Program Funding Summa Line Item • 0804767D8Z: U.S. Forces Korea Training & Exercise Proc Remarks	ary (\$ in Milli FY 2013 0.307	ions) FY 2014 0.309	FY 2015 Base 0.299	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>OCO</u> -	FY 2015 Total 0.299	<b>FY 2016</b> 0.304	<u>FY 2017</u> -	<u>FY 20'</u>	<u>18 FY 201</u> 	<u>Cost To</u> 9 <u>Complete</u> -	
<ul> <li>D. Acquisition Strategy</li> <li>N/A</li> <li>E. Performance Metrics</li> <li>RDT&amp;E development efforts are evaluation</li> </ul>									pment effort	synchronizes	with
<ul> <li>warfighter requirements. Performant</li> <li>Time – Will the effort enable the Jone</li> <li>Cost – Will the effort enable the Jone</li> <li>Realism – Will the effort enable the</li> <li>Fidelity – Will the effort enable the</li> </ul>	bint Force Tra int Force Tra e Joint Force	iiner to prepa iner to prepa Trainer to cr	are and exec are and exec reate a trainir	ute training ute training ng environm	more timely at a more ef ent that is c	than current fective and e loser to the re	capabilities fficient cost eal world en	allow? than curr vironmen	t than curren	t capabilities	allow?

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	cretary Of D	Defense					Date: Ma	rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6					PE 080476	am Elemen 37D8Z / CO ent and Trair	COM Exerc		ect (Number/Name) I Immersive Simulation			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
754: Immersive Simulation	-	11.750	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.750
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
<b>A. Mission Description and Bud</b> A state of the art simulated close friendly and non-combatant casu military operations, from irregular	combat en alties and ir	vironment w ncreasing le	rill enable e thality agaiı	nst foes. It	will contribu	ite to comba	at team prof	iciency and	decision m	aking acro	ss the full ra	
B. Accomplishments/Planned P	rograms (	in Millions	<u>s)</u>						FY	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<i>Title:</i> Immersive Simulation <i>Description:</i> Accelerate fielding of enhancements, modular systems Accelerate development of autono Common SAF in Synthetic Enviro improve 119 behaviors models, e capability and enhance interaction	and video omous beha onment, and stablish 50	capture with avior capabi l enhancem	in individua lities throug ent of curre	Il and collec gh developr nt software	nent of Opp (Virtual Bat	g systems. osing Force tlespace 2).	e and Blue F . These exp	Force Beha	will	11.750	-	-
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Highly Detailed Scenarios. Devision-specific replicate the contension-specific repearsal, includic conditions simulating combat stree</li> <li>Geo-typical Data Repositories. population appearance, cultural b</li> <li>External Enablers Representation to be represented. Develop training required external enablers. Estab the tactical level, in order to enhance.</li> </ul>	velop scena mporary op ant to ethic ing represe ss. Develop sta ehaviors (i. on. Identify ng standard lish habitua	erating envi al and tactic ntation of se andardized r e., correct fo and create s for control l relationshi	ronment. E cal decision econd and t repositories processes llers repres- ps with orga	Develop a c making. De hird order e for geo-typ ting in a spo to leverage enting exter anizations r	omprehensi evelop spec effects of eth bical data su ecific locatic e a pool of e rnal enabler representing	ve set of IW ific scenaric lical and tac ch as terrai on), languag xpertise for s. Enhance and or prov	/ tasks, con o requireme ctical decision n features, je and diale each extern automated viding exter	ditions and nts that sup ons made u vegetation, ct. nal capabili responses	pport nder ty for			

PE 0804767D8Z: COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformat... Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of		Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0804767D8Z <i>I COCOM Exercise</i> <i>Engagement and Training Transformation</i> <i>(CE2T2)</i>	Name) mulation			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Natural Verbal and Non-Verbal Communication. Develop a broader selection devices. Further develop Voice over Internet Protocol (VOIP) technology for undevelop natural gesture recognition capabilities. Further develop natural voice</li> <li>Autonomous Behavior. Develop methodology to characterize and organize of and supporting animations (including individuals, cells and units) to allow limit game engine and virtual Semi-Automated Forces (SAF) behaviors in order enal detailed response library for certain conditions and behaviors. Develop virtuate environment.</li> <li>Sensory Stimulation. Further develop and integrate current olfactory system develop and integrate current hap tic feedback devices for both live and virtual resolution display technologies for both live and virtual environments. Further for both live and virtual environments. Integrate all sensory stimulation capabilithe training environment. Conduct research into best methods to stimulate the into the effectiveness and value of sensory stimulation in a training environmee. Interactions. Conduct research to determine optimal level of interaction with training requirements. Develop tools to eliminate the capability gaps in Sensor Communication Methods, Visual Representation of Terrain, and Visual Representation of Individuals. Develop a central repository of correct tera advancements from the commercial gaming industry to improve visualization of Visual Representation of Individuals. Develop a library of common body 3D characteristics. Develop and utilize body-mapping technology to enable live routilize facial mapping technology to enable live role players to provide realistic cut scenes and pre-recorded video segments for common human motions and of highly realistic animations. Leverage commercial gaming technology to allo technology to allo technology resident in the entertainment industry to enhance immersive training of highly realistic animations.</li> </ul>	even with live, virtual and gaming technologies. F e recognition capabilities. entity behaviors. Expand library of scripted beh ed interactions with trainees. Develop improved hance tactical and ethical decision making. De al human with capability to perceive and under ns for both live and virtual environments. Further al environments. Further develop and integrate develop and integrate enhanced audio technol lities in order to maximize overall effectiveness e senses in a training environment. Conduct res ent. in the training environment, with respect to the ry Stimulation, Natural Verbal and Non-Verbal sentation of Individuals. xtures, models and objects. Leverage technolo engines. 0 frameworks to represent a variety of visual ole-players to drive avatar movement. Develop a vatar facial expressions. Develop a library of d movements. Develop and maintain a databas w rapid generation of unique avatars. Leverage ng.	er higher ogies within search			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	ototals	11.750	-	-
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks PE 0804767D8Z: COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	T	Date: March 2014
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0804767D8Z / COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2)	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 754 <i>I Immersive Simulation</i>
D. Acquisition Strategy		
N/A		
E. Performance Metrics		
Program terminated as part of Secretary of Defense efficiency cuts.		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	efense					Date: Mai	rch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6										ject (Number/Name) I Air Force JNTC		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
701: Air Force JNTC	2.955	2.041	2.234	2.716	-	2.716	2.794	2.794	2.858	2.858	B Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will A. Mission Description and Bud The Air Force JNTC funding is pr Force is also supporting developr breadth of the training audiences	l <b>get Item Ju</b> oviding a fo ment of cros	ustification cused upgr ss domain s	ade to deve solutions allo	owing for th								
<b>B. Accomplishments/Planned P</b>	rograms (\$	in Million	<u>s)</u>						F۱	<b>′ 2013</b>	FY 2014	FY 2015
<i>Title:</i> AF JNTC <i>Description:</i> Air Force continues Electronic Warfare training capab Artillery threats for U.S. and coalit Sharing (JCDIS) Enterprise Netwo joint and coalition participants to t cyber-contested environments in Finally, comprehensive space effe	ility for Euro tion forces. ork Architec rain while c the distribut	ppe which re In addition, ture, which lassified inf ed mission	eplicates do , Air Force a includes er ormation is operations	uble digit S assists in th agineering, segregated setting to c	urface-to-Ai e developm developmer and protec hallenge the	ir Missiles a ent of Joint nt, and deplo ted, as requ e joint exerc	nd advance Cross Dom oyment whi iired. Air Fo	Anti-Aircra ain Informa ch will enab orce is crea	ition ble	2.041	2.234	2.716
FY 2013 Accomplishments: • Developed a Cyber Simulator to • Modified current JLVC Federatic simulate the execution of operatic • Developed a Multinational Aviati system presents aircrews with a h Integrated Air Defense Systems ( • Continued Multi-Level Security ( accessed by users with different s for which they lack authorization. FY 2014 Plans:	ons to simul onal and stra on Live Virt nighly realist IADS). MLS). MLS	ate Blue Cy ategic plan/ ual Constru tic threat sy 6 enables vi	vber effects orders in a c ictive Traini stem. Prov	on adversa constructive ng System ides the op onstructive o	ry networks e environme (MALTS). 1 portunity for entities of va	<ul> <li>Enhanced ent to better</li> <li>This portable r aircrews to arious classi</li> </ul>	d exercise e train cyber e theater ele neutralize/ ification leve	nvironment warriors. ectronic wa suppress R els to be	rfare led			

PE 0804767D8Z: COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformat... Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secr	retary Of Defense					Date: M	arch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	PE 080	04767D8Z / ement and	n <b>ent (Numb</b> COCOM Ex Training Tran	ercise	701 I Air Force JNTC					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)					Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
Continue Cyber Simulator. Expand the capability to create a LVC en operators. Continue Blue Cyber Effects. Expand the capability to train cyber pe Continue Multinational Aviation Live Virtual Constructive Training Sys electronic warfare range to train/exercise aircrew capabilities. Continue Multi-Level Security (MLS). MLS enables virtual and constru- by users with different security clearances and needs-to-know, and p they lack authorization.	rsonnel on Blue c stem (MALTS). C ructive entities of v	yber-attack ontinue dev various clas	on adversary elopment of sification lev	/ networks. a deployable els to be acc	essed					
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Continue Cyber Simulator. Expand the capability to create a LVC enoperators. Continue Blue Cyber Effects. Expand the capability to train cyber per Continue Multinational Aviation Live Virtual Constructive Training Systematic Continue development of a deployable electronic warfare range to trace Continue Multi-Level Security (MLS). MLS enables virtual and constructive y users with different security clearances and needs-to-know, and p they lack authorization.	rsonnel on Blue c stem (MALTS). ain/exercise aircre ructive entities of v	yber-attack w capabilitie various clas	on adversary es. sification lev	v networks. els to be acc	essed					
	Accom	nplishment	s/Planned P	rograms Su	btotals	2.041	2.234	2.716		
• 0804767D8Z: Air Force 17.722 13.774 12.0 JNTC O&M Funding	ase OCO	FY 2015 <u>Total</u> 12.043	<u>FY 2016</u> 11.064	<u>FY 2017</u> 10.824	<u>FY 201</u> 10.90		Cost To Complete Continuing	Total Cost		
<u>Remarks</u> <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A <u>E. Performance Metrics</u>										
RDT&E development efforts are evaluated based on performance m warfighter requirements. Performance metrics include, but are not line PE 0804767D8Z: COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training				•		oment effort s	ynchronizes	with		

*Transformat...* Office of Secretary Of Defense

Volume 3 - 711

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office o	Date: March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0804767D8Z <i>I COCOM Exercise</i> <i>Engagement and Training Transformation</i> <i>(CE2T2)</i>	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 701 <i>I Air Force JNTC</i>
<ul> <li>Time – Will the effort enable the Joint Force Trainer to prepare</li> <li>Cost – Will the effort enable the Joint Force Trainer to prepare</li> <li>Realism – Will the effort enable the Joint Force Trainer to create</li> <li>Fidelity – Will the effort enable the Joint Force Trainer to create</li> </ul>	e and execute training at a more effective and efficient cost the at a training environment that is closer to the real world environment that the trained environment the trained environment the trained environment that the trained environment the trained environment that the trained environment the trained environment the trained environment the trained environment that the trained environment the trained envit the trained environment the trained environm	nan current capabilities allow? ironment than current capabilities allow?
Measures: • Multinational Aviation LVC Training System (MALTS) is the d air missiles and advanced AAA threats for Europe and Africa. challenges expected in the theaters. • As the increased/enhanced EW training capability is used in I feedback to the training audience should be recorded and brief	This EW system is a critical cornerstone for Joint Forcible En	ter ways to train, better ways to provide
Assisting Joint Staff and the Navy in developing Joint Cross Do development, and deployment which will enable joint and coali • Will install additional JCDIS enclave communications solution technical facilities.	tion participants to train while classified information is segreg	ated and protected, as required.
Air Force is creating cyber-contested environments in the distri • Adding cyber-contested environments will enhance existing L		•
Air Force is developing comprehensive space effects which are • Integrating a fully operational Space Based Infrared System N Operations-Space LVC missile warning and Infrared special ev	Missile Warning Simulator will allow space operators to active	ly participate in Distributed Mission

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	efense					Date: Mar	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6					PE 080476	am Elemen 67D8Z / CO ent and Train	COM Exerc		oject (Number/Name) 2 I Navy JNTC			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
772: Navy JNTC	3.532	3.983	4.180	2.610	-	2.610	2.923	2.923	3.030	3.030	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wi	ll be submit	ted at a late	r date.									
<ul> <li>A. Mission Description and Buc These funds enable Navy to deve conducting research, developme US and Coalition Forces as well</li> <li>B. Accomplishments/Planned P</li> </ul>	elop unique nt, test and as ensuring	maritime ca evaluation, sister servi	apabilities th and cross-s ce modeling	service arch	nitecture cer	tification on	joint-capab	le systems,	, developing unified star	g cross-don ndard.		
Title: Navy Joint National Training	g Center									3.983	4.180	2.610
<b>Description:</b> Develops unique m Using a scientific and phased app technologies and methods that pr Navy JNTC RDT&E efforts Joint S	oroach and f ovide a crue	focusing on cial technolo	modeling g ogy-based f	round, air, oundation s	space, and supporting a	maritime ca all JNTC T2	pabilities, re operations.	esearches r				
Federation Object Model (FOM) I information operations (IO) Road	ntegration d											
FY 2013 Accomplishments: • Continued alignment of Navy LV architecture. • Provided capabilities that support capability. This effort involves co (MDA) as well as the communical • Addressed additional Coalition F Surveillance System/Surveillance Operations (DMO) integration, Co • Navy developed significant impri- training gaps. These threat environ- unmanned Intelligence, Surveillance	rt Ballistic M ntinuous int tion links/da Partner Integ Towed Arra poperative E ovements to onment imp	Aissile Defer egration and ta paths that gration, Aeg ay Sensor S ingagement o JSAF's re rovements	nse (BMD) f d developm at allow us to is BMD 5.0 System integ t Capability, presentation included a r	training - ta ent of nume o provide th , Aegis Ash gration, Cor and Naval n of a realis nore tactica	ilored to the erous BMD his training t hore Team T mbined Arm Integrated I tic threat er ally-realistic	e Navy's DD models at th o DDG/CG frainer, Integ ed Forces - Fires Capab nvironment t electronic s	G/CG onbo ne Missile D even while a grated Unde Distributed nility - Count o address h ignals envir	ard BMD Defense Age at sea. Prsea Mission ter Air. high priority onment;	ency			

PE 0804767D8Z: COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformat... Office of Secretary Of Defense

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Service Address of Service A	cretary Of Defense		Date: N	larch 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0804767D8Z / COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2)	rcise 772 I Navy JNTC					
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<ul> <li>U.S. signals collection models, training systems and combat system common operational picture representation for two-sided event sup • Continued to invest in capabilities that mitigate joint training gaps • Extended and integrated virtual and augmented reality into training training.</li> <li>Continued the development of JSAF's representations to Opposin Warfare (EW), Signals Intelligence (SIGINT), Electronic Intelligence Air and Missile Defense (IAMD), MDA, and BMD capabilities in sup • Continued development in support of Korea Battle Simulation Cen KBSC specific enhancements.</li> <li>Improved knowledge of and capabilities to build the capacity and cexternal defense.</li> <li>Delivered annual version of the Navy Training Baseline to include</li> <li>Delivered annual version of the Navy Training FOM and Interoperation.</li> </ul>	port. n joint exercises and home station training. g to facilitate the mastery of tasks not easily addressed in g Forces (O), Anti-Submarine Warfare (ASW), Electronic (ELINT), Communications Intelligence (COMINT), Integr port of the Fleet, Joint and Coalition missions. ter (KBSC) integration, including releasable parametrics a competence of U.S., allied and partner forces for internal a priority joint requirements.	live ated and					
FY 2014 Plans:	ability Guide.						
<ul> <li>Continue alignment of Navy LVC training standards with JLVC training Enterprise Architectures.</li> <li>Continue development of BMD training capabilities, including Aegie</li> <li>Integration of new cyber and information operations training system systems (UAS) streaming video generation and distribution.</li> <li>Integration of additional Coalition Partner nation capabilities include Environment.</li> <li>Continue to invest in capabilities that mitigate joint training gaps in</li> <li>Extend and integrate virtual and augmented reality into training to training.</li> <li>Continue the development of JSAF's representations to OPFOR, A capabilities in support of the fleet, joint and coalition missions.</li> </ul>	s Ashore and numerous EUCOM/CENTCOM BMD mode ms, including STALLION IO trainer and unmanned aircraf ling Japanese PATRIOT and Air Defense Ground joint exercises and home station training. facilitate the mastery of tasks not easily addressed in live	els. ft					
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Continue alignment of NAVY LVC training standards with JLVC training capabilities, however, efforts CENTCOM BMD models will be minimal.</li> <li>Minimal efforts related to integration of additional Coalition Partners</li> </ul>	to integrate Aegis Ashore and upgrade numerous EUCC	DM/					
PE 0804767D8Z: COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformat	UNCLASSIFIED						
mansionnal					lume 3 - 714		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 6				R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)Project (Number/Name)PE 0804767D8Z / COCOM Exercise772 / Navy JNTCEngagement and Training Transformation(CE2T2)						Name)	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pr	rograms (\$ in I	<u> Millions)</u>							FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Continue the development of JSA			FOR, ASW,	EW, SIGINT	, ELINT, CC	MINT, IAMD	, MDA, and I	BMD			
capabilities in support of the fleet,	and joint missic	ons.		<b>A</b>					0.000	4 4 0 0	0.040
Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtot									3.983	4.180	2.610
C. Other Program Funding Sum	mary (\$ in Milli	ions)									
Line Item	FY 2013	FY 2014	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>Base</u>	<u>FY 2015</u> OCO	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>Total</u>	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 20	18 EV 201	<u>Cost To</u> 9 Complete	
• 0804767D8Z: Navy	7.103	7.352	6.992	-	6.992	6.627	6.626	<u>1 1 20</u> 6.6		1 Continuing	
JNTC O&M Funding										-	-
<u>Remarks</u>											
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A											
<b>E. Performance Metrics</b> RDT&E development efforts are e warfighter requirements. Perform									opment effort	synchronizes	with
• Navy will produce one JSAF soft CRs and document the effects of requirements.											
• Navy will produce one Navy Trai Interoperability Manual (GRIM) an training requirements.											
• Navy will deliver a JSAF/JNTC-J	ILVC FOM inter	operability (	Guide.								
Navy will facilitate integration by and mature the Navy Training Fec standardized FOM for integration	deration Object	Model (NTF	), it is improv								

xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Se	cretary Of Defense	Date: March 2014
ppropriation/Budget Activity 400 / 6	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0804767D8Z / COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training Transformation (CE2T2)	Project (Number/Name) 772 I Navy JNTC
Navy's current JLVC and other federation simulation distribution as it an effective one as federates are not able to publish and subso nd additionally provide a flexible solution for federating heterogen ommon denominator solution for each training event. Ultimately, calable traffic and for voice and Command, Control, Communicati	cribe with fine enough precision. The Simulation Aware S eous networks and on-the-wire protocols without forcing a simulation aware router will allow simulation users to o	Software Router will address this shortcomin all federates onto a single, uniform, lowest
0804767D8Z: COCOM Exercise Engagement and Training	UNCLASSIFIED	Volume 3 - 71

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iter	m Justificat	on: PB 20	15 Office of	Secretary	1					Date. Ma	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity							t (Number/					
0400: Research, Development, T RDT&E Management Support	est & Evalua	tion, Defen	se-Wide I E	3A 6:	PE 090999	9D8Z I Fina	ancing for C	Cancelled A	ccount Ad	justments		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	1.471	0.992	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		- Continuing	Continuin
546: Financing for Cancelled Account Adjustments	1.471	0.992	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		Continuing	Continuin
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request w	ill be submitt	ed at a late	r date.		1			1				
A. Mission Description and Bud Not applicable for this item	aget item Jl	Istification										
B. Program Change Summary	(\$ in Million	<u>s)</u>		<u>FY 2013</u>	FY 201	<u>4</u> <u>F</u>	Y 2015 Ba	<u>se</u>	FY 2015 (	000	<u>FY 2015 To</u>	otal
Previous President's Bud				-		-		-		-		-
Current President's Budg	et			0.992		-		-		-		-
Total Adjustments				0.992		-		-		-		-
Congressional (				-		-						
Congressional [		uctions		-		-						
Congressional F	Rescissions			-		-						
Congressional A	Adds			-		-						
Congressional [	Directed Trar	nsfers		-		-						
<ul> <li>Reprogramming</li> </ul>	js			0.992		-						
• SBIR/STTR Tra	nsfer			-								
C. Accomplishments/Planned I	Programs (\$	in Millions	<u>s)</u>						F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Not applicable for this item										0.992	-	-
FY 2013 Accomplishments:												
Financing For Cancelled Account	ts											
					Accomplis	hmonte/DI	annod Pro	arame Sub	totale	0.992		
					Accomplis			granis Sub		0.992	-	-
D. Other Program Funding Sun	nmary (\$ in	<u>Millions)</u>										
<u>Remarks</u>												

hibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secreta		Date: March 2014
p <b>ropriation/Budget Activity</b> 00: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 6: 0T&E Management Support	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0909999D8Z <i>I Financing for Cancelled Ac</i>	count Adjustments
Acquisition Strategy		
Ά		
Performance Metrics		
ot applicable for this item.		
0909999D8Z: Financing for Cancelled Account Adjustments	JNCLASSIFIED	

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	xhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense         D							Date: Marc	Date: March 2014			
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7: Operational Systems Development				SA 7:	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0607210D8Z I Industrial Base Analysis and Sustainment Support							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2015         FY 2015         FY 2015         FY 2015           FY 2013         FY 2014         Base         OCO #         Total         FY 2016				FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
Total Program Element 0.000 - 9.993 14.7					-	14.778	17.896	15.536	10.341	5.719	Continuing	Continuing
819: Industrial Base Analysis and Sustainment	0.000	-	9.993	14.778								Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### Note

This was a new start program in FY 2014 with a strategic goal of strengthening the industrial base in support of DoD supply chain and defense manufacturing requirements.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Defense-wide Industrial Base Analysis and Sustainment (IBAS) program element provides the Department with a comprehensive ability to achieve the strategic goal of strengthening the industrial base in support of DoD supply chain and defense manufacturing requirements. This program maintains or improves the health of essential parts of the defense industry to avoid reconstitution costs for capability after a Defense procurement hiatus on major investment programs or critical supply chain products where affordable and innovative mechanisms are available to work with the producers in the interim.

A stated purpose of the program is to provide for sustainment of the industrial base through a break in production. Criteria for project selection will include factors such as 1) identifiable path of preservation, transformation or innovation between an existing capability and a capability with a very high probability of being needed in the short to medium term (< 5 years); 2) loss of the capability is likely in the absence of the proposed project; 3) analysis showing that the project results in a lower overall cost to the department than if capability is developed from scratch when needed; and 4) preference is given to project supporting multiple programs or services with no clearly identifiable principle beneficiary.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	-	14.000	12.000	-	12.000
Current President's Budget	-	9.993	14.778	-	14.778
Total Adjustments	-	-4.007	2.778	-	2.778
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-4.000			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-0.007			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
Reduction	-	-	-1.718	-	-1.718

PE 0607210D8Z: Industrial Base Analysis and Sustainment Support Office of Secretary Of Defense

Volume 3 - 719

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretar	Date: Ma	rch 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7: Operational Systems Development		n <b>ent (Number/Name)</b> Industrial Base Analysis and	d Sustainment Suppo	ort
Travel efficiencies savings     Missile Sector Sustainment	-	-0.004 4.500	-	-0.004 4.500

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

FY 2014 Industrial Base Sustainment: Funds realigned to this DoD high priority issue to achieve the strategic goal of strengthening the industrial base in support of DoD supply chain and defense manufacturing requirements.

FY2015 increase for Missile Sector Sustainment is targeted to improvements in the existing production process efficiencies, exploration of advanced materials for higher performance, and upgrading of outdated technology for missile components.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project J	hibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 7				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0607210D8Z I Industrial Base Analysis and Sustainment Support				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 819 <i>I Industrial Base Analysis and</i> <i>Sustainment</i>			1	
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
819: Industrial Base Analysis and Sustainment	-	-	9.993	14.778	-	14.778	17.896	15.536	10.341	5.719	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

OSD Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (AT&L) investments under this program are informed by the Department's industrial assessment tools to include the Sector by Sector, Tier by Tier (S2T2) repository of defense industrial base information maintained by Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Manufacturing and Industrial Base Policy) (DASD(MIBP)). These tools collaboratively identify elements of the industrial base where current acquisition programs will not invest enough in production and/or research to support the minimum sustaining rate that would keep critical suppliers viable. While industrial base risks identified through these assessment tools are to be mitigated primarily through direct engagement with military departments, agencies, and industry, exceptional cases will require defense-wide intervention via investment accounts, often in collaboration with multiple Services and agencies, to ensure adequate industrial capability to support future defense needs.

This funding is a key tool for addressing supply chain risks and diminishing manufacturing sources. Investments are prioritized though a careful analysis at every tier of the supply chain according to a numerical scale of risk-area's fragility and criticality. Criticality examines characteristics that make a specific product or service difficult to replace if disrupted; fragility examines characteristics that make small deviations in the status quo likely to have substantial effects on an industry / supplier. These concepts underpin AT&L's core mission and inform critical investment, budgetary, and programmatic decision-making.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Industrial Base Sustainment	-	9.993	14.778
<ul> <li>Description: Sector by Sector, Tier by Tier (S2T2) fragility and criticality assessments are applied across the DoD enterprise to identify and prioritize industrial base niches requiring additional investment by DoD. The purpose of investment is to sustain essential industrial production and design team capabilities. Projects will have impact across all industrial base sectors: aircraft; Command, Control, Communications and Computers (C4); missiles; ground vehicles; radar &amp; Electronic Warfare (EW) and others. Projects will be improvements of existing capabilities with a very high probability of success.</li> <li>IBS will include focused projects in the following critical areas in FY 2014: Butanetriol, a solid rocket fuel precursor chemical; Infrared Focal Plane Array; Advanced Solid Rocket Propulsion; Test Facilities for Radiation Hardened Electronics.</li> <li>With a decline in the procurement of missile programs, design and production skills for critical components within the missile sector industrial base are at risk which could result in costly delays and unanticipated expense. The loss of this design and</li> </ul>			
production capability could have a significant impact on many current and future missile programs damaging the readiness of the			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of I		Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 7	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0607210D8Z I Industrial Base Analysis and Sustainment Support	-		<b>lame)</b> se Analysis ai	nd	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Department. The missile sector sustainment effort will improve the existing pro- materials for higher performance, and upgrade outdated technology for missile include the following important capabilities: high precision attitude control, there	e components. FY 2014 and FY 2015 efforts w					
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Address supply chain vulnerabilities and early indicators of program risk and messential defense supply chains.	nake corrective and innovative investments in					
Butanetriol: This project develops a qualified domestic source for Butanetriol ( will preclude the necessity of procurement from a prohibited foreign source. S shrunk to levels that substantially change the business case for development of case and develop a permanent domestic industrial base, DoD plans to fund a business cases.	ince 2008, DoD's projected requirements have of new domestic source. To re-enable the busi	e iness				
Infrared Focal Plane Array (IRFPA): This project focuses on sustaining a continuodernization program of Improved Forward Looking Infrared (I-FLIR). There of FLIR and the slated start of I-FLIR modernization. In order to bridge the gap capability and intellectual base of critical suppliers through time-phased lots of	is a gap between the end of horizontal integra o, the Department plans to maintain the foundr					
Advanced Solid Rocket Propulsion: To support future missile interceptor missic precision and long duration missions are required. This is a defense-unique in capability and avoid the loss of skills and intellectual capital, the Department is attitude control.	ndustrial base niche. In order to maintain this					
Test Facilities for Radiation Hardened Electronics: joint efforts with other DoD, Reconnaissance Office to maintain industrial base facilities that are capable of resources to cover a one-time FY 2014 funding gap.		les				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Address supply chain vulnerabilities and early indicators of program risk and messential defense supply chains.	nake corrective and innovative investments in					
Missile Sector Sustainment: Fragility and Criticality Assessments have assess by declining procurements. Specific action is necessary preserve industrial ba	•					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 7	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0607210D8Z <i>I Industrial Base Analysis</i> <i>and Sustainment Support</i>		ndustrial Bas		nd
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Projects will be executed to improve the efficiency of existing production proce performance and upgrade outdated technology.	esses, explore advanced materials for higher		1		
Industrial Base Sustainment Other: DoD will conduct additional industrial base and fragile and critical capabilities for FY 2015 project development. A call for Service's Acquisition Executives requesting that proposals rate the fragility an Base Working Group Panel will rank the proposals, and the Deputy Assistant Policy will make the final selection.	r FY 2015 projects has also been sent to the ad criticality of subject capabilities. A Joint Indu	strial			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sub	ototals	-	9.993	14.778
<ul> <li>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A</li> <li><u>Remarks</u></li> <li><u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A</li> <li><u>E. Performance Metrics</u> Goal is to insert industrial base considerations consistently in program review To make informed investment and production decisions To avoid reconstitution costs for capability that we will need again soon</li> </ul>					

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iter	hibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense							Date: March 2014				
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7: Operational Systems Development				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0607310D8Z / Operational Systems Development								
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>				FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element 0.000 - 1.944 2.					-	2.953	3.951	4.761	5.946	6.944	Continuing	Continuing
P112: Operational System Development	12: Operational System         0.000         -         1.944         2.953         -         2.953         3.951         4.761					5.946	6.944	Continuing	Continuing			

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This This program addresses developing an integrated and interconnected CWMD capabilities-based system that defines and enables a comprehensive, global awareness and readiness for CWMD steady-state and surge postures. The diverse and complex Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD) – nuclear, biological and chemical threats – mission space requires an integrated approach towards capability development. Capability development must be based on a systems perspective that links strategic objectives with interrelated tasks and associated capabilities. The broad CWMD military strategic objectives and mission areas encompass many nontraditional capabilities for the Warfighter, and CWMD is not an isolated mission set unique to DoD – it is intertwined with counter-terrorism and homeland defense. Accordingly, developing an overall CWMD capability should and must leverage complementary capabilities through integration and synchronization. A global CWMD situational awareness capability will be established and deployed worldwide via current communications systems and common operating pictures in support of this mission. This program will incorporate portfolio management tools and comprehensive analyses to enable a balanced and integrated CWMD systems portfolio, an optimized CWMD force structure, and the integration with and utilization of existing military assets to fill intelligence, sensor and reconnaissance gaps in CWMD.

This PE will fund development efforts to upgrade systems that have been fielded or provide planned product improvements.

This Program Element can fund travel to support the requirements of this program.

This appropriation will finance work, including manpower, performed by a government agency or by private individuals or organizations under a contractual or grant arrangement with the government who conduct research (systematic study directed toward fuller scientific knowledge or understanding of the subject studied), development (systematic use of the knowledge and understanding gained from research, for the production of useful materials, devices, systems, or methods, including the design and development of prototypes and processes) and test and evaluation efforts.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Of	fice of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-W Operational Systems Development	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0607310D8Z / Operational Systems Development						
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total		
Previous President's Budget	-	1.955	4.988	-	4.988		
Current President's Budget	-	1.944	2.953	-	2.953		
Total Adjustments	-	-0.011	-2.035	-	-2.035		
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-					
Congressional Adds	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-					
Reprogrammings	-	-					
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-					
<ul> <li>Reduction Adjustments</li> </ul>	-	-0.011	-2.035	-	-2.035		

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

Requirements reduced in response to headquaters management initiatives to better align program with smaller military force.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense							Date: March 2014					
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 7				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0607310D8Z / Operational Systems Development				Project (Number/Name) P112 / Operational System Development				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
P112: Operational System Development	-	-	1.944	2.953	-	2.953	3.951	4.761	5.946	6.944	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program addresses developing an integrated and interconnected CWMD capabilities-based system that defines and enables a comprehensive, global awareness and readiness for CWMD steady-state and surge postures. The diverse and complex Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD) – nuclear, biological and chemical threats – mission space requires an integrated approach towards capability development. Capability development must be based on a systems perspective that links strategic objectives with interrelated tasks and associated capabilities. The broad CWMD military strategic objectives and mission areas encompass many nontraditional capabilities for the Warfighter, and CWMD is not an isolated mission set unique to DoD – it is intertwined with counter-terrorism and homeland defense. Accordingly, developing an overall CWMD capability should and must leverage complementary capabilities through integration and synchronization. A global CWMD situational awareness capability will be established and deployed worldwide via current communications systems and common operating pictures in support of this mission. This program will incorporate portfolio management tools and comprehensive analyses to enable a balanced and integrated CWMD systems portfolio, an optimized CWMD force structure, and the integration with and utilization of existing military assets to fill intelligence, sensor and reconnaissance gaps in CWMD.

This PE will fund development efforts to upgrade systems that have been fielded or provide planned product improvements.

This Program Element can fund travel to support the requirements of this program.

This appropriation will finance work, including manpower, performed by a government agency or by private individuals or organizations under a contractual or grant arrangement with the government who conduct research (systematic study directed toward fuller scientific knowledge or understanding of the subject studied), development (systematic use of the knowledge and understanding gained from research, for the production of useful materials, devices, systems, or methods, including the design and development of prototypes and processes) and test and evaluation efforts.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (CWMD) Systems	-	1.944	2.953
<ul> <li>Description: • A global CWMD situational awareness system and concept of operation to enable a common operating picture and framework for CWMD that will integrate C4ISR, multi-modality intelligence, and other data to support simultaneous operations worldwide and address operational capability gaps.</li> <li>• A portfolio management capability based on an integrated system of systems architectural framework to evaluate potential CWMD investments.</li> </ul>			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense			Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 7	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0607310D8Z / Operational Systems Development		<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> P112 / Operational System Development			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<ul> <li>Enhancements to major defense acquisition programs to addre</li> <li>A CWMD organizational capabilities review and update as req</li> </ul>						
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> N/A						
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>This PE will fund development efforts to upgrade systems that</li> <li>Address the prioritized capabilities required of existing platform</li> <li>Provide upgrades and enhancements to previous capability papertfolio of GCAS systems.</li> </ul>	ns to augment, upgrade and enhance core CWMD capabilitie	es.				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> This PE will continue to fund development efforts to upgrade systemprovements based upon the prioritized capabilities required or enhance core CWMD capabilities. • Provide upgrades and enhace continuity and compatibility across the portfolio of GCAS system	f existing platforms requirements to augment, upgrade and ancements to previous capability package deliveries providir	ng				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Sul	btotals	-	1.944	2.95	
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>Remarks</u>						
<b>D. Acquisition Strategy</b> Utilize a knowledge based approach to achieve an operational capabilities with deliveries every 12-18 months utilizing agile so		graded (	CWMD situat	ional awaren	ess and	

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7:Operational Systems Development					<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0303140D8Z I Information Systems Security Program							
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	11.348	10.496	10.638	11.304	-	11.304	10.127	9.896	10.683	11.387	Continuing	Continuing
140: Information Systems Security Program	11.348	10.496	10.638	11.304	-	11.304	10.127	9.896	10.683	11.387	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The The DoD CIO Information Systems Security Program (ISSP) provides focused research, development, testing and integration of technology and technical solutions critical to the Defense Information Assurance Program (10 USC 2224) through pilot programs and technology demonstration; investment in high leverage, near-term programs that offer immediate Information Assurance (IA) benefit; federal and multi-national initiatives; and short-term studies and research critical to protecting and defending information systems by ensuring their availability, integrity, authentication, confidentiality, and non-repudiation. These efforts focus on Computer Network Defense (CND) and the restoration of information systems by incorporating protection, detection, analysis and reaction and response capabilities; emerging cryptographic technologies; technology transition and IA research capabilities. This program is designed to meet the requirements of 10 USC 2224 (Defense Information Assurance Program), 44 USC 3544, (Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002), OMB Circular A-130, and DoD Directives 8500.1, and 0-8530.1. This program is funded under Budget activity 7, Operational System Development because it integrates technology and technical solutions to the Defense Information Assurance Program. DoD CIO Information Systems Security Program (ISSP) provides focused research, development, testing and integration of technology and technical solutions critical to the Defense Information Assurance Program (10 USC 2224) through pilot programs and technology demonstration; investment in high leverage, near-term programs that offer immediate Information Assurance (IA) benefit; federal and multi-national initiatives; and short-term studies and research critical to protecting and defending information systems by ensuring their availability, integrity, authentication, confidentiality, and non-repudiation. These efforts focus on Computer Network Defense (CND) and the restoration of information systems by incorporating protection, detection, analysis and reaction and response capabilities; emerging cryptographic technologies; technology transition and IA research capabilities. This program is designed to meet the requirements of 10 USC 2224 (Defense Information Assurance Program), 44 USC 3544, (Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002), OMB Circular A-130, and DoD Directives 8500.1, and 0-8530.1. This program is funded under Budget activity 7, Operational System Development because it integrates technology and technical solutions to the Defense Information Assurance Program.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015	Office of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity			ement (Number/Name)				
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense Operational Systems Development	Wide / BA 7:	PE 0303140D8Z	I Information Systems	Security Program			
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015	Total	
Previous President's Budget	11.780	10.673	12.867	-	12	.867	
Current President's Budget	10.496	10.638	11.304	-	11	.304	
Total Adjustments	-1.284	-0.035	-1.563	-	-1	.563	
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-					
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-					
Reprogrammings	-	-					
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-					
<ul> <li>Sequestration Reduction</li> </ul>	-0.969	-	-	-		-	
<ul> <li>Efficiencies Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-	-1.563	-	-1	.563	
<ul> <li>SBIR/STTR Reduction</li> </ul>	-0.310	-	-	-		-	
<ul> <li>Program Reduction</li> </ul>	-0.005	-	-	-		-	
FFRDC Reduction	-	-0.035	-	-		-	
Change Summary Explanation Program Change Explanation: FY 2013: Sequestration Reduction -0.969 million, S FY 2014: FFRDC Reduction -0.035 million. FY 2015: Efficiency reduction -1.563 million.	BIR/STTR reductio	n -0.310 million, P	Program adjustment-0.00	05 million.			
. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)				FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 201	
Fitle: Information Systems Security Program Plans and Ac	complishments			10.496	10.638	11.3	
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Developed products and test tools for a comprehensive cyb exercises to all DoD agencies.	ersecurity awarene	ess program, and e	extended cyber defense	training			
Continued CND Architecture and Capability development							
			ormation that includes m				

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: March 2014				
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: <i>Research, Development, Test &amp; Evaluation, Defense-Wide I</i> BA 7: <i>Operational Systems Development</i>	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0303140D8Z <i>I Information Systems Security Pro</i>	ogram				
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
identification and implementation, and managing DoD's single, virtual, authorita Knowledge Service) for DoD IA RM policies, activities, and initiatives.	ative Community of Interest (known as the DIACAP					
• Refined the DoD Mobile Device Strategy and Roadmap, to include policy and end" IA capability for the GIG-including mobile enterprise services such as disc tagging, etc. Support mobile technology demonstrations, development, and pi increment of the IA Component of the GIG Architecture.	covery, collaboration, messaging, mediation, data					
• Developed DoD policy for Digital Protection to include the construction of an support workforce protection awareness, education, and training throughout the						
• Refined and updated DoD policies related to wireless, emerging technologie standards and policies are implemented with legacy and cutting edge technologies are implemented with legacy and						
• Provided IA Mobile Enterprise Services support to further develop and refine strategy as the DoD Mobile Device Strategy and Roadmap will work in lockste						
Developed Advanced Persistent Threat (APT) data standards and data colle	ction capabilities					
Piloted NIPRNet – INTERNET isolation capabilities.						
• Expanded the scope of the International Cyber Defense Workshop to include capabilities in SAST model; developed web portals for classified five-eyes (FV releasing IA/CND information to formal partners in near real time.						
• Performed Continuous Monitoring and Risk Scoring (CM/RS); developed the continuous monitoring across DoD; coordinated CM/RS capabilities; and prepared						
• Provided strategic management and oversight of the Computer Network Def conducted trend analysis to identify systemic trends and associated gaps to the						
• Researched PKI interoperability policy, governance, and interoperability imp and strong identity technologies in cyber environments to support DoD and Wa						

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7: Operational Systems Development	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0303140D8Z / Information Systems Security Pro	gram		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Developed Cross Domain (CD) technical & acquisition expertise (e.g., CD in	nvestment strategy, and CD enterprise capability).			
• Developed, coordinated and supported a series of Cyber initiatives and asso Management Decision (RMD) process that will provide resources to DISA, NS				
<ul> <li>Conducted a series of Cyber and Information Assurance program reviews w program implementation and resourcing status.</li> </ul>	vith the Services, DISA, and NSA to address			
<ul> <li>In support of the DOD CNDSP Program, conducted a series of technical &amp; on Evaluations to address effectiveness of the CNDSPs implementation of DODD success of Component Command Cyber Readiness Inspections (CCRIs) as d</li> </ul>	D/I-8530.1/.2. Results of the MOE also facilitated the			
• Developed, coordinated, and maintained Cyber metrics for reporting to DOD	0-CIO, DCMO and other organizations as necessary.			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Continue development of capabilities (products and test tools, etc.,) for a comp	prehensive cybersecurity awareness program.			
<ul> <li>Continue cyber-defense training exercises for all DoD agencies.</li> </ul>				
• Continue research, analyses, and development of education, training, and a SCRM, HwA, SwA, and Assured Services (and associated SCRM Standards v				
<ul> <li>Research, analyses, and development of concepts for consistent protection individual acquisitions and procurements of DoD materiel and services on whice</li> </ul>				
Monitor the on-going implementation of SCRM key practices and test and ex	valuation processes across DoD.			
• Continue to provide essential support to DoD Information Assurance (IA) Rist the Defense IA RM process to comply with the mandated Federal (NIST) comport of the DIACAP TAG Secretariat IAW DoD 8510.01; support for the enterprise- identification and implementation; and management of the DoD single, virtual, the DIACAP Knowledge Service) for DoD IA RM policies, activities, and initiation	munity RM standards; performing the functions wide IA RM automation (eMASS) requirements and authoritative Community of Interest (known as			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7: Operational Systems Development	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0303140D8Z / Information Systems Security Pro	gram		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Continue the refinement of the DoD Mobile Device Strategy and Roadmap, to support "end-to-end" IA capability for the GIG-including mobile enterprise serve mediation, data tagging, etc. Support mobile technology demonstrations, developed</li> </ul>	ices such as discovery, collaboration, messaging,			
<ul> <li>Continue the refinement of the DoD policy for Digital Protection, to include re IAW the final policy on workforce protection awareness, education, and training</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Continue to research and refine DoD policies on wireless, emerging technolo standards and policies are implemented with both legacy and emerging technological</li> </ul>				
Research and refine Advanced Persistent Threat (APT) data standards and	data collection capabilities			
<ul> <li>Provide strategic management and oversight of the CNDSP Program; and c associated gaps in the CNDSP program.</li> </ul>	onduct trend analysis to identify systemic trends and			
<ul> <li>Support DODD/I-8530 .1/.2 with CNDSP evaluations and Conduct Measures address effectiveness of the CNDSPs implementation of DODD/I-8530.1/.2, an USCYBERCOM.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Conduct Cyber Security program reviews with the Services, DISA, &amp; NSA to issues and requirements.</li> </ul>	address program implementation and resourcing			
<ul> <li>Conduct Portfolio Reviews of Cybersecurity initiatives addressing Componenti initiatives.</li> </ul>	nt cost, schedule, and performance of ISSP funded			
<ul> <li>Develop, coordinate, and support Cyber initiatives and associated issue pap Decision (RMD) process that will provide resources to DISA, NSA, DOD-CIO,</li> </ul>				
• Develop, coordinate, and maintain Cyber metrics for reporting to DOD-CIO,	DCMO and other organizations as necessary.			
Continue research and refinement of IPv6 compatibility across NIPRNet; and	d ensuing implementation guidance.			
<ul> <li>Continue participation in the research, development, and implementation of monitoring the on-going implementation of NIPRNet DMZs and migration of our</li> </ul>				

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	/larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: <i>Research, Development, Test &amp; Evaluation, Defense-Wide I</i> BA 7: <i>Operational Systems Development</i>	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0303140D8Z <i>I Information Systems Security Pro</i>	ogram		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Continue implementation and refinement of NIPRNet and SIPRNet Mapping vulnerabilities and develop risk mitigation strategy.</li> </ul>	and Leak Detection Solution to identify			
<ul> <li>Monitor the software engineering and implementation of the advanced White exposure to the Internet.</li> </ul>	listing database capability to reduce NIPRNet			
<ul> <li>Expand the scope of the International Cyber Defense Workshop to include m capabilities for the virtual workshop; develop methodologies for releasing IA/CN</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Continue collaboration with Combatant Commands (COCOMs) to support the companies providing operational support and thereby assist and promote their program opens to all cleared defense contractors.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Monitor the DIB CS/IA program expansion under FVEY CND MOU and any I Agreement.</li> </ul>	nternational amendments to the Framework			
FY 2015 Plans:				
Continue development of capabilities (products and test tools, etc.,) for a comp	rehensive cybersecurity awareness program.			
<ul> <li>Continue cyber-defense training exercises for all DoD agencies.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Continue research, analyses, and development of education, training, and av SCRM, HwA, SwA, and Assured Services (and associated SCRM Standards w</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Research, analyses, and development of concepts for consistent protection f individual acquisitions and procurements of DoD materiel and services on whic</li> </ul>				
Monitor the on-going implementation of SCRM key practices and test and ev	aluation processes across DoD.			
<ul> <li>Continue to provide essential support to DoD Information Assurance (IA) Rist the Defense IA RM process to comply with the mandated Federal (NIST) comm of the DIACAP TAG Secretariat IAW DoD 8510.01; support for the enterprise-w</li> </ul>	nunity RM standards; performing the functions			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	/ Of Defense	Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7: Operational Systems Development	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0303140D8Z <i>I Information Systems Security Pro</i>	ogram			
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	]	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
identification and implementation; and management of the DoD single, virtual the DIACAP Knowledge Service) for DoD IA RM policies, activities, and initiat					
• Continue the refinement of the DoD Mobile Device Strategy and Roadmap, support "end-to-end" IA capability for the GIG-including mobile enterprise serv mediation, data tagging, etc. Support mobile technology demonstrations, dev	vices such as discovery, collaboration, messaging,				
• Continue the refinement of the DoD policy for Digital Protection, to include r IAW the final policy on workforce protection awareness, education, and training					
• Continue to research and refine DoD policies on wireless, emerging techno standards and policies are implemented with both legacy and emerging technological standards and policies are implemented with both legacy and emerging technological standards and policies are implemented with both legacy and emerging technological standards and policies are implemented with both legacy and emerging technological standards and policies are implemented with both legacy and emerging technological standards are standards and policies are implemented with both legacy and emerging technological standards are standards and policies are implemented with both legacy and emerging technological standards are sta					
Research and refine Advanced Persistent Threat (APT) data standards and	data collection capabilities				
• Provide strategic management and oversight of the CNDSP Program; and o associated gaps to the CNDSP program.	conduct trend analysis to identify systemic trends and				
Continue research and refinement of IPv6 compatibility across NIPRNet; an	d ensuing implementation guidance.				
• Continue participation in the research, development, and implementation of monitoring the on-going implementation of NIPRNet DMZs and migration of o					
Continue implementation and refinement of NIPRNet and SIPRNet Mapping vulnerabilities and develop risk mitigation strategy.	g and Leak Detection Solution to identify				
• Monitor the software engineering and implementation of the advanced Whit exposure to the Internet.	elisting database capability to reduce NIPRNet				
• Continue collaboration with Combatant Commands (COCOMs) to support the companies providing operational support and thereby assist and promote their program opens to all cleared defense contractors.					
				I	

Exhibit IN-2, IND I de Duuget iten	n Justification:	PB 2015 Of	fice of Secre	tary Of Defe	ense				Date: March 2014			
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Te Operational Systems Developmen		Defense-W	<i>lide I</i> BA 7:			nent (Numb Information		curity Pro	gram			
C. Accomplishments/Planned P	rograms (\$ in N	<u>/lillions)</u>						Γ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Monitor the DIB CS/IA program Agreement.	expansion unde	er FVEY CN	D MOU and	any Internat	ional amend	ments to the	Framework					
• Expand the scope of the Interna IA range capabilities for the virtua real time.												
Support DODD/I-8530.1/.2 for C effectiveness of the CNDSPs impl												
<ul> <li>Conduct Cyber Security programing issues and requirements.</li> </ul>	n reviews with t	he Services	, DISA, and I	NSA to addro	ess program	implementa	tion and res	ourcing				
Conduct Portfolio Reviews of Cy initiatives.	yber security init	iatives addr	essing Comp	oonent cost,	schedule, a	nd performai	nce of ISSP	funded				
<ul> <li>Develop, coordinate, and support Decision (RMD) process that will</li> </ul>						Resource M	anagement					
Develop, coordinate, and mainta	ain Cyber metric	s for reporti	ng to DOD-C	CIO, DCMO a	and other or	ganizations a	as necessary	y.				
				Accor	nplishment	s/Planned P	rograms Su	ubtotals	10.496	10.638	11.304	
D. Other Program Funding Sum	mary (\$ in Milli	ons <u>)</u>	FY 2015	<u>FY 2015</u>	FY 2015					Cost To	)	
Line Item • 0303140D8Z O&M DW: Information System Security Program	<u>FY 2013</u> 13.253	<u>FY 2014</u> 13.178	<u>Base</u> 11.509	000	<u>Total</u> 11.509	<u>FY 2016</u> 12.255	<u>FY 2017</u> 12.485	<b>FY 201</b> 12.15		9 <u>Complete</u> 5 Continuing	Total Cos	
Remarks												

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secreta	ry Of Defense	Date: March 2014
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7: Operational Systems Development	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0303140D8Z I Information Systems Security Progr	am
F Performance Metrics		

#### F. Pertormance Metrics

Zanethenon improvements available as a core enterprise IA/CND simulation tools.

- CEMAT effectiveness in supporting the T&E community for data collection, reduction analysis, and reporting.

- 508 solution available for VTE content.

- Cyber Challenge being used DoD-wide.

- DoD agency CIOs reporting of International Cyber Defense Workshop (ICDW)-like training exercises, enhancing the cybersecurity skills of personnel.

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificat	ion: PB 20	15 Office of	Secretary (	I				-	Date: Mar	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Te Operational Systems Developmer		ation, Defer	se-Wide I E	3A 7:		am Elemen 60D8Z / Def			n Program (	Office		
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	2.335	1.157	1.242	0.951	-	0.951	0.974	1.012	1.068	1.135	6 Continuing	Continuir
891: Defense Military Deception Program	2.335	1.157	1.242	0.951	-	0.951	0.974	1.012	1.068	1.135	6 Continuing	Continuir
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Program Office (DMDPO) provide education, training, exercises, ca support of military operations. Fu current and emerging MILDEC ad	reer force m unds suppor	nanagemen	t, operation	al and prog	rammatic a	ssessments	, intelligenc	e, planning	, analysis, a	and operation	onal employ	ment in
B. Program Change Summary (	\$ in Million	<u>s)</u>		<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 201</u>	<u>14 F</u>	Y 2015 Ba	<u>se</u>	FY 2015 O	<u>co</u>	<u>FY 2015 T</u>	otal
Previous President's Budg				1.294	1.24		1.0			-		083
Current President's Budge	et			1.157	1.24		0.9			-		951
Total Adjustments				-0.137	-0.00		-0.1	32		-	-0.	132
Congressional G				-		-						
<ul> <li>Congressional D</li> <li>Congressional R</li> </ul>		luctions		-0.108	-0.00	J4						
Congressional A				-		-						
Congressional D		nsfers		-		-						
Reprogramming				-		-						
SBIR/STTR Trar				-0.028		-						
Departmental Action	djustments			-0.001		-	-0.1	32		-	-0.	132
C. Accomplishments/Planned P	rograms (\$	in Million	<u>s)</u>						FY	2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Defense Military Deception	Program Of	ffice (DMDF	PO)							1.157	1.242	0.95
<b>Description:</b> The Defense Militar capability and capacity across the Components to effectively plan, ir	e Departmer	nt of Defens	se. More sp	becifically, th	ne program	mission is t	o enable au	thorized Do	D			
PE 0303260D8Z: Defense Military	Deception	Program O	ffice	UN	CLASSIF	IED					Val	ıme 3 - 73

PE 0303260D8Z: Defense Military Deception Program Offi Office of Secretary Of Defense

Volume 3 - 739

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7: Operational Systems Development	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0303260D8Z <i>I Defense Military Deception Progr</i>	ram Office		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions) provides oversight, guidance, and program management support for the Defen		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
development, education, training, exercises, career force management, operational planning, analysis, and operational employment in support of military operation and integration of MILDEC capabilities, next generation devices, and technolog MILDEC activities.	ns. Funds support the research, development,			
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> - Developed and established experimentation, testing and evaluation procedure enabling MILDEC to meet Department of Defense Components' emergency ne - Developed and instituted analytical constructs which require intelligence and target, wargame, and assess the information environment in support of the De - Partnered with US Special Operations command with a technology and vulne	eeds, urgent needs and forecasted priorities. operational communities to characterize, forecast, partment MILDEC activities.			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> - Further refine experimentation, test and evaluation procedures for emerging of to meet Department of Defense Components' emergency needs, urgent needs - Begin to develop frameworks enabling MILDEC considerations in the Acquisit cycle of key capabilities.	and forecasted priorities.			
<ul> <li>Continue to develop and institute analytical constructs which require intelliger forecast, target, wargame, and assess the information environment in support</li> <li>Examine and invest research and development in technology and capabilities MILDEC requirements for US Southern Command, US Pacific Command, and advocate for acquisition and sustainment of physical, technical, and administra</li> </ul>	of the Department. that support current and emerging decoy and the US Army Threat Systems Management Office;			
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Continue to develop and establish experimentation, test and evaluation proce technologies enabling MILDEC to meet Department of Defense Components' e priorities.</li> </ul>	edures for emerging devices, decoys and			
<ul> <li>Continue to develop frameworks enabling MILDEC considerations in AT&amp;L R</li> <li>Continue to examine and invest research and development in technology and MILDEC requirements; advocate for acquisition and sustainment of physical, te</li> <li>Participate in Defense RDT&amp;E processes to advance basic and applied researd development and testing to elevate MILDEC capability and capacity across the</li> </ul>	d capabilities to support current and emerging echnical, and administrative technologies and tools. arch, science and technology, and technology			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	1.157	1.242	0.951

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Ju	Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense									Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7: Operational Systems Development						nent (Numb Defense Mil		ion Program	Office			
D. Other Program Funding Summa	ry (\$ in Milli	ons <u>)</u>										
			<u>FY 2015</u>	FY 2015	FY 2015					Cost To		
Line Item • 0303260D8Z O&M DW: Defense Military Deception Program Office	<b>FY 2013</b> 4.461	<u>FY 2014</u> 3.617	<u>Base</u> 3.724	000	<u>Total</u> 3.724	<b>FY 2016</b> 5.973	<u>FY 2017</u> 6.081	<b>FY 2018</b> 4.544	<u>FY 2019</u> 4.678		Total Cost Continuing	
Remarks N/A E. Acquisition Strategy												

The acquisition, management, and contracting strategy involves the following:

• Adherence to guidance outlined in DoD 5000, Directive 7, Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), and FAR Supplement Policies and Procedures

• Acquire and sustain MILDEC capabilities, systems, tools, products, and services through a disciplined, yet agile, process that enables the defense establishment to provide information related capabilities are available for Department of Defense components

• Sustain an acquisition process that is responsive and responsible to internal and external customers and stakeholders

• Continue to support the warfighter's need for capabilities that dominate today's dynamic, networked battlespace by providing governance, oversight, and strategy across the Department of Defense for the planning and execution of MILDEC activities

#### F. Performance Metrics

Performance metrics are measured through revitalization of MILDEC capability and capacity as a traditional military activity as demonstrated by the following:

- Department of Defense components possess the authorities through policy to plan, resource and execute Department of Defense MILDEC
- Department of Defense components possess functionally relevant and timely analyses in support of MILDEC activities
- Department of Defense components possess functionally relevant and available training, education and exercises to support the Department's MILDEC activities
- Department of Defense components program, plan and resource MILDEC activities to enable the Department's MILDEC planning, integration and execution

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iter	xhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7: Operational Systems Development				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0305125D8Z / Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP)									
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	5 FY 2015 FY 2015 Cost To OCO <sup>#</sup> Total FY 2016 FY 2017 FY 2018 FY 2019 Complete							Total Cost	
Total Program Element	12.814	9.339	9.728	8.846	-	8.846	7.308	7.589	8.013	8.515	Continuing	Continuing	
125: CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP)	12.814	9.339	9.728	8.846	-	8.846	7.308	7.589	8.013	8.515	Continuing	Continuing	

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Defense Critical Infrastructure Program (DCIP) is a Department of Defense (DoD) risk management program that seeks to ensure the availability of networked assets critical to DoD missions, to include DoD and non-DoD, domestic and foreign infrastructures essential to planning, mobilizing, deploying, executing, and sustaining United States military operations on a global basis. Through identifying Defense Critical Assets, assessing them to determine vulnerabilities, incorporating specific threat and hazard information and analysis, and visually displaying relevant infrastructure data and analysis, DoD will be positioned to make risk management decisions to ensure the appropriate infrastructure is available, when needed, to support DoD missions.

Specifically, Combatant Commands (COCOMs) are responsible for identifying the mission capability requirements and coordinating with the Military Departments, Defense Agencies, DoD Field Activities, and Defense Sector Lead Agents to identify and assess Defense Critical Assets. As asset owners and capability providers, the Secretaries of the Military Departments and the Directors of Defense Agencies and DoD Field Activities, coordinate with the COCOMs to identify and prioritize the assets required to support mission-essential functions. Asset owners will also assess identified Defense Critical Assets to identify vulnerabilities and apply appropriate remediation and mitigation measures. The Defense Sector Lead Agents are responsible for identifying the specific functions, systems, assets (DoD and non-DoD owned), and interdependencies within the Defense Sector infrastructure networks supporting the identified critical missions.

Each Defense Sector Lead Agent, as identified in DoDD 3020.40, represents one of ten (10) functional areas that provide support to the Combatant Commanders and asset owners. These functional areas are as follows: defense industrial base (DIB); financial services; global information grid (GIG); health affairs; intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR); logistics; personnel; public works; space; and transportation.

In addition, DCIP manages specific analytic efforts in the identification and maintenance of specific inter- and intra-dependencies DpD has on the foundational commercial infrastructure networks supporting the identified critical missions. Specific analytic efforts are focused within six (6) commercial infrastructure areas: energy (electric power, natural gas); chemicals; transportation; telecommunications; water; and petroleum, oil, lubricants (POL).

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 O	ffice of Secretary	Of Defense		Date:	Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity 1400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-V Operational Systems Development	Vide I BA 7:	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0305125D8Z I Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP)							
3. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total				
Previous President's Budget	10.462	9.752	10.069	-	10.069				
Current President's Budget	9.339	9.728	8.846	-	8.846				
Total Adjustments	-1.123	-0.024	-1.223	-	-1.223				
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-1.106	-0.024							
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.013	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-	-1.223	-	-1.223				
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustment</li> </ul>	-0.004	-	-	-	-				

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

FY 2015 efficiencies adjustment to support Department higher priorities.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	xhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 7					PE 0305125D8Z / Critical Infrastructure 125 / CRI				lumber/Name) TICAL INFRASTRUCTURE TION (CIP)					
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost		
125: CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP)	12.814	9.339	9.728	8.846	-	8.846	7.308	7.589	8.013	8.515	Continuing	Continuing		
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Defense Critical Infrastructure Program (DCIP) is a Department of Defense (DoD) risk management program that seeks to ensure the availability of networked assets critical to DoD missions, to include DoD and non-DoD, domestic and foreign infrastructures essential to planning, mobilizing, deploying, executing, and sustaining United States military operations on a global basis. Through identifying Defense Critical Assets, assessing them to determine vulnerabilities, incorporating specific threat and hazard information and analysis, and visually displaying relevant infrastructure data and analysis, DoD will be positioned to make risk management decisions to ensure the appropriate infrastructure is available, when needed, to support DoD missions.

Specifically, Combatant Commands (COCOMs) are responsible for identifying the mission capability requirements and coordinating with the Military Departments, Defense Agencies, DoD Field Activities, and Defense Sector Lead Agents to identify and assess Defense Critical Assets. As asset owners and capability providers, the Secretaries of the Military Departments and the Directors of Defense Agencies and DoD Field Activities, coordinate with the COCOMs to identify and prioritize the assets required to support mission-essential functions. Asset owners will also assess identified Defense Critical Assets to identify vulnerabilities and apply appropriate remediation and mitigation measures. The Defense Sector Lead Agents are responsible for identifying the specific functions, systems, assets (DoD and non-DoD owned), and interdependencies within the Defense Sector infrastructure networks supporting the identified critical missions.

Each Defense Sector Lead Agent, as identified in DoDD 3020.40, represents one of ten (10) functional areas that provide support to the Combatant Commanders and asset owners. These functional areas are as follows: defense industrial base (DIB); financial services; global information grid (GIG); health affairs; intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR); logistics; personnel; public works; space; and transportation.

In addition, DCIP manages specific analytic efforts in the identification and maintenance of specific inter- and intra-dependencies DpD has on the foundational commercial infrastructure networks supporting the identified critical missions. Specific analytic efforts are focused within six (6) commercial infrastructure areas: energy (electric power, natural gas); chemicals; transportation; telecommunications; water; and petroleum, oil, lubricants (POL).

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: DCIP	9.339	9.728	8.846
FY 2013 Accomplishments: - Provided DCIP Policy and Program Guidance			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	stification: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary	Of Defense					Date: M	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 7				PE 03		nent (Numb Critical Infra		125 / CR	Number/N NTICAL INI CTION (CIF	-RAŚTRUCT	URE
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pr	ograms (\$ in N	<u> ////////////////////////////////////</u>						F	Y 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Directed DoD Mission-Based Crit</li> <li>Issued 2012 Defense Critical Ass</li> <li>Managed DPG directed Joint Mis</li> <li>Prioritized highest mission critica</li> <li>Provided oversight of risk manag</li> <li>of remediation and mitigation effor</li> <li>Provided technical analysis and r</li> <li>restoration for pre-event and post-</li> </ul>	et List sion Assurance I risks and mon ement process ts. ecommendation	e Assessmen itor actions t for identifyir ns on infrast	nt Pilot (JMA by asset owr ng defense c ructure netw	AP) hers to remea ritical infrast vorks, points	diate identifi ructure inclu of service, i	ed vulnerabi iding the ana	lysis and tra				
FY 2014 Plans: - Provide DCIP Policy and Program - Oversee DoD Mission-Based Crim - Provide oversight of risk manage remediation and mitigation efforts. - Provide technical analysis and references restoration for pre-event and post-	tical Asset Iden ment process for commendation	or identifying s on infrastru	g defense cri ucture netwo	tical infrastru orks, points c	ucture includ of service, in	ling the anal					
FY 2015 Plans: - Provide DCIP Policy and Program - Oversee DoD Mission-Based Criman - Provide oversight of risk manager remediation and mitigation efforts. - Provide technical analysis and re- restoration for pre-event and post-	tical Asset Iden ment process fo commendation	or identifying s on infrastru	g defense cri ucture netwo	tical infrastru orks, points c	ucture includ of service, in	ling the anal					
				Accon	nplishment	s/Planned P	rograms Su	btotals	9.339	9.728	8.84
C. Other Program Funding Summ Line Item • 0902198D8Z: Critical Infrastructure Protection Remarks	nary (\$ in Milli FY 2013 7.582	<u>ons)</u> <u>FY 2014</u> 7.582	FY 2015 Base 7.582	<u>FY 2015</u> <u>OCO</u> -	FY 2015 Total 7.582	<u>FY 2016</u> 7.582	<u>FY 2017</u> 7.582	<u>FY 2018</u> -	<u>FY 2019</u> -	<u>Cost To</u> <u>Complete</u> 7.582	Total Cos
PE 0305125D8Z: <i>Critical Infrastruc</i> Office of Secretary Of Defense	ture Protection	(CIP)		UNCLAS	-		R-1 Line	#042		Vol	ume 3 - 746

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 7	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0305125D8Z <i>I Critical Infrastructure</i> <i>Protection (CIP)</i>	 umber/Name) TICAL INFRASTRUCTURE TION (CIP)
D. Acquisition Strategy		

N/A

#### E. Performance Metrics

DCIP uses the performance metrics documented in the DCIP Program Plan. These metrics are based on the requirements and responsibilities listed in DoDD 3020.40 and DoDI 3020.45.

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity       R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)         0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7:       PE 0305186D8Z I Policy R&D Programs         Operational Systems Development       PE 0305186D8Z I Policy R&D Programs												
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	6.718	5.414	4.210	7.065	-	7.065	5.213	4.257	4.494	4.776	Continuing	Continuing
186: Policy R&D Programs	6.718	5.414	4.210	7.065	-	7.065	5.213	4.257	4.494	4.776	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Provide analysis to overcome military security challenges. Since the global environment is dynamic, research is necessary for continued understanding military structures, foreign cultures, and ethnic issues. Examines demographic data, investigates future global security challenges, provides insights to inform critical national security decisions, explores ways to build partnership capabilities to counter organizational warfare, develop foreign military infrastructure, and deny sanctuary to extremist groups. Program blends several disciplines including surveillance, operations, policy, information management, cyber policy, training and technology.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	6.360	3.210	8.042	-	8.042
Current President's Budget	5.414	4.210	7.065	-	7.065
Total Adjustments	-0.946	1.000	-0.977	-	-0.977
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.682	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.008	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	1.000			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.254	-			
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-	-0.977	-	-0.977
<ul> <li>Other Program Adjustment</li> </ul>	-0.002	-	-	-	-

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

FY 2014 program funding has been rephased to FY15 and FY16 to support Department higher priorities.

FY 2014 Congressional add is in accordance with § 427 of the FY2014 NDAA. Funding supports the Conflict Records Research Center.

FY 2015 efficiencies adjustment to support Department higher priorities.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Ju	stification	: PB 2015 C	Office of Sec	retary Of D	efense					Date: Mar	ch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 7						<b>am Elemen</b> 36D8Z <i>I Poli</i>			Project (N 186 / Polic			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
186: Policy R&D Programs	6.718	5.414	4.210	7.065	-	7.065	5.213	4.257	4.494	4.776	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request wil	ll be submit	ted at a late	r date.					1			·	
A. Mission Description and Bud Provide analysis to overcome mil structures, foreign cultures, and e security decisions, explores ways extremist groups. Program blend	itary securit ethnic issue to build pa is several d	ty challenge s. Examine rtnership ca isciplines in	es. Since the s demograp apabilities to cluding surv	hic data, ir counter or	ivestigates ganizationa	future globa Il warfare, de	l security c evelop fore	hallenges, p ign military	provides insi infrastructur	ghts to info e, and den	orm critical n y sanctuary	ational to
B. Accomplishments/Planned P	•	in Million	<u>s)</u>						FY	2013 F	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Future Security Challenges										2.447	2.420	3.590
challenges to the Department, an with international partners to conf capabilities, and works with the in Program explores processes and	ront these o ternational	hallenges. commercial	Anticipates of sector and	exploitation academia (	of technolo	ogy, includin adversary's	g available application	and advan	ced			
FY 2013 Accomplishments: • Researched and analyzed particle position, leadership, political dyna • Continued Development of net-conformation, intelligence, and data • Researched efforts within the Seconterinsurgency operational ca • Researched military competition nation may utilize in future armed	amics, techr centric enter a being colle ervices and pabilities. among nat	nical abilities rprise techn ected by Do Combatant	s and interna ologies to re D and foreig Commands	al social ter move inter n governm to better a	nsions and s mational sh ents. nalyze and	stability. aring barrier demonstrat	e enduring	with maritir				
FY 2014 Plans: • FY 2014 Congressional add is in Conflict Records Research Center to facilitate research and analysis United States, with rigid adherence • Perform trend analysis and deve	n accordanc r. The purp of records ce to acade	ooses of wh captured fro mic freedom	ich are to es om countries n and integri	tablish a di , organizat ty.	igital resear ions, and ir	ch database	e including	translations	and			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 7	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0305186D8Z / Policy R&D Programs	Project (N 186 / Polic		,	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		F	<b>í 2013</b>	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Finalize and apply risk management methodologies to identified program area</li> <li>Develop net-centric enterprise technologies to remove international sharing baintelligence, and data being collected by DoD and foreign governments.</li> <li>Research military competition among nations in the Far and Middle East and I nation may utilize in future armed conflicts.</li> <li>Enhance strategies and relationships with European nations based on the excopportunities and existing policies</li> <li>Research and analyze particular Far and Middle East countries as it relates to leadership, political dynamics, technical abilities and internal social tensions an</li> <li>Continue research efforts within the Services and Combatant Commands to b counterinsurgency operational capabilities.</li> </ul>	arriers identified with maritime information, highlight potential capabilities and policies ea change of information through education o their decision-making process, financial posi d stability.				
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Perform trend analysis and develop mitigation options for addressing program</li> <li>Finalize and apply risk management methodologies to identified program area</li> <li>Develop net-centric enterprise technologies to remove international sharing baintelligence, and data being collected by DoD and foreign governments</li> <li>Research military competition among nations in the Far and Middle East and I nation may utilize in future armed conflicts</li> <li>Enhance strategies and relationships with European nations based on the excopportunities and existing policies</li> <li>Research and analyze particular Far and Middle East countries as it relates to leadership, political dynamics, technical abilities and internal social tensions an</li> <li>Continue research efforts within the Services and Combatant Commands to b counterinsurgency operational capabilities.</li> </ul>	as. arriers identified with maritime information, highlight potential capabilities and policies ea change of information through education o their decision-making process, financial posi d stability.				
<i>Title:</i> Long Term Competitions (LTC) Program <i>Description:</i> Request supports the Long Term Competitions (LTC) program whethe DoD senior leadership with an understanding of key long-term development security environment, and to develop competitive strategies for their considerate long term challenges. The LTC Program will provide rigorously analyzed comp DoD leaders, and will require the support of organizations and experts outside analysis, concepts and recommendations. Funding for the LTC program will be working groups and strategy review teams; contract studies; support wargaming	ts and dynamics in specific areas of the globa ion as the Department seeks to address thes retitive strategy recommendations to these se of government to deliver the highest quality a used to: bring outside experts into Task Fore	ll e nior ce	1.590	0.940	1.815

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of I	Defense		Date: N	larch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 7	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0305186D8Z <i>I Policy R&amp;D Programs</i>		<b>ct (Number/N</b> Policy R&D P		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		ſ	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
developments and dynamics, and their impact on the future security environme and explore new approaches to addressing key analytical requirements.	ent and U.S. military capabilities in that envirc	onment;			
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> Specific efforts are classified.					
<i>FY 2014 Plans:</i> Specific efforts are classified.					
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> Specific efforts are classified.					
Title: Defense Planning Scenarios Activities			1.377	0.850	1.660
<b>Description:</b> This program is classified.					
<i>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</i> Specific efforts are classified.					
<i>FY 2014 Plans:</i> Specific efforts are classified.					
<i>FY 2015 Plans:</i> Specific efforts are classified.					
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Su	btotals	5.414	4.210	7.065
C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks D. Acquisition Strategy N/A E. Performance Metrics N/A					
L					

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iter	n Justificati	ion: PB 20 <sup>-</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary	Of Defense					Date: March 2014		
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7: Operational Systems Development					-	<b>am Elemen</b> 99D8Z / Net	•	Name)				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	14.528	18.849	16.490	23.984	-	23.984	17.446	18.060	18.992	20.174	Continuing	Continuing
199: GIG Evaluation Facilities (GIG-EF) and GIG Enterprise- Wide Systems Engineering Advisory Activities	14.528	18.849	16.490	23.984	-	23.984	17.446	18.060	18.992	20.174	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Funds will be used to provide technical analysis, systems engineering and capability management oversight of programs, projects, initiatives and activities to maximize the Department's return on investment in information technology resources and affect a comprehensive approach for assessing and procuring critical information systems from initial design, through development to capability delivery in support of improved systems performance and military operations. Emphasis is placed on the information transport, information assurance, network and spectrum management, command and control (C2) applications, systems and services, information sharing capabilities, and enterprise services activities focused on the development, integration, testing and technical assessment of capabilities and applications in joint and coalition warfighter support environments. Resources support collaborative efforts to demonstrate the interoperability and performance requirements of command, control, communication, computing network, and Information Infrastructure (C4II) capabilities and programs. This program is funded under Budget Activity 7, Operational System Development.

This project provides the resources necessary to implement net centric processes and authoritative analytic methods that provide the capability to synchronize interdependent C4II capabilities across all layers (ground, air, space) of the joint information environment architecture, to forecast and achieve a balance in supply and demand for network capacity, and field effective capabilities more rapidly and efficiently as an enabler for C4&II capabilities applications and services. Resources are required to transform current networks and information infrastructure into an operationally unified and architecturally diverse joint information environment that will provide end-to-end communications transport layer, computing networks, and mission application capabilities that are optimized and integrated with all other joint capability areas with a focus on the tactical edge faced with disconnected, intermittent, and latency (DIL) environments. There will be technical assessments, modeling and simulation, and analysis of the Joint space communication slayer, Joint aerial network layer, contested communication assurance capabilities with other ipoint information environment capabilities to provide secure access to information and services (e.g. Cryptographic Modernization Management plan). In addition, funding will continue to be used to support the Defense Information System's Agency's (DISA) and Services' interoperability Enhancement Process (IEP) that allows operators, engineers, and program managers to verify capabilities and identify issues in a design with Joint /Allied units prior to system fielding, or with fielded systems to identify required systems changes for systems upgrade planning. DISA and the Joint Forces Combatant Command lead the effort to transform the current standards

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary 0	Date: March 2014	
	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0305199D8Z / Net Centricity	

and interoperability management tools to a common set of Joint network-enabled standards to ensure adherence to the Global Information Grid (GIG) enterprise-wide technical baseline and for implementation of future Tactical Data Link (TDL) capabilities. These joint standards, protocols, and processes will be used for implementation and testing to ensure the TDL capabilities are synchronized with the development and integration timelines of other planned network-enabled Global Information Grid (GIG) initiatives. The threats to the networking waveforms and the Joint NC migration will also be looked at in cooperation with the Intelligence agencies.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	21.190	21.602	21.610	-	21.610
Current President's Budget	18.849	16.490	23.984	-	23.984
Total Adjustments	-2.341	-5.112	2.374	-	2.374
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-0.045	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
<ul> <li>Sequestration Reduction</li> </ul>	-1.756	-	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Efficiency Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-	-2.626	-	-2.626
SIBR/STTR Reduction	-0.532	-	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Program Adjustment</li> </ul>	-0.008	-	-	-	-
<ul> <li>Congressional Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-5.000	-	-	-
<ul> <li>FFRDC Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-0.112	-	-	-
Department Increase Classified Program	-	-	5.000	-	5.000

#### Change Summary Explanation

Program Change Explanation:

FY 2013: Sequestration Reduction -1.756 million, Reprogramming -0.045 million, SIBR/STTR reduction -0.532 million, Program Adjustment -0.008 million . FY 2014: Congressional Reduction -5.000 million, FFRDC Reduction -0.112 million.

FY 2015: Efficiency Reduction -2.626 million, Department increase classified program 5.000 million - This Department is one piece of the classified program other funding associated with this effort can be found under PE 0605170D8Z, BA 4, 12.5 million, and PE 0605170D8Z, BA6, 22.5 million.

C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: Net Centricity Plans and Accomplishments	18.849	16.490	23.984
FY 2013 Accomplishments:			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	y Of Defense	Date: N	larch 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7: Operational Systems Development	·			
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)</li> <li>Determined strengths, weaknesses, and uses of waveforms; identified gaps r investigated how new technologies will result in improved waveforms; and su Supported technical analysis, architecture development, and systems engir cloud computing standards and cloud computing best practices to ensure res support operations; identified how cloud services can be extended to the miss - Assessed tactical communications systems' ability to support IPv6.</li> <li>Conducted analyses and performed modeling and simulation to address iss - Conducted analyses and performed modeling and simulation to address SA - Conducted analyses and performed modeling and simulation to address SA - Conducted analyses of technical insertion options to support refresh and m services.</li> <li>Supported analysis of security architectures and provided recommendation DoD to include support for secret and top secret data and voice communications S leveraged the radio strategy working group with the Military Services to facilita rchitecture, and technical options for integration</li> <li>Conducted analysis ATCOM synch matrices to reflect changes in POM 14 fu AOA recommendations.</li> <li>Analyzed PACOM gateway system requirements and proposed equipment needed to meet the operational requirements.</li> <li>Analyzed DoD tactical radios to determine which radios are suitable for Suit</li> <li>Conducted technical studies to investigate the feasibility of implementing le payload</li> <li>Developed transition plans for the Military Services and NSA to support militat issues</li> <li>Developed transition plans for the Military Services and NSA to support militat issues</li> <li>Developed analysis and oversight for Crypto-solution management, policy de modernization for the general force.</li> <li>Supported development of the Terms of Reference to guide and inform the Developed and coordinated JIPM evolution and deployment strategy to sup</li> </ul>	pported Waveform Roadmap effort; heering to support understanding the maturity of illiency of the cloud computing environment to sion networks; sues with communications systems and networks; htworks; ATCOM issues; igration of Defense Information Systems Network s on policy for commercial mobile devices in the ons, addressed interim solutions, route to final Security (COMSEC) modernization strategy; ate POM development and Component planning for unding, emerging systems/technology, and JALN suites including the number and types of equipment te B implementation gacy narrowband SATCOM solutions on the MUOS ives and address crypto modernization integration gration from the Electronic Key Management System velopment, and enforcement, and Crypto Protected SATCOM AoA.	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Date: N	Date: March 2014				
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7: Operational Systems Development	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0305199D8Z / Net Centricity					
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		
<ul> <li>C. Accomptistments/Planed Programs (S in Millions)</li> <li>Conducted technical analysis of Coalition C2 functional requirements, strategic p addressed by the international community (inclusive of multilateral and bi-latera)</li> <li>Conducted technical analysis of selected joint and military Service C2 progra approaches for data, services and enterprise deployments and support integra</li> <li>Provided technical analysis and support for C4II related policies, plans, studi assessment reports, capabilities and numerous other initiatives.</li> <li>Provided technical analysis and support for C4II related policies, plans, studi assessment reports, capabilities and numerous other initiatives.</li> <li>Provided technical analysis and support for the development of Common Mis</li> <li>Conducted Joint Network modeling and Network design applicable to tactical</li> <li>Provided analysis of the SATCOM systems in support of the RBSC effort</li> <li>Conducted a MUOS alternative study to determine a technical solution for ge satellite; investigated plausible Radio Access Facility (RAF) modifications, grouted schedule impacts</li> <li>Conducted wireless architecture and advanced technologies analysis. Deve updates to Department-wide communications policies applicable to commercia</li> <li>Conducted technical analysis to support may be evolution of Multacquisition strategies, and functional requirements to enable continued develop mechanisms to enhance capability strategies.</li> <li>Conducted follow-on JALN analysis with Joint Service JALN Council, oversat JALN capability Non-Recurring Engineering (NRE) development.</li> <li>Expanded IEP beyond Link 16 to incorporate VMF, MADL, and CDL</li> <li>Finalized Joint TDL Migration Plan (JTMP) and initiated development of DoD the Department</li> <li>Conducted Advanced Ground / Air / Space assessments for: Generation 4 to modification analysis (Multifunction Advanced Data Link (MADL); Advanced tat waveform standard specification; analyze MADL and Link-16 gateway capability<!--</td--><td>policy development and capability strategies al engagements) ams and initiatives to promote net-centric ted sustainment and modernization planning. es, governance and management, roadmaps, vironment (MPE) development and implementation ession Network Transport (CMNT) capability. I US Army and USMC units. etting the most out of the MUOS payload side of the und terminal modifications, waveform options, cost, NUOS terminals. bectrum issues. loped recommendations and reports to inform al mobile devices oversight the Spectrum Technology Radar Roadmap ti-National Information Sharing programs, related pment of C2 Information Sharing metrics and w Service implementation efforts, and initiated policy instruction to guide TDL migration across ght of the communication systems o Generation 5 Fighter/bomber waveform ctical data link modeling; Developed a MADL</td><td>FY 2013</td><td>FY 2014</td><td>FY 2015</td></li></ul>	policy development and capability strategies al engagements) ams and initiatives to promote net-centric ted sustainment and modernization planning. es, governance and management, roadmaps, vironment (MPE) development and implementation ession Network Transport (CMNT) capability. I US Army and USMC units. etting the most out of the MUOS payload side of the und terminal modifications, waveform options, cost, NUOS terminals. bectrum issues. loped recommendations and reports to inform al mobile devices oversight the Spectrum Technology Radar Roadmap ti-National Information Sharing programs, related pment of C2 Information Sharing metrics and w Service implementation efforts, and initiated policy instruction to guide TDL migration across ght of the communication systems o Generation 5 Fighter/bomber waveform ctical data link modeling; Developed a MADL	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015		

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Date: March 2014				
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7: Operational Systems Development	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name) PE 0305199D8Z / Net Centricity				
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	٦	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<ul> <li>Conducted technical analysis to inform updates to Joint C2 technical and and Command and Control Family of Systems to a network enabled, joint informat potential costs and schedules to establish net-centric C2 capabilities consister</li> <li>Provided technical analysis to support the Joint Technology Synchronization to establish technical artifacts for Joint Information Enterprise (JIE) Increment</li> <li>Developed initial technical architectures to promote efficiency in network dis Reconnaissance (ISR) data captured Airborne ISR (AISR) requirements in a d documented existing systems/capabilities to support follow-on material/non-m</li> <li>Established the SATCOM Systems Engineering Group (SSEG) to address S Wideband, and Protected domains</li> <li>Developed an alternative approach for closing out the Joint Tactical Network external key stakeholders to develop the transition plan.</li> </ul>	ion enterprise. Analyzed Component approaches, nt with Department objectives. Office (JTSO) Integrated Design Team (IDT) efforts 1 implementation. semination of Intelligence, Surveillance and raft Initial Capabilities Document (ICD), and aterial recommendations. SATCOM end-to-end issues in the Narrowband,				
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Continue efforts to determine strengths, weaknesses, and uses of waveforms; waveforms; consider how new technologies will result in improved waveforms</li> <li>Continue technical analysis, architecture development, and systems engined computing standards and cloud computing best practices to ensure resiliency and mobile solution capabilities; identify how cloud services can be extended the Develop policy guidance and implementation strategies to promote IPV6 use</li> <li>Conduct follow-on analyses and perform modeling and simulation to address command and control systems, communications systems and networks</li> <li>Conduct analyses to address SATCOM synchronization issues, consistent w (SSEG) objectives</li> <li>Conduct analyses to address DoD organizational messaging modernization Information Enterprise (JIE).</li> <li>Continue analysis of security architectures and provide recommendations to for commercial mobile devices to in clude support for secret and top secret da solutions, route to final architecture, and technical options for integration of miter Refine the DoD radio and communications security strategy implementation development for POM 16 (FY16-20).</li> </ul>	to support waveform roadmap efforts; ering to support understanding the maturity of cloud of the cloud computing environment to support C2 to the mission networks; e in tactical systems. s capability and interoperability issues with orks with SATCOM Synchronization Engineering Group as a candidate enterprise service for the Joint enable implementation of DoD-wide policies ta and voice communications, address interim ssion applications				

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Date: N	Date: March 2014			
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7: Operational Systems Development					
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	٦	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<ul> <li>Update existing SATCOM synch matrices to reflect changes in POM 15 fund and implementation of JALN AOA recommendations to promote synchronized communications capabilities.</li> <li>Refine PACOM gateway system requirements and proposed equipment suit needed to meet the operational requirements to support implementation in the</li> <li>Conduct technical analysis to identify network gaps and address implementa associated communications networks needed to control and provide informatic data) for cluster basing related to adversary anti-access/area-denial (A2/AD).</li> <li>Continue analysis of tactical radios to determine which radios are suitable fo</li> <li>Provide analysis and oversight for continued development and implementatia and enforcement, and Crypto modernization for the general force.</li> <li>Conduct technical analysis and modeling and simulation to support for the P</li> <li>Conduct technical analysis on formalize JIPM evolution and deployment strat GBS capabilities to inform follow on implementation across the Department.</li> <li>Continue technical analysis on Coalition C2 and Multi-National Information S Coalition C2 functional requirements, strategic policy development and capabi community (inclusive of multilateral and bi-lateral engagements) to inform and development and implementation.</li> <li>Conduct technical analysis of selected joint and military Service C2 program for data, services and enterprise deployments, consistent with joint C2 sustain</li> <li>Provide technical analysis and support for the development and implementat (CMNT) capability.</li> <li>Continue joint network modeling and network design applicable to Army Brig Brigade (MEB), and USAF Wing.</li> <li>Provide technical analysis of the SATCOM systems in support of the SATCOM Synch Continue analysis efforts to address the feasibility of implementing legacy na End consistent with multi-service operational test and evaluation configuration.</li> <li>Continue analysis of</li></ul>	development and delivery of end-to-end es including the number and types of equipment PACOM area of operations. ation of command and control capabilities and on support (e.g., intelligence, logistics, other mission r Suite B implementation on of Crypto-solution management, policy guidance rotected SATCOM AoA tegies to support video dissemination and two-way sharing (MNIS), including technical analysis of lity strategies addressed by the international guide Mission Partner Environment (MPE) s and initiatives to promote net-centric approaches ment and modernization plans. es, governance and management, roadmaps, tion of the Common Mission Network Transport gade Combat Team (BCT), USMC Expeditionary ronization Engineering Group (SSEG) objectives. arrowband SATCOM solutions on the MUOS End to I solutions for getting the most out of the MUOS erminal modifications, waveform options.				

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretar	Date: March 2014				
Appropriation/Budget Activity	R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)				
0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7:	PE 0305199D8Z / Net Centricity				
Operational Systems Development					
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
<ul> <li>Conduct assessments to investigate feasibility, and availability of COTS hig production of MUOS radios.</li> </ul>	gh efficiency WCDMA power amplifiers to ramp up				
- Develop an implementation plan and process for MUOS specific Test and i	interoperability Certification test bed capability to				
support COTS vendor terminals to support MUOS system.					
- Provide technical analysis on network management to include cyber and s	pectrum issues.				
- Continue wireless architecture and advanced technologies analysis to info					
mobility solutions.					
- Conduct technical analysis to support compliance oversight of waveform per	olicies and technical profile specifications				
- Conduct spectrum technology radar analysis to support implementation of	the Spectrum Technology Radar Roadmap				
- Provide technical analysis of Multi-National Information Sharing programs					
strategies, and functional requirements to ensure continued development of	C2 information sharing metrics and mechanisms				
consistent with capability strategies					
- Continue follow-on JALN analysis with Joint Service JALN Council, overse	e Service implementation efforts, and continue JALN				
capability Non-Recurring Engineering (NRE) development.					
- Continue technical efforts to expand IEP beyond Link 16 to incorporate VM	IF, MADL, and CDL				
- Conduct technical and policy assessments to enable TDL migration					
- Conduct Advanced Ground / Air / Space assessments for: Generation 4 to					
analysis (Multifunction Advanced Data Link (MADL); Advanced tactical data specification; analyze MADL and Link-16 gateway capabilities	link modeling; develop MADL waveform standard				
- Conduct analysis to refine the joint C2 technical and architectural artifacts	and inform transition of Global Command and Control				
Family of Systems to a network enabled, joint information enterprise					
<ul> <li>Provide studies and analysis of the C2 capability gaps to inform investmen</li> </ul>	t strategies to inform Component planning and POM				
development for POM16 (FY16-20). Analyze approaches, potential costs and					
- Conduct technical analysis to support the Joint Technology Synchronizatio					
related to implementation of Joint Information Enterprise (JIE) Increment 1 ca					
technical planning.					
- Continue development and refine technical architectures to support implem	nentation of networking capabilities that enable				
efficient dissemination of Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance (ISF					
non-material recommendations in support of the USSOCOM-sponsored AISI	R ICD, and the Joint Requirements Oversight Council				
(JROC) process.	-				
- Conduct comprehensive analysis on the Narrowband SATCOM environme	nt to help inform the pending AoA that will likely begin				
in late FY14					

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	/ Of Defense	Date: Marc		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7: Operational Systems Development	FY 2013       FY 2013         conjunction with USD AT&L and DISA to answer the uire, manage, and use commercial SATCOM       Image: Commercial SATCOM         cation under separate cover. This is one piece of this       Image: Commercial SATCOM			
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
- Complete the extensive Commercial SATCOM (COMSAT) analysis in conju Defense Business Board (DBB) recommendations on how to better acquire, r				
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> \$5.000 supports classified program, Details provided at a higher classification classified program, other funding can be found under PE 0605170D8Z, BA 4,				
<ul> <li>\$18,984 supports:</li> <li>Continue efforts to determine strengths, weaknesses, and uses of waveforms waveforms; consider how new technologies will result in improved waveforms efforts;</li> <li>Continue technical analysis, architecture development, and systems engine computing standards and cloud computing best practices to ensure resiliency and mobile solution capabilities; identify how cloud services can be extended</li> <li>Refine policy guidance and strategies to address technical IPV6 implement:</li> <li>Conduct follow-on analyses and perform modeling and simulation to address command and control systems, communications systems and networks</li> <li>Conduct analyses and perform modeling and simulation to address SATCO Synchronization Engineering Group (SSEG) objectives</li> <li>Conduct analyses and perform modeling and simulation to address implementation in the Joint Information Enterprise (JIE).</li> <li>Continue analysis of security architectures and provide recommendations to policies for commercial mobile devices to include support for secret and top s interim solutions, refine technical architectures and technical options for integ - Refine the DoD radio and communications security strategy implementation development for POM17 (FY17-21).</li> <li>Update existing SATCOM synch matrices to reflect changes in POM16 function and implementation of JALN AOA recommendations to promote synchronized communications capabilities.</li> <li>Refine PACOM gateway system requirements and proposed equipment suit needed to meet the operational requirements to support implementation in the continue analysis of tactical radios to determine which radios are suitable for the proposed equipment suit needed to meet the operational requirements to support implementation in the continue analysis of tactical radios to determine which radios are suitable for the proposed equipment suitable for the prediction and</li></ul>	a to support waveform roadmap implementation beering to support understanding the maturity of cloud of the cloud computing environment to support C2 to the mission networks; ation issues in tactical systems. as capability and interoperability issues with vorks M synchronization issues, consistent with SATCOM entation issues for DoD organizational messaging o enable expanded implementation of DoD-wide ecret data and voice communications, address ration of additional mission applications of plans to facilitate Component planning and POM ding, emerging systems/technologies, d development and delivery of end-to-end ites including the number and types of equipment e PACOM area of operations.			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense	Date: N	March 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity       R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)         0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7:       PE 0305199D8Z / Net Centricity         Operational Systems Development       Peresenvelopment			
<u>C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)</u>	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
<ul> <li>Provide analysis and oversight for continued development and implementation of Crypto-solution management, policy guidance and enforcement, and Crypto modernization for the general force.</li> <li>Conduct follow-on technical analysis and modeling and simulation to support implementation of Protected SATCOM AoA recommendations</li> <li>Conduct follow-on analysis to finalize JIPM evolution and deployment strategies to support video dissemination and two-way GBS capabilities to drive implementation across the Department.</li> <li>Continue technical analysis on Coalition C2 and Multi-National Information Sharing (MNIS), including technical analysis of Coalition C2 functional requirements, strategic policy development and capability strategies addressed by the international community (inclusive of multilateral and bi-lateral engagements) to guide Mission Partner Environment (MPE) development and implementation.</li> <li>Conduct technical analysis of selected joint and military Service C2 programs and initiatives to promote net-centric approaches for data, services and enterprise deployments, consistent with joint C2 sustainment and modernization plans.</li> <li>Provide technical analysis and support for C4II related policies, plans, studies, governance and management, roadmaps, assessment reports, capabilities and numerous other initiatives.</li> <li>Provide technical analysis and support for the implementation of the Common Mission Network Transport (CMNT) capability.</li> <li>Continue joint network modeling and network design applicable to Army Brigade Combat Team (BCT), USMC Expeditionary Brigade (MEB), and USAF Wing.</li> <li>Provide analysis of the SATCOM systems in support of the SATCOM Synchronization Engineering Group (SSEG) objectives.</li> <li>Continue analysis of the SATCOM systems in support of the SATCOM Synchronization son the MUOS payload for NATO interoperability.</li> <li>Conduct assessments to shape Future Narrow band satellite communication syst</li></ul>			
specifications			

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary	Date: March 2014						
Appropriation/Budget Activity       R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)         0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7:       PE 0305199D8Z / Net Centricity         Operational Systems Development       PE 0305199D8Z / Net Centricity							
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	]	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015			
<ul> <li>Conduct spectrum technology radar analysis support implementation of Sp.</li> <li>Provide technical analysis of Multi-National Information Sharing programs a strategies, and functional requirements to ensure continued development of C support implementation of capability strategies</li> <li>Continue follow-on JALN analysis with Joint Service JALN Council, oversee of JALN capability Non-Recurring Engineering (NRE) development.</li> <li>Conduct technical efforts to expand IEP beyond Link 16 to incorporate VM</li> <li>Conduct technical and policy assessments to enable TDL migration</li> <li>Conduct Advanced Ground / Air / Space assessments for: Generation 4 to analysis (Multifunction Advanced Data Link (MADL); Advanced tactical data lis specification; analyze MADL and Link-16 gateway capabilities</li> <li>Conduct analysis to update joint C2 technical and architectural artifacts to se Control Family of Systems to a network enabled, joint information enterprise</li> <li>Provide studies and analysis to support the Joint Technology Synchronization efforts to implement Joint Information Enterprise (JIE) Increment 1 capability implementation.</li> <li>Continue development and refine technical architectures to support implement ficient dissemination of Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance (ISR)</li> </ul>	and initiatives, related acquisition and implementation C2 information sharing metrics and mechanisms that e Service implementation efforts, and implementation F, MADL, and CDL Generation 5 Fighter/bomber waveform modification ink modeling; develop MADL waveform standard support continued migration of Global Command and c strategies to inform Component planning and POM I schedules to establish net-centric C2 capabilities. In Office (JTSO) Integrated Design Team (IDT) upgrades, and support initial JIE Increment 2 entation of networking capabilities that enable R) data.						
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	18.849	16.490	23.98			
D. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks E. Acquisition Strategy N/A F. Performance Metrics – PPBE related issue development and approval – Successful technical development and analysis of the CIO and DCIO C4IIC – Develop comprehensive risk assessment and mitigation approaches of the		es					

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Iten	n Justificat	<b>ion:</b> PB 20 <sup>-</sup>	15 Office of	Secretary (	Of Defense					Date: Marc	h 2014	
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7: Operational Systems Development			<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0305387D8Z <i>I Homeland Defense Technology Transfer Program</i>					1				
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	2.630	2.158	2.327	2.110	-	2.110	2.133	2.215	2.339	2.485	Continuing	Continuing
387: Homeland Defense Technology Transfer Program	2.630	2.158	2.327	2.110	-	2.110	2.133	2.215	2.339	2.485	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Continues Congressionally directed (Sec. 1401, P.L. 107-314) Technology Transfer Program to consolidate and coordinate various military endeavors that pass technology and equipment to first responders. Works with a variety of DoD activities, interagency partners, and first responder organizations to ensure that dual use military technology is expedited into the commercial sector for use by law enforcement, fire, and emergency medical service personnel. Works with the Military Departments and Defense Logistics Agency to ensure that appropriate excess military property is made available to the first responder community on an expedited basis.

B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015 Base</u>	<u>FY 2015 OCO</u>	FY 2015 Total
Previous President's Budget	2.303	2.338	2.404	-	2.404
Current President's Budget	2.158	2.327	2.110	-	2.110
Total Adjustments	-0.145	-0.011	-0.294	-	-0.294
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.130	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-0.003	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-			
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-0.011	-			
<ul> <li>Strategic Efficiency Reduction</li> </ul>	-	-	-0.294	-	-0.294
Other Program Adjustment	-0.001	-	-	-	-
• FFRDC	-	-0.011	-	-	-

#### **Change Summary Explanation**

FY 2015 efficiencies adjustment to support Department higher priorities.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretar	Date: March 2014				
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7: Operational Systems Development	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0305387D8Z <i>I Homeland Defense Technology</i>	Transfer Prog	ram		
C. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	
Title: Homeland Defense Technology Transfer Program		2.158	2.327	2.11	
<b>Description:</b> Provided outreach through coordination and cooperation with in and equipment to first responders. Ensured DoD components conducted Te the respective component. Provided information to stakeholders on equipment	chnology Transfer programs that are appropriate for				
<ul> <li>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</li> <li>Implemented efficiencies.</li> <li>Used a consortium of subject matter experts/governance council to prioritize</li> <li>Continued program outreach programs, prioritize outreach to reflect efficient</li> <li>Pursued excess equipment transfer capabilities from overseas contingency</li> <li>Developed and revised metrics.</li> </ul>	cies.				
<ul> <li>FY 2014 Plans:</li> <li>Continue to implement efficiencies.</li> <li>Use a consortium of subject matter experts/governance councils to prioritize</li> <li>Continue program outreach activities and prioritize outreach to reflect efficie</li> <li>Enhance and expedite excess equipment transfer capabilities from oversea</li> </ul>	encies.				
<ul> <li>FY 2015 Plans:</li> <li>Continue to implement efficiencies.</li> <li>Use a consortium of subject matter experts/governance councils to prioritize</li> <li>Continue program outreach activities and prioritize outreach to reflect efficie</li> <li>Enhance and expedite excess equipment transfer capabilities from oversea</li> </ul>	encies.				
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs Subtotals	2.158	2.327	2.11	
D. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions) N/A Remarks E. Acquisition Strategy N/A F. Performance Metrics As stated.					

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014		
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide I BA 7: Operational Systems Development				<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0305600D8Z I International Intelligence Technology and Architectures								
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	4.236	1.357	4.363	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing
997: International Intelligence Technology and Architectures	4.236	1.357	4.363	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### <u>Note</u>

Funding transfers to Air Force beginning in FY 2015.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Provides for the identification, migration and integration of existing and advanced multi-lateral and bi-lateral international intelligence information virtual advanced analytics, algorithmic data fusion, and multi-level security cross domain technologies into an integrated US, North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), and coalition intelligence service oriented architecture/data repository such as the US and NATO Battlefield Information Collection and Exploitation System(s) (BICES). Provides for rapid implementation of US BICES Extended (US BICES-X) capabilities into the Distributed Common Ground/Surface System (DCGS) and the Defense Intelligence Information Enterprise (DI2E) intelligence decision applications and data mechanisms in support of the Under Secretary of Defense's (Intelligence) mission to ensure necessary intelligence unformation is being acquired, analyzed, and disseminated rapidly among our allies and coalition partners. Develops US BICES-X as the "enduring" coalition intelligence support element of the DI2E. Continues the development of the Trusted Network Environment (TNE) multi-level security database, web, and e-mail capabilities for U.S. Central Command (CENTCOM), U.S. European Command (EUCOM), U.S. Africa Command (AFRICOM), and U.S. Pacific Command (PACOM). Supports the research and development of 50+ High Assurance Connection Interfaces to Combatant Command identified bi-lateral and multi-lateral partners, develops the multi-level security rule sets and develops Ozone Widget Framework with applicable cloud widgets that can transverse the multi-level security boundaries. Develops, tests, and integrates intelligence mission applications that interface with and support the development of the DoD/CIO Coalition Partner Network (CPN).

Funding transfers to Air Force starting in FY 2015.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2015 O	Date:	Date: March 2014							
<b>Appropriation/Budget Activity</b> 0400: Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-V Operational Systems Development	Vide I BA 7:	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0305600D8Z I International Intelligence Technology and Architectures							
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO	FY 2015 Total				
Previous President's Budget	1.478	4.372	-	-	-				
Current President's Budget	1.357	4.363	-	-	-				
Total Adjustments	-0.121	-0.009	-	-	-				
<ul> <li>Congressional General Reductions</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Reductions</li> </ul>	-0.120	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Rescissions</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Adds</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Congressional Directed Transfers</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Reprogrammings</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>SBIR/STTR Transfer</li> </ul>	-	-							
<ul> <li>Departmental Adjustments</li> </ul>	-0.001	-	-	-	-				
• FFRDC	-	-0.009	-	-	-				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of Defense										Date: March 2014			
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 7						PE 0305600D8Z / International Intelligence 99				<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 997 I International Intelligence Technology and Architectures			
COST (\$ in Millions)	Prior Years	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015 Base	FY 2015 OCO <sup>#</sup>	FY 2015 Total	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	Cost To Complete	Total Cost	
997: International Intelligence Technology and Architectures	4.236	1.357	4.363	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Continuing	Continuing	
Quantity of RDT&E Articles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

<sup>#</sup> The FY 2015 OCO Request will be submitted at a later date.

#### <u>Note</u>

Funding transfers to Air Force beginning in FY 2015.

#### A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

Provides for the migration and integration of existing and advanced multinational and bi-lateral international intelligence information virtual advanced analytics, algorithmic data fusion, and multi-level security cross domain technologies into an integrated US, NATO, and coalition intelligence service oriented architecture/data repository such as the US and NATO BICES. Provides for rapid implementation of US BICES capabilities into the DCGS and the DI2E intelligence decision applications and data mechanisms in support of USD(I)'s mission to ensure necessary intelligence information is being acquired, analyzed, and disseminated rapidly among our allies and coalition partners. Develops US BICES as the "enduring" coalition intelligence component of the DI2E. Continues development of the TNE multi-level security database, web, and e-mail capabilities for US BICES.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
Title: International Intelligence Technology and Architectures	1.357	4.363	-
<b>FY 2013 Accomplishments:</b> Migrated several federated architectures to incorporate multi-level security (Oracle Trusted Cross Domain Systems) capabilities for bi-lateral and multi-lateral data dissemination and discovery information sharing techniques into existing US, NATO, and coalition networks supporting on-going Special Operations Forces (SOF) and conventional operational intelligence needs. Incorporated designs of several DI2E capabilities for US BICES. Integrated a number of US BICES applications.			
<b>FY 2014 Plans:</b> Continue migration of other federated architectures to incorporate multi-level security (Oracle Trusted Cross Domain Systems) capabilities for bi-lateral and multi-lateral data dissemination and discovery information sharing techniques into existing US, NATO, and coalition networks supporting on-going SOF and conventional operational intelligence needs. Further incorporate design of DI2E capabilities for US BICES. Continue US BICES application integration. Provide additional funding to develop and migrate critical mission applications to run within the TNE multi-level security boundary. Allow multiple bi-lateral and multi-lateral connections through the High Assurance Connection Interfaces to access the operational intelligence mission software portals and services with the data elements tagged to allow only the intelligence information that is releasable to the particular partner to be exchanged. Provide research and development of the software applications necessary to ensure the PL-4 level security			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	ification: PB	2015 Office	of Secretary	Of Defense	9				Date: Ma	arch 2014	
Appropriation/Budget Activity 0400 / 7				PE 03	r <b>ogram Ele</b> r 05600D8Z <i>I</i> ology and Ai	Internationa	<b>Project (Number/Name)</b> 997 I International Intelligence Techn and Architectures			echnology	
B. Accomplishments/Planned Pro	grams (\$ in M	<u>/lillions)</u>							FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015
certification remains intact and will a Provide research into whether the u research and development to allow lateral windows on a single workstat	nclassified lev for US intellig	vel can be co	onnected in o	conjunction v	vith higher le	vel security	levels. Provid	de			
<b>FY 2015 Plans:</b> Funding transfers to Air Force starting	ng in FY 2015										
				Accon	nplishments	s/Planned P	rograms Sub	ototals	1.357	4.363	-
C. Other Program Funding Summ	ary (\$ in Milli	<u>ons)</u>									
Line Itom	EV 2012	FY 2014	FY 2015	<u>FY 2015</u> OCO	FY 2015	EV 2016	EV 2017	EV 20	19 EV 2010	Cost To	-
<u>Line Item</u> • 0305600D8Z Proc DW: International Intelligence Technology and Architectures	<u>FY 2013</u> 16.206	16.678	<u>Base</u> -	<u>000</u> -	<u>Total</u> -	<u>FY 2016</u> -	<u>FY 2017</u> -	<u>FY 20'</u>	<u>18</u> <u>FY 2019</u> 		Total Cos Continuing
• 0305600D8Z O&M DW: International Intelligence Technology and Architectures	61.298	66.523	-	-	-	-	-			Continuing	Continuin
Remarks											

#### D. Acquisition Strategy

Performance will be monitored on a monthly basis via Program Reviews, Current Expenditures, Estimated Future Expenditures, and Cost/Schedule Adherence. Research and Development will provide increased intelligence information sharing capabilities in support of US and coalition forces utilizing the US BICES and NATO virtual networks within the Afghanistan theater and provide increased database information via Distributed Common Ground System - Army. Provides an increase in intelligence disciplines (Imagery Intelligence, Signal Intelligence, and potential Human Intelligence ) in support of US and Allied/Coalition forces that currently is very limited to the warfighter. Increased intelligence advanced analytics tools will be migrated from Joint Intelligence Operations Center-IT and DI2E developments and will significantly increase the timeliness of intelligence and bring US BICES/NATO Special Operations Forces Headquarters/NATO Intelligence Fusion Center capabilities into the current technology baselines. Develops and provides a federated TNE that incorporates the Asian Pacific Intelligence Information Network being developed to support the National Defense Strategy as we transition out of Afghanistan and into the Pacific. Provides multi-level security intelligence bi-laterals and multi-laterals to meet Combatant Commander Integrated Priority Lists. Develops the Coalition Partner Network for CENTCOM, the Coalition Information Exchange Network for SOUTHCOM, and the Coalition Partner Network for EUCOM and AFRICOM. Develops the US BICES connections with these bi-lateral and multi-lateral federated TNEs that make up the US BICES-X Enterprise Network in support of Commander SOCOM requirements for conventional and coalition operations as the US migrates into other Combatant Command regions.

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2015 Office of Secretary Of D	Date: March 2014		
	<b>R-1 Program Element (Number/Name)</b> PE 0305600D8Z <i>I International Intelligence</i> <i>Technology and Architectures</i>		umber/Name) national Intelligence Technology ectures

#### **E. Performance Metrics**

Assessment and Analysis - Can it easily be adapted or adjusted to meet the current or projected capabilities gap for Allied or Coalition Intelligence Information Sharing and for the Intelligence integration into the Future Mission Network.

Realism – Allows exploration of new environments and capabilities through participation in exercise environments that utilize bi-lateral and multi-lateral intelligence enterprise solutions such as US BICES-X.

Advancement - Increases the current capabilities for the sharing of intelligence information and determines if it actually adds functionality in support of Combatant Commanders requirements through exercises such as Unified Vision, Enterprise Challenge, and the MAJIIC (multi-sensor aerospace ground joint intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance interoperability coalition) exercises.

Utility - Can it be integrated into the existing national or multinational architectures in a timely and cost effective manner and does it increase the discovery and dissemination of intelligence information to the Allies or Coalition forces.

# THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK