Department of Defense Fiscal Year (FY) 2012 Budget Estimates

February 2011



Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

Justification Book Volume 5

Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

UNCLASSIFIED

Volume 5 - 1100

Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense • President's Budget FY 2012 • RDT&E Program

Volume 5 Table of Contents

Program Element Table of Contents (by Budget Activity then Line Item Number)	ne 5 - 1103
Program Element Table of Contents (Alphabetically by Program Element Title)	ne 5 - 1105
Exhibit R-2's Volum	ne 5 - 1107

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

UNCLASSIFIED

Volume 5 - 1102

Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense • President's Budget FY 2012 • RDT&E Program

Program Element Table of Contents (by Budget Activity then Line Item Number)

Budget Activity 06: RDT&E Management Support Appropriation 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense Budget Activity Program Element Number **Program Element Title** Line Item 01 06 0605118OTE Operational Test and Evaluation......Volume 5 - 1107 Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E)......Volume 5 - 1113 02 06 06051310TE Operational Test Activities and Analyses......Volume 5 - 1119 03 06 0605814OTE

Page

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

UNCLASSIFIED

Volume 5 - 1104

Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense • President's Budget FY 2012 • RDT&E Program

Program Element Table of Contents (Alphabetically by Program Element Title)

Program Element Title	Program Element Number	Line Item	Budget Activity Page
Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E)	0605131OTE	02	06Volume 5 - 1113
Operational Test Activities and Analyses	0605814OTE	03	06Volume 5 - 1119
Operational Test and Evaluation	0605118OTE	01	06Volume 5 - 1107

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

UNCLASSIFIED

Volume 5 - 1106

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense									DATE: Feb	ruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support				R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605118OTE: Operational Test and Evaluation							
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	57.902	59.430	60.444	-	60.444	62.695	64.177	65.687	68.088	Continuing	Continuing
0605118OTE: <i>OT&E</i>	57.902	59.430	60.444	-	60.444	62.695	64.177	65.687	68.088	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Director of Operational Test and Evaluation (DOT&E) is responsible under Title 10 for policy and procedures for all aspects of operational test and evaluation within the Department of Defense (DoD). Particular focus is given to OT&E that supports major weapon system production decisions for acquisition programs included on the Office of Secretary of Defense Test and Evaluation Oversight List that is prepared and approved annually. Generally, there are over 300 programs on the oversight list including all Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAP) and Major Automated Information Systems (MAIS). MDAPs may not proceed beyond low-rate initial production (BLRIP) until OT&E of the program is complete. DOT&E is involved early in the planning phase of each program to ensure adequate testing is planned and executed. Key elements of DOT&E's oversight authority include:

- The approval of component test and evaluation master plans (TEMPs)/Test and Evaluation Strategies.

- The approval of component OT&E Test Plans (TPs).

- Oversight of Military Department preparation and conduct of field operational tests; analysis and evaluation of the resultant test data; the assessment of the adequacy of the executed test and evaluation programs; and assessment of the operational effectiveness and suitability of the weapon systems.

- Reporting of results of OT&E that supports BLRIP decisions to the Secretary of Defense and Congress, as well as providing an annual report summarizing all OT&E activities and the adequacy of test resources within DoD during the previous fiscal year.

DOT&E also oversees and resources OT&E community efforts to plan and execute joint operational evaluations of information assurance and interoperability of fielded systems and networks during major combatant command and Service exercises, and reports the trends and findings in the annual DOT&E report.

This Program Element includes funds to obtain Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) support in performing the described tasks, travel funds to carry out oversight of the OT&E program and administration and financial support services.

This Program Element was reduced in FY 2012 and the outyears as part of the Secretary's Task Force on Efficiencies.

xhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Oper	ational Test and	I Evaluation, Def	ense	DATE: F	DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 1460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense 13A 6: RDT&E Management Support		EM NOMENCLA 05118OTE: <i>Opel</i>	ition				
3. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	<u>FY 2011</u>	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total		
Previous President's Budget	57.902	59.430	61.123	-	61.123		
Current President's Budget	57.902	59.430	60.444	-	60.444		
Total Adjustments	-	-	-0.679	-	-0.679		
 Congressional General Reductions 		-					
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-					
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-					
Congressional Adds		-					
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-					
 Reprogrammings 	-	-					
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-					
 Economic Adjustments 	-	-	-0.269	-	-0.269		
 Reduce Reliance on DoD Service Support 	-	-	-0.250	-	-0.250		
Contractors							
 Personnel Adjustments 	-	-	-0.180	-	-0.180		
 Cost Efficiency Reduction 	-	-	-0.537	-	-0.537		
 Funds Realignment 	-	-	0.557	-	0.557		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense									DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support					IOMENCLAT 80TE: Opera		and	PROJECT 0605118OTE: <i>OT&E</i>			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
0605118OTE: <i>OT&E</i>	57.902	59.430	60.444	-	60.444	62.695	64.177	65.687	68.088	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

The Director of Operational Test and Evaluation (DOT&E) is responsible under Title 10 for policy and procedures for all aspects of operational test and evaluation within the Department of Defense (DoD). Particular focus is given to OT&E that supports major weapon system production decisions for acquisition programs included on the Office of Secretary of Defense Test and Evaluation Oversight List that is prepared and approved annually. Generally, there are over 300 programs on the oversight list including all Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAP) and Major Automated Information Systems (MAIS). MDAPs may not proceed beyond low-rate initial production (BLRIP) until OT&E of the program is complete. DOT&E is involved early in the planning phase of each program to ensure adequate testing is planned and executed. Key elements of DOT&E's oversight authority include:

- The approval of component test and evaluation master plans (TEMPs)/ Test and Evaluation Strategies.

- The approval of component OT&E Test Plans (TPs).

- Oversight of Military Department preparation and conduct of field operational tests; analysis and evaluation of the resultant test data; the assessment of the adequacy of the executed test and evaluation programs; and assessment of the operational effectiveness and suitability of the weapon systems.

- Reporting of results of OT&E that supports BLRIP decisions to the Secretary of Defense and Congress, as well as providing an annual report summarizing all OT&E activities and the adequacy of test resources within DoD during the previous fiscal year.

DOT&E also oversees and resources OT&E community efforts to plan and execute joint operational evaluations of information assurance and interoperability of fielded systems and networks during major combatant command and Service exercises, and reports the trends and findings in the annual DOT&E report.

This Program Element includes funds to obtain Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) support in performing the described tasks, travel funds to carry out oversight of the OT&E program and administration and financial support services.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Operational Test and Evaluation	57.902	59.430	60.444
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Operational Test and Evaluation Oversight			
This effort is in direct support of the Director's Title 10 responsibilities. Funding for FY 2010 provided Operational Test and Evaluation inputs for Test and Evaluation Master Plans, Test Plans, System Acquisition Reports, Defense Acquisition Executive			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Operational Test	and Evaluation, Defense		DATE: Fe	oruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605118OTE: Operational Test and Evaluation	PROJEC 06051180	T DTE: <i>OT&E</i>		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Summary Reports for those programs designated for oversight by DOT8 authority are identified in Calendar Year 2010 Office of the Secretary of I		versight			
Information Assurance and Interoperability Evaluations					
Information assurance and interoperability assessments were performed sets of assessments were performed during current operations. Warfigh (ability to protect, detect, react, and restore) were captured in most even refined and several rigorous assessments were conducted. Assessmen provided in five exercises. Enhanced metrics were introduced into asses improve assessment planning and the sharing of assessment results and to Service and DoD leadership for their awareness and remediation, as a Forces Command for focused assessments to ensure the systems and of Mix Study are interoperable, mission assured, and survivable. A proof-of Command Information Operations Range to examine the range's ability environments and representative threats.	ter responses to mid-level computer network atta ts. Interoperability assessment methodology was t support to units deploying to theaters of operation ssments, and several new databases were created d lessons learned. Critical findings were transmitt appropriate. Planning was conducted with Joint capabilities contained in the C2 Optimum Capabilities of-concept event was conducted on the Joint Force	ck son was ed to ted ty es			
<i>FY 2011 Plans:</i> Operational Test and Evaluation Oversight					
This effort is in direct support of the Director's Title 10 responsibilities. F Evaluation inputs for Test and Evaluation Master Plans, Test Plans, Syst Summary Reports for those programs designated for oversight by DOT8 authority are identified in Calendar Year 2011 Office of the Secretary of I	ecutive				
Information Assurance and Interoperability Evaluations					
Approximately 30 information assurance and interoperability assessmen Service exercises. Full assessment of warfighter responses to compute restore) will be captured in all information assurance events. Portrayal of Interoperability assessments will be guided by a more rigorous process to mission threads. In partnership with Joint Forces Command, three intero- with emphasis on the systems and capabilities contained in the C2 Optin	r network attack (ability to protect, detect, react, a of advanced threats will be included in several eve that includes expanded research and linkage to w operability assessments will be planned and exec	ents. arfighter uted			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Operational Tes	t and Evaluation, Defense	DAT	E: Feb	ruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605118OTE: Operational Test and Evaluation	PROJECT 0605118OTE: <i>O</i>	T&E		
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 20	10	FY 2011	FY 2012
units deploying to theaters of operation will continue as needed. Fiscal evaluations will include trend analyses compared with prior year results be transmitted to Service and DoD leadership for their awareness and r Range will be included in several assessment events for added operation assessments. <i>FY 2012 Plans:</i> Operational Test and Evaluation Oversight	, both within and across COCOMs. Critical finding emediation, as appropriate. The Information Oper	is will			
This effort is in direct support of the Director's Title 10 responsibilities. If Evaluation inputs for Test and Evaluation Master Plans, Test Plans, Sys Summary Reports for those programs designated for oversight by DOT authority are identified in Calendar Year 2012 Office of the Secretary of	stem Acquisition Reports, Defense Acquisition Exe &E and OUSD(AT&L). Key elements of DOT&E o	cutive			
Information Assurance and Interoperability Evaluations					
Approximately 30 information assurance and interoperability assessment Service exercises. Full assessment of warfighter responses to compute restore) will be captured in all information assurance events. Portrayal interoperability and mission accomplishment in representative threat en assessments will be planned and executed in six events with emphasis Optimum Capability Mix Study. Assessment support to units deploying year 2012 information assurance and interoperability evaluations will inter both within and across COCOMs. Critical findings will be transmitted to and remediation, as appropriate. The Information Operations Range will operational realism and required security during exercise assessments.	er network attack (ability to protect, detect, react, a of advanced threats will be included in most event vironments will be examined. Focused interopera on the systems and capabilities contained in the (to theaters of operation will continue as needed. clude trend analyses compared with prior year res Service and DoD leadership for their awareness Il be included in many assessment events for add	s, and bility C2 Fiscal ults,			
	Accomplishments/Planned Programs S	Subtotals 57	.902	59.430	60.444
<u>C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in Millions)</u> N/A <u>D. Acquisition Strategy</u> N/A					

PROPRIATION/DUDACT 4 ATT	ication. I D 2012 Operatio	nal Test and Evaluation, Defense		DATE: February 2011		
PPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVI 460: Operational Test and Evaluatio A 6: RDT&E Management Support		R-1 ITEM NOMENCLAT PE 0605118OTE: Opera Evaluation		PROJECT 0605118OTE: <i>OT&E</i>		
and Evaluation Oversight List and o				able to acquisition programs on the OSD Test the appropriate decision makers on time.		
Actual Performance and Goals: Operational Test and Evaluation On-Time Completion Rate	FY 2010 (Actual) F 92%	Y 2011 (Goal) FY 2012 (Goal 93% 94%)			
number of such products that fell du Test and Evaluation Master Plans fo	ue during the fiscal year. P or operational test and eva ng associated with schedul	roducts included in the measure in uation oversight as well as assess ed test events. DOT&E plans to m	clude beyond low-rate ment plans, "quick loc	n established time standards relative to the tota e initial production reports, Test Plans, and ok" reports, and final reports for the information mpletion rates for FY 2011 and FY 2012 throug		

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item	Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense								DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support				R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605131OTE: <i>Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E)</i>							
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	12.234	12.899	12.126	-	12.126	11.982	12.349	13.235	14.578	Continuing	Continuing
1: OT&E	12.234	12.899	12.126	-	12.126	11.982	12.349	13.235	14.578	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element (PE) directly supports the Congressional statutory requirements for oversight of Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E). The primary objective of LFT&E is to assure that the vulnerability and survivability of Department of Defense (DoD) crew-carrying platforms and the lethality of our conventional munitions are known and acceptable before entering full-rate production. LFT&E encompasses realistic tests involving actual United States and foreign threat hardware or, if not available, acceptable surrogate threat hardware. The objective is to identify and correct design deficiencies early in the development process. A completed LFT&E program and test report is required before programs proceed beyond low-rate initial production (BLRIP). LFT&E also includes realistic modeling and simulation to examine survivability and lethality attributes not assessed during testing. The LFT&E program is essential, especially in view of the escalating costs of technologically sophisticated weapons systems.

This program element also supports DoD's Joint Live Fire (JLF) Program and other LFT&E related initiatives. JLF was begun in 1984 under an Office of the Secretary of Defense charter to test fielded front-line combat aircraft and armor systems for their vulnerabilities as well as fielded weapons, both U.S. and foreign, for their lethalities against their respective targets. Funds are also used to support other initiatives related to quick reaction requests from theater and other areas of personnel survivability.

This program element includes funds to obtain Federally Funded Research and Development Center expertise in performing analyses in support of described tasks, as well as travel funds to carry out the LFT&E program.

This program was reduced in FY 2012 and the outyears as part of the Secretary's Task Force on Efficiencies.

This program element is budgeted in Budget Activity 6, RDT&E Management Support, to support LFT&E management activities for the oversight of RDT&E of new systems, as well as RDT&E of fielded systems.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Op	erational Test and	Evaluation, Def	ense	DATE: F	ebruary 2011
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support		EM NOMENCLA D5131OTE: <i>Live</i>	TURE Fire Test and Evaluation	n (LFT&E)	
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	<u>FY 2010</u>	<u>FY 2011</u>	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	12.234	12.899	13.661	-	13.661
Current President's Budget	12.234	12.899	12.126	-	12.126
Total Adjustments	-	-	-1.535	-	-1.535
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
 Reprogrammings 	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
 Funding to Support Underbody Blast Testing 	-	-	-1.000	-	-1.000
Cost Efficiency Reduction	-	-	-0.535	-	-0.535

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Just	Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense								DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIN 0460: Operational Test and Evaluat BA 6: RDT&E Management Suppor	d Evaluation, DefensePE 0605131OTE: Live Fire Test and Evaluation1: OT&Ent Support(LFT&E)										
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
1: OT&E	12.234	12.899	12.126	-	12.126	11.982	12.349	13.235	14.578	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This Program Element (PE) directly supports the Congressional statutory requirements for oversight of Live Fire Test and Evaluation (LFT&E). The primary objective of LFT&E is to assure that the vulnerability and survivability of Department of Defense (DoD) crew-carrying platforms and the lethality of our conventional munitions are known and acceptable before entering full-rate production. LFT&E encompasses realistic tests involving actual United States (U.S.) and foreign threat hardware or, if not available, acceptable surrogate threat hardware. The objective is to identify and correct design deficiencies early in the development process. A completed LFT&E program and test report is required before programs proceed beyond low-rate initial production (BLRIP). LFT&E also includes realistic modeling and simulation (M&S) to examine survivability and lethality attributes not assessed during testing. The LFT&E program is essential, especially in view of the escalating costs of technologically sophisticated weapons systems.

This program element also supports DoD's Joint Live Fire (JLF) Program and other LFT&E related initiatives. JLF was begun in 1984 under an Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) charter to test fielded front-line combat aircraft and armor systems for their vulnerabilities as well as fielded weapons, both U.S. and foreign, for their lethalities against their respective targets. Funds are also used to support other initiatives related to quick reaction requests from theater and other areas of personnel survivability.

This program element includes funds to obtain Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) expertise in performing analyses in support of described tasks, as well as travel funds to carry out the LFT&E program.

This program was reduced in FY 2012 and the outyears as part of the Secretary's Task Force on Efficiencies.

This program element is budgeted in Budget Activity 6, RDT&E Management Support, to support LFT&E management activities for the oversight of RDT&E of new systems, as well as RDT&E of fielded systems.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Live Fire Test and Evaluation	12.234	12.899	12.126
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Major Test and Evaluation Programs			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Operational T		DATE: Fe	bruary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 06051310TE: <i>Live Fire Test and Evaluation</i> (<i>LFT&E</i>)	PROJECT 1: <i>OT&E</i>			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
The FY 2010 budget provided Live Fire Test and Evaluation input for Acquisition Reports, Defense Acquisition Executive Summary reports oversight by DOT&E and OUSD(AT&L). The oversight list is develop					
JLF Programs and LFT&E Initiatives					
Conducted tests of fielded systems not previously tested under Air, L warfighter needs. The need for these tests result from systems being or being operated in new combat environments, and the subsequent support and partner with the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defe directly support deployed warfighters and issues of importance to the helmets. Supported helicopter survivability efforts of the Department the combat theater. Completed an initiative to investigate aortic injur NASA as part of Occupant Casualty initiatives.					
Continued to perform JLF projects to provide survivability data on curvulnerabilities of aircraft to man-portable air defense systems (MANP accurately take into account vulnerabilities to MANPADS fragments a engine vulnerability to MANPADS, including hit point analyses and m to investigate the vulnerability of vehicles to new threats from theater targets, as well as improving modeling and simulation tools by provid key components of alternatives to traditional shock trials of ships and the areas of commercial standards and specific designs, equipment a					
 LFT&E published the following special reports during FY 2010: Assessment of the Mine Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) Fam Operational and Live Fire Report of the M915A5 Truck Tractor, Lin Live Fire and Operational Test and Evaluation Report on the Mine (M-ATV) Hard Body Armor Phase II and Phase II Follow-on Test and Evaluation 	e Haul Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) – All Terrain Ve	shicle			
<i>FY 2011 Plans:</i> Major Test and Evaluation Programs					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Operational Test		DATE: Fe	bruary 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605131OTE: <i>Live Fire Test and Evaluation</i> (<i>LFT&E</i>)	PROJECT 1: <i>OT&E</i>			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
This is a continuing effort. The FY 2011 budget provides Live Fire Test Plans, Test Plans, System Acquisition Reports, Defense Acquisition Exerprograms designated for oversight by DOT&E and OUSD(AT&L). The or JLF Programs and LFT&E Initiatives Conduct tests of fielded systems not previously tested under Air, Land, or warfighter needs. The need for these tests result from systems being exor being operated in new combat environments, and the subsequent new continue to support and partner with the Joint Trauma Analysis and Prevefforts in support of Personnel Protection Equipment, including combat Prequests that directly support deployed warfighters and issues of importation of an emerging threat first seen in a CH 47 combat incident engine nacelle vulnerability reduction techniques, as well as generic vulr arms, and the performance of self sealing fuel tanks using bio-fuels. JLF of vehicles to underbody blast and the lethality of U.S. weapons against and simulation tools by providing validation data. JLF Sea projects will or traditional shock trials of ships and submarines, will continue to invest standards, equipment and component damage, and will investigate vulne FY 2012 Plans: Major Test and Evaluation Programs	ecutive Summary reports, and BLRIP reports for the oversight list is developed and approved annually. For Sea Joint Live Fire programs to support DOT&E exposed to new threats, used in new unanticipated ed for an assessment of their performance. As new vention of Injury in Combat (JTAPIC) program. Con- nelmets and body armor. Continue to address urg ance to the Congress as they arise. Ity fielded U.S. systems. JLF Air projects will continue t, test the vulnerability of sponsons to RPGs, evalu- nerabilities to all aircraft, such as to MANPADS, sr F Land projects will continue to investigate the vul- typical in-theater targets, as well as improving mo- continue to develop key components of alternative tigate ship vulnerabilities in the areas of commerci-	and tactics, cessary, ontinue gent nue the uate nall nerability deling s al			
This is a continuing effort. The FY 2012 budget provides Live Fire Test Plans, Test Plans, System Acquisition Reports, Defense Acquisition Exe programs designated for oversight by DOT&E and OUSD(AT&L). The o	ecutive Summary reports, and BLRIP reports for th				
JLF Programs					
		I	I	I	

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification	n: PB 2012 Operationa	al Test and	l Evaluation	, Defense			DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defe BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	ense	PE		MENCLATURE TE: <i>Live Fire Te</i>	est and Evaluation	PROJECT 1: <i>OT&E</i>			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs	<u>(\$ in Millions)</u>						FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Conduct tests of fielded systems not previously tested under Air, Land, or Sea Joint Live Fire programs to support DOT&E and warfighter needs. The need for these tests result from systems being exposed to new threats, used in new unanticipated tactics, or being operated in new combat environments, and the subsequent need for an assessment of their performance. As necessary continue to support and partner with the JTAPIC. Continue initiatives with crew survivability. Address urgent requests that directly support deployed warfighters and issues of importance to the Congress.									
			Accom	olishments/Pla	nned Programs S	Subtotals	12.234	12.899	12.126
 C. Other Program Funding Summary (\$ in N/A D. Acquisition Strategy N/A E. Performance Metrics Performance Measure: Percentage of receivaluation Oversight List and other special of required live fire test planning document special interest programs/legacy systems Actual Performance and Goals: Live Fire Testing On-Time Completion Rate The on-time completion rate was computed Look Reports, and Joint Live Fire Test reports the fiscal year. DOT&E plans to achieve it customer activities. 	uired live fire test plan al interest programs/leg ts, assessments, and that are completed and FY 2010 (Actual) 94% ed on the basis of the n ports that were submitte	gacy system reports app d delivered FY 2011 95 ^c number of b ed within es	ms that are olicable to a t to the app 1 (Goal) % beyond low stablished	completed and cquisition progr ropriate decisio FY 2012 (Goa 96% rate initial prod ime standards	delivered to the ap ams on the OSD T n makers on time.) uction live fire test relative to the total	opropriate d Fest and Ev and evalua number of	cion reports, such produc	ers on time. ersight List an Joint Live Fir ts that fell due	Percentage d other e Quick e during

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense								DATE: February 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support				R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: <i>Operational Test Activities and Analyses</i>							
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
Total Program Element	118.101	122.581	118.722	-	118.722	121.012	119.864	121.863	121.784	Continuing	Continuing
1: OTA&A	118.101	122.581	118.722	-	118.722	121.012	119.864	121.863	121.784	Continuing	Continuing

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program element consists of two programs: Test and Evaluation (T&E) Programs and T&E Independent Activities.

The Test and Evaluation programs are continuing efforts that provide management and oversight of test and evaluation functions and expertise to the Department of Defense (DoD). The T&E programs consist of five activities: Joint Test and Evaluation (JT&E); Threat Systems (TS); Center for Countermeasures (CCM); Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/ME); and Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP).

Joint Test and Evaluation projects are test and evaluation activities conducted in a joint military environment that develop process improvements. These multi-Service projects, chartered by the Office of the Secretary of Defense and coordinated with the Joint Staff, appropriate combatant commanders, and the Services, provide non-materiel solutions that improve: joint interoperability of Service systems, technical and operational concepts, joint operational issues, development and validation of joint test methodologies, and test data for validating models, simulations, and test beds. The JT&E projects address relevant joint war fighting issues in a joint test and evaluation environment by developing and providing new tactics, techniques, and procedures to improve joint test capabilities and methodologies.

Threat Systems, based on a memorandum of agreement between the Director, Operational Test and Evaluation (DOT&E) and the Defense Intelligence Agency, provides DOT&E support in the areas of threat resource analysis, intelligence support and threat systems investments. Threat Systems provides threat resource analyses on the availability, capabilities and limitations of threat representations (threat simulators, targets, models, U.S. surrogates and foreign materiel) and analysis of test resources used for operational testing to support DOT&E's assessment of the adequacy of testing for those programs designated for oversight by DOT&E and the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (OUSD(AT&L)). Threat Systems provides DOT&E assessment officers with program specific threat intelligence support. Threat Systems also funds management, oversight, and development of common-use threat specifications for threat simulators, threat representative targets, and digital threat models used for test and evaluation.

The Center, a Joint Service Countermeasure (CM) Test and Evaluation Center, serves as DoD's independent tester for CM assessments of U.S. and foreign precision guided weapons (PGWs) and sensor systems, CMs, counter-countermeasures (CCMs), and warning devices. The Center provides assessments, including test activities, analysis of test results, and consulting expertise, that benefit the Services, joint activities, T&E Agencies, the Intelligence Community, Homeland Defense, Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom (quick reaction response). The Center identifies current weaknesses and limitations of systems and, through carefully developed test and assessment methodologies, provides the basis for understanding how systems might be affected by CMs in the battlefield. The Center's staff and CM knowledge base, developed for more than 35 years, provides the DoD acquisition community and the Combatant Commanders with the information and expertise necessary for survival of U.S. forces on the modern battlefield.

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analy BA 6: RDT&E Management Support PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analy The Joint Logistics Commanders Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/ME) was chartered motional point for munitions effectiveness data for use in training, systems acquisition, weapon procurement, and combat modeling and simulation Forces of the U.S., NATO, and other allies to plan operational missions, support training and tactics development, and support also develops and standardizes methodologies for evaluation of munitions effectiveness and maintains databases for target vul weapon system accuracy. Operational lessons learned (Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom), Combatant Commands, Servic Operational Users Working Groups input for specific weapon-target pairings and methodologies continue to drive JMEM required the commanders of the USN Naval Air Systems Command, USA Aviation and Missile Command and USAF Aeronautical Syste RDT&E to improve military aircraft survivability, develop and standardize aircraft survivability modeling and simulation (M&S), for survivability and support aircraft survivability develop and standardize of DDT&E and is also an Executive Agent for the Joint Live Fire A the Live Fire Test office of DDT&E and is also an Executive Agent for the Survivability Information. The Test and Evaluation Independent Activities program is the only source of funding for DOT&E studies, analyses, and manage policy development oversight of the DoD test and evaluation practices, infrastructure and resources;	ore than 30 years ago to serve as DoD' S. weapons. JTCG/ME authenticates n. JMEMs are used by the Armed force-level analyses. JTCG/ME nerability, munitions lethality, and ces, Military Targeting Committee, and
0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analy BA 6: RDT&E Management Support The Joint Logistics Commanders Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/ME) was chartered more focal point for munitions effectiveness information Joint Munitions Effectiveness Manuals (JMEMs) on all major non-nuclear U.S. weapons effectiveness data for use in training, systems acquisition, weapon procurement, and combat modeling and simulation Forces of the U.S., NATO, and other allies to plan operational missions, support training and tactics development, and support also develops and standardizes methodologies for evaluation of munitions effectiveness and maintains databases for target vul weapon system accuracy. Operational lessons learned (Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom), Combatant Commands, Servic Operational Users Working Groups input for specific weapon-target pairings and methodologies continue to drive JMEM required. The Joint Aircraft Survivability Program is the DoD's focal point for joint service enhancement of military aircraft non-nuclear su the commanders of the USN Naval Air Systems Command, USA Aviation and Missile Command and USAF Aeronautical Syste RDT&E to improve military aircraft survivability, develop and standardize aircraft survivability modeling and simulation (M&S), fa survivability and support aircraft survivability develop and tandardize aircraft survivability modeling command process projects for funding, combat damage incidents through the Joint Combat Assessment Team (JCAT), is the Executive Agent for the Joint Live Fire A the Live Fire Test office of DOT&E and is also an Executive Agent for the Survivability Information. The Test and Evaluation Independent Activities program is the only source of funding for DOT&E studies, a	ore than 30 years ago to serve as DoD' S. weapons. JTCG/ME authenticates n. JMEMs are used by the Armed force-level analyses. JTCG/ME nerability, munitions lethality, and ces, Military Targeting Committee, and
The Joint Logistics Commanders Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/ME) was chartered motion for munitions effectiveness information Joint Munitions Effectiveness Manuals (JMEMs) on all major non-nuclear U.S. weapons effectiveness data for use in training, systems acquisition, weapon procurement, and combat modeling and simulation Forces of the U.S., NATO, and other allies to plan operational missions, support training and tactics development, and support also develops and standardizes methodologies for evaluation of munitions effectiveness and maintains databases for target vul weapon system accuracy. Operational lessons learned (Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom), Combatant Commands, Servic Operational Users Working Groups input for specific weapon-target pairings and methodologies continue to drive JMEM require The Joint Aircraft Survivability Program is the DoD's focal point for joint service enhancement of military aircraft non-nuclear surt the commanders of the USN Naval Air Systems Command, USA Aviation and Missile Command and USAF Aeronautical Syste RDT&E to improve military aircraft survivability, develop and standardize aircraft survivability modeling and simulation (M&S), fa survivability and support aircraft survivability education for the DoD and U.S. aircraft community. Each chartering command pro expert for the JASP Principal Members Steering Group (PMSG), which guides the program and approves projects for funding. combat damage incidents through the Joint Combat Assessment Team (JCAT), is the Executive Agent for the Joint Live Fire A the Live Fire Test office of DOT&E and is also an Executive Agent for the Survivability Information.	S. weapons. JTCG/ME authenticates n. JMEMs are used by the Armed force-level analyses. JTCG/ME nerability, munitions lethality, and ces, Military Targeting Committee, and
RDT&E to improve military aircraft survivability, develop and standardize aircraft survivability modeling and simulation (M&S), fa survivability and support aircraft survivability education for the DoD and U.S. aircraft community. Each chartering command proves projects for funding. combat damage incidents through the Joint Combat Assessment Team (JCAT), is the Executive Agent for the Joint Live Fire Ait the Live Fire Test office of DOT&E and is also an Executive Agent for the Survivability Vulnerability Information Analysis Center survivability information.	
policy development oversight of the DoD test and evaluation practices, infrastructure and resources; and transformation of test	acilitate information exchange on aircra ovides a senior aircraft survivability The JASP assesses and reports on rcraft Systems Program managed by
future defense systems provide necessary joint warfighting capabilities. Studies and analyses examine the implications and co policy, plans, operations, strategies, and budgets and are essential for the accomplishment of the DOT&E mission. This progra activities.	methods and infrastructure to ensure nsequences of current and proposed
This Program Element was reduced in FY 2012 and the outyears as part of the Secretary's Task Force on Efficiencies.	
This program element is budgeted in Budget Activity 6, RDT&E Management Support, to support management activities for the and evaluation and test and evaluation resources.	DOT&E oversight responsibility for tes

Exhibit R-2, RDT&E Budget Item Justification: PB 2012 Opt	DATE: F	ebruary 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support		EM NOMENCLA 05814OTE: Open	NTURE rational Test Activities a	nd Analyses	
B. Program Change Summary (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	<u>FY 2011</u>	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total
Previous President's Budget	118.101	122.581	124.524	-	124.524
Current President's Budget	118.101	122.581	118.722	-	118.722
Total Adjustments	-	-	-5.802	-	-5.802
 Congressional General Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Directed Reductions 		-			
 Congressional Rescissions 	-	-			
 Congressional Adds 		-			
 Congressional Directed Transfers 		-			
Reprogrammings	-	-			
SBIR/STTR Transfer	-	-			
 Cost Efficiency Reduction 	-	-	-3.928	-	-3.928
 Eliminate Stand-alone Integrated Test 	-	-	-0.400	-	-0.400
Resources Analyses Team					
 Terminate Testing in Joint Environment 	-	-	-1.528	-	-1.528
Roadmap Program					
 Eliminate Support to Modeling and 	-	-	-0.200	-	-0.200
Simulation					
 Increase Funding for Joint Test and 	-	-	0.811	-	0.811
Evauation Studies and Tasks					
 Other Funding Realignments 	-	-	-0.557	-	-0.557

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense							DATE: February 2011				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTI 0460: Operational Test and Evaluat BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	tion, Defense			PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses				PROJECT 1: OTA&A			
COST (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012 Base	FY 2012 OCO	FY 2012 Total	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	Cost To Complete	Total Cost
1: OTA&A	118.101	122.581	118.722	-	118.722	121.012	119.864	121.863	121.784	Continuing	Continuing
Quantity of RDT&E Articles											

A. Mission Description and Budget Item Justification

This program element consists of two programs: Test and Evaluation (T&E) Programs and T&E Independent Activities.

The Test and Evaluation programs are continuing efforts that provide management and oversight of test and evaluation functions and expertise to the Department of Defense (DoD). The T&E programs consist of five activities: Joint Test and Evaluation (JT&E); Threat Systems (TS); Center for Countermeasures (CCM); Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/ME); and Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP).

The Test and Evaluation Independent Activities program is the only source of funding for DOT&E studies, analyses, and management to provide continuing support of policy development oversight of the DoD test and evaluation practices, infrastructure and resources; and transformation of test methods and infrastructure to ensure future defense systems provide necessary joint warfighting capabilities. Studies and analyses examine the implications and consequences of current and proposed policy, plans, operations, strategies, and budgets and are essential for the accomplishment of the DOT&E mission. This program element funds travel in support of its activities.

B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title: Operational Test Activities and Activities	118.101	122.581	118.722
FY 2010 Accomplishments: Joint Test and Evaluation (JT&E)			
In FY 2010 JT&E had two projects close down, both of which started in FY 2007. Seven projects are ongoing that were initiated between FY 2007 and FY 2009. The Joint Non-Kinetic Effects Integration Joint Test, closed September 2010, developed the tactics, techniques, and procedures to integrate electronic, computer network attacks, and space control operations during time sensitive planning activities against adversary control systems and associated infrastructures and processes. Another project that closed in FY 2010 was the Joint Electronic Protection for Air Combat Joint Test. It developed the system architecture and processes to allow a pilot to receive information from joint military assets when the pilot's electronic equipment is being subjected to advanced electronic attack. One of the ongoing projects, Joint Air Defense Operations-Homeland, concentrates on two aspects of planning the use of deployable air and cruise missile defense assets: the effective use of combined (U.S. and Canadian) air and cruise missile defense capabilities to defeat asymmetric aerial threats; and, interagency planning to incorporate air and cruise missile defense capabilities. On a continual basis, JT&E reviews nominations for new projects, manages ongoing projects, and ensures that closing projects are debriefed and that final reports are distributed to the appropriate Service organizations.			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense				DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses	PROJECT 1: <i>OTA&A</i>				
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012		
Threat Systems						
During FY 2010, Threat Systems completed development of standard, D the Department to evaluate effects on U.S. aircraft; evaluated proposals environment to make operational testing more realistic; continued to add encountered in such countries as Iran and China; and initiated a project indicator systems for use in Iraq and Afghanistan.	r threat					
Threat Systems continued test planning working group participation to id provided current intelligence support tailored to specific U.S. weapon systems testing and improving end-to-end testing. These efforts continued to develop threat test assets used for testing in a of a four-year project to integrate current intelligence community-based in countermeasure test facilities; successfully demonstrated the ability of re- to control sub-scale aerial targets; completed the design and analysis ph effective full-scale aerial target that embodies the critical attributes of fut comprehensive requirements analysis for a new full-scale rotary wing target	ectivity stems. /ear p erfaces ost					
These activities help DOT&E carry out its Title 10 responsibilities to assere a realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat realistic and service threat reali		is				
Center for Countermeasures (the Center)						
The Center tested, analyzed, and reported on more than 29 electro-optic survivability, CMs/ counter-countermeasures (CCMs) employment, warn weapons (PGWs) . Each program supported received an independent a Counter-countermeasures (CCM) evaluations. Approximately 83% of th oversight or were subsystems on DOT&E oversight platforms. Sixty per rotary and fixed wing, 30% on hostile fire indicators, and 10% of effort w Center effort was focused on overseas contingency operations (OCO) so Test and Evaluation Investment Program (CTEIP) sponsored, Joint Mob Simulator (TAPS) and Multi-Spectral Sea and Land Target Simulator (M	ning and targeting systems and precision guided ssessment of our findings and test support for CM the programs that received support were under DO cent of the Center level of effort was concentrated ere PGW and small programs. Approximately 73 upport. The Center continued development of the bile IRCM Threat System (JMITS), Towed Aerial P	T&E d on % of the e Central Plume				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Operational Test	DATE: February 2011				
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: <i>Operational Test Activities</i> <i>and Analyses</i>	PROJECT 1: <i>OTA&A</i>			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Title 10 programs and OCO aircraft survivability equipment (ASE) urgent across all the Services as well as intelligence agencies and research and					
The Center provided expertise to many organizations and was actively in Exploitation Working Group, Foreign Material Program Test and Evaluat Group, Joint Expendable Countermeasures (JECM) Integrated Product Symposia Working Group, Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP), Jo WG), and JCMT&E WG Hostile Fire Indicator (HFI) subgroup lead.					
Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/N					
In support of operational commanders, DoD targeteers, weaponeers, an JMEM Weaponeering System (JWS) v2.0.1 in November 2009. In addit 2010. Joint-Antiair Combat Effectiveness System (J-ACE) Air Superiorit					
JWS v2.0.1 included an additional 140 high priority CoCOM target requires for 14 systems. JWS v2.1 will contain a significant methodology update weapons data and delivery accuracies. J-ACE v4.1 contained additiona additional threat Air-to-Air missile FOMs and improved Blue Air-to-Air missile FOMs and the Blue					
JWS v2.1 will contain the Fast Integrated Structural Tool (FIST). FIST is the integral modules from Building Analysis Module (BAM) and Hardene generates weapon effectiveness and damage assessments against infra tunnels. In addition, JWS v2.1 release will contain approximately 180 n Explosive Equivalent Weights based on blast testing and an improved 3-	d Target Module (HTM) to create a merged tool th astructure targets to include buildings, bunkers, ar ew/updated targets, 15 new/updated munitions, n	hat nd			
J-ACE 4.1 was released in October 2010. Weapon Engagement Zone (Programs in the currently fielded fighter fleet was provided for U.S. miss aircraft missile engagement zone determination. New or updated air-to- AIM-9, and AIM-120 and NASIC threat AA-12, Magic 2, and PL-12. Sixt (SAM) were also added. Software changes continued to better support models, simulations, training range telemetry and mission planning syste	iles; NASIC "FrankenWEZ" software was used for air missile simulations were added for the US AIM een new or improved MSIC threat surface-to-air r operational user requirements; and, interface with	r threat /I-7, missiles			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Operational Test		DATE: February 2011			
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses	PROJECT 1: <i>OTA&A</i>			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)		FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	
FY 2010 continued the development and refinement of Joint Blast Analyst documentation. Additional damage modules were implemented in JBAM meta-ball creation. In order to increase the functionality of JBAM, blast r CoCOM high priority targets within the military truck category. Additional implementation of Operational Requirement-based Casualty Assessment evaluating the capability of weapon systems to result in personnel complete	A as well as several refinements to user functional meta-ball contours was developed for representat Illy, JTCG/ME developed a strategy on possible at (ORCA) for use by the JTCG/ME community in				
JMEM will assessed fielded and emerging Information Operations (IO), I weapons to feed an Effects Based Operations (EBO) evaluation capabili coordinating test data development and providing operational tools for the Electronic Warfare; Laser and Radio Frequency DE; and, NL systems age effectiveness and associated confidence level data are critical enablers is leaders and warfighters with information to develop policy and concepts requirement to support conventional weapon system fielding; this expansion					
Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP)					
In FY 2010 the JASP continued work on 30 multi-year RDT&E projects a Principal Members Steering Group and OSD/DOT&E. In the area of sus directed energy infrared countermeasures, electronic countermeasures t and immediate operator needs. In the area of vulnerability reduction, the more effective armor, fuel containment, fire suppression; and aircrew and and Simulation (M&S), the JASP continued to improve survivability M&S data, integrate DIA threat missile models into threat engagement codes, injuries, and address M&S requirements identified by the joint aircraft su documenting projects completed in FY 2010.					
The Joint Combat Assessment Team (JCAT) continued to support the A combat damage incidents, training operators on threat effects and comb combatant commanders and the DoD science and technology and acqui aircraft survivability education and information exchange through interne Aircraft Survivability Journal, developing educational materials and cond	at damage assessment, and reporting their finding isition communities. The JASP continued support at sites (restricted access and classified), by publis	gs to ting			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Operational Test	and Evaluation, Defense		DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: <i>Operational Test Activities</i> <i>and Analyses</i>	PROJECT 1: OTA&A			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Test and Evaluation Independent Activities					
FY 2010 funds were used to provide continuing support of policy develop infrastructure and resources; and transformation of test methods and infr necessary joint warfighting capabilities. Funding was used to support the affecting test and evaluation resources and infrastructure. This program	rastructure to ensure future defense systems prove e development of technical alternatives on issues	vide			
This program element is budgeted in Budget Activity 6, RDT&E Manager DOT&E oversight responsibility for test and evaluation and test and evaluation		or the			
<i>FY 2011 Plans:</i> Joint Test and Evaluation (JT&E)					
In FY 2011 JT&E has three projects slated for closing and an estimated to The Joint Civil Information Management Joint Test, scheduled to close in procedures to collect, consolidate, and share civil information at the tactic commander will have better information to plan operations. The other pr Integration. This project researches, tests, and evaluates the tactics, tec common tactical picture by addressing the quality of: duplicate tracks, the channel disruptions, position/location discrepancies, and naming schema nominations for new projects, manages ongoing projects, and ensures the distributed to the appropriate Service organizations.	n FY 2011, is developing joint tactics, techniques, cal and operational levels so that the joint task for oject scheduled to close in FY 2011 is Joint Data chniques, and procedures for use in standardizing me latency, common operational picture synchror a discrepancies. On a continual basis, JT&E revie	and rce the nization, ews			
Threat Systems					
Threat Systems will complete the four-year project to integrate current in DoD Hardware-In-The-Loop countermeasure test facilities, continue test shortfalls; conduct special studies and provide current intelligence support develop an unmanned aerial vehicle Global Positioning Satellite jamming realism at our test ranges, and use existing live fire data to verify and confacility testing capabilities to increase our confidence in using other than initiatives for FY 2011 include integration of authoritative, DIA-approved systems in an integrated air defense network; data collection to support the systems in an integrated air defense network; data collection to support the systems in an integrated air defense network; data collection to support the systems in an integrated air defense network; data collection to support the systems in an integrated air defense network; data collection to support the systems in an integrated air defense network; data collection to support the systems in the system is a system of the system is a system of the system is a system of the system of the system is a system of the system of t	planning working group participation to identify th ort tailored to specific U.S. weapon systems acqui g capability using micro jammers to increase threa mpare MANPAD laboratory and hardware-in-the- open air live fire events for operational testing. N models into simulations used for testing advanced	nreat sition; at oop lew d			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Operational Test	and Evaluation, Defense		DATE: February 2011		
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses	PROJECT 1: OTA&A			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
in testing new hostile fire indicator technologies being developed by the memory use against threat air defense systems, next generation GPS ja and translation of all source technical intelligence on a battle manageme system into a model to support test and evaluation.	mmers and their potential impact of US weapon s	systems;			
Target initiatives include: continuing the development of human profile t affects on crowds and opposition forces; completing the development of supporting risk reduction activities associated with the 5th generation ful the development and initial testing of a prototype holographic radar syste and sea surface targets; and initiating a series of flight demonstrations a prototypes.	an upgrade to the Torpedo Proximity Scoring Sys I-scale aerial target prototype development; comp em for use in scoring test events against moving I	oleting and			
These activities help DOT&E carry out its Title 10 responsibilities to assess test adequacy and determine whether testing is realistic and suitable and promotes common solutions to Service threat representation needs.					
Center for Countermeasures (the Center)					
The Center will test, analyze, and report on more than 30 electro-optical survivability, CMs/counter-countermeasures (CCMs) employment, warn weapons (PGWs). Each program supported will receive an independent counter countermeasures (CCM) evaluations. We will continue to emph on Title 10 weapons systems, aircraft survivability and hostile fire initiative will focus on aircraft survivability testing in support of current OCO. Furt in pre-deployment events and training, tactics and procedures (TTP) dev Central Test and Evaluation Investment Program (CTEIP) sponsored, To Sea and Land Target Simulator (MSALTS) that will be used in support of survivability equipment (ASE) urgent operational needs. The Center will (TSWG) sponsored Hostile Fire Signature (HSIG) model. The Center's as intelligence agencies and research and development activities.	ing and targeting systems and precision guided t assessment of our findings and test support for asize support of the DOT&E enterprise with a cle ves. Additionally, a large percentage of on-going hermore, the Center will continue providing CM e velopment. The Center will continue to develop, t owed Aerial Plume Simulator (TAPS) and Multi-Sp f testing for both Title 10 programs and OCO airc be developing the Threat Simulator Working Gro	ar focus efforts xpertise he bectral raft up			
The Center will provide expertise to many organizations and will be active Exploitation Working Group, Foreign Material Program Test and Evaluate Joint Expendable Countermeasures Integrated Product Team, Joint Infra	ion Subcommittee, Joint Project Mallari Working	Group,			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Operational Test	and Evaluation, Defense		DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses	PROJECT 1: <i>OTA&A</i>			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Group, Joint Aircraft Survivability Program, Joint Countermeasures T&E Fire Indicator subgroup lead.	Working Group (JCMT&E WG), and JCMT&E W	G Hostile			
Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/N	ΛE)				
In support of operational commanders, DoD targeteers, weaponeers, an Weaponeering System (JWS) v2.1 in August 2011 and Joint-Antiair Con v5.0 in September 2011.					
JWS v2.1 will provide a major capability increase to include Fast Integral Cratering Effects (PCEffects), Precision Munitions Planning Tool (PMP Ship Weaponeering and Estimation Tool, Mine methodology, and Hellfire operational-level tool that incorporates the integral modules from Buildin (HTM) to create a merged tool that generates weapon effectiveness and include buildings, bunkers, and tunnels. J-ACE v5.0 will provide a major effectiveness. The faster than real time calculations will address missile countermeasures, fuze performance, missile lethality and target vulneral RED and BLUE weapons. To more effectively support operational missi release will also provide direct force level simulation interface. The J-AC will be refined and extended in follow-on Block 2 and Block 3 releases. Advanced Joint Effectiveness Model (AJEM) updates will focus on support to respond to shortfalls within existing methodology and expanding to su	T), Joint Smart Weapons Model (JSWM), Improve e weaponeering data, etc. FIST is the future JMI g Analysis Module (BAM) and Hardened Target M I damage assessments against infrastructure targ r capability increase to more fully consider antiair fly out, target evasive maneuver, miss distance, bility. These key "kill chain" elements will be prov ion planning, particularly at USSTRATCOM, the J CE and JAAM 5.0 release will be a "Block 1" capa orting JTCG/ME and acquisition offices by continu	ed EM Aodule ets to missile effects of ided for AAM 5.0 bility that			
weapons to more precise weapons. The precision of these new weapon Specific methodology tasks will be to (i) add partial impact to prevent une ORCA for use in JTCG/ME studies with AJEM; (iii) expand the suite of p tools and as plug-in for higher level codes; and (iv) improve blast data fo Miss Distances (LMDs).	is requires a better understanding of target respo der-prediction of JWS lethality; (ii) develop unders enetration methodology modules as standalone a	nse. stating of analysis			
JTCG/ME will continue to: (i) develop JMEM data for most critical Comb ROM update cycles through incremental updates; (iii) accredit tri-Service Tool (JNLAT), Direct Energy (DE) and IO programs; (iv) expand existing	e JMEM operational tools for Joint Non-Lethal And	alysis			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Operational Test	and Evaluation, Defense		DATE: Fe	oruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses	PROJECT 1: <i>OTA&A</i>			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
Air-to-Surface, Surface-to-Surface Direct/Indirect Fire, and Antiair); (v) endethodology; and, (vi) provide connectivity to real time planning systems		ructure			
Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP)					
In FY 2011 the JASP will continue work on at least 16 multi-year RDT&E the JASP Principal Members Steering Group and OSD/DOT&E. The JA casualties and rotorcraft combat survivability. In the area of susceptibilit energy infrared countermeasures, electronic countermeasures technolog immediate operator needs. In the area of vulnerability reduction, the JAS more effective vulnerability reduction technology (e.g., armor, fuel contai protection). In aircraft survivability M&S, the JASP will continue to improrrequirements for survivability data, integrate DIA threat missile models in aircrew and passenger injuries, and address M&S requirements identified. The JCAT will continue to support the Air Force, Army, Marine Corps and operators on threat effects and combat damage assessment, and report DoD science and technology and acquisition communities. The JASP w and information exchange through internet sites (restricted access and complete other projects as approved by the JASP Principal Members Steering and complete other projects as approved by the JASP Principal Members Steering and the steering and complete other projects as approved by the JASP Principal Members Steering and the protection of the protec	SP will apply resources to address aircraft occupa y reduction, the JASP will address improving direct gy and techniques, aircrew situational awareness SP will continue to address requirements for light nment, fire suppression, and aircrew and passen we survivability M&S credibility, address operator to threat engagement codes, improve the assess d by the joint aircraft survivability community. d Navy by assessing combat damage incidents, the ing their findings to combatant commanders and the ill continue supporting aircraft survivability educate classified), by publishing the Aircraft Survivability of d their contractors. The JASP will initiate, continue	ant cted and er and ger ment of raining he ion lournal,			
Test and Evaluation Independent Activities					
This is a continuing program. The FY 2011 funds will used to provide su evaluation practices, infrastructure and resources; and transformation of systems provide necessary joint warfighting capabilities. Funding was u on issues affecting test and evaluation resources and infrastructure. Thi As part of the Secretary's Task Force on Efficiencies, this contractual eff	test methods and infrastructure to ensure future of sed to support the development of technical altern s program element funds travel in support of its a	defense natives ctivities.			
2011. These efforts will be accomplished by internal DOT&E personnel mission.					

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Operational Test	and Evaluation, Defense		DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses	PROJECT 1: OTA&A			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
This program element is budgeted in Budget Activity 6, RDT&E Manage DOT&E oversight responsibility for test and evaluation and		or the			
<i>FY 2012 Plans:</i> Joint Test and Evaluation (JT&E)					
In FY 2012 JT&E has two projects slated for closing and an estimated for Joint Integration of Maritime Domain Awareness Joint Test, expected to and procedures to synchronize maritime domain information for key dec defense. The other project closing is Joint Jamming Assessment and M tactics, techniques, and procedures to sustain operations in the presence high frequencies of the satellite communication bands. This will allow co satellite communications are denied or degraded. On a continual basis, ongoing projects, and ensures that closing projects are debriefed and th organizations.	close in FY 2012, is developing joint tactics, tech ision makers across operations centers for homel litigation Joint Test. This project is developing join are of purposeful interference on the ultra- and sup commanders and operators to execute operations JT&E reviews nominations for new projects, mar	niques, and nt er- when ages			
Threat Systems					
Threat Systems will continue integration of current intelligence communi continue test planning working group participation to identify threat short intelligence support tailored to specific U.S. weapon systems acquisition to increase threat realism at our test ranges, and complete the developn signature model for use in hostile fire indicator systems. Candidate thre agencies and develop models for use in test and evaluation. The Cente memory (DRFM) technology to develop modern threat jammers.	tfalls; conduct special studies and provide current i; develop Global Positioning Satellite jamming ca nent of an ammunition and rocket propelled grena at systems will be proposed from the various intel	pability de ligence			
New initiatives for FY 2012 include continuing investigations into DRFM of next generation threat GPS jammers and their potential impact of US against space systems. The Center will continue to pursue efforts to explore systems in use by the Services; complete the development of a new couplatforms; and perform system testing on a newly developed payload integration.	weapon systems, cyber warfare threats, and jam pand the use of standard interfaces within target o intermeasure dispensing system for use on target	mers control			
		I	I		

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Operational Test	and Evaluation, Defense		DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: <i>Operational Test Activities</i> <i>and Analyses</i>	PROJECT 1: <i>OTA&A</i>			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
These activities help DOT&E carry out its Title 10 responsibilities to asserealistic and suitable, and promotes common solutions to Service threat		is			
Center for Countermeasures (CCM)					
The Center will test, analyze, and report on more than 30 electro-optical survivability, CMs/ counter-countermeasures (CCMs) employment, warr weapons (PGWs). Each program supported will receive an independent counter countermeasures (CCM) evaluations. The Center will continue to clear focus on Title 10 weapons systems, aircraft survivability and hostile going efforts will focus on aircraft survivability testing in support of currer CM expertise in pre-deployment events and training, tactics and procedu develop, the Central Test and Evaluation Investment Program sponsored will be used in support of testing for both Title 10 programs and OCO air. The Center will continue to develop the Threat Simulator Working Group support will be distributed across all the Services as well as intelligence at the Center will provide expertise to many organizations and will be active Exploitation Working Group, Foreign Material Program Test and Evaluat Group, Joint Expendable Countermeasures Integrated Product Team, Jow Working Group, Joint Aircraft Survivability Program, Joint Countermeasures WG Hostile Fire Indicator (HFI) subgroup lead.	ning and targeting systems and precision guided t assessment of our findings and test support for (to emphasize support of the DOT&E enterprise will e fire initiatives. Additionally, a large percentage of the OCO. Furthermore, the Center will continue pro- ures (TTP) development. The Center will continue d Multi-Spectral Sea and Land Target Simulator the craft survivability equipment urgent operational ne- o sponsored Hostile Fire Signature model. The C agencies and research and development activities rely involved in the following panels: Foreign Mate ion (T&E) Subcommittee, Joint Project Mallari Wo point Infrared Countermeasures Multi Sensing Sym-	CM/ th a of on- oviding to hat eeds. enter's s. erial orking posia			
Joint Technical Coordinating Group for Munitions Effectiveness (JTCG/M	ΛE)				
In support of operational commanders, DoD targeteers, weaponeers, an Weaponeering System (JWS) v2.2 in September 2012 and Joint-Antiair (AS) v5.1 in August 2012.					
JWS v2.2 will provide new COCOM high priority targets and weapons da Integrated Structural Tool (FIST), and Passive Vehicle Target Model (PV J-ACE v5.1 will add Browse descriptive material to support new weapons air Kill-chain Models and Data (SAK-MD) capability; and udate existing v	/TM); and a capability to drop-in critical data and r s in Joint Anti-air Model (JAAM); incorporate Suite				

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB 2012 Operational Test	and Evaluation, Defense		DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support	R-1 ITEM NOMENCLATURE PE 0605814OTE: Operational Test Activities and Analyses	PROJEC 1: <i>OTA&A</i>			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in Millions)			FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
JTCG/ME will continue to; (i) develop a predictive capability to assess be synergism and incorporate these mechanisms in the JTCG/ME estimation use of computational physics to improve test design and data analysis to characterization of weapons addressing blast interactions with structures (iii) begin the development of Tri-service approved models for non-lethal (HPM weapon effects).	on process for small, precision weapons; (ii) expar o support both analytical model development and s, weapon fragmentation, and penetration mechar	nd the the nics; and			
JMEMs will continue to be evolved. Fast running operational tools will b typically used to support system acquisition decisions. Necessary invest configuration management and validation required to insure their applicat investment will allow more effective and efficient use of DoD resources; application of conventional weapons; and will increase operational capa in an environment where zero collateral casualties is the expectation; (ii) preferred and prepositioned munitions; (iii) reduced uncertainties and de (BDA); (iv) weapon effects in a CM environment; and (v) reduced risk to	tment will be made in those models for the develo ability in support of warfighting operations. This build on a record of success in supporting Warfigh bility in areas such as: (i) precision application of f optimal use of scarce and/or high value resource alays in strike planning and Battle Damage Assess	opment, nter ïrepower es, sment			
Joint Aircraft Survivability Program (JASP)					
In FY 2012 the JASP will continue work on at least 32 multi-year RDT&B Principal Members Steering Group and OSD/DOT&E. The JASP will ap rotorcraft combat survivability. In the area of susceptibility reduction, the countermeasures, electronic countermeasures technology and techniquineeds. In the area of vulnerability reduction, the JASP will continue to a vulnerability reduction technology (e.g., armor, fuel containment, fire sup aircraft survivability M&S, the JASP will continue to improve survivability survivability data, integrate DIA threat missile models into threat engage passenger injuries, and address M&S requirements identified by the join	ply resources to address aircraft occupant casual a JASP will address improving directed energy infr es, aircrew situational awareness and urgent oper ddress requirements for lighter and more effective opression, and aircrew and passenger protection). M&S credibility, address operator requirements for ment codes, improve the assessment of aircrew a	ties and rared rator e In or			
The JCAT will continue to support the Air Force, Army, Marine Corps an operators on threat effects and combat damage assessment, and report DoD science and technology and acquisition communities. The JASP w and information exchange through internet sites (restricted access and c	ing their findings to combatant commanders and t ill continue supporting aircraft survivability educat	he ion			

Exhibit R-2A, RDT&E Project Justification: PB	2012 Operational Test a	and Evaluation, Defe	ise		DATE: Fe	bruary 2011	
APPROPRIATION/BUDGET ACTIVITY 0460: Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense BA 6: RDT&E Management Support		R-1 ITEM NOMENC PE 0605814OTE: Op and Analyses	ATURE Derational Test Activities	PROJEC 1 1: <i>OTA&A</i>			
B. Accomplishments/Planned Programs (\$ in	<u>Millions)</u>				FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012
developing educational materials and conducting complete other projects as approved by the JASF				nue and			
		Accomplishm	ents/Planned Programs	Subtotals	118.101	122.581	118.72
D. Acquisition Strategy N/A E. Performance Metrics							
Performance Measure: Percentage of required characteristics, assessments, and reports that a		-			s-technique:	s-procedures	, threat
Operational Test Activities and Analyses On-Time Completion Rate	FY 2010 (Actual) 94%	FY 2011 (Goal) 95%	FY 2012 (Goal) 96%				
The on-time completion rate was computed on number of such products that fell due during the							

timely delivery of required products to customer activities.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

UNCLASSIFIED

Volume 5 - 1134