DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
Defense-Wide Working Capital Fund
Distribution Depots Activity Group
FY 2002 Amended Budget Submission
Activity Group Capital Investment Summary
($ in Millions)

Line Number	Item Description	FY 2000		FY 2001		FY 2002	
		Quantity	Total Cost	Quantity	Total Cost	Quantity	Total Cost
	EQUIPMENT (Non ADP/T) $0.1 to $0.499	6	0.9	11	3.0	10	2.1
REP 000	Replacement	2	0.5	8	2.0	8	1.7
PRD 000	Productivity	4	0.3	3	0.9	2	0.4
NEW 000	New Mission						
	EQUIPMENT (Non ADP/T) $0.5 to $0.999	1	1.3	1	0.9	4	3.5
REP 100	Replacement	1	1.3				
PRD 100	Productivity	0	0.0	1	0.9	4	3.5
NEW 100	New Mission						
	EQUIPMENT (Non ADP/T) $1.0 and Over	6	12.4	5	12.8	3	10.8
REP 200	Replacement	5	9.9	3	5.9	2	7.2
PRD 200	Productivity	1	2.5	2	6.9	1	3.6
NEW 200	New Mission						
	TOTAL EQUIPMENT (Non ADP/T)	**13**	**14.5**	**17**	**16.6**	**17**	**16.3**
ADP 000	ADP/T EQUIPMENT $0.1 To $0.499	18	4.8	27	12.0	22	4.8
ADP 100	ADP/T EQUIPMENT $0.5 To $0.999						
ADP 200	ADP/T EQUIPMENT $1.0 and Over					1	2.2
	TOTAL EQUIPMENT (ADP/T)	**18**	**4.8**	**27**	**12.0**	**23**	**6.9**
SWD 000	SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT $0.1 To $0.499		0.2		0.3		
SWD 100	SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT $0.5 To $0.999		0.2				
SWD 200	SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT $1.0 and Over		2.5		5.5		3.8
	TOTAL SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT		**2.9**		**5.8**		**3.8**
RPM 000	**MINOR CONSTRUCTION**		**5.2**		**10.2**		**7.3**
	TOTAL AGENCY CAPITAL INVESTMENTS	**31**	**27.5**	**44**	**44.7**	**40**	**34.4**

Activity Group Capital Investment Justification

($ in Thousands)

A. Budget Submission
FY 2002 Amended Budget Submission

B. Component/Activity Group/Date Defense Logistics Agency
Distribution Depot Activity Group June 2001

C. Line Number & Item Description
REP 000 Replacement Equipment $0.1 to $0.499

D. Activity Identification

Element of Cost	FY 2000			FY 2001			FY 2002					
	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Total REP 000	2	268.8	537.6	8	255.1	2,041	8	217.1	1,737			

Narrative Justification:

These investments for forklifts, trucks and miscellaneous warehouse equipment are required to replace existing items with similar characteristics that have reached or significantly exceeded the useful life established for these categories. Based on guidance contained in various Department of Defense (DoD) governing policies, the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) has established replacement and life expectancy standards for all categories of investment equipment. The standards are based on life expectancy with consideration given to condition, usage hours, and/or repair costs. DLA establishes age, utilization, and repair standards based on industry information and experience in the absence of DoD acquisition and replacement criteria relative to unusual categories of equipment.

FY 2002 projects include: Two transporter trucks ($130) at Anniston, two transporter trucks ($130) and a refuse truck ($150) at Tracy, a pallet transport system ($176) at Norfolk, a 50-ton crane ($500) at Yokosuka, and an upgrade to the packing/shipping system ($391) at Cherry Point.

The Savings to Investment Ratio (SIR) for these projects ranges from 2.6 to 5.41 and the payback period ranges from 1.58 to 3.40 years.

Activity Group Capital Investment Justification

($ in Thousands)

A. Budget Submission
FY 2002 Amended Budget Submission

B. Component/Activity Group/Date Defense Logistics Agency
Distribution Depot Activity Group June 2001

C. Line Number & Item Description
PRD 000 Productivity Equipment $0.1 to $0.499

D. Activity Identification

Element of Cost	FY 2000			FY 2001			FY 2002					
	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Total PRD 000	4	85.3	341.2	3	310.7	932	2	185	370			

Narrative Justification:

FY 2002 projects include:

A B-ration bagger ($120) at Distribution Depot New Cumberland to automatically drop plastic bags over boxes stacked on pallets. The current procedure is manual.

The discounted payback period is 1.46 years and the Savings to Investment Ratio (SIR) is 5.85

An automatic tire stacking and stretch wrapping system ($245) at Distribution Depot Red River for automotive and aircraft tires.

The discounted payback period is 3.30 years and the Savings to Investment Ratio (SIR) is 2.68.

Reconfigure/upgrade sortation system ($272) at Distribution Depot San Diego.

Activity Group Capital Investment Justification

($ in Thousands)

A. Budget Submission
FY 2002 Amended Budget Submission

B. Component/Activity Group/Date Defense Logistics Agency
Distribution Depot Activity Group June 2001

C. Line Number & Item Description
PRD 100 Productivity Equipment $0.5 to $0.999

D. Activity Identification

Element of Cost	FY 2000			FY 2001			FY 2002					
	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost
PRD 100-1 Intra Depot Transportation System (DDRT & DDDC)							2	868.5	1,737			

Narrative Justification:

At Defense Distribution Depots Red River and San Diego the primary method of moving palletized material between warehouses and to the ships is by straddle trucks and flatbed trucks. This method is labor and Materiel Handling Equipment (MHE) intensive and allows stock vulnerability to exposure and security risks. DLA plans to equip all warehouses with transporter docks and to change the mode of material intra-depot transportation from flatbed and straddle trucks to transporter trucks. Some warehouses will require installation of new intra-depot transporter conveyors while others will require refurbishment of the roller conveyors. These systems will allow for palletized material to be moved efficiently within and between the warehouses with very little manual intervention. Similar systems have been installed throughout DLA with significant savings realized.

At Red River, the discounted payback period is 3.29 years and the Savings to Investment Ratio (SIR) is 2.68.

At San Diego, the discounted payback period is 3.71 years and the SIR is 2.53.

Activity Group Capital Investment Justification

($ in Thousands)

A. Budget Submission
FY 2002 Amended Budget Submission

B. Component/Activity Group/Date Defense Logistics Agency
Distribution Depot Activity Group June 2001

C. Line Number & Item Description
PRD 100 Productivity Equipment $0.5 to $0.999

D. Activity Identification

Element of Cost	FY 2000			FY 2001			FY 2002					
	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost
PRD 100-02 Security Enhancements (DDNV)							1	987	987			

Narrative Justification:

Defense Distribution Depot Norfolk is located at Norfolk Naval Base in approximately thirty warehouses. DLA Security Specialists in conjunction with the US Army Corp of Engineers conducted an Antiterrorism Force Protection Vulnerability Assessment at the base. The team identified security deficiencies and made recommendations. Proposed enhancements, which include access control systems, electronic security systems, and cardkey activated magnetic switches on exit and roll-up doors, will provide the necessary security for combating terrorism at Norfolk. These enhancements will be installed at fourteen warehouses and four entry gates. If this is not provided, Norfolk will be forced to contract for roving patrol security.

The discounted payback period is 2.32 years and the Savings to Investment Ratio (SIR) is 5.6

Activity Group Capital Investment Justification

($ in Thousands)

A. Budget Submission
FY 2002 Amended Budget Submission

B. Component/Activity Group/Date Defense Logistics Agency
Distribution Depot Activity Group June 2001

C. Line Number & Item Description
PRD 100 Productivity Equipment $0.5 to $0.999

D. Activity Identification

Element of Cost	FY 2000			FY 2001			FY 2002					
	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost
PRD 100-03 Narrow Aisle Cantilever System (DDNV)							1	758	758			

Narrative Justification:

This project provides additional cantilever racks for storage sheds SP 86B and SP 86E at Defense Distribution Depot Norfolk. Expensive aircraft repair parts that are currently stored outside and exposed to environmental factors will be stored in the new cantilever locations. The cantilever racks will be 16 feet high with four storage levels and bar grating to ensure that pieces of large components will not fall through the cantilever arms. The rack system will be equipped with Drexel swing mast vehicles for efficient storage and picking operations. Failure to provide this system will allow the expensive aircraft parts to be stored outside and possibly degrade to the point that the parts are no longer useable.

The discounted payback is 2.32 and the Savings to Investment Ratio is 3.74

Activity Group Capital Investment Justification
($ in Thousands)

A. Budget Submission
FY 2002 Amended Budget Submission

B. Component/Activity Group/Date Defense Logistics Agency
Distribution Depot Activity Group June 2001

C. Line Number & Item Description
REP 200 Replacement Equipment $1.0 and Over

D. Activity Identification

Element of Cost	FY 2000			FY 2001			FY 2002					
	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost
REP 200-01 MS/RM Refurbishment, Building 3304 (DDDC)							1	3,750	3,750			

Narrative Justification:

This project provides for the replacement of twenty-three rackable Manned Storage and Retrieval Machines (MS/RM), ten pallet MS/RM's, and ten binnable MS/RM's at Defense Distribution Depot San Diego. The forty-three MS/RMs are aisle captive servicing some 347,000 material locations. Refurbishment consists of upgrading and/or replacing existing drive and lifting motors, various worn-out components, and replacement of all on-board controls with the latest state of the art equipment. This investment is necessary to increase productivity, and reduce material maintainability/reliability. Continued utilization of the existing MS/RMs without the refurbishment will result in the degradation of support to the Navy.

The discounted payback period is 4.13years and the Savings to Investment Ratio (SIR) is 2.17.

Activity Group Capital Investment Justification
($ in Thousands)

A. Budget Submission
FY 2002 Amended Budget Submission

B. Component/Activity Group/Date Defense Logistics Agency
Distribution Depot Activity Group June 2001

C. Line Number & Item Description
REP 200 Replacement Equipment $1.0 and Over

D. Activity Identification

Element of Cost	FY 2000			FY 2001			FY 2002					
	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost
REP 200-02 Automated Storage Module Upgrade, Bldg 849 (DDHU)							1	3,400	3,400			

Narrative Justification:

The automated storage and retrieval system in building 849 at Distribution Depot Hill was installed in 1978. All “ready to use” material is stored in this building and 80% of the issues to the base maintenance shops are done from this building. The existing system is located in eighteen aisles, each with control mechanizations and Storage and Retrieval Cranes (SRC). It has become extremely difficult to maintain the thirteen year old system as many of the components within the control system are no longer manufactured. This project proposes replacing all of the SRC’s and the corresponding control system while retaining the rack systems and conveyors. Maintenance costs and processing times will be reduced. Failure to provide this upgrade will result in significant downtime and failure to deliver mission critical aircraft parts to all base maintenance shops.

The discounted payback period is 1.97 years and the Savings to Investment Ratio (SIR) is 4.36.

Activity Group Capital Investment Justification

($ in Thousands)

A. Budget Submission
FY 2002 Amended Budget Submission

B. Component/Activity Group/Date Defense Logistics Agency
Distribution Depot Activity Group June 2001

C. Line Number & Item Description
PRD 200 Productivity Equipment $1.0 and Over

D. Activity Identification

Element of Cost	FY 2000			FY 2001			FY 2002					
	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost
PRD 200-03 Eastern Distribution Complex (EDC) Active Item Expansion, Phase 2/3 (DDSP)				1	1,200	1,200	1	3,600	3,600			

Narrative Justification:

This project is phase 2 of the multiphase project to relocate all active binnable items from Distribution Depot Mechanicsburg to Distribution Depot New Cumberland. The plan is based on the premise that all fast moving items will be located inside the Eastern Distribution Complex (EDC) at New Cumberland. Currently, fast moving items stored in the EDC are located in the active item area, which is a walk and pick operation utilizing gravity flow-through racks. This system is the most efficient and economical in the EDC, however, it is ninety-nine percent full. To effectively accommodate the additional items that will be moved from Mechanicsburg, 4,000 walk and pick storage locations will be added, complete with flow through-racks three working levels high. The expansion will also include powered mobile workstations with radio frequency capability that will be connected to the existing EDC sorter and Automatic Weighing and offer Stations (AWOS) via overhead conveyors. These improvements make best use of the EDC floor space and storage cube and help to achieve the same day material handling and processing goal.

The Savings to Investment Ratio (SIR) is 1.97 and the payback period is 4.8 years.

Activity Group Capital Investment Justification

($ in Thousands)

A. Budget Submission
FY 2002 Amended Budget Submission

B. Component/Activity Group/Date Defense Logistics Agency
Distribution Depot Activity Group June 2001

C. Line Number & Item Description
ADP 000 $0.1 to $0.499

D. Activity Identification

Element of Cost	FY 2000			FY 2001			FY 2002					
	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost
ADP 000 Base Level Support	18	269.6	4,853	27	446.4	12,019	22	217	4,775			

Narrative Justification:

The DLA Distribution Center continues to upgrade LAN infrastructure at nineteen depots in FY 2002 ($4,050) to improve mission performance through increased connectivity depot-wide. Each site has different hardware requirements based on their existing infrastructure. Other FY 2002 projects include:

Cable Plant Infrastructure ($330) at Distribution Depot San Joaquin (Tracy) will replace the blower system, corroded cables and boxes. The discounted payback period is 4.13 years and the Return on Investment (ROI) is 1.54.

Radio Frequency (RF) System ($227) for the Distribution Depot New Cumberland General Purpose Warehouse (GPW). The discounted payback period is 3.48 years and the Return on Investment (ROI) is 1.71.

Electronic Document Management (EDM) Hardware ($168). Infrastructure improvements are necessary to put EDM into the production process. The goal of EDM is to integrate source information products and correlate their delivery and access for the consumer, while dramatically reducing the average information processing time. The discounted payback period is 2.17 years and the Return on Investment (ROI) is 2.10.

Activity Group Capital Investment Justification

($ in Thousands)

A. Budget Submission
FY 2002 Amended Budget Submission

B. Component/Activity Group/Date Defense Logistics Agency
Distribution Depot Activity Group June 2001

C. Line Number & Item Description
ADP 000 $1.0 and Over

D. Activity Identification

Element of Cost	FY 2000			FY 2001			FY 2002					
	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost
ADP 200 Telephone Switch Upgrade							1	2,150	2,150			

Narrative Justification:

The telephone switch/network upgrade is a Distribution Depot Susquehanna initiative to upgrade mission essential telecommunications equipment. Telecommunications upgrades will provide additional telephone features and increase the response time for the Call Center with the Automatic Call Distribution. This is accomplished through the installation of three new Meridian software loads that will increase telecommunications capabilities within the telephone switch. In addition, an Access Node connected to the existing SL-100 telephone switch will be installed to support telecommunications in the new Public Safety Building and Controlled Humidity General Purpose Warehouse.

The Return on Investment (ROI) is 3.38 and payback period is 2.3 years.

Activity Group Capital Investment Justification

($ in Thousands)

A. Budget Submission
FY 2002 Amended Budget Submission

B. Component/Activity Group/Date Defense Logistics Agency
Distribution Depot Activity Group June 2001

C. Line Number & Item Description
SWD 200 $1.0 and Over

D. Activity Identification

Element of Cost	FY 2000			FY 2001			FY 2002					
	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost
SWD 200-01 Distribution Standard System (DSS)			2,500			3,500			1,700			

Narrative Justification:

The Distribution Standard System (DSS) was fully deployed at all 21 sites in FY 1998. DSS will continue to be enhanced through Business Process Improvements beyond Full Operational Capability (FOC). Many of these productivity System Change Requests (SCR's) are generated by the Depots to improve and standardize the Distribution Business Processes. They will provide more cost effective customer support by enhancing the following functional areas: storage, workload planning, transportation, inventory, receiving, Total Package Fielding/Small Arms Serialization Program (TPF/SASP), Packing, Packaging, Preservation and Marking (PPP&M), Care Of Supplies In Storage (COSIS), inventory, Equipment Control System (ECS) and Hazardous Material (HAZMAT).

In addition, DLA has entered into a strategic partnership with USTRANSCOM for the Strategic Distribution Management Initiative (SDMI). This initiative improves end-to-end distribution to sustain peacetime and war fighting units and its anticipated results will shorten the distribution/transportation segments of the logistics pipeline. The SDMI process change will focus on velocity: how quickly business will change in response to customer demand, how quickly business transactions can occur and how information access will alter its consumer. DSS system changes will be key in the design and implementation of this initiative.

Expected benefits in the DSS functional economic analysis are estimated to be over $400 million, with a return on investment of 5.3 and an estimated payback of 2.8 years.

All development will be performed internally.

Activity Group Capital Investment Justification

($ in Thousands)

A. Budget Submission
FY 2002 Amended Budget Submission

B. Component/Activity Group/Date Defense Logistics Agency
Distribution Depot Activity Group June 2001

C. Line Number & Item Description
SWD 200 $1.0 and Over

D. Activity Identification

Element of Cost	FY 2000			FY 2001			FY 2002					
	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost
SWD 200-02 Electronic Document Management (EDM)									2,127			

Narrative Justification:

The Electronic Document Management (EDM) project will integrate source information products and correlate their delivery and access for the consumer, while dramatically reducing the average information processing time. Currently, information is routed from place to place through various proprietary delivery mechanisms, computer systems and applications. Analysis suggests that the workforce spends on average 85% of their time looking for, storing, re-retrieving, distributing and/or correlating information, while approximately 15% of the time is actually spent on performing assigned tasks. This project will develop enhanced models and technologies that integrate electronic forms into digital imaging, electronic indexing, foldering, document retrieval and viewing from desktop workstations. In addition, workflow management, electronic reports management, and Web Portal and IT Enterprise concepts will be introduced. These models and technologies will help DDC business processes to eliminate the large amount of manual and laborious efforts of integrating structured and unstructured data. The goal is to eliminate paper processes completely, moving to an E-commerce environment by automating all source documentation. EDM will demonstrate cost savings and provide significant improvements in performance and customer service as well as support DLA Strategic Plan 2000, paperless initiatives.

In FY 2002, EDM will proceed with the procurement and deployment of hardware & software necessary to build a production grade infrastructure capable of supporting 23 Depots.

The Return on Investment (ROI) is 1.26 and the Payback Period is 4 years.

The Document Automation & Production Service (DAPS) is managing this project. Approximately 50% the development will be performed externally by the Document Automation & Production Service (DAPS) and 50% will be performed internally.

Activity Group Capital Investment Justification

($ in Thousands)

A. Budget Submission
FY 2002 Amended Budget Submission

B. Component/Activity Group/Date Defense Logistics Agency
Distribution Depot Activity Group June 2001

C. Line Number & Item Description
RPM 000 Minor Construction

D. Activity Identification

Element of Cost	FY 2000			FY 2001			FY 2002					
	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Minor Construction (DDC)			5,100			10,194			7,300			

Narrative Justification:

The minor construction investment for projects between $100 and $500 each will construct new or modify existing facilities for mission and operational improvements. These projects consist of:

1. Upgrading fire protection and alarm systems
2. Upgrading utility distribution systems (especially water and electrical)
3. Additional paving for open storage, road networks and organizational and personnel parking
4. Upgrading facilities to accommodate mission stocks repositioning
5. Renovation of administrative and storage facilities
6. Upgrading storm water management systems (drainage structures, retention basins)
7. Upgrading buildings to meet seismic criteria (structural upgrades)
8. Upgrading buildings for compliance with Americans with Disability Act.

Additional minor construction requirements are for incidental improvements associated with facilities repair projects. These investments will result in cost effective facilities to support the mission.

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
Defense-Wide Working Capital Fund
Distribution Depots Activity Group
FY 2002 Amended Budget Submission
Capital Budget Execution
FY 2000
(Dollars in Millions)

PROJECTS ON THE FY 2001 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

FY	Approved Project	Reprogs	Approved Proj Cost	Current Proj Cost	Asset/ (Deficiency)	Explanation
2000	Equipment except ADPE & TELCOM:	1.5	16.0	14.5	1.5	
	Replacement <$500K	(0.0)	0.5	0.5	(0.0)	
	Productivity <$500K	0.3	0.7	0.3	0.3	Two projects cancelled
	Replacement $0.5 to $0.999K	(0.5)	0.8	1.3	(0.5)	Project rescoped
	Productivity $0.5 to $0.999K	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.9	Project repriced;<$500K category
	CCP Mechanization Bldg 208	(0.1)	1.8	1.9	(0.1)	Additional requirement
	Pkg Preservation, Pkg & Packing System Upgrade	0.1	2.6	2.5	0.1	Project repriced
	Narrow Aisle Pallet Rack Replacement	0.2	1.9	1.7	0.2	Project repriced
	Upgrade Miniload, Bldg W-143	0.6	2.9	2.3	0.6	Project repriced
	Triax System Upgrade	(0.0)	1.5	1.5	(0.0)	
	GPW Mechanization	0.0	2.5	2.5	0.0	
2000	Equipment - ADPE & TELCOM:	0.8	5.7	4.8	0.8	
	Base Level Support	0.8	5.7	4.8	0.8	Projects reprioritized
2000	Software Development:	6.4	9.3	2.9	6.4	
	Causative Research Expert	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.3	Cancelled
	DSS Rehost	9.0	9.0	0.0	9.0	Project deferred
	DSS System Change Requests (SCRs)	(2.5)	0.0	2.5	(2.5)	Emergent requirements
	Labor DataMart	(0.2)	0.0	0.2	(0.2)	Emergent requirement
	Windows NT	(0.2)	0.0	0.2	(0.2)	Project re-categorized
2000	Minor Construction	(0.1)	5.1	5.2	(0.1)	Projects repriced
	Total FY 2000	**8.6**	**36.0**	**27.5**	**8.6**	

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY
Defense-Wide Working Capital Fund
Distribution Depots Activity Group
FY 2002 Amended Budget Submission
Capital Budget Execution
FY 2001
(Dollars in Millions)

PROJECTS ON THE FY 2001 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

FY	Approved Project	Reprogs	Approved Proj Cost	Current Proj Cost	Asset/ (Deficiency)	Explanation
2001	Equipment except ADPE & TELCOM:	(0.2)	16.4	16.6	(0.2)	
	Replacement <$500K	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	
	Productivity <$500K	(0.2)	0.7	0.9	(0.2)	Additional requirement
	Depot Transportation System	0.0	0.9	0.9	0.0	
	Replace Tote Conveyor, W-1431	0.0	3.5	3.5	0.0	
	Narrow Aisle Pallet Racks, Bldg Y-108	0.0	4.5	4.5	0.0	
	Packaging Tote Conveyor Replacement	0.0	1.2	1.2	0.0	
	Walk and Pick Conveyor System	0.0	2.4	2.4	0.0	
	EDC Active Item Expansion	0.0	1.2	1.2	0.0	
2001	Equipment - ADPE & TELCOM:	0.0	12.0	12.0	0.0	
	Base Level Support	0.0	12.0	12.0	0.0	
2001	Software Development:	1.0	6.8	5.8	1.0	
	Causative Research Expert	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	
	Distribution Standard System	(1.2)	2.3	3.5	(1.2)	Additional SCR's required
	MODELS 2.0 Data Standardization	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	
	DSS Rehost	2.2	2.2	0.0	2.2	Project deferred
2001	Minor Construction	(1.0)	9.2	10.2	(1.0)	Emergent requirements
	Total FY 2001	**(0.2)**	**44.5**	**44.7**	**(0.2)**	